

A note on the differentiability of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distances

Florentine Catharina Fleißner *

Abstract

This paper will deal with differentiability properties of the class of Hellinger-Kantorovich distances $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ ($\Lambda, \Sigma > 0$) which was recently introduced on the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of finite nonnegative Radon measures. The \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e.-differentiability of

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2,$$

for $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and absolutely continuous curves $(\mu_t)_t$ in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$, will be examined and the corresponding derivatives will be computed. The characterization of absolutely continuous curves in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$ will be refined.

1 Introduction

Recently, a new class of distances on the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of finite nonnegative Radon measures was established by three independent teams [8, 9, 7, 3, 4]. We will follow the presentation of these distances by Liero, Mielke and Savaré [8, 9] who named it *Hellinger-Kantorovich distances*. The class of Hellinger-Kantorovich distances $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ ($\Lambda, \Sigma > 0$) is based on the conversion of one measure into another one (possibly having different total mass) by means of transport and creation / annihilation of mass. The parameters Λ and Σ serve as weightings of the transport part and the mass creation/annihilation part respectively. To be more precise, the square $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)^2$ of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distance $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ between two measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on \mathbb{R}^d corresponds to

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{4}{\Sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\sigma_i \log \sigma_i - \sigma_i + 1) d\mu_i + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} c_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(|x_1 - x_2|) d\gamma : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), \gamma_i \ll \mu_i \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

with entropy cost functions $\frac{4}{\Sigma}(\sigma_i \log \sigma_i - \sigma_i + 1)$,

$$\sigma_i := \frac{d\gamma_i}{d\mu_i} \quad (\gamma_i \text{ i-th marginal of } \gamma), \quad (1.2)$$

*Technische Universität München email: fleissne@ma.tum.de.

and transportation cost function

$$c_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mathbf{d}) := \begin{cases} -\frac{8}{\Sigma} \log(\cos(\sqrt{\Sigma/(4\Lambda)}\mathbf{d})) & \text{if } \mathbf{d} < \pi\sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma}, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \mathbf{d} \geq \pi\sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma}. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

There exists an optimal plan γ for the Logarithmic Entropy-Transport problem (1.1) (cf. Thm. 3.3 in [9]), and if μ_1 is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and γ is such optimal plan, then there exists a Borel optimal transport mapping $t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ so that γ takes the form

$$\gamma = (I \times t)_{\#} \gamma_1 = (I \times t)_{\#} \sigma_1 \mu_1$$

(cf. Thm. 4.5 in [6] and Thm. 6.6 in [9]). We refer the reader to ([9], Cor. 7.14, Thms. 7.17 and 7.20) for the proofs that $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ defined via the Logarithmic Entropy-Transport problem (1.1) indeed represents a distance on the space of finite nonnegative Radon measures and that $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$ is a complete metric space. Furthermore, the Hellinger-Kantorovich distance $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ metrizes the weak topology on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in duality with continuous and bounded functions (cf. Thm. 7.15 in [9]) and can be interpreted as weighted infimal convolution of the Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance and the Hellinger-Kakutani distance. A representation formula à la Benamou-Brenier which can be proved for $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ (cf. ([9], Thm. 8.18; [8], Thm. 3.6(v))) justifies this interpretation:

$$\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)^2 = \min \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda |v_t|^2 + \Sigma |w_t|^2) d\mu_t dt : \mu_1 \overset{(\mu, v, w)}{\rightsquigarrow} \mu_2 \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mu_1 \overset{(\mu, v, w)}{\rightsquigarrow} \mu_2$ means that $\mu : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a continuous curve connecting $\mu(0) = \mu_1$ and $\mu(1) = \mu_2$ and satisfying the continuity equation with reaction

$$\partial_t \mu_t = -\Lambda \operatorname{div}(v_t \mu_t) + \Sigma w_t \mu_t, \quad (1.5)$$

governed by Borel functions $v : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $w : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda |v_t|^2 + \Sigma |w_t|^2) d\mu_t dt < +\infty, \quad (1.6)$$

in duality with C^∞ -functions with compact support in $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e.

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_t \psi(t, x) + \Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(t, x), v(t, x) \rangle + \Sigma \psi(t, x) w(t, x)) d\mu_t(x) dt = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

The class of such continuous curves μ satisfying ((1.5), (1.6)) for some Borel vector field (v, w) coincides with the class of *absolutely continuous* curves $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$ with *square-integrable metric derivatives* (cf. Thms. 8.16 and 8.17 in [9], see Sect. 3 in this paper).

In order to deepen our understanding of a distance, it is always worth studying its differentiability along absolutely continuous curves (e.g. see Chap. 8 in [1] for the corresponding analysis of the Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance on the space of Borel probability measures with finite second order moments). The present paper addresses this issue for the class of Hellinger-Kantorovich distances on the space of finite nonnegative Radon measures. Clearly, if $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is an absolutely continuous curve in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the mapping

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2 \quad (1.8)$$

is \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. differentiable. A natural question that arises is the one of the concrete form of the corresponding derivatives. We will answer this question for absolutely continuous curves with square-integrable metric derivatives (for which such characterization (1.5) is available), refine that characterization by providing more information on (v, w) (see Prop. 3.1) and determine

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2 \quad (1.9)$$

at \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ (see Thm. 3.4). This piece of work can be viewed as continuation of Sect. 2 in the author's paper [5] constituting a starting point for the study of differentiability properties of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distances. Therein, we identified elements of the Fréchet subdifferential of mappings

$$t \mapsto -\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}((I + tv)_{\#}(1 + tR)^2 \mu_0, \nu)^2$$

at $t = 0$, for $\mu_0, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and bounded Borel functions $v : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $R : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. That subdifferential calculus was an essential ingredient for our Minimizing Movement approach to a class of scalar reaction-diffusion equations [5] substantiating their gradient-flow-like structure in the space of finite nonnegative Radon measures endowed with the Hellinger-Kantorovich distance $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$.

The proof in [9] that absolutely continuous curves in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$ with square-integrable metric derivatives are characterized via ((1.5), (1.6)) was carried out only for $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$, endowed with usual scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $|\cdot| := \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$, but according to a comment at the beginning of Sect. 8.5 in [9], it should be possible to prove such characterization result in a more general setting. We would like to remark that also our computation of the derivatives (1.9) may be adapted for general separable Hilbert spaces \mathbb{H} .

Our plan for the paper is to give an equivalent characterization of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distances in Sect. 2 and to perform the computation of the derivatives (1.9) in Sect. 3.

2 Optimal transportation on the cone

According to ([8], Sect. 3) and ([9], Sect. 7), the Logarithmic Entropy-Transport problem (1.1) translates into a problem of optimal transportation on the geometric cone \mathfrak{C} on \mathbb{R}^d , see (2.7),

(2.8) below. The fact that all the information on transport of mass and creation / annihilation of mass according to (1.1) lies in a pure transportation problem has proved extremely useful for the analysis of $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ in [9] and for our subdifferential calculus in [5].

The geometric cone is defined as the quotient space

$$\mathfrak{C} := \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty) / \sim \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$(x_1, r_1) \sim (x_2, r_2) \iff r_1 = r_2 = 0 \text{ or } r_1 = r_2, x_1 = x_2 \quad (2.2)$$

and is endowed with a class of distances $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}$ ($\Lambda, \Sigma > 0$). The vertex \mathfrak{o} (for $r = 0$) and $[x, r]$ (for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$) denote the corresponding equivalence classes and

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2 := \frac{4}{\Sigma} \left(r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos \left(\left(\sqrt{\Sigma/4\Lambda} |x_1 - x_2| \right) \wedge \pi \right) \right) \quad (2.3)$$

(where \mathfrak{o} is identified with $[\bar{x}, 0]$ for some $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$). The distance $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}$ gives rise to an optimal transport problem on the cone and therewith to an extended quadratic Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}$ on the space $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C})$ of finite nonnegative Radon measures on \mathfrak{C} with finite second order moments, i.e. $\int_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x, r], \mathfrak{o})^2 d\alpha([x, r]) < +\infty$. The extended Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ between two measures $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C})$ is equal to $+\infty$ if $\alpha_1(\mathfrak{C}) \neq \alpha_2(\mathfrak{C})$ and is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^2 := \min \left\{ \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2 d\beta \mid \beta \in M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

if $\alpha_1(\mathfrak{C}) = \alpha_2(\mathfrak{C})$, with $M(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ being the set of finite nonnegative Radon measures on $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$ whose first and second marginals coincide with α_1 and α_2 . Every measure $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C})$ on the cone is assigned a measure $\mathfrak{h}\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\mathfrak{h}\alpha := \mathbf{x}_{\#}(\mathbf{r}^2 \alpha), \quad (2.5)$$

with $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty)$ defined as

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r})([x, r]) := (x, r) \text{ for } [x, r] \in \mathfrak{C}, r > 0, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r})(\mathfrak{o}) := (\bar{x}, 0), \quad (2.6)$$

which means $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) d(\mathfrak{h}\alpha) = \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{r}^2 \phi(\mathbf{x}) d\alpha$ for all continuous and bounded functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (short $\phi \in C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$). Please note that the mapping $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is not injective.

Now, an equivalent characterization of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distance $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ is given by the transportation problems

$$\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)^2 = \min \left\{ \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^2 \mid \alpha_i \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C}), \mathfrak{h}\alpha_i = \mu_i \right\} \quad (2.7)$$

$$= \min \left\{ \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^2 + \frac{4}{\Sigma} \sum_{i=1}^2 (\mu_i - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_i)(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \alpha_i \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C}), \mathfrak{h}\alpha_i \leq \mu_i \right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

cf. Probl. 7.4, Thm. 7.6, Lem. 7.9, Thm. 7.20 in [9]. Every solution $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ to the Logarithmic Entropy-Transport problem (1.1) induces a solution $\beta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C})$ to ((2.8), (2.4)): if γ is an optimal plan for (1.1) with Lebesgue decompositions ¹

$$\mu_i = \rho_i \gamma_i + \mu_i^\perp, \quad (2.9)$$

then

$$\beta := ([x_1, \sqrt{\rho_1(x_1)}], [x_2, \sqrt{\rho_2(x_2)}])_\# \gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}) \quad (2.10)$$

is an optimal plan for the transport problem ((2.8), (2.4)) (cf. ([9], Thm. 7.20(iii))). Furthermore, if $\beta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C})$ is a solution to ((2.8), (2.4)) or a solution to ((2.7), (2.4)) (which exists by ([9], Thm. 7.6)), then

$$\beta\left(\left\{([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]) \in \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} : r_1, r_2 > 0, |x_1 - x_2| > \pi\sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma}\right\}\right) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

(cf. ([9], Lem. 7.19)).

Finally, we show how to construct geodesics in $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma})$ (cf. Sect. 8.1 in [9]) as they will play an important role in our analysis of (1.9). We suppose that $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \pi\sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma}$, $r_1, r_2 > 0$, and search for functions $\mathcal{R} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\theta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that the curve $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ defined as $\eta(s) := [x_1 + \theta(s)(x_2 - x_1), \mathcal{R}(s)]$ is a (constant speed) geodesic connecting $[x_1, r_1]$ and $[x_2, r_2]$, which means $\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\eta(s), \eta(t)) = |s - t|\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$. If $x_1 = x_2$, we set $\theta \equiv 0$. We note that

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\eta(s), \eta(t))^2 = |z(s) - z(t)|_{\mathbb{C}}^2, \quad (2.12)$$

where $z : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the curve in the complex plane \mathbb{C} defined as

$$z(s) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Sigma}} \mathcal{R}(s) \exp\left(i\theta(s)\sqrt{\Sigma/4\Lambda} |x_1 - x_2|\right), \quad (2.13)$$

and $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the absolute value for complex numbers. Thus, if z is a geodesic in the complex plane between $z_1 := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Sigma}}r_1$ and $z_2 := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Sigma}}r_2 \exp\left(i\sqrt{\Sigma/4\Lambda} |x_1 - x_2|\right)$, i.e.

$$z(s) = z_1 + s(z_2 - z_1) \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, 1], \quad (2.14)$$

then η is a geodesic in $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma})$ between $[x_1, r_1]$ and $[x_2, r_2]$. This condition yields an appropriate choice for $\mathcal{R} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\theta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, and it is not difficult to see that they are both smooth functions, their first derivatives satisfy

$$\frac{4}{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}'(s))^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda}\mathcal{R}(s)^2(\theta'(s))^2|x_1 - x_2|^2 = \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2 \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, 1), \quad (2.15)$$

and they are right differentiable at $s = 0$. We obtain a geodesic from $[x_1, r_1]$ to the vertex \mathfrak{o} by setting $\theta \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(s) := (1 - s)r_1$ and identifying \mathfrak{o} with $[x_1, 0]$. Also in this case, (2.15) holds good.

¹according to Lem. 2.3 in [9], there exist Borel functions $\rho_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and nonnegative finite Radon measures $\mu_i^\perp \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mu_i^\perp \perp \gamma_i$, so that (2.9) holds good

3 Differentiability results

We fix $\Lambda, \Sigma > 0$ and examine the behaviour of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distance $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ along absolutely continuous curves.

Let $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be an absolutely continuous curve in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma})$ with square-integrable metric derivative, i.e. the limit

$$|\mu'_t| := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_{t+h}, \mu_t)}{|h|} \quad (3.1)$$

exists for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$, the function $t \mapsto |\mu'_t|$ which is called *metric derivative* of $(\mu_t)_t$ belongs to $L^2((0, 1))$ and

$$\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_s, \mu_t) \leq \int_s^t |\mu'_r| \, dr \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \quad (3.2)$$

(cf. Def. 1.1.1 and Thm. 1.1.2 in [1]). According to Thms. 8.16 and 8.17 in [9], there exists a Borel vector field $(v, w) : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ so that the continuity equation with reaction

$$\partial_t \mu_t = -\Lambda \operatorname{div}(v_t \mu_t) + \Sigma w_t \mu_t \quad (3.3)$$

$(v_t := v(t, \cdot), w_t := w(t, \cdot))$ holds good, in duality with C^∞ -functions with compact support in $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ (see (1.7)), and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda |v_t|^2 + \Sigma |w_t|^2) \, d\mu_t = |\mu'_t|^2 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. } t \in (0, 1). \quad (3.4)$$

For every $t \in (0, 1)$ and $h \in (-t, 1 - t)$, there exists a plan $\beta_{t, t+h} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C})$ which is optimal in the definition of $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h})^2$ according to ((2.7), (2.4)) and whose first marginal $\pi_{\#}^1 \beta_{t, t+h}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathfrak{C}} \phi([x, r]) \, d(\pi_{\#}^1 \beta_{t, t+h}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi([x, 1]) \, d\mu_t + h^2 \phi(\mathfrak{o}) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $\phi \in C_b^0(\mathfrak{C})$ (cf. Thm. 7.6 and Lem. 7.10 in [9]).

We fix $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu) \quad (3.6)$$

is an absolutely continuous mapping from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, +\infty)$ and thus \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. differentiable.

The plan of this section is as follows. First, Prop. 3.1 will identify (v_t, w_t) as belonging to a particular class of functions. Second, the push-forwards of $\beta_{t, t+h}$ through mappings

$$(y_1, y_2) \mapsto \left((\mathbf{x}(y_1), \mathbf{r}(y_1)), \left(\frac{1}{h\Lambda} \mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) \theta'_{y_1, y_2}(s) (\mathbf{x}(y_2) - \mathbf{x}(y_1)), \frac{2}{h\Sigma} \mathcal{R}'_{y_1, y_2}(s) \right) \right) \quad (3.7)$$

from $(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}) \setminus \left\{ ([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]) \in \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} : r_1, r_2 > 0, |x_1 - x_2| > \pi \sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma} \right\}$ to $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ will be considered, for $s \in (0, 1)$, with $y_i := [x_i, r_i]$, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r} as in (2.6), and

$$[0, 1] \ni s \mapsto (\theta_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s), \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty)$$

being constructed according to Sect. 2 (cf. (2.12)-(2.15)) so that

$$s \mapsto [x_1 + \theta_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)(x_2 - x_1), \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)] \text{ is a geodesic from } [x_1, r_1] \text{ to } [x_2, r_2]. \quad (3.8)$$

Please recall (2.11) in this context and note that, by (2.15), the mappings (3.7) are Borel measurable. Their second components may be interpreted as blow-ups of tangent vectors to geodesics in $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma})$ and Prop. 3.3 will provide information on the limits of the corresponding push-forwards of $\beta_{t, t+h}$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, linking them to (v_t, w_t) . That result will be helpful in studying the \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e.-differentiability of the mapping (3.6) and finally, in Thm. 3.4, we will determine the derivatives by computing

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2 \quad (3.9)$$

at \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$.

The above notation holds good throughout this section.

Proposition 3.1. *For \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$, the Borel function (v_t, w_t) belongs to the closure in $L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ of the subspace $\{(\nabla \zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$.*

Here $(L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})})$ denotes the normed space of all μ_t -measurable functions (\bar{v}, \bar{w}) from \mathbb{R}^d to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|(\bar{v}, \bar{w})\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda |\bar{v}|^2 + \Sigma |\bar{w}|^2) d\mu_t \right)^{1/2} < +\infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. We construct a Borel vector field $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (3.3) so that, for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$, the function $(\tilde{v}_t, \tilde{w}_t)$ belongs to the closure in $L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ of the subspace $\{(\nabla \zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and

$$\|(\tilde{v}_t, \tilde{w}_t)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda |\tilde{v}_t|^2 + \Sigma |\tilde{w}_t|^2) d\mu_t \leq |\mu'_t|^2. \quad (3.11)$$

We begin the proof with some estimations. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It follows from the construction of $\mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}$ and $\theta_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}$ according to (2.12)-(2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\Sigma} \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)^2 &= \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2, \\ \left| \theta''_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)^2 (x_2 - x_1) \right| &\leq C_{\Sigma, \Lambda} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2, \\ \left| 2\theta'_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) \mathcal{R}'_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) (x_2 - x_1) \right| &\leq C_{\Sigma, \Lambda} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2, \\ \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left[\phi(x_1 + \theta_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)(x_2 - x_1)) \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)^2 \right] \right| &\leq C_\phi C_{\Sigma, \Lambda} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2, \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in (0, 1)$, with $C_\phi > 0$ only depending on ϕ and $C_{\Sigma, \Lambda} := 2\Sigma + 4\Lambda$; we refer the reader to the proof of Prop. 2.5 in [5] for details. With (2.15) and these estimations on hand, it is straightforward to prove that there exists a constant $C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} > 0$ only depending on ϕ , Λ and Σ so that

$$|\varphi'_{y_1, y_2}(\bar{s}) - \varphi'_{y_1, y_2}(s)| \leq C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(y_1, y_2)^2, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left| \varphi'_{y_1, y_2}(s) - \langle \nabla \phi(x_1), \theta'_{y_1, y_2}(s)(x_2 - x_1) \rangle \mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) + 2\phi(x_1) \mathcal{R}'_{y_1, y_2}(s) \right| \leq C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(y_1, y_2)^2 \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\left| \left(\langle \nabla \phi(x_1), \theta'_{y_1, y_2}(s)(x_2 - x_1) \rangle \mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) + 2\phi(x_1) \mathcal{R}'_{y_1, y_2}(s) \right) \left(\mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) - r_1 \right) \right| \leq C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(y_1, y_2)^2 \quad (3.14)$$

for all $s, \bar{s} \in (0, 1)$, with $y_i := [x_i, r_i]$, $\varphi_{y_1, y_2}(s) := \phi(x_1 + \theta_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)(x_2 - x_1)) \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)^2$.

Now, let $t \in (0, 1)$ so that the limit (3.1) exists and $\mathfrak{C}_o := \mathfrak{C} \setminus \{o\}$. By applying (2.11), (3.13), (3.14), (3.5), Hölder's inequality and (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \, d\mu_{t+h} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \, d\mu_t \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} (\phi(x_2)r_2^2 - \phi(x_1)r_1^2) \, d\beta_{t, t+h} \right| \leq \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} \int_0^1 |\varphi'_{y_1, y_2}(s)| \, ds \, d\beta_{t, t+h} \leq \\ &\int_{\mathfrak{C}_o \times \mathfrak{C}} \int_0^1 \left| \langle \nabla \phi(x_1), \theta'_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)(x_2 - x_1) \rangle \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) + 2\phi(x_1) \mathcal{R}'_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) \right| \, ds \, d\beta_{t, t+h} \\ &\quad + 2C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h})^2 \leq \\ &\left(\int_{\mathfrak{C}_o} \left(\Lambda |\nabla \phi|^2 + \Sigma \phi^2 \right) \, d(\pi_{\#}^1 \beta_{t, t+h}) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{C}_o \times \mathfrak{C}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} \mathcal{R}^2(\theta')^2 |x_2 - x_1|^2 + \frac{4}{\Sigma} (\mathcal{R}')^2 \right) \, ds \, d\beta_{t, t+h} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + 2C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h})^2 \leq \\ &\leq \|(\nabla \phi, \phi)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h}) + 2C_{\phi, \Lambda, \Sigma} \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h})^2 \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \, d\mu_{t+h} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \, d\mu_t \right| \leq \|(\nabla \phi, \phi)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} |\mu'_t|. \quad (3.15)$$

At this point, we may follow the proof of Thm. 8.3.1 in [1]. Therein, a similar characterization of absolutely continuous curves in the space of Borel probability measures with finite second order moments, endowed with the Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance, was given by solving a suitable minimum problem. We adapt that approach. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ be defined by

$$\int_{(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d} \psi(t, x) \, d\mu(t, x) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(t, x) \, d\mu_t(x) \, dt$$

for all $\psi \in C_b^0((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $(L^2(\mu, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mu, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})})$ denote the normed space of all μ -measurable vector fields (\hat{v}, \hat{w}) from $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\|(\hat{v}_t, \hat{w}_t)\|_{L^2(\mu, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} := \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda |\hat{v}_t|^2 + \Sigma |\hat{w}_t|^2) \, d\mu_t \, dt \right)^{1/2} < +\infty. \quad (3.16)$$

An application of (3.15), Fatou's Lemma, Hölder's inequality and Hahn-Banach Theorem shows that there exists a unique bounded linear functional L defined on the closure \mathcal{V} in $L^2(\mu, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ of the subspace $\{(\nabla\zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in C_c^\infty((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d)\}$, satisfying

$$L((\nabla\zeta, \zeta)) := - \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \zeta(t, x) d\mu_t dt \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in C_c^\infty((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (3.17)$$

We consider the minimum problem

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|(\hat{v}, \hat{w})\|_{L^2(\mu, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})}^2 - L((\hat{v}, \hat{w})) : (\hat{v}, \hat{w}) \in \mathcal{V} \right\}. \quad (3.18)$$

The same argument as in the proof of Thm. 8.3.1 in [1] proves that the unique solution (\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) to (3.18) (which clearly exists) satisfies (3.3) and, for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$, the function $(\tilde{v}_t, \tilde{w}_t)$ belongs to the closure in $L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ of the subspace $\{(\nabla\zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and (3.11) holds good. By Thm. 8.17 in [9], for every Borel vector field $(\hat{v}, \hat{w}) \in L^2(\mu, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the continuity equation with reaction (3.3) the opposite inequality holds good, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda|\hat{v}_t|^2 + \Sigma|\hat{w}_t|^2) d\mu_t \geq |\mu'_t|^2 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. } t \in (0, 1).$$

It follows from this and from the strict convexity of $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})}^2$ that the Borel vector field (\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) solves ((3.3), (3.4)) and that it coincides \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. with any other vector field solving ((3.3), (3.4)). This completes the proof of Prop. 3.1. \square

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a countable subset of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that every function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be approximated in the C^1 -norm by a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We define \mathcal{N} as the set of points $t \in (0, 1)$ at which the following holds good:

- (i) the limit (3.1) exists,
- (ii) (v_t, w_t) belongs to the closure in $L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ of the subspace $\{(\nabla\zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and satisfies (3.4),
- (iii) the mapping

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2 \quad (3.19)$$

is differentiable at t ,

- (iv) and, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi d\mu_{t+h} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi d\mu_t \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi, v_t \rangle + \Sigma \psi w_t) d\mu_t. \quad (3.20)$$

Please note that $(0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{N}$ is an \mathcal{L}^1 -negligible set; it follows from (1.7) that, for fixed $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi \, d\mu_t$ is absolutely continuous from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} and (3.20) holds good at \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$.

We turn to the push-forward $\Delta_{t,h,s} \in \mathcal{M}((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}))$ of $\beta_{t,t+h}$ through (3.7), defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} \Phi(y) \, d\Delta_{t,h,s} \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} \Phi\left((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r})([x_1, r_1]), \left(\frac{1}{h\Lambda} \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) \theta'_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s) (x_2 - x_1), \frac{2}{h\Sigma} \mathcal{R}'_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)\right)\right) \, d\beta_{t,t+h} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\Phi \in C_b^0((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}))$.

Proposition 3.3. *The following holds good for all $t \in \mathcal{N}$.*

(i) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} \Phi(y) \, d\Delta_{t,h,s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi((x, 1), (v_t(x), w_t(x))) \, d\mu_t \quad (3.21)$$

for all continuous functions $\Phi : (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the growth condition

$$|\Phi((x_1, r_1), (x_2, r_2))| \leq C(1 + |x_2|^2 + |r_2|^2) \quad (3.22)$$

for some $C > 0$.

(ii) *Define $\mathfrak{C}_{t,h} := \left\{ [x, r] \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus \{\mathfrak{o}\} : |v_t(x)| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{|h|}} \text{ and } |w_t(x)| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{|h|\Sigma}} \right\}$ and $\Xi_{t,h} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$,*

$$\Xi_{t,h}([x, r]) := \begin{cases} [x + \Lambda h v_t(x), r(1 + \frac{\Sigma}{2} h w_t(x))] & \text{if } [x, r] \in \mathfrak{C}_{t,h}, \\ [x, r] & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

Let $\chi_{t,h} := (\Xi_{t,h})_\#(\pi_\#^1 \beta_{t,t+h})$ be the push-forward of the first marginal of $\beta_{t,t+h}$ through $\Xi_{t,h}$, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathfrak{C}} \phi([x, r]) \, d\chi_{t,h} = \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \phi(\Xi_{t,h}([x, r])) \, d(\pi_\#^1 \beta_{t,t+h})$$

for all $\phi \in C_b^0(\mathfrak{C})$. Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathsf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_{t+h}, \mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h})^2}{h^2} = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. We set $Y := \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.

(i) Let $t \in \mathcal{N}$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. We note that, by (2.15) and Def. 3.2(i),

$$\int_{Y \times Y} (\Lambda |x_2|^2 + \Sigma |r_2|^2) \, d\Delta_{t,h,s}((x_1, r_1), (x_2, r_2)) = \frac{\mathsf{HK}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h})^2}{h^2} \rightarrow |\mu'_t|^2 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.25)$$

We may apply Prokhorov's Theorem to any sequence $(\Delta_{t,h_k,s})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $h_k \rightarrow 0$, of measures from the family $(\Delta_{t,h,s})_{h \in (-t,1-t)} \subset \mathcal{M}(Y \times Y)$, since such sequence is bounded and equally tight by (3.5) and (3.25), and we obtain a subsequence $h_{k_l} \rightarrow 0$ and a measure $\Delta \in \mathcal{M}(Y \times Y)$ so that $(\Delta_{t,h_{k_l},s})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to Δ in the weak topology on $\mathcal{M}(Y \times Y)$, in duality with continuous and bounded functions. So let $(\Delta_{t,h_l,s})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($h_l \rightarrow 0$) be a convergent sequence with limit measure $\Delta \in \mathcal{M}(Y \times Y)$, i.e.

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y} \Phi(y) d\Delta_{t,h_l,s} = \int_{Y \times Y} \Phi(y) d\Delta \quad (3.26)$$

for all $\Phi \in C_b^0(Y \times Y)$. We want to identify Δ as $((x,1), (v_t(x), w_t(x)))_{\#} \mu_t$. It is not difficult to infer from (3.5) that the first marginal $\pi_{\#}^1 \Delta$ of Δ coincides with $(x,1)_{\#} \mu_t$, i.e.

$$\int_Y \phi((x,r)) d(\pi_{\#}^1 \Delta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi((x,1)) d\mu_t \quad (3.27)$$

for all $\phi \in C_b^0(Y)$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then (3.26) also holds good for $\Phi((x_1, r_1), (x_2, r_2)) := [\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1) r_2] r_1$: Indeed, we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y} (\Phi_N) d\Delta_{t,h_l,s} = \int_{Y \times Y} (\Phi_N) d\Delta$$

for all $N > 0$, with $\Phi_N := (\Phi \wedge N) \vee (-N)$. Setting $Y_N := \{(x,r) \in Y : |x| + |r| > N\}$, $C_{\psi} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{|\nabla \psi(x)| + |\psi(x)|\}$, and applying (3.25), (3.5) and (3.27), we conclude that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N_{\epsilon} > 0$ so that

$$\int_{Y \times Y_N} (|x_2| + |r_2|) d\Delta_{t,h_l,s} + \int_{Y \times Y_N} (|x_2| + |r_2|) d\Delta \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for all } N \geq N_{\epsilon}, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{Y \times Y} \Phi d\Delta_{t,h_l,s} - \int_{Y \times Y} \Phi d\Delta \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{Y \times Y} (\Phi_{C_{\psi}(\Lambda + \Sigma)N_{\epsilon}}) d\Delta_{t,h_l,s} - \int_{Y \times Y} \Phi_{C_{\psi}(\Lambda + \Sigma)N_{\epsilon}} d\Delta \right| \\ & \quad + C_{\psi}(\Lambda + \Sigma) \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y_{N_{\epsilon}}} (|x_2| + |r_2|) d(\Delta_{t,h_l,s} + \Delta) \\ & \leq C_{\psi}(\Lambda + \Sigma)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking (3.27) into account, we obtain

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y} [\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1) r_2] r_1 d\Delta_{t,h_l,s} = \int_{Y \times Y} [\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1) r_2] d\Delta. \quad (3.28)$$

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi \, d\mu_{t+h_l} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi \, d\mu_t = \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} (\psi(x_2)r_2^2 - \psi(x_1)r_1^2) \, d\beta_{t,t+h} \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left[\psi(x_1 + \theta_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)(x_2 - x_1)) \mathcal{R}_{[x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2]}(s)^2 \right] \, ds \, d\beta_{t,t+h_l} \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.20), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), Def. 3.2(i) and (3.28) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi, v_t \rangle + \Sigma \psi w_t) \, d\mu_t = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_l} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi \, d\mu_{t+h_l} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi \, d\mu_t \right) \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y} \left[\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1)r_2 \right] r_1 \, d\Delta_{t, h_l, s} = \int_{Y \times Y} \left[\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1)r_2 \right] \, d\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Disintegration Theorem (see e.g. Thm. 5.3.1 in [1]) and (3.27), there exists a Borel family of probability measures $(\Delta_{x_1})_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d} \subset \mathcal{M}(Y)$, $\Delta_{x_1}(Y) = 1$, so that

$$\int_{Y \times Y} \Phi \, d\Delta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_Y \Phi((x_1, 1), (x_2, r_2)) \, d\Delta_{x_1}((x_2, r_2)) \right) \, d\mu_t(x_1)$$

for all Δ -integrable maps $\Phi : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We infer from (3.25) that, for μ_t -a.e. $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the measure Δ_{x_1} has finite second order moment and we define the function $(v_\Delta, w_\Delta) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$v_\Delta(x_1) := \int_Y x_2 \, d\Delta_{x_1}((x_2, r_2)), \quad w_\Delta(x_1) := \int_Y r_2 \, d\Delta_{x_1}((x_2, r_2)) \quad \text{for } \mu_t\text{-a.e. } x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.29)$$

The function (v_Δ, w_Δ) is Borel measurable (cf. (5.3.1) and Def. 5.4.2 in [1]), and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Y \times Y} \left[\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1)r_2 \right] \, d\Delta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_Y \left[\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi(x_1), x_2 \rangle + \Sigma \psi(x_1)r_2 \right] \, d\Delta_{x_1}((x_2, r_2)) \right) \, d\mu_t(x_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi, v_\Delta \rangle + \Sigma \psi w_\Delta) \, d\mu_t. \end{aligned}$$

All in all, we have found that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi, v_t \rangle + \Sigma \psi w_t) \, d\mu_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda \langle \nabla \psi, v_\Delta \rangle + \Sigma \psi w_\Delta) \, d\mu_t \quad (3.30)$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since every function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be approximated in the C^1 -norm by a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. Def. 3.2) and, by (3.25) and Def. 3.2(ii), the functions $v_\Delta, w_\Delta, v_t, w_t$ are square-integrable w.r.t. μ_t , (3.30) holds good for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for all

pairs in the $L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ -closure of $\{(\nabla\zeta, \zeta) : \zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$. It follows from this and from Def. 3.2(ii) that

$$\|(v_t, w_t)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Lambda \langle v_t, v_\Delta \rangle + \Sigma w_t w_\Delta) d\mu_t. \quad (3.31)$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to (3.31), taking the definition (3.29) of v_Δ, w_Δ , Jensen's inequality, (3.26), (3.25) and Def. 3.2(ii) into account, we obtain

$$\|(v_t, w_t)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \|(v_\Delta, w_\Delta)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \left(\int_{Y \times Y} (\Lambda |x_2|^2 + \Sigma |r_2|^2) d\Delta \right)^{1/2} \leq \quad (3.32)$$

$$\leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Y \times Y} (\Lambda |x_2|^2 + \Sigma |r_2|^2) d\Delta_{t, h_l, s} \right)^{1/2} = \|(v_t, w_t)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} \quad (3.33)$$

so that, in fact, equality holds good everywhere in (3.32) and (3.33). We infer from this and from (3.31) that

$$\|(v_t, w_t) - (v_\Delta, w_\Delta)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})} = 0$$

which means

$$v_t(x) = v_\Delta(x) \text{ and } w_t(x) = w_\Delta(x) \quad \text{for } \mu_t\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.34)$$

Moreover, the fact that the second inequality in (3.32), resulting from Jensen's inequality, is in fact an equality and (3.34) yield $\Delta_{x_1} = \delta_{v_t(x_1)} \otimes \delta_{w_t(x_1)}$ for μ_t -a.e. $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (cf. a canonical proof of Jensen's inequality), i.e.

$$\int_Y \phi((x, r)) d\Delta_{x_1} = \phi(v_t(x_1), w_t(x_1)) \quad (3.35)$$

for all $\phi \in C_b^0(Y)$, for μ_t -a.e. $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Altogether, we may conclude that $\Delta = ((x, 1), (v_t(x), w_t(x)))_{\#} \mu_t$,

$$\int_{Y \times Y} (\Lambda |x_2|^2 + \Sigma |r_2|^2) d\Delta = |\mu'_t|^2 = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y} (\Lambda |x_2|^2 + \Sigma |r_2|^2) d\Delta_{t, h_l, s} \quad (3.36)$$

and that (3.21) holds good for all $\Phi \in C_b^0(Y \times Y)$. A similar argument as in the proof of (3.28), making use of (3.36), will show (3.21) for all continuous functions $\Phi : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the growth condition (3.22) (cf. Thm. 7.12 in [10] where the space of Borel probability measures with finite second order moments is considered and the equivalence between convergence in the Kantorovich-Wasserstein distance and convergence in duality with continuous functions satisfying a suitable growth condition is proved). This completes the proof of Prop. 3.3(i).

(ii) Let $t \in \mathcal{N}$. According to (2.7), (2.4), we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{K}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_{t+h}, \mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h})^2}{h^2} \leq \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} d_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\Xi_{t,h}([x_1, r_1]), [x_2, r_2])^2 d\beta_{t,t+h}. \quad (3.37)$$

We will prove that the right-hand side of (3.37) converges to 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$.

First we note that, by Prokhorov's Theorem, Def. 3.2(ii) and the proof of Prop. 3.3(i), every sequence $\left(((v_t(x_1), w_t(x_1)), (x_2, r_2))_{\#} \Delta_{t, h_l, s} \right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, $h_l \rightarrow 0$, is relatively compact w.r.t. the weak topology in $\mathcal{M}(Y \times Y)$ and in duality with continuous functions $\Phi : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (3.22), and the second marginals of the corresponding limit measures coincide with $(v_t(x), w_t(x))_{\#} \mu_t$. It follows from this and from an application of the Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{(\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{t, 1/N}) \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2 d\beta_{t, t+h} = 0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{(\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{t, h}) \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}([x_1, r_1], [x_2, r_2])^2 d\beta_{t, t+h} = 0. \quad (3.38)$$

Next we consider $\frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\mathfrak{C}_{t, h} \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\Xi_{t, h}([x_1, r_1]), [x_2, r_2])^2 d\beta_{t, t+h}$. According to ([2], Sect. 3.6) and ([9], Sect. 8.1), the geometric cone $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma})$ is a length space and it holds that any curve $\eta := [x, r] : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ for C^1 -functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is absolutely continuous in $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma})$ and

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\eta(1), \eta(0))^2 \leq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\Sigma} (r'(s))^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda} r(s)^2 |x'(s)|^2 \right) ds$$

(cf. ([9], Lem. 8.1)). We define, for $y_1 := [x_1, r_1] \in \mathfrak{C}_{t, h}$, $y_2 := [x_2, r_2] \in \mathfrak{C}$, with $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \pi \sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma}$ if $r_2 > 0$, an absolutely continuous curve $\mathcal{C}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ connecting $\Xi(y_1) = [x_1 + \Lambda h v_t(x_1), r_1(1 + \Sigma h w_t(x_1)/2)]$ and y_2 by setting $\mathcal{C}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2} := [\mathcal{X}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}, \mathcal{R}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}]$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}(s) := x_1 + \theta_{y_1, y_2}(s)(x_2 - x_1) + \Lambda(1 - s)h v_t(x_1), \quad (3.39)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}(s) := \mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) \left(1 + \Sigma(1 - s)h w_t(x_1)/2 \right) \quad (3.40)$$

(cf. (3.8), (2.11)). The functions $\mathcal{X}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{R}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuously differentiable with

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{R}'_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}(s))^2 &= \left(\Sigma \mathcal{R}'_{y_1, y_2}(s)(1 - s)h w_t(x_1)/2 + \mathcal{R}'_{y_1, y_2}(s) - \Sigma \mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s)h w_t(x_1)/2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2|h|\Sigma \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(y_1, y_2)^2 + 2 \left(\mathcal{R}'_{y_1, y_2}(s) - \Sigma r_1 h w_t(x_1)/2 \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}(s)^2 |\mathcal{X}'_{h, \Xi(y_1), y_2}(s)|^2 &\leq 4 \mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s)^2 |\theta'_{y_1, y_2}(s)(x_2 - x_1) - \Lambda h v_t(x_1)|^2 \\ &\leq 8 \left(|\mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) \theta'_{y_1, y_2}(s)(x_2 - x_1) - \Lambda r_1 h v_t(x_1)|^2 + \Lambda^2 |h| |\mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) - r_1|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 8 \left(|\mathcal{R}_{y_1, y_2}(s) \theta'_{y_1, y_2}(s)(x_2 - x_1) - \Lambda r_1 h v_t(x_1)|^2 + \Lambda^2 \Sigma |h|/4 \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(y_1, y_2)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of (3.8), (2.15) and the fact that $y_1 = [x_1, r_1] \in \mathfrak{C}_{t,h}$. It follows from the above estimations and an application of Fubini's Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\mathfrak{C}_{t,h} \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C},\Lambda,\Sigma}(\Xi_{t,h}([x_1, r_1]), [x_2, r_2])^2 \, \mathrm{d}\beta_{t,t+h} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\mathfrak{C}_{t,h} \times \mathfrak{C}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\Sigma} (\mathcal{R}'_{h,\Xi(y_1),y_2}(s))^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \mathcal{R}_{h,\Xi(y_1),y_2}(s)^2 |\mathcal{X}'_{h,\Xi(y_1),y_2}(s)|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}\beta_{t,t+h} \\
& \leq \int_0^1 \int_{Y \times Y} \left(2\Sigma(r_2 - r_1 w_t(x_1))^2 + 8\Lambda |x_2 - r_1 v_t(x_1)|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\Delta_{t,h,s}((x_1, r_1), (x_2, r_2)) \, \mathrm{d}s \\
& \quad + C_{\Lambda,\Sigma} \frac{\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda,\Sigma}(\mu_t, \mu_{t+h})^2}{|h|}
\end{aligned}$$

with $C_{\Lambda,\Sigma}$ only depending on Λ and Σ . According to Def. 3.2(ii), there exists a sequence of functions $\zeta_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) so that $((\nabla \zeta_n, \zeta_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to (v_t, w_t) in $L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$, which means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Y \times Y} \left(r_1^2 (\zeta_n(x_1) - w_t(x_1))^2 + r_1^2 |\nabla \zeta_n(x_1) - v_t(x_1)|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\Delta_{t,h,s}((x_1, r_1), (x_2, r_2)) = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

uniformly in $h \in (-t, 1-t)$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, Prop. 3.3(i) and (3.5) yield

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{Y \times Y} \left(\Sigma(r_2 - r_1 \zeta_n(x_1))^2 + \Lambda |x_2 - r_1 \nabla \zeta_n(x_1)|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\Delta_{t,h,s} = \|(v_t, w_t) - (\nabla \zeta_n, \zeta_n)\|_{L^2(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})}^2 \quad (3.42)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Altogether, by applying Def. 3.2(i), (3.41), (3.42) and Fatou's Lemma to the above estimation of $\frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\mathfrak{C}_{t,h} \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C},\Lambda,\Sigma}(\Xi_{t,h}([x_1, r_1]), [x_2, r_2])^2 \, \mathrm{d}\beta_{t,t+h}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\mathfrak{C}_{t,h} \times \mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{C},\Lambda,\Sigma}(\Xi_{t,h}([x_1, r_1]), [x_2, r_2])^2 \, \mathrm{d}\beta_{t,t+h} = 0, \quad (3.43)$$

which completes the proof of Prop. 3.3(ii). \square

We are now in a position to compute the derivative (3.9) at every $t \in \mathcal{N}$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $t \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\beta_{t,\star} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C})$ is optimal in the definition of $\mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda,\Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2$ according to (2.8), (2.4), with first marginal $\alpha_t \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C})$, $\mathfrak{h}\alpha_t \leq \mu_t$, and second marginal $\alpha_\star \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{C})$, $\mathfrak{h}\alpha_\star \leq \nu$, then the derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} [\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{HK}_{\Lambda,\Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2]$ of (3.19) at t coincides with*

$$\mathcal{F}_{t,\star} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_t(x) \, \mathrm{d}(\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t) \quad (3.44)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{t,\star}$ is defined as

$$2 \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} \left[r_1^2 w_t(x_1) - r_1 r_2 w_t(x_1) \cos(\sqrt{\Sigma/4\Lambda} |x_1 - x_2|) - r_1 r_2 \sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma} \langle S_{\Lambda,\Sigma}(x_1, x_2), v_t(x_1) \rangle \right] \mathrm{d}\beta_{t,\star}, \quad (3.45)$$

with

$$S_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\Sigma/4\Lambda}|x_1 - x_2|)}{|x_1 - x_2|}(x_2 - x_1) & \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2. \end{cases} \quad (3.46)$$

Proof. Let $t \in \mathcal{N}$. Then (3.19) is differentiable at t and, by (3.24),

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_s, \nu)^2 \right] \right|_{s=t} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h}, \nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2}{h}, \quad (3.47)$$

with $\chi_{t,h}$ defined as in Prop. 3.3(ii). Let $\bar{\chi}_{t,h} := (\Xi_{t,h})_{\#} \alpha_t$ be the push-forward of α_t through the mapping $\Xi_{t,h}$ defined as in (3.23). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi d(\mathfrak{h}\bar{\chi}_{t,h}) &= \int_{\mathfrak{C}} r^2 \phi(\mathbf{x}) d\bar{\chi}_{t,h} = \int_{\mathfrak{C}_{t,h}} r^2 (1 + \Sigma h w_t(\mathbf{x})/2)^2 \phi(\mathbf{x} + \Lambda h v_t(\mathbf{x})) d\alpha_t + \int_{\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{t,h}} r^2 \phi(\mathbf{x}) d\alpha_t \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} (1 + \Sigma h w_t(x)/2)^2 \phi(x + \Lambda h v_t(x)) d\mathfrak{h}\alpha_t + \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} \phi(x) d\mathfrak{h}\alpha_t \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} (1 + \Sigma h w_t(x)/2)^2 \phi(x + \Lambda h v_t(x)) d\mu_t + \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} \phi(x) d\mu_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi d(\mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h}) \end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative bounded Borel functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (cf. (2.5), (2.6)), from which we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}\bar{\chi}_{t,h} &\leq \mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h}, \\ (\mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h} - \mathfrak{h}\bar{\chi}_{t,h})(\mathbb{R}^d) &= (\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t)(\mathbb{R}^d) + \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} \left(\Sigma h w_t(x) + \frac{\Sigma^2}{4} h^2 w_t(x)^2 \right) d(\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h}, \nu)^2 - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{K}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2 \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\bar{\chi}_{t,h}, \alpha_{\star})^2 - \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_t, \alpha_{\star})^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} \left(h w_t(x) + \frac{\Sigma}{4} h^2 w_t(x)^2 \right) d(\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t). \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as in the proof of Lem. 2.2 in [5] yields

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\bar{\chi}_{t,h}, \alpha_{\star})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_t, \alpha_{\star})^2}{h} &\leq \\ 2 \int_{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}} \left[r_1^2 w_t(x_1) - r_1 r_2 w_t(x_1) \cos(\sqrt{\Sigma/4\Lambda}|x_1 - x_2|) - r_1 r_2 \sqrt{\Lambda/\Sigma} \langle S_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(x_1, x_2), v_t(x_1) \rangle \right] d\beta_{t,\star} \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \uparrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\bar{\chi}_{t,h}, \alpha_{\star})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{C}, \Lambda, \Sigma}(\alpha_t, \alpha_{\star})^2}{h}, \end{aligned}$$

with $S_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$ defined as in (3.46). Since the limit (3.47) exists and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{C}_{t,h})} \left(w_t(x) + \frac{\Sigma}{4} h w_t(x) \right) d(\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_t(x) d(\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t),$$

it follows from the above computations that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mathfrak{h}\chi_{t,h}, \nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}(\mu_t, \nu)^2}{h} = \mathcal{F}_{t, \star} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_t(x) d(\mu_t - \mathfrak{h}\alpha_t).$$

The proof of Thm. 3.4 is complete. \square

We would like to remark that the derivatives of (3.19) at $t \in \mathcal{N}$ can be expressed equally in terms of the Logarithmic Entropy-Transport characterization (1.1) of the Hellinger-Kantorovich distance $\mathbf{H}_{\Lambda, \Sigma}$, by applying (2.10) to the above representation (3.44), (3.45) of the derivatives.

Acknowledgement I gratefully acknowledge support from the Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematics and Physics (Vienna) during my participation in the programme “Optimal Transport”.

References

- [1] L. AMBROSIO, N. GIGLI, AND G. SAVARÉ, *Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures*, Lectures in mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [2] D. BURAGO, Y. BURAGO, AND S. IVANOV, *A course in metric geometry*, vol. 33 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [3] L. CHIZAT, G. PEYRÉ, B. SCHMITZER, AND F.-X. VIALARD, *An interpolating distance between optimal transport and Fisher–Rao metrics*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 18 (2018), pp. 1–44.
- [4] L. CHIZAT, G. PEYRÉ, B. SCHMITZER, AND F.-X. VIALARD, *Unbalanced optimal transport: Dynamic and Kantorovich formulations*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 274 (2018), pp. 3090–3123.
- [5] F. FLEISSNER, *A Minimizing Movement approach to a class of scalar reaction-diffusion equations*, submitted, arXiv preprint arXiv 2002.04496, (2020).
- [6] W. GANGBO AND R. J. MCCANN, *The geometry of optimal transportation*, Acta Math., 177 (1996), pp. 113–161.
- [7] S. KONDRATYEV, L. MONSAINGEON, D. VOROTNIKOV, ET AL., *A new optimal transport distance on the space of finite Radon measures*, Advances in Differential Equations, 21 (2016), pp. 1117–1164.

- [8] M. LIERO, A. MIELKE, AND G. SAVARÉ, *Optimal transport in competition with reaction: The Hellinger–Kantorovich distance and geodesic curves*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 48 (2016), pp. 2869–2911.
- [9] ———, *Optimal entropy-transport problems and a new Hellinger–Kantorovich distance between positive measures*, Inventiones mathematicae, 211 (2018), pp. 969–1117.
- [10] C. VILLANI, *Topics in optimal transportation*, vol. 58 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.