MINIMAL ACCESSIBLE CATEGORIES

J. ROSICKÝ

Abstract. We give a purely category-theoretic proof of the result of Makkai and Paré saying that the category Lin of linearly ordered sets and order preserving injective mappings is a minimal finitely accessible category. We also discuss the existence of a minimal $\aleph_1$-accessible category.

1. Introduction

One of striking results of [8] is that the category Lin of linearly ordered sets and order preserving injective mappings is a minimal finitely accessible category. This means that for every large finitely accessible category $\mathcal{K}$ there is a faithful functor Lin $\to \mathcal{K}$ preserving directed colimits. [8] does not contain a proof of this result – Makkai and Paré just say that it essentially follows from the work of Morley [9]. Since there are many applications of this result (see, e.g., [5]), it might be useful to give an explicit proof of it. We do it by transferring the standard model-theoretic argument to the language of accessible categories. Another, more model-theoretic proof, of the theorem of Makkai and Paré was recently given by Boney [2].

The minimality of Lin among finitely accessible categories implies its minimality among $(\infty, \omega)$-elementary categories (see [8] 3.4.1) and, even, among accessible categories with directed colimits whose morphisms are monomorphisms ([5] 2.5). One cannot expect that Lin is a minimal accessible category because there is no faithful functor from Lin to the $\aleph_1$-accessible category of well ordered sets and order preserving injective mappings. The reason is that any well ordered set $A$ is iso-rigid, it means that every isomorphism $A \to A$ is the identity. Using [6], we give an example of a $\aleph_1$-accessible category $\mathcal{K}$ having every object $\aleph_1$ rigid, i.e., every morphism $K \to K$ is the identity. This yields a candidate for a minimal $\aleph_1$-accessible category. Similarly, one gets a candidate for a minimal $\aleph_0$-accessible category.
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2. Skolem cover

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a finitely accessible category and $\mathcal{A}$ its representative small full subcategory of finitely presentable objects (i.e., any finitely presentable object of $\mathcal{K}$ is...
isomorphic to some $A \in \mathcal{A}$). Let $$E : \mathcal{K} \to \text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$$ be the canonical embedding that takes each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ to the contravariant functor $\mathcal{K}(-, K) : \mathcal{A} \to \text{Set}$. We note that, by Proposition 2.8 in [1], this functor is fully faithful and preserves directed colimits and finitely presentable objects. Following Theorem 4.17 in [1], $\mathcal{K}$ is equivalent to a finitary-cone-injectivity class $\text{Inj}(T)$ in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$; this means that there is a set $T$ of cones $a = (a_i : X \to E A_i)_{i \in I}$ where $X$ is finitely presentable in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$ and $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in I$ such that $\text{Inj}(T)$ consists of functors $F$ injective to each cone $a \in T$. The latter means that for any morphism $f : X \to F$ there is $i \in I$ and $g : E A_i \to F$ with $g a_i = f$. Let $S(\mathcal{K})$ be the category whose objects are $(F, a_F)_{a \in T}$ consisting of $F : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Set}$ with $a_F$ assigning to a cone $a$ and $f : X \to F$ a morphism $a_F(f) : E A_i \to F$ for some $i \in I$ such that $a_F(f)a_i = f$. Morphisms $(F, a_F) \to (F', a_{F'})$ are natural transformations $\varphi : F \to F'$ such that $a_{F'}(\varphi f) = \varphi a_F(f)$. The forgetful functor $G : S(\mathcal{K}) \to \text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$ is faithful and has values in $\text{Inj}(T)$. Its codomain restriction $S(\mathcal{K}) \to \text{Inj}(T)$ is surjective on objects. Since $E : \mathcal{K} \to \text{Inj}(T)$ is an equivalence, we get a faithful functor $H : S(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{K}$ which is essentially surjective on objects, i.e., any $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to some $H(F, a)$.

**Lemma 2.1.** The category $S(\mathcal{K})$ is finitely accessible and $H : S(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{K}$ preserves directed colimits.

**Proof.** Let $D : \mathcal{D} \to S(\mathcal{K})$ be a directed diagram and consider the colimit $\delta : GD \to F$ in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$. Then $\text{colim } D = (F, a_F)$ where $a_F(f) = \delta_d a_{D_d}(g)$ where $f = \delta_d g$. Since $X$ is finitely presentable, the description is correct. Thus $S(\mathcal{K})$ has directed colimits and $G$ preserves them. Hence $H$ preserves them too.

If $F$ is finitely presentable in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$ then any $(F, a_F)$ is finitely presentable in $S(\mathcal{K})$. In order to show that any $(F, a_F)$ is a directed colimit of finitely presentable objects in $S(\mathcal{K})$ it suffices to express $F$ as a directed colimit of finitely presentable objects $F_d$ in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$ and complete them to $(F_d, a_{F_d})$ using finite presentability of $X$ again. Then $(F, a_F)$ is a directed colimit of $(F_d, a_{F_d})$. Thus $S(\mathcal{K})$ is finitely accessible. □

In fact, we have shown that $$S(\mathcal{K}) = S(\text{Ind } \mathcal{A}) = \text{Ind } S(\mathcal{A})$$ $S(\mathcal{K})$ will be called a *Skolem cover* of $\mathcal{K}$ because it is a skolemization of the $L_{\infty, \omega}$-theory corresponding to $T$.

Let $U : \text{Set}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \to \text{Set}$ assign to $F$ the set $\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}} FA$. The functor $U$ is faithful and preserves directed colimits. Thus $(\mathcal{K}, UE)$ and $(S(\mathcal{K}), UG)$ are concrete finitely accessible categories with concrete directed colimits and $H : S(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{K}$ is a concrete functor.
Lemma 2.2. Let \((F, a_F) \in S(K)\) and \(Z \subseteq UG(F, a_F)\). Then there is the smallest subobject \((F_Z, a_{F_Z})\) of \((F, a_F)\) such that \(Z \subseteq UGF_Z\).

Proof. Let \(F_0\) be the smallest subfunctor of \(F\) such that \(Z \subseteq UF_0\); let \(\sigma : F_0 \to F\) denote the inclusion. Consider a cone \(a : X \to EA_i\) in \(T\) and a morphism \(f : X \to F_0\). Then the composition \(\sigma f\) factorizes through some \(a_i\). Let \(F_1\) be a colimit in \(\text{Set}^{A^{op}}\) of the diagram consisting of all spans \((f, a_i)\) above. We iterate this construction by replacing \(F_0\) with \(F_1\), etc. In this way, we get the chain \(F_0 \to F_1 \to \ldots F_n \to \ldots\). Then \(F_Z = \text{colim} F_n\) carries the desired smallest subobject of \((F, a_F)\). □

This is the virtue of the skolemization and reflects the fact that the skolemized theory is universal. We skolemized cone-injectivity while algebraic factorization systems (see [4]) skolemize injectivity. J. Bourke [3] came to the same point from a different motivation.

Remark 2.3. For any \(Z\), there is only a set of non-isomorphic \((F_Z, a_{F_Z})\), \(F : A^{op} \to \text{Set}\).

3. Minimal finitely accessible categories

Theorem 3.1. For any large finitely accessible category \(K\) there is a faithful functor \(\text{Lin} \to K\) preserving directed colimits.

Proof. Following [22], we can assume that \(K\) is equipped with a faithful functor \(U : K \to \text{Set}\) preserving directed colimits and such that for any subset \(Z \subseteq UK\) there is the smallest subobject \(K_Z\) of \(K\) such that \(Z \subseteq UK_Z\). Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be the category with objects \((K, X)\) where \(K \in K\) and \(X \subseteq UK\) is linearly ordered. Morphisms \((K_1, X_1) \to (K_2, X_2)\) are morphisms \(f : K_1 \to K_2\) such that \(Uf\) induces the order preserving mapping \(X_1 \to X_2\). The category \(\mathcal{L}\) has directed colimits given as \(\text{colim}(K_i, X_i) = (\text{colim} K_i, \text{colim} X_i)\) and any \((K, X)\) with \(K\) finitely presentable in \(K\) and \(X\) finite is finitely presentable in \(\mathcal{L}\). Thus \(\mathcal{L}\) is finitely accessible and the forgetful functor \(\mathcal{L} \to K\) preserves directed colimits.

For a \(\mathcal{L}\)-object \((K, X)\), let \(\rho(K, X)\) be the greatest ordinal \(0 < \rho(K, X) \leq \omega, |X|\) such that for any \(n < \rho(K, X)\) and any \(a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n\) and \(b_1 < b_2 < \ldots < b_n\) in \(X\) there is an isomorphism \(s : K_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}} \to K_{\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}}\) such that \(Us(a_i) = b_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\).
Assume that there is \((K, X) \in \mathcal{L}\) with \(\rho(K, X) = \omega\). Then \(X\) is infinite and for any \(n < \omega\) there is a chain \(a_{n1} < a_{n2} < \cdots < a_{nn}\) in \(X\). We will construct a functor \(F : \text{Lin} \to \mathcal{K}\) as follows. Finitely presentable objects in \(\text{Lin}\) are finite chains \(C_n\) with elements \(1 < 2 < \cdots < n\). Put \(F_0(C_n) = K_{a_{n1},\ldots,a_{nn}}\). Given an injective order preserving mapping \(h : C_m \to C_n\), let \(Fh\) be the composition

\[K_{a_{m1},\ldots,a_{mm}} \to K_{a_{nh(1)},\ldots,a_{nh(m)}} \to K_{a_{n1},\ldots,a_{nn}}\]

where the first morphism is the isomorphism \(s\) above and the second morphism is the inclusion. Given \(h_1 : C_k \to C_m\) and \(h_2 : C_m \to C_n\) then it is easy to see that \(F_0(h_2h_1) = F_0(h_2)F_0(h_1)\). In fact, we always get the isomorphism

\[K_{ak_1,\ldots,ak_k} \to K_{a_{n(h_1(1)),\ldots,a_{n(h_1(k))}}}
\]

followed by the inclusion \(K_{a_{nh_1(1)},\ldots,a_{nh_1(k)}} \to K_{a_{n1},\ldots,a_{nn}}\). Thus we get the functor \(F_0 : \text{FinLin} \to \mathcal{K}\) defined on finite linear orderings. Since \(\text{Lin} = \text{Ind}\text{FinLin}\), \(F_0\) extends to a functor \(F : \text{Lin} \to \mathcal{K}\) preserving directed colimits. Since \(F_0\) is faithful, \(F\) is faithful too.

Assume that \(\rho(K, X) < \omega\) for any \((K, X) \in \mathcal{L}\). We put \((K_1, X_1) < (K_2, X_2)\) provided that \(\rho(K_2, X_2) < \rho(K_1, X_1)\) and \((K_1)\{a_{1},\ldots,a_{\rho(K_2, X_2)}\} \cong (K_2)\{b_1,\ldots,b_{\rho(K_2, X_2)}\}\) for any \(a_1 < \cdots < a_{\rho(K_2, X_2)}\) in \(X_1\) and any \(b_1,\ldots < b_{\rho(K_2, X_2)}\) in \(X_2\). Then \(<\) partially orders objects of \(\mathcal{L}\) and this order is well-founded in the sense that there is no decreasing chain

\[\cdots < (K_n, X_n) < (K_{n-1}, X_{n-1}) < \cdots < (K_1, X_1)\]

Such chain would yield a diagram

\[(K_1)\{a_{11}\} \to (K_2)\{a_{21},a_{22}\} \to (K_n)\{a_{n1},\ldots,a_{nn}\}\]

whose colimit \((K, X)\) in \(\mathcal{L}\) has \(\rho(K, X) = \omega\). Thus we can assign an ordinal \(\alpha(K, X)\) to each \((K, X) \in \mathcal{L}\) in such a way that

\[\alpha(K, X) = \sup_{(K', X') < (K, X)} \alpha(K', X') + 1.\]

Following [2,3] there is an infinite cardinal \(\mu\) greater or equal to the number of non-isomorphic objects \(K_X\) for \(X\) finite and \(K\) arbitrary. For \((K, X) \in \mathcal{L}\), choose \(a_1 < \cdots < a_{\rho(K, X)}\) in \(X\) and put

\[(K, X)^* = (K\{a_1,\ldots,a_{\rho(K, X)}\}, X \cap UK\{a_1,\ldots,a_{\rho(K, X)}\}).\]

We will prove that

\[|X| < \exp_\omega(\alpha(K, X)^* + 1)(\mu)\]

for any \((K, X) \in \mathcal{L}\). Recall that \(\exp_\omega(\mu) = \mu\), \(\exp_{\xi+1}(\mu) = 2^{\exp_{\xi}(\mu)}\) and \(\exp_\eta(\mu) = \sup_{\xi<\eta}\exp_\xi(\mu)\). Since \((K, UK) \in \mathcal{L}\) for any \(K\) in \(\mathcal{K}\), this inequality implies that \(\mathcal{K}\) is small.

The proof will use the recursion on \(\alpha(K, X)^*\). Let \(\alpha(K, X)^* = 0\) and assume that \(|X| \geq \exp_\omega(\mu)\). The set \(X^n\) is decomposed into \(\leq \mu\) parts following isomorphisms types of \(K_{\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}}\). Following the Erdős-Rado partition theorem (see [4], Exercise
29.1), there is $X_0 \subseteq X$ such that $X_0 > \mu$ and $K_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}} \cong K_{\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}}$ for any $a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ and $b_1 < \cdots < b_n$ in $X_0$. Thus

$$(K, X_0)^* = (K_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}}, X_0 \cap UK_{\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}}) < (K, X)^*,$$

which is impossible because $\alpha(K, X)^* = 0$.

Assume that the claim holds for any $(K, X) \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\alpha(K, X)^* < \beta$ and consider $(L, Y) \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\alpha(L, Y)^* = \beta$. Assume that $|Y| \geq \exp_{\omega(\alpha(L, Y)^* + 1)}(\mu)$ and let $n = \rho(L, Y)$. We have

$$|Y| \geq \exp_{\omega(\beta + 1)}(\mu) > \exp_{\omega\beta}(\mu)$$

Following the Erdős-Rado partition theorem, there is $Y_0 \subseteq Y$ such that $|Y_0| > \exp_{\omega\beta}(\mu)$ and $L_{\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}} \cong L_{\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}}$ for each $b_1 < \cdots < b_n$ and $c_1 < \cdots < c_n$ in $Y_0$. Then $\rho(L, Y_0) > n$ and $(L, Y_0) < (L, Y)$. Thus $(L, Y_0)^* < (L, Y)^*$. Hence $\alpha(L, Y_0)^* < \beta$ and thus

$$|Y_0| < \exp_{\omega(\alpha(L, Y_0)^* + 1)}(\mu) \leq \exp_{\omega\beta}(\mu),$$

which is a contradiction. \qed

4. TOWARDS MINIMAL $\lambda$-ACCESSIBLE CATEGORIES

**Example 4.1.** The category $\mathcal{W}$ of well-ordered sets is $\aleph_1$-accessible and any its object $K$ is iso-rigid in the sense that the only isomorphism $K \to K$ is the identity. Thus there is no faithful functor $\text{Lin} \to \mathcal{W}$ and a prospective minimal $\aleph_1$-accessible category is iso-rigid.

**Example 4.2.** There is an $\aleph_1$-accessible category $\mathcal{L}$ having all objects $K$ rigid in the sense that the only morphism $K \to K$ is the identity. Thus there is no faithful functor $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{L}$.

The construction of $\mathcal{L}$ is motivated by [6], II.3. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the category of structures $(A, <, R, S, \text{sup}, s)$ where $<$ is a well-ordering, $R$ is a unary relation, $S$ is an $\omega$-ary relation, $\text{sup}$ is the countable join and $s$ is the unary operation of taking the successor. Let $T$ be the following set of axioms:

1. $(\forall x_0, x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \ldots)(S(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \land S(x_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n, \ldots) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{0<n} x_n = y_n)$
2. $(\forall x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots)(S(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{0<n} x_n < x_{n+1} \land x_0 = \text{sup} x_n)$
3. $(\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots)(\bigwedge_{0<n} (y_n < y_{n+1}) \land x = \text{sup} y_n)$
4. $(\forall x)(R(x) \leftrightarrow \neg(\exists y)(x = s(y)) \land \neg(\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) S(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots)$

Let $A_2$ be the set of isolated elements of $A$, $A_0$ be the set of all limit elements of $a \in A$ such that $S(a, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots)$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots \in A$ and $A_1 = A \setminus (A_0 \cup A_2)$. All the sets $A_2$, $A_0$ and $A_1$ are preserved by homomorphisms $f : A \to B$ (due to $s$, $S$ and $R$ resp.).

This category clearly has $\aleph_1$-directed colimits. Objects $A$ of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by 0 are ordinals $\omega_1$ with a choice of $S$ for every $a \in A_0$. Thus there is $\aleph_1^\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_1}$ such objects.
These objects are $\aleph_1$-presentable and the same is true for objects $\omega_1 \cdot \alpha$ where $\alpha < \omega_1$. Clearly, every object of $\mathcal{L}$ is an $\aleph_1$-directed colimit of these objects $\omega_1 \cdot \alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ is $\aleph_1$-accessible.

Assume that there exists a morphism $f : A \to A$ in $\mathcal{L}$ which is not the identity. Let $a$ be the least element in $A$ such that $f(a) \neq a$. Since $A$ is a well-ordered set and $f$ is injective, $a < f(a)$. Hence

$$a < f(a) < f^2(a) < \cdots < f^n(a) < \ldots$$

Let $b = \sup f^n(a)$. There are $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_n < \ldots$ such that $S(b, b_1, \ldots, b_n, \ldots)$. Since $S(f(b), f(b_1), \ldots, f(b_n), \ldots)$, we have $f(b) = \sup f(b_n)$. For each $n$ there is $k$ such that $b_n < f^k(a)$. Hence $f(b_n) < f^{k+1}(a)$ and thus $f(b) = b$. Therefore $f(b_n) = b_n$ for each $n$. Since $a < b_m$ for some $m$, $f^n(a) < b_m$ for each $n$. Hence $b \leq b_m$, which is a contradiction.

**Remark 4.3.** (1) Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{L}$ where we choose $S$ for every $a \in A_0$ in every object generated by $0$. This category does not depend of the choices of $S$ and is also $\aleph_1$-accessible. In fact, it is $\text{Ind}_{\aleph_1}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ where $\mathcal{C}_1$ is the category of ordinals $\omega_1 \cdot \alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$ with non-identity morphisms

$$\omega_1 \cdot f : \omega \cdot \alpha \to \omega_1 \cdot \beta$$

where $f : \alpha \to \beta$ is an order preserving injective mapping with $\alpha < \beta$. The category $\mathcal{C}_1$ is, in fact, the category of ordinals $\alpha < \omega_1$ where non-identity morphisms are order preserving injective mappings $\alpha \to \beta$ for $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.

(2) The category $\text{FinLin}$ is the category $\mathcal{C}_0$ of ordinals $\alpha < \omega$ where non-identity morphisms are order preserving injective mapping $\alpha \to \beta$ for $\alpha < \beta < \omega$. Observe that $\text{FinLin}$ is rigid, i.e., the only morphisms $\alpha \to \alpha$ are the identities. Hence $\mathcal{L}_1 = \text{Ind}_{\omega}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ is $\aleph_1$-modification of a minimal $\aleph_0$-accessible category $\text{Lin}$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{C}_\gamma$ be the category of ordinals $\alpha < \omega_\gamma$ where non-identity morphisms are order preserving injective mapping $\alpha \to \beta$ for $\alpha < \beta < \omega_\gamma$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\gamma = \text{Ind}_{\omega_\gamma}(\mathcal{C}_\gamma)$ is an $\aleph_\gamma$-accessible category.

**Problem 4.4.** Is $\mathcal{L}_1$ a minimal $\aleph_1$-accessible category? This means that for every large $\aleph_1$-accessible category $\mathcal{K}$ there is a faithful functor $\mathcal{L}_1 \to \mathcal{K}$ preserving $\aleph_1$-directed colimits.

Similarly, is $\mathcal{L}_\gamma$ a minimal $\aleph_\gamma$-accessible category for $0 < \gamma$?
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