arXiv:2007.07140v3 [math.CO] 5 Feb 2021

# Alon – Tarsi numbers of direct products

Fedor Petrov* Alexey Gordeev†

March 17, 2024

**Abstract**

We provide a general framework on the coefficients of the graph polynomials of graphs which are Cartesian products. As a corollary, we prove that if $G = (V, E)$ is a graph with degrees of vertices $2d(v), v \in V$, and the graph polynomial $\prod_{(i,j)\in E}(x_j - x_i)$ contains an "almost central" monomial (that means a monomial $\prod_v x_v^{c_v}$, where $|c_v - d(v)| \leqslant 1$ for all $v \in V$), then the Cartesian product $G\Box C_{2n}$ is $(d(\cdot) + 2)$-choosable.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ a set of variables. For $A \subset \mathbb{F}$ and $a \in A$ denote

$$D(A, a) := \prod_{b\in A\setminus a} (a - b).$$

For a multi-index $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}$ denote $|\mathbf{d}| = d_1 + \ldots + d_n$, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{d_i}$. For a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ denote by $[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}]f$ the coefficient of monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ in polynomial $f$.

**Theorem 1** (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [1])**.** *Choose arbitrary subsets $A_i \subset \mathbb{F}$, $|A_i| = d_i + 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Denote $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_n$. For any polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $\deg f \leqslant |\mathbf{d}|$, if $[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}]f \neq 0$, then there exists $\mathbf{a} \in A$ for which $f(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$.*

Alon and Tarsi [2] suggested to use Combinatorial Nullstellensatz for list graph colorings. Namely, if $G = (V, E)$ is a non-directed graph with the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and the edge set $E$, we define its graph polynomial in $n$ variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ as

$$F_G(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{(i,j)\in E} (x_j - x_i).$$

*St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia *f.v.petrov@spbu.ru*.

†The Euler International Mathematical Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia *gordalserg@gmail.com* .

Here each edge corresponds to one linear factor $x_j - x_i$, so the whole $F_G$ is defined up to a sign. Assume that each vertex $v_i$ has a list $A_i$ consisting of $d_i + 1$ colors, which are real numbers. A *proper list coloring of $G$ subordinate to lists* $\{A_i\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ is a choice of colors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \ldots \times A_n = A$ for which neighbouring vertices have different colors: $a_i \neq a_j$ whenever $(i, j) \in E$. In other words, a proper list coloring is a choice of $\mathbf{a} \in A$ for which $F_G(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. If $|\mathbf{d}| = |E|$, the existence of a proper list coloring follows from $[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}]F_G \neq 0$.

Define the *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$ of the graph $G$ as the minimal $m$ such that there exists a proper list coloring of $G$ subordinate to equal lists of size $m$: $A_i = \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Define the *list chromatic number* $\mathrm{ch}(G)$ of the graph $G$ as the minimal $m$ such that for arbitrary lists $A_i$, $|A_i| \geqslant m$, there exists a proper list coloring of $G$ subordinate to these lists. Define the *Alon–Tarsi number* $AT(G)$ of the graph $G$ as the minimal $k$ for which there exists a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}$ such that $\max(d_1, \ldots, d_n) = k - 1$ and $[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}]F_G \neq 0$.

From above we see that the list chromatic number does not exceed the Alon–Tarsi number:

$$\mathrm{ch}(G) \leqslant \mathrm{AT}(G). \tag{1}$$

Further we consider the Alon–Tarsi numbers for the graphs which are direct products $G_1 \square G_2$ of simpler graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$. Recall that the vertex set of $G_1 \square G_2$ is $V_1 \times V_2$ and two pairs $(v_1, v_2)$ and $(u_1, u_2)$ are joined by an edge if and only if either $v_1 = u_1$ and $(v_2, u_2) \in E_2$ or $v_2 = u_2$ and $(v_1, u_1) \in E_1$.

It is well known (Lemma 2.6 in [9]) that $\chi(G_1 \square G_2) = \max(\chi(G_1), \chi(G_2))$. Much less is known about the list chromatic number (and the Alon–Tarsi number) of the Cartesian product of graphs. Borowiecki, Jendrol, Král, and Miškuf [3] gave the following bound:

**Theorem 2** ([3])**.** *For any two graphs $G$ and $H$,*

$$\mathrm{ch}(G \square H) \leqslant \min(\mathrm{ch}(G) + \mathrm{col}(H), \mathrm{col}(G) + \mathrm{ch}(H)) - 1.$$

Here $\mathrm{col}(G)$ is the *coloring number* of $G$, i.e. the smallest integer $k$ for which there exists an ordering of vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of $G$ such that each vertex $v_i$ is adjacent to at most $k - 1$ vertices among $v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}$.

Here we continue the previous work [6] where the toroidal grid $C_n \square C_m$ (here $C_n$ is a simple cycle with $n$ edges) was considered and it was proved that $\mathrm{AT}(C_n \square C_{2k}) = 3$.

An explicit form of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (the coefficient formula) was used in [6], such approach does not seem to work in the more general setting of the present paper.

We call a coefficient $\left[\mathbf{x}^{\xi}\right] F_G(\mathbf{x})$ of the graph polynomial $F_G$ *central*, if $\xi_i = \deg_G(v_i)/2$ for all $i$, and *almost central*, if $|\xi_i - \deg_G(v_i)/2| \leqslant 1$ for all $i$.

Our main result is the following

**Theorem 3.** *Let $G$ be a graph, all vertices in which have even degree. Suppose that the graph polynomial $F_G$ has at least one non-zero almost central coefficient.*

*Then for $H = G\Box C_{2k}$ the central coefficient is non-zero. In particular, $H$ is $(\deg_H/2+1)$-choosable and*

$$\mathrm{ch}(H) \leqslant \mathrm{AT}(H) \leqslant \frac{\Delta(H)}{2} + 1 = \frac{\Delta(G)}{2} + 2.$$

Note that Theorem 2 gives the bound $\mathrm{ch}(H) \leqslant \min(\mathrm{ch}(G)+2, \mathrm{col}(G)+1)$ under the same conditions. When $\mathrm{ch}(G)$ (or $\mathrm{col}(G)$) is small, this bound is stronger. But it can also be weaker when $\mathrm{ch}(G)$ and $\mathrm{col}(G)$ are close to $\Delta(G)$. For example, if $G = C_{2l+1}$ is an odd cycle, then $F_G$ obviously has a non-zero almost central coefficient, so, by Theorem 3, $\mathrm{ch}(C_{2l+1}\Box C_{2k}) \leqslant 3$ (this was also proved in [6] by a different argument). On the other hand, Theorem 2 gives only $\mathrm{ch}(C_{2l+1}\Box C_{2k}) \leqslant 4$.

## 2 Coefficients as traces

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n), \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}$; denote $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = |\mathbf{a}|$. Consider a polynomial

$$P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = Q(\mathbf{x})R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$$

in variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$, where $Q$ is of degree at most $|\mathbf{a}|$, $R$ is homogeneous of degree $|\mathbf{b}|$.

Consider $nk$ variables $(\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^k)$, $\mathbf{x}^i = (x^i_1, \ldots, x^i_n)$; for convenience, denote $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} \equiv \mathbf{x}^1$. Define

$$P_k(\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^k) = \prod_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant k} P(\mathbf{x}^j, \mathbf{x}^{j+1}).$$

We are interested in the coefficient

$$M_k := \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\mathbf{x}^j)^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}} \right] P_k.$$

It is easy to see that this coefficient is equal to

$$\sum \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left[ (\mathbf{x}^j)^{\mathbf{p}^j} (\mathbf{x}^{j+1})^{\mathbf{q}^j} \right] R(\mathbf{x}^j, \mathbf{x}^{j+1}) \cdot \left[ (\mathbf{x}^j)^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{p}^j-\mathbf{q}^{j-1}} \right] Q(\mathbf{x}^j) = \mathrm{tr}\, \Phi^k,$$

where the sum is over all $(\mathbf{p}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}^k)$, $(\mathbf{q}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}^k)$ such that

$$\mathbf{p}^j = (p^j_1, \ldots, p^j_n), \mathbf{q}^j = (q^j_1, \ldots, q^j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}, \quad |\mathbf{p}^j| + |\mathbf{q}^j| = |\mathbf{b}|;$$

for $\alpha = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2)$ and $\beta = (\beta^1, \beta^2)$; $\alpha^i, \beta^i \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}$; $|\alpha^1| + |\alpha^2| = |\beta^1| + \beta^2| = |\mathbf{b}|$,

$$\Phi(\alpha, \beta) = \left[ (\mathbf{x})^{\alpha^1} (\mathbf{y})^{\alpha^2} \right] R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot \left[ (\mathbf{x})^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}-\alpha^1-\beta^2} \right] Q(\mathbf{x}).$$

If $Q$ is homogeneous, then $\Phi(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ only if $|\alpha^1| + |\beta^2| = |\mathbf{b}|$, i.e. if $|\alpha^1| = |\beta^1|$, $|\alpha^2| = |\beta^2|$; the same is true for $\Phi(\beta, \alpha)$.

# 3 Cartesian product of a graph and an even cycle

Let $G$ be a graph, all vertices in which have even degree. Denoting $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, we take $a_i = \deg(v_i)/2$, $Q(\mathbf{x}) = F_G(\mathbf{x})$, $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_j (y_j - x_j)$, $b_i = 1$, and let $k$ be even.

Then $\Phi(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ only if $\alpha_j^1 = 1 - \alpha_j^2 \leq 1, \beta_j^1 = 1 - \beta_j^2 \leq 1$ for all $j$, $|\alpha^1| = |\beta^1|$; if this is the case, then

$$\Phi(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{|\alpha^1|} \left[ (\mathbf{x})^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}-\alpha^1-(\mathbf{b}-\beta^1)} \right] F_G(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{|\alpha^1|} \left[ (\mathbf{x})^{\mathbf{a}+\beta^1-\alpha^1} \right] F_G(\mathbf{x}).$$

Note that

$$\left[ \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}+\beta^1-\alpha^1} \right] F_G(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{|E(G)|} \left[ \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}+\alpha^1-\beta^1} \right] F_G(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2}$$

since simultaneously changing the choice in each linear factor $x_i - x_j$ of $F_G$ we get one of these monomials from the other. Thus, matrix $\Phi$ is (skew-)symmetric; therefore all eigenvalues of the matrix are real (or all are imaginary). Then the $k$-th powers of all non-zero eigenvalues are real and have the same sign. It follows that $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^k \neq 0$ if at least one of the coefficients of the form

$$\left[ \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}+\beta^1-\alpha^1} \right] F_G(\mathbf{x})$$

is non-zero; in other words, if there is at least one non-zero coefficient $\left[\mathbf{x}^{\xi}\right] F_G(\mathbf{x})$ with $|\xi_i - \deg(v_i)/2| \leqslant 1$ for all $i$. Theorem 3 is proved.

**Remark 1.** *Define a generalized graph polynomial $Q$ for a graph or multigraph $G = (V, E)$ with even degrees, $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, as a product of factors $x_i \pm x_j$ for all edges $v_i v_j \in E$ (one multiple for each edge). Note that it satisfies the symmetry or antisymmetry property* (2)*, with some multiple $\pm 1$ on the place of $(-1)^{|E(G)|}$. Therefore the same argument shows that if $Q$ has a non-zero almost central coefficient, then the polynomial*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2k} Q(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{x}^{i+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (x_j^i - x_j^{i+1}), \text{ where } \mathbf{x}^{2k+1} \equiv \mathbf{x}^1, \tag{3}$$

*has a non-zero central coefficient (that is, a coefficient of $\prod_{i,j} (x_j^i)^{\deg(v_j)/2+1}$).*

# 4 Applications

## 4.1 Cartesian product of several cycles

Consider a Cartesian product of odd cycles $G = C_{2k_1+1} \square \dots \square C_{2k_n+1}$, such that

$$\frac{1}{k_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k_n} \leqslant 1.$$

Our goal is to show that the graph polynomial $F_G$ has a non-zero almost central coefficient. We employ the Alon-Tarsi method:

**Theorem 4** (see Corollary 1.2, Corollary 2.3 in [2])**.** *Let $G$ be a non-directed graph on vertices $v_1, \dots, v_n$. Suppose $G$ has an orientation $D$ with outdegrees $d_{out}(v_i) = d_i$, and there are no odd directed cycles in $D$. Then the coefficient $[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}}]F_G$ (where $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$) is non-zero.*

We are going to build an orientation of $G$, such that the outdegree of any vertex lies in $\{n-1, n, n+1\}$, and there are no odd directed cycles. We are going to denote vertices of $G$ by $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $0 \leqslant v_i \leqslant 2k_i$.

We divide $G$ into $2^n$ boxes $H_i$, $0 \leqslant i < 2^n$: if the binary notation of $i$ is $\overline{b_{i,1} \dots b_{i,n}}$, then

$$H_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mid 0 \leqslant v_j \leqslant k, \text{ if } b_{i,j} = 0;\ k < v_j \leqslant 2k \text{ otherwise}\}.$$

These boxes may be colored alternately white and black (in a chess order). We direct all edges sticking out of black boxes outward, and from white boxes inward. Note that any directed cycle is contained is some box $H_i$ and has therefore even length.

The remaining task is to obtain the orientation of the box of dimension $n$ with outdegree of any vertex lying in $\{n-1, n\}$. We will use this orientation for all white boxes $H_i$'s, for the black boxes use the reversed orientation. This guarantees that in white boxes all outdegrees are in $\{n-1, n\}$; in black boxes the indegrees are in $\{n-1, n\}$, therefore the outdegrees are in $\{n, n+1\}$.

To prove the existence of such orientation we are going to use the following theorem (see, for example, Theorem 3 in [4]):

**Theorem 5.** *There exists an orientation of $G = (V, E)$ with $l_v \leqslant d_{out}(v) \leqslant u_v$ for any $v$, if and only if for any $W \subset V$ the following two conditions hold:*

1. $|E(W)| \leqslant \sum_{v \in W} u_v$;
2. $|\overline{E}(W)| \geqslant \sum_{v \in W} l_v$,

*where $\overline{E}(W) = E(V) \setminus E(V \setminus W)$ is the set of edges incident to at least one vertex of $W$.*

**Proposition 6.** *Let $H = P_{k_1} \square \dots \square P_{k_n}$ ($P_i$ is a path of length $i$). There exists an orientation of $H$ with outdegrees of all vertices lying in $\{n-1, n\}$ if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{k_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k_n} \leqslant 1. \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* First of all, note that the condition (4) is necessary: the sum of outdegrees of all vertices does not exceed the number of edges in a graph, so

$$(n-1)\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}(k_i - 1) \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n \\ j \neq i}} k_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k_i}\right), \tag{5}$$

which is equivalent to (4). To show that the condition (4) is sufficient, we are going to verify two conditions from Theorem 5 with $l(v) = n - 1$, $u(v) = n$ for each $v$. The first condition holds:

$$|E(W)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in W} d(v) \leqslant n|W|.$$

The second condition looks like

$$|E(V)| - |E(V \setminus W)| \geqslant (n-1)|W|.$$

Denoting $U = V \setminus W$, it is equivalent to

$$|E(U)| - (n-1)|U| \leqslant |E(V)| - (n-1)|V|$$

for each $U \subset V$. Thus, to prove that the second condition holds, it is sufficient to show that the function

$$f(U) = |E(U)| - (n-1)|U|$$

reaches its maximum value at $U = V$.

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_n)$, $1 \leqslant p_j \leqslant k_j$, denote

$$U(i,p) = \{v \in U \mid v_j = p_j \text{ for any } j \neq i\}.$$

Then

$$|E(U)| \leqslant n|U| - \sum_{i,p} \chi(|U(i,p)| > 0).$$

Denote $l = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} \geqslant 0$; then

$$|U| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} + l \right) |U| = l|U| + \sum_{i,p} \frac{|U(i,p)|}{k_i}.$$

It follows that

$$f(U) \leqslant l|U| + \sum_{i,p} g(U,i,p),$$

where

$$g(U,i,p) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |U(i,p)| = 0, \\ \frac{|U(i,p)|}{k_i} - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In conclusion, note that

$$f(U) \leqslant l|U| + \sum_{i,p} g(U,i,p) \leqslant l|U| \leqslant l|V| = f(V).$$

□

**Corollary 7.** *Let* $G = C_{2k_1+1}\Box \ldots \Box C_{2k_m+1}\Box C_{2k_{m+1}}\Box \ldots \Box C_{2k_n}$, $0 \leqslant m < n$, *and, additionally,*

$$\frac{1}{k_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k_m} \leqslant 1.$$

*Then*

$$\mathrm{ch}(G) \leqslant \mathrm{AT}(G) = n + 1.$$

*Proof.* The upper bound follows from the construction described above; the lower bound is obvious: in each monomial of the graph polynomial $F_G$ there is a variable of degree at least $n$. □

Note that even though the result is sharp for the Alon–Tarsi number, it is far from sharp for the list chromatic number when $n$ is large enough. For example, if $k_i \geqslant 2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$ (it is so for sure if $m \geqslant 2$ and $\sum 1/k_i \leqslant 1$), then graph $G$ is triangle free and has maximum degree $2n$, which yields $\mathrm{ch}(G) \leqslant (2 + o(1))\frac{n}{\log n}$ by a result of Molloy [7], a recent improvement of the $O(\frac{n}{\log n})$ bound first given by Johansson [5].

## 4.2 Powers of cycles

**Proposition 8.** *Let* $C_n^p$ *be* $p$*-th power of a cycle* $C_n$*, i.e. a graph on the vertex set* $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$*, in which* $v_i$ *and* $v_j$ *are adjacent if and only if* $j \in \{i-p, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots i+p\}$ *(the indices are modulo* $n$*). Suppose* $p+1$ *divides* $n$ *or* $n \geqslant p(p+1)$*. Then*

$$\mathrm{ch}(C_n^p \Box C_{2k}) \leqslant \mathrm{AT}(C_n^p \Box C_{2k}) \leqslant p + 2.$$

*Proof.* In [8] the Alon–Tarsi number AT for powers of cycles is estimated. If $p+1$ divides $n$, it is shown that the central coefficient of $F_{C_n^p}$ is non-zero; if $p+1$ does not divide $n$, but $n \geqslant p(p+1)$, then it is shown that for a graph $H_n^p$, obtained by adding some matching to the graph $C_n^p$, there is a non-zero coefficient of $F_{H_n^p}$ with degree of each variable in $\{p, p+1\}$. This coefficient is a linear combination of almost central coefficients of $F_{C_n^p}$, so at least one of them is also non-zero. □

## 4.3 Multigraphs

Note that Theorem 3 can be applied to multigraphs. In particular, non-trivial bounds can be obtained for graphs with large choice number by adding multiple edges to them. To give an example, we prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 9.** *Let* $G$ *be a graph, all vertices of maximum degree in which may be covered by some vertex-disjoint cycles. Then*

$$\mathrm{AT}(G\Box C_{2k}) \leqslant \Delta(G) + 1 = \Delta(G\Box C_{2k}) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Denote the set of edges contained in these cycles as $F$. Consider a graph $G'$, which can be obtained from $G$ by adding a multiple edge to every edge from

the set $E(G) \setminus F$ . Obviously, $\mathrm{AT}(G \square C_{2k}) \leqslant \mathrm{AT}(G' \square C_{2k})$. If we show that $F_{G'}$ has a non-zero almost central coefficient, then

$$\mathrm{AT}(G' \square C_{2k}) \leqslant \frac{\Delta(G')}{2} + 2 = \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Consider another graph $G''$, which can be obtained from $G$ by adding a multiple edge to every edge. Note that the central coefficient of $F_{G''} = F_G^2$ is non-zero: the central coefficient of $F_{G''}$ is the sum of products of "opposite" coefficients of $F_G$, each summand in this sum has the same sign (which depends on the parity of the number of edges in $G$, cf. (2)). But the central coefficient of $F_{G''}$ is a linear combination of almost central coefficients of $F_{G'}$; it follows that at least one of them is also non-zero. □

**Corollary 10.**

$$\mathrm{AT}(K_n \square C_{2k}) = \mathrm{ch}(K_n \square C_{2k}) = n.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$n \geqslant \mathrm{AT}(K_n \square C_{2k}) \geqslant \mathrm{ch}(K_n \square C_{2k}) \geqslant \mathrm{ch}(K_n) \geqslant n,$$

where the first inequality follows from Proposition 9, the second from (1), the third and fourth are clear. So all inequalities turn into equalities. □

Next proposition is a generalization of Theorem 3 for arbitrary graphs (not necessarily with even degrees, not necessarily with a non-zero almost central coefficient.) Roughly speaking, it bounds the choosability in dependence on how not-so-far-from-central coefficient does the graph polynomial have. It also gives Corollary 10 (we skip the details).

**Proposition 11.** *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph; denote $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For any $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ denote $l_G(\eta, i) = |\eta_i - \deg_G(v_i)/2|$. Consider a non-zero coefficient $[\mathbf{x}^\tau] F_G(\mathbf{x})$ of the graph polynomial $F_G$. Partition the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ onto sets*

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \{i : \tau_i = \deg_G(v_i)/2\}, \\ A_1 &= \{i : \tau_i \leqslant \deg_G(v_i)/2 - 1\}, \\ A_2 &= \{i : \tau_i = \deg_G(v_i)/2 - 1/2\}, \\ B_1 &= \{i : \tau_i \geqslant \deg_G(v_i)/2 + 1\}, \\ B_2 &= \{i : \tau_i = \deg_G(v_i)/2 + 1/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Additionally, let $A_3$ be an arbitrary subset of $A_1$ of size $\max(0, |A_1| - |B_1|)$; let $B_3$ be an arbitrary subset of $B_1$ of size $\max(0, |B_1| - |A_1|)$. Then $G \square C_{2k}$ is $f$-choosable, where*

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} \deg_G(v_i)/2 + 2, & \text{if } i \in N, \\ \deg_G(v_i)/2 + l_G(\tau, i) + 1, & \text{if } i \in A_1 \cup B_1 \setminus A_3 \setminus B_3, \\ \deg_G(v_i)/2 + l_G(\tau, i) + 2, & \text{if } i \in A_2 \cup B_2 \cup A_3 \cup B_3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Define a multiset $A$ as follows:

- each $i \in A_1 \setminus A_3$ occurs $2(l_G(\tau, i) - 1)$ times in $A$;
- each $i \in A_2 \cup A_3$ occurs $2l_G(\tau, i)$ times in $A$.

Multiset $B$ is defined similarly. Note that

$$|A| = \sum_{i \in A_1 \cup A_2} 2l_G(\tau, i) - 2\min(|A_1|, |B_1|).$$

Similarly,

$$|B| = \sum_{i \in B_1 \cup B_2} 2l_G(\tau, i) - 2\min(|A_1|, |B_1|).$$

It follows that $|A| = |B| =: m$. Let $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$.

Consider $2^m$ polynomials

$$Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = F_G(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} (x_{a_j} \pm x_{b_j})$$

indexed by the choice $\varepsilon$ of $m$ signs. Using the relation $x_{a_j} = \frac{1}{2}((x_{a_j} + x_{b_j}) + (x_{a_j} - x_{b_j}))$ we see that the polynomial $Q := F_G(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \prod x_{a_i}$ is a linear combination of $Q_\varepsilon$'s. Note that

$$\left[\mathbf{x}^\tau \cdot \prod x_{a_i}\right] Q = [\mathbf{x}^\tau]\, F_G \neq 0,$$

therefore there exists $\varepsilon$ such that

$$\left[\mathbf{x}^\tau \cdot \prod x_{a_i}\right] Q_\varepsilon \neq 0.$$

Note that $Q_\varepsilon$ is a generalized graph polynomial of a certain multigraph on the ground set $V$ with degree function $2f(v_i) - 4$. The coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^\tau \cdot \prod x_{a_i}$ is almost central for $Q_\varepsilon$.

Now it follows from the Remark 1 that the polynomial (3) (for $Q = Q_\varepsilon$) has a non-zero central coefficient.

Finally, since the graph polynomial $F_{G \square C_{2k}}$ divides this polynomial, graph $G \square C_{2k}$ is $f$-choosable. □

We are grateful to Noga Alon for bringing the papers [5, 7] to our attention.

The study was funded by Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS" (general Sections 2 and 3) and by by RFBR, project number 19-31-90081 (applications in Section 4).

# References

[1] N. Alon. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 8(1-2):7–29, 1999.

[2] N. Alon and M. Tarsi. Colorings and orientations of graphs. *Combinatorica*, 12(2):125–134, 1992.

[3] M. Borowiecki, S. Jendrol, D. Král, and J. Miškuf. List coloring of Cartesian products of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 306(16):1955–1958, 2006.

[4] A.U. Frank and A. Gyárfás. How to orient the edges of a graph? *Colloq. Math.Soc. János Bolyai*, 18:353–364, 1976.

[5] A. Johansson. Asymptotic choice number for triangle free graphs. *DIMACS Technical Report 91–5*, 1996.

[6] Z. Li, Z. Shao, F. Petrov, and A. Gordeev. The Alon–Tarsi Number of A Toroidal Grid. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.12466*, 2019.

[7] M. Molloy. The list chromatic number of graphs with small clique number. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 134:264–284, 2019.

[8] A. Prowse and D.R. Woodall. Choosability of Powers of Circuits. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 19:137–144, 2003.

[9] G. Sabidussi. Graphs with given group and given graph-theoretical properties. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 9:515–525, 1957.