

Spontaneous strain and magnetization in doped topological insulators with nematic and chiral superconductivity

R.S. Akzyanov,^{1,2,3} A.V. Kapranov,² and A.L. Rakhmanov^{1,3,2}

¹*Dukhov Research Institute of Automatics, Moscow, 127055 Russia*

²*Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region, 141700 Russia*

³*Institute for Theoretical and Applied Electrodynamics,
Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 125412 Russia*

We show that spontaneous strain and magnetization can arise in the doped topological insulators with a two-component superconducting vector order parameter. The details of the effects depend on the symmetry of the order parameter, whether it is nematic or chiral. The transition from the nematic state to the chiral one can be performed by application of a magnetic field, while the transition from the chiral state to the nematic is tuned by the external strain. These transitions associated with a jump of the magnetic susceptibility and mechanical stiffness. Possible experimental observations of the predicted effects are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological superconductivity in doped topological insulators, such as $A_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, where $A=\text{Cu, Nb, Sr}$, possess unusual properties [1]. Observation of the Knight shift gave an indication that the Cooper pairing here is triplet [2]. However, recent experiments show that this result requires careful verification and the statement about triplet nature of the pairing could be controversial [3, 4]. Measurements of the magnetoresistance show a two-fold symmetry of the second critical field H_{c2} despite of three-fold crystal symmetry [5–7]. These properties are described in the framework of the theory of nematic superconductivity [8, 9]. The nematic superconductor has a two-component order parameter with E_u symmetry, which can be presented as a real-valued vector. The nematic superconductivity could be accompanied by such intriguing properties as surface Andreev bound states [10], vestigial order [11], unconventional Higgs modes [12], and Majorana fermions [13]. STM measurements show a full gap in the spectrum [14, 15] that can be attributed to the s-wave order parameter or to the effect of the hexagonal warping in the system with nematic superconducting order [16].

An alternative superconducting state with E_u symmetry is a chiral phase [16]. In this phase, the time-reversal symmetry is broken and the order parameter is a two-component complex-valued vector. The chiral phase is predicted in thin films of doped topological insulators [17]. Recent experiments show fingerprints that can be attributed to the existence of the chiral superconductivity in the topological insulators [18, 19].

One of the distinct features of the nematic superconductivity is a non-trivial coupling with strain. This coupling leads to the two-fold symmetry of H_{c2} [9]. In Refs. 6 and 7 this effect was observed. In Ref. [7] it was found that strain also affects two-fold symmetric anisotropic magnetoresistance and breaks three-fold crystal symmetry. The X-ray studies reveal that in most samples initial strain about $\delta l/l \sim 10^{-5}$ is presented at room temperature. In some samples, the deformation at room temper-

ature is absent up to the experimental accuracy, while a two-fold symmetry of H_{c2} remains. In Ref. 20 experiment on the magnetostriction reveals that the crystal lattice is deformed in the superconducting state. The strain is $\delta l/l \sim 10^{-7}$ and decreases with an increase of temperature and vanishes in the normal state. These experiments demonstrate that either spontaneous or initial strain probably exists in the doped topological insulators in the superconducting state.

In Ref. 21 the existence of a magnetization in the superconducting state of $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ has been found. The magnetization vanishes in the normal state. The origin of such magnetization is yet to be clarified. DFT calculations show that the magnetization can be attributed to the existence of the free spins in the intercalated Nb adatoms. However, the spontaneous magnetization has not been observed in subsequent experiments and it is debated whether it actually exists [1]. Recently, a non-zero magnetization in the superconducting $\text{Sr}_{0.1}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ have been observed using muon spectroscopy [22].

In Refs. 9 and 16, the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) functional was derived for the superconducting state in the topological insulator with vector order parameter $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$. The nematic state corresponds to real order parameter $\vec{\eta} = \eta(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$, while in the chiral state the order parameter is a complex vector $\vec{\eta} = \eta(1, \pm i)$. The GL theory successfully describes macroscopic properties of the superconductivity in the doped topological insulators.

The multicomponent structure of the order parameter allows emergence of the subsidiary order parameters. In the case of D_{3d} point group symmetry of topological insulators, such as Bi_2Se_3 , the subsidiary point groups have E_g and A_{2g} symmetries. Corresponding bilinear forms are [9]

$$\begin{aligned} E_g &\rightarrow (N_1, N_2) = (|\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2, \eta_1^* \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2^*), \\ A_{2g} &\rightarrow M_0 = \eta_1 \eta_2^* - \eta_1^* \eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

As we can see, the nematic state corresponds to E_g subsidiary order with $M_0 = 0$, while the chiral state corresponds to A_{2g} bilinear with $(N_1, N_2) = (0, 0)$. It was

pointed out in Ref. 9 that the existence of the subsidiary order parameters gives rise to a non-trivial coupling of the superconductivity with magnetization and strain. Corresponding contribution to the GL free energy has a structure $2iM_zM_0 + (u_{xx} - u_{yy})N_1 + 2u_{xy}N_2$. Thus, the nematic state couples with the strain degrees of freedom, while chiral state couples with the magnetization. In other words, nematicity and deformation competes with the chirality and magnetization. While we consider theory for the specific symmetry group, we expect similar effects in other materials with appropriate symmetry of the superconducting order.

Here, we show that either spontaneous strain or spontaneous transverse magnetization arises in the topological superconductor depending on the system parameters. The spontaneous deformation is observed in the case of nematic symmetry of the superconducting order parameter, $\vec{\eta} = \eta(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$, while the spontaneous magnetization exists in the case of the chiral solution, $\vec{\eta} = \eta(1, \pm i)$. We also study the effects of initial strain and applied magnetic field on the order parameter symmetry. We show that the growth of the applied magnetic field gives rise to a transition of the nematic order to the chiral one, while with the growth of the initial strain, the chiral state transits to the nematic one. We found that the magnetic susceptibility and the stiffness experience jumps at the transition points. We discuss the relation of the obtained results with recent experimental observations.

II. GL FREE ENERGY

We study the superconducting state in the doped topological insulator assuming that the system is spatially uniform and the order parameter is independent of co-

ordinates. In particular, we do not consider Abrikosov vortices. In presence of the half-quantum vortices with topological charge[23] our theory is not applicable and more advanced theory of deconfined quantum criticality should be applied[24]. Uniform GL free energy can be written in the form [9, 16]

$$F_0 = A(|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2) + B_1(|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$+ B_2|\eta_1^*\eta_2 - \eta_1\eta_2^*|^2, \quad (2)$$

where GL coefficients $A \propto T - T_c < 0$, $B_1 > 0$, and B_2 either positive or negative. Minimization of this GL free energy predicts the existence of two different superconducting states depending on the sign of B_2 :

$$|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 = -\frac{A}{2B_1}, \text{Im}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0, F_0 = -\frac{A^2}{4B_1}, B_2 > 0, \\ |\eta_1|^2 = \frac{-A}{4(B_1+B_2)}, \eta_1 = i\eta_2, F_0 = -\frac{A^2}{4(B_1+B_2)}, B_2 < 0. \quad (3)$$

The state with $B_2 > 0$ is referred to as nematic. This state has a real order parameter $\vec{\eta} = \eta(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$. The state with $B_2 < 0$ is commonly called chiral. In the chiral state, the time-reversal symmetry is broken, which is related to the complex order parameter $\vec{\eta} = \eta(1, \pm i)$.

The total GL free energy is a sum

$$F_{GL} = F_0 + F_u + F_M, \quad (4)$$

where F_0 is the bare superconducting part given by Eq. (3), F_u and F_M are the symmetry-breaking terms arising due to coupling of the superconducting order with the strain and the magnetization, respectively.

The term F_u for the system with D_{3d} group symmetry can be presented as [16, 25]

$$F_u = g_N(u_{xx} - u_{yy})(|\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2) + 2g_N u_{xy}(\eta_1^*\eta_2 + \eta_1\eta_2^*) + \lambda_1 [(u_{xx} - u_{yy})^2 + 4u_{xy}^2] + \lambda_2(u_{xx} + u_{yy})^2, \quad (5)$$

where u_{ik} are components of the strain tensor, u_{xx} and u_{yy} are uniaxial components, $u_{xy} = u_{yx}$ is a shear strain, and g_N is a GL coupling constant between superconducting order and strain. Two last terms in Eq. (5) corresponds to the self energy of the elastic deformation and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ are elastic modules.

The term with the transverse Zeeman magnetization M_z reads

$$F_M = -2ig_MM_z(\eta_1\eta_2^* - \eta_1^*\eta_2) + aM_z^2, \quad (6)$$

where g_M is a GL coupling constant between superconducting order and the magnetization, and an empiric coefficient $a > 0$. The last term in this equation accounts for the free energy loss due to magnetization in a non-magnetic phase.

III. SPONTANEOUS STRAIN AND MAGNETIZATION

We have to minimize the GL free energy with respect to $\vec{\eta}$, u_{ik} , and M_z . It is convenient to introduce following notations $\eta_1 = \eta \cos \alpha \exp(i\varphi_1)$, $\eta_2 = \eta \sin \alpha \exp(i\varphi_2)$, and $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$. In these terms we have

$$F_0 = A\eta^2 + B_1\eta^4 + B_2\eta^4 \sin^2 2\alpha \sin^2 \varphi, \quad (7)$$

$$F_M = 2g_MM_z\eta^2 \sin 2\alpha \sin \varphi + aM_z^2, \quad (8)$$

We consider a uniform 2D strain in the system with hexagonal symmetry, which is characterized by three independent values u_{xx} , u_{yy} , and u_{xy} . Following Ref. 26, we use their linear combination as new independent variables. We divide the strain tensor u_{ik} into two parts, a

vector $\vec{u} = (u_{xx} - u_{yy}, 2u_{xy}) = u(\cos 2\beta, \sin 2\beta)$ and a scalar $\text{Sp } u_{ik} = u_{xx} + u_{yy}$. In these notations Eq. (5) is rewritten as

$$F_u = g_N \eta^2 u (\cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta + \cos \varphi \sin 2\alpha \sin 2\beta) + \lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 (u_{xx} + u_{yy})^2. \quad (9)$$

To calculate the spontaneous strain and transverse magnetization, we should minimize the total free energy with respect to new independent degrees of freedom: the magnitude of the order parameter η , the direction of the nematicity α , the phase difference between components of the order parameter φ , the magnetization M_z , the amplitude of the strain u , the direction of \vec{u} , that is, angle β , and the trace of the deformation tensor $\text{Sp } u_{ik}$. The minimization by the latter variable is trivial: minimum of F_{GL} attains if $\text{Sp } u_{ik} = 0$ since this value does not couple with superconducting order. Thus, $u_{xx} = -u_{yy}$ and the spontaneous strain occurs without change of the sample volume.

The minimization of F_{GL} with respect to the magnetization means that $\partial F_M / \partial M_z = 0$ and from Eq (8) we derive

$$M_z = -\frac{g_M}{a} \eta^2 \sin 2\alpha \sin \varphi. \quad (10)$$

The spontaneous magnetization, which arises after normal to superconductor transition, exists only if $\sin 2\alpha$ and $\sin \varphi \neq 0$, that is, when both η_1 and η_2 are non-zero and the order parameter is complex.

Minimization by other degrees of freedom is straightforward but cumbersome. We present only final results. We obtain that the system has two possible ground states, nematic and chiral, as in the case when we neglect the spontaneous strain and magnetization. In the nematic phase, $\sin \varphi = 0$, we get

$$\eta^2 = \frac{-A}{2(B_1 - g_N^2/4\lambda_1)}, \quad F_{\text{nem}} = \frac{-A^2}{4(B_1 - g_N^2/4\lambda_1)}. \quad (11)$$

The condition $B_1 - g_N^2/4\lambda_1 > 0$ is necessary for the stability of the nematic state. In the nematic state the spontaneous magnetization is zero, while the strain is non-zero and $u = u_{sp}(-1)^{n+1}$, where

$$u_{sp} = \frac{g_N |A|}{4B_1 \lambda_1 - g_N^2}, \quad \beta = \alpha + \frac{\pi n}{2}, \quad M_z = 0, \quad (12)$$

and n is integer. The deformation vector is parallel to the nematicity direction if $g_N > 0$

$$\vec{u} = (u_{xx} - u_{yy}, 2u_{xy}) = |u|(\cos 2\alpha, \sin 2\alpha) \quad (13)$$

and orthogonal if $g_N < 0$. The nematic phase is infinitely degenerate with respect to the angle α , and the ground state free energy is the same for any direction of the nematic order parameter $\vec{\eta} = \eta(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$. The spontaneous deformation, $u_{sp} \propto A \propto (T_c - T)$, decreases with the increase of temperature and vanishes at $T = T_c$.

In the chiral state $\cos \varphi = 0$ and $\alpha = \pi/4 + \pi l/2$, where l is integer. In so doing, we derive

$$\eta^2 = \frac{-A}{2(B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a)}, \quad F_{\text{ch}} = \frac{-A^2}{4(B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a)}. \quad (14)$$

The system is stable if $B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a > 0$. In the case of chiral phase, the spontaneous strain is zero, while the spontaneous magnetization is non-zero and $M_z = M_{sp}(-1)^{l+1}$, where

$$M_{sp} = \frac{g_M |A|}{2[(B_1 + B_2)a - g_M^2]}, \quad u = 0. \quad (15)$$

The chiral state is degenerate with respect to the sign of chirality, $\eta = \eta(1, \pm i)$, and sign of the magnetization M_z . The spontaneous magnetization, $M_z \propto A \propto (T_c - T)$, decreases with the increase of temperature and vanishes at $T = T_c$.

We compare the free energy in the nematic, Eq. (11), and in the chiral states, Eq. (14), and conclude that the nematic phase is the ground state, $F_{\text{nem}} < F_{\text{ch}}$, if

$$B_2 + \frac{g_N^2}{4\lambda_1} - \frac{g_M^2}{a} > 0. \quad (16)$$

Otherwise, the superconductor is in the chiral phase.

As we can see from Eq. (16), coupling the superconductivity with the strain shifts the system toward the nematic state, while the coupling with the magnetization drives the system to the chiral state. Below, we show how we can switch off the system from one phase to another by application of a magnetic field or an external strain.

IV. EFFECT OF THE APPLIED MAGNETIC FIELD

We assume that a uniform transverse magnetic field $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H)$ exists in the sample volume. Such a situation could be realized, for example, if a corresponding size of the sample smaller than the London penetration depth. In this case, the field H is simply an external magnetic field. Our consideration is valid if this field is much smaller than the upper critical field H_{c2} when we can neglect the Landau quantization and, consequently, disregard spatial modulations of the order parameter. In other words, we consider an external Zeeman magnetization. We choose z -axis directed along the applied field and, hence, $H > 0$. In this section, we neglect the spontaneous strain since it does not affect the main result but makes the calculations cumbersome.

We rewrite Eq. (8) for magnetic part of the GL free energy as

$$F_M = 2g_M M_z \eta^2 \sin 2\alpha \sin \varphi + a M_z^2 - H M_z. \quad (17)$$

The minimization of F_M with respect to M_z gives

$$M_z = \frac{1}{2a} (H - 2g_M \eta^2 \sin 2\alpha \sin \varphi). \quad (18)$$

After minimization of F_{GL} by η^2 we obtain

$$\eta^2 = -\frac{A + g_M H \sin 2\alpha \sin \varphi / a}{2[B_1 + (B_2 - g_M^2/a) \sin^2 2\alpha \sin^2 \varphi]}. \quad (19)$$

We substitute expressions for η^2 and M_z in Eqs. (7) and (17) and derive

$$F_{\text{GL}}(t) = -\frac{H^2}{4a} - \frac{(A + g_M H t/a)^2}{4[B_1 + (B_2 - g_M^2/a)t^2]}, \quad (20)$$

where $t = \sin 2\alpha \sin \varphi$ and $|t| \leq 1$. Edge values $t = \pm 1$ correspond to the chiral phase $\varphi = \pm\pi/2$, $\alpha = \pm\pi/4$, and the first minimum of the free energy is attained if $t = -1$. As a result, we have that in the chiral phase:

$$F_{\text{ch}}(H) = -\frac{H^2}{4a} - \frac{(A - g_M H/a)^2}{4(B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a)} \quad (21)$$

and magnetization

$$M_z = \frac{H}{2a} \left[1 + \frac{g_M^2}{a(B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a)} \right] + M_{sp}. \quad (22)$$

Here the first term is an induced magnetization and the second term is the spontaneous magnetization, Eq. (15).

We find the second minimum of the free energy from the condition $\partial F_{\text{GL}}(t)/\partial t = 0$ at

$$t = \frac{g_M H B_1}{aA(B_2 - g_M^2/a)}. \quad (23)$$

The latter solution exists only if $t < 1$ or $H < |A|(aB_2 - g_M^2)/g_M B_1$. This minimum corresponds to the nematic state and $\sin \varphi = 0$ if $H = 0$. In the nematic state, the order parameter is independent of H and only induced magnetization is observed:

$$\eta^2 = -\frac{A}{2B_1}, \quad M_z = \frac{H}{2a} \left(1 + \frac{g_M^2}{aB_2 - g_M^2} \right). \quad (24)$$

The GL energy in the nematic phase is

$$F_{\text{nem}}(H) = -\frac{H^2}{4a} \left(1 + \frac{g_M^2}{aB_2 - g_M^2} \right) - \frac{A^2}{4B_1}. \quad (25)$$

If we assume that inequality (16) is fulfilled, then, the nematic phase is the ground state at $H = 0$. The applied magnetic field induces a non-zero phase difference $\sin \varphi = t/\sin 2\alpha$ between the components of the order parameter and drives the nematic state to the chiral one. Using Eq. (23) and comparing the GL free energies in Eqs. (21) and (25), we conclude that the value of $|t|$ increases with H and attains its maximum $|t| = 1$ at which $F_{\text{nem}}(H) = F_{\text{ch}}(H)$ when

$$H = H^* = |A|(aB_2 - g_M^2)/g_M B_1. \quad (26)$$

With further increase of the magnetic field, the nematic state disappears, the superconducting state becomes chiral. Correspondingly, a spontaneous magnetization arises

at $H > H^*$. However, at this point the magnetization $M_z(H)$ is continuous, while the magnetic susceptibility, $\chi = \partial M_z/\partial H$, exhibits a jump:

$$\chi_{\text{nem}} = \frac{1}{2a} \left(1 + \frac{g_M^2}{aB_2 - g_M^2} \right), \quad H < H^*, \quad (27)$$

$$\chi_{\text{ch}} = \frac{1}{2a} \left[1 + \frac{g_M^2}{a(B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a)} \right], \quad H > H^*.$$

Thus, the transition from the nematic to chiral phase at $H = H^*$ is a type-II phase transition.

V. SYSTEM WITH INITIAL STRAIN

Here we assume that some initial strain $\vec{u}_0 = u_0(\cos 2\beta_0, \sin 2\beta_0)$ exist in the system. According to X-ray measurements [6, 7], this strain arises in the process of the crystal growth and had a characteristic value $u_0 \approx 10^{-5}$, which is two orders of magnitude larger than that observed after normal to superconductor transition [20]. Thus, it is reasonable to neglect here the spontaneous deformation.

We have to minimize the free energy $F_{\text{GL}} = F_0 + F_M + F_u(u_0)$, see Eqs. (7), (8), and (9). We neglect terms proportional to u_0^2 in F_u since they are constant and, for definiteness, we assume that $g_N u_0 > 0$. From the minimization condition $\partial F/\partial \eta = 0$ and $\partial F/\partial M_z = 0$, we obtain

$$\eta^2 = -\frac{A + g_N u_0 (\cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta_0 + \cos \varphi \sin 2\alpha \sin 2\beta_0)}{2[B_1 + (B_2 - g_M^2/a) \sin^2 2\alpha \sin^2 \varphi]},$$

$$F = -\frac{[A + g_N u_0 (\cos 2\alpha \cos 2\beta_0 + \cos \varphi \sin 2\alpha \sin 2\beta_0)]^2}{4[B_1 + (B_2 - g_M^2/a) \sin^2 2\alpha \sin^2 \varphi]}.$$

The free energy F has two minimums. The first of them corresponds to the nematic state, $\sin \varphi = 0$. In this state the spontaneous magnetization is absent and the free energy is

$$F_{\text{nem}}(u_0) = -\frac{[A + g_N u_0 \cos 2(\alpha - \beta_0)]^2}{4B_1}. \quad (28)$$

In the ground state we have $\alpha = \beta_0 + \pi(n + 1/2)$ and $F_{\text{nem}} = -(A - g_N u_0)^2/4B_1$.

The second minimum of the free energy corresponds to the chiral state, where

$$\cos 2\alpha = -\frac{u_0 \cos 2\beta_0}{u^*}, \quad \cos \varphi = -\frac{u_0 \sin 2\beta_0}{u^* \sin 2\alpha},$$

$$u^* = \frac{|A|(g_M^2 - B_2 a)}{g_N[(B_1 + B_2)a - g_M^2]}. \quad (29)$$

The order parameter in the chiral state is the same as in the case $u_0 = 0$, Eq. (14). For the free energy and magnetization we have

$$F_{\text{ch}}(u_0) = \frac{-A^2 + g_N^2 u_0^2 (1 + \frac{B_1}{B_2 - g_M^2/a})}{4(B_1 + B_2 - g_M^2/a)}, \quad (30)$$

$$M_z(u_0) = M_{sp} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u_0}{u^*} \right)^2}.$$

Comparing the free energies in Eqs. (28) and (30), we see that the nematic phase is the ground state at any u_0 , if the condition in Eq. (16) is fulfilled. However, changing the strain $\vec{u}_0 = (u_{0xx} - u_{0yy}, 2u_{0xy}) = u_0(\cos 2\beta_0, \sin 2\beta_0)$ we can govern the nematicity vector $\vec{\eta} = \eta(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$ since $\alpha = \beta_0 + \pi(n + 1/2)$. For example, if the initial strain has no a shear component, that is, $\beta_0 = 0$, we have $\vec{\eta} = \eta(0, \pm 1)$. In the case of a pure shear strain, $\beta_0 = \pi/4$ we get $\alpha = \pi/4 + \pi(n + 1/2)$ and $\vec{\eta} = \eta(1, \pm 1)/\sqrt{2}$.

If the condition in Eq. (16) is violated, then the ground state of the system at $u_0 = 0$ is chiral with non-zero spontaneous magnetization. The applied strain drives the chiral phase to the nematic one via tuning of the α or φ from the $\alpha = \pm\pi/4$ or $\varphi = 0, \pi$, see Eq. 29. If $u_0 > u^*$ then Eq. 29 cannot be fulfilled and chiral phase becomes unstable and the ground state becomes nematic with zero φ and M_z . Similar to the magnetic susceptibility, stiffness $K = \partial^2 F / \partial u_0^2$ experience here a jump:

$$K_{\text{nem}} = 2\lambda_1 - \frac{g_N^2}{2B_1}, \quad u > u^*, \quad (31)$$

$$K_{\text{ch}} = 2\lambda_1 + \frac{g_N^2}{2(B_2 - g_M^2/a)}, \quad u < u^*,$$

and the transition from the chiral to nematic state is of the second order.

VI. DISCUSSION

In the framework of the GL approach, we analyzed the symmetry breaking phenomena in the topological superconductors. We predict that in the nematic state a spontaneous strain of the crystal occurs due to a non-trivial coupling of the superconducting order parameter and the strain. This strain vanishes in the normal state. A spontaneous deformation has been observed in the measurements of the magnetostriction [20]: the lattice strain $\delta l/l = u \sim 10^{-7}$ arises in the superconducting state, decreases with temperature growth, and vanishes

in the normal state, which confirms our prediction. Similar values of the magnetostriction has been observed in other types of the superconductors [27].

In Refs. 6 and 7, the strain has been measured by the X-ray technique. In the most samples, the initial deformation $u_0 \sim 10^{-5}$ at room temperature was found. It is much larger than the spontaneous deformation $u \sim 10^{-7}$ reported in Ref. 20. In some samples, no strain has been found up to the experimental accuracy. However, in all samples a two-fold in-plane anisotropy of H_{c2} was observed. These experiments indicate that both cases, either dominant of the initial or spontaneous strain, are possible. Initial deformation u_0 increases the critical temperature $T_c = T_{c0} + |g_N u / A_0|$, where T_{c0} is the critical temperature without strain, $A = A_0(T_{c0} - T)$. The spontaneous strain, $u \propto A_0(T_{c0} - T)$, vanishes at $T = T_{c0}$ and has no effect on the critical temperature.

We argue that a transverse spontaneous magnetization occurs in the chiral state. This magnetization is tied to the superconductivity and vanishes in the normal state. The finite magnetization in the doped topological insulator $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ have been measured in Refs. 21 and 22. This magnetization decreases with an increase in temperature and vanishes in the normal state, which is in agreement with our results.

We consider the effects of the applied magnetic field and the initial strain. We show that the application of the magnetic field drives the initially nematic superconductor to the chiral state, while the growth of the initial strain drives the chiral superconductor to the nematic state. When the applied field (initial strain) exceeds some threshold value the nematic (chiral) state is changed by the chiral (nematic) phase.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

RSA acknowledges the support by the Russian Scientific Foundation under Grant no 20-72-00030 and partial support from the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics “BASIS”.

-
- [1] S. Yonezawa, Nematic superconductivity in doped Bi_2Se_3 topological superconductors, *Condens. Matter* **4**(1) (2019).
 - [2] K. Matano, M. Kriener, K. Segawa, Y. Ando, and G.-q. Zheng, Spin-rotation symmetry breaking in the superconducting state of $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Nature Physics* **12**, 852 (2016).
 - [3] A. Pustogow, Y. Luo, A. Chronister, Y.-S. Su, D. A. Sokolov, F. Jerzembeck, A. P. Mackenzie, C. W. Hicks, N. Kikugawa, S. Raghu, E. D. Bauer, and S. E. Brown, Constraints on the superconducting order parameter in Sr_2RuO_4 from oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance, *Nature* **574**, 72 (2019).
 - [4] K. Ishida, M. Manago, K. Kinjo, and Y. Maeno, Reduction of the 17o knight shift in the superconducting state and the heat-up effect by nmr pulses on Sr_2RuO_4 , *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **89**, 034712 (2020).
 - [5] Y. Pan, A. M. Nikitin, G. K. Araizi, Y. K. Huang, Y. Matsushita, T. Naka, and A. de Visser, Rotational symmetry breaking in the topological superconductor $\text{Sr}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ probed by upper-critical field experiments, *Scientific Reports* **6**, 28632 (2016).
 - [6] A. Y. Kuntsevich, M. A. Bryzgalov, V. A. Prudkoglyad, V. P. Martovitskii, Y. G. Selivanov, and E. G. Chizhevskii, Structural distortion behind the nematic su-

- perconductivity in $\text{Sr}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *New Journal of Physics*, New J. Phys. **20**, 103022 (2018).
- [7] A. Y. Kuntsevich, M. A. Bryzgalov, R. S. Akzyanov, V. P. Martovitskii, A. L. Rakhmanov, and Y. G. Selivanov, Strain-driven nematicity of odd-parity superconductivity in $\text{Sr}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 224509 (2019).
- [8] L. Fu and E. Berg, Odd-parity topological superconductors: Theory and application to $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 097001 (2010).
- [9] J. W. F. Venderbos, V. Kozii, and L. Fu, Identification of nematic superconductivity from the upper critical field, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 094522 (2016).
- [10] L. Hao and C. S. Ting, Nematic superconductivity in $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$: Surface andreev bound states, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 144512 (2017).
- [11] M. Hecker and J. Schmalian, Vestigial nematic order and superconductivity in the doped topological insulator $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *npj Quantum Mater.*, 26 (2017).
- [12] H. Uematsu, T. Mizushima, A. Tsuruta, S. Fujimoto, and J. A. Sauls, Chiral higgs mode in nematic superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 237001 (2019).
- [13] F. Wu and I. Martin, Nematic and chiral superconductivity induced by odd-parity fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 144504 (2017).
- [14] N. Levy, T. Zhang, J. Ha, F. Sharifi, A. A. Talin, Y. Kuk, and J. A. Stroscio, Experimental evidence for s -wave pairing symmetry in superconducting $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ single crystals using a scanning tunneling microscope, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 117001 (2013).
- [15] E. Wang, H. Ding, A. V. Fedorov, W. Yao, Z. Li, Y.-F. Lv, K. Zhao, L.-G. Zhang, Z. Xu, J. Schneeloch, R. Zhong, S.-H. Ji, L. Wang, K. He, X. Ma, G. Gu, H. Yao, Q.-K. Xue, X. Chen, and S. Zhou, Fully gapped topological surface states in Bi_2Se_3 films induced by a d -wave high-temperature superconductor, *Nature Physics* **9**, 621 (2013).
- [16] L. Fu, Odd-parity topological superconductor with nematic order: Application to $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 100509(R) (2014).
- [17] L. Chirolli, Chiral superconductivity in thin films of doped Bi_2Se_3 , *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 014505 (2018).
- [18] H. Huang, J. Gu, P. Ji, Q. Wang, X. Hu, Y. Qin, J. Wang, and C. Zhang, Giant anisotropic magnetoresistance and planar hall effect in $\text{Sr}_0.06\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Applied Physics Letters*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **113**, 222601 (2018).
- [19] T. Kawai, C. G. Wang, Y. Kandori, Y. Honoki, K. Matano, T. Kambe, and G. qing Zheng, Direction and symmetry transition of the vector order parameter in topological superconductors $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Nature Communications* **11**, 10.1038/s41467-019-14126-w (2020).
- [20] C.-w. Cho, J. Shen, J. Lyu, O. Atanov, Q. Chen, S. H. Lee, Y. S. Hor, D. J. Gawryluk, E. Pomjakushina, M. Bartkowiak, M. Hecker, J. Schmalian, and R. Lortz, Z3-vestigial nematic order due to superconducting fluctuations in the doped topological insulators $\text{Nb}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ and $\text{Cu}_x\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Nature Communications* **11**, 3056 (2020).
- [21] Y. Qiu, S. K. Nocona, J. Dai, J. E. Medvedeva, W. Wu, P. Ghaemi, T. Vojta, and Y. S. Hor, Time reversal symmetry breaking superconductivity in topological materials, *arxiv:1512.03519* (2015).
- [22] P. Neha, P. K. Biswas, T. Das, and S. Patnaik, Time-reversal symmetry breaking in topological superconductor $\text{Sr}_{0.1}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, *Phys. Rev. Materials* **3**, 074201 (2019).
- [23] A. A. Zyuzin, J. Garaud, and E. Babaev, Nematic skyrmions in odd-parity superconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 167001 (2017).
- [24] T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M. P. A. Fisher, Deconfined quantum critical points, *Science* **303**, 1490 (2004), <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/303/5663/1490.full.pdf>.
- [25] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, A. M. Kosevich, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Course of theoretical physics: theory of elasticity* (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1986).
- [26] P. T. How and S.-K. Yip, Signatures of nematic superconductivity in doped Bi_2Se_3 under applied stress, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 134508 (2019).
- [27] V. V. Eremin, V. A. Sirenko, H. Szymczak, and A. Nabialek, Magnetostriction of superconductors (a review), *Low Temperature Physics* **25**, 225 (1999), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.593735>.