A BIRKHOFF-BRUHAT ATLAS FOR PARTIAL FLAG VARIETIES

HUANCHEN BAO AND XUHUA HE

ABSTRACT. A partial flag variety \mathcal{P}_K of a Kac-Moody group G has a natural stratification into projected Richardson varieties. When G is a connected reductive group, a Bruhat atlas for \mathcal{P}_K was constructed in [7]: \mathcal{P}_K is locally modeled with Schubert varieties in some Kac-Moody flag variety as stratified spaces. The existence of Bruaht atlases implies some nice combinatorial and geometric properties on the partial flag varieties and the decomposition into projected Richardson varieties.

A Bruhat atlas does not exist for partial flag varieties of an arbitrary Kac-Moody group due to combinatorial and geometric reasons. To overcome obstructions, we introduce the notion of Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas. Instead of the Schubert varieties used in a Bruhat atlas, we use the J-Schubert varieties for a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas. The notion of the J-Schubert varieties interpolates Birkhoff decomposition and Bruhat decomposition of the full flag variety (of a larger Kac-Moody group). The main result of this paper is the construction of a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for any partial flag variety \mathcal{P}_K of a Kac-Moody group. We also construct a combinatorial atlas for the index set Q_K of the projected Richardson varieties in \mathcal{P}_K . As a consequence, we show that Q_K has some nice combinatorial properties. This gives a new proof and generalizes the work of Williams [21] in the case where the group G is a connected reductive group.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The flag variety and its decomposition into Richardson varieties. Let G be a connected reductive group and \mathcal{B} be the full flag variety of G. An open Richardson variety is the intersection of a Bruhat cell with an opposite Bruhat cell. We then have the decomposition of \mathcal{B} into the disjoint union of the open Richardson varieties. This decomposition has many remarkable properties, including:

- (1) each stratum is smooth;
- (2) the closure of each stratum is a union of other strata;
- (3) the closure of each stratum is normal, Cohen-Macaulay, with rational singularities;
- (4) over positive characteristic, there exists a Frobenius splitting on \mathcal{B} which compatibly splits all the strata;
- (5) over complex numbers, there exists a Poisson structure on \mathcal{B} for which the T-leaves are exactly the strata;

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14M15, 20F55, 20G44.

Key words and phrases. Partial flag varieties, projected Richardson varieties, Kac-Moody groups, Bruhat atlas.

- (6) over real numbers, the intersection of the totally nonnegative flag variety $X_{\geq 0}$ with each stratum gives a cellular decomposition of $X_{\geq 0}$;
- (7) the poset of the strata is thin and EL-shellable.

Many of these remarkable properties remain valid for the full flag variety of any Kac-Moody group.

1.2. Partial flag varieties. Now we consider the partial flag variety $\mathcal{P}_K = G/P_K$ of a Kac-Moody group G. The variety \mathcal{P}_K has a natural stratification into the projected Richardson varieties. The projected Richardson varieties in \mathcal{P}_K are the image of certain Richardson varieties in the full flag \mathcal{B} under the projection map $\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{P}_K$. However, the combinatorial and geometric structures of the projected Richardson varieties in \mathcal{P}_K are more complicated than the Richardson varieties in \mathcal{B} .

Let Q_K be the index set of the projected Richardson varieties in \mathcal{P}_K and \leq be the partial order on Q_K . Williams [21] showed that if G is a connected reductive group, then the partial order set Q_K has remarkable combinatorial properties: thinness, shellability, etc. Such combinatorial properties are used later by Galashin, Karp and Lam [6] to prove the conjecture of Postnikov and Williams that the totally nonnegative part of \mathcal{P}_K is a regular CW complex.

1.3. The Bruhat atlas of [7]. One of the motivations in the unpublished work of Knutson, Lu and the second-named author [7] is to use the Richardson varieties in the full flag variety $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of a "much larger" Kac-Moody group \tilde{G} as the model for the decomposition of \mathcal{P}_K into projected Richardson varieties, and many other stratified spaces arising in Lie theory. Consequently, many remarkable combinatorial properties and geometric properties on these stratified spaces may be deduced directly from those on the Bruhat order of the Weyl group \tilde{W} of \tilde{G} and the Richardson varieties of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

By definition, a *Bruhat atlas* for a stratified space $M = \bigsqcup_y M_y$ consists of a large Kac-Moody group \tilde{G} and an open covering $M = \bigcup U$, such that

- for each U, there exists an isomorphism of stratified spaces from U to a Schubert cell in the flag variety $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of \tilde{G} ;
- for each y and $U, U \cap \mathring{M}_y$ is mapped isomorphically to an open Richardson variety of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

The group \tilde{G} is called the *atlas group* for this Bruhat atlas.

The first example of a Bruhat atlas was constructed by Snider [18] for Grassmannian with the positroid stratification. A Bruhat atlas for the full flag variety of a connected reductive group G was constructed by Knutson, Woo, and Yong in [15]. A Bruhat atlas for any partial flag variety of a connected reductive group and a Bruhat atlas for the wonderful compactification of a semisimple adjoint group was then constructed in [7]. A different "atlas" for the partial flag varieties of a connected reductive group was constructed recently by Galashin, Karp and Lam in [6] and by Huang in [10]. A Bruhat atlas for the wonderful compactification of the symmetric space PSO(2n)/SO(n-1) was recently given by Huang in [11].

1.4. The Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas. In the works discussed above, the group G involved is a connected reductive group, i.e. a Kac-Moody group of finite type.

A Bruhat atlas for \mathcal{P}_K does not exists when G is of infinite type, due to the following reasons. First, the partial flag variety \mathcal{P}_K , in general, are infinitedimensional. Thus one can not use Schubert cells (which is finite-dimensional) as an atlas for \mathcal{P}_K . This gives a geometric obstruction. There is also a combinatorial obstruction arising from comparison of the partial orders. Note that the partial order \preceq on Q_K involved both the Bruhat order and the opposite Bruhat order in the Weyl group W of G. By the definition of Bruhat atlas, one needs to embed Q_K into the Weyl group \tilde{W} of an atlas group. It is only possible if the Weyl group W has the longest element, which interchanges the Bruhat order and the opposite Bruhat order on W.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a suitable "atlas model" for the partial flag varieties of any Kac-Moody group. We use the open *J*-Schubert cells in the full flag variety $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of an atlas group \tilde{G} instead of the Schubert cells in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ as in the original definition of Bruhat atlas.

The decomposition of \mathcal{B} into the *J*-Schubert cell was introduced by Billig and Dyer in [1], which simultaneously generalizes both the Bruhat decomposition of \mathcal{B} into the Schubert cells and the Birkhoff decomposition of \mathcal{B} into the opposite Schubert cells. A *J*-Schubert cell, in general, is neither finite dimensional nor finite codimensional. A Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for \mathcal{P}_K consists of an atlas group \tilde{G} and an open covering $\mathcal{P}_K = \cup U$ such that

- for each U, an embedding of U into the full flag variety $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of \hat{G} ;
- the intersection of U with any projected Richardson variety is mapped isomorphically to a *J*-Richardson variety in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. Any partial flag variety \mathcal{P}_K of a Kac-Moody group admits a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas.

It is also worth mentioning that even in the finite type case, the atlas groups from the Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas we constructed and those from the Bruhat atlas in [7] are different. Thus our construction provides a new "atlas model" for the partial flag varieties for connected reductive groups.

We also construct a combinatorial "atlas model" for the poset Q_K . This "atlas model" identifies the poset (Q_K, \preceq) with a convex subset of the Weyl group \tilde{W} of the atlas group \tilde{G} with respect to a twisted Bruhat order $I^{\flat} \leq .$ This combinatorial "atlas model" is valid for Q_K from an arbitrary Coxeter group.

Theorem 1.2. The partial order \leq on Q_K is thin and EL-shellable.

We refer to section 4.9 for the definition of thinness and EL-Shellability. This result generalizes the previous work of Williams [21].

Galashin, Karp and Lam [6, Conjecture 10.2] conjectured that the totally nonnegative part of \mathcal{P}_K is a regular CW complex for a Kac-Moody group G, and Q_K is its face poset. Theorem 1.2 confirms the combinatorial aspect of their conjecture.

1.5. **Organization.** This paper is organized as follows. We recall preliminaries of Kac-Moody groups and J-Schubert cells in Section 2. We then define a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas and construct such an atlas for the partial flag variety \mathcal{P}_K of arbitrary type in Section 3. We discuss some combinatorial consequences in Section 4.9. We

construct another Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for the partial flag variety \mathcal{P}_K when is K is of finite type in Section 5. We discuss examples in Section 6.

Acknowledgement: We thank Thomas Lam and Lauren Williams for helpful comments.

HB is supported by a NUS startup grant. XH is partially supported by a startup grant and by funds connected with Choh-Ming Chair at CUHK, and by Hong Kong RGC grant 14300220.

2. Preliminary

2.1. Minimal Kac-Moody groups. Let I be a finite set and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix in the sense of [13, §1.1]. A Kac-Moody root datum associated to A is a quintuple

$$\mathcal{D} = (I, A, X, Y, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}, (\alpha_i^{\vee})_{i \in I}),$$

where X is a free Z-module of finite rank with Z-dual Y, and the elements α_i of X and α_i^{\vee} of Y such that $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \alpha_i \rangle = a_{ij}$ for $i, j \in I$. We denote by $\omega_i \in X$ the element that $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \omega_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. We shall assume the root datum \mathcal{D} is simply connected.

We have natural actions of W on both X and Y. Let

$$\Delta^{re} = \{ w(\pm \alpha_i) \in X \mid i \in I, w \in W \} \subset X$$

be the set of real roots. Then $\Delta^{re} = \Delta^{re}_+ \sqcup \Delta^{re}_-$ is the union of positive real roots and negative real roots.

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field. The minimal Kac-Moody group G associated to the Kac-Moody root datum \mathcal{D} is the group generated by the torus $T = Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}^{\times}$ and the root subgroup $U_{\alpha} \cong \mathbf{k}$ for each real root α , subject to the Tits relations [20]. Let $U^+ \subset G$ (resp. $U^- \subset G$) be the subgroup generated by U_{α} for $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}_+$ (resp. $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}_-$). Let $B^{\pm} \subset G$ be the Borel subgroup generated by T and U^{\pm} . We fix a pinning of G consisting of $(T, B^+, B^-, x_i, y_i; i \in I)$ with one parameter subgroups $x_i : \mathbf{k} \to U_{\alpha_i}$ and $y_i : \mathbf{k} \to U_{-\alpha_i}$ analogous to [17]. We have an anti-involution Ψ of G analogous to [17, §1.2] such that $\Psi(x_i(a)) = y_i(a)$, $\Psi(y_i(a)) = x_i(a)$ and $\Psi(t) = t$ for $a \in \mathbf{k}, t \in T$.

Let $J \subset I$ (not necessarily of finite type). We denote by P_J^+ the subgroup of G generated by B^+ and $U_{-\alpha_j}$ for $j \in J$. Let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_j\}_{j\in J}$. Let W^J be the set of minimal-length coset representatives of W/W_J and JW be the set of minimal-length coset representatives of $W_J \setminus W$. For $i \in I$, we define

$$\dot{s}_i = x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1) \in G.$$

For any $w \in W$ with reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$, we define

$$\dot{w} = \dot{s}_{i_1} \cdots \dot{s}_{i_n} \in G.$$

It is known that \dot{w} is well-defined and independent of the reduced expression.

Let L_J be the subgroup of P_J^+ generated by T, $U_{\pm\alpha_j}$ for $j \in J$. We denote by $\Delta_J^{re} = \{w(\pm\alpha_j) \in X | j \in J, w \in W_J\} \subset \Delta^{re}$ the set of real roots of L_J . We write $\Delta_{J,\pm}^{re} = \Delta_J^{re} \cap \Delta_{\pm}^{re}$. We denote by $U_{P_J^+}$ the unipotent radical of P_J^+ , which is generated by U_{α} for $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{re} - \Delta_J^{re}$. We have following Levi decomposition of P_J^+ [12, Theorem B.39]

$$P_J^+ = L_J \ltimes U_{P_J^+}.\tag{2.1}$$

We similarly define the subgroup P_J^- of G^{\min} as the subgroup generated by $B^$ and U_{α_j} for $j \in J$, with the Levi decomposition

$$P_J^- = L_J \ltimes U_{P_J^-}.\tag{2.2}$$

2.2. The full flag variety. In this subsection, we recall several results on the Kac-Moody flag varieties.

We denote by \mathcal{B} the (thin) full flag variety [16], equipped with the ind-variety structure. Let $v, w \in W$. Define, respectively, the Schubert cell, the opposite Schubert cell and the open Richardson variety by

$$\mathring{X}^w = B^+ \dot{w} B^+ / B^+, \quad \mathring{X}_v = B^- \dot{v} B^+ / B^+, \quad \mathring{R}_{v,w} = \mathring{X}^w \cap \mathring{X}_v.$$

We have the Bruhat decomposition $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} \mathring{X}^w$ and the Birkhoff decomposition $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{v \in W} \mathring{X}_v$. It is known that $\mathring{R}_{v,w} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $v \leq w$. In this case, $\mathring{R}_{v,w}$ is irreducible of dimension $\ell(w) - \ell(v)$. We also have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{B} = \sqcup_{v \leqslant w} \check{R}_{v,w}.$$

Let $X^w, X_v, R_{v,w}$ be the (Zariski) closure of $\mathring{X}^w, \mathring{X}_v, \mathring{R}_{v,w}$ respectively. By [16, Proposition 7.1.15&7.1.21],

$$X^w = \bigsqcup_{w' \leqslant w} \mathring{X}^{w'}, \qquad X_v = \bigsqcup_{v' \geqslant v} \mathring{X}_{v'}.$$

As the Schubert varieties and opposite Schubert varieties intersect transversally, we also have (see e.g. [14])

$$R_{v,w} = \bigsqcup_{v \leqslant v' \leqslant w} \mathring{R}_{v',w'}$$

2.3. The *J*-Schubert cells and *J*-Richardson varieties. Let $J \subset I$. Following [1, Closure patterns], we define the partial order ${}^{J} \leq 0$ on W as follows. The partial order ${}^{J} \leq 0$ on W is generated by the relations $s_{\beta}w^{J} < w$ for $w \in W$ and $\beta \in \Psi_{J}$ with $w^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta^{re,-}$. Define a (non-standard) length function ${}^{J}\ell$ on W by

$${}^{J}\ell(w) = \ell(w) - 2\sharp(\Delta_{J}^{re,+} \cap w^{-1}(\Delta^{re,-})).$$

Note that any element in W can be written in a unique way as xy for $x \in W_J$ and $y \in {}^JW$. We have ${}^J\ell(xy) = \ell(y) - \ell(x)$, where $\ell(\cdot)$ denotes the usual length function. We define

$$\Psi_J^+ = \Delta_J^{re,-} \sqcup (\Delta^{re,+} - \Delta_J^{re,+}), \qquad \Psi_J^- = \Delta_J^{re,+} \sqcup (\Delta^{re,-} - \Delta_J^{re,-}).$$

Remark 2.1. The relation between our partial order $J \leq and$ the partial order $\leq \Psi_J$ used in [1, Closure pattern] is the following

$$v \stackrel{J}{\leqslant} w$$
 if and only if $v^{-1} \leqslant_{\Psi_J} w^{-1}$.

Note that $v \leq w$ is not equivalent to $v^{-1} \leq w^{-1}$ in general [1, Page 18].

Remark 2.2. Let W_J be a finite Weyl group. In this case, we denote by w_J the longest element of W_J . Then for $w, w' \in W$, $w' \stackrel{J}{\leq} w$ if and only if $w_J w' \leq w_J w$. Moreover, we have $\stackrel{J}{=} \ell(w_J w) - \ell(w_J)$.

Let ${}^{J}B^{+}$ be the subgroup of G generated by T and U_{α} for $\alpha \in \Psi_{J}^{+}$. Then ${}^{J}B^{+}$ is the opposite Borel subgroup of the standard parabolic subgroup P_{J}^{+} . Similarly, let ${}^{J}B^{-}$ be the subgroup of G generated by T and U_{α} for $\alpha \in \Psi_{J}^{-}$. In the case where $J = \emptyset$, we have ${}^{J}B^{+} = B^{+}$ and ${}^{J}B^{-} = B^{-}$. In the case where J = I, we have ${}^{J}B^{+} = B^{-}$ and ${}^{J}B^{-} = B^{+}$. Let $B_{J}^{\pm} = L_{J} \cap B^{\pm}$ and $U_{J}^{\pm} = L_{J} \cap U^{\pm}$.

Thanks to the Levi decompositions (2.1)&(2.2), we have

$${}^{J}B^{+} = B_{J}^{-} \ltimes U_{P_{J}^{+}}, \qquad {}^{J}B^{-} = B_{J}^{+} \ltimes U_{P_{J}^{-}}.$$

Let $v, w \in W$. Define, respectively, the *J*-Schubert cell, the opposite *J*-Schubert cell and the open *J*-Richardson variety by

$${}^{J}\mathring{X}^{w} = {}^{J}B^{+}\dot{w}B^{+}/B^{+}, \quad {}^{J}\mathring{X}_{v} = {}^{J}B^{-}\dot{v}B^{+}/B^{+}, \quad {}^{J}\mathring{R}_{v,w} = {}^{J}\mathring{X}^{w} \cap {}^{J}\mathring{X}_{v}.$$

Lemma 2.3. We have isomorphisms

$$(U_J^- \cap \dot{w}U^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \times (U_{P_J^+} \cap \dot{w}U^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \to {}^J \mathring{X}^w, \quad (x_1, x_2) \longmapsto x_1 x_2 \dot{w}B^+ / B^+;$$
$$(U_J^+ \cap \dot{v}U^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times (U_{P_J^-} \cap \dot{v}U^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \longrightarrow {}^J \mathring{X}_v, \quad (x_1, x_2) \longmapsto x_1 x_2 \dot{v}B^+ / B^+.$$

Proof. We prove the first statement here. The second one is entirely similar. It follows from the Levi decompositions and [16, Theorem 5.2.3] that we have isomorphisms

$$(U_J^- \cap \dot{w}U^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \times (U_J^- \cap \dot{w}U^+ \dot{w}^{-1}) \longrightarrow U_J^-,$$

$$(U_{P_J^+} \cap \dot{w}U^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \times (U_{P_J^+} \cap \dot{w}U^+ \dot{w}^{-1}) \longrightarrow U_{P_J^+},$$

Therefore we have

$${}^{J}B^{+}\dot{w}B^{+}/B^{+} = (U_{J}^{-}\cap\dot{w}U^{-}w^{-1})\cdot(U_{P_{J}^{+}}\cap\dot{w}U^{-}w^{-1})\cdot\dot{w}B^{+}/B^{+}.$$

Now the lemma follows from the restriction of the isomorphism

$$\dot{w}U^-\dot{w}^{-1} \longrightarrow \dot{w}U^-B^+/B^+, \quad g \longmapsto g\dot{w}B^+/B^+.$$

By [1, Theorem 1], we have

$$\mathcal{B} = \sqcup_{w \in W} {}^{J} \check{X}^{w} = \sqcup_{v \in W} {}^{J} \check{X}_{v}.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Let ${}^{J}X^{w}$ and ${}^{J}X_{v}$ be the (Zariski) closure of ${}^{J}X^{w}$ and ${}^{J}X_{v}$ respectively. By [1, Theorem 4], we have

$${}^{J}X^{w} = \overline{{}^{J}\mathring{X}^{w}} = \bigsqcup_{w' \not \leqslant w} \mathring{X}^{w'}, {}^{J}X_{v} = \overline{{}^{J}\mathring{X}_{v}} = \bigsqcup_{v \not \leqslant v'} \mathring{X}_{v'}.$$
(2.4)

Proposition 2.4. Let $v, w \in W$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) ${}^{J}\mathring{X}^{w} \cap {}^{J}\mathring{X}_{v} \neq \emptyset;$ (2) ${}^{J}X^{w} \cap {}^{J}X_{v} \neq \emptyset;$ (3) $v {}^{J} \leqslant w.$

Proof. It is obvious that $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$.

We show that $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. If ${}^{J}X^{w} \cap {}^{J}X_{v} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $z \in W$, such that ${}^{J}X^{w} \cap {}^{J}X_{v} \cap X^{z} \neq \emptyset$. Since X^{z} is finite dimensional, ${}^{J}X^{w} \cap {}^{J}X_{v} \cap X^{z}$ is still finite dimensional. It is projective and stable under the left action of T. By [16, Exercise 7.1.E.5], ${}^{J}X^{w} \cap {}^{J}X_{v} \cap X^{z}$ has a T-fixed point. Hence ${}^{J}X^{w} \cap {}^{J}X_{v}$ has a T-fixed point.

Note that the *T*-fixed points in X are $\{\dot{w}B^+/B^+; w \in W\}$. Thus by (2.3), $\dot{w}B^+/B^+$ is the only *T*-fixed point of ${}^J\mathring{X}^w$ and of ${}^J\mathring{X}_w$. By (2.4), the *T*-fixed points

in ${}^{J}X^{w}$ are $\{\dot{w}'B^{+}/B^{+}; w'{}^{J} \leqslant w\}$ and the *T*-fixed points in ${}^{J}X_{v}$ are $\{\dot{v}'B^{+}/B^{+}; v{}^{J} \leqslant v'\}$. Since ${}^{J}X^{w}$ and ${}^{J}X_{v}$ have a common *T*-fixed point, we must have $v{}^{J} \leqslant w$.

We then show that (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $U_v = \dot{v}U^-B^+/B^+ \subset \mathcal{B}$. Thanks to the Levi decomposition and [16, Theorem 5.2.3], we have the isomorphisms

$$(U_J^- U_{P_J^+} \cap \dot{v} U^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times (U_J^+ U_{P_J^-} \cap \dot{v} U^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \dot{v} U^- \dot{v}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_v,$$
$$(g_1, g_2) \longmapsto g_1 g_2 \longmapsto g_1 g_2 \dot{v} B^+ / B^+.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have the isomorphism

$$(U_J^- U_{P_J^+} \cap \dot{v} U^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times {}^J \mathring{X}_v \xrightarrow{\sim} U_v.$$

Since ${}^{J}\mathring{X}^{w}$ is $U_{J}^{-}U_{P^{+}}$ -stable, we have, via restriction,

$$(U_J^- U_{P_J^+} \cap \dot{v} U^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times ({}^J \mathring{X}^w \cap {}^J \mathring{X}_v) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^J \mathring{X}^w \cap U_v.$$

It remains to prove ${}^J \mathring{X}^w \cap U_v \neq \emptyset$ when $v {}^J \leqslant w$. Since U_v is open in \mathcal{B} , we have ${}^J \mathring{X}^w \cap U_v \neq \emptyset$ if and only if ${}^J X^w \cap U_v \neq \emptyset$. Thanks to (2.4), we have $\dot{v}B^+/B^+ \in {}^J X^w$ if $v {}^J \leqslant w$. We clearly have $\dot{v}B^+/B^+ \in U_v$. Therefore $\dot{v}B^+/B^+ \in U_v \cap {}^J X^w$. The claim follows.

2.4. J-Schubert decompositions. In this subsection, we study a more refined version of Lemma 2.3.

For a group K and subsets K', K_1 , K_2 , ..., K_n , we write

$$K' = K_1 \odot K_2 \odot \cdots \odot K_n$$

if any element $k' \in K'$ can be written uniquely as $k' = k_1 k_2 \cdots k_n$ with $k_i \in K_i$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $v \in W_{J_1}$ and $w \in W_{J_2} \cap {}^{J_1}W$. We have

$$U_{J_1}^- U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w}) U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = (U_{J_1}^- \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \odot \dot{v} (U_{P_{J_1}^+}^+ \cap \dot{w} U_{J_2}^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \dot{v}^{-1}.$$

Proof. Note that $\ell(vw) = \ell(v) + \ell(w)$. We recall the following decompositions from [16, Theorem 5.2.3]:

$$(\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = (U^{-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}) \odot (U^{+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}); \quad (\diamondsuit 1)$$

$$U^{-} \cap \dot{v}U^{-}\dot{v}^{-1} = \dot{v}(U^{-} \cap \dot{w}U^{+}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \odot (U^{-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}); \quad (\diamondsuit 2)$$

$$U^{+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = \dot{v}(U^{+} \cap \dot{w}U^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \odot (U^{+} \cap \dot{v}U^{-}\dot{v}^{-1}).$$
 (\$3)

Thanks to the Levi decomposition $P_{J_1}^+ = L_{J_1} \ltimes U_{P_{J_1}^+}$, the decompositions are compatible with the restriction from U^{\pm} to $U_{J_1}^{\pm}$ as well as from U^+ to $U_{P_{J_1}^+}$.

It follows from $(\diamondsuit 1)$ that

$$U_{J_1}^- U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = (U_{J_1}^- \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}) \odot (U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}).$$

Since $w \in {}^{J_1}W$, we have $U_{J_1}^- \cap \dot{w}U^+ \dot{w}^{-1} = \{e\}$. Therefore it follows from ($\diamond 2$) that

$$U_{J_1}^- \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1} = U_{J_1}^- \cap \dot{v} U^- \dot{v}^{-1} = U_{J_1}^- \cap (\dot{v} \dot{w}) U^- (\dot{v} \dot{w})^{-1}.$$

Finally, since $v \in W_{J_1}$, we have $U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap \dot{v}U^-\dot{v}^{-1} = \{e\}$. Since $w \in W_{J_2}$, it follows from $(\diamondsuit 3)$ that

$$U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^-(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap \dot{w}U^-\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} = \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap \dot{w}U_{J_2}^-\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1}$$

The lemma follows.

The following result follows easily from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5.

Corollary 2.6. Let $J_1, J_2 \subset I$. Let $v \in W_{J_1}$ and $w \in W_{J_2} \cap {}^{J_1}W$. We have an isomorphism

$$(U_{J_1}^- \cap \dot{v}U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_1}^+}^+ \cap \dot{w}U_{J_2}^- \dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \longrightarrow {}^{J_1} \dot{X}^{vw}, \quad (g_1, g_2) \longmapsto g_1 g_2(\dot{v}\dot{w})B^+.$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $v \in W_{J_1}$ and $w \in W_{J_2} \cap {}^{J_1}W$. We have

$$\begin{split} U_{J_1}^+ U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w}) U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = & (U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \odot \dot{v} (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap \dot{w} U_{J_2}^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \dot{v}^{-1} \\ & \odot \dot{v} (U_{P_{J_2}^-} \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap \dot{w} U^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \dot{v}^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Note that $\ell(vw) = \ell(v) + \ell(w)$. We recall again the following decompositions from [16, Theorem 5.2.3]:

$$(\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = (U^{+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}) \odot (U^{-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}); \quad (\diamondsuit 1)$$

$$U^{+} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = \dot{v}(U^{+} \cap \dot{w}U^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \odot (U^{+} \cap \dot{v}U^{-}\dot{v}^{-1}).$$
 (\$3)

Thanks to the Levi decomposition $P_{J_1}^+ = L_{J_1} \ltimes U_{P_{J_1}^+}$, the decompositions above are compatible with the restriction from U^{\pm} to $U_{J_1}^{\pm}$ as well as from U^+ to $U_{P_{J_1}^+}$.

It follows from $(\diamondsuit 1)$ that

$$U_{J_1}^+ U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w}) U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = (U_{J_1}^+ \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w}) U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}) \odot (U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w}) U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}).$$

Since $w \in W_{J_2} \cap {}^{J_1}W$ and $v \in W_{J_1}$, it follows from (\Diamond 3) that

$$U_{J_1}^+ \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^- (\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v}U^- \dot{v}^{-1} = U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v}U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}.$$

Since $v \in W_{J_1}$, we have

$$U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^-(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} = \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap \dot{w}U^-\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1}$$

Thanks to the Levi decomposition of $P_{J_2}^-$, we further have

$$\begin{split} & U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^{-}(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1} \\ &= \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}} \cap \dot{w}U^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \\ &= \dot{v}(U_{J_{2}}^{-} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}} \cap \dot{w}U^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \odot \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_{2}}^{-}} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}} \cap \dot{w}U^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \\ &= \dot{v}(U_{J_{2}}^{-} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}} \cap \dot{w}U_{J_{2}}^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \odot \dot{v}(U_{P_{J_{2}}^{-}} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}} \cap \dot{w}U^{-}\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \end{split}$$

The lemma is proved.

2.5. Product of Parabolic subgroups. Let $J_1, J_2 \subset I$. We study the decomposition of $P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ / B^+$ with respect to the J_1 -Schubert cells and the opposite J_1 -Schubert cells.

We first consider the decomposition into the J_1 -Schubert cells.

Proposition 2.8. Let $J_1, J_2 \subset I$. Then we have

$$P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ = L_{J_1} L_{J_2} B^+ = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{w} \in W_{J_1} W_{J_2}} {}^{J_1} B^+ \dot{\tilde{w}} B^+.$$

Proof. It follows from (2.3) that $\bigcup_{\tilde{w} \in W_{J_1}W_{J_2}} {}^{J_1}B^+\dot{\tilde{w}}B^+$ is a disjoint union. We have

$$L_{J_2}B^+ = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1}W} (L_{J_2} \cap P_{J_1})\dot{w}B^+$$
$$= \bigsqcup_{w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1}W} (L_{J_2} \cap L_{J_1})(L_{J_2} \cap U_{P_{J_1}^+})\dot{w}B^+$$

Thus

$$L_{J_{1}}L_{J_{2}}B^{+} = \bigcup_{w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} L_{J_{1}}(L_{J_{2}} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{+}})\dot{w}B^{+}$$

$$= \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_{1}}, w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} (L_{J_{1}} \cap U^{-})\dot{v}(L_{J_{1}} \cap U^{+})(L_{J_{2}} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{+}})\dot{w}B^{+}$$

$$\subset \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_{1}}, w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} (L_{J_{1}} \cap U^{-})\dot{v}U_{P_{J_{1}}^{+}}(L_{J_{1}} \cap U^{+})\dot{w}B^{+}$$

$$= \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_{1}}, w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} (L_{J_{1}} \cap U^{-})U_{P_{J_{1}}^{+}}\dot{v}\dot{w}(\dot{w}^{-1}(L_{J_{1}} \cap U^{+})\dot{w})B^{+}$$

$$= \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_{1}}, w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} J_{1}B^{+}\dot{v}\dot{w}B^{+}.$$

Now we proceed with the reverse inclusion. Note that any element in $W_{J_1}W_{J_2}$ can be written in a unique way as vw for some $v \in W_{J_1}$ and $w \in W_{J_2} \cap {}^{J_1}W$. By definition and the Levi decomposition (2.1), ${}^{J_1}B^+ = (L_{J_1} \cap U^-)U_{P_{J_1}^+}T$. Since $v \in W_{J_1}$, the conjugation action of \dot{v} stabilizes $U_{P_{J_1}^+}T$. Thus

$${}^{J_1}B^+\dot{v}\dot{w}B^+ = (L_{J_1}\cap U^-)\dot{v}(U_{P_{J_1}^+}\dot{w}B^+) = (L_{J_1}\cap U^-)\dot{v}(U_{P_{J_1}^+}\cap\dot{w}B^-\dot{w}^{-1})\dot{w}B^+.$$

Since $w \in W_{J_2}$, we have $U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap \dot{w} B^- \dot{w}^{-1} \subset U_{P_{J_1}^+} \cap L_{J_2}$. Thus

$${}^{J_1}B^+\dot{v}\dot{w}B^+ \subset (L_{J_1}\cap U^-)\dot{v}(L_{J_2}\cap U^+)\dot{w}B^+ \subset L_{J_1}L_{J_2}B^+$$

The statement is proved.

We then consider the decomposition into opposite J_1 -Schubert cells.

Proposition 2.9. We have

$$P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{w} \in W_{J_1} W_{J_2}} {}^{J_1} B^- \dot{\tilde{w}} B^+ \cap P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+$$

Proof. Note that $P_{J_1}^- \cap L_{J_2}$ is an opposite parabolic subgroup of L_{J_2} . By the Birkhoff decomposition of L_{J_2} and the Levi decomposition of $P_{J_2}^+$, we have

$$P_{J_2}^+ = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1} W} (P_{J_1}^- \cap L_{J_2}) \dot{w} B^+ = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1} W} (L_{J_1} \cap L_{J_2}) (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} B^+.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} P_{J_{1}}^{+}P_{J_{2}}^{+} &= \bigcup_{w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} L_{J_{1}}(U_{J_{2}}^{-} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}})\dot{w}B^{+} \\ &= \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_{1}}, w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} U_{J_{1}}^{+}\dot{v}U_{J_{1}}^{+}(U_{J_{2}}^{-} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}})\dot{w}B^{+} \\ &= \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_{1}}, w \in W_{J_{2}} \cap^{J_{1}}W} (U_{J_{1}}^{+} \cap \dot{v}U_{J_{1}}^{-}\dot{v}^{-1})\dot{v}U_{J_{1}}^{+}(U_{J_{2}}^{-} \cap U_{P_{J_{1}}^{-}})\dot{w}B^{+}. \end{split}$$

We show that

(a) $U_{J_1}^+ (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} B^+ = (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} B^+.$ We have the decomposition $U_{J_1}^+ = (U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{J_2}^+) (U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{P_{J_2}^+}).$ Since $(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} \subset L_{J_2}$, we have $U_{P_{J_2}^+} (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} = (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} U_{P_{J_2}^+}$ and

$$(U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{J_2}^+)(U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{P_{J_2}^+})(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-})\dot{w}B^+ = (U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{J_2}^+)(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-})\dot{w}B^+$$

Thanks to the Levi decomposition of $L_{J_2} \cap P_{J_1}^-$, we further have

$$(U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{J_2}^+)(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) = (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-})(U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{J_2}^+)$$

Since $w \in {}^{J_1}W$, we have $(U_{J_1}^+ \cap U_{J_2}^+)\dot{w}B^+ = \dot{w}B^+$.

Thus (a) is proved.

$$P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ = \bigcup_{v \in W_{J_1}, w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1} W} (U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- v^{-1}) \dot{v} (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} B^+.$$
(2.5)

Since $\dot{v}(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \subset U_{P_{J_1}^-}\dot{v}$, we have

$$(U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \dot{v} (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} B^+ \subset {}^{J_1} B^- \dot{v} \dot{w} B^+.$$

Thanks to (2.3), we have

$$P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ \subset \bigsqcup_{v \in W_{J_1}, w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1} W} {}^{J_1} B^- \dot{v} \dot{w} B^+.$$

Then we have that $(U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v}U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1})\dot{v}(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-})\dot{w}B^+ = {}^{J_1}B^-\dot{v}\dot{w}B^+ \cap P_{J_1}^+P_{J_2}^+$ and

$$P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ = \bigsqcup_{v \in W_{J_1}, w \in W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1} W} ({}^{J_1} B^- \dot{v} \dot{w} B^+ \cap P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+).$$

Since $W_{J_1}W_{J_2} = W_{J_1}(W_{J_2} \cap^{J_1}W)$, we have $P_{J_1}^+P_{J_2}^+ = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{w} \in W_{J_1}W_{J_2}} {}^{J_1}B^-\dot{\tilde{w}}B^+ \cap P_{J_1}^+P_{J_2}^+$ and the proposition is proved.

Combining Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.7, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. Let J_1 , $J_2 \subset I$ and $v \in W_{J_1}$, $w \in W_{J_2} \cap {}^{J_1}W$. We have an isomorphism

$$(U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v}U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times \left(\dot{v}(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap \dot{w}U_{J_2}^- \dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \right) \to {}^{J_1} \mathring{X}_{vw} \cap P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ / B^+.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, we have

$${}^{J_1} \mathring{X}_{vw} \cap P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ / B^+ = (U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \dot{v} (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-}) \dot{w} B^+$$

= $(U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v} U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \dot{v} (U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap \dot{w} U_{J_2}^- \dot{w}^{-1}) \dot{w} B^+.$

By Lemma 2.3, we have the isomorphism

$$\left(U_{J_1}^+ \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^-(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}\right) \times \left(U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap (\dot{v}\dot{w})U^-(\dot{v}\dot{w})^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} J_1 \mathring{X}_{vw}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.7, the lemma follows from the restriction of the above isomorphism to

$$(U_{J_1}^+ \cap \dot{v}U_{J_1}^- \dot{v}^{-1}) \times \left(\dot{v}(U_{J_2}^- \cap U_{P_{J_1}^-} \cap \dot{w}U_{J_2}^- \dot{w}^{-1})\dot{v}^{-1} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} J_1 \mathring{X}_{vw} \cap P_{J_1}^+ P_{J_2}^+ / B^+. \quad \Box$$

3. A Birkhoff-Bruhat Atlas

3.1. **Definitions.** Let M be an ind-variety over \mathbf{k} . A stratification on M is a family of locally closed, finite dimensional subvarieties $\{\mathring{M}^y\}_{y\in\mathcal{Y}}$ indexed by a poset \mathcal{Y} such that

- $M = \sqcup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \check{M}_y;$
- For any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, the Zariski closure M^y of M_y equals $\sqcup_{y' \leq y} M_{y'}$.

Assume furthermore that the minimal strata in the stratification \mathcal{Y}_{\min} of M are points. A Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas on (M, \mathcal{Y}) is the following data:

- (1) an open covering for M consisting of open sets U_f around the minimal strata $f \in \mathcal{Y}$;
- (2) a (minimal) Kac-Moody group \tilde{G} and a subset J of the set of simple roots of \tilde{G} ;
- (3) for any minimal stratum $f \in \mathcal{Y}$, an embedding c_f from U_f into the flag variety $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of \tilde{G} such that $c_f(U_f \cap M_y)$ is an open *J*-Richardson variety of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ for any $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$.

3.2. Partial flag varieties. Let $K \subset I$ and $\mathcal{P}_K = G/P_K^+$ be the partial flag variety. Then we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}_{K} = \sqcup_{w \in W^{K}} B^{+} \dot{w} P_{K}^{+} / P_{K}^{+} = \sqcup_{v \in W^{K}} B^{-} \dot{v} P_{K}^{+} / P_{K}^{+}.$$

Let $Q_K = \{(v, w) \in W \times W^K \mid v \leq w\}$. Define the partial order \preceq on Q_K as follows:

 $(v', w') \preceq (v, w)$ if there exists $u \in W_K$ such that $v \leq v'u \leq w'u \leq w$.

For any $(v, w) \in Q_K$, set

$$\mathring{\Pi}_{v,w} = \pi_K(\mathring{R}_{v,w})$$
 and $\Pi_{v,w} = \pi_K(R_{v,w})$

where $\pi_K : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{P}_K$ is the projection map. Then $\Pi_{v,w}$ is the (Zariski) closure of $\mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}$ in \mathcal{P}_K . We call $\mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}$ an open projected Richardson variety and $\Pi_{v,w}$ a closed projected Richardson variety.

By [14, Proposition 3.6], we have

$$\mathcal{P}_K = \sqcup_{(v,w) \in Q_K} \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w} \text{ and } \Pi_{v,w} = \sqcup_{(v',w') \in Q_K; (v',w') \preceq (v,w)} \mathring{\Pi}_{v',w'}.$$
(3.1)

Let $K \subset I$. The goal of the rest of this section is devoted to construct an Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for the stratified space $M = \mathcal{P}_K$ with the stratification $\{\Pi_{v,w}\}_{(v,w)\in Q_K}$ considered in §3.2.

3.3. The Kac-Moody group \tilde{G} . We construct the set of simple roots and the associated generalized Cartan matrix of the Kac-Moody \tilde{G} from the original Kac-Moody group G. We list some examples of such construction in §6.

The set I of simple roots is the union of two copies of I, glued along K. More precisely, let $I^{\flat} = \{i^{\flat} \mid i \in I\}$ and $I^{\sharp} = \{i^{\sharp} \mid i \in I\}$ be the two copies of I. Then $\tilde{I} = I^{\flat} \cup I^{\sharp}$ with $I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp} = \{k^{\flat} = k^{\sharp} \mid k \in K\}$. For any $i \in I$, we set $(i^{\flat})^{\natural} = (i^{\sharp})^{\natural} = i$. The generalized Cartan matrix $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{i,i'})_{i,i' \in I}$ is defined as follows:

- for $\tilde{i}, \tilde{i}' \in I^{\flat}, \ \tilde{a}_{\tilde{i},\tilde{i}'} = a_{\tilde{i}^{\natural},(\tilde{i}')^{\natural}};$
- for $\tilde{i}, \tilde{i}' \in I^{\sharp}, \tilde{a}_{\tilde{i},\tilde{i}'} = a_{\tilde{i}^{\sharp},(\tilde{i}')^{\sharp}};$
- for $\tilde{i} \in \tilde{I} I^{\flat}$ and $\tilde{i}' \in \tilde{I} I^{\sharp}$, $\tilde{a}_{\tilde{i},\tilde{i}'} = \tilde{a}_{\tilde{i}',\tilde{i}} = 0$.

Since $I^{\sharp} \cap I^{\flat} = \{k^{\flat} = k^{\sharp} \mid k \in K\}$, we have $\tilde{a}_{k^{\flat},(k')^{\flat}} = a_{k,k'} = \tilde{a}_{k^{\sharp},(k')^{\sharp}}$ and the generalized Cartan matrix \tilde{A} is well-defined. The generalized Cartan matrix \tilde{A} is symmetrizable.

Let \tilde{G}^{\min} be the minimal Kac-Moody group of simply connected type associated to (\tilde{I}, \tilde{A}) and \tilde{W} be its Weyl group. Let $\tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ and $\tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$ be the parabolic subgroup of \tilde{W} generated by simple reflections in I^{\flat} and I^{\sharp} respectively. We have natural identifications $W \to \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}, w \mapsto w^{\flat}$ and $W \to \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}, w \mapsto w^{\sharp}$. For $w \in W_K, w^{\flat} = w^{\sharp}$. For any $w \in W$, we set $(w^{\flat})^{\natural} = w$ and $(w^{\sharp})^{\natural} = w$.

Similarly, we have natural embedding $G^{\min} \to \tilde{L}_{I^{\flat}}, g \mapsto g^{\flat}$ and $G^{\min} \to \tilde{L}_{I^{\sharp}}, g \mapsto g^{\sharp}$. g^{\sharp} . For $g \in L_K, g^{\flat} = g^{\sharp}$.

We denote by \tilde{X} the flag variety of \tilde{G}^{\min} , and denote by $I^{\flat} \mathring{X}^{-}$, $I^{\flat} \mathring{X}_{-}$, $I^{\flat} \mathring{R}_{-,-}$ the I^{\flat} -Schubert cells, the opposite I^{\flat} -Schubert cells and the open I^{\flat} -Richardson variety respectively.

3.4. The Kac-Moody group in a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas. Let $r \in W$. The following multiplication maps are isomorphisms of ind-varieties:

$$(\dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1}\cap U^+) \times (\dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1}\cap U^-) \longrightarrow \dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1}, \quad (g_1, g_2) \longmapsto g_1g_2; \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1}\cap U^{-})\times(\dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1}\cap U^{+})\longrightarrow\dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1},\quad(h_1,h_2)\longmapsto h_1h_2.$$
(3.3)

We define morphisms of ind-varieties

$$\sigma_{r,-}: \dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1} \to \dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1} \cap U^{-}, \qquad g_1g_2 \longmapsto g_2,$$

and

$$\sigma_{r,+}: \dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1} \to \dot{r}U\dot{r}^{-1} \cap U^+, \qquad h_1h_2 \longmapsto h_2.$$

Lemma 3.1. [6, Proposition 8.2] Let $r \in W$. The map

$$\sigma_r = (\sigma_{r,+}, \sigma_{r,-}) : \dot{r}U^- \dot{r}^{-1} \longrightarrow (U^+ \cap \dot{r}U^- \dot{r}^{-1}) \times (U^- \cap \dot{r}U^- \dot{r}^{-1})$$

is an isomorphism of ind-varieties.

Note that the isomorphism is compatible with Levi decompositions. The restriction of σ_r gives the isomorphism

$$\dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1} \longrightarrow (U^+ \cap \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1}) \times (U^- \cap \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1}).$$

Let $r \in W^K$ and $U_r = \dot{r}B^-P_K^+/P_K^+ \subset \mathcal{P}_K$. We have an isomorphism

$$\dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}\longrightarrow U_r, \qquad g\longmapsto g\dot{r}P_K^+/P_K^+.$$

Finally, for $g \in \dot{r}U^-\dot{r}^{-1}$, we write $\sigma_{r,\pm}^{\flat/\sharp}(g)$ for $\sigma_{r,\pm}(g)^{\flat/\sharp}$.

Theorem 3.2. For $r \in W^K$, we define the map

$$\tilde{c}_r: U_r \longrightarrow \tilde{X}, g\dot{r}P_K^+/P_K^+ \longmapsto \sigma_{r,+}^{\flat}(g) \cdot \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \sigma_{r,-}^{\sharp}(g)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{B}^+/\tilde{B}^+ \text{ for } g \in \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1}.$$

Then $(\tilde{c}_r)_{r\in W^K}$ gives a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for \mathcal{P}_K .

Proof. Since $r \in W^K$, we have $U^+ \cap \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r} = U^+ \cap \dot{r}U^-\dot{r}$. Therefore $\sigma_{r,+}^{\flat}(U_{P_K^-}) = (U^+)^{\flat} \cap \dot{r}^{\flat}(U^-)^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \sigma^{\sharp}_{r,-}(U_{P_{K}^{-}})^{-1} \cdot \dot{r}^{\sharp}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat} = \dot{r}^{\flat} \Big((\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp}(U^{-})^{\sharp} \dot{r}^{\sharp} \cap (U_{P_{K}^{-}})^{\sharp} \Big) (\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat}$$
$$= \dot{r}^{\flat} \Big((\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp}(U^{-})^{\sharp} \dot{r}^{\sharp} \cap \tilde{U}_{I^{\sharp}}^{-} \cap \tilde{U}_{P_{I^{\flat}}^{-}}^{-} \Big) (\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat}.$$

By Proposition 2.10, \tilde{c}_r is an embedding with image ${}^{I^{\flat}} X_{\tilde{\nu}(r,r)} \cap \tilde{L}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{L}_{I^{\sharp}} \tilde{B}^+ / \tilde{B}^+$.

We then check the stratifications. Let $(v, w) \in Q_K$. Suppose that $g \in \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1}$ with $g\dot{r}P_K^+/P_K^+ \in \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}$. Then there exists $l \in L_J$ such that $g\dot{r}l \in B^+\dot{w}B^+ \cap B^-\dot{v}B^+$. By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\sigma_{r,+}(g)\dot{r}l \in B^-g\dot{r}l \subset B^-\dot{v}B^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{r,-}(g)\dot{r}l \in B^+g\dot{r}l \subset B^+\dot{w}B^+.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{r,+}^{\flat}(g) \cdot \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \sigma_{r,-}^{\sharp}(g)^{-1} &= (\sigma_{r,+}(g)\dot{r})^{\flat} \cdot \left((\sigma_{r,-}(g)\dot{r})^{-1}\right)^{\sharp} \\ &= (\sigma_{r,+}(g)\dot{r}l)^{\flat} \cdot \left((\sigma_{r,-}(g)\dot{r}l)^{-1}\right)^{\sharp} \\ &\in (U^{-})^{\flat} \cdot \dot{v}^{\flat} \cdot (B^{+})^{\flat}(B^{+})^{\sharp} \cdot (\dot{w}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot (B^{+})^{\sharp} \\ &\subset (U^{-})^{\flat} \dot{v}^{\flat} \cdot \tilde{U}_{P_{I^{\flat}\cap I^{\sharp}}^{+}} \tilde{U}_{I^{\flat}\cap I^{\sharp}}^{+} \cdot (\dot{w}^{-1})^{\sharp}(B^{+})^{\sharp} \\ &\stackrel{(\heartsuit)}{\subset} I^{\flat}B^{+} \dot{v}^{\flat}(\dot{w}^{-1})^{\sharp}(B^{+})^{\sharp} = I^{\flat} \mathring{X}^{\tilde{\nu}(v,w)}, \end{split}$$

where (\heartsuit) follows from

 $\dot{v}^{\flat} \tilde{U}_{P_{I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp}}^{+}} = \tilde{U}_{P_{I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp}}^{+}} \dot{v}^{\flat}$ and $\tilde{U}_{I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp}}^{+} (\dot{w}^{-1})^{\sharp} = (\dot{w}^{-1})^{\sharp} \tilde{U}_{I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp}}^{+}.$

By Proposition 2.9,

$$\tilde{c}_r(U_r) = \bigcup_{(v,w)\in Q_J} \tilde{c}_r(U_r \cap \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}) \subset \bigcup_{(v,w)\in Q_J} {}^{I^\flat} \tilde{\tilde{R}}_{r^\flat(r^{-1})^\sharp,v^\flat(w^{-1})^\sharp} \\ \subset {}^{I^\flat} \check{\tilde{X}}_{\tilde{\nu}(r,r)} \cap \tilde{L}_{I^\flat} \tilde{L}_{I^\sharp} \tilde{B}^+ / \tilde{B}^+.$$

Since $\tilde{c}_r(U_r) = {}^{I^{\flat}} \mathring{\tilde{X}}_{\tilde{\nu}(r,r)} \cap \tilde{L}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{L}_{I^{\sharp}} \tilde{B}^+ / \tilde{B}^+$, all the inclusion above are actually equalities. In particular, for any $(v, w) \in Q_J$, $\tilde{c}_r(U_r \cap \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}) = {}^{I^{\flat}} \mathring{\tilde{R}}_{r^{\flat}(r^{-1})^{\sharp},v^{\flat}(w^{-1})^{\sharp}}$. The theorem is proved.

4. Combinatorial atlas

In this section, we assume W is an arbitrary Coxeter group and we discuss a combinatorial analog of the geometric "atlas model" in Section 3.

4.1. **Posets.** Let Q be a poset with partial order \leq . For any $x, y \in Q$, let $[x, y] = \{z \in Q \mid x \leq z \leq y\}$ be the interval from x to y. The covering relation is denote by >. In other words, y > x if $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$. For any $x, y \in Q$ with $x \leq y$, a maximal chain from x to y is a finite sequence of elements $y = w_0 > w_1 > \cdots > w_n = x$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_n \in Q$. The number n is called the length of the chain. Note that the maximal chain may not exists in general.

We say that Q is *pure* if for any $x, y \in Q$ with $x \leq y$, the maximal chains from x to y always exist and have the same length. Such length is also called the length of the interval [x, y]. A pure poset Q is called *thin* if every interval of length 2 has exactly 4 elements, i.e. has exactly two elements between x and y.

A subset $C \subset Q$ is called *convex* if for any $x, y \in C$, we have $[x, y] \subset C$.

4.2. **EL-Shellability.** Now we recall the notion of EL-shellability introduced by Bjorner in [2].

Suppose that the poset Q is pure. An edge labeling of Q is a map λ from the set of all covering relations in Q to a poset Λ . The labeling λ sends any maximal chain of an interval of Q to a tuple of Λ . A maximal chain is called *increasing* if the associated tuple of Λ is increasing. The edge labeling λ also allows one to order the maximal chains of any interval of Q by ordering the corresponding tuples lexicographically.

An edge labeling of Q is called *EL-shellable* if for every interval, there exists a unique increasing maximal chain, and all the other maximal chains of this interval are less than this maximal chain (with respect to the lexicographical order).

For a poset Q, we define the augmented poset $\hat{Q} = Q \sqcup \{\hat{0}\}$, where $\hat{0}$ is the minimal element of \hat{Q} .

Thinness and EL-shellability are important combinatorial properties of posets. For example, Bjorner proved in [3] that if a finite poset \hat{Q} is thin and EL-shellable, then it is the face poset of some regular CW complex homeomorphic to a sphere.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.1. The poset \hat{Q}_K is thin and EL-shellable.

The strategy of the proof is as follows: we first establish a combinatorial atlas model for the poset Q_K ; then we prove \hat{Q}_K is thin in §4.7; we finally prove \hat{Q}_K is EL-shellable in §4.9.

The case where W is a finite Weyl group was first established by Williams in [21, Theorem 1 & Theorem 2]. Another proof for Q_K when W is a finite Weyl group was given in [8]. Our approach to handle Q_K are different. As to the augmented element $\hat{0}$, we follow a similar idea as in [21]. 4.3. Combinatorial atlas. Let $K \subset I$. Recall $Q_K = \{(v, w) \in W \times W^K \mid v \leq w\}$ equipped with the partial order \leq defined by

 $(v', w') \preceq (v, w)$ if there exists $u \in W_K$ such that $v \leqslant v'u \leqslant w'u \leqslant w$.

The construction of \tilde{W} in §3.3 remains valid for arbitrary Coxeter group W.

Proposition 4.2. Define the map

$$\tilde{\nu}: Q_K \longrightarrow \tilde{W}, (v, w) \longmapsto (v)^{\flat} (w^{-1})^{\sharp}.$$

Then

(1) the map $\tilde{\nu}$ induces an isomorphism of the posets (Q_K, \preceq) and $(\tilde{\nu}(Q_K), {}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant);$ (2) $\tilde{\nu}(Q_K) = \{ \tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}} \mid r^{\flat}(r^{-1})^{\sharp I^{\flat}} \leqslant \tilde{w} \text{ for some } r \in W^K \};$ (3) $\tilde{\nu}(Q_K)$ is a convex subset of the poset $(\tilde{W}, {}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant).$

We shall first give a geometric proof of the proposition in §4.4 when W is a Weyl group of some Kac-Moody group G. We then give a combinatorial proof of the proposition in §4.6 for an arbitrary Coxeter group W.

4.4. Geometric proof of Proposition 4.2. In this subsection, we assume that W is a Weyl group of some Kac-Moody group G. We deduce Proposition 4.2 for such W as a consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Recall §3.2 that the poset (Q_K, \preceq) is the index set of the stratification of \mathcal{P}_K into the unions of projected Richardson varieties. By (2.4), the poset $(\tilde{W}, I^{\flat} \leqslant)$ is the index set of the stratification of \tilde{X} into the unions of the I^{\flat} -Schuber varieties. Proposition 4.2 (1) follows directly from Theorem 3.2.

We show Proposition 4.2 (2). By Proposition 2.4, we have that

$$c_r(U_r) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^b} \\ r^{\flat}(r^{-1})^{\sharp I^b} \leqslant \tilde{w}}}^{I^{\flat}} \tilde{X}_{\tilde{\nu}(r,r)} \cap {}^{I^b} \check{X}^{\tilde{w}}$$

and for any $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$ with $r^{\flat} (r^{-1})^{\sharp I^{\flat}} \leqslant \tilde{w}$, ${}^{I^{\flat}} \overset{\circ}{\tilde{X}}_{\tilde{\nu}(r,r)} \cap {}^{I^{\flat}} \overset{\circ}{\tilde{X}}^{\tilde{w}} \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$\tilde{\nu}(\{(v,w)\in Q_K\mid U_r\cap \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}\neq \emptyset\})=\{\tilde{w}\in \tilde{W}_{I^\flat}\tilde{W}_{I^\sharp}\mid r^\flat(r^{-1})^{\sharp\,I^\flat}\leqslant \tilde{w}\}.$$

We then show Proposition 4.2 (3). Let $x, y \in \tilde{\nu}(Q_K)$ with $r^{\flat}(r^{-1})^{\sharp I^{\flat}} \leq x$ for some $r \in W^K$. Since $\tilde{P}_{I^{\flat}}^+ \tilde{P}_{I^{\sharp}}^+ / \tilde{B}^+$ is closed in \tilde{G}/\tilde{B}^+ , we see that $\tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$ is closed in \tilde{W} under the partial order $I^{\flat} \leq$ thanks to Proposition 2.8. Then for any $z \in$ [x, y], since $z^{I^{\flat}} \leq y$, we have $z \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$. We also have $r^{\flat}(r^{-1})^{\sharp I^{\flat}} \leq x^{I^{\flat}} \leq z$, hence $z \in \tilde{\nu}(Q_K)$.

Proposition 4.2 then follows for such W.

4.5. The partial order $J \leq .$ Let W be an arbitrary Coxeter group and J be a subset of the set of simple reflections in W. In this subsection, we give an equivalent description of the partial order $J \leq$ defined in §2.3.

Lemma 4.3. Let $x \in W_J$ and $y \in W^J$. The partial order ${}^J \leq is$ generated by (a) $s_\beta x y^{-1} {}^J < x y^{-1}$ for $\beta \in \Delta_J^{re,-}$ with $x < s_\beta x$; (b) $x s_{\beta'} y^{-1} {}^J < x y^{-1}$ for $\beta' \in \Delta^{re,+}$ with $s_{\beta'} y^{-1} < y^{-1}$. Proof. Recall $\Psi_J = \Delta_J^{re,-} \sqcup (\Delta^{re,+} - \Delta_J^{re,+})$. Let $\beta \in \Psi_J$ with $s_\beta x y^{-1} \leq x y^{-1}$, hence $y x^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta^{re,-}$.

If $\beta \in \Delta_J^{re,-}$, then $x^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta_J^{re}$. Since $y \in W^J$, $yx^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta^{re,-}$ is equivalent to $x^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta_J^{re,-}$. In this case, we equivalently have $s_\beta x > x$.

If $\beta \in \Delta^{re,+} - \Delta^{re,+}_J$, then $x^{-1}(\beta) \in \Delta^{re,+} - \Delta^{re,+}_J$. Set $\beta' = x^{-1}(\beta)$. Then $y(\beta') \in \Delta^{re,-}$. In this case, $s_{\beta'}y^{-1} < y^{-1}$. On the other hand, if $s_{\beta'}y^{-1} < y^{-1}$ for some $\beta' \in \Delta^{re,+}$, then we must have $\beta' \notin \Delta^{re,+}_J$ since $y \in W^J$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $x \in W_J$ and $y \in W^J$. The partial order ${}^J \leq is$ generated by (a') $x_1y^{-1} {}^J < xy^{-1}$ for $x_1 \in W_J$ with $x < x_1$. (b') $xy_1^{-1} {}^J < xy^{-1}$ for $y_1 \in W$ with $y_1 < y$.

Lemma 4.5. [9, Lemma A.3] Let $x, x', u \in W$ such that $x \leq x'u$. Then there exists $u' \leq u$, such that $x(u')^{-1} \leq x'$.

Proof. In the notations of [9, Lemma A.3], Lemma 4.5 is equivalent to

$$x \triangleleft u^{-1} \leqslant x'$$
 if and only if $x \leqslant x' * u$.

The lemma follows.

Proposition 4.6. Let $x, x' \in W_J$ and $y, y' \in W^J$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $x'(y')^{-1} \leq xy^{-1};$

(2) There exists $u \in W_J$ such that $x \leq x'u$ and $y'u \leq y$.

Proof. It suffices to replace condition (1) with the generating relations (a') and (b') considered in Corollary 4.4.

Note that Corollary 4.4 $(a') \Rightarrow (2)$ is trivial. For Corollary 4.4 (b'), we write y_1 as y'u for $y' \in W^J$ and $u \in W_J$. Then $xy_1^{-1} = (xu^{-1})(y')^{-1}$. And we have $(xu^{-1})u = x$ and $y'u \leq y$. So $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is proved.

Now we show that $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Suppose that there exists $u \in W_J$ such that $x \leq x'u$ and $y'u \leq y$. By Lemma 4.5, there exists $u' \leq u$ such that $x(u')^{-1} \leq x'$. Since $y' \in W^J$, $y'u' \leq y'u \leq y$. By Corollary 4.4, we have

$$x'(y')^{-1} \stackrel{J}{\leq} x(u')^{-1}(y')^{-1} = x(y'u')^{-1} \stackrel{J}{\leq} xy^{-1}$$

This finishes the proof.

4.6. Combinatorial proof of Proposition 4.2. We show (1). For $w \in W^K$, we have $w^{-1} \in {}^{K}W$ and hence $(w^{-1})^{\sharp} \in {}^{I^{\flat}}\tilde{W}$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\nu}$ is injective. We prove the compatibility of the partial orders. Let

$$(v,w), (v',w') \in Q_K.$$

By Proposition 4.6, $(v')^{\flat}((w')^{-1})^{\sharp} \stackrel{I^{\flat}}{\longrightarrow} (v)^{\flat}(w^{-1})^{\sharp}$ if and only if there exits $z \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ such that $(v)^{\flat} \leq (v')^{\flat} z$ and $z^{-1}((w')^{-1})^{\sharp} \leq (w^{-1})^{\sharp}$.

Note that $((w')^{-1})^{\sharp}, (w^{-1})^{\sharp} \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$. Thus $z^{-1}((w')^{-1})^{\sharp} \leq (w^{-1})^{\sharp}$ implies that $z \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}} \cap \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$. In this case, $z^{\sharp} \in W_K$. Hence

$$v^{\flat} \leqslant (v')^{\flat} z \iff v \leqslant v' z^{\natural},$$
$$z^{-1}((w')^{-1})^{\sharp} \leqslant (w^{-1})^{\sharp} \iff w' z^{\natural} \leqslant w.$$

So $(v')^{\flat}((w')^{-1})^{\sharp} \stackrel{I^{\flat}}{=} v^{\flat}(w^{-1})^{\sharp}$ if and only if $(v', w') \preceq (v, w)$.

We show (2). For any $(v, w) \in Q_K$, we have $(w, w) \preceq (v, w)$. Therefore we have $w^{\flat}(w^{-1})^{\sharp I^{\flat}} \leq \tilde{\nu}((v, w))$ for $w \in W^K$. Hence

$$\tilde{\nu}(Q_K) \subset \{ \tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^\flat} \tilde{W}_{I^\sharp} \mid r^\flat (r^{-1})^{\sharp I^\flat} \leqslant \tilde{w} \text{ for some } r \in W^K \}.$$

Let $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$ with $r^{\flat}(r^{-1})^{\sharp I^{\flat}} \leq \tilde{w}$ for some $r \in W^{K}$. We write $\tilde{w} = xy^{-1}$ with $x \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}, y \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}} \cap \tilde{W}^{I^{\flat}}$. By Proposition 4.6, there exists $u \in W_{I^{\flat}}$ such that $x \leq r^{\flat}u$ and $r^{\sharp}u \leq y$. Therefore we must have $u \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \cap \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$, that is, $u^{\natural} \in W_{K}$. So $x^{\natural} \leq ru^{\natural} \leq y^{\natural}$. Hence we have $(x^{\natural}, y^{\natural}) \in Q_{K}$ and $\tilde{\nu}((x^{\natural}, y^{\natural})) = \tilde{w}$. This shows that

$$\tilde{\nu}(Q_K) \supset \{ \tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^\flat} \tilde{W}_{I^\sharp} \mid r^\flat (r^{-1})^{\sharp I^\flat} \leqslant \tilde{w} \text{ for some } r \in W^K \}.$$

We show (3). By (2), it suffices to show the set $\tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}\tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$ is closed in $(\tilde{W}, {}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant)$. Then the argument in the last paragraph of §4.4 applies. Let $\tilde{w}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant xy^{-1}$ with $x \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}, y \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}} \cap \tilde{W}^{I^{\flat}}$. We write $\tilde{w} = x'(y')^{-1}$ with $x' \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}, y' \in \tilde{W}^{I^{\flat}}$. By Proposition 4.6, there exists $u \in W_{I^{\flat}}$ such that $x \leqslant x'u$ and $y'u \leqslant y$. Then $y' \leqslant y$ and hence $y' \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$. So $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}\tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$.

4.7. Thinness. Dyer proved in [5, Proposition 2.5] that the poset $(\tilde{W}, {}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant)$ is thin. We have shown in Proposition 4.2 that the poset (Q_K, \preceq) can be identified with a convex subset of the poset $(\tilde{W}, {}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant)$. So Q_K is thin.

As to the rank 2 intervals involving $\hat{0}$, one can follow the same proof (the last paragraph) as in [21, Proof of Theorem 1.1]. We shall omit the details here.

4.8. **Reflection orders.** In order to prove the EL-shellability, we first recall the reflection orders introduced by Dyer in [4, Definition 2.1]. Let T be the set of reflections in \tilde{W} . A total order \leq on T is called a *reflection order* if for any $s, t \in T$, either $s \prec tst \prec tstst \prec \cdots$ or $t \prec sts \prec ststs \prec \cdots$.

For any covering relation $w \ge w'$, we label this edge by the reflection $w(w')^{-1} \in T$. Dyer proved in [5, Proposition 3.9] (taking $I = \emptyset$ and J = S in *loc.cit.*) that any reflection order on T gives an EL-labelling on $(\tilde{W}, I^{\flat} \le)$. In particular, the poset $(\tilde{W}, I^{\flat} \le)$ is EL-shellable.

Now we take the reflection order \leq on T such that $\tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \cap T$ is a final section, i.e., for any $t, t' \in T$ with $t \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ and $t' \notin \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$, we have $t' \prec t$. The existence of such reflection order was established by Dyer in [4, Proposition 2.3]. We define the augmented totally order set $\hat{T} = T \sqcup \{\bot\}$ where $a \prec \bot \prec b$ for any $a \in T - \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ and $b \in \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}} \cap T$.

Recall we can identify Q_K with a convex subset of \tilde{W} . The edge labelling on \tilde{W} defined above induces an edge labelling on Q_K . For any edge of \hat{Q}_K involving $\hat{0}$, we label the edge by $\perp \in \hat{T}$. This gives a labelling on all the edges in \hat{Q}_K .

4.9. **EL-shellability.** In this subsection, we show that the edge labelling on \hat{Q}_K defined above is an EL-labelling. We have already seen that the edge labelling above on $(\tilde{W}, I^{\flat} \leq)$ is an EL-labelling.

Let [x, y] be an interval in \hat{Q}_K .

We first consider the case $x \neq \hat{0}$. By Proposition 4.2, the poset Q_K can be identified with a convex subset of $(\tilde{W}, {}^{I^{\flat}} \leqslant)$. Then $\tilde{\nu}([x, y])$ is an interval in \tilde{W} . The claim follows in this case.

Now we consider the interval [0, y]. Similar to the argument in the last two paragraphs of [21, Proof of Theorem 1.2], for any $(v, w) \in Q_K$, any lexicographically minimal chain from (v, w) to $\hat{0}$ does not involve edges labelled by $\tilde{W}_{I^b} \cap T$ and must have $(r, r) \succ \hat{0}$ as the last two terms, where $r = \min(vW_J)$. The claim for the interval $[\hat{0}, (v, w)]$ in \hat{Q}_K now follows from the claim of the interval [(r, r), (v, w)]in (Q_k, \preceq) proved above.

4.10. Comparison with the work [21]. It is worth pointing out that the edges labelled by $T \cap \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ and \perp corresponds to the edges of type 2 and type 3 in the sense of [21, Corollary 6.4] respectively. Moreover, we may choose a reflection order on T so that its restriction to $T \cap \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ matches with the order used for the type 2 edges in [21]. However, it is not clear how to match $T \setminus \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ with the labeling on the type 1 edges in [21].

5. A different Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for W_K finite case

In this section we construction a different Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for \mathcal{P}_K when K is of finite type. Let w_K be the longest element of the finite Weyl group W_K . We first construct the atlas group \check{G} . We list some examples of such construction in §6.

Let I be the union of two copies of I, glued along the $(-w_K)$ -graph automorphism of K. More precisely, let I^{\flat} and I^{\sharp} be the two copies of I. Then $\check{I} = I^{\flat} \cup I^{\sharp}$ with $I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp} = \{j^{\flat}; j \in K\} = \{j^{\sharp}; j \in K\}$. For $j, j' \in K, j^{\flat} = (j')^{\sharp}$ if and only if $\alpha_{j'} = -w_K(\alpha_j)$. Define ${}^{\flat} : I^{\flat} \to I, (i^{\flat})^{\natural} = i$ and ${}^{\flat'} : I^{\sharp} \to I, (i^{\sharp})^{\flat'} = i$. Then for $\check{i} \in I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp}, \check{i}^{\flat}$ and $\check{i}^{\flat'}$ are both contained in K and $\alpha_{\check{i}^{\flat'}} = -w_K(\alpha_{\check{i}^{\flat}})$.

The generalized Cartan matrix $\breve{A} = (\breve{a}_{\check{i},\check{i}'})_{\check{i},\check{i}'\in\breve{I}}$ is defined as follows:

- for $\check{i}, \check{i}' \in I^{\flat}, \, \check{a}_{\check{i},\check{i}'} = a_{\check{i}^{\natural},(\check{i}')^{\natural}};$
- for $\check{i}, \check{i}' \in I^{\sharp}, \, \check{a}_{\check{i},\check{i}'} = a_{\check{i}^{\natural},(\check{i}')^{\natural}};$
- for $\check{i} \in \check{I} I^{\flat}$ and $\check{i}' \in \check{I} I^{\sharp}$, $\check{a}_{\check{i},\check{i}'} = \check{a}_{\check{i}',\check{i}} = 0$.

Note that for $j_1, j'_1, j_2, j'_2 \in K$ with $\alpha_{j'_1} = -w_K(\alpha_{j_1})$ and $\alpha_{j'_2} = -w_K(\alpha_{j_2})$, we have $a_{j_1,j_2} = a_{j'_1,j'_2}$. Thus for $\check{i}, \check{i}' \in I^{\flat} \cap I^{\sharp}$, we have $a_{\check{i}^{\natural},(\check{i}')^{\natural}} = a_{\check{i}^{\natural'},(\check{i}')^{\natural'}}$ and the generalized Cartan matrix \check{A} is well-defined.

Let \tilde{G} be the minimal Kac-Moody group of simply connected type associated to (\tilde{I}, \tilde{A}) and \tilde{W} be its Weyl group. Let $\tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}$ and $\tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}$ be the parabolic subgroup of \tilde{W} generated by simple reflections in I^{\flat} and I^{\sharp} respectively. We have natural identifications $W \to \tilde{W}_{I^{\flat}}, w \mapsto w^{\flat}$ and $W \to \tilde{W}_{I^{\sharp}}, w \mapsto w^{\sharp}$. For $w \in W_J, w^{\flat} = w_J w^{\sharp} w_J^{-1}$. For any $w \in W$, we set $(w^{\flat})^{\natural} = w$ and $(w^{\sharp})^{\natural'} = w$.

Similarly to Section 3, we have natural embeddings $G \to \check{L}_{I^{\flat}}, g \mapsto g^{\flat}$ and $G \to \check{L}_{I^{\sharp}}, g \mapsto g^{\sharp}$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $L_{K,der}$ be the derived group of L_K . Then for any $g \in L_{K,der}$,

$$(g^{\flat})^{-1} = \Psi(\dot{w}_K g \dot{w}_K^{-1})^{\sharp}.$$

Proof. Since $g \in L_{K,der}$, it suffices to prove that for $i \in K$,

$$((x_{\alpha_i}(a))^{\flat})^{-1} = \Psi(\dot{w}_K x_{\alpha_i}(a)\dot{w}_K^{-1})^{\sharp}, \quad ((y_{\alpha_i}(a))^{\flat})^{-1} = \Psi(\dot{w}_K y_{\alpha_i}(a)\dot{w}_K^{-1})^{\sharp}.$$

We prove the first identity. The second identity is proved in the same way.

Let $j \in K$ with $w_K(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_j$. Then $(s_j^{-1}w_K)(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$. It follows from [19, Proposition 9.3.5] and direct computations that

$$\dot{w}_K x_{\alpha_i}(a) \dot{w}_K^{-1} = \dot{s}_j (\dot{s}_j^{-1} \dot{w}_K) x_{\alpha_i}(a) (\dot{s}_j^{-1} \dot{w}_K)^{-1} \dot{s}_j^{-1} = \dot{s}_j x_{\alpha_j}(a) \dot{s}_j^{-1} = y_{\alpha_j}(-a).$$

The lemma is proved.

We denote by $\breve{\mathcal{B}}$ the flag variety of \breve{G} , and denote by ${}^{I^{\flat}}\overset{}{\breve{X}}^{-}$, ${}^{I^{\flat}}\overset{}{\breve{X}}_{-}$, ${}^{I^{\flat}}\overset{}{\breve{R}}_{-,-}$ the ${I^{\flat}}_{-}$. Schubert cells, the opposite I^{\flat} -Schubert cells and the open I^{\flat} -Richardson varieties in $\breve{\mathcal{B}}$ respectively.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that W_K is finite. For any $r \in W^K$, define the map

$$\breve{c}_r: U_r \longrightarrow \breve{X},$$

$$g\dot{r}P_K^+/P_K^+ \longmapsto \sigma_{r,-}^{\flat}(g) \cdot \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{w}_K)^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \Psi(\sigma_{r,+}^{\sharp}(g)) \cdot \breve{B}^+/\breve{B}^+ \text{ for } g \in \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1}.$$

Then $(\check{c}_r)_{r\in W^K}$ gives a Birkhoff-Bruhat atlas for \mathcal{P}_K .

Proof. We have

$$\sigma_{r,-}^{\flat}(\dot{r}U_{P_{K}^{-}}\dot{r}^{-1}) = (U^{-})^{\flat} \cap \dot{r}^{\flat}(U_{P_{K}^{-}})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat} = (U^{-})^{\flat} \cap (\dot{r}\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(U^{-})^{\flat}(\dot{w}_{K}\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \iota \Big(\sigma_{r,+}^{\sharp}(\dot{r}U_{P_{K}^{-}}\dot{r}^{-1})\Big)^{-1} \cdot \dot{r}^{\sharp}(\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat} \\ = \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \Big((U^{-})^{\sharp} \cap (\dot{r}U_{P_{K}^{+}}\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp}\Big) \cdot \dot{r}^{\sharp}(\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat} \\ = \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot \Big((\dot{r}^{-1}U^{-}\dot{r})^{\sharp} \cap (U_{P_{K}^{+}})^{\sharp}\Big) \cdot (\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\flat}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from Corollary 2.6 that \check{c}_r is an embedding with image ${}^{I^b} \overset{\circ}{X} \check{\nu}^{(r,r)}$.

Let $(v, w) \in Q_K$. Suppose that $g \in \dot{r}U_{P_K^-}\dot{r}^{-1}$ with $g\dot{r}P_K^+/P_K^+ \in \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}$. Then there exists $l \in L_{K,\text{der}}$ such that $g\dot{r}l \in B^+\dot{w}B^+ \cap B^-\dot{v}U_{P_K^+}$.

By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\sigma_{r,+}(g)\dot{r}l \in B^- g\dot{r}l \subset B^- \dot{v}U_{P_{\kappa}^+}, \qquad \sigma_{r,-}(g)\dot{r}l \in B^+ g\dot{r}l \subset B^+ \dot{w}B^+.$$

Set $l' = \dot{w}_K^{-1} l \dot{w}_K \in L_{K,\text{der}}$. Then $((l')^{\flat})^{-1} = \Psi(\dot{w}_K l' \dot{w}_K^{-1}) = \Psi(l)^{\sharp}$ by Lemma 5.1. So

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{r,-}^{\flat}(g) \cdot \dot{r}^{\flat}(\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat}(\dot{r}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \Psi(\sigma_{r,+}^{\sharp}(g)) \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &= (\sigma_{r,-}^{\flat}(g)\dot{r}\dot{w}_{K}l')^{\flat} \cdot \left(\Psi(\sigma_{r,+}^{\sharp}(g)\dot{r}l)\right)^{\sharp} \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &= (\sigma_{r,-}^{\flat}(g)\dot{r}l\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot \left(\Psi(\sigma_{r,+}^{\sharp}(g)\dot{r}l)\right)^{\sharp} \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &\in (U^{+})^{\flat} \cdot \dot{w}^{\flat} \cdot (U^{+})^{\flat} \cdot (\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot (U_{P_{K}^{-}})^{\sharp} \cdot (\dot{v}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot (U^{+})^{\sharp} \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &= (U^{+})^{\flat} \cdot \dot{w}^{\flat} \cdot (U_{P_{K}^{+}})^{\flat} \cdot (\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot (U_{P_{K}^{-}})^{\sharp} \cdot (\dot{v}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot (U^{+})^{\sharp} \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &= (U^{+})^{\flat} \cdot \dot{w}^{\flat} \cdot U_{P_{K}^{-}} \cdot (\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot U_{P_{K}^{+}} \cdot (\dot{v}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot (U^{+})^{\sharp} \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &= (U^{+})^{\flat} \cdot \dot{w}^{\flat} \cdot (U_{P_{K}^{-}})^{\flat} \cdot (\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot U_{P_{I^{\pm}}^{+}} \cdot (\dot{v}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot (U^{+})^{\sharp} \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+} \\ &= I^{\flat}B^{-} \cdot \dot{w}^{\flat} \cdot (\dot{w}_{K})^{\flat} \cdot (\dot{v}^{-1})^{\sharp} \cdot \cdot \breve{B}^{+}/\breve{B}^{+}. \end{split}$$

By Proposition 2.8,

$$\breve{c}_r(U_r) = \bigcup_{(v,w)\in Q_K; v\leqslant w} \breve{c}_r(U_r\cap \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}) \subset \bigcup_{(v,w)\in Q_K; v\leqslant w} {}^{I^\flat} \breve{\ddot{R}}_{(ww_J)^\flat(v^{-1})^\sharp, (rw_J)^\flat(r^{-1})^\sharp}$$
$$\subset {}^{I^\flat} \breve{\ddot{X}}^{\breve{\nu}(r,r)}.$$

Since $\check{c}_r(U_r) = {}^{I^\flat} \overset{\circ}{\check{X}}{}^{\check{\nu}(r,r)}$, all the inclusions above are actually equalities. In particular, we have

$$\breve{c}_r(U_r \cap \mathring{\Pi}_{v,w}) = {}^{I^\flat} \overset{\diamond}{\breve{R}}_{(ww_J)^\flat(v^{-1})^\sharp, (rw_J)^\flat(r^{-1})^\sharp}, \quad \text{for } (v,w) \in Q_K.$$

The theorem is proved.

In the case where W is finite, the multiplication by $\dot{w}_{I^{\flat}}$ interchanges the opposite Schubert cells with the I^{\flat} -Schubert cells, where $w_{I^{\flat}}$ is the longest element of $W_{I^{\flat}}$. Thus for W finite case, the "atlas model" constructed here is essentially the same as the Bruhat atlas constructed in [7]. They differ by the multiplication by $\dot{w}_{I^{\flat}}$.

6. Some examples

\mathcal{P}_K	\tilde{G}	Ğ	Bruhat atlas
	$\bigtriangleup - $	$\bigtriangleup - $	$\Delta - \Delta$
0 — 0	$\triangledown - \triangledown$	$\triangledown - \triangledown$	$\triangledown - \triangledown$
o •		▲ ▼	▲ ▼
o • o	$ \land \land$	$ \land \land$	
• •	$\bullet \qquad \bigtriangledown \\ \bigtriangledown \qquad \lor \\ \nabla \qquad \bullet \qquad \bigtriangledown \\ \nabla \qquad \bullet \qquad \lor \\ \nabla \qquad \to \qquad \lor \qquad \to \qquad \lor \\ \nabla \qquad \to \qquad$	\mathbf{A}	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{A}}$
o • •	$ \land \qquad \land $	$\overset{\vartriangle}{\bullet} - \bullet_{\bigvee_{\nabla}}$	$\overset{\vartriangle}{\overset{\checkmark}{\overset{}}} - \blacklozenge_{\overset{\checkmark}{\overset{}}}$
o <u>∞</u> • •	$ \begin{array}{c} \land \\ & \searrow \\ & \swarrow \\ & \swarrow \\ & \bigtriangledown \\ & \bigtriangledown \end{array} $	$\overset{\Delta}{\overset{\infty}{}} - \overset{\bullet}{\overset{\infty}{}} - \overset{\bullet}{\overset{\nabla}{}}$	N/A
• _ • _ 0	$ \bigstar \xrightarrow{\sim} \checkmark $	N/A	N/A

In this section, we provide some examples of the Dynkin diagrams of the atlas groups. Here \tilde{G} and \check{G} are the atlas groups constructed in §3 and §5 respectively and "Bruhat atlas" refers to the one constructed in [7]. Note that even when \tilde{G} and \check{G} are the same, the atlases constructed in §3 and §5 are totally different.

In the first column, we give the Dynkin diagram for the group G. The subset K is the set of vertices filled with black color. In the other columns, the Dynkin diagrams consists of three types of vertices: \triangle , the vertices in $(I - J)^{\sharp}$; ∇ , the vertices in $(I - J)^{\flat}$; \blacklozenge , the vertices in $I^{\sharp} \cap I^{\flat} = J^{\sharp} = J^{\flat}$.

We also would like to point out that in certain cases, the atlas groups G and \check{G} constructed in section 3 and section 5 coincide. However, the Birkhoff-Bruhat atlases constructed there are still quite different in these cases, as one may see from the maps \tilde{c}_r and \check{c}_r in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 5.2 respectively.

References

- Y. Billig and M. J. Dyer, Decompositions of Bruhat type for the Kac-Moody groups, Nova J. Algebra Geom. 3 (1994), no. 1, 11–39.
- [2] A. Bjorner, Shellable and Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 260 (1980), 159–183.
- [3] A. Bjorner, Posets, regular CW complexes and Bruhat order, Europ. J. Combin. 5 (1984), 7-16.
- [4] M. J. Dyer, Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals, Compos. Math. 89 (1993), 91–115.
- M. J. Dyer, Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals. II. Twisted Bruhat orders, Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics (Chicago, IL, 1989), 141–165, Contemp. Math. 139, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [6] P. Galashin, S. Karp and T. Lam, Regularity theorem for totally nonnegative flag varieties, arXiv: 1904.00527.
- [7] X. He, A. Knutson, and J.-H. Lu, *Bruhat atlases*, unpublished notes (2013).
- [8] X. He and T. Lam, Projected Richardson varieties and affine Schubert varieties, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 65 (2015), 2385–2412.
- X. He and J.-H. Lu, On intersections of certain partitions of a group compactification, Int. Math. Res. Notices 11 (2011), 2534–2564.
- [10] D. Huang, A Kazhdan-Lusztig Atlas on G/P, arXiv:1910.13017.
- [11] D. Huang, A Bruhat Atlas on the Wonderful Compactification of PSO(2n)/SO(n-1), arXiv:1910.13545.
- [12] T. Marquis, An introduction to Kac-Moody groups over fields, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2018.
- [13] V. G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [14] A. Knutson, T. Lam, and D. E. Speyer, Projections of Richardson varieties, J. Reine Angew. Math. 687 (2014), 133–157.
- [15] A. Knutson, A. Woo, and A. Yong, Singularities of richardson varieties, Mathematical Research Letters 20 (2013), 391–400.
- [16] S. Kumar, Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [17] G. Lusztig, Total positivity in reductive groups, Lie theory and geometry, Progr.in Math. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, 1994, pp. 531–568.
- [18] M. Snider, Affine patches on positroid varieties and affine pipe dreams (thesis). https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.3705, 2010.
- [19] T.A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd edition, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 9, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.

- [20] J. Tits, Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields, J. Algebra 105 (1987), no. 2, 542–573.
- [21] L. K. Williams, Shelling totally nonnegative flag varieties J. Reine Angew. Math. 609 (2007), 1–21.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE. *E-mail address*: huanchen@nus.edu.sg

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, N.T., HONG KONG SAR, CHINA *E-mail address*: xuhuahe@math.cuhk.edu.hk