

Complexified quantum and classical mechanics

Slobodan Prvanović

*Institute of Physics Belgrade,
University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11080 Belgrade,
Serbia*

Abstract

The anti self-adjoint operators of coordinate and momentum are introduced and applied in discussion of tunneling through the potential barrier where imaginary value of momentum unavoidably appears. Tunneling through temporal barrier is proceeded in a similar way and it is shown that the quantum system can tunnel, while the classical systems are destroyed by such barrier. The imaginary observables of coordinate and momentum are used in a novel treatment of passage through the event horizon. By considering the event horizon as the border of the finite potential barrier, it is found that there is no singularity at the center of a black hole. The imaginary variables of coordinate and momentum are used for the construction of a toy model of the universe. It is shown that the acceleration of the expansion of the universe does not need dark energies to be explained since negative energies are present and characteristic for the imaginary world.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ca

Keywords: anti self-adjoint operator, tunneling, potential barrier, event horizon, virtual particle, expansion of universe, negative energy

1 Introduction

Tunneling through the potential barrier is a typical quantum phenomena and there are many articles discussing it from different angles and applying it in a huge variety of diverse situations [1-15]. Recently, attention are attracting

the time dependent potentials, see for instance [16-19]. Usually, the potential depending on coordinate is multiplied by the time dependent function and this modulation of a barrier raises interesting effects in different areas of research. Here, instead of proceeding in this direction, we shall analyze behavior of the quantum system when it is confronted to the barrier that depends solely on time. Since we are interested in conceptual aspects of the tunneling through the temporal barrier, we shall discuss it in general, being explicit only in the most simple case of a square barrier. However, we shall compare behavior of the quantum system with the behaviour of classical system in the same situation and this will be done in order to underline strong difference between these two. So to say, the quantum system, by tunneling through the barrier, can survive, while the classical one cannot survive the action of the temporal barrier.

There are solutions of the Schrödinger equation for which the imaginary values of momentum appear. That is, by applying the self-adjoint operator of momentum on such solutions, one ends with an imaginary value. This surprising feature was discussed in [20,21]. Typical example of this are the solutions of the Schrödinger equation in the case of the square potential, when one considers the solutions in region where the quantum system is within the barrier, *i. e.*, during its supposed tunneling through the barrier. To end with an imaginary value after acting with the operator of momentum on the solution of the Schrödinger equation is strange since this operator is self-adjoint, so only real values are expected. Directly attached to these imaginary values of the momentum are negative values of the kinetic energy, which are equally well unacceptable from the point of view of our everyday experience. The same situation will appear for the time dependent barrier that we are going to consider here. But, we shall approach to this imaginary values in completely nonstandard way. Namely, they will lead us to, so to say, complexification of the coordinate and momentum. Just like beside the real axis one introduces the imaginary one, here we shall introduce anti self-adjoint (skew symmetric) operators of coordinate and momentum. These new operators will have imaginary eigenvalues and they shall offer adequate and consistent description of the tunneling through barriers.

The formalism of the operator of time, that we have proposed in [22-26], will be used here. Let us just mention that there is a whole variety of topics and approaches related to the operator of time, *e.g.*, [27-29] and references therein. However, our approach is similar to [30], and references therein, and

[31], and its crucial point is to treat time and energy on equal footing with coordinate and momentum. This means that the separate Hilbert space, where operators of time and energy act, is introduced, just as it is done for each degree of freedom in the standard formulation of quantum mechanics. By doing this, the Pauli's objection is avoided. Proceeding in this way, the same commutation relation that holds for the coordinate and momentum is imposed for the energy and time, which leads to unbounded spectrum of these operators. Finally, the Schrödinger equation appears as a constraint in the overall Hilbert space, selecting the states with non-negative energy for the standard Hamiltonians. But, after the algebra of anti self-adjoint operators of coordinate and momentum is introduced, the negative eigenvalues of energy will naturally appear in the formalism.

The standard quantum mechanics, with its self-adjoint operators of coordinate and momentum and appropriate real eigenvalues, is a part of the complete theory that involves anti self-adjoint operators of coordinate and momentum and their imaginary eigenvalues. The last ones, together with the negative energies, find their natural explanation within the complexified quantum mechanics. So, not just that there is nothing wrong with the imaginary values of momentum in the case of tunneling, but these imaginary values are meaningful since, beside our part of the universe characterized by the real numbers, there is the other one. This part is characterized by the imaginary numbers. These two parts are on equal footing and they together form the complete universe.

As the examples of the possible application of complexified mechanics, we shall propose new way of looking on passages of the quantum and classical systems through the event horizon during the fall in and possible escape from a black hole. We will discuss evaporation of a black hole and virtual particles that escaped from a black hole. On the other side, we shall apply complexified classical mechanics in designing a toy model of the universe with the accelerated expansion. In this context, we will analyze the concept of dark energy.

2 Operators of time and energy

As it is done for every spatial degree of freedom, a separate Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_t , where operators of time \hat{t} and energy \hat{s} act non-trivially, can be introduced.

So, for the case of one degree of freedom, there are $\hat{q} \otimes \hat{I}$, $\hat{p} \otimes \hat{I}$, $\hat{I} \otimes \hat{t}$ and $\hat{I} \otimes \hat{s}$, acting in $\mathcal{H}_q \otimes \mathcal{H}_t$, and for these self-adjoint operators the following commutation relations hold:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{q} \otimes \hat{I}, \hat{p} \otimes \hat{I}] = \hat{I} \otimes \hat{I}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{I} \otimes \hat{t}, \hat{I} \otimes \hat{s}] = -\hat{I} \otimes \hat{I}. \quad (2)$$

The other commutators vanish. The operators of time \hat{t} and energy \hat{s} have continuous spectrum $\{-\infty, +\infty\}$, just like the operators of coordinate and momentum \hat{q} and \hat{p} . The eigenvectors of \hat{t} are $|t\rangle$ for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$. In $|t\rangle$ representation, operator of energy is given by $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and its eigenvectors $|E\rangle$, in the same representation, are $e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}E \cdot t}$ for every $E \in \mathbf{R}$. In [22] we have shown how the unbounded spectrum of the operator of energy is regulated by the Schrödinger equation. Namely, the Schrödinger equation, that appears as constraint in $\mathcal{H}_q \otimes \mathcal{H}_t$, selects the states with non-negative energy, due to the bounded from below spectrum of the standard Hamiltonians. That is, the Hamiltonian and operator of energy are acting in different Hilbert spaces, but there is subspace of the total Hilbert space where:

$$\hat{s}|\psi\rangle = H(\hat{q}, \hat{p})|\psi\rangle. \quad (3)$$

The states that satisfy this equation have non-negative energy for the usually used Hamiltonians. The last equation is nothing else but the Schrödinger equation. By taking $|q\rangle \otimes |t\rangle$ representation of the previous equation, one gets the familiar form of the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(q, t) = \hat{H} \psi(q, t). \quad (4)$$

With the shorthand notation $\hat{H} = H(q, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q})$. In other words, the operator of energy has negative eigenvalues as well as non-negative, but the states with non-negative energies, that are used in the standard quantum mechanics, are selected by the Schrödinger equation due to the non-negative spectra of $H(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$. For the time independent Hamiltonian, the typical solution of the Schrödinger equation $\psi_E(q) e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}E \cdot t}$ is $|q\rangle \otimes |t\rangle$ representation of $|\psi_E\rangle \otimes |E\rangle$, where $H(\hat{q}, \hat{p})|\psi_E\rangle = E|\psi_E\rangle$ and $\hat{s}|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$. The energy eigenvectors $|E\rangle$ have the same formal characteristics as, say, the momentum eigenvectors

(they are "normalized" to $\delta(0)$ and, for different values of energy, they are mutually orthogonal).

The negative eigenvalues of \hat{s} will appear in discussion below.

3 Spatial barrier

Let us briefly review the standard treatment of the tunneling through the square potential. If the potential vanishes everywhere except between q_a and q_b , where it is constant having value $V = V_0$, one firstly solves the Schrödinger equation for the regions where $V = 0$ and then for the region where $V = V_0$. If the energy of the quantum system is taken to be E_0 , then the solutions outside the barrier, in the coordinate representation, are plain waves $e^{\pm \frac{1}{i\hbar} p \cdot q} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t}$, where $E_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m}$. For the region where $V = V_0$ one finds $e^{\pm \frac{1}{i\hbar} p' \cdot q} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E \cdot t}$, where $E_0 = \frac{p'^2}{2m} + V_0$. We are talking about tunneling when $E_0 < V_0$. Then, the quantum system can be in the region that is forbidden from the point of view of classical mechanics. Namely, the classical system, having momentum p , will approach the potential barrier, say from the left, and at the point $q = q_a$ it will be pushed back by the force caused by the barrier. It will never enter the region between q_a and q_b since it does not have enough energy to overcome repulsive force that acts at q_a .

Discussion regarding the tunneling through the potential barrier usually proceeds by the calculation of reflection and transition rates. Instead of repeating this, let us focus on the difference between classical and quantum system that is essential for tunneling. Namely, regarding the position, the classical system is always located at one point. Its state, *i.e.*, the coordinate part of the state, is given by $\delta(q - q(t))$. So, at some moment, the classical system starts to feel the presence of the barrier. More precisely, only at the moment when it comes to q_a it feels the repulsive force which pushes it back to the region from which it came. In difference to the classical system, the quantum system, having energy E_0 , is not located in one point of space. Its state spreads all over the space, and it is given by $e^{\pm \frac{1}{i\hbar} p \cdot q}$, for q between $-\infty$ and q_a , $e^{\pm \frac{1}{i\hbar} p' \cdot q}$, for q between q_a and q_b , and $e^{\pm \frac{1}{i\hbar} p \cdot q}$, for q between q_b and $+\infty$. In the present context, being in the state with sharp value of energy actually means that quantum system has sharp value of momentum, so it is not in a state that is spatially located. Since we are not measuring coordinate of the quantum system, we are not reducing its state to the one with sharp

value of position, in particular not to the state $|q_a\rangle$. In this way, quantum system is never actually in front of the barrier, so it does not feel its repulsive force. So to say, being in a state with sharp value of energy, quantum system is potentially in-front, inside and beside the barrier simultaneously, and its existence is not concentrated to some particular point of space.

4 Imaginary momentum

If one applies momentum operator $-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ to the states of quantum system $e^{\pm\frac{1}{i\hbar}p'\cdot q}e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}E\cdot t}$, where $E_0 = \frac{p'^2}{2m} + V_0$, then for $E_0 < V_0$ one ends with the imaginary value of momentum. This is, of course, strange since we do not expect to find imaginary values when the self-adjoint operators act on perfectly correct solutions of the Schrödinger equation. There are other situations for which we find imaginary momentum, as well, see [32]. (There is essential difference between the present considerations and there given one. Namely, here the Hamiltonian and momentum commute, while in [32] this is not the case.)

Since the imaginary values are unavoidable in the considered cases, then their meaning should be addressed in the first place. As is well known, we use in quantum mechanics hermitian and self-adjoint operators because they have real eigenvalues. The world we live in is characterized by the real numbers as the values of considered quantities. So, if we say, in a case when some system is in a state that has real eigenvalue for some observable, that this quantity belongs to our real world, then in the case when the system is in a state that gives imaginary value for some observable we cannot attribute reality to this quantity. This quantity with imaginary value does not belong to the real world. It belongs to the world of imaginary quantities.

The unavoidable imaginary values of the momentum for $e^{\pm\frac{1}{i\hbar}p'\cdot q}e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}E\cdot t}$ (where $E_0 = \frac{p'^2}{2m} + V_0$ and $E_0 < V_0$) are demonstration of the existence of the world other than ours. So, there are two worlds. One is the world characterised with properties that are real. It is our world where everything is expressed by real numbers, but there is another world where everything is expressed by imaginary numbers. This world of unreality is on equal footing with ours. It is as natural as is our world. For the sake of simplicity, let us call that other world the imaginary world. The universe consists of both the real and the imaginary world.

5 Anti self-adjoint operators

As we have underlined, it is unavoidable to end with the imaginary values of the momentum for the above given states. Since this is not consistent with the fact that momentum is self-adjoint operator, the question is whether there exists operator of momentum with which we can reproduce all important features of the considered tunneling, being such that the imaginary values are in accordance with its nature. The answer is affirmative. Namely, there are anti self-adjoint operators which have imaginary eigenvalues. For such an operator it is completely consistent to end with the imaginary value after applying this operator to some state, just as it is consistent to get real value when a self-adjoint operator is applied to some state.

Let us introduce anti self-adjoint operators of imaginary coordinate and imaginary momentum in the following way. For each real q_{re} there is $|q_{re}\rangle$ which is the eigenvector of the self-adjoint operator of real coordinate $\hat{q}_{re} = \int q_{re}|q_{re}\rangle\langle q_{re}|dq_{re}$:

$$\hat{q}_{re}|q_{re}\rangle = q_{re}|q_{re}\rangle. \quad (5)$$

The self-adjoint operator of the real momentum \hat{p}_{re} , in the basis $|q_{re}\rangle$, is represented by $-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{re}}$. Its eigenvectors, in the same representation, are (real) plain waves $e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}p_{re}\cdot q_{re}}$ with the real eigenvalues. These two operators, acting in the rigged Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{re} are the standard operators of coordinate and momentum that are used in quantum mechanics. They form the Heisenberg algebra and they do not commute $\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{q}_{re}, \hat{p}_{re}] = \hat{I}_{re}$, where $\hat{I}_{re} = \int |q_{re}\rangle\langle q_{re}|dq_{re}$.

Beside this, there is the algebra of non-commuting anti self-adjoint operators of coordinate \hat{q}_{im} and momentum \hat{p}_{im} acting in the rigged Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{im} . The spectral form of the imaginary coordinate \hat{q}_{im} , in the basis $|q_{im}\rangle$, where q_{im} ranges over entire imaginary axis, is $\hat{q}_{im} = \int q_{im}|q_{im}\rangle\langle q_{im}|dq_{im}$ (with the real measure dq_{im}), and its action on the eigenvectors is given by:

$$\hat{q}_{im}|q_{im}\rangle = q_{im}|q_{im}\rangle. \quad (6)$$

In the basis $|q_{im}\rangle$, the anti self-adjoint operator of imaginary momentum is represented by $-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{im}}$. Its eigenvectors, in the same representation, are the imaginary plain waves $e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar}p_{im}\cdot q_{im}}$ with the imaginary eigenvalues. In similarity to the case of the real coordinate and momentum, the commutator of the imaginary ones is proportional to the \hat{I}_{im} which is $\hat{I}_{im} = \int |q_{im}\rangle\langle q_{im}|dq_{im}$.

In the case of one degree of freedom, the complete description demands direct product of the rigged Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_{re} and \mathcal{H}_{im} . In $\mathcal{H}_{re} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{im}$ the operator of real coordinate is $\hat{q}_{re} \otimes \hat{I}_{im}$, while the operator of imaginary coordinate is $\hat{I}_{re} \otimes \hat{q}_{im}$ (and similarly for the operators of momentum). These operators, together with the identity, form the basis of complexified quantum mechanics.

The quantum and classical mechanics have to be identical regarding the formal structures. Therefore, there are the imaginary variables of coordinate and momentum q_{im} and p_{im} beside the standard real q_{re} and p_{re} . These variables will be used below.

6 Temporal barrier

The opportunity to be in a superposition of states is the essential for tunneling through the spatial barrier. When the quantum system is in the state with sharp value of momentum it is potentially everywhere without actually being there. This is related to the noncommutativity of the operators of coordinate and momenta. On the other side, the classical system simultaneously poseses sharp values of coordinate and momentum since they commute. The similar situation is with the energy and time. The oprators \hat{s} and \hat{t} do not commute, so they do not have common eigenvectors. When the quantum system is in the state with the sharp value of energy, than it is not localized in time - it is not actually present in any moment of time. The classical system, of course, is always present and has definite energy since the variables s and t commute. As in the case of the spatial barrier, this difference between classical and quantum systems is what makes possible tunneling through the temporal barrier.

How the temporal barrier would affect the classical system can be viewed in the following situation. Let the initially free classical system interacts with some other one in such a way that it loses its mechanical energy during time, transferring it to that other system. Within the formalism of complexified classical mechanics, let the total energy of these systems be:

$$\frac{p_{re}^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{im}^2}{2m} + W(t), \quad (7)$$

where $W(t)$ is the energy of the other system for which we assume that, at t_1 , it starts to rise smoothly from zero, overcomes the initial energy of

the considered system, and then, after some time, smoothly drops down becoming zero at t_2 . As it rises, the energy of the classical system lowers in order to keep the total energy of these systems constant. At some moment t_a , $t_1 < t_a$, $W(t)$ becomes equal to the energy that the system had before t_a , so the energy of the system becomes equal to zero. At some t_b , $t_a < t_b$, $W(t)$ drops to the value of the initial energy of the system, and then at t_2 , $t_b < t_2$, vanishes. If the classical system initially was in the state with $p_{re} = p_{re}^0$ and $p_{im} = 0$, so its all energy is in the positive kinetic energy, it is crucial to find out what happens at t_a .

In between t_1 and t_a system loses positive kinetic energy of the motion in the real world, at t_a it becomes zero and then negative since $W(t)$ rises. What this means can be better understood in the full relativistic approach. So, the energy of the system is given by:

$$\frac{mc_{re}^2}{(1 - \frac{v_{re}^2}{c_{re}^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{mc_{im}^2}{(1 - \frac{v_{im}^2}{c_{im}^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (8)$$

where $c_{re} = c$ is the speed of light in the real world and c_{im} is the speed of light in the imaginary world. (The speed of light in the imaginary world is imaginary, as are all velocities, and $c_{im} = i \cdot c$.) In between t_1 and t_a , due to the fourth component of the 4-vector of force in the real world, which is equal to $-\frac{1}{c_{re}} \frac{dW(t)}{dt}$, the fourth component of the 4-vector of momentum in the real world decreases until it becomes mc_{re} at t_a . Since $W(t)$ continues to increase, the further decrease of the systems energy cannot be proceeded by the decrease of its rest mass m . Namely, the particle in rest in both worlds has total energy:

$$mc_{re}^2 + mc_{im}^2 = 0. \quad (9)$$

This holds irrespective to the value of m . So, from the state with the vanishing energy at t_a , the system goes to the state with small negative kinetic energy of the motion in the real world:

$$-\frac{mc_{re}^2}{(1 - \frac{v_{re}^2}{c_{re}^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} - mc_{im}^2. \quad (10)$$

This means that the mass of the system becomes negative. With the negative rest mass the fourth component of the 4-vector of momentum in the real world is inverted. And, if the positive fourth component of the 4-vector

of momentum in the real world, which was the case before t_a , caused the propagation in the positive direction of the fourth component of the 4-vector of position in the real world $c_{re}t$, then the negative one causes propagation in the negative direction. As is well known, having negative mass is equivalent to the propagation backward in time, so at t_a , by acquiring the negative mass, the systems starts to propagate towards the past. This holds for both the real and the imaginary world.

On the other hand, the quantum system, like in the case of spatial barrier, can tunnel this temporal barrier. If before t_1 it was in the state $|p_{re}^0\rangle$ and $|p_{im}^0\rangle$, where $p_{im}^0 = 0$, then it can be found after t_2 . As was said, at the moment that was the turning point for the classical system, the quantum one would not be present since, for all the time until it is observed, it would be in an eigenstate of energy. So to say, being in a state with sharp value of energy, it potentially exists before, during and after the period with the barrier, and the existence of the quantum system is not concentrated to any moment of time in particular - uncountably many moments of time are superposed in the actual state of the system, which is the state with sharp value of the energy. Its $|p_{re}(t)\rangle$ and $|p_{im}(t)\rangle$ would vary with respect to t in such a way that the energy of the system plus $W(t)$ is equal to the initial $\frac{p_{re}^0{}^2}{2m}$. Concretely, in the case when $W(t)$ is in the shape of the square between t_a and t_b , with the value W_0 , $W_0 > \frac{p_{re}^0{}^2}{2m}$, the state of the quantum system before the barrier is:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{t_a} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^0 \cdot q_{re}} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^0 \cdot q_{im}} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |q_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dq_{re} dq_{im} dt, \quad (11)$$

where $p_{im}^0 = 0$ and $E_0 = \frac{p_{re}^0{}^2}{2m}$. Between the moments t_a and t_b the state is:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{t_a}^{t_b} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^T \cdot q_{re}} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^T \cdot q_{im}} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_T \cdot t} |q_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dq_{re} dq_{im} dt, \quad (12)$$

where p_{re}^T , p_{im}^T and E_T are the tunneling momentas and energy, and where $p_{re}^T = 0$, $p_{im}^T = (\frac{p_{re}^0{}^2}{2m} - W_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $E_T = \frac{p_{im}^T{}^2}{2m}$. Finaly, after t_b the state is:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{t_b}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^0 \cdot q_{re}} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^0 \cdot q_{im}} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |q_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dq_{re} dq_{im} dt. \quad (13)$$

In both cases, the spatial and the temporal barrier, the appropriate momentum of the classical system is reverted at the point where the system is

confronted with the barrier. But, there is a strong difference between these two cases. Since there is no back in time, at the moment t_a the classical system ceases to exist, it is no longer present in later times, and the force executed by the barrier is the cause of its death and destruction, so to say. (Dead means to be in rest.) In this context, for the quantum system it could be said that the tunneling is the process of dying at t_a in the real world and immediate reincarnation in the imaginary world and, at the moment t_b , resurrection in the real world.

7 Black hole

When a black hole is looked from the center it looks like a barrier. The attractive gravitational force, directioned towards the center, plays the same role as the repulsive force of the potential barrier considered above. For the potential barrier it is important to know at which point the barrier starts and how high it can be.

The particle in gravitational field, at rest at $r = +\infty$, has vanishing energy [33]. During its fall in a black hole, its velocity increases. At the distance r^E from the center, where r^E is the Schwarzschild radius, the velocity of the particle becomes equal to the speed of light. Since the speed of light sets the upper bound for all velocities, the particle cannot move faster. This means that at the r^E is the bottom of the potential well or, looked from the center of a black hole, at r^E starts the potential barrier. The depth of the potential well is finite and it is equal to the value of the gravitational potential at r^E , which means that the potential is constant from $r = 0$ to r^E . In other words, the gravitational force equals zero within the event horizon - the sphere of radius r^E , and the speed of light, as the finite upper bound for velocities, determines the depth of the potential well.

After its passage through the event horizon, the particle thermalises with the matter that has been already present in a black hole, so its velocity drops down in time. Due to the absence of the gravitational force within the sphere of radius r^E , matter is not shrinking to a single point and, therefore, there is no singularity at $r = 0$ (all of the matter contained within the event horizon is not concentrated within the single point).

If a classical system, with velocity v , $v < c$, that is directioned outwards a black hole, tries to escape it, it would be pulled back by attractive gravi-

tational force. In the case with the potential barrier considered above, the repulsive force and insufficient energy were the reasons why the system could not go beyond the certain point, while here the attractive force, directioned towards the center of a black hole, and insufficient energy are the reasons why the system cannot escape. The quantum system also behaves like it behaved in the case of the potential barrier.

In the case of the square spatial barrier the state of the quantum system is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{q_a} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^0 \cdot q_{re}} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^0 \cdot q_{im}} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |q_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dq_{re} dq_{im} dt + \\ & + \int_{q_a}^{q_b} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^T \cdot q_{re}} e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^T \cdot q_{im}} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |q_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dq_{re} dq_{im} dt + \\ & + \int_{q_b}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^0 \cdot q_{re}} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^0 \cdot q_{re}} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |q_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dq_{re} dq_{im} dt, \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where p_{re}^0 is the initial real momentum, $p_{im}^0 = 0$, $p_{re}^T = 0$ and $p_{im}^T = (\frac{p_{re}^0{}^2}{2m} - V_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The quantum system can escape from a black hole by tunneling the potential barrier. Its state in this situation is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{r_a} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^0 \cdot r_{re}} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^0 \cdot q_{im}} e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |r_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dr_{re} dq_{im} dt + \\ & + \int_{r_a}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{i\hbar} p_{re}^T \cdot r_{re}} e^{-\frac{1}{i\hbar} p_{im}^T \cdot q_{im}} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{i\hbar} E_0 \cdot t} |r_{re}\rangle \otimes |q_{im}\rangle \otimes |t\rangle dr_{re} dq_{im} dt, \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

where p_{re}^0 is the initial real momentum, $p_{im}^0 = 0$, $p_{re}^T = 0$ and $p_{im}^T = (2m(V(r^E) + \frac{p_{re}^0{}^2}{2m} - V(r_{re}))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V(r_{re}) = -\gamma \frac{m \cdot M}{r_{re}}$. So, due to the possibility to tunnel the barrier, the quantum system could be found out of the event horizon. For the systems that have evaporated from a black hole it is usually said that they are virtual particles. The attribute virtual is used in order to underline that their kinetic energies need not to be positive. Within the complexified quantum mechanics it becomes obvious that the evaporated particles are the standard particles that have the nonvanishing imaginary momentum, propagating forwards, not backwards, in time (as is the case for some Feynman diagrams).

8 Expansion of universe

Statement that the expansion of the universe is accelerating is followed by the question what causes such expansion. Some repulsive force, with negative pressure, is needed to explain acceleration of the expansion of the universe. The complexified classical mechanics offers the possibility to design a toy model of the universe, that is characterized with the accelerating expansion.

Namely, if the universe is taken to be the hypersphere, then everything that exists in our world is within the real part of the hypersurface and the following Hamilton function might be introduced:

$$H = \frac{p_{re}^{T^2}}{2m} + \frac{p_{im}^R}{2m} - k \frac{q_{im}^R}{q_{re}^T}, \quad (16)$$

where q_{im}^R and p_{im}^R stand for the imaginary radius and corresponding momentum and q_{re}^T and p_{re}^T are some real tangential coordinate and momentum. Since we live in the real world, we can only observe some real coordinates and momenta. Within our world, let q_{re}^T represent some coordinate that we are observing in order to conclude what is the rate of expansion of the universe. With the imaginary component q_{im}^R we want to formalize impossibility to measure the radius of the universe.

Since q_{im}^R is negative, for positive distance q_{re}^T and positive imaginary radius q_{im}^R the accelerations:

$$a_{re}^R = -\frac{k}{m} \frac{q_{im}^R}{q_{re}^{T^2}}, \quad (17)$$

and

$$a_{im}^R = 2 \frac{k}{m} \frac{q_{im}^R}{q_{re}^T}, \quad (18)$$

are both positive and $v_{re}^T(t) = 2 \frac{k}{m} t$ and $v_{im}^R(t) = i 2^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{k}{m} t$ both increase as the time lapses.

The concept of dark energy is introduced with the purpose to explain acceleration of the expansion of the universe. With this toy model, where the potential is the product of the gravity like potential (real part) and the oscillator like potential (imaginary part), we wanted to show that, so to say, dark energy in the ordinary real world might be the ordinary energy in a dark world - the imaginary world. As are the positive energies natural for the real world, the negative energies are natural for the imaginary world.

9 Conclusion

The complexified quantum and classical mechanics were founded by the introduction of the anti self-adjoint operators of coordinate and momentum for the quantum mechanics and the imaginary variables of classical system. Within the proposed formalism, the tunnelings through the barriers, spatial and temporal, were thoroughly discussed. It is a well known fact that, during the tunneling through the potential barrier, the quantum system is characterized by the imaginary value of the momentum. This appears to be strange within the framework of standard quantum mechanics since only the self-adjoint operator of momentum is used, whose spectrum is real. The complexified quantum mechanics offered self consistent description of the tunneling through the spatial barrier where the unavoidable imaginary value of the momentum does not contradict the nature of the operator of imaginary momentum. The enlarged quantum mechanics was used in discussion of the tunneling through the temporal barrier and, in order to make the argumentation more transparent, the previously introduced operator of time formalism was employed. The complexified version of the classical mechanics, on the other hand, was used in the discussion regarding the systems passage through the event horizon, that was found to be the border of the potential barrier around a black hole. Finally, this new formalism was used with the purpose of designing a toy model of the expanding universe. It was shown that the acceleration of the expansion of the universe can be formally described by using the imaginary variables.

The main conclusions are the following. The tunneling through the potential barrier shows that standard formulation of quantum mechanics, and consequently of classical mechanics as well, have to be enlarged. The quantum system can tunnel through the temporal barrier, while such barrier destroys the classical systems. There is no singularity at the center of a black hole and there is no need for dark energies since the negative energies are natural for the imaginary world.

10 Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges funding provided by the Institute of Physics Belgrade, through the grant by the Ministry of Education, Science and Techno-

logical Development of the Republic of Serbia.

References

- [1] S. Yusofsani and M. Kolesik, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 052121 (2020)
- [2] M. Goto *et al.*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 3599 (2004)
- [3] M. Hasan and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. A **382**, (2018)
- [4] G. Bihlmayer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 126401 (2020)
- [5] D. Lane *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **97**, 062127 (2018)
- [6] J. C. Martinez, 1997 Eur. J. Phys. **18**, 0143-0807 (1997)
- [7] T. Dvir *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 217003 (2019)
- [8] Y. Deng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 085410 (2020)
- [9] J. Encomendero *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Applied **11**, 034032 (2019)
- [10] P. Manju *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **98**, 053629 (2018)
- [11] V. Feilikher *et al.*, Found Phys **26**, BF02058241 (1996)
- [12] E C. de Oliveira and J. Vaz, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 185303 (2011)
- [13] T. Kudo and H. Nitta, Phys. Lett. A **377**, (2013)
- [14] V. Bezak, 2008 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 025301 (2008)
- [15] D. A. Demir and O. Sargin, Phys. Lett. A **378** (2014)
- [16] K. G. Kay, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 012122 (2013)
- [17] P. Sarkar, PRAMANA, **54**, (2000)
- [18] A. Pimpale and M. Razavy, Fortschr. Phys. **39**, (1991)
- [19] Z. S. Gribnikov and G. I. Haddad, J. App. Phys. **96**, (2004)

- [20] World Scientific Series in 20th Century Physics: Volume 19 Selected Scientific Papers of Sir Rudolf Peierls (With Commentary) Edited By: R. H. Dalitz and R. Peierls, World Scientific, (1997) p. 776
- [21] C. E. Burkhardt and J. J. Leventhal, Foundations of Quantum Physics, Springer (2008) p. 35
- [22] S. Prvanović, Prog. Theor. Phys., **126**, 567 (2011)
- [23] D. Arsenović *et al.*, EPL, **97**, 20013 (2012)
- [24] D. Arsenović *et al.*, Chin. Phys. B, **21**, 070302 (2012)
- [25] S. Prvanović and D. Arsenović, arXiv:1701.07076 [quant-ph]
- [26] S. Prvanović, Adv. Math. Phys., **2018**, 6290982, (2018)
- [27] G. C. Hegerfeldt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A, **82**, 012113 (2010)
- [28] G. Gour *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 014101 (2004)
- [29] J. J. Haliwell *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A, **379**, 2445 (2015)
- [30] V. Giovannetti *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D, **92**, 045033 (2015)
- [31] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953) p.248.
- [32] X. Mei and P. Yu, J. Mod. Phys., **3**, 36062 (2012)
- [33] P. Vorcek, Astrophys. Space Sci. **65**, 415 (1979)