

Technical Note - Exact simulation of the first passage time of Brownian motion to a symmetric linear boundary

Jong Mun Lee, Taeho Lee

January 29, 2022

Abstract

We state an exact simulation scheme for the first passage time of a Brownian motion to a symmetric linear boundary. This note summarizes a part of Chapter 4 in [1] which is the unpublished doctoral dissertation of Jong Mun Lee.

Keywords: Brownian motion, hitting time simulation, exact simulation, linear boundary

1 Theoretical Results

Let $\tau_{a,b}$ be the first hitting time of Brownian motion to a symmetric and linear boundary $\pm(a + bt)$ with given non-negative coefficients a, b . The following theorem from [2] provides an explicit expression for the distribution of $\tau_{a,b}$ including the probability $\mathbb{P}[\tau_{a,b} = \infty]$.

Theorem 1.1 (Abundo [2]) *For any $a, b > 0$, the distribution of $\tau_{a,b}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\tau_{a,b} \leq t] &= 1 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k e^{-2k^2 ab} \int_{(-(a+bt)+2ak)/\sqrt{t}}^{(a+bt+2ak)/\sqrt{t}} \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx, \\ \mathbb{P}[\tau_{a,b} < \infty] &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} e^{-2k^2 ab}.\end{aligned}$$

We suggest an algorithm based on the Acceptance & Rejection (AR) method motivated by [3] which solves the same problem under the restriction $b = 0$. We first calculate the conditional density function $f_{a,b}(t)$ under the condition

$$[\tau_{a,b} < \infty].$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{P}[\tau_{a,b} \leq t \mid \tau_{a,b} < \infty] \\ &= -\frac{1}{C_{a,b}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^k e^{-2k^2 ab}}{\sqrt{8\pi t^3}} \left((-a + bt - 2ak) e^{-\frac{(a+bt+2ak)^2}{2t}} - (a - bt - 2ak) e^{-\frac{(-a-bt+2ak)^2}{2t}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{C_{a,b}} \left[\frac{a - bt}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{(a+bt)^2}{2t}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k e^{-2k^2 ab}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \left((-a + bt - 2ak) e^{-\frac{(a+bt+2ak)^2}{2t}} - (a - bt - 2ak) e^{-\frac{(-a-bt+2ak)^2}{2t}} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $C_{a,b} = \mathbb{P}[\tau_{a,b} < \infty] = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} e^{-2k^2 ab}$.

To apply the AR method, we need a density whose scale-up dominates the true density $f_{a,b}$. The following theorem shows that the gamma distribution can be used.

Theorem 1.2 *For any $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, there exist a constant M such that*

$$f_{a,b}(t) \leq M \cdot g(t; \alpha, b^2/2) \quad (2)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $g(t; \alpha, \lambda)$ is the density function of gamma distribution given by

$$g(t; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad (3)$$

Proof To prove Theorem 1.2, we analyze the tail asymptotic of $f_{a,b}(t)$. The following two lemmas analyze the left tail asymptotic and the right tail asymptotic, respectively.

Lemma 1.1 (Left tail asymptotic) *For any $n \geq 1$*

$$f_{a,b}(t) = o(t^n) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof Taking the positive terms and using the fact that $e^{-x} \leq m!/x^m$ for any $m \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$, we have for $0 \leq t < a/(2b)$ and $m \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} \cdot f_{a,b}(t) &\leq \frac{a - bt}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{t^3}}} e^{-(a+bt)^2/(2t)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k:\text{odd}} e^{-2k^2 ab} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{t^3}}} (-a + bt + 2ak) e^{-(-(a+bt)+2ak)^2/(2t)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k:\text{even}} e^{-2k^2 ab} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{t^3}}} (a - bt + 2ak) e^{-(a+bt+2ak)^2/(2t)} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-(a-bt)^2/(2t)}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{t^3}}} \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-2k^2 ab} a(2k+1) \\ &\leq \frac{m! 2^m t^m}{(a-bt)^{2m}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sqrt{t^3}}} \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-2k^2 ab} a(2k+1) = c_m t^{m-3/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_m is some constant depends on a, b, m only.

Lemma 1.2 (Right tail asymptotic)

$$f_{a,b}(t) = O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{b^2}{2}t}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof For large enough t

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b} \cdot f_{a,b}(t) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2k^2 ab} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{t^3}} ((a+bt+2ak)e^{-(a+bt+2ak)^2/(2t)}). \\ &= \frac{2e^{-(a+bt)^2/(2t)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{t^3}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a+bt+2ak) \exp\left(-\frac{4a^2k^2 - 4(a+bt)ak}{2t} - 2k^2ab\right) \\ &= \frac{2e^{-(a+bt)^2/(2t)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{t^3}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a+bt+2ak) \exp(-2ab(k^2 - k)) \\ &= O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{b^2}{2}t}). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1.1, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{a,b}(t)}{g(t; \alpha, b^2/2)} = 0$ for any $\alpha, b > 0$. And from Lemma 1.2, we have $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{a,b}(t)}{g(t; \alpha, b^2/2)} < \infty$ for $\alpha \geq 1/2$ and thus Theorem 1.2 is proved.

Now we propose a prototype of our algorithm to sample $\tau_{a,b}$. We call Algorithm 1 a prototype since the evaluation of

Algorithm 1 Sampling of $\tau_{a,b}$ (Sketch)

```

1: Generate  $U \sim U(0, 1)$ 
2: if  $U < C_{a,b}$  then
3:   Resolved = FALSE
4:   repeat
5:     Generate  $V \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, b^2/2)$ 
6:     if  $f_{a,b}(V) < M \cdot g(V; \alpha, b^2/2)$  then
7:        $T = V$ 
8:       Resolved = TRUE
9:   end if
10: until Resolved
11: else
12:    $T = \infty$ 
13: end if
14: return  $T$ 

```

$C_{a,b}$ and $f_{a,b}(t)$ cannot be done in finite time. Though we cannot evaluate $C_{a,b}$ and $f_{a,b}(t)$ exactly, it is possible to determine the corresponding inequalities exactly in finite time. We explore some special feature of the quantities $C_{a,b}$ and $f_{a,b}(t)$ first.

Definition 1.1 (Oscillating sequence and series) A sequence of numbers $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is called an oscillating sequence

if there exists a positive integer N such that for $k \geq N$,

$$-1 < \frac{a_{k+1} - a_k}{a_k - a_{k-1}} < 0.$$

And we call an infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is an oscillating series if its partial sum $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ is an oscillating sequence.

Lemma 1.3 shows that the inequality $[S > s]$ can be determined exactly in finite time for an oscillating series $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ and a constant $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The same technique is used in [4] which suggests an exact simulation scheme for a solution of SDE.

Lemma 1.3 Let $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ be an oscillating series where its oscillating property holds for $k \geq N$ and S_n be its partial sum. We have $S > s$ if and only if

$$S_k \wedge S_{k+1} > s \quad (4)$$

for some $k \geq N$. Conversely, We have $S < s$ if and only if

$$S_k \wedge S_{k+1} < s \quad (5)$$

for some $k \geq N$.

The following two lemmas shows that the series $C_{a,b}$ and $f_{a,b}(t)$ used in Algorithm 1 are oscillating series.

Lemma 1.4 $C_{a,b} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} e^{-2k^2 ab}$ is an oscillating series.

Proof Let $a_k = (-1)^{k+1} e^{-2k^2 ab}$. Then we have

$$0 < -\frac{a^{(n+1)} - a^{(n)}}{a^{(n)} - a^{(n-1)}} = \frac{e^{-2(n+1)^2 ab} - e^{-2n^2 ab}}{e^{-2n^2 ab} - e^{-2(n-1)^2 ab}} = e^{-2(2n+1)ab} < 1.$$

Lemma 1.5 For any $t > 0$, $f_{a,b}(t)$ is an oscillating series with $N(t) = \max\left(\log \frac{6}{4ab}, \frac{bt}{2a}, 1\right) + 1$

Proof Let

$$h_n(t) := e^{-2n^2 ab} \left((-a + bt + 2an) e^{-(a+bt+2an)^2/2t} - (a - bt + 2an) e^{-(a-bt+2an)^2/2t} \right).$$

Then

$$-\frac{q^{(n+1)}(t) - q^{(n)}(t)}{q^{(n)}(t) - q^{(n-1)}(t)} = \frac{h_{n+1}(t)}{h_n(t)}.$$

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_n(t) &> 0 \Leftrightarrow (-a + bt + 2an)e^{-(a+bt)+2an)^2/2t} > (a - bt + 2an)e^{-(a+bt+2an)^2/2t} \\
&\Leftrightarrow e^{4a^2n/t+4abn} > \frac{(a - bt + 2an)}{(-a + bt + 2an)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow e^{4abn} > \frac{(a + 2an)}{(-a + 2an)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $n > 1$, $(a + 2an)/(-a + 2an)$ is strictly bounded above by 3. Thus, $h_n(t)$ is strictly positive when $n > \max\{\log 3/4ab, 1\}$. Next, we have that for $n > \max\{\log 3/4ab, 1\} \vee (b/2a)t$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{n+1}(t)}{h_n(t)} &< 1 \Leftrightarrow e^{-2(n+1)^2ab} e^{-((a+bt)^2+4a^2(n+1)^2)/2t} \\
&\quad \times ((-a + bt + 2a(n+1))e^{4(a+bt)a(n+1)/2t} - (a - bt + 2a(n+1))e^{-4(a+bt)a(n+1)/2t}) \\
&< e^{-2n^2ab} e^{-((a+bt)^2+4a^2n^2)/2t} ((-a + bt + 2an)e^{4(a+bt)an/2t} - (a - bt + 2an)e^{-4(a+bt)an/2t}) \\
&\Leftrightarrow (-a + bt + 2a(n+1))e^{4(a+bt)a(n+1)/2t} - (a - bt + 2a(n+1))e^{-4(a+bt)a(n+1)/2t} \\
&< (-a + bt + 2an)e^{4(a+bt)a(3n+1)/2t} - (a - bt + 2an)e^{4(a+bt)a(n+1)/2t} \\
&\Leftrightarrow 2a(2n+1)e^{4(a+bt)a(n+1)/2t} < (-a + bt + 2an)e^{4(a+bt)a(3n+1)/2t} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{2a(2n+1)}{(-a + bt + 2an)} < e^{4(a+bt)an/t} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{2(a + 2an)}{(-a + 2an)} < e^{4abn}.
\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side of the last inequality is bounded above by 6. And to conclude, we have that

$$0 < -\frac{q^{(n+1)}(t) - q^{(n)}(t)}{q^{(n)}(t) - q^{(n-1)}(t)} = \frac{h_{n+1}(t)}{h_n(t)} < 1,$$

for $n \geq N(t) := \max\{\frac{\log 6}{4ab}, \frac{bt}{2a}, 1\} + 1$.

Since $C_{a,b}, f_{a,b}(t)$ are oscillating, from lemma 1.3, we can determine the inequalities in Algorithm 1 in finite time.

2 Algorithm

Now we write down our algorithm to sample $\tau_{a,b}$. We divide our algorithm into two phases. In Algorithm 2, we decide whether $\tau_{a,b}$ is finite or not. And in Algorithm 3, we sample $\tau_{a,b}$ under the condition $[\tau_{a,b} < \infty]$. In Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3, we write $C^{(N)}, F^{(N)}$ to denote the partial sums of $C_{a,b}$ and $f_{a,b}(t)$, respectively.

Algorithm 2 To determine whether $\tau_{a,b}$ is finite

```

1: Generate  $U \sim U(0, 1)$ 
2:  $N = 1$ 
3: Resolved = FALSE
4: repeat
5:   if  $C^{(N)} \vee C^{(N+1)} < U$  then
6:     Resolved = TRUE
7:     Finite = FALSE
8:   else if  $C^{(N)} \wedge C^{(N+1)} > U$  then
9:     Resolved = TRUE
10:    Finite = TRUE
11:   end if
12:    $N = N + 1$ 
13: until Resolved
14: return Finite

```

Algorithm 3 To generate $\tau_{a,b}$ given that $\tau_{a,b} < \infty$

```

1: repeat
2:   Generate  $U \sim U(0, 1)$  and  $V \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, b^2/2)$ 
3:    $N = \lceil N(V) \rceil$   $\triangleright N(V) = \max\{\log 6/4ab, (b/2a)V, 1\} + 1$ 
4:   Resolved = FALSE
5:   repeat
6:     if  $F^{(N)}(V) \vee F^{(N+1)}(V) < C_{a,b}Mg(V; \alpha, b^2/2)U$  then
7:       Resolved = TRUE
8:       Accept = FALSE
9:     else if  $F^{(N)}(V) \wedge F^{(N+1)}(V) > C_{a,b}Mg(V; \alpha, b^2/2)U$  then
10:      Resolved = TRUE
11:      Accept = TRUE
12:     end if
13:      $N = N + 1$ 
14:   until Resolved
15: until Accept
16: return  $V$ 

```

References

- [1] Jong Mun Lee. *Efficient simulation for greeks and bridges under diffusion models*. PhD thesis, KAIST, 2017.
- [2] Mario Abundo. Some conditional crossing results of brownian motion over a piecewise-linear boundary. *Statistics & probability letters*, 58(2):131–145, 2002.
- [3] Zaeem A Burq and Owen D Jones. Simulation of brownian motion at first-passage times. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 77(1):64–71, 2008.
- [4] Nan Chen and Zhengyu Huang. Localization and exact simulation of brownian motion-driven stochastic differential equations. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 38(3):591–616, 2013.