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Abstract

We consider the classical 3-body system with $d$ degrees of freedom ($d > 1$) at zero total angular momentum. The study is restricted to potentials $V$ that depend solely on relative (mutual) distances $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ between bodies. Following the proposal by J. L. Lagrange, in the center-of-mass frame we introduce the relative distances (complemented by angles) as generalized coordinates and show that the kinetic energy does not depend on $d$, confirming results by Murnaghan (1936) at $d = 2$ and van Kampen-Wintner (1937) at $d = 3$, where it corresponds to a 3D solid body. Realizing $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetry ($r_{ij} \rightarrow -r_{ij}$) we introduce new variables $\rho_{ij} = r_{ij}^2$, which allows us to make the tensor of inertia non-singular for binary collisions. In these variables the kinetic energy is a polynomial function in the $\rho$-phase space. The 3 body positions form a triangle (of interaction) and the kinetic energy is $S_3$-permutationally invariant wrt interchange of body positions and masses (as well as wrt interchange of edges of the triangle and masses). For equal masses, we use lowest order symmetric polynomial invariants of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\otimes 3 \oplus S_3$ to define new generalized coordinates, they are called the geometrical variables. Two of them of the lowest order (sum of squares of sides of triangle and square of the area) are called volume variables. It is shown that for potentials which depend on geometrical variables only (i) and those which depend on mass-dependent volume variables alone (ii), the Hamilton’s equations of motion can be considered as being relatively simple.

We study three examples in some detail: (I) 3-body Newton gravity in $d = 3$, (II) 3-body choreography in $d = 2$ on the algebraic lemniscate by Fujiwara et al where the problem becomes one-dimensional in the geometrical variables, and (III) the (an)harmonic oscillator.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Lagrangian for a classical system of two non-relativistic particles with masses $m_1$ and $m_2$, each of them with $d$ degrees of freedom, has the form

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{1}{2}m_1 \dot{r}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2 \dot{r}_2^2 - V(|r_1 - r_2|), \quad (1)$$

where $r_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the position vector of the $i$th particle, $\dot{r}_i \equiv \frac{d}{dt}r_i$ its velocity and $V$ is a translational invariant interaction potential with rotational symmetry. Solving this well-known system is one of the basic problems in classical mechanics: it appears in many textbooks. After separation of the $d$-dimensional center-of-mass (cms) motion, the $2d$-dimensional problem is reduced to a $d$-dimensional one in the space of relative motion. In the particular case of zero total angular momentum the number of degrees of freedom in the Lagrangian \([1]\) is reduced to one. Thus, the relative motion is described by the Lagrangian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mu \dot{r}^2 - V(r), \quad (2)$$

where $\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$ is the reduced mass of the system. The dynamical variable $r \equiv |r_1 - r_2|$ has an elementary geometrical interpretation: it is the length of the interval which connects two particles. We call this the interval of interaction.

In the case of three bodies with $d$-degrees of freedom, $d \geq 1$, the number of relative distances is equal to the number of edges of the triangle which is formed by taking the bodies positions as vertices. This triangle defines the natural geometrical structure in which the interaction of the bodies occurs. We call it the triangle of interaction. In 1936, using the 3 mutual distances $r_{ij}$ (edges of the triangle of interaction) as generalized coordinates ($r$-representation), Murnaghan \([1]\) introduced a canonical transformation to reduce the planar problem ($d = 2$) from the configuration space $\mathbb{R}^6$ to $\mathbb{R}^3$. It implies that the original configuration space $\mathbb{R}^6$ is decomposed into the product $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\text{rel}}^3 \times S^1$ where the first factor corresponds to the planar cms motion whilst the last one corresponds to the cyclic (angular) motion of the system around the center-of-mass. Therefore, the problem is formulated in the three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}_{\text{rel}}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ of the relative motion. The natural extension of this reduction to the case of 3-degrees of freedom ($d = 3$) was then elaborated in \([2]\).

It follows from \([1]\) and \([2]\) that at zero total angular momentum, for both two and three degrees of freedom ($d = 2, 3$) the space of relative motion is the same $\mathbb{R}_{\text{rel}}^3$ and the corresponding reduced Hamiltonians defined in a six-dimensional phase space $\mathbb{R}_{\text{rel}}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ coincide.
It will be shown in this paper that it remains true for any number of degrees of freedom, \(d > 1\). Let us denote this reduced Hamiltonian as \(H_0\). It has the form of the kinetic energy of the 3D solid body with a certain tensor of inertia plus external potential. Following identification of the coefficients of the tensor of inertia in \(H_0\) as the entries of a contravariant metric (cometric), the emerging Hamiltonian describes a three-dimensional particle moving in a curved space with cometric \(g^\mu\nu(r_{ij})\). Remarkably, the components of \(g^\mu\nu(r_{ij})\) are rational functions of \(r_{ij}\) as well as its determinant.\(^1\)

The triangle of interaction is characterized by three edges \(r_{ij}\) (intervals of interaction). It is easy to see that the free Hamiltonian \(H_0\), i.e. when the potential \(V\) is absent, is \(\mathbb{Z}_2^3\)-invariant under the reflections \(r_{ij} \rightarrow -r_{ij}\).\(^1\) It seems natural to make symmetry reduction introducing \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-invariant coordinates \(\rho_{ij} = r_{ij}^2\) with their corresponding canonical momentum (it will be called \(\rho\)–representation). J. L. Lagrange in \(^3\) already paid attention to the importance of \(r\)– and \(\rho\)-variables, but never used them as dynamical coordinates (generalized coordinates). The corresponding symmetric reduced Hamiltonian was never constructed by him explicitly. It was done much later by Murnaghan\(^1\) in \(r\)–variables only. Up to our present knowledge nobody used the \(\rho\)- or volume variables, see below, as dynamical variables (generalized coordinates). It is worth mentioning that Lamaître in \(^4\) derived a reduced Hamiltonian using certain radial variables but those variables suffer lacking the property of \(S_3\)-permutation invariance. In \(\rho\)-coordinates, we will see that the free Hamiltonian \(H_0\) is a second degree polynomial in momentum variables with linear \(\rho\)-dependent coefficients on the phase space.\(^4\) In the case of equal masses the free Hamiltonian \(H_0\) has extra permutational symmetry \(S_3\) with respect to permutations of the intervals of interaction \(r_{ij}\) (or their squares \(\rho_{ij}\)). It suggests the introduction of new \(S_3\)-permutationally invariant coordinates in \(\rho\)-space

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 &= \rho_{12} + \rho_{23} + \rho_{31}, \\
\sigma_2 &= \rho_{12}\rho_{23} + \rho_{12}\rho_{31} + \rho_{23}\rho_{31}, \\
\sigma_3 &= \rho_{12}\rho_{23}\rho_{31}.
\end{align*}
\] (3)

These coordinates, as well as ones of the original 3-body system, are invariant under the permutations of the bodies. In variables \(^3\), the free Hamiltonian \(H_0\) remains a polynomial in phase space \((\sigma, p_\sigma)\), in agreement with \(^5\). Following the Cayley-Menger formula \(^6\),

\(^1\) This result was known by Murnaghan \(^1\).
instead of $\sigma_2$ one can introduce the square of the area of the triangle of interaction, $S = \frac{1}{16}(4\sigma_2 - \sigma_1^2)$. Making a canonical transformation one can show that the free Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ in coordinates $(P \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1, S, T \equiv \sigma_3)$ remains a polynomial. The variables $(P, S)$ are called the volume variables. The key task of this article is to derive the explicit form of the free Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ that answers the question: What is the form of the reduced Hamiltonian in variables $(P, S, T)$?

The goal of the present study is to write, for the 3-body system with zero total angular momentum and arbitrary $d > 1$, the reduced Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ in the $\rho-$representation and also in the $(P, S, T)$-representation. The motivation of the present paper is three-fold. Our first aim is to demonstrate that the choice of the intervals of interaction squared, $\rho_{ij} = r_{ij}^2$, as dynamical variables leads to a deep connection between the geometrical characteristics of the triangle of interaction and the dynamics of the system. Accordingly, it will be shown that for arbitrary masses the corresponding Hamiltonian in $\rho$ variables describes a particle in a curved space with a certain (non-flat) metric $g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)$. Unlike the $r-$representation, now the components of $g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)$ are first degree polynomials in $\rho$-variables and its determinant $\text{Det}[g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)]$ is proportional to the square of the area of the triangle of interaction.

Secondly, just as for the case of three identical particles $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$ we will show that the set of dynamical coordinates $(P, S, T)$ exhibit outstanding properties. In particular,

- The $\text{Det}[g^{\mu\nu}(P, S, T)]$ is, in fact, the discriminant of a fourth degree polynomial equation that defines the physically relevant 3-body Newtonian gravity potential at $d = 3$ in terms of the aforementioned variables.

- Remarkably, for planar 3-body choreographic motion on an algebraic lemniscate by Jacob Bernoulli, studied by Fujiwara et al.\cite{7}, two of the variables $P, T$ become particular constants of motion \cite{8, 9} and the trajectory is an elliptic curve.

Thirdly, we investigate how the volume variables $P, S$ are modified in the case of arbitrary masses. Assuming that the potential $V$ depends on above-introduced volume variables $(P, S)$, we will show that for the original Hamiltonian there exist trajectories which depend on these two volume variables alone.

The main long term goal for doing this work is to explore the possible practical advantages of representations for which the involved generalized coordinates posses the following
two properties: (i) they encode the discrete symmetries of the free reduced Hamiltonian $H_0$, and (ii) $H_0$ is a polynomial function in these variables. The reason to consider such variables comes not only from esthetics (being esthetics a sufficient reason, though). Upon the standard quantization procedure the associated Hamiltonian operator can be constructed from the classical one. Then, due to the property (ii) the kinetic energy term will be an algebraic operator, i.e. the coefficients in front of the derivatives are polynomial functions. Algebraic operators has been intimately related with the existence of exactly and quasi-exactly-solvable quantum models. Hence, we think that a study of classical systems within the aforementioned representations makes sense and it is worthwhile to pursue.

Also, in the general $n$-body case in $d$-dimensions ($n \geq d - 1$) the dynamics of the system can be thought of as a type of ”breathing” polytope of interaction. At fixed $n$ there exist $(n-1)$ volume-variables made out of geometric elements with different dimensionality (edges, faces, cells and so on) of this polytope of interaction, each variable being $S_n$-permutationally symmetric. Therefore, another rationale of the present consideration is to shed light on the links between the theory of regular polytopes, fundamental symmetric polynomials and the dynamics of an $n$-body system.

The structure of the article is organized very simply. In Section II we first review the symmetric reduction of the planar 3-body system. Separating the cms motion and using the conserved total angular-momentum $p_\Omega$, the problem is reduced to one of three degrees of freedom in which the coordinates are the lengths $r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31}$ of the sides of the triangle of interaction. It is shown that the corresponding reduced Hamiltonian $H_0$ describes a 3-dimensional particle moving in a curved space. Next, we introduce the variables $\rho_{ij} = r^2_{ij}$ ($\rho$-representation) for which $H_0$ becomes a polynomial at $p_\Omega = 0$. In Section III we prove that for zero total angular momentum and arbitrary dimension $d > 1$ the trajectories are described by exactly the same Hamiltonian $H_0$. The 3-body chain of harmonic oscillators is briefly revisited to illustrate this representation. The case of three identical particles $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$ is studied in Section IV. For $H_0$, the novel set of variables $(P,S,T)$ is introduced and the corresponding equations of motion are presented explicitly. The 3-body Newtonian gravity potential in $d = 3$ and planar choreographic trajectories on algebraic lemniscate ($d = 2$) are used to exemplify the properties of this representation. Finally, in Section V in the case of arbitrary masses with a certain class of potentials a further
reduction of the problem to one of two degrees of freedom is accomplished using modified, mass-dependent volume variables as dynamical coordinates. For conclusions and future outlook see Section VI.

II. THREE-BODY SYSTEM: PLANAR CASE \((d = 2)\)

We consider a planar \((d = 2)\) classical system of three interacting point-like particles with masses \(m_1, m_2\) and \(m_3\), respectively. The Lagrangian is of the form,

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T} - V(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31}) ,
\]

where the kinetic energy is given by

\[
\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2} m_1 \dot{r}_{1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \dot{r}_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_3 \dot{r}_3^2 ,
\]

here \(r_i \in \mathbb{R}^2\) is the vector position of the \(i\)th body, \(\dot{r}_i \equiv \frac{d}{dt} r_i\) and the potential \(V\) depends on the relative distances

\[
r_{ij} \equiv |r_i - r_j| ,
\]

between particles only. This implies that \(\mathcal{L}\) is rotationally symmetric. The configuration space is six-dimensional \(\mathbb{R}^2(r_1) \times \mathbb{R}^2(r_2) \times \mathbb{R}^2(r_3)\).

The kinetic energy [5] can be expressed in terms of center-of-mass and the relative coordinates of the three bodies [1],

\[
\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2} M \dot{Y}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{12} \dot{r}_{12}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{23} \dot{r}_{23}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{31} \dot{r}_{31}^2 \\
= \frac{1}{2} M \dot{Y}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{12} (\dot{r}_{12}^2 + r_{12}^2 \dot{\theta}_{12}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{23} (r_{23}^2 + \dot{\theta}_{23}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{31} (\dot{r}_{31}^2 + r_{31}^2 \dot{\theta}_{31}^2) ,
\]

where \(M = m_1 + m_2 + m_3\) is the total mass, \(Y = \frac{m_1 r_1 + m_2 r_2 + m_3 r_3}{M}\) is the center-of-mass vector, and \(\mu_{ij} \equiv \frac{m_i m_j}{M}\) denotes a reduced-like mass. In [6], we also introduce polar coordinates for relative vectors, i.e. \(r_{ij} \equiv (r_{ij}, \theta_{ij})\) in the space of relative motion.

For future convenience, we select the center-of-mass system as the inertial frame, \(Y = 0, \dot{Y} = 0\). Eventually, in this inertial frame the system is characterized by four degrees of freedom.
A. $r$-representation

Now, following [1] we consider the three relative distances $r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31}$, and the angle

$$\Omega = \theta_{12} + \theta_{23} + \theta_{31},$$

as the four generalized coordinates of the Lagrangian [4]. It turns out that the kinetic energy (6) does not depend on $\Omega$ (7). Therefore, the angle $\Omega$ is a cyclic variable and its canonical momentum

$$p_\Omega \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\Omega}} L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\Omega}} T = \mu_{12} r_{12}^2 \dot{\theta}_{12} + \mu_{23} r_{23}^2 \dot{\theta}_{23} + \mu_{31} r_{31}^2 \dot{\theta}_{31},$$

is a constant of motion (saying differently, the first integral), $\dot{p}_\Omega = 0$. From (8) it follows that $p_\Omega$ is nothing but the total angular momentum of the system about its center of mass. The conserved quantity $p_\Omega$ allows us to reduce the problem to one of three degrees of freedom in which the corresponding coordinates are the relative distances $r_{12}, r_{23}$ and $r_{31}$ [1]. To this end, it is convenient to introduce the Routhian $\mathcal{R}$ defined [10] by the Legendre transformation

$$\mathcal{R}(r_{ij}, \dot{r}_{ij}, p_\Omega) = L - p_\Omega \dot{\Omega}.$$ 

It is a function of the radial variables $r_{ij}, \dot{r}_{ij}$ and the integral $p_\Omega$ only.

1. Hamiltonian for the reduced three-dimensional problem

In this Section we switch, for convenience, from the Lagrangian formalism to the Hamiltonian one. From [9] it follows that the reduced Hamiltonian of the system is defined in a six-dimensional phase space where the dynamical variables are the relative distances $r_{ij}$ and its conjugate momentum variables

$$p_{12} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \dot{r}_{12}}, \quad p_{23} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \dot{r}_{23}}, \quad p_{31} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \dot{r}_{31}}.$$
In particular, for zero total angular momentum $p_\Omega = 0$, the momentum variable $p_{12}$ reads

$$p_{12} = \frac{1}{16 IS_{\Delta}} \left[ 4 r_{12}^2 (\mu_{12} \mu_{23} + \mu_{12} \mu_{31} + \mu_{23} \mu_{31}) r_{23}^2 r_{31}^2 + 4 \mu_{12}^2 S_{\Delta}^2 \right] \dot{r}_{12} -$$

$$r_{12} r_{23} \left[ 2 (\mu_{12} + \mu_{23}) \mu_{31} (r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 - r_{31}^2) r_{31}^2 + \mu_{12} \mu_{23} \left( (r_{12}^2 - r_{23}^2)^2 - r_{31}^4 \right) \right] \dot{r}_{23} -$$

$$r_{12} r_{31} \left[ 2 (\mu_{12} + \mu_{31}) \mu_{23} (r_{12}^2 - r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2) r_{23}^2 + \mu_{12} \mu_{31} \left( (r_{12}^2 - r_{31}^2)^2 - r_{23}^4 \right) \right] \dot{r}_{31},$$

(10)

here

$$I \equiv \mu_{12} r_{12}^2 + \mu_{23} r_{23}^2 + \mu_{31} r_{31}^2,$$

(11)

is the moment of inertia with respect to the center of mass, and

$$S_{\Delta}^2 \equiv \frac{1}{16} \left( 2 r_{12}^2 r_{23}^2 + 2 r_{12}^2 r_{31}^2 + 2 r_{23}^2 r_{31}^2 - r_{12}^4 - r_{23}^4 - r_{31}^4 \right),$$

(12)

is the square of the area of the triangle formed by the three particles. It was called the triangle of interaction, see Fig. 1. By a cyclic arrangement of the labels $(1, 2, 3)$ (i.e. $(2, 3, 1)$ or $(3, 1, 2)$) in (10) we obtain the other two momenta $p_{23}$ and $p_{31}$. The two geometrical quantities $P_m \equiv \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{2 m_1 m_2 m_3} I$ and $S_m \equiv \frac{3 m_1 m_2 m_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} S_{\Delta}^2$ will play an important role in the present study. They will be called modified volume variables.

It is worth mentioning that in [11] the virtual motions of the triangle of interaction, through which the triangle changes its kinematic invariants such as size, shape and orientation (position) and velocity are the starting point for a different systematic geometric-based approach to the three-body problem. However, unlike us, in [11] $S_m$ permutationally-invariant variables are not considered as the fundamental dynamical generalized coordinates.

Eventually, we arrive to the reduced Hamiltonian [1]

$$\mathcal{H}_r = p_{12} \dot{r}_{12} + p_{31} \dot{r}_{31} + p_{23} \dot{r}_{23} - \mathcal{R}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{p_{12}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{p_{23}^2}{m_{23}} + \frac{p_{31}^2}{m_{31}} + \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 - r_{31}^2}{m_1 r_{12} r_{31}} p_{12} p_{31} + \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 - r_{31}^2}{m_2 r_{12} r_{23}} p_{12} p_{23} + \frac{r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{12}^2}{m_3 r_{23} r_{31}} p_{31}^2 \right] + \frac{2}{3} p_\Omega S_{\Delta} \left[ p_{12} \frac{m_1 r_{31}^2 - m_2 r_{23}^2}{m_1 m_2 r_{12} r_{23}^2 r_{31}^2} + p_{23} \frac{m_2 r_{12}^2 - m_3 r_{23}^2}{m_2 m_3 r_{23} r_{12}^2 r_{31}^2} \right] + V_{\text{eff}},$$

(13)

\[\text{In atomic and subatomic quantum systems the lowest energy state is usually one of zero total angular momentum (S-states)}\]
Figure 1: Triangle of interaction in $d = 3$: the individual coordinate vectors $\mathbf{r}_i$ mark positions of vertices of the triangle with sides $r_{ij}$. The center-of-mass (the barycenter of the triangle) is marked by a (blue) bubble.

$m_{ij} \equiv \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j}$, where

$$V_{\text{eff}} = V + \frac{p_\Omega^2}{9} \left[ \frac{1}{m_{12} r_{12}^2} + \frac{1}{m_{23} r_{23}^2} + \frac{1}{m_{31} r_{31}^2} - \frac{r_{12}^2}{2 m_3 r_{23}^2 r_{31}^2} - \frac{r_{23}^2}{2 m_1 r_{12}^2 r_{31}^2} - \frac{r_{31}^2}{2 m_2 r_{12}^2 r_{23}^2} \right],$$

is an effective potential. The angular momentum $p_\Omega$ is a Liouville integral, it Poisson-commutes with the Hamiltonian (13), $\{p_\Omega, \mathcal{H}_r\} = 0$. At zero angular momentum $p_\Omega = 0$ the second term in kinetic energy vanishes as well as the second term in the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}$. Explicitly, at $p_\Omega = 0$ the above Hamiltonian (13) becomes

$$H_r = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{p_{12}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{p_{23}^2}{m_{23}} + \frac{p_{31}^2}{m_{31}} + \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 - r_{31}^2}{m_1 r_{12} r_{31}} p_{12} p_{31} + \frac{r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{12}^2}{m_2 r_{12} r_{23}} p_{12} p_{23} + \frac{r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{12}^2}{m_3 r_{23} r_{31}} p_{23} p_{31} \right] + V(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31}).$$

(14)

The way how the reduced Hamiltonian (14) is written we call the $r-$representation.
2. The metric $g^\mu\nu(r)$

The associated cometric for (13) defined by coefficients in front of the quadratic terms in variables ($p_{12}, p_{31}, p_{23}$) is given by

$$g^\mu\nu(r) = \frac{1}{2m_1} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2m_{12}} & \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{23}^2}{4m_1 r_{12} r_{31}} & \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 - r_{31}^2}{4m_2 r_{12} r_{23}} \\ \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{23}^2}{4m_1 r_{12} r_{31}} & \frac{1}{2m_{31}} & \frac{r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{12}^2}{4m_3 r_{23} r_{31}} \\ \frac{r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 - r_{31}^2}{4m_2 r_{12} r_{23}} & \frac{r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2 - r_{12}^2}{4m_3 r_{23} r_{31}} & \frac{1}{2m_{32}} \end{vmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Its determinant $D_m = \text{Det}[g^\mu\nu(r)]$ possesses the remarkable factorization property

$$D_m = \frac{(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2}{2m_1^2 m_2^2 m_3^2} \frac{I}{r_{12}^2 r_{23}^2 r_{31}^2} S_\Delta^2,$$

thus, it is proportional to the moment of inertia $I$ \{11\} and the area (squared) $S_\Delta^2$ \{12\} of the triangle of interaction.

**Remark.** The above determinant $D_m$ is a rational function in $r$-variables. It effectively depends on the three coordinates $I$, $S_\Delta^2$ and $T \equiv r_{12}^2 r_{23}^2 r_{31}^2$. Note that it vanishes, $D_m = 0$, iff the area of the triangle of interaction is equal to zero or a triple-body collision occurs. It is singular at the two-body collision point.

**B. $\rho$-representation**

The cometric (15) as well as the original kinetic energy (6) are invariant formally under reflections $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$r_{12} \rightarrow -r_{12}, \quad r_{23} \rightarrow -r_{23}, \quad r_{31} \rightarrow -r_{31},$$

and w.r.t. $S_3$-group action (permutations of the bodies). If we introduce new variables,

$$\rho_{12} = r_{12}^2, \quad \rho_{23} = r_{23}^2, \quad \rho_{31} = r_{31}^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

with the corresponding canonical momenta

$$P_{12} = \frac{1}{2 r_{12}} p_{12}, \quad P_{23} = \frac{1}{2 r_{23}} p_{23}, \quad P_{31} = \frac{1}{2 r_{31}} p_{31},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
we immediately arrive at the $Z_2$-symmetric reduced Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_\rho = 2\left[ \frac{\rho_{12} P_{12}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{\rho_{23} P_{23}^2}{m_{23}} + \frac{\rho_{31} P_{31}^2}{m_{31}} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{23}}{m_{1}} P_{12} P_{31} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{31}}{m_{2}} P_{12} P_{23} + \frac{\rho_{23} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{12}}{m_{3}} P_{23} P_{31} \right] + 4 \frac{\rho_0}{3} S_\Delta \left[ P_{12} \frac{m_{11} \rho_{31} - m_{21} \rho_{23}}{m_{12} m_{23} \rho_{31}} + P_{23} \frac{m_{22} \rho_{12} - m_{32} \rho_{31}}{m_{23} m_{33} \rho_{12} \rho_{31}} + P_{31} \frac{m_{33} \rho_{23} - m_{13} \rho_{12}}{m_{13} m_{23} \rho_{31}} \right] + V_{\text{eff}} \text{,} \tag{18}$$

where

$$V_{\text{eff}} = V + \frac{\rho_0^2}{9} \left[ \frac{1}{m_{12} \rho_{12}} + \frac{1}{m_{23} \rho_{23}} + \frac{1}{m_{31} \rho_{31}} - \frac{\rho_{12}}{2 m_{3} \rho_{23} \rho_{31}} - \frac{\rho_{23}}{2 m_{1} \rho_{12} \rho_{31}} - \frac{\rho_{31}}{2 m_{2} \rho_{12} \rho_{23}} \right] \text{.}$$

The Hamiltonian (18) is written in what we call the $\rho$–representation (cf. (13)).

1. The metric $g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)$

The associated contravariant metric for (18) defined by coefficients in front of the quadratic terms in momentum variables ($P_{12}$, $P_{31}$, $P_{23}$)

$$g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 \frac{\rho_{12}}{m_{12}} & \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{23}}{m_{1}} & \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{31}}{m_{2}} \\ \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{23}}{m_{1}} & 2 \frac{\rho_{31}}{m_{31}} & \frac{\rho_{31} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{12}}{m_{3}} \\ \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{31}}{m_{2}} & \frac{\rho_{31} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{12}}{m_{3}} & 2 \frac{\rho_{23}}{m_{23}} \end{vmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

is linear in $\rho$-coordinates, cf.(15). Its determinant is

$$D_m = \text{Det}[g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)] = 2 \frac{m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3}}{m_{1}^2 m_{2}^2 m_{3}^2} \times \left( m_{1} m_{2} \rho_{12} + m_{1} m_{3} \rho_{13} + m_{2} m_{3} \rho_{23} \right) \left( 2 \rho_{12} \rho_{13} + 2 \rho_{12} \rho_{23} + 2 \rho_{13} \rho_{23} - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{13}^2 - \rho_{23}^2 \right) \text{,} \tag{20}$$

and it is positive definite. It is worth noting a remarkable factorization property of the determinant

$$D_m = 32 \left( \frac{m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3}}{m_{1}^2 m_{2}^2 m_{3}^2} \right)^2 I S_\Delta^2 = \frac{64}{3} \left( \frac{m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3}}{m_{1}^2 m_{2}^2 m_{3}^2} \right)^2 P_m S_m \text{.}$$

Hence, $D_m$ is a polynomial function of the $\rho$-variables and it depends on two coordinates alone, namely the product of $I$ and $S_\Delta^2$.

The three dimensional $\rho$-space with metric (19) is not flat either.
C. Reduced Hamiltonian at zero angular momentum: $\rho$-representation

For the special case of vanishing angular momentum $p_\Omega = 0$, the Hamiltonian (18) reduces to

$$
H_0 = 2 \left[ \frac{\rho_{12} P_{12}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{\rho_{23} P_{23}^2}{m_{23}} + \frac{\rho_{31} P_{31}^2}{m_{31}} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{23}}{m_1} P_{12} P_{31} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{31}}{m_2} P_{12} P_{23} + \frac{\rho_{23} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{12}}{m_3} P_{23} P_{31} \right] + V(\rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{31}) .
$$

(21)

In this case, the coefficients in the kinetic energy are linear in the $\rho$-coordinates. This classical Hamiltonian is in complete agreement with the result obtained through the procedure of dequantization from the quantum one, see [5]. In the next section the above result will be generalized to the non planar case $d > 2$. Hereafter, the form (21) of the reduced Hamiltonian will be used throughout the text. It is the central object to explore in the present consideration.

III. THREE-BODY SYSTEM: NON-PLANAR CASE $d > 2$

A. $\rho$-representation

The extension of the symmetry reduction originally proposed by Murnaghan for planar case $d = 2$ to the non-planar case $d = 3$ (from a 18-dimensional phase space to 8-dimensional one) is presented in Eq.(552) in [2]. In this case, the reduced Hamiltonian depends on eight dynamical coordinates, namely, the three mutual distances ($r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31}$), an angular variable $\omega$ and their associated canonical conjugate momenta. However, at zero angular momentum the $\omega$–dependent terms disappear and the system is described by the same reduced Hamiltonian (21). In the $\rho$-representation it can be proved that this result is valid in any dimension $d > 1$.

**Theorem 1** The 3–body system in d-dimensions ($d \geq 2$) with potential of the form $V = V(\rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{31})$ and zero angular momentum is described by a six-dimensional reduced Hamiltonian $H_0$ (in $\rho$-representation)

$$
H_0 = T_0 + V(\rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{31}) ,
$$

(22)
where the kinetic energy $\mathcal{T}_0$ is polynomial in coordinates $\rho_{ij} \equiv r_{ij}^2$ and their conjugate momenta $P_{ij}$. Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = 2 \left[ \frac{\rho_{12} P_{12}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{\rho_{23} P_{23}^2}{m_{23}} + \frac{\rho_{31} P_{31}^2}{m_{31}} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{23}}{m_1} P_{12} P_{31} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{31}}{m_2} P_{12} P_{23} + \frac{\rho_{23} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{12}}{m_3} P_{23} P_{31} \right]. \tag{23}$$

Proof: Take free 3-body Hamiltonian (kinetic energy)

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2m_1} p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} p_2^2 + \frac{1}{2m_3} p_3^2. \tag{24}$$

($p_i = m_i \dot{r}_i$). Let us make a canonical transformation (change of variables in the phase space) from $(r_i, p_i)$ variables to the $d$-dimensional center-of-mass variable, the three coordinates (squares of mutual distances) $\rho_{ij}$, a suitable set of $(2d - 3)$ angular variables and their corresponding canonical momenta. The center-of mass-variables can be separated out completely. The $\rho$-variables are given by

$$\rho_{\ell k} = \sum_{s=1}^{d} (x_{\ell,s} - x_{k,s})^2, \quad 1 \leq \ell < k \leq 3.$$ 

Thus,

$$p_{x_{\ell,s}} = 2 \sum_{h \neq \ell} (x_{\ell,s} - x_{h,s}) P_{\rho_{\ell h}} + \cdots,$$

where the non-explicit terms are proportional to the momentum variables associated with the remaining angular coordinates. At zero total angular momentum, such terms vanish. Hence, we can compute the coefficient of $P_{\rho_{\ell k}}^2$ in (24) for $\ell < k$. It is

$$2 \left( \frac{1}{m_\ell} + \frac{1}{m_k} \right) \sum_{s=1}^{d} (x_{\ell,s} - x_{k,s})^2 = 2 \frac{m_\ell + m_k}{m_\ell m_k} \rho_{\ell k}.$$

Similarly the coefficient of $P_{\rho_{\ell k}} P_{\rho_{\ell k'}}$ for $k < k'$ is

$$\frac{4}{m_\ell} \sum_{s=1}^{d} (x_{\ell,s} - x_{k,s})(x_{\ell,s} - x_{k',s}) = 4 \frac{(r_\ell - r_k) \cdot (r_\ell - r_{k'})}{m_\ell} (\rho_{\ell k} + \rho_{\ell k'} - \rho_{kk'}),$$

where the last equality follows from the law of cosines. The coefficient of $P_{\rho_{\ell k}} P_{\rho_{\ell k'}}$ for $k, k', \ell, \ell'$ all pairwise distinct is 0. At zero center-of-mass momentum (at rest frame) we arrive at $\mathcal{T}_0$. \qed

Hence, for any dimension $d > 1$ all trajectories with zero angular momentum are governed by the Hamiltonian (21). This Hamiltonian also describes a three-dimensional particle moving in a curved space subject to a potential $V$. 
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B. 3-body closed chain of interactive harmonic oscillators

As an application of the presented formalism, we consider the case of 3-body harmonic oscillator with quadratic potentials of interaction which depend on relative distances $|r_i - r_j|$, only $^3$ Needless to say that the two-body harmonic oscillator can be reduced to a one-dimensional radial Jacobi oscillator, see e.g. [12]. In the 3-body case such a reduction is not possible in general.

The potential of a 3-body closed chain of harmonic oscillators takes the form

$$V^{(har)} = 2 \omega^2 \left[ \nu_{12} \rho_{12} + \nu_{13} \rho_{13} + \nu_{23} \rho_{23} \right],$$

(25)

where $\omega > 0$ is frequency and $\nu_{12}, \nu_{13}, \nu_{23} \geq 0$ define spring constants. At zero total angular momentum in center-of-mass inertia frame, this system is naturally described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{0}^{har} = 2 \left[ \frac{\rho_{12} P_{12}^2}{m_{12}} + \frac{\rho_{23} P_{23}^2}{m_{23}} + \frac{\rho_{31} P_{31}^2}{m_{31}} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{23}}{m_1} P_{12} P_{31} + \frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} - \rho_{31}}{m_2} P_{12} P_{23} \right.$$ 

$$+ \left. \frac{\rho_{23} + \rho_{31} - \rho_{12}}{m_3} P_{23} P_{31} \right] + 2 \omega^2 \left[ \nu_{12} \rho_{12} + \nu_{13} \rho_{13} + \nu_{23} \rho_{23} \right],$$

(26)

cf.(21). In general, unlike the case of the standard three-dimensional harmonic oscillator the Hamiltonian (26) is not superintegrable and it does not admit separation of variables. However, in the special case

$$m_2 \nu_{13} = m_3 \nu_{12}, \quad m_1 \nu_{23} = m_2 \nu_{13}, \quad m_3 \nu_{12} = m_1 \nu_{23},$$

(27)

(any two relations imply that the third relation should hold), the system (26) admits 5 functionally independent constants of motion, so it becomes maximally superintegrable [12]. If one of the three constraints in (27) is fulfilled only the system becomes minimally superintegrable.

IV. $(P,S,T)$-REPRESENTATION (GEOMETRICAL REPRESENTATION)

In this Section, we consider the case of three equal masses $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$. By using a canonical transformation, the geometrical properties of the triangle of interaction are translated to a certain dynamical variables (see below).

$^3$ For quantum counterpart of this problem, see [12].
A. Reduced Hamiltonian

Based on the \( Z_2^3 \oplus S_3 \) symmetry of the free Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) \((21)\), let us introduce its invariants as new variables

\[
(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{31}) \rightarrow (\rho_{12}, \rho_{23}, \rho_{31}) \rightarrow (P, S, T),
\]

where

\[
P \equiv \frac{3}{2} I = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} + \rho_{31}),
\]

\[
S \equiv S^2_\Delta = \frac{1}{16} (2 \rho_{12} \rho_{23} + 2 \rho_{12} \rho_{31} + 2 \rho_{23} \rho_{31} - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{23}^2 - \rho_{31}^2),
\]

\[
T \equiv \rho_{12} \rho_{23} \rho_{31},
\]

cf.\((3)\), and the three associated canonical conjugate momentum \( P_P, P_S \) and \( P_T \), respectively.

In \((28)\), the moment of inertia \( I \propto P \) and the area (squared) \( S^2_\Delta = S \) of the triangle of interaction are translated to two new dynamical variables explicitly. The quantities \( P \) and \( S \) were called volume variables. It is worth mentioning that each of the variables \((P, S, T)\) \((28)\) is characterized by an accidental permutation symmetry \( S_3 \) in \( \rho \)-coordinates in addition to the symmetry \( S_3 \) of interchange of any pair of bodies positions.

In new variables the Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) \((21)\) takes the form

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} = 3 P P^2_P + P S P^2_S + T \left[ 48 S + 4 P^2 \right] P^2_T + 18 T P_P P_T + 12 S P_P P_S + \left[ 32 S^2 + 8 S P^2 \right] P_T P_S + V(P, S, T).
\]

\((29)\)

It corresponds to 3D solid body motion in an external potential \( V \). This Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \) can be interpreted as the way to describe a three-dimensional particle in a curved space, see below. Tensor of inertia can be identified with cometric in this case. The associated cometric for \((29)\) is given by

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
3 P & 6 S & 9 T \\
6 S & 4 S (4 S + P^2) & 4 S (4 S + P^2) \\
9 T & 4 S (4 S + P^2) & 4 (12 S + P^2) T
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\((30)\)
Its components are polynomials in the volume variables. Its determinant admits factorization to three factors,

\[ D_{\text{geo}} \equiv \det[g^{\mu \nu}(P, S, T)] = 3 PS \left(4 PT (36S + P^2) - 16S (4S + P^2)^2 - 27T^2 \right) . \]

Now, let us study under what conditions the determinant vanishes, \( D_{\text{geo}} = 0 \), so that the metric (tensor of inertia) becomes degenerate. In this case, the transformation (28) is singular (not-invertible). There are three possibilities. The first possibility is when the first factor vanishes, \( P = 0 \). We discard it, since it corresponds to the situation when the configuration space shrinks to a point (we call it triple collision point). The second possibility is

\[ S = 0 , \quad (31) \]

it corresponds to a collinear 3-body configuration, or, equivalently, when three bodies are on the line, the configuration space becomes two-dimensional, thus, it shrinks to plane, while the third possibility leads to,

\[ 4 PT (36S + P^2) - 16S (4S + P^2)^2 - 27T^2 = 0 , \quad (32) \]

in which l.h.s. is homogeneous polynomial in \( \rho \)-coordinates. From (32) it follows that the determinant \( D_{\text{geo}} \) vanishes for any isosceles triangle of interaction, in particular, for equilateral triangle, where

\[ 12S = P^2 , \quad 27T = 8P^3 . \quad (33) \]

The space with metric (30) is not flat.

B. Equations of motion

From the reduced Hamiltonian (29), we obtain the Newton equations of motion in the geometrical variables \((P, S, T)\)
\[ \dot{P} = \frac{3}{2 D_{\text{geo}}} \left[ -4 S \dot{P}^2 \left( 4 S (4S + P^2)^2 - PT (12S + P^2) \right) + 3 \dot{S}^2 T \left( 48 S P + 4 P^3 - 27 T \right) - 3 ST^2 \left( 12S - P^2 \right) - 12 S \dot{S} \dot{P} T \left( 24 S - 2 P^2 \right) + \dot{T} \left( 6 S \dot{P} \left( 8 S (4 S + P^2) - 3 T \right) - 12 S \dot{S} \left( 8 S P + 2 P^3 - 9 T \right) \right) \right] - 6 \left( 3 T \partial_T V + 2 S \partial_S V + P \partial_P V \right), \]

\[ \dot{S} = \frac{3}{2 D_{\text{geo}} P} \left[ \dot{S}^2 \left( 4 PT \left(-72 S^2 + 30 S P^2 + P^4 \right) - 16 S P^2 \left( 4 S + P^2 \right)^2 + 27 T^2 \left( 6 S - P^2 \right) \right) + 6 S^2 \ddot{T} \left( 12 S - P^2 \right) + 8 S^2 \dot{P}^2 \left( 4 S \left( 4 S + P^2 \right)^2 - PT \left( 12 S + P^2 \right) \right) + 2 S \dot{S} \dot{P} \left( 4 T \left( 72 S^2 + 30 S P^2 + P^4 \right) - 16 S P \left( 4 S + P^2 \right)^2 - 27 P T^2 \right) + \dot{T} \left( 24 S^2 \dot{S} \left( 8 S P + 2 P^3 - 9 T \right) - 12 S^2 \dot{P} \left( 8 S \left( 4 S + P^2 \right) - 3 P T \right) \right) \right] - 2 S \left( 4 \left( 4 S + P^2 \right) \partial_T V + P \partial_S V + 6 \partial_P V \right), \]

\[ \ddot{T} = \frac{3}{2 D_{\text{geo}} P} \left[ 8 S \dot{P}^2 T \left( 96 S^3 - 48 S^2 P^2 + 14 S P^4 - 3 P^3 T \right) + \dot{S}^2 T \left( 16 P^2 \left( 96 S^2 + 12 S P^2 + P^4 \right) - 144 PT \left( 6 S + P^2 \right) + 243 T^2 \right) + ST^2 \left( -192 S^2 P + 12 S \left( 8 P^3 + 9 T \right) + 4 P^5 - 45 P^2 T \right) - 8 S \dot{S} \dot{P} T \left( 192 S^2 P - 12 S \left( 8 P^3 + 9 T \right) - 4 P^5 + 45 P^2 T \right) \right. \]
\[ + \dot{T} \left( 16 S \dot{P} \left( 32 S^3 P - 4 S^2 \left( 4 P^3 + 9 T \right) - 3 S P^2 \left( 2 P^3 - 5 T \right) + P^4 T \right) - 4 S \dot{S} \left( -6 PT \left( 36 S + 13 P^2 \right) + 8 P^2 \left( 4 S + P^2 \right) \left( 12 S + P^2 \right) + 81 T^2 \right) \right) \right] - 2 \left( 4 T \left( 12 S + P^2 \right) \partial_T V + 4 S \left( 4 S + P^2 \right) \partial_S V + 9 T \partial_P V \right). \]

A further remark is in order. From the Hamiltonian \([29]\) it follows that the time evolution of \(P_T\) is given by the Hamilton’s equation

\[ \dot{P}_T = -2 P_T \left[ 9 P_F + 2 P_T \left( 12 S + P^2 \right) \right] - \partial_T V. \quad (37) \]

Note when potential \(V\) depends on the volume variables \(P\) and \(S\) only, \([37]\) is reduced to

\[ \dot{P}_T = -2 P_T \left[ 9 P_F + 2 P_T \left( 12 S + P^2 \right) \right], \quad (38) \]
which implies the existence of trajectories with $P_T = 0$. From the Hamilton’s equations we obtain

$$P_T = \frac{3S}{2D_{\text{geo}}} \left[ \dot{P} (8S(P^2 + 4S) - 3PT) + \dot{S} (18T - 4P(P^2 + 4S)) + \dot{T} (P^2 - 12S) \right]. \quad (39)$$

Therefore, one can construct a reduced Hamiltonian on the phase space $(P, S, P_T, P_S)$. This construction will be elaborated in the next Section.

Now, within the $(P, S, T)$-representation we consider two important 3-body examples: (i) the $\mathbb{R}^3$ Newtonian gravity and (ii) the planar, $\mathbb{R}^2$ choreographic trajectories on the algebraic lemniscate by Jacob Bernoulli (1694).

1. 3-body Newtonian gravity potential

First, let us consider the 3-body Newton problem in $\mathbb{R}^3 (d = 3)$. The potential in (29) reads

$$V \equiv V_\gamma = -\gamma \left( \frac{1}{r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{23}} + \frac{1}{r_{31}} \right) = -\gamma \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{12}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{23}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{31}}} \right), \quad (40)$$

where $\gamma$ is the gravitational constant. In terms of the variables $(P, S, T)$, see (28), one can show that $V_\gamma$ is one of the roots of the fourth order algebraic equation

$$T^2 V_\gamma^4 - 2 \gamma^2 (4S + P^2) T V_\gamma^2 + 8 \gamma^3 T^3 V_\gamma + \gamma^4 \left( (4S + P^2)^2 - 8 \gamma^4 T P \right) = 0. \quad (41)$$

This equation is invariant wrt change $(\gamma \rightarrow -\gamma)$ and $(V_\gamma \rightarrow -V_\gamma)$. Interestingly, the remaining three roots correspond to different 3-body Coulomb potentials for 3 unit charges of different signs,

$$V_\gamma^{(1)} = -\gamma \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{12}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{23}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{31}}} \right)$$

$$V_\gamma^{(2)} = -\gamma \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{12}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{23}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{31}}} \right)$$

$$V_\gamma^{(3)} = -\gamma \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{12}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{23}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{31}}} \right). \quad (42)$$

Hence, the equation (41) describes all four possible 3-body Coulomb/Newton potentials with constant of interaction $\gamma$.

---

4 For the case of $\mathbb{R}^2 (d = 2)$ Newtonian gravity the 3-body potential is of logarithmic type,

$$V_\gamma = \gamma \log r_{12} r_{13} r_{23}.$$ Analogue of the equation (41) is very simple, $V_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{2} \log T$. It does not contain dependencies on $P, S$.
The discriminant of the equation (41) admits factorization

\[ D_{\gamma} = 4096 \gamma^{12} T^8 \left( 4 PT \left( 36S + P^2 \right) - 16S \left( 4S + P^2 \right)^2 - 27T^2 \right), \]  

(43)

and its last factor coincides with the last factor in the factorized expression for determinant \( D_{\text{geo}} \) of the metric (30). For any isosceles triangle both determinant and discriminant vanish, \( D_{\gamma} = D_{\text{geo}} = 0 \). Furthermore, in the case of an equilateral triangle of interaction the potential (40) simplifies,

\[ V_{\gamma} = -\frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma}{\sqrt{2P}}. \]

It corresponds to the solution found long ago by Lagrange [3], it describes the so called central configuration, see e.g. [13–15] and reference therein. Also, the remarkable periodic Figure Eight solution of the three-body problem found by Moore [16] and confirmed by Chenciner and Montgomery [17] - the so-called 3-body choreography - gets a natural presentation in the geometrical variables. On this trajectory, the motion of the bodies alternates between six collinear configurations and six isosceles-triangular ones.

2. 3-body choreographic motion on the Lemniscate

As for the choreographic trajectories in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) (\( d = 2 \)), when 3 bodies follow one to each other being on the same curve, Fujiwara et al. [7] solved the inverse problem of 3-body choreographic motion on a Figure-8 given by the algebraic lemniscate of Jacob Bernoulli (1694) on \((x, y)\)-plane

\[ (x^2 + y^2)^2 = c^2 (x^2 - y^2), \]  

(44)

where without loss of generality one can put \( c = 1 \), and found 3-body pairwise potential. It was shown that such a figure eight is the choreographic trajectory for three unit mass, point-like particles with zero angular momentum with two-parametric potential

\[ V_\infty = \frac{1}{4} \ln T - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} P, \]  

(45)

with the volume variables \( P, T \) given by [28], [8]. The first attractive terms in (45) represents a 3-body \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) Newtonian potential for gravitational constant \( \gamma = 1/2 \), while the second repulsive term is the square of the hyperradius in the space of relative motion. It is worth
mentioning that the Figure-8 algebraic lemniscate with potential (45) is close to the transcendental Figure-8 trajectory found by C Moore [16], see also Ref. [17], for the $\mathbb{R}^3$ Newtonian gravity potential.

Recently, in [8] it was found that the 3-body choreographic motion on the algebraic lemniscate is maximally (particularly) superintegrable. Moreover, the two variables $P$ and $T$ become particular integrals (see also [18]). Along this Figure-8 trajectory, these variables take the constant value

$$P = T = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$  \hfill (46)

Therefore, it is natural to work with the Hamiltonian (29). It can be shown that three equations of motion (34)-(36) constrained by the conditions (46) lead to non-linear ODE

$$S \left( 256 S^2 + 864 S - 243 \right) + 48\sqrt{3} \dot{S}^2 = 0,$$  \hfill (47)

which defines the elliptic curve, see Fig. 2 with variables $P, T$ playing role of elliptic invariants [8]. The solution of (47) is given by the Weierstrass function $\wp(t; P, T)$. Hence, in the configuration space parametrized by geometrical variables the 3-body choreography on algebraic lemniscate by Jacob Bernoulli is given by a (planar) elliptic curve!

![Figure 2: Time evolution of the volume variable $S$, area (squared) of the triangle of interaction, for the 3-body choreographic Figure-8 on the algebraic lemniscate by Bernoulli. The points $S = 0$ correspond to the so-called Euler line for which all three bodies are on the line.](image-url)
V. VOLUME VARIABLES REPRESENTATION

A. Case of equal masses

Now we consider the case of three unit masses \( m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1 \) and focus on potentials that depend solely on the volume variables \( P \) and \( S \), see (28),

\[
V = V(P, S) . \tag{48}
\]

In this case the equations of motion (37) that emerge from the Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \) (29) admit a number of solutions with \( P_T = 0 \). This fact is not trivial since the momentum \( P_T \) is not a Liouville integral of motion, \( \{ P_T, \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \}_{P.B.} \neq 0 \).

Equivalently, in the six-dimensional phase space \( (P, S, T, P_P, P_S, P_T) \) the hypersurface \( P_T = c \) becomes an invariant manifold [19] at \( c = 0 \): \( \{ P_T, \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \}_{P.B.}|_{P_T=0} = 0 \). This implies that any trajectory of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \) for which the initial condition \( P_T = 0 \) is imposed will remain on the hypersurface \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}}(P, S, T, P_P, P_S, P_T = 0) = E \) during the time evolution. Inserting \( P_T = 0 \) directly into the Hamiltonian (29) we arrive at

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}}|_{P_T=0} = 3 P P_P^2 + P S P_S^2 + 12 S P_P P_S + V(P, S) , \tag{49}
\]

which describes a two-dimensional particle in a curve space, see below. Notice that \( T \)-dependence in (49) is absent as well.

From \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} \) we obtain the Newton equations

\[
\ddot{P} = \frac{1}{2 D_{\text{vol}}} \left[ 3 P S \dot{P}^2 - 36 S \dot{P} \dot{S} + 9 P \dot{S}^2 \right] - 6 \left( 2 S \partial_S V + P \partial_P V \right) ,
\]

\[
\ddot{S} = \frac{1}{2 D_{\text{vol}}} \left[ 3 \dot{S}^2 \left( P^2 - 18 S \right) - 6 S^2 \dot{P}^2 + 6 P S \dot{P} \dot{S} \right] - 2 S \left( P \partial_S V + 6 \partial_P V \right) , \tag{50}
\]

cf.(34),(35).

Remark. It is worth clarifying the connection between the trajectories of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} \) (49) and those of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \) (29). The evolution \( P = P(t) \) and \( S = S(t) \) in (50) also satisfies the equations of motion (34)-(36), where \( T = T(t) \) is fully determined by \( P(t) \) and \( S(t) \) if the condition \( P_T = 0 \) is imposed, see (39). In this way we reduced effectively the dimensionality of the system (29) from six to four.

The Hamiltonian (49) is written in what we call the volume variables representation.
1. The potential $V = \frac{F[P/\sqrt{S}]}{\sqrt{S}}$

Let us consider an arbitrary potential $V = V(P, S)$ on the submanifold of phase space for which $\mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} = 0$ (zero energy level) and $\dot{P} = 0$ (a constant moment of inertia). In this case, from (49) and (50) it follows that the potential $V$ must obey the first order PDE

$$2S \partial_S V + P \partial_P V = -V .$$

(51)

The solution of (51) is given by

$$V = \frac{U[P/\sqrt{S}]}{\sqrt{S}} ,$$

where $U[z]$ is an arbitrary function of the argument $z$. The particular case $U_0 = -\gamma \frac{P}{\sqrt{S}}$, thus $V = -\gamma \frac{P}{\sqrt{S}}$ has been fully analyzed in [20] where the author constructs the hyperbolic plane with its geodesic flow as the scale plus symmetry reduction of a 3-body problem in the Euclidean plane. In fact, in [20] the generalization of $V = -\gamma \frac{P}{\sqrt{S}}$ to the case of arbitrary masses was considered as well. Later on, we will show that the mass-dependent case can be easily obtained from the present equal-mass case.

For an arbitrary function $U[P/\sqrt{S}]$ we obtain the first-order nonlinear ODE for the volume variable $S$

$$P \dot{S}^2 + 4U (P^2 - 12S) \sqrt{S} = 0 ,$$

(52)

where the constant moment of inertia $P > 0$ plays the role of an external parameter. Notice that the potential $V \propto \frac{1}{P}$ leads to the solutions $S(t) = 12 P^2$ (an equilateral triangle) and $S(t) = 0$ (three particles on a line).

2. The metric $g_{\text{vol}}^{\mu \nu}$

Now, the metric (or, equivalently, the tensor of inertia) for (49) is of the form

$$g_{\text{vol}}^{\mu \nu} = \begin{vmatrix} 3P & 6S \\ 6S & PS \end{vmatrix} ,$$

(53)

with determinant

$$D_{\text{vol}} = 3S (P^2 - 12S) .$$

In the case of an equilateral triangle of interaction the relation $P^2 = 12S$ holds and this determinant vanishes, $D_{\text{vol}} = 0$. It also follows from (53) that the corresponding Ricci scalar
Rs is given by
\[ R_{s_{\text{vol}}} = \frac{3 SP(S - 3)}{D_{\text{vol}}^2}, \]  
(54)
see below, (68). Rs\text{vol} is singular for an equilateral triangle of interaction.

An interesting particular case occurs when the potential (48) does not depend on S and is a function of the volume variable \( P \) alone

\[ V = V(P). \]

It follows from (49) that there exist trajectories for the original Hamiltonian (29) which depend on \( P \) only. Such trajectories lie on the intersection between the hypersurfaces \( P_T = 0 \) and \( P_S = 0 \), they are described by the two-dimensional Hamiltonian

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}}|_{P_S=0} \equiv \mathcal{H}_P = 3PP_P^2 + V(P), \]  
(55)

cf.(49). The connection between the trajectories of \( \mathcal{H}_P \) (55) with those of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} \) (49) and \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \) (29) is the following. Taking a non-trivial solution \( P = P(t) \neq 0 \) of (55) one can define the function \( S = S(t) \) for which the canonical momentum \( P_S \) vanishes in \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} \). Explicitly, the condition can be obtained from (49),

\[ P_S = \frac{2 \dot{P}S - P\dot{S}}{2S(12S - P^2)} = 0. \]  
(56)

Such \( P = P(t) \) and \( S = S(t) = \lambda P^2(t) \), with \( \lambda \neq 12 \) a non-negative parameter, satisfy the equations (50) for \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{vol}} \). Moreover, they also obey the equations (34)-(36) for \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{geo}} \) where at \( \lambda \neq 0 \) the variable \( T \) turns out to be identically zero due to the condition \( P_T = 0 \), hence, the 3-body problem degenerates into a 2-body system. At \( \lambda = 0 \), thus \( S = 0 \), in general \( T \neq 0 \) and the domain of the problem degenerates to the line.

3. 3-body anharmonic oscillator potential

As a concrete example, let us consider the following potential

\[ V^{(AO)} = AP + BP^2 = \frac{A}{2}(\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} + \rho_{31}) + \frac{B}{4}(\rho_{12} + \rho_{23} + \rho_{31})^2, \]  
(57)

where \( B \geq 0 \) and \( A > 0 \) if \( B = 0 \). In terms of variable \( P \) the potential (57) corresponds to a shifted one-dimensional harmonic oscillator on the half line \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) with non-standard kinetic
energy. In the \( \rho \)-representation it corresponds to a certain three-dimensional quadratic potential on the first octant \( \mathbb{R}^3_+ \) whilst in the \( r \)-representation it describes a three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator with quartic anharmonicity\(^5\).

It is worth mentioning that the 3-body system on the plane \( (d = 2) \) with potential

\[
V_{R}^{\text{har}} = \nu_{12} (r_{12} - R)^2 + \nu_{13} (r_{13} - R)^2 + \nu_{23} (r_{23} - R)^2
\]

\[
= \nu_{12} (\sqrt{\rho_{12}} - R)^2 + \nu_{13} (\sqrt{\rho_{13}} - R)^2 + \nu_{23} (\sqrt{\rho_{23}} - R)^2,
\]

with equal spring rest lengths \( R > 0 \), equal spring constants \( \nu_{12} = \nu_{13} = \nu_{23} > 0 \) and equal masses \( m_1 = m_2 = m_3 \) despite its apparent simplicity, display a wide array of interesting dynamics for different energy values, (see [21] and references therein). In [21] the authors demonstrate that for such systems the orientation of the triangle is a nontrivial, history-dependent variable of the system, and serves as a sensitive measurable for the type of dynamics the system follows.

For the potential (57), the Hamiltonian (55) becomes

\[
\mathcal{H}_P^{(AO)} = 3 P P^2 + A P + B P^2.
\]

(59)

The time-evolution of the system is given by

\[
P(t) = x^2 = \frac{A k^2}{B (1 - k^2)} \text{sn}^2(y, i k) , \quad y = \pm \sqrt{\frac{3 A}{1 - k^2}} t,
\]

(60)

\((B \neq 0, k \neq \pm 1)\) where \(\text{sn}(y, i k)\) is the Jacobi elliptic function with imaginary elliptic modulus \((i k)\). In the case of physical systems the function \(P(t)\) should be positive and the parameter \(k \neq \pm 1\) is real.

For the solution (60) the Hamiltonian has a meaning of energy and it takes the value

\[
\mathcal{H}_P^{(AO)} = \frac{A^2 k^2}{B (1 - k^2)^2}.
\]

Putting the \(B = 0\) in (59) we obtain the harmonic oscillator potential \(V = A P\), which is quadratic polynomial in the \(r\)-representation. In this case the trajectories are trigonometric vibrations, \(P(t) = c_1 \cos^2(\sqrt{3 A} t + c_2)\) with energy \(\mathcal{H}_P^{(AO)} = c_1 A\) where \(c_1 > 0, c_2\) are real constants of integration. In general, due to the energy conservation \(\mathcal{H}_P^{(AO)} = E\) the trajectories in the phase space are always cubic curves,

\[
3 P P^2 + A P + B P^2 = E.
\]

\(^5\) Note that in present formalism, a more general anharmonic potential \(V(P, S) = A P + B P^2 + C S\) (the general \(S_3\)-symmetric three-variable quartic potential in \(r\)-variables) can be studied in straightforward manner.
Note that at fixed values of the energy $E$ and $A$ the presence of anharmonicity ($B > 0$) in (59) tends to decrease the amplitude of the harmonic motion ($B = 0$).

**B. Case of unequal masses: modified volume variables**

In this Section the case of 3 bodies with arbitrary masses ($m_1, m_2, m_3$) is considered. For two-variable potentials $V = V(P_m, S_m)$, from the general Hamiltonian $H_0$ at zero angular momentum, see (21), it will be constructed a four-dimensional reduced Hamiltonian, which depends on the modified volume variables $P_m$ and $S_m$ and their respective canonical momenta alone.

As a first step, let us make the change of variables in the Hamiltonian $H_0$ (21)

\[
(\rho_{12}, \rho_{31}, \rho_{23}) \rightarrow (P_m, S_m, Q),
\]

where the volume variable

\[
S_m = \frac{3 m_1 m_2 m_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} S,
\]

is proportional to the square of the area of the triangle of interaction $S$, and

\[
P_m = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{m_3} \rho_{12} + \frac{1}{m_1} \rho_{23} + \frac{1}{m_2} \rho_{31} \right],
\]

is the weighted sum of the edges (squared) of the triangle of interaction. At equal masses $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$ the modified volume variables coincide to the original ones $P$ and $S$: $P_m \rightarrow P$, $S_m \rightarrow S$. The third variable $Q = Q(\rho_{12}, \rho_{31}, \rho_{23})$ can be any function of $\rho$’s with condition that the Jacobian of the transformation (61) is invertible (nonsingular) in the domain $\mathbb{R}^3_+$, where the problem is defined. In these variables, the Hamiltonian (21) takes the form

\[
H_Q = \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} P_m P_m^2 + P_Q (F_1 P_m + F_2 S_m + F_3 P_Q) + \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{3 m_1 m_2 m_3} P_m S_m P_m^2 + \frac{4 m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} S_m P_m S_m + V,
\]

where, in general, the coefficients $F_1$, $F_2$ and $F_3$ are functions of $(P_m, S_m, Q)$. Let us consider that the family of two-variable potentials in (64) depends on the volume variables

\[
V = \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{3 m_1 m_2 m_3} V_m(P_m, S_m),
\]

(65)
alone. In this case it is easy to see that the Hamiltonian (64) admits trajectories with \( P_Q = 0 \) in the six-dimensional phase space \((P_m, S_m, Q, P_{P_m}, P_{S_m}, P_Q)\): \( \{P_Q, H_Q\}_{P.B. | P_Q = 0} = 0 \). Such trajectories are described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H_m \equiv H_0 |_{P_Q = 0} = \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{3 m_1 m_2 m_3} \left[ 3 P_m P_{P_m}^2 + P_m S_m P_{S_m}^2 + 12 S_m P_{P_m} P_{S_m} + V_m(P_m, S_m) \right],
\]

which generalizes \( H_{vol} \) (49) to the non-equal mass case. Multiplying \( H_m \) (66) by \( \frac{3 m_1 m_2 m_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} \) we obtain the Hamiltonian (49). Hence, we arrive to the interesting result

The zero total angular momentum trajectories of a 3-body system with equal masses in a two-variable potential \( V(P, S) \) and those of 3 bodies with arbitrary masses and potential \( \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{3 m_1 m_2 m_3} V(P_m, S_m) \) do coincide!

The Hamiltonian (66) describes a two-dimensional particle moving in curved space or, equivalently, two-dimensional solid body in external potential \( V \). The trajectories of \( H_m \) are solutions to the equations of motion for \( H_Q \) (64) as well.

The corresponding metric for (66) takes the form

\[
\gamma^\mu_\nu = \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} P_m & \frac{2 m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} S_m \\
\frac{2 m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} P_m & \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} S_m
\end{vmatrix},
\]

with determinant

\[
\tilde{D}_m = \frac{(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2}{3 (m_1 m_2 m_3)^2} S_m \left[ P_m^2 - 12 S_m \right],
\]

cf.(53). It is worth emphasizing that the metrics (67) and (53) coincide up to a multiplicative factor. From (67) it follows that the corresponding Ricci scalar \( R_s \) is given by

\[
\tilde{R}_s = \frac{m_1 m_2 m_3 P_m (S_m - 3)}{(m_1 + m_2 + m_3) S_m \left[ P_m^2 - 12 S_m \right]^2} = \frac{(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^3 S_m P_m (S_m - 3)}{9 (m_1 m_2 m_3)^3 \tilde{D}_m^2},
\]

cf.(54).

In the particular case of a one-variable potential,

\[
V = \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{3 m_1 m_2 m_3} V_m(P_m),
\]
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as it follows from (66), the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_Q$ (64) admits trajectories depending on $P_m$ only. They lie on the intersection between the hypersurfaces $P_Q = 0$ and $P_{S_m} = 0$, they are governed by the two-dimensional Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_m \equiv \mathcal{H}_m \mid_{P_{S_m}=0} = \frac{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{3m_1 m_2 m_3} \left[ 3 P_m P_m^2 + V(P_m) \right], \quad (69)$$

cf.(55).

As concrete example one can consider the mass-dependent 3-body anharmonic oscillator potential

$$V_m^{(AHO)} = A P_m + B P_m^2,$$

cf.(57), where $A, B$ are parameters. As mentioned above, it is evident that multiplying the Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_m$ (69) by $\frac{3m_1 m_2 m_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}$ we arrive at the same Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_P$ (59). Hence, the trajectories of the two 3-body anharmonic oscillators defined by (59) and (69), respectively, coincide and, in general, they are given by (60).

In the case of particular 3-body anisotropic harmonic oscillator with different masses which occurs at $B = 0$, see above,

$$V_m^{(HO)} = A P_m = \frac{A}{2} \left( \frac{r_{12}^2}{m_3} + \frac{r_{31}^2}{m_2} + \frac{r_{23}^2}{m_1} \right),$$

the spring constants in (25) take values $\nu_{12} = \frac{1}{4\omega^2 m_3}$, $\nu_{13} = \frac{1}{4\omega^2 m_2}$ and $\nu_{23} = \frac{1}{4\omega^2 m_1}$. It leads to the periodic trigonometric solution

$$P_m(t) = c_1 \cos^2(\sqrt{3} A t + c_2),$$

where $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2$ are constants of integration.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, which is the first in a series, the 3-body classical system in a $d$-dimensional coordinate space $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is considered. The study is restricted to potentials $V = V(r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{13})$ that depend solely on the relative distances between bodies. Assuming zero total angular momentum in the center-of-mass frame, the original $3d$-dimensional problem in configuration space $\mathbb{R}^{3d}$ is reduced to a 3-dimensional space (of relative motion) parametrized by mutual distances. The corresponding six-dimensional Hamiltonian is constructed explicitly in the space of relative motion. A new observation is that in the
$r$–representation the Hamiltonian $H_r$ \((14)\) at zero potential (the free problem) possesses formally a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-symmetry $r_{ij} \rightarrow -r_{ij}$. This allows us to code this symmetry by introducing the $\rho_{ij} = r_{ij}^2$ variables and to proceed to the $\rho$–representation obtaining the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ \((21)\). This Hamiltonian corresponds to the kinetic energy of 3-dimensional solid body. Making the identification of the coefficients of the tensor of inertia in $\mathcal{H}_0$ as the entries of a contravariant metric (cometric), the emerging Hamiltonian describes a 3-dimensional classical particle moving in a curved space with cometric $g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)$ \((19)\). Its kinetic energy is a polynomial in coordinate and momentum variables and, unlike the quantum case \([5]\), is not accompanied by effective potential. The determinant of cometric $\text{Det}[g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)]$ is of definite sign. It vanishes either at the triple-body collision point or on collinear configurations only. This cometric does not become singular at the two-body collision points unlike as it is in the $r$–representation, already noticed in \([1]\) and \([2]\) for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$, respectively, as an undesirable property. The free problem in both $r$– and $\rho$–representations is $S_3$-permutationally invariant wrt the interchange of body positions and their masses.

The positions of the 3 bodies form a triangle. In the case of 3 equal masses $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$, the kinetic energy possesses an accidental $S_3$-symmetry wrt the interchange of the edges (intervals of interaction) of this triangle. The full $\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \oplus S_3^2$ symmetry of the free problem is encoded in the set of generalized coordinates $P$, $S$ and $T$ obtaining the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{geo}$ \((29)\) in the geometrical-representation. The two coordinates $P$ and $S$ are called volume variables. The variable $P$ corresponds to the sum of squares of sides of the triangle whilst $S$ is the area squared. In $(P, S, T)$-variables, the kinetic energy remains polynomial. It also describes a three-dimensional particle moving in a curved space with a $d$-independent metric $g^{\mu\nu}(P, S, T)$. The determinant $\text{Det}[g^{\mu\nu}(P, S, T)]$ is again of definite sign. It vanishes when the triangle of interaction is isosceles. The properties and simplicity of geometrical-representation were illustrated in (I) the physically relevant 3-body Newtonian gravity potential ($d = 3$) where \((41)\) describes all four possible 3-body Coulomb/Newtonian potentials in a unified manner, and (II) in the planar 3-body choreographic motion on algebraic lemniscate ($d = 2$) for which the two geometrical variables $P$ and $T$ become particular constants of motion and the dynamics is parametrized by a planar elliptic curve $S = \wp(t; P, T)$. 

29
The volume variables admit a generalization, $S_m$ and $P_m$, to systems with arbitrary masses. For potentials that solely depend on these variables, $V = V(P_m, S_m)$, the trajectories in the volume-representation are governed by a four-dimensional Hamiltonian $H_{vol}$. It describes a two-dimensional particle moving in a curved space. In the volume-representation, the trajectories are mass-independent. In the particular case of a one-variable potential, $V = V(P_m)$, the system is described by a two-dimensional Hamiltonian only. In this representation, a shifted harmonic potential (equivalently, a 3-body mass-dependent anharmonic potential in $r$-variables) was analyzed in detail. The volume-representation implies an effective reduction of the problem beyond separation of variables.

Finally, in the general $n$-body case with $n \geq d - 1$ the system can be thought of as a type of ”breathing” polytope of interaction. The volume-representation can be constructed immediately. At fixed $n$ there exist $(n - 1)$ volume-variables made out of elements with different dimensionality (edges, faces, cells and so on) of the polytope of interaction. It reveals a surprising link between the theory of regular polytopes and the dynamics of an $n$-body system. This will be presented in a forthcoming paper.
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