

# Five-Dimensional Yang-Mills Black Holes in Massive Gravity's Rainbow

---

Houcine Aounallah,<sup>c</sup> Behnam Pourhassan,<sup>a</sup> Seyed Hossein Hendi,<sup>b</sup> Mir Faizal<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Physics, Damghan University, Damghan, 3671641167, Iran.*

<sup>a</sup>*Canadian Quantum Research Center 204-3002 32 Ave Vernon, BC V1T 2L7 Canada.*

<sup>b</sup>*Physics Department and Biruni Observatory, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran.*

<sup>b</sup>*Canadian Quantum Research Center 204-3002 32 Ave Vernon, BC V1T 2L7 Canada.*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Science and Technology, Larbi Tebessi University, 12000 Tebessa, Algeria.*

<sup>d</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1K 3M4, Canada.*

<sup>d</sup>*Irving K. Barber School of Arts and Sciences, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, British Columbia, V1V 1V7, Canada.*

<sup>d</sup>*Canadian Quantum Research Center 204-3002 32 Ave Vernon, BC V1T 2L7 Canada.*

*E-mail:* [houcine.aounallah@univ-tebessa.dz](mailto:houcine.aounallah@univ-tebessa.dz), [b.pourhassan@du.ac.ir](mailto:b.pourhassan@du.ac.ir),  
[hendi@shirazu.ac.ir](mailto:hendi@shirazu.ac.ir), [mirfaizalmir@gmail.com](mailto:mirfaizalmir@gmail.com)

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, we will analyze a five-dimensional Yang-Mills black hole solution in massive gravity's rainbow. We will also investigate the flow of such a solution with scale. Then, we will discuss the scale dependence of the thermodynamics for this black hole. In addition, we study the criticality in the extended phase space by treating the cosmological constant as the thermodynamics pressure of this black hole solution. Moreover, we will use the partition function for this solution to obtaining corrections to the thermodynamics of this system and examine their key role on the behavior of corrected solutions.

**KEYWORDS:** Black Hole, Thermodynamics, Stability, Yang-Mills Theory, Massive Gravity's Rainbow.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Yang-Mills Black Hole</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Thermodynamics</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	General Formalism	5
3.2	Loop Quantum Gravity	6
3.3	Gamma-Ray Bursters	10
3.4	Horizon Problem	11
<b>4</b>	<b>Criticality in the Extended Phase Space</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Thermal Fluctuations</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>16</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

The Yang-Mills black hole solutions have also been motivated by the bosonic part of the low-energy heterotic string action [1, 2]. This is done by considering the low-energy heterotic string action to leading order after it has been compactified to four dimensions. This compactified action is then used to obtain a static and spherically symmetric Yang-Mills black hole solution. This has motivated the construction of interesting Yang-Mills black hole solutions [3, 4]. A static spherically symmetric Yang-Mills black hole solution has been studied both numerically and analytically, and it was observed that such a solution is unstable under linearized perturbations [5]. The phase transition for Yang-Mills black holes has been studied using entanglement entropy and two-point correlation functions [6, 7].

It is possible for gravitons to become massive in string theory due to scalar fields acquiring a vacuum expectation values [8, 9]. In fact, motivated by string theory, the corrections to a braneworld model (with warped AdS spacetime) from graviton mass have been discussed [10]. So, it is possible for the gravitons to have a small mass [11–14], and this gravitons mass is constraint from LIGO collaboration to  $m_g < 1.2 \times 10 \text{ ev}/c^2$  [13, 15]. As it is possible for gravitons to have any mass below this bound, such a small mass would produce an IR correction to general relativity and have important astrophysical consequences. In fact, it has been suggested that such massive gravitons could produce an effective cosmological constant, and cause an accelerated expansion of the universe [16–18]. Thus, it is very important to study the modification to general relativity from small graviton mass. It is possible to add a mass term in the form of Fierz-Pauli term to obtain massive gravity [19]. However, due to the vDVZ discontinuity, this theory is not well defined in the zero mass limit [20–22].

This problem can be resolved in the Vainshtein mechanism, by using the Stueckelberg trick [23, 24]. This mechanism produces non-linear corrections terms, which in turn produce ghost states [25]. However, these ghost states can be removed in the dRGT gravity [11]. As dRGT gravity is an important ghost free IR modification to general relativity (without the vDVZ discontinuity), several black hole solutions have been constructed in it [26–35]. It has also been demonstrated that

the small graviton mass can produce interesting modifications to the behavior of such black hole solutions. In fact, it has been observed that the thermodynamics of black holes gets non-trivial modifications due to the small graviton mass [36–39]. Thus, it is interesting to analyze black hole thermodynamics for different black holes using such a small graviton mass. So, in this paper, we will analyze the modifications to a five-dimensional Yang-Mills black hole in massive gravity [40–43].

It is possible to use extended phase space to analyze an AdS black hole solution [44, 45]. In such an extended phase space the cosmological constant is identified with the thermodynamic pressure of the black hole solution. Furthermore, the thermodynamic volume is the conjugate variable to this thermodynamic pressure. Thus, the thermodynamic volume can be obtained from the cosmological constant of an AdS black hole solution. The Van der Waals like behavior an AdS black hole solution has also been studied using this extended phase space [46]. It is possible to analyze the triplet point for an AdS black hole solution [47–49]. In fact, the reentrant phase transitions for an AdS black hole solution has also been studied using this formalism [50–52]. It is interesting to analyze critical behavior for black hole solutions in massive gravity, and the graviton mass can produce new non-trivial phase transitions [53, 54].

It may be noted that it is possible to analyze the thermal corrections to black hole solutions [55]. This can be done for an AdS black hole as it is dual to conformal field theory, and so its microstates can be analyzed using a conformal field theory [56]. Thus, using the partition function for such micro states, it would be possible to analyze the corrections to the thermodynamics of black holes. The leading order corrections to the entropy of black holes is a logarithmic correction, it is possible to analyze the effects of this correction on various other thermodynamic quantities [57, 58]. It has been argued that these thermal fluctuations can have important consequences for the stability of black hole solutions [59, 60]. As the black hole evaporates due to Hawking radiation, these corrections cannot be neglected. Thus, it is important to analyze the effects of such corrections for Yang-Mills black holes. It may be noted that these thermal fluctuations in the thermodynamics of a black hole can be related to quantum fluctuations using the Jacobson formalism [61, 62].

As string theory can be viewed as a two-dimensional conformal field theory. The target space metric can be regarded as a matrix of coupling constants, and these would flow due to the renormalization group flow [63, 64]. Thus, the target space geometry would flow with scale, and this flow would depend on the energy of the probe. This consideration has motivated gravity’s rainbow, where the spacetime geometry depends on the energy of the probe [65–68]. It is known that the energy-dependence of such geometry can produce important modifications to the thermodynamics of black holes [69, 70]. In fact, it has been observed that such an energy-dependence can have important consequences for the detection of mini black holes at the LHC [71]. We will use such an energy-dependent metric of gravity’s rainbow for analyzing these Yang-Mills black hole solutions, as such solutions can be motivated from the bosonic part of the low-energy heterotic string action [1, 2]. In this formalism, the geometry of the Yang-Mills black hole depends on the energy of the probe. As the particle emitted in the Hawking radiation can act as a probe for the geometry of a black hole, it is this energy that deforms the geometry of Yang-Mills black holes. So, in this paper, will analyze the scale dependence of the geometry of the Yang-Mills black hole in massive gravity using different rainbow functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we review Yang-Mills black hole solution in massive gravity together horizon structure analysis. In section 3 we study the thermodynamics of the three separated models. Criticality in the extended phase space discussed in section 4. Then, in section 5 we consider the effect of thermal fluctuations and study corrected thermodynamics. Finally, in section 6 we give conclusion.

## 2 Yang-Mills Black Hole

In this section, we will analyze the Yang-Mills black hole solution in massive gravity, and its flow with scale. The action of five-dimensional massive gravity with negative cosmological constant coupled to Yang-Mills theory can be written as [40–43],

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - 2\Lambda - \gamma_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} F^{(b)\mu\nu} + m^2 \sum c_i U_i(g, f) \right),$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar,  $m$  is the mass term of massive gravity,  $\Lambda = -\frac{6}{l^2}$  is the cosmological constant, ( $l$  denotes the AdS radius) and  $F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$  is the  $SO(5, 1)$ . This Yang-Mills gauge field tensor is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} = \partial_\mu A_\nu^{(a)} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{(a)} + \frac{1}{2e} f_{(b)(c)}^{(a)} A_\mu^{(b)} A_\nu^{(c)},$$

where  $e$  is coupling constant of the Yang-Mills theory. Also,  $c_i$  are constants and  $U_i(g, f)$  are symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of the following  $5 \times 5$  matrix [72, 73],

$$K_\nu^\mu = \sqrt{g^{\mu\alpha} f_{\alpha\nu}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $f_{\alpha\nu}$  can be expressed as  $f_{\mu\nu} = \text{dia} \left( 0, 0, \frac{c_0^2 h_{ij}}{g^2(\varepsilon)} \right)$ . Here we have introduced  $f(\varepsilon)$  and  $g(\varepsilon)$  as the rainbow functions, which depend on the relative energy  $\varepsilon = \frac{E}{E_p}$ , where  $E$  is the energy of the particle emitted in the Hawking radiation, and  $E_p$  is Planck energy [65–68]. This is because string theory is a two-dimensional conformal field theory, with the target space metric as a matrix of coupling constants for that conformal field theory. So, this matrix of coupling constants is expected to flow due to the renormalization group flow [63, 64]. This would make the geometry of spacetime depend on the ratio  $\mu/\mu_p$ , where  $\mu$  is the scale at which the theory is being probed and  $\mu_p$  is the Planck scale. Now, this ratio would be proportional to  $\varepsilon = \frac{E}{E_p}$ , and so the geometry of spacetime should be a function of this ratio. So, the renormalization group flow would make the target space geometry depend on the scale at which it is being probed, and this in turn would depend on the energy of the probe. This energy-dependence of the geometry can be analyzed using these rainbow function [65–68]. In fact, as the Yang-Mills black hole solutions can be motivated from the bosonic part of the low-energy heterotic string action [1, 2], we will use analyze the effect of such a flow of geometry of the Yang-Mills black hole solution. Now the black holes with AdS asymptote in the massive gravity's rainbow can be described by the following energy-dependent metric

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\psi(r)}{f^2(\varepsilon)} dt^2 + \frac{1}{g^2(\varepsilon)} \left[ \frac{dr^2}{\psi(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_k^2 \right],$$

where  $\psi$  is an unknown function which will be determined by field equations, and

$$d\Omega_k^2 = \begin{cases} d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 d\theta_3^2 & k = 1 \\ d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + d\theta_3^2 & k = 0 \\ d\theta_1^2 + \sinh^2 \theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \sinh^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 d\theta_3^2 & k = -1 \end{cases}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $k = 1, 0$  and  $-1$  represent spherical, flat and hyperbolic horizon of possible black holes, respectively. Hereafter, we indicate  $\omega_k$  as the volume of boundary  $t = cte$  and  $r = cte$  of the metric. Using  $[K] = \text{Tra}(K) = K_\mu^\mu$ , one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= [K] = \frac{3c_0}{r}, \\ U_2 &= [K]^2 - [K^2] = \frac{6c_0^2}{r^2}, \\ U_3 &= [K]^3 - 3[K][K^2] + 2[K^3] = \frac{6c_0^3}{r^3}, \\ U_4 &= [K]^4 - 6[K^2][K^2] + 8[K^3][K] + 3[K^2]^2 - 6[K^4]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By using the variational principle, one can obtain the following field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} + \left( \Lambda - \frac{R}{2} \right) g_{\mu\nu} - m^2 \chi_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.4)$$

$$F_{;\nu}^{(a)\mu\nu} = J^{(a)\mu}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \gamma_{ab} \left( F_{\mu}^{(a)\lambda} F_{\nu\lambda}^{(b)} - \frac{1}{4} F^{(a)\lambda\sigma} F_{\lambda\sigma}^{(b)} g_{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

$$J^{(a)\nu} = \frac{1}{e} f_{(b)(c)}^{(a)} A_{\mu}^{(b)} F^{(c)\mu\nu}. \quad (2.7)$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{c_1}{2} (U_1 g_{\mu\nu} - K_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{c_2}{2} (U_2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2U_1 K_{\mu\nu} + 2K_{\mu\nu}^2) \\ &+ \frac{c_3}{2} (U_3 g_{\mu\nu} - 3U_2 K_{\mu\nu} + 6U_1 K_{\mu\nu}^2 - 6K_{\mu\nu}^3) \\ &+ \frac{c_4}{2} (U_4 g_{\mu\nu} - 4U_3 K_{\mu\nu} + 12U_2 K_{\mu\nu}^2 - 24U_1 K_{\mu\nu}^3 + 24K_{\mu\nu}^4) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By using the value of the Yang-Mills field  $F = \gamma_{ab} F^{(a)\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{(b)}$ , which is  $F = \frac{6e^2}{r^4}$  in five-dimensions, we can obtain  $rr$ -component of the field equation as

$$R_{11} + \left( \Lambda - \frac{R}{2} \right) g_{11} - m^2 \chi_{11} = 8\pi T_{11} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi''(r)}{\psi(r)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\psi'(r)}{r\psi(r)}, \\ R &= -g^2(\varepsilon) \left( \psi''(r) + 6\frac{\psi'(r)}{r} + 6\frac{\psi(r)}{r^2} - \frac{6k}{r^2} \right), \\ \chi_{11} &= \frac{3}{2g^2(\varepsilon)\psi(r)} \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{r} + \frac{2c_0^2 c_2}{r^2} + \frac{2c_0^3 c_3}{r^3} \right), \\ T_{11} &= \frac{-3e^2}{8\pi g^2(\varepsilon)\psi(r)r^4}. \end{aligned}$$


Solving the nonzero components of the field equation (such as Eq. (2.9)), yields

$$\psi(r) = k - \frac{m_0}{r^2} + \frac{1}{g^2(\varepsilon)r^2} \left[ \frac{r^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln\left(\frac{r}{L}\right) + m^2 \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{3} r^3 + c_0^2 c_2 r^2 + 2c_0^3 c_3 r \right) \right],$$

where  $m_0$  is the mass parameter, which is related to the black hole mass, and  $L$  is a constant with length dimension, introduced to obtain dimensionless logarithmic function (which we can set to one without loss of generality). Horizon structure of this solution shows that there is at least one real positive root for  $\psi(r) = 0$  which is confirmed by the plots of Fig. 1. In Fig. 1 (a) we analyze the effect of mass term in the massive gravity. Effects of other parameters like  $c_0$ ,  $e$  and  $c_1$  are illustrated by plots of Fig. 1 (b), (c) and (d), respectively. In order to plot, we assume  $c_1 \approx c_2 \approx c_3$ , for simplicity.

In the case of  $r = r_+$ , we have  $\psi(r_+) = 0$ , and hence

$$m_0 = r_+^2 \left( k + \frac{1}{g^2(\varepsilon)r_+^2} \left[ \frac{r_+^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln(r_+) + m^2 \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{3} r_+^3 + c_0^2 c_2 r_+^2 + 2c_0^3 c_3 r_+ \right) \right] \right)$$



**Figure 1.** Horizon structure for unit values of the model parameters.

Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r) = & \frac{k}{r^2} (r^2 - r_+^2) + \frac{1}{g^2(\varepsilon) r^2} \left[ \frac{r^4 - r_+^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_+}\right) \right] \\ & + \frac{m^2}{g^2(\varepsilon) r^2} \left[ \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{3} (r^3 - r_+^3) + c_0^2 c_2 (r^2 - r_+^2) + 2c_0^3 c_3 (r - r_+) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Now, we can analyze the thermodynamics of this black hole solution as its flow with scale.

### 3 Thermodynamics

In this section, we discuss the scale dependence of the thermodynamics of this Yang-Mills black hole solution given by (2.10). This will be done using the formalism of gravity's rainbow. So, first of all we will discuss general formalism, and then discuss certain special models, with specific rainbow functions  $f(\varepsilon)$  and  $g(\varepsilon)$ .

#### 3.1 General Formalism

In this section, we will review the general formalism for black hole thermodynamics in gravity's rainbow [69, 70]. Hawking temperature for a black hole in an energy-dependent metric is given by

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{g(\varepsilon)}{f(\varepsilon)} \psi'(r)_{r=r_+}. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, we obtain the following temperature for the Yang-Mills black hole

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} \frac{g(\varepsilon)}{f(\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{f(\varepsilon) g(\varepsilon)} \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0 c_1 + 2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+} + 2 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

Also, the entropy per unit volume  $\omega_k$  is given by

$$S = \frac{r_+^3}{4g^3(\varepsilon)}.$$

So, the mass term per unit volume  $\omega_k$  can be obtained from the first law of black hole thermodynamics

$$T = \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} \right).$$

Therefore, we can write

$$M = \int T dS,$$

Thus, for the Yang-Mills theory, we obtain

$$M = \frac{3k}{16\pi f(\varepsilon) g^2(\varepsilon)} r_+^2 + \frac{3}{16\pi f(\varepsilon) g^4(\varepsilon)} \left[ \frac{r_+^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln(r_+) + m^2 \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{3} r_+^3 + c_0^2 c_2 r_+^2 + 2c_0^3 c_3 r_+ \right) \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

The heat capacity at constant volume can be calculated as

$$C = T_H \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial T_H} \right)_V,$$

which yields to the following expression

$$C = \frac{3g^3(\varepsilon) r_+^2}{4} \frac{\left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} \frac{g(\varepsilon)}{f(\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{f(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)} \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0 c_1 + 2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+} + 2 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]}{\left[ -\frac{2k}{r_+^2} \frac{g(\varepsilon)}{f(\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{f(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)} \left[ \frac{4}{l^2} + \frac{6e^2}{r_+^4} + m^2 \left( -2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+^2} - 4 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^3} \right) \right] \right]}.$$

It can be used to analyze the stability of specific models. If its sign be positive the model is in the stable phase, and vice versa. It may be noted that black hole's radius with  $C = 0$  is important, as at that stage the black hole does not exchange any energy with the surroundings. If  $C = 0$ , then we obtain the following equation

$$4r_+^4 + m^2 l^2 c_0 c_1 r_+^3 + 2l^2 (m^2 c_0^2 c_2 + k g^2(\varepsilon)) r_+^2 + 2m^2 l^2 c_0^3 c_3 r_+ - 2l^2 e^2 = 0.$$

We can observe that various thermodynamics quantities for the model, and its thermodynamics stability, depend on the choice of the rainbow functions  $g(\varepsilon)$  and  $f(\varepsilon)$  [65–68]. So, we will now analyze this model for the specific choice of rainbow functions.

### 3.2 Loop Quantum Gravity

It has been observed that geometry of spacetime becomes energy-dependent in loop quantum gravity, and the energy-dependent metric for such a spacetime can be obtained using the following rainbow functions [74, 75]

$$f(\varepsilon) = 1 \quad (3.4)$$


$$g(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{1 - \eta \varepsilon^n}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\eta$  is a dimensionless constant. It may be noted that these rainbow functions are compatible with the results obtained from both loop quantum gravity and non-commutative geometry [75]. Without loss of generality, we can set  $\eta = 1$ .

In this model, we can obtain entropy as

$$S = \frac{r_+^3}{4(1 - \eta \varepsilon^n)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now according to Fig. 1 (b), we can approximate  $0.6 \leq r_+ \leq 0.7$ , for unit values of the model parameters. Hence, we can discuss the entropy for various values of  $n$  as plotted in Fig. 2. It is



**Figure 2.** Entropy of the first model (equation (3.6)) in terms of  $\varepsilon$  for  $\eta = 0.001$  and  $r_+ = 0.5$ .


obvious that for  $\varepsilon$  the entropy is approximately constant. Generally, it is an increasing function of  $\varepsilon$ , but local behavior depends on the value of  $n$ .

Temperature can be expressed as

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} \sqrt{1 - \eta\varepsilon^n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \eta\varepsilon^n}} \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0 c_1 + 2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+} + 2 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right] \quad (3.7)$$

Using positive temperature, we can find a lower bound for the graviton mass. So, for small  $m$  the temperature is negative, which is not physical. Hence, the value of  $m$  is bounded ( $m \geq 0.9$  for our selected values of model parameters). As illustrated by the plots of Fig. 3, temperature is constant for small  $\varepsilon$ .

Now for  $n = 1$  Fig. 3 (a) we find  $T$  is an increasing function of  $\varepsilon$ , for  $k = -1$  and  $k = 0$ , and a decreasing function of  $\varepsilon$ , for  $k = 1$  (with infinitesimal variation). So, the behavior of  $T$  for  $k = 1$  is also different from  $k = -1$  and  $k = 0$ , for  $n = 1$  as illustrated by Fig. 3 (b). We can also analyze the behavior of the entropy for  $\varepsilon$ .




**Figure 3.** Temperature of the first model (equation (3.7)) in terms of  $\varepsilon$  for  $\eta = 0.001$  and  $r_+ = 0.5$  (unit value for other parameters).

The black hole mass for this model is given by

$$M = \frac{3kr_+^2}{16\pi(1-\eta\varepsilon^n)} + \frac{3}{16\pi(1-\eta\varepsilon^n)^2} \left[ \frac{r_+^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln(r_+) + m^2 \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{3} r_+^3 + c_0^2 c_2 r_+^2 + 2c_0^3 c_3 r_+ \right) \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

Typical values of the black hole mass given are given in Fig. 4, for several values of  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  (linear dependence to energy), which is consistent with results obtained from loop quantum gravity, we find that the black hole mass may vanish for the negative  $\varepsilon$  (see Fig. 4 (a)). For even values of  $n$ , we observe the periodic temperature with a divergence point. So, there are some specific energies where the black hole temperature diverges. It may be a sign of some instability or phase transition, which can be verified from the specific heat of this system.




**Figure 4.** The first model mass (equation (3.8)) in terms of  $\varepsilon$  for  $\eta = 0.001$ , and unit value for other parameters.

Specific heat for this Yang-Mills black hole can now be expressed as

$$C = \frac{3(1-\eta\varepsilon^n)^{\frac{3}{2}} r_+^2}{4} \frac{\left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} \sqrt{1-\eta\varepsilon^n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\eta\varepsilon^n}} \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0 c_1 + 2\frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+} + 2\frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]}{\left[ -\frac{2k}{r_+} \sqrt{1-\eta\varepsilon^n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\eta\varepsilon^n}} \left[ \frac{4}{l^2} + \frac{6e^2}{r_+^4} + m^2 \left( -2\frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+^2} - 4\frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^3} \right) \right] \right]}. \quad (3.9)$$

As expected (from temperature as analyzed in Fig. 3), specific heat is negative for the small  $m$ . However, for the larger  $m$ , there are some regions that are stable and others that are unstable. These are illustrated in plots Fig. 5. We found that in the cases of  $m < 1$ , the model is completely unstable as the specific heat is negative. However, for the larger  $m$ , like  $m \geq 1$  (or  $m \geq e$ ), the

model is completely or partly stable, and this depends on the value of  $n$ . Figs. 5 (a), (b) and (d), which are corresponding to  $n = 1$ ,  $n = 2$  and  $n = 4$ , show that larger values of  $m$  produce completely stable models. However, other odd values of  $n$  like  $n = 3$ ,  $n = 5$  and  $n = 9$  produce model with both stable and unstable regions (depend on the value of  $\varepsilon$ ). The specific heat is negative for some negative value of  $\varepsilon$  (see Figs. 5 (c), (e) and (f)). As before, for the infinitesimal  $\varepsilon$ , the specific heat is approximately constant. Figs. 5 (e) and (f), indicating a phase transition for  $k = 1$ . It is important to note that in the range  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$  the model is completely stable.



**Figure 5.** Specific heat of the first model (equation (3.9)) in terms of  $\varepsilon$  for  $\eta = 0.001$ , and unit value for other parameters.

Now, from Eq. (3.1), we observe that for stability the following condition is necessary

$$m > e. \quad (3.10)$$

In fact, Eq. (3.1) reduced to the following expression

$$4r_+^4 + m^2 l^2 c_0 c_1 r_+^3 + 2l^2 (m^2 c_0^2 c_2 + k - \eta k \varepsilon^n) r_+^2 + 2m^2 l^2 c_0^3 c_3 r_+ - 2l^2 e^2 = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

An exact bound on the energy for a stable model can now be written as

$$\varepsilon^n \geq \frac{2l^2 e^2 - 4r_+^4 - m^2 l^2 c_0 c_1 r_+^3 - 2l^2 (m^2 c_0^2 c_2 + k) r_+^2 - 2m^2 l^2 c_0^3 c_3 r_+}{-2kl^2 \eta r_+^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence, we find that for  $E \ll E_p$ , the first model is stable.

### 3.3 Gamma-Ray Bursters

It is also possible to obtain an energy-dependent metric by using rainbow function obtained from hard spectra of gamma-ray bursts at cosmological distances [76]

$$f(\varepsilon) = \frac{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1}{\xi\varepsilon}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$g(\varepsilon) = 1, \quad (3.14)$$


where  $\xi$  is a dimensionless parameters of the order of unity. In this case, we have

$$S = \frac{r_+^3}{4}. \quad (3.15)$$

We see that the entropy only depends on the horizon radius, and

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} + \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0c_1 + 2\frac{c_0^2c_2}{r_+} + 2\frac{c_0^3c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

Now, we can plot the radius of the horizon. In Fig. 6, we plot the temperature for various values of  $m$  and obtain result similar to the ones obtained for the model motivated from loop quantum gravity. Interestingly, there is a critical horizon radius for which the temperature is constant (for example, see solid orange line of Fig. 6 for  $k = -1$ ).



**Figure 6.** Temperature of the second model (Eq. (3.16)) in terms of horizon radius, for  $\xi = 1$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$  (unit value for other parameters).


Then, the black hole mass can be obtained as

$$M = \frac{3}{16\pi} \left[ \frac{\xi\varepsilon k}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} r_+^2 + \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} \left[ \frac{r_+^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln(r_+) + m^2 \left( \frac{c_0c_1}{3} r_+^3 + c_0^2c_2r_+^2 + 2c_0^3c_3r_+ \right) \right] \right]. \quad (3.17)$$

The specific heat is given by

$$C = \frac{3r_+^2}{4} \frac{\left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} + \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0c_1 + 2\frac{c_0^2c_2}{r_+} + 2\frac{c_0^3c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]}{\left[ -\frac{2k}{r_+^2} \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} + \frac{\xi\varepsilon}{e^{\xi\varepsilon} - 1} \left[ \frac{4}{l^2} + \frac{6e^2}{r_+^4} + m^2 \left( -2\frac{c_0^2c_2}{r_+^2} - 4\frac{c_0^3c_3}{r_+^3} \right) \right] \right]} \quad (3.18)$$

According to Fig. 7, it is evident that the smaller values  $m$  produce the negative value of specific heat. On the other hand, larger values of  $m$  produce a phase transition from a stable to an unstable phase. So, for the thermodynamically stable model, we should have  $m_{min} < m < m_{max}$ . For the unit value of the model parameters, we find  $m_{min} = 0.6$  and  $m_{max} = 1.37$  for  $k = 1$  (see Fig. 7 (a)),  $m_{min} \approx 0.7$  and  $m_{max} \approx 1.4$  for  $k = 0$  (see Fig. 7 (b)). We also have  $m_{min} \approx 0.85$  and  $m_{max} \approx 1.45$  for  $k = -1$  (see Fig. 7 (c)). These plots show that the phase transition is possible for the second model.



**Figure 7.** Specific heat of the second model (Eq. (3.18)) in terms of  $r_+$ , with unit values for the model parameters.

### 3.4 Horizon Problem

It has been proposed that the horizon problem can be resolved with suitable rainbow functions [77, 78],

$$f(\varepsilon) = g(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda\varepsilon}, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\xi$  is a dimensionless parameters of the order of unity. In this case, the entropy and temperature of the system can be written as

$$S = \frac{(1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^3 r_+^3}{4}. \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} + (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^2 \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0 c_1 + 2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+} + 2 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]. \quad (3.21)$$


In order to have well defined model (positive entropy and temperature), we should have  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and  $m > m_{min}$ . In the plots of Fig. 8, we observe the behavior of the entropy. Now Fig. 8 (a) demonstrates that there is an upper limit for the energy, below which the entropy is negative. For the selected value  $\lambda = 1$ , we observe that  $\varepsilon_{max} = 1$ . Here  $S = 0$ , and  $S \geq 0$  for  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{max}$ . It should be noted that general behavior is similar for  $k = 0$ , and  $k = \pm 1$ . It is also illustrated by Fig. 8 (b) which plots the behavior of the entropy with  $\varepsilon$ .

In Fig. 9, we can verify our previous results. According to Fig. 9, we shall denote the maximum  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  by  $\varepsilon_{max}$  ( $\varepsilon = 1$  in plot), Here the value of temperature does not depend on  $m$ . For  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  temperature is positive for suitable mass. However, for  $k = -1$ , value of temperature is negative at this energy. Hence, we find that  $T_H$  is positive for  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{max}$ . The positive temperature occurs, when  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_{max}$  is not allowed. So, both  $\varepsilon$  and  $m$  are constrained as  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{max}$  and  $m > m_{min}$ .


Then, we can find,

$$M = \frac{3kr_+^2 (1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^3}{16\pi} + \frac{3(1 - \lambda\varepsilon)^5}{16\pi} \left[ \frac{r_+^4}{l^2} - 2e^2 \ln(r_+) + m^2 \left( \frac{c_0 c_1}{3} r_+^3 + c_0^2 c_2 r_+^2 + 2c_0^3 c_3 r_+ \right) \right]. \quad (3.22)$$

$$(3.23)$$



**Figure 8.** Typical behavior of the entropy in the third model (equation (3.20)) for  $\lambda = 1$ . (a) in terms of  $r_+$  for different values of  $\epsilon$ , and (b) in terms of  $\epsilon$  for  $r_+ = 0.5$ . Allowed region are separated by orange box.



**Figure 9.** Typical behavior of the temperature in the third model (Eq. (3.21)), for unit value for all model parameters.


$$C = \frac{3(1-\lambda\epsilon)^3 r_+^2 \left[ \frac{2k}{r_+} + (1-\lambda\epsilon)^2 \left[ \frac{4r_+}{l^2} - \frac{2e^2}{r_+^3} + m^2 \left( c_0 c_1 + 2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+} + 2 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^2} \right) \right] \right]}{4 \left[ -\frac{2k}{r_+^2} + (1-\lambda\epsilon)^2 \left[ \frac{4}{l^2} + \frac{6e^2}{r_+^4} + m^2 \left( -2 \frac{c_0^2 c_2}{r_+^2} - 4 \frac{c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^3} \right) \right] \right]}. \quad (3.24)$$

Graphical analysis of specific heat is represented in Fig. 10. It shows the variation of the specific heat with  $\epsilon$ . For  $k = 1$ , we can see the first and second phase transitions. We confirm the previous result, as  $\epsilon < \epsilon_{max}$  is crucial to have well defined model.

#### 4 Criticality in the Extended Phase Space

Now, we give a discussion of the critical behavior of the Yang-Mills black hole solution in the using the extended phase space [44, 45]. In the extended phase space, the cosmological constant is identified with a thermodynamic pressure as [79, 80]

$$P = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi} = \frac{3}{4\pi l^2}. \quad (4.1)$$



**Figure 10.** Typical behavior of the specific heat in terms of  $\varepsilon$  in the third model (Eq. (3.24)), with unit value for all model parameters.

Substituting the pressure from Eq. (4.1) in Eq. (3.2), one can obtain the following equation of state

$$P = \frac{3}{8\pi} \left( \frac{e^2}{r_+^4} - \frac{m^2 c_0^3 c_3}{r_+^3} - \frac{[m^2 c_0^2 c_2 + k g^2(\varepsilon)]}{r_+^2} + \frac{[4\pi f(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)T - m^2 c_0 c_1]}{2r_+} \right). \quad (4.2)$$

Due to the fact that in  $(4+1)$ -dimensions, the specific volume ( $v$ ) is related to the event horizon radius as  $v = \frac{4r_+ \ell_p^3}{3}$ , we can work with  $P = P(T, r_+)$ , instead of  $P = P(T, v)$ , as it will produce the same thermodynamic behavior. In other words, the criticality, phase transition and, in general, the behavior of  $P - v$  diagram is equivalent to  $P - r_+$  diagram. Regarding Eq. (4.2), we observe that it is reasonable to define an effective (shifted) temperature  $T_{eff}$ , and horizon topology factor  $k_{eff}$  as

$$T_{eff} = T - \frac{m^2 c_0 c_1}{4\pi f(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$k_{eff} = k + \frac{m^2 c_0^2 c_2}{g^2(\varepsilon)}. \quad (4.4)$$

In order to obtain the critical point of isothermal  $P - r_+$  diagram, we use the inflection point property of such a diagram as

$$\left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial r_+} \right)_{T_{eff}} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r_+^2} \right)_{T_{eff}} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

After some simplification, we find the following expression corresponding to Eq. (4.5)

$$\left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial r_+} \right)_{T_{eff}} = \frac{-3}{8\pi r_+^5} \left[ 2\pi f(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)T_{eff} r_+^3 - 2g^2(\varepsilon)k_{eff} r_+^2 - 3c_0^3 c_3 m^2 r_+ + 4e^2 \right] = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r_+^2} \right)_{T_{eff}} = \frac{3}{4\pi r_+^6} \left[ 2\pi f(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon)T_{eff} r_+^3 - 3g^2(\varepsilon)k_{eff} r_+^2 - 6c_0^3 c_3 m^2 r_+ + 10e^2 \right] = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

The critical quantities are obtained by solving Eqs. (4.6) and (4.7), simultaneously

$$\begin{aligned}
r_c &= -\frac{3m^2 c_0^3 c_3 \pm \Theta}{2k_{eff} g^2(\varepsilon)}, \\
T_{eff}|_c &= \frac{2k_{eff}^2 g^3(\varepsilon) [\Theta^2 \mp 3m^2 c_0^3 c_3 \Theta - 8e^2 k_{eff} g^2(\varepsilon)]}{\pi f(\varepsilon) (-3m^2 c_0^3 c_3 \pm \Theta)^3}, \\
P_c &= \frac{3k_{eff}^3 g^6(\varepsilon) [\Theta^2 \mp 2m^2 c_0^3 c_3 \Theta - 12e^2 k_{eff} g^2(\varepsilon) - 3m^4 c_0^6 c_3^2]}{2\pi (-3m^2 c_0^3 c_3 \pm \Theta)^4},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$


where  $\Theta = \sqrt{9m^4 c_0^6 c_3^2 + 24e^2 k_{eff} g^2(\varepsilon)}$ . The two branches of critical quantities distinguishing by the sign behind  $\Theta$ , where for the lower sign, there is results are not physical, for any real positive values of the critical quantities. So, we will only analyze this system with the upper sign.

Although the Van der Waals phase transition and critical behavior are observed only for spherical horizon topology in the Einstein-AdS gravity, here in the massive gravity scenario, we can build such behavior for all topologies. As we indicate in the caption of Fig. 11, it is obvious that by adjusting the massive parameters (or rainbow function), one can find the Van der Waals like behavior.

To confirm the results, we can study the Gibbs free energy per unit volume  $\omega_k$  as follows

$$G = M - TS = \frac{6e^2 + 3k_{eff} g^2(\varepsilon) r_+^2 + 12m^2 c_0^3 c_3 r_+ - 4\pi P r_+^4 - 18e^2 \ln r_+}{48\pi f(\varepsilon) g^4(\varepsilon)}. \tag{4.9}$$

According to the right panel of Fig. 11, we observe a first-order phase transition for different topologies, which is characterized by the swallow-tail shape of the Gibbs free energy for  $P < P_c$ .



**Figure 11.**  $P - r_+$  (left) and  $G - T$  (right) diagrams for  $e = m = c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = g(\varepsilon) = 1$  and  $k_{eff} = 1$  ( $k = 1$  with  $c_2 = 0$  or  $k = 0$  with  $c_2 = 1$  or  $k = -1$  with  $c_2 = 2$ ). The blue dashed line corresponds to the critical temperature (left) and the critical pressure (right).

## 5 Thermal Fluctuations

It has been argued that the thermodynamics of black hole should be corrected due to thermal fluctuations [55]. Such fluctuations can be analyzed using the partition function for such a system.

As the AdS black holes are dual to conformal field theories, it is possible to analyze the fluctuations to the black hole thermodynamics using the statistical mechanical partition function of microstates, which can be obtained from the dual conformal field theory [56]. It is possible to explicitly write down such a partition function as

$$Z = \int_0^\infty \Omega e^{-\frac{E}{T}} dE, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  denotes the density of state in canonical ensemble, which is proportional to

$$\Omega \propto \int \frac{e^s}{T^2} dT. \quad (5.2)$$

Here  $s$  denotes the exact (corrected) entropy. Applying the inverse Laplace transformation to Eq. (5.1) yields

$$\Omega \propto \int \frac{ze^{\frac{E}{T}}}{T^2} dT. \quad (5.3)$$

Combining Eqs. (5.2) and (5.3) yields

$$s = \ln Z + \frac{E}{T}. \quad (5.4)$$

This is identical to the statistical relation,

$$s = \ln \Omega. \quad (5.5)$$

If we assume  $S$  to be the equilibrium entropy, and use Taylor expansion of  $s$  in Eq. (5.3), then after some calculations, we obtain [55, 56]

$$s = S - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{S''T' - S'T''}{(T')^3} \right] + \dots, \quad (5.6)$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to the horizon radius  $r_+$ , ie.,  $S' = \frac{dS}{dr_+}$ . Also, the constant  $\alpha$  is added by hand to track correction terms [57]. Here,  $\alpha = 0$  reproduces results in the absence of such logarithmic corrections, and  $\alpha = 1$  produces logarithmic corrections. In Eq. (5.6), we have neglected higher-order terms in the Taylor expansion (which produce higher-order corrections). Hence, the first-order correction occurs in form of a logarithmic correction term to the entropy [58, 59].


By using the general temperature and general entropy, one can obtain this logarithmic corrected entropy as

$$s = \frac{r_+^3}{4g^3(\varepsilon)} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{15\pi^2 r_+^9 f^2(\varepsilon) (m^2 + kg^2(\varepsilon))^{\frac{2r_+^2}{5}} + m^2 r_+ - \frac{1}{5}(9 + 2r_+^4)}{4 g(\varepsilon) ((m^2 + kg^2(\varepsilon))^{\frac{r_+^2}{2}} + m^2 r_+ - r_+^4 - \frac{3}{2})^3} \right], \quad (5.7)$$

where, we have assumed  $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = e = 1$  for simplicity. Then, we can obtain corrected mass via

$$M_c = \int T ds. \quad (5.8)$$

To see effect of the logarithmic correction, we plot the entropy in Fig. 12. We observe that the thermal fluctuations are important in smaller  $r_+$ . It has been argued that when the black hole size reduced due to the Hawking radiation, the thermal fluctuations become important [60]. As general



**Figure 12.** Logarithmic corrected entropy in terms of  $r_+$  for  $g(\varepsilon) \approx 1$ , and unit value for other model parameters.

behavior does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ , we fix their values to study thermodynamics behaviors generally. We show that thermal fluctuations produce the negative entropy for larger  $r_+$ . Depend on the model ( $f(\varepsilon)$ ), black hole in presence of thermal fluctuations may be stable or unstable.

We can also compute corrected specific heat as

$$C_c = T \left( \frac{ds}{dT} \right)_V. \quad (5.9)$$


Reducing the black hole size, the system goes to an unstable phase until the entropy and specific heat vanish.

Now it is interesting to study the case when black hole entropy, temperature and specific heat are zero, but black hole mass is not zero. These are denoted by a circle in Fig. 13. It has been shown that at a special radius the black hole entropy, temperature and specific heat may be zero, while black hole mass is non-zero. It is interpreted as black remnant mass, below which the black hole will not evaporate. For  $k = 1$ , we cannot observe any black remnant, due to the absence of a unique (even approximately) point where the black hole entropy, temperature and specific heat is zero. In the case of  $k = 0$ , there is an approximate radius  $r_+ \approx 0.45$ , where the black hole entropy, temperature and specific heat are approximately zero, and  $M_c \neq 0$  (see Fig. 13 (b)). For  $k = -1$ , we find that when  $r_+ \approx 0.5$ , the black hole entropy, temperature and specific heat are zero, and  $M_c \approx 0.25$  (see Fig. 13 (c)).

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have analyzed a five-dimensional black hole solution in massive gravity coupled to the Yang-Mills theory. We have discussed the thermodynamics of this black hole solution. We also studied the flow of such a solution with scale, using energy-dependence of the geometry. We have used the rainbow functions, motivated from loop quantum gravity, hard spectrum of gamma-ray bursts and the horizon problem to analyze such a flow with scale. It was observed that these rainbow functions can change the behavior of the black hole thermodynamics, when the size of black hole reduces due to the Hawking radiation.

We have also investigated the criticality in the extended phase space. It was done by treating the cosmological constant as the dynamic pressure. Its conjugate variable was treated as the thermodynamic volume for this black hole solution. We have also analyzed the effects of thermal



**Figure 13.** Logarithmic corrected entropy, mass, specific heat and temperature in terms of  $r_+$  for  $g(\varepsilon) \approx 1$ ,  $f(\varepsilon) \approx 4$ ,  $m = 1.2$  and unit value for other model parameters.

fluctuations on this black hole solutions. It was observed that these thermal fluctuations can be obtained from a statistical mechanical partition function for this system. The thermal fluctuations produce a logarithmic correction for the entropy of this black hole. We have also examined the corrections to the specific heat for this black hole solution.

It may be noted that it would be interesting to generalize these results to higher dimensions. Thus, we could consider higher dimensional Yang-Mills theory coupled to massive gravity, and obtain black hole solutions in such a theory. Then, we can analyze the thermodynamics of such solutions. Here again, we can investigate the flow of the solution with scale, using gravity's rainbow [65–68]. We can then study how such a flow deforms the thermodynamics of such higher dimensional solutions. Furthermore, it is expected that the thermodynamics of such solutions will again depend on the specific rainbow functions. So, we can use rainbow functions motivated from loop quantum gravity [74, 75], the hard spectra of gamma-ray bursts at cosmological distances [76], and the horizon problem [77, 78], to deform the thermodynamics of such solutions. It would also be pointed out to analyze the critical behavior [44, 45] for this higher dimensional solutions.

We can also construct the partition function for this higher dimensional AdS solution, and use it to analyze the thermal fluctuations for that solution [55, 56]. It is expected that the entropy of this higher dimensional AdS solution will again be corrected by the logarithmic correction term. It would be useful to investigate the effects of such corrections on other thermodynamic quantities for this higher dimensional solution. It would also be interesting to study the effects of thermal fluctuations on the criticality of these black hole solutions.

It may be noted that a mass term for graviton can be generated from a gravitational Higgs mechanism [81, 82]. It would be worth to analyze such a gravitational Higgs mechanism for various supergravity solutions. As the Yang-Mills black hole solutions can be motivated from the bosonic part of the low energy Heterotic string theory [1, 2], it would be important to study the gravitational Higgs mechanism in low energy Heterotic string theory. This could be used to obtain a mass term for Yang-Mills fields. It would be interesting to investigate the consequences of such a mass term on the thermodynamics of Yang-Mills black holes.

## References

- [1] D. J. Gross and J. H. Sloan, Nucl. Phys. B **291**, 41 (1987).
- [2] C. M. O’Neill, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 865 (1994).

- [3] P. Bizon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2844 (1990).
- [4] H. P. Kunzle and A. K. M. Masood-ul-Alam, J. Math. Phys. **31**, 928 (1990).
- [5] G. V. Lavrelashvili and D. Maison, Nucl. Phys. B **410**, 407 (1993).
- [6] M. Zhang, Z. Y. Yang, D. C. Zou, W. Xu and R. H. Yue, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **47**, 14 (2015).
- [7] H. El Moumni, Phys. Lett. B **776**, 124 (2018).
- [8] V. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1811 (1991).
- [9] V. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 683 (1989).
- [10] Z. Chacko, M. Graesser, C. Grojean and L. Pilo, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 084028 (2004).
- [11] C. de Rham and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 044020 (2010).
- [12] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze and A. J. Tolley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 231101 (2011).
- [13] C. de Rham, Living Rev. Relativ. **17**, 7 (2014).
- [14] S. F. Hassan and R. A. Rosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 041101 (2012).
- [15] LIGO scientific collaboration; Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 221101 (2017).
- [16] G. Leon, J. Saavedra, and E. N. Saridakis, Class. Quantum Gravit. **30**, 135001 (2013).
- [17] Y. Akrami and T. S. Koivisto, M. Sandstad, JHEP **03**, 99 (2013).
- [18] Y. Akrami, S. F. Hassan, F. Knnig, A. Schmidt-May and A. R. Solomon, Phys. Lett. B **748**, 37 (2015).
- [19] M. Fierz and W. Pauli, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **173**, 211 (1939).
- [20] H. van Dam and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **22**, 397 (1970).
- [21] V. I. Zakharov, JETP Lett. **12**, 312 (1970).
- [22] P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B **60**, 478 (1973).
- [23] A. I. Vainshtein, Phys. Lett. B **39**, 393 (1972).
- [24] K. Hinterbichler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 671 (2012).
- [25] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, Phys. Rev. D **6**, 3368 (1972).
- [26] K. Koyama, G. Niz and G. Tasinato, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 131101 (2011).
- [27] T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024038 (2011).
- [28] A. Gruzinov and M. Mirbabayi, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 124019 (2011).
- [29] L. Berezhiani, G. Chkareuli, C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze and A. J. Tolley, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044024 (2011).
- [30] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze and A. J. Tolley, Phys. Lett. B **711**, 190 (2012).
- [31] S. H. Hendi, B. Eslam Panah and S. Panahian, JHEP **05**, 029 (2016).
- [32] S. G. Ghosh, L. Tannukij and P. Wongjun, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 119 (2016).
- [33] T. Q. Do, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 104003 (2016).
- [34] T. Q. Do, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 044022 (2016).
- [35] S. H. Hendi, S. Panahian, S. Upadhyay and B. Eslam Panah, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 084036 (2017).
- [36] S. H. Hendi R. B. Mann S. Panahian and B. Eslam Panah, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 021501(R) (2017).
- [37] S. H. Hendi and A. Dehghani, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 277 (2019).
- [38] A. Dehghani and S. H. Hendi, Class. Quantum Gravit. **37**, 024001 (2020).

- [39] A. Dehghani, S. H. Hendi and R. B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 084026 (2020)
- [40] K. Meng and J. Li, *EPL* **116**, 1, 10005 (2016).
- [41] S. Hendi and A. Nemati, [arXiv:1912.06824].
- [42] M. Sadeghi, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 875 (2018).
- [43] S. H. Hendi and M. Momennia, *JHEP* **10**, 207 (2019).
- [44] B. P. Dolan, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **28**, 235017 (2011).
- [45] B. P. Dolan, D. Kastor, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann and J. Traschen, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 104017 (2013).
- [46] B. P. Dolan, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **28**, 125020 (2011).
- [47] N. Altamirano, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann and Z. Sherkatghanad, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **31**, 042001 (2014).
- [48] S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044057 (2014).
- [49] R. A. Hennigar, W. G. Brenna and R. B. Mann, *JHEP* **07**, 077 (2015).
- [50] S. Gunasekaran, R. B. Mann and D. Kubiznak, *JHEP* **11**, 110 (2012).
- [51] A. M. Frassino, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann and F. Simovic, *JHEP* **09**, 080 (2014).
- [52] R. A. Hennigar and R. B. Mann, *Entropy* **17**, 8056 (2015).
- [53] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, Z. Zaz and A. Bhat, *Phys. Lett. B* **773**, 325 (2017).
- [54] G. Jafari and H. Soltanpanahi, *JHEP* **02**, 195 (2019).
- [55] S. Das, P. Majumdar and R.K. Bhaduri, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **19**, 2355 (2002).
- [56] S. Carlip, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **17**, 4175 (2000).
- [57] B. Pourhassan and M. Faizal, *EPL* **111**, 40006 (2015).
- [58] B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal and U. Debnath *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 145 (2016).
- [59] J. Sadeghi, B. Pourhassan and M. Rostami, *Phys. Rev D* **94**, 064006 (2016).
- [60] B. Pourhassan and M. Faizal, *Nucl. Phys. B* **913**, 834 (2016).
- [61] M. Faizal, A. Ashour, M. Alcheikh, L. Alasfar, S. Alsaleh and A. Mahroussah, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 9, 608 (2017).
- [62] T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [63] O. J. Rosten, *Phys. Rep.* **511**, 177 (2012).
- [64] N. P. Warner, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **17**, 1287 (2000).
- [65] C. de Rham and S. Melville, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 221101 (2018).
- [66] A. F. Ali and M. M. Khalil, *EPL* **110**, 20009 (2015).
- [67] M. Assanioussi and A. Dapor, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 063513 (2017).
- [68] S. H. Hendi and M. Faizal, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 044027 (2015).
- [69] A. F. Ali, M. Faizal and M. M. Khalil, *JHEP* **12**, 159 (2014).
- [70] A. F. Ali, M. Faizal and M. M. Khalil, *Nucl. Phys. B* **894**, 341 (2015).
- [71] A. F. Ali, M. Faizal and M. M. Khalil, *Phys. Lett. B* **743**, 295 (2015).
- [72] Y. Heydarzade, P.Rudra, B. Pourhassan, M. Faizal, A. Farag Ali and F. Darabi, *JCAP* **06**, 038 (2018).
- [73] S. Chougule, S. Dey, B. Pourhassan and M. Faizal, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 685 (2018).

- [74] G. Amelino-Camelia, J. R. Ellis, N. Mavromatos, and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **12**, 607 (1997).
- [75] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Living Rev. Rel.* **16**, 5 (2013).
- [76] G. Amelino-Camelia, J. R. Ellis, N. Mavromatos, D. V. Nanopoulos, and S. Sarkar, *Nature* **393**, 763 (1998).
- [77] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 190403 (2002).
- [78] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **21**, 1725 (2004).
- [79] S. Hendi, S. Panahiyan and B. Eslam Panah, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **25**, 1650010 (2015).
- [80] B. Mirza and Z. Sherkatghanad, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 084006 (2014).
- [81] S. Das and M. Faizal, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **50**, 87 (2018).
- [82] S. Das, M. Faizal and E. C. Vagenas, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27**, 1847002 (2018).