

Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from the Higgs field

Zhu Yi),^{1,*} Yungui Gong,^{2,†} Bin Wang,^{3,4,‡} and Zong-hong Zhu^{1,§}

¹*Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

²*School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China*

³*School of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

⁴*Center for Gravitation and Cosmology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, China*

We devise a novel mechanism and for the first time demonstrate that the Higgs model in particle physics can drive the inflation to satisfy the cosmic microwave background observations and simultaneously enhance the curvature perturbations at small scales to explain the abundance of dark matter in our universe in the form of primordial black holes. The production of primordial black holes is accompanied by the secondary gravitational waves induced by the first order Higgs fluctuations which is expected observable by space-based gravitational wave detectors. We propose possible cosmological probes of Higgs field in the future observations for primordial black holes dark matter or stochastic gravitational waves.

I. INTRODUCTION

The detections of gravitational wave (GW) by the Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (LIGO) Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration [1–13] started a new era of multimessenger astronomy. It was argued that GW observations can disclose the property of primordial black holes (PBHs) [14, 15] which could explain dark matter (DM) [16–25]. PBHs can be formed through gravitational collapse of highly overdense inhomogeneities with density contrast exceeding the threshold value at the horizon reentry in the radiation era [26, 27]. Such large density contrast can arise from the primordial curvature perturbations during inflation [28–55]. However, the constrained amplitude of the scalar power spectrum from Planck 2018 measurements of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropy is $A_s = 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ at the pivot scale $k_* = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ [56]. To produce abundant PBH DM, the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum is required to reach the order $A_s \sim \mathcal{O}(0.01)$ [57, 58], so we need mechanisms to enhance the curvature power spectrum at small scales by seven orders of magnitude of the CMB observed value. Such enhancement also induces secondary GWs after the horizon reentry [59–83]. Therefore, the observations of PBH DM and scalar induced secondary GWs (SIGWs) provide novel probes of physics in the early universe.

Assuming the Higgs boson as inflaton with the potential $\lambda\phi^4/4$, we find too small scalar spectral tilt n_s and too big tensor-to-scalar ratio r to be allowed by CMB observations. To reduce r , Higgs inflation introduces the nonminimal coupling $\xi\phi^2 R$ between Higgs field and gravity [84, 85], New Higgs inflation introduces the nonminimally derivative coupling $G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi/M^2$ between the kinetic term of the Higgs field and Einstein tensor $G^{\mu\nu}$

[86–90] and Gauss-Bonnet inflation introduces a special relation between the inflationary potential and the coupling between the inflaton and the Gauss-Bonnet term [91] to reconcile the observables n_s and r to satisfy CMB observations. The nonminimal coupling may have signatures of the so called Higgs shifts near strong gravity sources [92–94]. However, these nonminimal couplings cannot provide large enough curvature perturbations at small scales. Adopting the observed values of Higgs boson and top quark masses, the coupling λ in the Higgs potential is allowed to become negative from the running of the Higgs self-coupling via the renormalization group equations. In critical Higgs inflation [95, 96], near the critical point $\lambda = \beta_\lambda = 0$, the curvature power spectrum can be enhanced around the inflection point in the Higgs potential [34], but such an enhancement is only five orders of magnitude of the CMB measurement and is unable to produce significant abundance of PBHs [35]. In the spectator Higgs model, when the Higgs field stays in the unstable phase of the Higgs potential during inflation, the quantum fluctuations of the Higgs field can produce abundant PBH DM [36]. In this mechanism, the Higgs field is not responsible for inflation. However it was found that Higgs fluctuations on CMB scales are larger than those on PBH scales [44].

In a single field inflation, it was claimed difficult to enhance the amplitude of the power spectrum to the order $\mathcal{O}(0.01)$ while keeping the total e -folding number $N \simeq 50 - 60$ [38, 43]. Generalizing the coupling $1/M^2$ to a special function $g(\phi) = d/\sqrt{1 + (\phi - \phi_r)^2/c^2}$ in the nonminimally derivative coupling, an enhancement of the CMB power spectrum up to seven orders of magnitude at small scales was achieved, but the price to pay is to restrict the potential to be in the specific form $\phi^{2/5}$ [45]. Similar to k inflation [97, 98] and G inflation [99], the noncanonical kinetic term was proposed to increase the curvature perturbations at small scales and achieve abundant production of PBH DM and SIGWs in k/G inflation [52–55]. In this mechanism the noncanonical kinetic term succeeds enhancing the perturbation power spectrum at small scales while keeping such effect negligible

* yz@bnu.edu.cn

† Corresponding author. yggong@hust.edu.cn

‡ wang_b@sjtu.edu.cn

§ zhuzh@bnu.edu.cn

at large scales, but the potential form is also restricted. It is fair to conclude that so far there is no available Higgs mechanism that can successfully satisfy observational requirements of inflation at large scales and simultaneously enhance the power spectrum at small scales. The reasonable question we intend to ask is whether the standard Higgs field model can drive inflation and produce abundant PBH DM without introducing other fields beyond the standard model. In this paper, we devise a novel mechanism in the framework of a single field inflation with Higgs potential to enhance the primordial curvature perturbation at small scales while keeping it negligible at large scales. We show that this model is consistent with Planck 2018 data and produces a significant abundance of PBH DM and SIGWs to be detected by the future space-based GW detectors such as LISA [100, 101], TianQin [102], and Taiji [103]. In our mechanism, the Higgs field not only drives inflation but also is responsible for the PBH DM content of our universe. It is interesting to note that our mechanism does not only work for the Higgs field, it is a general single field inflationary model to explain the abundance of PBH DM and can be generalized to other inflationary models, for example the T-model.

II. THE ENHANCEMENT MECHANISM

For a slow-roll inflation with the noncanonical kinetic term $[1 + G(\phi)]\dot{\phi}^2/2$, the power spectrum of the primordial curvature perturbation is

$$P_\zeta = \frac{H^4}{4\pi^2\dot{\phi}^2(1+G)} \approx \frac{V^3}{12\pi^2V_\phi^2}(1+G), \quad (1)$$

where we choose $M_{\text{pl}} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G} = 1$, $V_\phi = dV/d\phi$ and the noncanonical kinetic term may arise from scalar-tensor theory of gravity, G inflation [99] or k inflation [97, 98]. If the function $G(\phi)$ has a peak, then the power spectrum can be enhanced. Motivated by the $\omega(\phi) = 1/\phi$ coupling in Brans-Dicke theory [104], the function

$$G(\phi) = G_a(\phi) = \frac{h}{1 + |\phi - \phi_p|/w}, \quad (2)$$

is used to enhance the power spectrum so as to produce abundant PBH DM and observable SIGWs [52], where $h \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{10})$ gives the amplitude of the peak, $w \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ controls the width of the peak and the number of e -folds before the end of inflation at the horizon exit for the pivotal scale, ϕ_p determines the position of the peak which is related with the peak mass of PBH and the peak frequency of SIGWs. Away from the peak, $|\phi - \phi_p|/w \gg 1$, the function $G_a(\phi)$ becomes negligible and the usual slow-roll inflation resumes. At the horizon exit, the number of e -folds remaining in inflation is

$$N = \int_{\phi_e}^{\phi_*} (1+G) \frac{V}{V_\phi} d\phi, \quad (3)$$

where ϕ_* is the field value at the horizon exit and ϕ_e is the field value at the end of inflation. The peak in the noncanonical kinetic term $G(\phi)$ contributes up to ~ 20 e -folds, which effectively moves ϕ_* closer to ϕ_e in order to keep the total number of e -folds around 60. The effective e -folds contributed by the standard slow-roll inflation then reduces to around 40, so that n_s and r in this mechanism become incompatible with CMB observations, if we choose allowed inflationary potentials in standard canonical models, but this is the price to pay for the enhancement of the power spectrum at small scales due to the noncanonical coupling $G(\phi)$. In particular, this mechanism does not work for the Higgs field.

Taking the advantage of the enhancement mechanism in k/G inflation, we invent a new coupling function $f(\phi)$ which has the chameleon effect to keep the enhancement of the curvature perturbations at small scales, while at large scales it can adjust the predictions of n_s and r to meet Planck 2018 data. The noncanonical term, which might come from some kinds of scalar-tensor theory of gravity, becomes

$$G = G_a + f(\phi). \quad (4)$$

At the end of the inflation, the scalar field rolls down to its minimum, the noncanonical term becomes negligible. In our new mechanism, the function $G_a(\phi)$ is general but not restricted to the form in Eq. (2), see Refs. [53–55] for other functions of $G_a(\phi)$. Introducing the function $f(\phi)$ we can modify the shape of the potential. Away from the peak ϕ_p , the effect of $G_a(\phi)$ is negligible and the function $f(\phi)$ dominates. We can change the noncanonical field ϕ to the canonical field Φ by the transformation $d\Phi = \sqrt{f(\phi)}d\phi$. In terms of the canonical field, the potential is $U(\Phi) = V[\phi(\Phi)]$. To show how the mechanism works, without loss of generality, we take the potential $U(\Phi)$ in a power law form $U(\Phi) = U_0\Phi^n$, so

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{n+2}{2N}, \quad (5)$$

$$r = \frac{4n}{N}. \quad (6)$$

Without the enhancement at small scales, $N \sim 60$, it is easy to see that no chaotic inflation is consistent with observational constraints. However with the enhancement, the effective number of e -folds N for the canonical field is around 40. Taking $n = 1/3$, we get $n_s = 0.971$ and $r = 0.033$. If we take $n = 2/3$, we get $n_s = 0.967$ and $r = 0.067$. Therefore, depending on the function $G_a(\phi)$ and the model parameters, it is possible that the predictions of these models are consistent with CMB constraints $n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$ (68% CL) and $r_{0.05} < 0.06$ (95% CL) [105]. Given the power law form for $U(\Phi)$ and $V(\phi)$, we can get the function $f(\phi)$,

$$f(\phi) = \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{1}{U_0} \right)^{2/n} V^{\frac{2}{n}-2} V_\phi^2. \quad (7)$$

From the above argument, we see that our mechanism does not restrict the form of the potential and it works




FIG. 1. The results for the power spectrum of primordial curvature perturbations along with the observational constraints. H represents the Higgs potential and T represents the T-model, 1 represents the case $n = 1/3$ and 2 represents the case $n = 2/3$. H1 means the model with Higgs potential and the power law potential $U(\Phi) = U_0\Phi^n$ with $n = 1/3$. The light green shaded region is excluded by the CMB observations [56]. The yellow, cyan and orange regions show the constraints from the PTA observations [106], the effect on the ratio between neutron and proton during the big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) [107] and μ -distortion of CMB [108], respectively.

for T-model and natural inflation as explored in Refs. [53, 54].

For the Higgs potential $V = \lambda\phi^4/4$, we first take the model $U(\Phi) = U_0\Phi^n$ with $n = 1/3$ as an example and label it as H1. In this case, the function $f(\phi) = f_0\phi^{22}$ with $f_0 = 9(\lambda/U_0)^6/256$ and we choose $f_0 = 1$. In effective field theory, lower dimensional terms $\sum_4^{21} C_n\phi^n$ with $C_n < O(1)$ also appear in $f(\phi)$. It was found that the lower dimensional terms have little effect on this enhancement mechanism [53]. In the low energy regime after inflation $\phi \ll M_{\text{pl}} = 1$, the Higgs field runs away from the peak and the function $f(\phi)$ becomes negligible leading to the recovery of the canonical field. Choosing the parameters h , w , ϕ_* , ϕ_p and λ as shown in Table I, and solving the equations for the background and the perturbations numerically, we get $n_s = 0.968$, $r = 0.0383$ and $N = 62.3$. The chosen parameter sets and the results are shown in Tables I and II. The power spectrum of the primordial curvature perturbations is shown in Fig. 1.

When the overdense region generated by the primordial curvature perturbations reenters the horizon in radiation era, it can be a seed to cause gravitational collapse to form PBHs. The current fractional energy density of PBHs with mass M to DM is [21, 28]

$$Y_{\text{PBH}}(M) = \frac{\beta(M)}{3.94 \times 10^{-9}} \left(\frac{\gamma}{0.2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{g_*}{10.75}\right)^{-1/4} \times \left(\frac{0.12}{\Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2}\right) \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^{-1/2}, \quad (8)$$

where M_\odot is the solar mass, $\gamma = 0.2$ [109], g_* is the effective degrees of freedom at the formation time, Ω_{DM}

is the current energy density parameter of DM, the fractional energy density of PBHs at the formation is related to the power spectrum of the primordial curvature perturbations as [110–112]

$$\beta(M) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{P_\zeta}}{\mu_c} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu_c^2}{2P_\zeta}\right),$$

where $\mu_c = 9\delta_c/4$ and δ_c is the critical density perturbation for the PBH formation. We take $\Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2 = 0.12$ [113] and $\delta_c = 0.4$ [112, 114–117]. Substituting the power spectrum into Eq. (8), we get the abundance of PBH DM and the result is shown in Fig. 2. We also show the peak mass and the peak abundance of PBH DM in Table II. It is clear that Higgs fluctuations seed the production of the PBH DM compatible with observation. We may wonder that the large enhancement on the curvature power spectrum leads to large non-Gaussianities that affect the production of PBH DM. It was shown in [118] that the non-Gaussianities of Higgs fluctuations are small at both the CMB and peak scales even though they reach the order one at scales larger than the peak scales, so the effect of non-Gaussianities on the production of PBH DM is negligible.




FIG. 2. The results for the PBH abundance. The shaded regions show the observational constraints on the PBH abundance. The yellow region from accretion constraints by CMB [119, 120], the red region from extragalactic gamma-rays by PBH evaporation (EG γ) [121], the cyan region from galactic center 511 keV gamma-ray line (INTEGRAL) [122–124], the orange region from white dwarf explosion (WD) [125], the green region from microlensing events with Subaru HSC [126], the blue region from the Kepler satellite [127], and the gray region from the EROS/MACHO [128]. The models are the same as those in Fig. 1.

Accompanied by the production of PBHs, the scalar perturbations can induce SIGWs during radiation. The equation for the Fourier components of the second order tensor perturbations $h_{\mathbf{k}}$ is [61, 62]

$$h_{\mathbf{k}}'' + 2\mathcal{H}h_{\mathbf{k}}' + k^2 h_{\mathbf{k}} = 4S_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (9)$$

Model	h	w	ϕ_p	ϕ_*	λ/V_0	N	n_s	r	$k_{\text{peak}}/\text{Mpc}^{-1}$
H1	1.05×10^{10}	2.04×10^{-10}	1.344	1.40	1.24×10^{-9}	62.3	0.9680	0.0383	4.86×10^{12}
H2	7.13×10^9	1.94×10^{-10}	1.750	1.88	6.40×10^{-10}	64.2	0.9697	0.0641	3.67×10^{12}
Hr	2.14×10^{12}	1.13×10^{-11}	0.1505	0.157		60.2	0.9676	0.0540	2.54×10^{12}
T1	4.72×10^9	8.89×10^{-11}	0.451	0.81	1.67×10^{-9}	55.6	0.9687	0.0370	2.17×10^{12}
T2	8.90×10^9	4.75×10^{-11}	0.835	1.35	2.95×10^{-9}	63.4	0.9704	0.0598	5.24×10^{12}

TABLE I. The chosen parameter sets and the results. H represents the Higgs potential and T represents the T-model, 1 represents the case $n = 1/3$ and 2 represents the case $n = 2/3$. H1 means the model with Higgs potential and the power law potential $U(\Phi) = U_0\Phi^n$ with $n = 1/3$. Hr represents the Higgs model with the running of the coupling constant λ .

Model	$P_{\zeta(\text{peak})}$	$M_{\text{peak}}/M_{\odot}$	$Y_{\text{PBH}}^{\text{peak}}$	f_c/Hz
H1	1.14×10^{-2}	1.56×10^{-13}	2.05×10^{-2}	8.11×10^{-3}
H2	1.11×10^{-2}	2.48×10^{-13}	7.66×10^{-3}	6.40×10^{-3}
Hr	1.27×10^{-2}	5.72×10^{-13}	4.52×10^{-1}	4.30×10^{-3}
T1	1.21×10^{-2}	7.85×10^{-13}	9.15×10^{-2}	3.53×10^{-3}
T2	1.10×10^{-2}	1.34×10^{-13}	7.12×10^{-3}	9.13×10^{-3}

TABLE II. The results for the primordial power spectrum, the peak mass and abundance of PBH and the peak frequency of SIGWs with the chosen parameter sets shown in Table I. H represents the Higgs potential and T represents the T-model, 1 represents the case $n = 1/3$ and 2 represents the case $n = 2/3$. H1 means the model with Higgs potential and the power law potential $U(\Phi) = U_0\Phi^n$ with $n = 1/3$. Hr represents the Higgs model with the running of the coupling constant λ .

where $h'_{\mathbf{k}} = dh_{\mathbf{k}}/d\eta$, the scalar source

$$S_{\mathbf{k}} = \int \frac{d^3\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{k}^i \tilde{k}^j \left[2\Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right. \\ \left. \times (\Phi'_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + \mathcal{H}\Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}) (\Phi'_{\mathbf{k}-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} + \mathcal{H}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}) \right], \quad (10)$$

$\mathcal{H} = 1/\eta$, $e_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$ is the polarization tensor, the Bardeen potential $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \Psi(k\eta)\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$, the transfer function Ψ in the radiation era is

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{9}{x^2} \left(\frac{\sin(x/\sqrt{3})}{x/\sqrt{3}} - \cos(x/\sqrt{3}) \right), \quad (11)$$

and $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is related with P_{ζ} as

$$\langle \phi_{\mathbf{k}} \phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \rangle = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \tilde{\mathbf{k}}) \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 P_{\zeta}(k). \quad (12)$$

The power spectrum of the SIGWs is defined as

$$\langle h_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta) h_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}(\eta) \rangle = \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \tilde{\mathbf{k}}) P_h(k, \eta), \quad (13)$$

and the fractional energy density is

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}(k, \eta) = \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^2 \overline{P_h(k, \eta)}. \quad (14)$$

Combining Eqs. (9)-(14) and the primordial power spectrum P_{ζ} , we obtain Ω_{GW} and the result is shown in Fig. 3, which tells us that the produced SIGWs can be detected in the future space-based GW observatories like LISA, Taiji and TianQin. Since the Non-Gaussianity is small at the peak scale, so its effect on SIGWs is negligible [118].




FIG. 3. The results for SIGWs along with the sensitivity curves for different GW detectors. The pink dashed curve denotes the EPTA limit [129–132], the cyan dotted curve denotes the SKA limit [133], the red dot-dashed curve in the middle denotes the TianQin limit [102], the dotted magenta curve shows the Taiji limit [103], the brown dashed curve shows the LISA limit [101], and the gray dot-dashed curve denotes the aLIGO limit [134, 135]. The models are the same as those in Fig. 1.

Now we show that a significant abundance of PBH DM and observable SIGWs can be produced even the running of the coupling constant λ is considered. The model is labeled as Hr. The running self-coupling has a minimum at the energy scale $\phi = \mu \sim 10^{17-18}$ GeV, around which it can be expanded as $\lambda(\phi) = \lambda_0 + b_0 \ln^2(\phi/\mu)$ with $b_0 \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ [34, 95, 96, 136, 137]. Taking into consideration the energy scale μ , we rewrite the function $f(\phi)$ as $f_0(\phi/\mu)^{22}$. If we choose

$$\lambda_0 = 6.20 \times 10^{-6}, \quad \mu = 0.1, \quad \tilde{f}_0 = 10, \quad (15)$$

and other parameters as shown in Table I, we get $n_s = 0.9676$, $r = 0.054$, and $N = 60.2$ which are consistent with the observational data. The results for the power

spectrum, the PBH abundance and SIGWs are shown in Figs. 1, 2, and 3 respectively.

To show that the mechanism can give different n_s and r , we take the Higg potential with the power law $U(\Phi) = U_0 \Phi^{2/3}$ as an example and label it as H2. Taking the parameter set in Table I, we get $n_s = 0.9697$, $r = 0.0641$ and $N = 64.2$. The results for the power spectrum, the PBH abundance and SIGWs are shown in Figs. 1, 2, and 3 respectively.

In order to show that our treatment is not specific to a particular potential, we generalize our discussion to the T-model. For the T-model [138–140]

$$V = V_0 \tanh^{2m} \left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}\alpha} \right), \quad (16)$$

we can derive the attractor $n_s = 1 - 2/N$ and $r = 12/N^2$ which are consistent with Planck 2018 data for $N = 50 - 60$. In our mechanism, we choose the function $f(\phi)$ as

$$f(\phi) = f_0 \operatorname{sech}^4 \left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}\alpha} \right) \tanh^{-2+4m/n} \left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}\alpha} \right), \quad (17)$$

where

$$f_0 = \frac{2}{3\alpha} \left(\frac{V_0}{U_0} \right)^{2/n} \frac{m^2}{n^2}. \quad (18)$$

In this paper we take $f_0 = 36$. The T-model with $m = 1/6$ and $\alpha = 1$ combined with the power law $U(\Phi) = U_0 \Phi^{1/3}$ is labelled as T1 and the T-model with $m = 1/3$ and $\alpha = 1$ combined with the power law $U(\Phi) = U_0 \Phi^{2/3}$ is labelled as T2. The model parameters and the results are shown in Tables I and II and Figs. 1, 2, and 3.

From these results, we see that our mechanism is general and appropriate to both the Higgs field and the T-model. We have shown that both models are consistent with Planck 2018 data. A significant abundance of PBH DM and SIGWs can be produced in our mechanism which is expected to be detectable by LISA/TianQin/Taiji detectors, and the mechanism is not restricted to the two models discussed.

III. CONCLUSION

In this work we proposed a novel mechanism to resolve the contradiction in the original k/G inflation of simultaneously requiring the enhancement of the curvature perturbations at small scales and keeping the model predictions in consistent with CMB observations at large scales

for Higgs field. We found that with this mechanism Higgs field inflationary model becomes viable. In our method, the function $G_a(\phi)$ peaks near the end of inflation thereby the enhancement of the power spectrum happens at small scales only. Such peak contributes about 20 e -folds during the enhancement. To keep the number of e -folds to be 50 – 60, the field value ϕ_* at the horizon exit moves closer to the field value at the end of inflation and the slow-roll contributions to n_s and r are changed. Away from the peak, the function $G_a(\phi)$ is negligible and the usual slow-roll inflation applies, the noncanonical term with the function $f(\phi)$ ensures the power spectrum at large scales to be consistent with CMB observations. In our mechanism, the observables n_s and r are not sensitive to the inflaton potential, where both Higgs potential and the general T-models can be employed to describe the Planck 2018 observations. The mechanism does not restrict the functions $G_a(\phi)$ and $f(\phi)$ in the noncanonical kinetic term and the inflationary potential to the particular forms used in this paper, other forms are permitted. Different peak shape, different peak mass for PBH DM and different peak frequency for SIGWs are all possible.

The Higgs boson of the standard model of particle physics is responsible not only for the masses of elementary particles, but can act as an inflaton to drive inflation to meet CMB measurements. Furthermore, we have shown that it can explain the DM content of our universe in the form of PBHs. The SIGWs induced by the large first order Higgs fluctuations at small scales can be observed by the space-based GW observatories, such as LISA, Taiji and TianQin. Future astronomical observations can grasp more signatures of Higgs field through PBHs DM and SIGWs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11875136 and 12075202, and the Major Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11690021. Z. Yi acknowledges support by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project under Grant No. 2019M660514. Z. Zhu is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants Nos. 11633001, 11920101003 and 12021003, the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDB23000000 and the Interdiscipline Research Funds of Beijing Normal University.

-
- [1] B. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW150914: The Advanced LIGO Detectors in the Era of First Discoveries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 131103 (2016).
 - [2] B. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), Observa-

- tion of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [3] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW151226: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a 22-Solar-

- Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 241103 (2016).
- [4] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, VIRGO), GW170104: Observation of a 50-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence at Redshift 0.2, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 221101 (2017), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 129901 (2018)].
 - [5] B. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW170814: A Three-Detector Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Coalescence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 141101 (2017).
 - [6] B. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017).
 - [7] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW170608: Observation of a 19-solar-mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence, *Astrophys. J.* **851**, L35 (2017).
 - [8] B. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GWTC-1: A Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog of Compact Binary Mergers Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the First and Second Observing Runs, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 031040 (2019).
 - [9] B. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW190425: Observation of a Compact Binary Coalescence with Total Mass $\sim 3.4M_{\odot}$, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **892**, L3 (2020).
 - [10] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW190412: Observation of a Binary-Black-Hole Coalescence with Asymmetric Masses, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 043015 (2020).
 - [11] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW190814: Gravitational Waves from the Coalescence of a 23 Solar Mass Black Hole with a 2.6 Solar Mass Compact Object, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **896**, L44 (2020).
 - [12] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GW190521: A Binary Black Hole Merger with a Total Mass of $150M_{\odot}$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 101102 (2020).
 - [13] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run, [arXiv:2010.14527 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14527).
 - [14] S. Bird, I. Cholis, J. B. Muñoz, Y. Ali-Haïmoud, M. Kamionkowski, E. D. Kovetz, A. Raccanelli, and A. G. Riess, Did LIGO detect dark matter?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 201301 (2016).
 - [15] M. Sasaki, T. Suyama, T. Tanaka, and S. Yokoyama, Primordial Black Hole Scenario for the Gravitational-Wave Event GW150914, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 061101 (2016), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 059901 (2018)].
 - [16] P. Ivanov, P. Naselsky, and I. Novikov, Inflation and primordial black holes as dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 7173 (1994).
 - [17] P. H. Frampton, M. Kawasaki, F. Takahashi, and T. T. Yanagida, Primordial Black Holes as All Dark Matter, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **04** (2010) 023.
 - [18] K. Belotsky, A. Dmitriev, E. Esipova, V. Gani, A. Grobov, M. Y. Khlopov, A. Kirillov, S. Rubin, and I. Svadkovsky, Signatures of primordial black hole dark matter, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **29**, 1440005 (2014).
 - [19] M. Y. Khlopov, S. G. Rubin, and A. S. Sakharov, Primordial structure of massive black hole clusters, *Astropart. Phys.* **23**, 265 (2005).
 - [20] S. Clesse and J. García-Bellido, Massive Primordial Black Holes from Hybrid Inflation as Dark Matter and the seeds of Galaxies, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 023524 (2015).
 - [21] B. Carr, F. Kuhnel, and M. Sandstad, Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 083504 (2016).
 - [22] K. Inomata, M. Kawasaki, K. Mukaida, Y. Tada, and T. T. Yanagida, Inflationary Primordial Black Holes as All Dark Matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 043504 (2017).
 - [23] J. García-Bellido, Massive Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter and their detection with Gravitational Waves, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **840**, 012032 (2017).
 - [24] E. D. Kovetz, Probing Primordial-Black-Hole Dark Matter with Gravitational Waves, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 131301 (2017).
 - [25] B. Carr and F. Kuhnel, Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter: Recent Developments, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **70**, 355 (2020).
 - [26] B. J. Carr and S. W. Hawking, Black holes in the early Universe, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **168**, 399 (1974).
 - [27] S. Hawking, Gravitationally collapsed objects of very low mass, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **152**, 75 (1971).
 - [28] H. Di and Y. Gong, Primordial black holes and second order gravitational waves from ultra-slow-roll inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2018) 007.
 - [29] J. Martin, H. Motohashi, and T. Suyama, Ultra Slow-Roll Inflation and the non-Gaussianity Consistency Relation, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 023514 (2013).
 - [30] H. Motohashi, A. A. Starobinsky, and J. Yokoyama, Inflation with a constant rate of roll, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **09** (2015) 018.
 - [31] J. Garcia-Bellido and E. Ruiz Morales, Primordial black holes from single field models of inflation, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **18**, 47 (2017).
 - [32] C. Germani and T. Prokopec, On primordial black holes from an inflection point, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **18**, 6 (2017).
 - [33] H. Motohashi and W. Hu, Primordial Black Holes and Slow-Roll Violation, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 063503 (2017).
 - [34] J. M. Ezquiaga, J. Garcia-Bellido, and E. Ruiz Morales, Primordial Black Hole production in Critical Higgs Inflation, *Phys. Lett. B* **776**, 345 (2018).
 - [35] F. Bezrukov, M. Pauly, and J. Rubio, On the robustness of the primordial power spectrum in renormalized Higgs inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **02** (2018) 040.
 - [36] J. Espinosa, D. Racco, and A. Riotto, Cosmological Signature of the Standard Model Higgs Vacuum Instability: Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 121301 (2018).
 - [37] G. Ballesteros, J. Beltran Jimenez, and M. Pieroni, Black hole formation from a general quadratic action for inflationary primordial fluctuations, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2019) 016.
 - [38] M. Sasaki, T. Suyama, T. Tanaka, and S. Yokoyama, Primordial black holes—perspectives in gravitational wave astronomy, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, 063001 (2018).
 - [39] A. Y. Kamenshchik, A. Tronconi, T. Vardanyan, and G. Venturi, Non-Canonical Inflation and Primordial Black Holes Production, *Phys. Lett. B* **791**, 201 (2019).
 - [40] T.-J. Gao and Z.-K. Guo, Primordial Black Hole Production in Inflationary Models of Supergravity with a Single Chiral Superfield, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 063526 (2018).
 - [41] I. Dalianis, A. Kehagias, and G. Tringas, Primordial black holes from α -attractors, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01** (2019) 037.
 - [42] I. Dalianis, S. Karydas, and E. Papantonopoulos, Generalized nonminimal Derivative Coupling: Application to Inflation and Primordial Black Hole Production, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2020) 040.

- [43] S. Passaglia, W. Hu, and H. Motohashi, Primordial black holes and local non-Gaussianity in canonical inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 043536 (2019).
- [44] S. Passaglia, W. Hu, and H. Motohashi, Primordial black holes as dark matter through Higgs field criticality, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 123523 (2020).
- [45] C. Fu, P. Wu, and H. Yu, Primordial Black Holes from Inflation with Nonminimal Derivative Coupling, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 063532 (2019).
- [46] C. Fu, P. Wu, and H. Yu, Scalar induced gravitational waves in inflation with gravitationally enhanced friction, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 023529 (2020).
- [47] W.-T. Xu, J. Liu, T.-J. Gao, and Z.-K. Guo, Gravitational waves from double-inflection-point inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 023505 (2020).
- [48] M. Braglia, D. K. Hazra, F. Finelli, G. F. Smoot, L. Sriramkumar, and A. A. Starobinsky, Generating PBHs and small-scale GWs in two-field models of inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **08** (2020) 001.
- [49] A. Gundhi and C. F. Steinwachs, Sclaron-Higgs inflation reloaded: Higgs-dependent sclaron mass and primordial black hole dark matter, [arXiv:2011.09485 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] J. Fumagalli, S. Renaux-Petel, J. W. Ronayne, and L. T. Witkowski, Turning in the landscape: a new mechanism for generating Primordial Black Holes, [arXiv:2004.08369 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] J. Fumagalli, S. Renaux-Petel, and L. T. Witkowski, Oscillations in the stochastic gravitational wave background from sharp features and particle production during inflation, [arXiv:2012.02761 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [52] J. Lin, Q. Gao, Y. Gong, Y. Lu, C. Zhang, and F. Zhang, Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from k and G inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 103515 (2020).
- [53] Z. Yi, Q. Gao, Y. Gong, and Z.-h. Zhu, Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from inflationary model with a noncanonical kinetic term, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 063534 (2021).
- [54] Q. Gao, Y. Gong, and Z. Yi, Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from natural inflation, [arXiv:2012.03856 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [55] Q. Gao, Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from chaotic inflation, [arXiv:2102.07369 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [56] Y. Akrami *et al.* (Planck), Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A10 (2020).
- [57] Y. Lu, Y. Gong, Z. Yi, and F. Zhang, Constraints on primordial curvature perturbations from primordial black hole dark matter and secondary gravitational waves, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **12** (2019) 031.
- [58] G. Sato-Polito, E. D. Kovetz, and M. Kamionkowski, Constraints on the primordial curvature power spectrum from primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 063521 (2019).
- [59] S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach, and M. Bruni, Second order perturbations of the Einstein-de Sitter universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 043504 (1998).
- [60] S. Mollerach, D. Harari, and S. Matarrese, CMB polarization from secondary vector and tensor modes, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 063002 (2004).
- [61] K. N. Ananda, C. Clarkson, and D. Wands, The Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 123518 (2007).
- [62] D. Baumann, P. J. Steinhardt, K. Takahashi, and K. Ichiki, Gravitational Wave Spectrum Induced by Primordial Scalar Perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084019 (2007).
- [63] J. Garcia-Bellido, M. Peloso, and C. Unal, Gravitational Wave signatures of inflationary models from Primordial Black Hole Dark Matter, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **09** (2017) 013.
- [64] R. Saito and J. Yokoyama, Gravitational wave background as a probe of the primordial black hole abundance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 161101 (2009), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107**, 069901 (2011)].
- [65] R. Saito and J. Yokoyama, Gravitational-Wave Constraints on the Abundance of Primordial Black Holes, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **123**, 867 (2010), [Erratum: *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **126**, 351–352 (2011)].
- [66] E. Bugaev and P. Klimai, Induced gravitational wave background and primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 023517 (2010).
- [67] E. Bugaev and P. Klimai, Constraints on the induced gravitational wave background from primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 083521 (2011).
- [68] L. Alabidi, K. Kohri, M. Sasaki, and Y. Sendouda, Observable Spectra of Induced Gravitational Waves from Inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **09** (2012) 017.
- [69] N. Orlofsky, A. Pierce, and J. D. Wells, Inflationary theory and pulsar timing investigations of primordial black holes and gravitational waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 063518 (2017).
- [70] T. Nakama, J. Silk, and M. Kamionkowski, Stochastic gravitational waves associated with the formation of primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 043511 (2017).
- [71] K. Inomata, M. Kawasaki, K. Mukaida, Y. Tada, and T. T. Yanagida, Inflationary primordial black holes for the LIGO gravitational wave events and pulsar timing array experiments, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 123510 (2017).
- [72] S.-L. Cheng, W. Lee, and K.-W. Ng, Primordial black holes and associated gravitational waves in axion monodromy inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2018) 001.
- [73] R.-G. Cai, S. Pi, and M. Sasaki, Gravitational Waves Induced by non-Gaussian Scalar Perturbations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 201101 (2019).
- [74] N. Bartolo, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, M. Peloso, D. Racco, and A. Riotto, Testing primordial black holes as dark matter with LISA, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 103521 (2019).
- [75] N. Bartolo, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, A. Lewis, M. Peloso, and A. Riotto, Primordial Black Hole Dark Matter: LISA Serendipity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 211301 (2019).
- [76] K. Kohri and T. Terada, Semianalytic calculation of gravitational wave spectrum nonlinearly induced from primordial curvature perturbations, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 123532 (2018).
- [77] J. R. Espinosa, D. Racco, and A. Riotto, A Cosmological Signature of the SM Higgs Instability: Gravitational Waves, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **09** (2018) 012.
- [78] R.-G. Cai, S. Pi, S.-J. Wang, and X.-Y. Yang, Resonant multiple peaks in the induced gravitational waves, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **05** (2019) 013.
- [79] R.-G. Cai, S. Pi, S.-J. Wang, and X.-Y. Yang, Pulsar Timing Array Constraints on the Induced Gravitational

- Waves, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2019) 059.
- [80] R.-G. Cai, Z.-K. Guo, J. Liu, L. Liu, and X.-Y. Yang, Primordial black holes and gravitational waves from parametric amplification of curvature perturbations, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **06** (2020) 013.
- [81] R.-G. Cai, X.-Y. Yang, and Y.-F. Zhou, Constraints on mixed dark matter model of particles and primordial black holes from the Galactic 511 keV line, [arXiv:2007.11804 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [82] G. Domènech, Induced gravitational waves in a general cosmological background, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **29**, 2050028 (2020).
- [83] G. Domènech, S. Pi, and M. Sasaki, Induced gravitational waves as a probe of thermal history of the universe, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **08** (2020) 017.
- [84] D. I. Kaiser, Primordial spectral indices from generalized Einstein theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 4295 (1995).
- [85] F. L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton, *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 703 (2008).
- [86] C. Germani and A. Kehagias, New Model of Inflation with nonminimal Derivative Coupling of Standard Model Higgs Boson to Gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 011302 (2010).
- [87] C. Germani, Y. Watanabe, and N. Wintergerst, Self-unitarization of New Higgs Inflation and compatibility with Planck and BICEP2 data, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **12** (2014) 009.
- [88] N. Yang, Q. Fei, Q. Gao, and Y. Gong, Inflationary models with nonminimally derivative coupling, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33**, 205001 (2016).
- [89] J. Fumagalli, S. Mooij, and M. Postma, Unitarity and predictiveness in new Higgs inflation, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **03** (2018) 038.
- [90] J. Fumagalli, M. Postma, and M. Van Den Bout, Matching and running sensitivity in non-renormalizable inflationary models, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **09** (2020) 114.
- [91] Z. Yi, Y. Gong, and M. Sabir, Inflation with Gauss-Bonnet coupling, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 083521 (2018).
- [92] R. Onofrio, Higgs-induced spectroscopic shifts near strong gravity sources, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 065008 (2010).
- [93] R. Onofrio and G. A. Wegner, Search for Higgs shifts in white dwarfs, *Astrophys. J.* **791**, 125 (2014).
- [94] G. A. Wegner and R. Onofrio, Higgs shifts from electron-positron annihilations near neutron stars, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 307 (2015).
- [95] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, K.-y. Oda, and S. C. Park, Higgs Inflation is Still Alive after the Results from BICEP2, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 241301 (2014).
- [96] F. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, Higgs inflation at the critical point, *Phys. Lett. B* **734**, 249 (2014).
- [97] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour, and V. F. Mukhanov, k - inflation, *Phys. Lett. B* **458**, 209 (1999).
- [98] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Perturbations in k -inflation, *Phys. Lett. B* **458**, 219 (1999).
- [99] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, G-inflation: Inflation driven by the Galileon field, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 231302 (2010).
- [100] K. Danzmann, LISA: An ESA cornerstone mission for a gravitational wave observatory, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14**, 1399 (1997).
- [101] P. Amaro-Seoane *et al.* (LISA), Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, [arXiv:1702.00786 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [102] J. Luo *et al.* (TianQin), TianQin: a space-borne gravitational wave detector, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33**, 035010 (2016).
- [103] W.-R. Hu and Y.-L. Wu, The Taiji Program in Space for gravitational wave physics and the nature of gravity, *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **4**, 685 (2017).
- [104] C. Brans and R. Dicke, Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925 (1961).
- [105] P. Ade *et al.* (BICEP2, Keck Array), BICEP2 / Keck Array x: Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves using Planck, WMAP, and New BICEP2/Keck Observations through the 2015 Season, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 221301 (2018).
- [106] K. Inomata and T. Nakama, Gravitational waves induced by scalar perturbations as probes of the small-scale primordial spectrum, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 043511 (2019).
- [107] K. Inomata, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tada, Revisiting constraints on small scale perturbations from big-bang nucleosynthesis, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 043527 (2016).
- [108] D. Fixsen, E. Cheng, J. Gales, J. C. Mather, R. Shafer, and E. Wright, The Cosmic Microwave Background spectrum from the full COBE FIRAS data set, *Astrophys. J.* **473**, 576 (1996).
- [109] B. J. Carr, The Primordial black hole mass spectrum, *Astrophys. J.* **201**, 1 (1975).
- [110] S. Young, C. T. Byrnes, and M. Sasaki, Calculating the mass fraction of primordial black holes, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2014) 045.
- [111] O. Özsoy, S. Parameswaran, G. Tasinato, and I. Zavala, Mechanisms for Primordial Black Hole Production in String Theory, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2018) 005.
- [112] Y. Tada and S. Yokoyama, Primordial black hole tower: Dark matter, earth-mass, and LIGO black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 023537 (2019).
- [113] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck), Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020).
- [114] I. Musco and J. C. Miller, Primordial black hole formation in the early universe: critical behaviour and self-similarity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 145009 (2013).
- [115] T. Harada, C.-M. Yoo, and K. Kohri, Threshold of primordial black hole formation, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084051 (2013), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 029903 (2014)].
- [116] A. Escrivà, C. Germani, and R. K. Sheth, Universal threshold for primordial black hole formation, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 044022 (2020).
- [117] C.-M. Yoo, T. Harada, and H. Okawa, Threshold of Primordial Black Hole Formation in Nonspherical Collapse, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 043526 (2020).
- [118] F. Zhang, Y. Gong, J. Lin, Y. Lu, and Z. Yi, Primordial Non-Gaussianity from k/G inflation, [arXiv:2012.06960 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [119] Y. Ali-Haïmoud and M. Kamionkowski, Cosmic microwave background limits on accreting primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 043534 (2017).
- [120] V. Poulin, P. D. Serpico, F. Calore, S. Clesse, and K. Kohri, CMB bounds on disk-accreting massive primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 083524 (2017).
- [121] B. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda, and J. Yokoyama, New cosmological constraints on primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 104019 (2010).
- [122] R. Laha, Primordial Black Holes as a Dark Matter Can-

- didate Are Severely Constrained by the Galactic Center 511 keV γ -Ray Line, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 251101 (2019).
- [123] B. Dasgupta, R. Laha, and A. Ray, Neutrino and positron constraints on spinning primordial black hole dark matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 101101 (2020).
 - [124] R. Laha, J. B. Muñoz, and T. R. Slatyer, INTEGRAL constraints on primordial black holes and particle dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 123514 (2020).
 - [125] P. W. Graham, S. Rajendran, and J. Varela, Dark Matter Triggers of Supernovae, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 063007 (2015).
 - [126] H. Niikura *et al.*, Microlensing constraints on primordial black holes with Subaru/HSC Andromeda observations, *Nature Astron.* **3**, 524 (2019).
 - [127] K. Griest, A. M. Cieplak, and M. J. Lehner, New Limits on Primordial Black Hole Dark Matter from an Analysis of Kepler Source Microlensing Data, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 181302 (2013).
 - [128] P. Tisserand *et al.* (EROS-2), Limits on the Macho Content of the Galactic Halo from the EROS-2 Survey of the Magellanic Clouds, *Astron. Astrophys.* **469**, 387 (2007).
 - [129] R. Ferdman *et al.*, The European Pulsar Timing Array: current efforts and a LEAP toward the future, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 084014 (2010).
 - [130] G. Hobbs *et al.*, The international pulsar timing array project: using pulsars as a gravitational wave detector, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 084013 (2010).
 - [131] M. A. McLaughlin, The North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 224008 (2013).
 - [132] G. Hobbs, The Parkes Pulsar Timing Array, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 224007 (2013).
 - [133] C. Moore, R. Cole, and C. Berry, Gravitational-wave sensitivity curves, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 015014 (2015).
 - [134] G. M. Harry (LIGO Scientific), Advanced LIGO: The next generation of gravitational wave detectors, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 084006 (2010).
 - [135] J. Aasi *et al.* (LIGO Scientific), Advanced LIGO, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 074001 (2015).
 - [136] G. Isidori, V. S. Rychkov, A. Strumia, and N. Tetradis, Gravitational corrections to standard model vacuum decay, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 025034 (2008).
 - [137] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, and K.-y. Oda, Minimal Higgs inflation, *PTEP* **2014**, 023B02 (2014).
 - [138] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, nonminimal Inflationary Attractors, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2013) 033.
 - [139] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, Universality Class in Conformal Inflation, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2013) 002.
 - [140] Z. Yi and Y. Gong, Nonminimal coupling and inflationary attractors, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 103527 (2016).