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#### Abstract

For $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \beta<0$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 \beta}{1-m}$, we prove the existence, uniqueness and asymptotics near the origin of the singular eternal self-similar solutions of the fast diffusion equation in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form $U_{\lambda}(x, t)=e^{-\alpha t} f_{\lambda}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right), x \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $f_{\lambda}$ is a radially symmetric function satisfying $$
\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta f^{m}+\alpha f+\beta x \cdot \nabla f=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\},
$$ with $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{2} f(r)^{1-m}}{\log r^{-1}}=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{\beta \beta(1-m)}$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r)=\lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}}$, for some constant $\lambda>0$. As a consequence we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of Cauchy problem for the fast diffusion equation $u_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^{m}$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)$ with initial value $u_{0}$ satisfying $f_{\lambda_{1}}(x) \leq u_{0}(x) \leq f_{\lambda_{2}}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, which satisfies $U_{\lambda_{1}}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq U_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \geq 0$, for some constants $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0$.

We also prove the asymptotic behaviour of such singular solution $u$ of the fast diffusion equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when $n=3,4$ and $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ holds. Asymptotic behaviour of such singular solution $u$ of the fast diffusion equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is also obtained when $3 \leq n<8$, $1-\sqrt{2 / n} \leq m<\min \left(\frac{2(n-2)}{3 n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right)$, and $u(x, t)$ is radially symmetric in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for any $t>0$ under appropriate conditions on the initial value $u_{0}$.


## 1. Introduction

Recently there is a lot of study on the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^{m}, \quad u>0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, T), T>0$, by D.G. Aronson [A], P. Daskalopoulos, J. King, M. del Pino, N. Sesum, M. Sáez, [DKS], [DPS], [DS1], [DS2], [PS], S.Y. Hsu [Hs1], [Hs2], [Hs3], K.M. Hui [H1], [H2], [H3], M. Fila, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, J.L. Vazquez [FVWY], [FW1], [FW2], [FW3], [VW], [V1], etc. We refer the readers to the survey paper [A] and the books [DK], [V2] on the recent results of (1.1).

For $m>1$, (1.1) arises in the flow of gases through porous media or oil passing through sand, etc., and it is called the porous medium equation. For $m=1,1.1$ is the heat equation. For $0<m<1$, 1.1) is called the fast diffusion equation. If $g=u^{\frac{4}{n+2}} d x^{2}$ is a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, then $g$ satisfies the Yamabe flow,

$$
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}=-R g \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, T),
$$

if and only if $u$ satisfies (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, T)$ with $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$.

[^0]As observed by J.L. Vazquez [V1] and others there is a considerable difference in the behaviour of the solutions of (1.1) for the cases $0<m<\frac{(n-2)_{+}}{n}, \frac{(n-2)_{+}}{n}<m<1$, and $m>1$. For example when $m>1$, if the initial value $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ has compact support, then the solution $u$ of

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^{m} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, T)  \tag{1.2}\\ u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

will have compact support for any $0<t<T$ [A]. On the other hand when $0<m<1$ and $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ has compact support, M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre [HP] proved that the solution $u$ of (1.2) is positive on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, T)$. M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre HP also proved that when $\frac{n-2}{n}<m<1$ and $0 \leq u_{0} \not \equiv 0$, there exists a unique global positive solution of (1.2). On the other hand when $0<m<\frac{(n-2)_{+}}{n}$, it is known that there exist solutions of (1.2) which extinct in a finite time. For example the Barenblatt solution [DS1],

$$
B_{k}(x, t)=(T-t)^{\frac{n}{n-2-n m}}\left(\frac{C^{*}}{k+(T-t)^{\frac{2}{n-2-n m}}|x|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}, \quad k>0
$$

where

$$
C^{*}=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{1-m}
$$

is a positive solution of (1.2) with $u_{0}=B_{k}(x, 0)$ which vanishes identically at $t=T$.
There is a lot of research on (1.1) for the case $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$ recently by P. Daskalopoulos, J. King, M. del Pino, N. Sesum [DKS], [DPS], S.Y. Hsu [Hs1], [Hs2], [Hs3], K.M. Hui [H1], [H2], [H3], J.L. Vazquez [V1], etc. On the other hand various singular solutions of (1.1) in the Euclidean space minus a finite number of points which blow up either at the origin or at a finite number of points for the case $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, n \geq 3$, were studied by K.M. Hui, Soojung Kim, Sunghoon Kim, T. Jin and J. Xiong, etc. [HK], [HKs, [JX].

In this paper, for $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$, we study the existence and uniqueness of eternal self-similar solutions of (1.1) which blow up at the origin for all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with specific growth rate at 0 . Moreover, we study the existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic large time behaviour of the singular solution to the Cauchy problem,

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^{m} & \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.3}\\ u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\},\end{cases}
$$

which lying between two singular self-similar solutions.
The main difficulty of the theory is to find appropriate weighted $L^{1}$-contraction, the weighted $L^{1}$-norm of the difference of two solutions is nonincreasing with respect to the time variable. The property is mainly used in the proof of the uniqueness and asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution of (1.3).

In the study of the $L^{1}$-contraction, we found out that the growth rate of solutions at the origin is relatively high to have appropriate $L^{1}$-contraction for the uniqueness and large time asymptotic behaviour, if we take $|x|^{-\mu}(0<\mu \leq n-2 /(1-m))$ by the weight function and estimate the difference of two solutions by a self-similar solution as in [HK].

Thus, in Section 3 for the first step, we suggest a more accurate estimate on the difference of two self-similar solutions, Corollary 3.12, by using the higher order asymptocity of self-similar solutions, Theorem 1.3. Then, we have $L^{1}$-contraction with weight function $|x|^{-\mu}$, Theorem 1.4 and have the uniqueness of the solution of 1.3 lying between two singular self-similar solutions, Theorem 1.5 ,

For the asymptotic behaviour of the solution, we need more strong property on the weighted $L^{1}$-contraction that the weighted $L^{1}$-norm of the difference of two rescaled solutions vanishes as time goes to infinity. However, the property is not obtained by the $L^{1}$-contraction with weight function $|x|^{-\mu}$.

Therefore, for $n=3,4, \frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, we introduce the $L^{1}$-contraction with a power of self-similar solution as the weight function, which implies the vanishing property and the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8. Furthermore, if the initial value of the solution is radially symmetric, then we have the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution in higher dimension $3 \leq n<8$, $1-\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \leq m \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(n-2)}{3 n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right\}$, Theorem 1.9
1.1. Contents and methodology. In section for $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$, we will study the existence and uniqueness of radially symmetric eternal self-similar solutions of (1.1) in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$
U(x, t):=e^{-\alpha t} f\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

that blow up at $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, where $f$ is a radially symmetric function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta f^{m}+\alpha f+\beta x \cdot \nabla f=0, \quad f>0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-1}{m}\left(\left(f^{m}\right)_{r r}+\frac{n-1}{r}\left(f^{m}\right)_{r}\right)+\alpha f+\beta r f_{r}=0, \quad f>0, \quad \forall r>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta<0 \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=\frac{2 \beta}{1-m} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f$ blows up at the origin, Theorem 1.1 Furthermore, we prove that such function $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{2} f(r)^{1-m}}{\log r^{-1}}=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)|\beta|} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r)=A \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $A>0$, Theorem 1.3 ,
We note that for $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$, forward self-similar solutions of (1.1) which blow up at the origin for $t>0$ are constructed by K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim in HK. The self-similar solutions constructed in [HK] are of the form,

$$
U(x, t)=t^{-\alpha} f\left(t^{-\beta} x\right) \quad \forall 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t>0
$$

where $f$ is a radially symmetric solution of 1.4 with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{2 \beta-1}{1-m}, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{2-\gamma(1-m)}, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{2}{1-m}<\gamma<\frac{n-2}{m} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved in [HK] that such function $f$ with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.9) satisfies

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{\alpha / \beta} f(r)=A \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r)=D_{A}
$$

for some constant $A>0$ and some constant $D_{A}>0$ depending on $A$. Thus the behaviour of the solution $f$ of (1.5) with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.6) that blows up at the origin is completely different from the behaviour of the solution $f$ of (1.5) with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.9) that blows up at the origin.

In section 3 we prove the higher order asymptotics of the eternal self-similar solutions near the origin, Theorem 1.3, by using modifications of the proofs in [Hs4].

In section4 as a consequence, we will prove the existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic large time behaviour of the singular solutions of (1.3).

Precisely, by using Theorem 1.3, we have $L^{1}$-contraction with weight $|x|^{-\mu}(0<\mu \leq$ $n-2 /(1-m)$ ), Theorem 1.5. Therefore, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the singular solution to the Cauchy problem (1.3), which blows up at the origin for all time $t>0$ when $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, n \geq 3$, and the initial value $u_{0}$ lies between two solutions of (1.5) which blow up at the origin with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.6).

Furthermore, for $n=3,4, \frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and $\alpha, \beta$ given by (1.6), we prove $L^{1}$ contraction with a postive power of the self-similar function $f_{\lambda}$ as the weight function, Theorem 1.7. Therefore, we have the asymptotic large time behaviour of the singular solution of (1.3), under some appropriate conditions on the initial value $u_{0}$, Theorem 1.8 More precisely, if $u$ is the solution of 1.3 , then under appropriate condition on the initial value $u_{0}$, we proved that the rescaled function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}(x, t)=e^{\alpha t} u\left(e^{\beta t} x, t\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \geq 0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ to some radially symmetric function $f$ which satisfies (1.5) and blows up at the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the rescaled function $\widetilde{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\widetilde{u}_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta \widetilde{u}^{m}+\alpha \widetilde{u}+\beta y \cdot \nabla \widetilde{u} & \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.11}\\ \widetilde{u}(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} .\end{cases}
$$

In section 55 we prove the asymptotic large time behaviour of the radially symmetric singular solutions of (1.3), Theorem 1.9. If $u$ is a radially symmetric solution of (1.1), then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, t)=|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} u\left(r^{-1}, t\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, r=|x|>0, t>0, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m}|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta \bar{u}^{m} \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $u$ is a radially symmetric solution of (1.3), then the function $\bar{u}$ given by 1.12 ) satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\bar{u}_{t}=\frac{n-1}{m}|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta \bar{u}^{m} & \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.14}\\ \bar{u}(\cdot, 0)=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u_{0}\left(r^{-1}\right)=: \bar{u}_{0}(r) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} .\end{cases}
$$

By studying the asymptotic large time behaviour of the radially symmetric solution $\bar{u}$ of (1.14), we obtain the asymptotic large time behaviour of the radially symmetric solution $u$ of (1.3), which blow up at the origin with initial value $u_{0}$ lying between two solutions of (1.5) that blow up at the origin for some constants $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.6) for the case $3 \leq n<8$ and $1-\sqrt{2 / n} \leq m<\min \left(\frac{2(n-2)}{3 n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right)$.

We note that in section 2, the inversion technique of K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim [HK] is exploited to study the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1.5) with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.6). More precisely, if $f$ is a solution of (1.5), then as proved by K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim [HK] the function $g$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r):=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f\left(r^{-1}\right) \quad \forall r>0, \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n-1}{m}\left(\left(g^{m}\right)_{r r}+\frac{n-1}{r}\left(g^{m}\right)_{r}\right)+r^{\frac{n-2}{m}-n-2}\left(\widetilde{\alpha} g+\widetilde{\beta} r g_{r}\right)=0, \quad g>0, \quad \text { in }(0, \infty), \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\alpha}=\alpha-\frac{n-2}{m} \beta \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{\beta}=-\beta \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $g$ is a solution of 1.16 with $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ satisfying 1.6 and 1.17 respectively, then the function $f$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r):=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} g\left(r^{-1}\right) \quad \forall r>0, \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies (1.5) with $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying (1.6). Hence, the existence of a solution $f$ of (1.5) which blows up at the origin follows from the existence of a solution $g$ of 1.16 which was proved in [HK]. We will also use a modification of the proof of S.Y. Hsu [Hs1] to prove the growth rate of the solution $g$ of (1.16) at infinity which by 1.18 then implies the blow up rate of $f$ at the origin.

In the rest of the paper, unless otherwise stated, we will let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and let $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be as given by (1.6) and (1.17), respectively. If $u$ is the solution of (1.1), we will let $\widetilde{u}$ and $\bar{u}$ be as given by (1.10) and (1.12), respectively. Note that by (1.6) and 1.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}=-\frac{2}{1-m}+\frac{n-2}{m} \in\left(0, \frac{n-2}{m}\right), \quad \widetilde{\beta}>0, \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{\alpha}>0 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. Definitions. For any function $k: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, let

$$
L^{1}\left(k ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right):=\left\{h \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}|h(x)| k(x) d x<\infty\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|h\|_{L^{1}\left(k ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}|h(x)| k(x) d x
$$

For any $0 \leq u_{0} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, we say that $u$ is a solution of (1.3) if $u>0$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times$ $(0, \infty)$ satisfies (1.1) in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)$ in the classical sense and

$$
\left\|u(\cdot, t)-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(K)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
$$

for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.
For any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $R>0$, we let $B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<R\right\}$ and $B_{R}=B_{R}(0)$. For any $R>1$, let $A_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: 1 / R<|x|<R\right\}$. For any set $E$ we let $\chi_{E}$ be the characteristic function of $E$. We also let $\omega_{n}$ denote the surface area of $S^{n-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|=1\right\}$.
1.3. Main theorems. The main results are the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence of self-similar profile). Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha, \beta$ be as given by (1.6). Then for any constant $A>0$ there exists a unique solution $f=f_{\beta, A}$ of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8). Moreover, $f$ satifies 1.7) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha f(r)+\beta r f_{r}(r)>0 \quad \forall r>0 \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{1}$ be the unique radially symmetric solution of which satisfies with $A=1$ given by Theorem 1.1 ,

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f_{1}(\lambda x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \lambda>0,  \tag{1.21}\\
g_{\lambda}(r)=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\lambda}\left(r^{-1}\right) \quad \forall r>0, \lambda>0,  \tag{1.22}\\
U_{\lambda}(x, t)=e^{-\alpha t} f_{\lambda}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0, \tag{1.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{\lambda}(r, t)=e^{-\widetilde{\alpha} t} g_{\lambda}\left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t} r\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0 \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the rest of the paper. Note that by (1.22), (1.23) and (1.24).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{\lambda}(r, t)=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} U_{\lambda}\left(r^{-1}, t\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0 . \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the definition (1.24) is consistent with the definition (1.12).
Remark 1.2. The function $f_{\lambda}$ satisfies (1.5) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{2} f_{\lambda}(r)^{1-m}}{\log r^{-1}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\lambda r)^{2} f_{1}(\lambda r)^{1-m}}{\log \lambda-\log (\lambda r)}=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{|\beta|(1-m)} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\lambda}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}}(\lambda r)^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{1}(\lambda r)=\lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by Theorem 1.1] $f_{\lambda}=f_{\beta, A}$ is the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7) and (1.8) with $A=\lambda^{-\gamma_{1}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=\frac{n-2}{m}-\frac{2}{1-m}=\frac{n-2-n m}{m(1-m)}>0 . \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since $f_{1}$ satisfies (1.8) with $A=1$, there exists a constant $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{1}(r) \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \forall r \geq r_{1}
$$

Hence, by (1.21),

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} \leq r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\lambda}(r) \leq \frac{3}{2} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} \quad \forall r \geq r_{1} / \lambda
$$

Moreover, by (1.26), there exist constants $c_{2}>c_{1}>0$ such that for any $\lambda>0$, there exists a constant $r_{2}=r_{2}(\lambda)>0$ such that

$$
c_{1}\left(\frac{\log r^{-1}}{r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \leq f_{\lambda}(r) \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{\log r^{-1}}{r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \quad \forall 0<r<r_{2}(\lambda) .
$$

Theorem 1.3 (Higher order asymptotic of self-similar solutions near the origin). Let $n \geq 3$, $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \lambda>0, A>0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}, \gamma_{1}$ be as given in 1.6, 1.17, and 1.28) respectively. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}:=\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}}-\frac{(1-m)^{2} a_{2}(1,1)}{4(n-1)(n-2-n m)^{2}} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}=\frac{(1-m) K(1,1)}{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}, \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})=\frac{2(1-2 m)(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)^{2}}+\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{(1-m)^{2}} \\
\quad-\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{(1-m)} K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta}) \widetilde{\beta} \tag{1.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ is given by (3.23) for $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and by for $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$ respectively. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}(A, \widetilde{\beta})=a_{1}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m) \gamma_{1}} \log \left(A \widetilde{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right) \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{\beta, A}$ by the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) and let $f_{\lambda}(r)$ be as given by (1.21). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\beta, A}^{1-m}(r)= & \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)|\beta| r^{2}}\left\{\log r^{-1}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m)} \log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)\right. \\
& +K_{0}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}} \log A+\frac{m}{n-2-n m} \log |\beta|+\frac{a_{3}(A, \widetilde{\beta})}{\log r^{-1}} \\
& \left.+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)}{\log r^{-1}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\log r^{-1}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.33}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\lambda}^{1-m}(r)=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)|\beta| r^{2}}\left\{\log r^{-1}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m)} \log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)\right. \\
&+K_{0}-\log \lambda+\frac{m}{n-2-n m} \log |\beta|+\frac{a_{3}\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_{1}}, \widetilde{\beta}\right)}{\log r^{-1}} \\
&\left.+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)}{\log r^{-1}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\log r^{-1}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem $1.4\left(L^{1}\right.$-contraction with weight $\left.|x|^{-\mu}\right)$. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0$, and $\alpha, \beta$ be as given by (1.6). Let

$$
\mu_{1}=n-\frac{2}{1-m}
$$

and $f_{\lambda_{i}}, U_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2$ be as given by (1.21) and (1.23) respectively with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Let $u_{0,1}$, $u_{0,2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda_{1}}(x) \leq u_{0, i}(x) \leq f_{\lambda_{2}}(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \quad \forall i=1,2 \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\lambda_{1}}(x, t) \leq u_{i}(x, t) \leq U_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t) \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty) \quad \forall i=1,2 . \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0,1}-u_{0,2} \in L^{1}\left(|x|^{-\mu} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some constant $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{1}\right]$. Then for

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mu<\mu_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu=\mu_{1} \\
0<m<\min \left(\frac{n-2}{n}, \frac{1}{2}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(x, t)|x|^{-\mu} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}-u_{0,2}\right|(x)|x|^{-\mu} d x \quad \forall t>0 \tag{1.39}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)_{+}(x, t)|x|^{-\mu} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left(u_{0,1}-u_{0,2}\right)_{+}(x)|x|^{-\mu} d x \quad \forall t>0 \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Theorem 1.5 (Existence and uniqueness of solution). Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha$, $\beta$ be as given by (1.6). Let $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0$ and $f_{\lambda_{i}}, U_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2$ be as given by (1.21) and (1.23) respectively with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Suppose $u_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda_{1}} \leq u_{0} \leq f_{\lambda_{2}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.3) has a unique solution $u$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t} \leq \frac{u}{(1-m) t} \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty) \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\lambda_{1}}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq U_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t) \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty) \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $u_{0}$ is radially symmetric, then for any $t>0, u(x, t)$ is radially symmetric in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.

Remark 1.6. If $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}, u_{1}, u_{2}$, and $\mu$ are as given by Theorem 1.4 then by Theorem 1.4

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{1}-\widetilde{u}_{2}\right|(x, t)|x|^{-\mu} d x & =e^{(\alpha-\beta n+\beta \mu) t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t)|y|^{-\mu} d y \quad \forall t>0,0<\mu \leq \mu_{1} \\
& \leq e^{(\alpha-\beta n+\beta \mu) t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{0,1}-\widetilde{u}_{0,2}\right|(x)|x|^{-\mu} d x \quad \forall t>0,0<\mu \leq \mu_{1} \tag{1.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{1}\right], \alpha-\beta n+\beta \mu \geq 0$. Hence one does not know whether the left hand side of (1.44) will converge to zero as $t$ goes to infinity. Thus for the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solutions of (1.3), we need another $L^{1}$-contraction result for the solutions of (1.3) with weight $f_{\lambda}^{m \gamma}$ for some constant $\gamma>0$ that will imply the difference of the rescaled solutions of 1.3 in the weighted $L^{1}$-norm converges to zero as $t$ goes to infinity.
Theorem 1.7. Let $n=3,4, \frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}:=\frac{1-m}{2 m}\left(n-\frac{2}{1-m}\right) \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha, \beta$ be as given by (1.6). Let $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}>0$, and $f_{\lambda_{i}}, U_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2,3$, be as given by (1.21) and (1.23) respectively with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ satisfy (1.35),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0,1}-u_{0,2} \in L^{1}\left(f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy (1.36). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}-u_{0,2}\right|(x) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that when $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, 1.47) implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{1}-\widetilde{u}_{2}\right|(y, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(y) d y \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}(y)-u_{0,2}(y)\right| f_{e^{-\beta \lambda_{3}}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(y) d y \quad \forall t>0
$$

and the right hand side converges to zero as $t$ goes to infinity, since by Remark $2.7 f_{\lambda}(x)$ converges to zero for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.8 (Asymptotic behaviour of solutions). Let $n=3,4, \frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha$, $\beta, \gamma_{2}$ be as given by (1.6) and (1.45) respectively. Let $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{0} \geq \lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}>0$, and $f_{\lambda_{i}}$, $i=0,1,2,3$ be as given by (1.21) with $\lambda=\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. Let $u_{0}$ satisfy 1.41) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}-f_{\lambda_{0}} \in L^{1}\left(f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u$ be the solution of (1.3) which satisfies 1.43) and let $\widetilde{u}$ be given by (1.10). Then $\widetilde{u}(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^{2}(K)$ for any compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ to $f_{\lambda_{0}}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow f_{\lambda_{0}} \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.9 (Asymptotic behaviour of radially symmetric solutions). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \leq n<8 \quad \text { and } \quad 1-\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \leq m<\min \left(\frac{2(n-2)}{3 n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right) \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be as given by (1.6) and 1.17) respectively and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}:=\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{n \widetilde{\beta}}{\widetilde{\alpha}}-1\right)=\frac{n(1-m)}{n-2-n m}-\frac{1}{m} \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{0} \geq \lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}>0$, and $f_{\lambda_{i}}, i=0,1,2,3$ be as given by (1.21) with $\lambda=$ $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. Let $u_{0}$ be a radially symmetric function that satisfy (1.41) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}-f_{\lambda_{0}} \in L^{1}\left(|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \tag{1.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u$ be the solution of (1.3) which satisfies (1.43) and let $\widetilde{u}$ be given by (1.10). Then $\widetilde{u}(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^{2}(K)$ for any any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ to $f_{\lambda_{0}}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow f_{\lambda_{0}} \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty . \tag{1.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Existence and uniqueness of radially symmetric eternal self-similar solutions

In this section, by using the inversion method of K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim [HK], we will prove the existence of solution $f$ of (1.5) which blow up at the origin with $\alpha, \beta$ as given by (1.6). We will also prove the growth estimates 1.7 and 1.8 for such solution $f$ and the uniqueness of such solution. We first recall a result of [HK].
Theorem 2.1 (Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 of [HK]). Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \widetilde{\alpha}>0$, $\widetilde{\beta}>0, \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\bar{\beta}} \leq \frac{n-2}{m}$, and $\eta>0$.
(a) If $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n+1}$, then there exists a unique solution $g \in C^{1}([0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{2}((0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R})$ of (1.16) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)=\eta \quad \text { and } \quad g_{r}(0)=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $\frac{n-2}{n+1} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, then there exists a unique solution $g \in C^{0, \delta_{0}}([0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R}) \cap C^{2}((0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R})$ of (1.16) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)=\eta \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} r^{\delta_{1}} g_{r}(r)=-\frac{\widetilde{\alpha} \eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2 m} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=1-\frac{n-2-n m}{m} \in[0,1) \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{0}=\frac{1-\delta_{1}}{2}=\frac{n-2-n m}{2 m} \in(0,1 / 2] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{\widetilde{\alpha}} r g_{r}(r)>0 \quad \forall r>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g^{m}\right)_{r r}+\frac{n-1}{r}\left(g^{m}\right)_{r}<0 \quad \forall r>0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unless otherwise stated, we will use $g=g_{\widetilde{\beta}, \eta}(r)$ to denote the unique solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{n-1}{m}\left(\left(g^{m}\right)_{r r}+\frac{n-1}{r}\left(g^{m}\right)_{r}\right)+r^{\frac{n-2}{m}-n-2}\left(\widetilde{\alpha} g+\widetilde{\beta} r g_{r}\right)=0, \quad g>0, \quad \text { in }(0, \infty)  \tag{2.6}\\
g(0)=\eta
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some constant $\eta>0$ given by Theorem 2.1 and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r):=r^{(1-m) \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta}} g(r)^{1-m} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By abuse of notation, we will also let $g(x)$ denote the radially symmetric function on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ with value $g(|x|)$.

## Lemma 2.2.

$$
w_{r}(r)>0 \quad \forall r>0
$$

Proof. By (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{r}(r)=(1-m) \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}} r^{\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\bar{\beta}}(1-m)-1} g(r)^{-m}\left(g(r)+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{\widetilde{\alpha}} r g_{r}(r)\right)>0 \quad \forall r>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the lemma follows.
Let $q(r)=r^{\widetilde{\alpha} / \widetilde{\beta}} g(r), s=\log r$, and $\widetilde{q}(s)=q(r)$. Then

$$
r^{2}\left(q^{m}\right)_{r r}+\left(n-1-\frac{2 m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) r\left(q^{m}\right)_{r}-\frac{m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\left(n-2-\frac{m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) q^{m}+\frac{m \widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} r q_{r}=0 \quad \forall r>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{q}^{m}\right)_{s s}+\left(n-2-\frac{2 m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)\left(\widetilde{q}^{m}\right)_{s}-\frac{m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\left(n-2-\frac{m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \widetilde{q}^{m}+\frac{m \widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \widetilde{q}_{s}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{w}(s)=\widetilde{q}^{1-m}(s)$. Then $w(r)=\widetilde{w}(s)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{w}_{s s}=\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}}{\widetilde{w}}-\frac{(n+2) m-(n-2)}{1-m} \widetilde{w}_{s}+\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} \widetilde{w}-\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \widetilde{w}^{w} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{r r}+\left(n-1-\frac{2 m \widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \frac{w_{r}}{r}-\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \frac{w_{r}^{2}}{w}+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \frac{w w_{r}}{r}-\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} \frac{w}{r^{2}}=0 \quad \forall r>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}=\left(n-2-\frac{2 \widetilde{\alpha} m}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)=\frac{(n+2) m-(n-2)}{1-m} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{1}=\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.10) and (2.11) are of the same form as (3.7) and (3.8) of [Hs1], by the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [Hs1] but with (2.10) and (2.11) replacing (3.7), (3.8) in the proof there, the following result is obtained. We briefly introduce the proof of the following lemma, for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.3. There exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r w_{r}(r)}{w(r)} \leq C_{1}, \quad \forall r \geq 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} \leq r w_{r}(r) \leq C_{3}, \quad \forall r \geq 1 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we note that $\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} q(s)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \tilde{q}_{s}(-\infty)=0$ and by Lemma 2.2, $\tilde{q}_{s}>0$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. If $b_{0} \geq 0$, then by (2.9),

$$
\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)_{s s}-\hat{b}_{1} \tilde{q}^{m} \leq 0 \Rightarrow\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)_{s} \leq b_{1} \tilde{q}^{m} \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{q}_{s}}{\tilde{q}} \leq \frac{b_{1}}{m} \Rightarrow \frac{r w_{s}}{w} \leq \frac{(1-m) b_{1}}{m}, \quad \forall r \geq 0
$$

and (2.13) follows.
If $b_{0}<0$, by 2.9,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)_{s s}+b_{0}\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)_{s}-\hat{b}_{1} q^{m} \leq 0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p=\frac{\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)^{\prime}}{\tilde{q}^{m}}$. Then by (2.16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}=\frac{\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\tilde{q}^{m}}-\frac{\left(\left(\tilde{q}^{m}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\tilde{q}^{m}} \leq\left|b_{0}\right| p+\hat{b}_{1}-p^{2}=-\left(p-\left(\left|b_{0}\right| / 2\right)\right)^{2}+\hat{b}_{1}+\left(b_{0}^{2} / 4\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
b_{2}=\max \left(\frac{3 m}{2} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}, \sqrt{\hat{b}_{1}+b_{0}^{2}}+\left|b_{0}\right|\right) .
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(s) \leq b_{2} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose (2.18) does not hold. Then there exists $s_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p\left(s_{0}\right)>b_{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(s)=m \frac{\tilde{q}^{\prime}}{\tilde{q}}=\frac{m}{1-m} \frac{\tilde{w}^{\prime}}{\tilde{w}}=\frac{m}{1-m} \frac{r w^{\prime}}{w}=m \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}\left(1+\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \frac{r g^{\prime}}{g}\right), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p(s) \rightarrow m \tilde{\tilde{\alpha}}$ as $s \rightarrow-\infty$. Let $s_{1}=\inf \left\{s^{\prime}<s_{0}: p(s)>b_{2}, \forall s^{\prime} \leq s \leq s_{0}\right\}$. Then, we know that $-\infty<s_{1}<s_{0}, p(s)>b_{2}$ for all $s \in\left(s_{1}, s_{0}\right)$ and $p\left(s_{1}\right)=b_{2}$. By (2.17), $p^{\prime}<0$ for all $s \in\left(s_{1}, s_{0}\right)$. Hence $p\left(s_{0}\right) \leq p\left(s_{1}\right)=b_{2}$. Thus contradiction arises and (2.18) follows. Then by (2.18) and (2.19), (2.13) holds with $C_{1}=b_{2} \frac{1-m}{m}$.

The inequalities (2.14) and the limit of $w(2.15)$ are proved exactly the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [Hs1], with constants $a_{1}=\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}(1-m)\left(n-2-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} m\right)=\frac{m}{1-m} b_{1}>0$, $a_{2}=\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{(n-1) a_{1}}, a_{3}=a_{1}^{-1} \max \left(\left|b_{0}\right|, \frac{|1-2 m|}{|1-m| w(1)}\right), C_{2}^{\prime}=\min \left(1,\left(2 a_{2}\right)^{-1}, \tilde{w}(1) /\left(8 a_{3}\right), \sqrt{\tilde{w}(1) /\left(8 a_{3}\right)}\right)$, $a_{4}=\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{3(n-1)}$, and $a_{5}=\frac{\tilde{\beta}(1-m)}{3(n-1)}$. Thus, we refere to [Hs1] for the rest of the proof.

## Theorem 2.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{w}(s)}{s}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(r)}{\log r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r w_{r}=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{w}_{s}(s)=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [Hs1] to prove this theorem. Let $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ be as given by (2.12) and let $v(r)=r w_{r}(r)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{n-1}{\widetilde{\beta}} b_{1}, \text { and } \quad v_{1}(r)=v(r)-a_{0} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.11) and a direct computation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r^{b_{0}} v(r) w^{\frac{2 m-1}{1-m}}\right)_{r}=\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \cdot \frac{w^{\frac{m}{1-m}}}{r^{1-b_{0}}}\left(a_{0}-v(r)\right) \quad \forall r>0 \\
\Rightarrow & v_{1, r}+\frac{b_{0}}{r} v_{1}+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \frac{w(r)}{r} v_{1}=\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{v(r)^{2}}{r w(r)}-\frac{b_{0} a_{0}}{r} \quad \forall r>0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $r_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.3, there exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ such that 2.13) and 2.14 hold. Then
by 2.14), there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\left\{v\left(r_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which we still denote by $\left\{v\left(r_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that the subsequence converges to some constant $v_{\infty}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} \leq v_{\infty} \leq C_{3} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\psi_{1}(r)=\exp \left(\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \int_{1}^{r} \rho^{-1} w(\rho) d \rho\right)
$$

Then by (2.22),

$$
\begin{align*}
r^{b_{0}} \psi_{1}(r) v_{1}(r)=f_{1}(1) & v_{1}(1)-a_{0} b_{0} \int_{1}^{r} \rho^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(\rho) d \rho \\
& +\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \int_{1}^{r} \rho^{b_{0}-1} v(\rho)^{2} \psi_{1}(\rho) w(\rho)^{-1} d \rho \quad \forall r \geq 1 \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.15) there exists a constant $r_{0}>1$ such that

$$
w(r)>\frac{n-1}{\widetilde{\beta}}\left(\left|b_{0}\right|+2\right) \quad \forall r \geq r_{0} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{1}(r) \geq\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{\left|b_{0}\right|+2} \quad \forall r \geq r_{0} \\
\Rightarrow & r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(r) \geq \frac{r^{\left|b_{0}\right|+b_{0}+1}}{r_{0}^{\left|b_{0}\right|+2}} \geq r_{0}^{b_{0}-2} r \quad \forall r \geq r_{0} \\
\Rightarrow & r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(r) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { and } \quad r \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.14), (2.15), (2.25), and the l'Hospital rule,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(r)}{w(r)}=\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(b_{0}-1+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} w(r)\right) r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(r)}{r w_{r}(r)}=\infty \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \int_{1}^{r} \frac{r^{b_{0}-1} v(\rho)^{2} \psi_{1}(\rho)}{w(\rho)} d \rho \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand by (2.15, 2.25) and the l'Hospital rule,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1}^{r} \rho^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(\rho) d \rho}{r^{b_{0}} \psi_{1}(r)} & =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(r)}{b_{0} r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(r)+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} r^{b_{0}-1} w(r) \psi_{1}(r)} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_{0}+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} w(r)}=0 . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.15), (2.23), (2.24), 2.25), 2.26, 2.27), and the l'Hospital rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} v_{1}\left(r_{i}\right) & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{1}(1) v_{1}(1)-a_{0} b_{0} \int_{1}^{r_{i}} \rho^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}(\rho) d \rho+\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \int_{1}^{r_{i}} \frac{\rho^{b_{0}-1} v(\rho)^{2} \psi_{1}(\rho)}{w(\rho)} d \rho}{r_{i}^{b_{0}} \psi_{1}\left(r_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{i}^{b_{0}-1} v\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} \psi_{1}\left(r_{i}\right) w\left(r_{i}\right)^{-1}}{b_{0} r^{b_{0}-1} \psi_{1}\left(r_{i}\right)+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} r^{b_{0}-1} w\left(r_{i}\right) \psi_{1}\left(r_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} w\left(r_{i}\right)^{-1}}{b_{0}+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} w\left(r_{i}\right)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} v\left(r_{i}\right)=a_{0}$. Since the sequence $\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary, $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=a_{0}$ and the theorem follows.

Theorem 2.5. For any constant $A>0$, there exists a solution $f$ of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7), (1.8) and (1.20).

Proof. Let $g$ be the unique solution of (2.6) given by Theorem 2.1 with $\eta=A$. Then the function $f$ given by (1.18) satisfies (1.5). By (1.18), (1.19), (2.6) and Theorem 2.4 (1.7) and (1.8) hold. By (1.6), (1.19) and (2.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha f(r)+\beta r f_{r}(r) & =\beta\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} f(r)+r f_{r}(r)\right) \\
& =\beta r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-\frac{n-2}{m}\right) g\left(r^{-1}\right)-r^{-1} g_{r}\left(r^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\widetilde{\beta} r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}} g\left(r^{-1}\right)+r^{-1} g_{r}\left(r^{-1}\right)\right)>0 \quad \forall r>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and (1.20) follows.
We next observe that the proof of Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and Remark 2 of [HK] still holds when $\alpha, \beta$ are given by (1.6). Hence we have the following results.

Lemma 2.6 (cf. Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 of [HK]). Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha$, $\beta$ be as given by (1.6). Let $f$ be a solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) for some positive constant $A$. Let $g, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be as given by (1.15) and (1.17) respectively. Then $f$ satisfies (1.20) and $g$ is equal to the solution of (2.6) given by Theorem 2.1] with $\eta=A$.

Remark 2.7 (cf. Remark 2 of [HK]). Let $f_{\lambda}$ be as given by (1.21). Then

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} f_{\lambda}(r)<0 \quad \forall r>0, \lambda>0
$$

Moreover for any $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0$, there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
c_{0} f_{\lambda_{2}}(r) \leq f_{\lambda_{1}}(r)<f_{\lambda_{2}}(r) \quad \forall r>0 .
$$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1
Proof of Theorem 1.1] By Theorem 2.5] for any $A>0$, there exists a solution $f=f_{\beta, A}$ of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7), (1.8) and (1.20). It remains to prove the uniqueness of solution of (1.5) that satisfies (1.8). Suppose (1.5) has two solutions $f_{1}, f_{2}$, that satisfy (1.8). Let $g_{1}, g_{2}$ be given by 1.15) with $f=f_{1}, f_{2}$. By Lemma 2.6 both $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are the solution of (2.6) with $\eta=A$ given by Theorem 2.1. Hence by (1.15) and Theorem 2.1

$$
g_{1}(r)=g_{2}(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{1}(r)=f_{2}(r) \quad \forall r>0
$$

and the theorem follows.

## 3. Higher order asymptotics of eternal self-similar solutions near the origin

In this section, we will use a modification of the technique of [CD] and [Hs4] to prove the higher order asymptotic of the eternal self-similar solutions near the origin. Since the proofs are similar to that of [CD] and [Hs4], we will only sketch the proofs here.

By (2.10,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{w}_{s s}=\left(\frac{1-2 m}{1-m}\right) \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}}{\widetilde{w}}+\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m} \widetilde{w}_{s}+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1}\left(\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}}-\widetilde{w}_{s}\right) \widetilde{w} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is the same as (2.2) of [Hs4] if $\widetilde{\beta}$ is replaced by the constant $\beta$ in the paper and the sign of the second term on the right hand side of (3.1) is change to negative sign. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)=\widetilde{w}(s)-\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} s . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s s}+\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) h_{s}=\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}}{\widetilde{w}}+b_{2} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b_{2}=\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)(n-2-(n+2) m)}{(1-m)^{2} \widetilde{\beta}} .
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then $h$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(s)}{\log s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s h_{s}(s)=\frac{(1-m) b_{2}}{2(n-2-n m)}=\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [Hs4] to prove this lemma. We first observe that by Theorem 2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}(s)}{\widetilde{w}(s)}=\frac{\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}(s)}{\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s \cdot(\widetilde{w}(s) / s)}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.3) and (3.5) for any $0<\varepsilon<\left|b_{2}\right| / 2$ there exists a constant $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}-\varepsilon \leq h_{s s}+\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) h_{s} \leq b_{2}+\varepsilon \quad \forall s \geq s_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(s)=\exp \left(\frac{n-2-n m}{1-m} s^{2}+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \int_{1}^{s} h(z) d z-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m} s\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying 3.6) by $\psi$ and integrating over $\left(s_{1}, s\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\psi\left(s_{1}\right) h_{s}\left(s_{1}\right)+\left(b_{2}-\varepsilon\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-1} \psi(s)} \leq \operatorname{sh}_{s}(s) \leq \frac{\psi\left(s_{1}\right) h_{s}\left(s_{1}\right)+\left(b_{2}+\varepsilon\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-1} \psi(s)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $s \geq s_{1}$. Note that by Theorem 2.4, $h(s)=o(s)$ and $h(s) / s \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\psi(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by the l'Hospital rule, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(s)}{s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s)\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right)=\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{s_{1}}^{s} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-1} \psi(s)} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(s)}{-s^{-2} \psi(s)+s^{-1} \psi(s)\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right)} \\
& =\frac{(1-m)}{2(n-2-n m)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting first $s \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.8), by (3.9) and (3.10) we get (3.4) and the lemma follows.

We introduce the result for the case $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$ in Proposition 3.1 of Hs4] and Proposition 2.3 of [CD].

Lemma 3.2. [CD, Hs4] Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2} h_{s}(s)=\frac{(n-1)(1-2 m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. Let $\psi$ be as given by (3.7). Since $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$, multiplying (3.3) by $\psi$ and integrating over $(1, s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} h_{s}(s)=\frac{\psi\left(s_{1}\right) h_{s}\left(s_{1}\right)+\frac{(1-2 m)}{(1-m)} \int_{1}^{s} \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}(z)}{\widetilde{w}(z)} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-2} \psi(s)} \quad \forall s \geq 1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (3.9) and the l'Hospital rule,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^{2}}{\psi(s)}=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 s}{\psi(s)\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)\right)}=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.4 and the l'Hospital rule,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1}^{s} \frac{\widetilde{s}_{s}^{2}(z)}{\widetilde{w}(z)} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-2} \psi(s)} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}(s)}{\widetilde{w}(s)} \psi(s)}{-2 s^{-3} \psi(s)+s^{-2} \psi(s)\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)\right)} \\
& =\frac{1-m}{2(n-2-n m)} \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}(s)}{\widetilde{w}(s) / s} \\
& =\frac{1-m}{2(n-2-n m)} \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{w}_{s} \\
& =\frac{n-1}{\widetilde{\beta}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), by (3.13) and (3.14) we get (3.11) and the lemma follows.
For $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}(s)=h(s)-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} \log s . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $h_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1, s s} & +\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) h_{1, s} \\
& =\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}}{\widetilde{w}}+a_{3}\left[\frac{1}{s^{2}}-\left(\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) \frac{1}{s}\right] \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
a_{3}=\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^{2} h_{1, s}(s)}{\log s}=-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{2(n-2-n m)(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.5 of Hs 4 to prove this lemma. Let

$$
\psi_{2}(s)=\frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}}{\widetilde{w}}+a_{3}\left[\frac{1}{s^{2}}-\left(\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) \frac{1}{s}\right]
$$

Then by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s \psi_{2}(s)}{\log s}=-\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{1-m} a_{3}=-a_{4} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{4}=\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{(1-m)^{2} \widetilde{\beta}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.16) and 3.18 for any $0<\varepsilon<a_{4} / 2$ there exists a constant $s_{1}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-a_{4}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\log s}{s} & \leq h_{1, s s}+\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) h_{1, s} \\
& \leq\left(-a_{4}+\varepsilon\right) \frac{\log s}{s} \quad \forall s \geq s_{1} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\psi$ be given by (3.7). Multiplying (3.20) by $\psi$ and integrating over $\left(s_{1}, s\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\psi\left(s_{1}\right) h_{1, s}\left(s_{1}\right)+\left(-a_{4}-\varepsilon\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \frac{\log z}{z} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-2} \psi(s) \log s}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{s^{2} h_{1, s}(s)}{\log s} \leq\left(\frac{\psi\left(s_{1}\right) h_{1, s}\left(s_{1}\right)+\left(-a_{4}+\varepsilon\right) \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \frac{\log z}{z} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-2} \psi(s) \log s}\right) \quad \forall s \geq s_{1} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By the l'Hospital rule and Lemma3.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{s_{1}}^{s} \frac{\log z}{z} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-2} \psi(s) \log s} \\
= & \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\log s}{s} \psi(s)}{s^{-3} \psi(s)(1-2 \log s)+s^{-2} \psi(s)\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) \log s} \\
= & \frac{1-m}{2(n-2-n m)} . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting first $s \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.21), by 3.22) we get 3.17) and the lemma follows.
Corollary 3.4. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy 1.19). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}):=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h_{1}(s) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { exists } \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}(s)= & K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})+\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{2(n-2-n m)(1-m) \tilde{\beta}}\left(\frac{1+\log s}{s}\right)+o\left(\frac{1+\log s}{s}\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
& \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Corollary 2.6 of [Hs4] to prove this lemma. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{s^{2} h_{1, s}(s)}{\log s}\right| \leq C_{1} \quad \forall s \geq 2 \\
\Rightarrow & \left|h_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)-h_{1}\left(s_{2}\right)\right| \leq \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}}\left|h_{1, s}(z)\right| d z \leq C_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} \frac{\log z}{z^{2}} d z \leq C \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} \frac{1}{z^{3 / 2}} d z \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\sqrt{s_{1}}} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $s_{2}>s_{1} \geq 2$. Hence (3.23) holds. Then by Lemma 3.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})-h_{1}(s) & =\int_{s}^{\infty} h_{1, s}(z) d z \\
& =\left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{2(n-2-n m)(1-m) \tilde{\beta}}+o(1)\right) \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\log z}{z^{2}} d z \\
& =\left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{2(n-2-n m)(1-m) \tilde{\beta}}+o(1)\right)\left(\frac{1+\log s}{s}\right) \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and (3.24) follows.

Corollary 3.5. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $m=\frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy 1.19. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}):=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { exists } \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)=K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})-\frac{(n-1)(1-2 m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s}+o\left(s^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.4 (3.26) holds. Then by Lemma3.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})-h(s) & =\int_{s}^{\infty} h_{s}(z) d z \\
& =\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-2 m)}{(1-m) \tilde{\beta}}+o(1)\right) \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{2}} d z \\
& =\left(\frac{(n-1)(1-2 m)}{(1-m) \tilde{\beta}}+o(1)\right) \frac{1}{s} \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and (3.27) follows.

For $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}(s)=h_{1}(s)-K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{2(n-2-n m)(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}}\left(\frac{1+\log s}{s}\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{2, s s}+\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) h_{2, s} \\
= & \frac{1-2 m}{1-m} \frac{\widetilde{w}_{s}^{2}}{\widetilde{w}}+\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{(1-m)^{2} \widetilde{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s}-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m} \frac{h_{1}}{s}+\frac{a_{3}}{s^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{2(n-2-n m)(1-m) \widetilde{\beta}}\left[\frac{(1-2 \log s)}{s^{3}}+\left(\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) \frac{\log s}{s^{2}}\right] \\
= & : \psi_{3}(s) . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2} h_{2, s}(s)=\frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23).
Proof. Let $\psi$ be as given by (3.7). By Theorem 2.4, Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s \psi_{3}(s)=\frac{a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{\widetilde{\beta}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23). Then for any $0<\varepsilon<1$, there exists a constant $s_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left(a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta}) / \widetilde{\beta}\right)-\varepsilon}{s} & \leq h_{2, s s}+\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right) h_{2, s} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta}) / \widetilde{\beta}\right)+\varepsilon}{s} \quad \forall s \geq s_{2} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (3.32) by $\psi$ and integrating over $\left(s_{2}, s\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{\widetilde{\beta}}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\psi\left(s_{2}\right) h_{2, s}\left(s_{2}\right)+}{s_{s_{2}}^{2} z^{-1} \psi(z) d z} & \leq s^{2} h_{2, s}(s) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{\widetilde{\beta}}+\varepsilon\right) \frac{\psi\left(s_{2}\right) h_{2, s}\left(s_{2}\right)+\int_{s_{2}}^{2} z^{-1} \psi(z) d z}{s^{-2} \psi(s)} \forall s \geq s_{2} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting first $s \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.33), by Lemma3.1 and the l'Hospital rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2} h_{2, s}(s) \\
= & \frac{a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{\widetilde{\beta}} \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^{-1} \psi(s)}{-2 s^{-3} \psi(s)+s^{-2} \psi(s)\left(\frac{2(n-2-n m)}{1-m} s+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s)-\frac{n-2-(n+2) m}{1-m}\right)} \\
= & \frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the lemma follows.

Corollary 3.7. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy 1.19. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}(s)=-\frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s}+o\left(s^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23).
Proof. Since $h_{2}(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma3.6,

$$
h_{s}(s)=\int_{\infty}^{s} h_{2, s}(z) d z=\left(\frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta}}+o(1)\right) \int_{\infty}^{s} z^{-2} d z=\left(\frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta}}+o(1)\right) \frac{1}{s}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and the corollary follows.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{3}(s)=h_{2}(s)+\frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta} s} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23).
Corollary 3.8. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy 1.19. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2} h_{3, s}(s)=0 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (3.35) and Lemma 3.6

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2} h_{3, s}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{2} h_{2, s}(s)-\frac{(1-m) a_{2}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-n m) \widetilde{\beta}}=0
$$

and the corollary follows.
Since $\widetilde{w}(s)=w(r)$, where $s=\log r$, by (1.19), (2.7), (3.2), (3.15), 3.28), (3.34), Corollary 3.5 we have the following the proposition.

Proposition 3.9. Let $n \geq 3$ and $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$. Let $a_{1}$ and $K_{0}$ be given by (1.29) and (1.30) respectively. Let $g_{1,1}$ be the unique solution of (2.6) given by Theorem [2.1] with $\eta=1$, $\widetilde{\beta}=1$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ be given by (1.19). Then the following holds.

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1,1}(r)^{1-m} & =\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m) r^{\frac{n-2-n m}{m}}}\left\{\log r+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m)} \log (\log r)+K_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{a_{1}}{\log r}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\log (\log r)}{\log r}+o\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right)\right\} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that for any constants $\beta<0$ and $A>0$, we let $\alpha$ be as given by (1.6) and $f_{\beta, A}$ be the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7), (1.8) given by Theorem 1.1

Lemma 3.10. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, A_{1}>0, A_{2}>0, \beta_{1}<0, \beta_{2}<0$, and $\gamma_{1}$ be given by (1.28). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\beta_{1}, A_{1}}(r)=\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-m m \gamma_{1}}} f_{\beta_{1}, A_{2}}\left(\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r\right) \quad \forall r>0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\beta_{1},\left(\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} A_{1}}(r)=\left(\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} f_{\beta_{2}, A_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r>0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
F_{1}(r)=\mu^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f_{\beta_{1}, A_{2}}(\mu r) \quad \forall \mu>0, r>0
$$

and

$$
F_{2}(r)=\left(\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} f_{\beta_{2}, A_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r>0,
$$

where $\mu=\left(A_{2} / A_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}}$. Then both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ satisfies (1.5) with $\alpha=\frac{2 \beta_{1}}{1-m}, \beta=\beta_{1}$. Moreover

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} F_{1}(r)=\mu^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}(\mu r)^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\beta_{1}, A_{2}}(\mu r)=A_{2} \mu^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}}=A_{1}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} F_{2}(r)=\left(\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\beta_{2}, A_{1}}(r)=\left(\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} A_{1}
$$

Hence by Theorem (1.1),

$$
F_{1}(r)=f_{\beta_{1}, A_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r>0
$$

and

$$
F_{2}(r)=f_{\beta_{1},\left(\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}\right) \frac{1}{1-m} A_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r>0
$$

Thus (3.38) and (3.39) follows.
Lemma 3.11. Let $n \geq 3$ and $0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\eta_{1}>0, \eta_{2}>0, \widetilde{\beta}_{1}>0, \widetilde{\beta}_{2}>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \eta_{1}}(r)=\left(\eta_{1} / \eta_{2}\right) g_{\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \eta_{2}}\left(\left(\eta_{1} / \eta_{2}\right)^{\frac{m(1-m)}{n-2-m p}} r\right) \quad \forall r \geq 0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\left.\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}_{2} / \widetilde{\beta}_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \eta_{1}}(r)=\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{2} / \widetilde{\beta}_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} g_{\widetilde{\beta}_{2}, \eta_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}$ be given by 1.19 with $\widetilde{\alpha}=\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}=\widetilde{\beta}_{1}$. Let

$$
G_{1}(r):=\left(\eta_{1} / \eta_{2}\right) g_{\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \eta_{2}}\left(\left(\eta_{1} / \eta_{2}\right)^{\frac{m(1-m)}{n-2-n m}} r\right) \quad \forall r \geq 0
$$

and

$$
G_{2}(r):=\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{2} / \widetilde{\beta}_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} g_{\widetilde{\beta}_{2}, \eta_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0 .
$$

Then $G_{1}$ satisfies (2.6) with $\eta=\eta_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}=\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}=\widetilde{\beta}_{1}$, and $G_{2}$ satisfies (2.6) with $\eta=\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{2} / \widetilde{\beta}_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \eta_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}=\widetilde{\alpha}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}=\widetilde{\beta}_{1}$. Hence by Theorem 2.1,

$$
G_{1}(r)=g_{\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \eta_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0
$$

and

$$
G_{2}(r)=g_{\left.\widetilde{\beta}_{1}, \widetilde{\beta}_{2} / \widetilde{\beta_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{1-m} \eta_{1}}(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0
$$

Thus (3.40) and 3.41 follows.
We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.3
Proof of Theorem 1.3 By Proposition 3.9.

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{-1,1}^{1-m}(r) & =r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}(1-m)} g_{1,1}^{1-m}\left(r^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m) r^{2}}\left\{\log r^{-1}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m)} \log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)+K_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{a_{1}}{\log r^{-1}}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)}{\log r^{-1}}+o\left(\frac{1}{\log r^{-1}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by (3.42) and Lemma 3.10,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\beta, A}(r)^{1-m} \\
&=\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\gamma_{1}}} f_{\beta,(-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{1-m}}}^{1-m}\left(\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r\right) \\
&=\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\gamma_{1}}}(-\beta)^{-1} f_{-1,1}^{1-m}\left(\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r\right) \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)|\beta| r^{2}}\left\{\log r^{-1}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m)} \log \left(\log \left(\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r^{-1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \quad+K_{0}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}} \log A+\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}(1-m)} \log |\beta|+\frac{a_{1}}{\log r^{-1}} \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log \left(\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r^{-1}\right)\right)}{\log \left(\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r^{-1}\right)}+o\left(\left(\log r^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)\right\} \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \left(\log \left(\left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}} r^{-1}\right)\right) & =\log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)+\log \left(1+\frac{\log \left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)}{\gamma_{1} \log r^{-1}}\right) \\
& =\log \left(\log r^{-1}\right)+\frac{\log \left(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\right)}{\gamma_{1} \log r^{-1}}+o\left(\left(\log r^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by (3.43) and (3.44) we get (1.33). Putting $A=\lambda^{-\gamma_{1}}$ in (1.33) we get (1.34) and the theorem follows.

Corollary 3.12. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0$. Then there exist constants $0<\delta_{2}<1$ and $c_{4}>c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3} r^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}\left(\log r^{-1}\right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \leq f_{\lambda_{2}}(r)-f_{\lambda_{1}}(r) \leq c_{4} r^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}\left(\log r^{-1}\right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \quad \forall 0<r \leq \delta_{2} . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem for any $r>0$ there exists a constant $\xi_{1}(r)$ between $f_{\lambda_{1}}^{1-m}(r)$ and $f_{\lambda_{2}}^{1-m}(r)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda_{2}}(r)-f_{\lambda_{1}}(r)=\left(f_{\lambda_{2}}^{1-m}(r)\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}-\left(f_{\lambda_{1}}^{1-m}(r)\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}=\frac{1}{1-m}\left(f_{\lambda_{2}}^{1-m}(r)-f_{\lambda_{1}}^{1-m}(r)\right) \xi_{1}(r)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.34) and (3.46),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda_{2}}(r)-f_{\lambda_{1}}(r) \approx \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)^{2}|\beta| r^{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m)|\beta|}\left(\frac{\log r^{-1}}{r^{2}}\right)\right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \log \left(\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$. Hence by (3.47) there exist constants $0<\delta_{2}<1$ and $c_{4}>c_{3}>0$ such that (3.45) holds and the lemma follows.

Since $g_{\widetilde{\beta}, \eta}(r)=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\beta, \eta}\left(r^{-1}\right)$, where $f_{\beta, \eta}$ is the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) with $A=\eta$, by Theorem 1.3 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.13. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \eta>0$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.19). Let $K_{0}$ be given by (1.30) and $a_{3}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})$ be given by (1.32) with $A=\eta$. Then the following holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\widetilde{\beta}, \eta}^{1-m}(r) & =\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-n m)}{(1-m) \widetilde{\beta} r r^{n-2 n m}}\left\{\log r+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)}{2(n-2-n m)} \log (\log r)\right. \\
& +K_{0}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}} \log \eta+\frac{m}{n-2-n m} \log \widetilde{\beta} \\
& \left.+\frac{a_{3}(\eta, \widetilde{\beta})}{\log r}+\frac{(n-2-(n+2) m)^{2}}{4(n-2-n m)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\log (\log r)}{\log r}+o\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right)\right\} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.14. One can also prove Corollary 3.13 by using Proposition 3.9 Lemma 3.11 and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem [1.3]

By Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 3.13 and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.12 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.15. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \lambda>0, \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.6) and (1.17) respectively. Let $g_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2$, be as given by (1.22) with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Then there exist constants $R_{1}>1, R_{2}(\lambda)>0$ and $c_{6}>c_{5}>0$ such that

$$
c_{5} r^{\frac{2}{1-m}} \frac{-\frac{1-2}{m}}{m}(\log r)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \leq g_{\lambda_{2}}(r)-g_{\lambda_{1}}(r) \leq c_{6} r^{\frac{2}{1-m}} \frac{-\frac{1-2}{m}}{m}(\log r)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \quad \forall r \geq R_{1} .
$$

and

$$
c_{5}|x|^{-\frac{n-2-m m}{m}} \log |x| \leq g_{\lambda}^{1-m}(x) \leq c_{6}|x|^{-\frac{n-2-m m}{m}} \log |x| \quad \forall|x| \geq R_{2}(\lambda) .
$$

4. Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic large time behaviour of singular solutions

In this section, we will prove the existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic large time behaviour of singular solutions of (1.3). We first prove the $L^{1}$-contraction result with weight $|x|^{-\mu}$.

Proof of Theorem [1.4 We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [DS1] and Theorem 1.2 of [सK] to prove the theorem. We choose $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta=1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, and $\eta=0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. For any $R>2$, and $0<\varepsilon<1$, let $\eta_{R}(x):=\eta(x / R)$, $\eta_{\varepsilon}(x):=\eta(x / \varepsilon)$, and $\eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)=\eta_{R}(x)-\eta_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Then $\left|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R}\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-2}$ for $\varepsilon \leq|x| \leq 2 \varepsilon$, and $\left|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R}\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}\right| \leq C R^{-2}$ for $R \leq|x| \leq 2 R$. Let $r_{1}$ and $\delta_{1} \in(0,1)$ be as given in Remark 1.2 and Corollary 3.12 respectively and $R_{0}=\max \left(2, r_{1} / \lambda_{2}\right)$.

Recall that by the Kato inequality ( $[\overline{\mathrm{DK}}],[\mathrm{K}]$ ),

$$
\Delta\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right)_{+} \geq \chi_{\left\langle\phi_{1}>\phi_{2}\right\rangle} \Delta\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right) \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\Omega),
$$

where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are $C^{2}$ functions on a domain $\Omega$. Since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)_{+}=\chi_{\{u \gg\}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)=\chi_{\left\{u_{1}>u_{2}\right\}} \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta\left(u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right) \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty),
$$

by the Kato inequality,

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)_{+} \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta\left(u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right)_{+} & \text {in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)\right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)_{-} \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta\left(u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right)_{-} & \text {in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right| \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta\left|u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right| \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)\right) .
$$

Thus for any $t>0,0<\varepsilon \leq \delta_{1}$, and $R \geq R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)|x|^{-\mu} d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right|(x, t) \Delta\left(\eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)|x|^{-\mu}\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right|(x, t)\left(|x|^{-\mu} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)+2 \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \cdot \nabla|x|^{-\mu}+\eta_{\varepsilon, R} \Delta|x|^{-\mu}\right) d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}^{m}-u_{2}^{m}\right|(x, t)\left(|x|^{-\mu} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)+2 \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \cdot \nabla|x|^{-\mu}\right) d x \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

since $0<\mu \leq \mu_{1}<n-2$ and $\Delta|x|^{-\mu}=\mu(\mu-(n-2))|x|^{-\mu-2}<0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.
Let $T_{0}>0$. By integrating (4.1) over $(0, t)$, by (1.23), 1.36) and Remark 1.2, for any $0<t<T_{0}, 0<\varepsilon \leq \delta_{1}$, and $R \geq R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)|x|^{-\mu} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}(x)-u_{0,2}(x)\right| \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)|x|^{-\mu} d x \\
& \leq C R^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} U_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}(x, s) d x d s+C \varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(U_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}(x, s)-U_{\lambda_{1}}^{m}(x, s)\right) d x d s \\
&= C R^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-m \alpha s} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} f_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right) d x d s \\
&+C \varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-m \alpha s} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(f_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right)-f_{\lambda_{1}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq C R^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-m \alpha s} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}}\left|e^{-\beta s} x\right|^{2-n} d x d s \\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-m \alpha s} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(f_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right)-f_{\lambda_{1}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq C R^{-\mu}+C \varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-m \alpha s} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(f_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right)-f_{\lambda_{1}}^{m}\left(e^{-\beta s} x\right)\right) d x d s \\
& \leq C R^{-\mu}+I_{1} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Corollary 3.12for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & \leq C \varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}|x|^{-\frac{2 m}{1-m}}\left(\log \left(\left(e^{-\beta s}|x|\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}-1} d x d s\right. \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{n-\frac{2}{1-m}-\mu}\left(\log \varepsilon^{-1}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{1-m}} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T_{0}$ is arbitrary, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.2), by (1.38) and (4.3), (1.39) follows. By an argument similar to the proof of (1.39) we get (1.40) and the theorem follows.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first observe that uniqueness of solutions of 1.3 follows directly from Theorem 1.4 Since the proof of the existence of solutions of (1.3) is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3 of HK , we will only sketch the proof here. By Theorem 2.2 of
[H2] there exists a unique solution $u_{R} \in C\left(\overline{A_{R}} \times(0, \infty)\right) \cap C^{\infty}\left(A_{R} \times(0, \infty)\right)$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
u_{t}=\Delta u^{m} & \text { in } A_{R} \times(0, \infty) \\
u=U_{\lambda_{1}} & \text { in } \partial A_{R} \times(0, \infty), \\
u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & \text { in } A_{R}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

which satisfies (1.1), (1.42) and (1.43) in $A_{R} \times(0, \infty)$ and

$$
\left\|u_{R}(\cdot, t)-u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(A_{R}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in(0, \infty)$ be a sequence such that $R_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $u_{R_{i}}$ satisfies (1.43) in $A_{R_{i}} \times(0, \infty)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, the equation (1.1) for the sequence $\left\{u_{R_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly parabolic on every compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence by the parabolic Schauder estimates [LSU] the sequence $\left\{u_{R_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{2+\mu_{0}, 1+\left(\mu_{0} / 2\right)}(K)$ on every compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for some constant $\mu_{0} \in(0,1)$. Then by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\left\{u_{R_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ to the solution $u$ of (1.3) which satisfies (1.42) and (1.43) as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally if $u_{0}$ is radially symmetric, then by uniqueness of solution of (1.3) for any $t>0$, $u(x, t)$ is radially symmetric in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ and the theorem follows.

We next will prove several technical lemmas before proving the $L^{1}$-contraction result with weight $f_{\lambda}^{m \gamma}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.6) and 1.17) respectively. Let $f=f_{1}$ be the unique solution of (1.5) that satisfies (1.8) with $A=1$ and let $g$ be as given by (1.15) with $f=f_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r g_{r}(r)}{g(r)}=-\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r f_{r}(r)}{f(r)}=-\frac{2}{1-m} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there exist constants $r_{3}>0, r_{3}^{\prime}>0, C_{1}>C_{2}>0$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}>C_{2}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C_{1} r f_{r}(r) \leq f(r) \leq-C_{2} r f_{r}(r) \quad \forall 0<r \leq r_{3} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C_{1}^{\prime} r g_{r}(r) \leq g(r) \leq-C_{2}^{\prime} r g_{r}(r) \quad \forall r \geq r_{3}^{\prime} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $w$ be as given by 2.7). By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r w_{r}=(1-m) w(r)\left(\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}+\frac{r g_{r}(r)}{g(r)}\right) \quad \forall r>0 \\
\Rightarrow & (1-m) \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}+\frac{r g_{r}(r)}{g(r)}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r w_{r}(r)}{w(r)}=\frac{\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r w_{r}(r)}{\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} w(r)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.4) follows. By (1.18, 1.19) and 4.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{r f_{r}(r)}{f(r)}=-\frac{n-2}{m}-\frac{r^{-1} g_{r}\left(r^{-1}\right)}{g\left(r^{-1}\right)} \quad \forall r>0  \tag{4.8}\\
\Rightarrow & \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r f_{r}(r)}{f(r)}=-\frac{n-2}{m}-\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{-1} g_{r}\left(r^{-1}\right)}{g\left(r^{-1}\right)}=-\frac{n-2}{m}+\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}=-\frac{2}{1-m}
\end{align*}
$$

and (4.5) follows. By (4.4) and (4.5) there exist constants $r_{3}>0, r_{3}^{\prime}>0, C_{2}>C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}>C_{1}^{\prime}>0$ such that (4.6) and 4.7) hold and the lemma follows.

Lemma 4.2. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ and let $f=f_{1}$ be the solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) with $A=1$. Let $g$ be as given by (1.15) with $f=f_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r g_{r}(r)=0, \quad g(0)=1 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r f_{r}(r)}{f(r)}=-\frac{n-2}{m} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there exist constants $r_{4}>0, r_{4}^{\prime}>0$, and $C_{3}>C_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C_{3} r f_{r}(r) \leq f(r) \leq-C_{4} r f_{r}(r) \quad \forall r \geq r_{4} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r g_{r}(r)\right| \leq g(r) \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq r_{4}^{\prime} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.8), (4.8) and Theorem 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r g_{r}(r)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad g(0)=1 \\
\Rightarrow & \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r g_{r}(r)}{g(r)}=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r f_{r}(r)}{f(r)}=-\frac{n-2}{m}-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{-1} g_{r}\left(r^{-1}\right)}{g\left(r^{-1}\right)}=-\frac{n-2}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.9), (4.10), follows. By (4.9) and (4.10) there exist constants $r_{4}>0, r_{4}^{\prime}>0$, and $C_{3}>C_{4}>0$ such that (4.11) and (4.12) hold and the lemma follows.

By 1.21, 1.22 , Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have the following three corollaries.
Corollary 4.3. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \lambda>0, f=f_{\lambda}$ be as given by (1.21) and $r_{3}>0$, $C_{1}>C_{2}>0$ be as given by Lemma 4.1. Then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r f_{\lambda, r}(r)}{f_{\lambda}(r)}=-\frac{2}{1-m}
$$

and

$$
-C_{1} r f_{\lambda, r}(r) \leq f_{\lambda}(r) \leq-C_{2} r f_{\lambda, r}(r) \quad \forall 0<r \leq r_{3} / \lambda
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \lambda>0, f=f_{\lambda}$ be as given by (1.21) and $r_{4}>0$, $C_{3}>C_{4}>0$ be as given by Lemma 4.2 Then,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r f_{\lambda, r}(r)}{f_{\lambda}(r)}=-\frac{n-2}{m}
$$

and

$$
-C_{3} r f_{\lambda, r}(r) \leq f_{\lambda}(r) \leq-C_{4} r f_{\lambda, r}(r) \quad \forall r \geq r_{4} / \lambda .
$$

Corollary 4.5. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda>0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.6) and (1.17) respectively. Let $f=f_{1}$ be the unique solution of (1.5) that satisfies (1.8) with $A=1$ and let $g_{\lambda}$ be as given by (1.22). Let $r_{3}^{\prime}>0$ and $r_{4}^{\prime}>0$ be as given by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r g_{\lambda, r}(r)}{g_{\lambda}(r)}=-\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}  \tag{4.13}\\
-C_{1}^{\prime} r g_{\lambda, r}(r) \leq g_{\lambda}(r) \leq-C_{2}^{\prime} r g_{\lambda, r}(r) \quad \forall r \geq \lambda r_{3}^{\prime} \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r g_{\lambda, r}(r)\right| \leq g_{\lambda}(r) \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq \lambda r_{4}^{\prime} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.21) and (1.22),

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\lambda}(r)=r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} \lambda \frac{2}{1-m}  \tag{4.16}\\
& \Rightarrow \quad r g_{\lambda, r}\left(\lambda r^{-1}\right)=\lambda=\lambda \frac{2}{1-\frac{2}{1-m}} \frac{-n-2}{m}(r / \lambda) g_{1, r}(r / \lambda) \quad \forall r \geq 0 .  \tag{4.17}\\
& g_{1}(r / \lambda) \\
& n^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.4), (4.7), (4.12), (4.16), and (4.17), we get (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15), and the corollary follows.

We will now prove Theorem 1.7

Proof of Theorem [.7] We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [DS1] and Theorem 1.2 of $[\mathrm{HK}]$ to prove the theorem. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}(\lambda), r_{3}$, and $r_{4}$ be given by Remark 1.2) Lemma4.1 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. Let $T_{0}>0$,

$$
\varepsilon_{0}=\frac{\min \left(r_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), r_{2}\left(\lambda_{3}\right), r_{3}, 1\right)}{e^{2 / \beta / T_{0}} \max \left(\lambda_{3}, 2\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad R_{0}=\max \left(2, \frac{\max \left(r_{1}, r_{4}\right)}{\min \left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}\right)
$$

and

$$
h(x)=f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Since $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$ implies $0<\gamma_{2} \leq 1$, by (1.5) and (1.20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h^{\gamma_{2}}=\gamma_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}-1\right) h^{\gamma_{2}-2}\left(h_{r}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{2} h^{\gamma_{2}-1} \Delta h<0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q(x, t)=\left|u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)\right|$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon, R}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 By Kato's inequality ([DK], [K]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{t} \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta(a(x, t) q) \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)\right), \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m U_{\lambda_{2}}^{m-1}(x, t) \leq a(x, t):=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{m d s}{\left\{s u_{1}+(1-s) u_{2}\right\}^{1-m}} \leq m U_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t>0 . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (1.23), (1.36), 4.18, 4.19, 4.20, Remark 1.2, Corollary 3.12 Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 for any $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}, R \geq R_{0}$ and $0<t<T_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\left.\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 0\right\}} q(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
& \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} a(x, t) q(x, t)\left(f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}+2 \nabla f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R}+\eta_{\varepsilon, R} \Delta f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} a(x, t) q(x, t)\left[f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}+2 \nabla f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R}\right] d x \\
& \leq \\
& \leq R^{-2} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} U_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t) U_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x  \tag{4.21}\\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} U_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t) q(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
& \leq \\
& \leq R^{-2} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} U_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t) U_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} U_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t)\left(U_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t)-U_{\lambda_{1}}(x, t)\right) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
& \leq C R^{-2} e^{-m \alpha t} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} f_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right) f_{\lambda_{2}}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{-2} e^{-m \alpha t} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} f_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right)\left(f_{\lambda_{2}}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right)-f_{\lambda_{1}}\left(e^{-\beta t} x\right)\right) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x . \\
& \leq C R^{n-2} e^{-m \alpha t}\left(e^{-\beta t} R\right)^{2^{-n}} R^{-(n-2) \gamma_{2}}  \tag{4.22}\\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{-2} e^{-m \alpha t} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{e^{-2 \beta t} \varepsilon^{2}}{\log \left[\left(e^{-\beta t} \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\right]}\right) \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}\left(\log \left[\left(e^{-\beta t} \varepsilon\right)^{-1}\right]\right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}}\left(\frac{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{\frac{m \gamma_{2}}{1-m}} d x \\
& \leq C R^{-(n-2) \gamma_{2}}+C\left(\log \varepsilon^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating 4.22) over $(0, t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, 0) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
\leq & C\left(R^{-(n-2) \gamma_{2}}+\left(\log \varepsilon^{-1}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}\right) t \leq C T_{0} \quad \forall 0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}, R \geq R_{0}, 0<t<T_{0} \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

When $n=3$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.23), we get that 1.47) holds for $n=3$ and any $0<t<T_{0}$. Since $T_{0}$ is arbitrary, 1.47) holds for $n=3$.

When $n=4$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in 4.23), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, 0) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x+C T_{0} \leq C^{\prime} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x d s \leq C^{\prime} T_{0}<\infty \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by (4.21) and an argument similar to the above one,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, 0) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x+C R^{-(n-2) \gamma_{2}}+\frac{C}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} q(x, s) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x d t \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for any $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}, R \geq R_{0}$ and $0<t<T_{0}$. Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in 4.25), by (4.24), we get that (1.47) holds for $n=4$ and any $0<t<T_{0}$. Since $T_{0}$ is arbitrary, (1.47) holds for $n=4$ and the theorem follows.

Corollary 4.6. Let $n=3,4, \frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m<\frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma_{2}$ be as given by (1.6) and (1.45) respectively. Let $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}>0$, and $f_{\lambda_{i}}$ be as given by (1.21) with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ satisfy (1.35), (1.46), and $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the solutions of (1.3) with intitial values $u_{0.1}, u_{0.1}$, respectively, which satisfy (1.36). Let $\widetilde{u}_{1}, \widetilde{u}_{2}$ be given by (1.10) with $u=u_{1}, u_{2}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{1}-\widetilde{u}_{2}\right|(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{0,1}-\widetilde{u}_{0,2}\right|(x) f_{e^{-\beta \lambda_{3}}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first observe that by (1.6) and (1.45),

$$
\alpha-n \beta=-\frac{2 \beta m \gamma_{2}}{1-m}
$$

Hence by 1.10), 1.21, Theorem 1.7 and Remark 2.7

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{1}-\widetilde{u}_{2}\right|(x, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x & =e^{\alpha t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|\left(e^{\beta t} x, t\right) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \\
& =e^{(\alpha-n \beta) t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t) f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}\left(e^{-\beta t} y\right) d y \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t)\left(e^{-\frac{2 \beta t}{1-m}} f_{\lambda_{3}}\left(e^{-\beta t} y\right)\right)^{m \gamma_{2}} d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t) f_{e^{-\beta \lambda_{3}} \lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(y) d y \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}(y)-u_{0,2}(y)\right| f_{e^{-\beta \lambda_{3}}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(y) d y \quad \forall t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.26) follows.
Lemma 4.7. Let $n \geq 3,0<m<\frac{n-2}{n}, \lambda>0$ and $f=f_{\lambda}$ be as given by (1.21). Then $f_{\lambda}$ converges uniformly to zero on $[a, \infty)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for any constant $a>0$.
Proof. Let $a>0$. Since $f_{1}$ satisfies (1.8) with $A=1,|\lambda x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{1}(\lambda x)$ converges to 1 as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence by (1.21),

$$
f_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda^{-\frac{n-2-n m}{m(1-m)}}|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}}|\lambda x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{1}(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { uniformly on }[a, \infty) \quad \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

and the lemma follows.
We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.8

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Note that by (1.43), $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda_{1}}(x) \leq \widetilde{u}(x, t) \leq f_{\lambda_{2}}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t>0 . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the equation (1.11) for $\widetilde{u}$ is uniformly parabolic on $A_{2 R} \times(1 / 2, \infty)$ for any $R>0$. By the Schauder estimates for uniformly parabolic equation [LSU] $\widetilde{u}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{2+\mu, 1+(\mu / 2)}\left(A_{R} \times(1, \infty)\right)$ for some constant $0<\mu<1$ and any $R>0$. Let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset(1, \infty)$ be such that $t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\widetilde{u}_{i}(x, t)=\widetilde{u}\left(x, t_{i}+t\right)$. Then by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\left\{\widetilde{u}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset $K$ of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times[0, \infty)$ to some function $\widetilde{u}_{\infty}$ which satisfies (1.1) in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times[0, \infty)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $v_{0}(x)=\widetilde{u}_{\infty}(x, 0)$. By Corollary 4.6 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}(x, t)-f_{\lambda_{0}}(x)\right| f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}-f_{\lambda_{0}}(x)\right| f_{e^{-\beta 1} \lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $t=t_{i}$ and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in 4.28, by 4.48, Remark 2.7, Lemma 4.7 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|v_{0}(x)-f_{\lambda_{0}}(x)\right| f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_{0}(x) \equiv f_{\lambda_{0}}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Since $t_{i}$ is arbitrary, $\widetilde{u}(\cdot, t)$ converges to $f_{\lambda_{0}}$ uniformly in $C^{2}(K)$ on every compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in 4.28, by Remark 2.7, Lemma 4.7 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}(x, t)-f_{\lambda_{0}}(x)\right| f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{2}}(x) d x=0
$$

and (1.49) follows.

## 5. Asymptotic large time behaviour of radially symmetric singular solutions

In this section we will study the asymptotic large time behaviour of radially symmetric solution $u$ of (1.3) when the initial value $u_{0}$ is a radially symmetric function in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. We will use the inversion formula (1.12) to convert the problem into the study of the asymptotic large time behaviour of the inversion function $\bar{u}$.

We start by first proving a weighted $L^{1}$-contraction result for the inversion problem (1.14).

Proposition 5.1. Let $n, m$ satisfy (1.50) and $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}, \gamma_{3}$ be as given by (1.17) and (1.51) respectively. Let $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}>0$, and $g_{\lambda_{i}}, \bar{U}_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2,3$, be as given by (1.22) and (1.24) respectively with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. Let $\bar{u}_{0,1}, \bar{u}_{0,2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\lambda_{1}}(x) \leq \bar{u}_{0, i}(x) \leq g_{\lambda_{2}}(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \quad \forall i=1,2 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\bar{u}_{0,1}-\bar{u}_{0,2} \in L^{1}\left(g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}} ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) .
$$

Let $\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}$ be the solutions of (1.14) with initial values $\bar{u}_{0,1}, \bar{u}_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}_{\lambda_{1}} \leq \bar{u}_{i} \leq \bar{U}_{\lambda_{2}} \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty) \quad \forall i=1,2 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{2}\right|(x, t) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{0,1}-\bar{u}_{0,2}\right|(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [HK] to prove this theorem. Let $\eta$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon, R}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 and let $R_{1}>0, R_{2}(\lambda)>0$, $r_{3}^{\prime}>0, r_{4}^{\prime}>0$ be as given by Corollary 3.15, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. Let $h_{1}(x)=g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m}(x)$. Since by (1.50) and 1.51) $0<\gamma_{3} \leq 1$, by (1.19), 1.50), 1.51, (2.4) and (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x)\right) \\
= & h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}}(x) \Delta|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}}+2 \nabla|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \cdot \nabla h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}}(x)+|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}}(x) \\
= & \left(\frac{n-2}{m}-(n+2)\right)\left(\frac{n-2}{m}-2 n\right)|x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}}(x)-2\left(\frac{n-2}{m}-(n+2)\right) \gamma_{3}|x|^{n+1-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-1} h_{1, r} \\
& \quad+\left(\gamma_{3}\left(\gamma_{3}-1\right) h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-2}\left|\nabla h_{1}\right|^{2}+\gamma_{3} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-1} \Delta h_{1}\right)|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{n-2}{m}-(n+2)\right)|x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-1}(x)\left(\left(\frac{n-2}{m}-2 n\right) h_{1}-2 \gamma_{3} r h_{1, r}\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{n-2}{m}-(n+2)\right)|x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-1}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m-1}(x)\left(\left(\frac{n-2}{m}-2 n\right) g_{\lambda_{3}}(x)-2 m \gamma_{3} r g_{\lambda_{3}, r}(x)\right) \\
< & \left(\frac{n-2}{m}-(n+2)\right)|x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-1}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m}(x)\left(\left(\frac{n-2}{m}-2 n\right)+2 m \gamma_{3}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right)\right), \\
= & -\left(\frac{n-2}{m}-(n+2)\right) \frac{(n-2-n m)}{m(1-m)}|x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_{1}^{\gamma_{3}-1}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m}(x), \\
< & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=|x|$.
Let $q(x, t)=\left|\bar{u}_{1}(x, t)-\bar{u}_{2}(x, t)\right|$. By Kato's inequality $([\overline{\mathrm{DK}}],[\mathrm{K}])$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{t} \leq \frac{n-1}{m}|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta(a(x, t) q) \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times(0, \infty)\right), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \bar{U}_{\lambda_{2}}^{m-1}(x, t) \leq a(x, t):=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{m d s}{\left\{s \bar{u}_{1}+(1-s) \bar{u}_{2}\right\}^{1-m}} \leq m \bar{U}_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, t>0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{0}>0$,

$$
\varepsilon_{1}=\min \left(1 / 2, \lambda_{3} r_{4}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad R_{3}=e^{2 \widetilde{\beta} T_{0}} \max \left(2, R_{1}, R_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), R_{2}\left(\lambda_{3}\right), \lambda_{3} r_{3}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $g_{\lambda}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any $\lambda>0$, by the Kato inequality ( $[\mathrm{DK}],[\mathrm{K}]$ ), (1.24), (5.2), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6), Corollary 3.15 and Corollary 4.5, for any $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{1}, R \geq R_{3}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<t<T_{0}, \\
& \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{2}\right|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{1}^{m}-\bar{u}_{2}^{m}\right|(x, t) \Delta\left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}^{\prime}}(x)\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{1}^{m}-\bar{u}_{2}^{m}\right|(x, t)\left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x)+2 \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \cdot \nabla\left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x)\right)\right) d x \\
& \leq C R^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} \bar{U}_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}(x, t)\left(\bar{U}_{\lambda_{2}}(x, t)-\bar{U}_{\lambda_{1}}(x, t)\right) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \bar{U}_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}(x, t)|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
& \leq C R^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m \widetilde{\alpha} t} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}} g_{\lambda_{1}}^{m-1}\left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t} x\right)\left(g_{\lambda_{2}}\left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t} x\right)-g_{\lambda_{1}}\left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t} x\right)\right) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m \widetilde{\alpha} t} \int_{B_{2 \varepsilon} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} g_{\lambda_{2}}^{m}\left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t} x\right) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
& \leq C R^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m \widetilde{\alpha} t} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash B_{R}}\left(\frac{\left.\left|e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t}\right| x\right|^{\frac{n-2}{m}-n}}{\log \left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t}|x|\right)}\right)\left|e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t} x\right|^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}}\left(\log \left(e^{-\widetilde{\beta} t}|x|\right)\right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}}\left(|x|^{-\frac{n-2-n m}{m}} \log |x|\right)^{\frac{m \gamma_{3}}{1-m}} d x \\
& \quad+C \varepsilon^{2 n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m \widetilde{\alpha} t} \\
& \leq C R^{n+\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}-\frac{n-2-n m}{1-m} \gamma_{3}}(\log R)^{\frac{m}{1-m}\left(1+\gamma_{3}\right)-1}+C \varepsilon^{2 n-\frac{n-2}{m}} \\
& =C(\log R)^{\frac{m}{1-m}\left(1+\gamma_{3}\right)-1}+C \varepsilon^{2 n-\frac{n-2}{m}} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\widetilde{\beta} T_{0} \leq(1 / 2) \log R$. By integrating (5.7) over $(0, t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{2}\right|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{0,1}-\bar{u}_{0,2}\right|(x) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x+C(\log R)^{\frac{m}{1-m}\left(1+\gamma_{3}\right)-1} t+C \varepsilon^{2 n-\frac{n-2}{m}} t \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for any $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{1}, R \geq R_{3}$ and $0<t<T_{0}$. Since by (1.50) and (1.51) we have

$$
\frac{m}{1-m}\left(1+\gamma_{3}\right)<1 \quad \text { and } \quad 2 n>\frac{n-2}{m}
$$

by letting first $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.8) we get that (5.3) holds for any $0<t<T_{0}$. Since $T_{0}$ is artirary, 5.3) holds and the proposition follows.

Corollary 5.2. Let $n$, $m$ satisfy (1.50) and $\alpha, \beta, \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}, \gamma_{3}$ be as given by 1.6, 1.17) and (1.51), respectively. Let $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}>0$, and $f_{\lambda_{i}}, U_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2,3$, be as given by (1.21) and (1.23), respectively, with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ be radially symmetric that satisfy (1.35) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0,1}-u_{0,2} \in L^{1}\left(|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) ; \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy (1.36) and let $\widetilde{u}_{1}, \widetilde{u}_{2}$ be given by (1.10) with $u=u_{1}, u_{2}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(x, t)|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}-u_{0,2}\right|(x)|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0 \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{1}-\widetilde{u}_{2}\right|(x, t)|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}-u_{0,2}\right|(x)|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{e^{-\beta 3} \lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0 \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ are radially symmetric, by uniqueness of solution of 1.3 both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are radially symmetric in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for any $t>0$. Let $\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}$ be given by (1.12) with $u=u_{1}, u_{2}$, respectively. Then $\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}$ are the solutions of 1.14 with initial values $\bar{u}_{0,1}:=|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u_{0,1}\left(|x|^{-1}\right), u_{0,2}:=|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u_{0,2}\left(|x|^{-1}\right)$, respectively. By (1.12|, (1.25|, and (1.36), we have (5.2). Hence by Proposition 5.1) we get that (5.3) holds.

Therefore, by (5.3), we obtain (5.10), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{0,1}-\bar{u}_{0,2}\right|(x) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
= & \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{n-1-\frac{n-2}{m}-(n-2) \gamma_{3}}\left|u_{0,1}\left(r^{-1}\right)-u_{0,2}\left(r^{-1}\right)\right| f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}\left(r^{-1}\right) d r \\
= & \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-n-1}\left|u_{0,1}(\rho)-u_{0,2}(\rho)\right| f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(\rho) d \rho \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}(y)-u_{0,2}(y)\right||y|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\bar{u}_{1}-\bar{u}_{2}\right|(x, t) g_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t)|y|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(y) d y \quad \forall t>0
$$

holds. Let $\delta_{3}=\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n$. Then by (1.6) and 1.51),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha-n \beta-\beta \delta_{3}+\frac{2 \beta m \gamma_{3}}{1-m}=\beta\left(n-\frac{2}{1-m}\right)\left(\frac{n+\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}}{n-\frac{2}{1-m}}-\gamma_{3}\right)=0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (1.21), (5.10) and (5.12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{1}-\widetilde{u}_{2}\right|(x, t)|x|^{\delta_{3}} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
= & e^{\alpha t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|\left(e^{\beta t} x, t\right)|x|^{\delta_{3}} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \\
= & e^{(\alpha-n \beta) t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t)\left(e^{-\beta t}|y|\right)^{\delta_{3}} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}\left(e^{-\beta t} y\right) d y \\
= & e^{\left(\alpha-n \beta-\beta \delta_{3}+\frac{2 \beta m \gamma_{3}}{1-m}\right) t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t)|y|^{\delta_{3}}\left(e^{-\frac{2 \beta t}{1-m}} f_{\lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}\left(e^{-\beta t} y\right)\right) d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|(y, t)|y|^{\delta_{3}} f_{e^{-\beta \lambda_{3}} \lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(y) d y \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}}\left|u_{0,1}-u_{0,2}\right|(x)|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2) \gamma_{3}-2 n} f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_{3}}^{m \gamma_{3}}(x) d x \quad \forall t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and (5.11) follows.
By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.8 but with Corollary 5.2 replacing Corollary 4.6 in the proof there Theorem 1.9 follows.
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