arXiv:2007.12124v1 [math.ST] 23 Jul 2020

Nonparametric Tests in Linear Model with Autoregressive Errors

Olcay Arslan,Yeşim Güney
Jana Jurečková*, Yetkin Tuaç

University of Ankara and Charles University, Prague

Abstract

In the linear regression model with possibly autoregressive errors, we propose a family of nonparametric tests for regression under a nuisance autoregression. The tests avoid the estimation of nuisance parameters, in contrast to the tests proposed in the literature. A simulation study, as well as an application of tests to real data, illustrate their good performance.

Keywords: Autoregression Linear regression Rank test Regression rank scores Autoregression rank scores

1 Introduction

The standard assumption of the independent and identically distributed errors in the linear regression model is often violated. Some authors admit the autoregressive structure of model errors. McKnight et al. (2000) applied a double bootstrap method to analyze linear models with autoregressive errors. The authors mostly estimated the regression parameters under autoregressive errors with a known innovation distribution. Alpuim and El-Shaarawi (2008) used the ordinary least squares (OLS) estimator under the p-order autoregressive (AR(p)) error term and the normal innovations. Tuaç et al. (2018) considered linear regression model with AR(p) errors with Student's t-distribution and used conditional maximum likelihood estimation of model parameters. In (2020), Tuaç et al. proposed an autoregressive regression procedure based on the skew-normal and skew-t distributions. Güney et al. (2020a) considered the conditional maximum Lq-likelihood (CMLq) estimation method for the autoregressive error terms regression models under normality assumption.

In the real life applications, the data sets may contain outliers and their distribution can be heavy-tailed. Then we should take recourse to nonparametric models without a specific distribution assumptions. The most powerful

*The research of J. Jurečková was supported by the Grant GAČR 18-01137S

tools for estimation and other inference in this area are the regression and autoregression quantiles. However, the most convenient for testing are the ranks of observations or the ranks of residuals and their extensions, as the regression and autoregression rank scores. The quantile regression was introduced by Koenker and Bassett (1978) and by their followers. It is an approach to model the conditional quantile function of response variable depending on covariates. The regression rank scores were introduced by Gutenbrunner and Jurečková (1992) and a generalization of this concept to the autoregressive model is due to Koul and Saleh (1995). The class of regression rank scores tests was developed by Gutenbrunner and Jurečková (1992) and Gutenbrunner et al. (1992). The optimal autoregression rank scores tests in the AR model were constructed by Hallin and Jurečková (1999). El Bantli and Hallin (2001) constructed the Kolmogorov-Smirnov type test in AR model based on the autoregression rank scores, following the KS test in the linear model test by Jurečková (1991). The averaged autoregression quantiles and their asymptotics were studied by Güney et al. (2020b). In the present paper, we assume that our data follow a linear regression model whose model errors can be possibly autoregressive. The corresponding probability distributions are generally unknown, only satisfy some assumptions. In this setup, we shall verify the hypothesis of no linear regression under possible nuisance autoregression of model errors.

2 Statement of the model

We consider the linear regression model of order s, whose model errors follow a stationary autoregressive process of order p :

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}^* + \varepsilon_t = \beta_0 + x_{t1}\beta_1 + ... + x_{tp}\beta_p + \varepsilon_t, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t + \ldots + \varphi_p \varepsilon_{t-p},\ t = 1, 2, ..., n, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^* = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s)^\top.$$

Here y_t is the response variable, $\mathbf{x}_t = (x_{t0}, \ldots, x_{ts})^\top$ are the regressors and $\beta_j,\ j = 0, \ldots, s$ are unknown regression parameters. We assume that $x_{t0} = 1$ for all t, hence that β_0 is an intercept.

Moreover, $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p$ are unknown autoregression parameters, where the intercept φ_0 is added for mathematical convenience and can be 0. The innovations u_t are assumed being independently and identically distributed (*i.i.d.*) with a continuous distribution function F and density f, generally unknown but satisfying

$$E(u_t) = 0, \quad Var(u_t) = \sigma^2 < \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

The stationarity condition requires that all roots of the equation $z^2 - \varphi_1 z^1 = 0 - \varphi_2 z^{p-2} - ... - \varphi_p z^{t-p} = 0$ are inside the unit circle (Brockwell and Davis, 1987). Note that the error model given in equation (2.2) is a strictly stationary process, and so the ε_t share a common marginal distribution and thus share the same quantiles. The intercept term φ_0 in model (2.1) is included for the

identifiability of the autoregression quantiles, and can be equal to 0. The distribution function F of u_t is unknown, but we assume that it is increasing on the set $\{u : 0 < F(u) < 1\}$. Because of the identifiability, we assume that the starting observations $(y_{-p+1}, \ldots, y_0)$ are known.

For the convenience, we also write (2.2) in the form

$$u_t = \Phi(B)\,\varepsilon_t \tag{2.4}$$

where B is called the backshift operator. Then the linear regression model with AR(p) error term given in equation (2.1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(B)\,y_t &= y_t - \varphi_0 - \varphi_1 y_{t-1} + ...\varphi_p y_{t-p}, \\ \mathbf{\Phi}(B)\,\mathbf{x}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t - \varphi_0 - \varphi_1 \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \ldots \varphi_p \mathbf{x}_{t-p}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

hence

$$\Phi(B)\,y_t = \left(\mathbf{\Phi}(B)\,\mathbf{x}_t\right)^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + u_t. \tag{2.6}$$

In the model (2.1), we construct the tests of the hypothesis:

$$\mathbf{H}_0 :\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \mathbf{0},\ \text{ with }\ \beta_0,\ (\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p)^\top \neq \mathbf{0}\ \text{ unspecified.}$$

Our tests are nonparametric; the test of $\mathbf{H}_0$ is based on the autoregression rank scores and on the linear autoregression rank statistics for the model (2.1), (2.2) without regression.

3 Rank tests for $\mathbf{H}_0$

We shall be testing the absence of regression

$$\mathbf{H}_0 :\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \beta_0,\ \varphi_0,\ \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \text{ unspecified.}$$

The usual alternative is the local (Pitman) regression

$$\mathbf{K}_n :\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \boldsymbol{\beta}_n^* = n^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\beta}_x^*,\ \text{ with }\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_x^* \in I\!R^p\ \text{ fixed.} \tag{3.1}$$

Under $\mathbf{H}_0$, the observations follow the model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_t,\ t = 1, \ldots, n.$$

The hypothesis $\mathbf{H}_0$ in fact means the hypothetical autoregressive model

$$\mathbf{H}_0 :\ y_t = \beta_0 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 y_{t-1} + \varphi_1 y_{t-1} + \ldots + \varphi_p y_{t-p} + u_t,\ t = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3.2}$$

which we like to test against the alternative $\mathbf{K}_n$. Let

$$\mathbf{y}_t^* = (y_t, y_{t-1}, \ldots, y_{t-p})^\top,\ \mathbf{y}_t = (1,\ y_t, y_{t-1}, \ldots, y_{t-p})^\top,\ t = 0, \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.3}$$

and consider the random matrices of the respective orders $n \times p$ and $n \times (p+1)$

$$\mathbf{Y}_n^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1^{*\top} \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{y}_n^{*\top} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{Y}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1^{\top} \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{y}_n^{\top} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

For convenience, denote also

$$\mathbf{x}_t^* = (x_{t1}, \ldots, x_{tp})^\top, \quad \mathbf{x}_t = (1, x_{t1}, \ldots, x_{ts})^\top = (1, \mathbf{x}_t^{*\top})^\top$$
$$\mathbf{X}_n^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^{*\top} \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n^{*\top} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{X}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^{\top} \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n^{\top} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The autoregression rank scores $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha) = (\hat{a}_{n1}(\alpha), \ldots, \hat{a}_{nn}(\alpha))^\top$ under hypothesis $\mathbf{H}_0$ are defined as the solution vector of the linear programming problem

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t \hat{a}_{nt}(\alpha) : & = \max \\ \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{a}_{nt}(\alpha) - (1-\alpha)) & = 0 \\ \mathbf{Y}_n^{*\top} (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha) - (1-\alpha)\mathbf{1}_n) & = \mathbf{0} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha) \in [0,1]^n, \quad 0 \le \alpha \le 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

The autoregression rank scores are *autoregression-invariant*. More precisely, (3.5) implies that $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha)$ can be also formally written as a solution of the linear program

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^n u_t \hat{a}_{nt}(\alpha) : & = \max \\ \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{a}_{nt}(\alpha) - (1-\alpha)) & = 0 \\ \mathbf{Y}_n^{*\top} (\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha) - (1-\alpha)\mathbf{1}_n) & = \mathbf{0} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha) \in [0,1]^n, \quad 0 \le \alpha \le 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_n = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^\top$ is the unobservable white noise process.

We shall construct a family of new tests of the hypothesis $\mathbf{H}_0$ for the model (2.1), based on autoregression rank scores, and analyze the asymptotic distribution of the test criterion under the null hypothesis as well as under contiguous alternatives. Surprisingly, no preliminary estimation of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is needed in order to compute autoregression rank score statistics, in contrast with the aligned rank methods (Puri and Sen and others).

The (unknown) density f of u_t is assumed to belong to the family $\mathcal{F}$ of exponentially tailed densities, satisfying (2.3) and the following conditions on the tails:

(F1) f is positive and absolutely continuous, with a.e. derivative f' and finite Fisher information $\mathcal{I}(f) = \int \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)^2 f(x)\mathrm{d}x < \infty$; moreover, there exists $K_f \ge 0$ such that f has two bounded derivatives f' and f'' for all $|x| > K_f$;

(F2) f is monotonically decreasing to 0 as $x \to \pm\infty$ and

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \frac{-\log F(x)}{b|x|^r} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{-\log(1-F(x))}{b|x|^r} = 1$$

for some $b > 0$ and $r \ge 1$.

Other properties of densities in $\mathcal{F}$ are summarized in [8].

Moreover, we impose the following conditions on the regression matrix $\mathbf{X}_n^*$:

(X1) The matrix $\mathbf{A}_n = n^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{X}_n^{*\top}\mathbf{X}_n^*$ is positive definite of order s for $n \geq n_0$.

(X2) $n^{-1}\sum_{t=1}^n \|\mathbf{x}_{nt}\|^4 = O(1)$ as $n \to \infty$.

(X3) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{1\leq t\leq n} \left\{n^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{nt}^\top \mathbf{A}_n^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{nt}\right\} = \mathbf{0}$.

Define $\mathbf{D}_n = n^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n^\top \mathbf{Y}_n$ and

$$\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{Y}_n^\top(\mathbf{Y}_n^\top\mathbf{Y}_n)^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n^* = \mathbf{H}_n\mathbf{X}_n^* \tag{3.6}$$

the projection matrix and the projection of $\mathbf{X}_n^*$ on the space spanned by the columns of $\mathbf{Y}_n$, respectively. Moreover, let

$$\mathbf{Q}_n = n^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_n^* - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n^*)^\top(\mathbf{X}_n^* - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n^*). \tag{3.7}$$

The (random) matrices $\mathbf{D}_n$ and $\mathbf{Q}_n$ are of the respective orders $(p+1)\times(p+1)$ and $s \times s$. We shall assume that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{D}_n = \mathbf{D}, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} I\!E(\mathbf{Q}_n) = \mathbf{Q} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ are positive definite matrices.

Choose a nondecreasing, square integrable score generating function $J : (0,1) \to I\!R$, such that $J(1-u) = -J(u)$, $0 < u < 1$ and that $J'(u)$ exists for $u \in (0, \alpha_0) \cup (1-\alpha_0, 1)$ and, in this domain, satisfies the Chernoff-Savage condition

$$|J'(u)| \leq c(u(1-u))^{-1-\delta},\ 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4}. \tag{3.9}$$

Define the scores generated by J as $\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_n = (\hat{b}_{n1}, \ldots, \hat{b}_{nn})^\top$ with

$$\hat{b}_{nt} = -\int_0^1 J(u)\mathrm{d}\hat{a}_{nt}(u), \quad t = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3.10}$$

The proposed tests of $\mathbf{H}_0$ are based on the linear autoregression rank statistics of the form

$$\mathbf{S}_n = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{X}_n^* - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_n^*)^\top \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_n, \tag{3.11}$$

and for testing $\mathbf{H}_0$ against $\mathbf{K}_n$ we propose the criterion

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \mathbf{S}_n^\top \mathbf{Q}_n^{-1}\mathbf{S}_n / A^2(J) \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$A^2(J) = \int_0^1 (J(t) - \bar{J})^2 \mathrm{d}t, \qquad \bar{J} = \int_0^1 J(t)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{3.13}$$

The typical choices of J are:

(i) Wilcoxon scores (optimal for f logistic) : $J(u) = u - \frac{1}{2},\ 0 < u < 1$. The scores are $\hat{b}_{n;t} = -\int_0^1 (J(u) - \frac{1}{2}) d\hat{a}_t(u) = \int_0^1 J^2(u)du - \frac{1}{2}$ while $A^2(J) = \frac{1}{12}$ and $\gamma(J,F) = \int f^2(x)dx$.

(ii) Normal (van der Waerden) scores (asymptotically optimal for f normal): $J(t) = \Phi^{-1}(u),\ 0 < u < 1, \Phi$ being the d.f. of standard normal distribution. Here $A^2(J) = 1$ and $\gamma(J,F) = \int f(F^{-1}(J(x)))dx$.

(iii) Median (sign) scores: $J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\ sign(u - \frac{1}{2}),\ 0 < u < 1$.

Notice that the test statistic $\mathcal{T}_n$ requires no estimation of nuisance parameters, since the functional $A(J)$ depends only on the score function and not on (the unknown) F. We shall show that the asymptotic distribution of $\mathcal{T}_n$ under $\mathbf{H}_0$ is central χ^2 with s degrees of freedom, hence it is asymptotically distribution free. Under $\mathbf{K}_n$ it is noncentral χ^2 with s degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter dependent on J and F but not on the nuisance parameters. In this way, it is asymptotically equivalent to the rank test of $\mathbf{H}_0$ in the situation without nuisance autoregression.

4 Asymptotic behavior of the test of $\mathbf{H}_0$

Let us return to the model (2.1). Assume that the matrices $\mathbf{X}_n^*$ and $\mathbf{Y}_n^*$ satisfy conditions (3.6)–(3.8). We want to test the hypothesis

$$\mathbf{H}_0 :\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \mathbf{0} \quad (\beta_0,\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}\ \text{unspecified}\ )$$

against the alternative

$$\mathbf{K}_n :\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = n^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\beta}_x^* \quad (\boldsymbol{\beta}_x^* \in I\!R_s\ \text{ fixed}\ ).$$

Let $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\alpha) = (\hat{a}_{n1}(\alpha), \ldots, \hat{a}_{nn}(\alpha))$ be the autoregression rank scores corresponding to the submodel under $\mathbf{H}_0$, i.e.

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 y_{t-1} + \varphi_1 y_{t-1} + \ldots + \varphi_p y_{t-p} + u_t,\ t = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let $J : (0,1) \mapsto I\!R$ be a nondecreasing and square integrable score-generating function such that $J(1-u) = -J(u),\ 0 < u < 1$, satisfying (3.9). Define the scores $\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_n = (\hat{b}_{n1}, \ldots, \hat{b}_{nn})^\top$ by the relation (3.10). Consider the test statistics $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathbf{S}_n^\top \mathbf{Q}_n^{-1} \mathbf{S}_n / A^2(J)$ defined in (3.11)–(3.13). The test is based on the asymptotic distribution of $\mathcal{T}_n$ under $\mathbf{H}_O$, described in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that the distribution F of the innovations u_t satisfies (F1)–(F4) and the regression matrix $\mathbf{X}_n$ satisfies (X1)–(X4). Let $\mathbf{T}_n$ be generated by the function J satisfying (3.9), nondecreasing and square integrable on* $(0,1)$.

(i) Then, under $\mathbf{H}_0$, the asymptotic distribution of $\mathcal{T}_n$ is central χ^2 with s degrees of freedom.

(ii) Under $\mathbf{K}_n$*, the asymptotic distribution of* $\mathcal{T}_n$ *is noncentral* χ^2 *with* s *degrees of freedom and the noncentrality parameter*

$$\eta^2 = \boldsymbol{\beta}_x^\top \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\beta}_x \cdot \gamma^2(J,F)/A^2(J)$$

where

$$\gamma(J,F) = -\int_0^1 J(v)\mathrm{d}f(F^{-1}(v)). \tag{4.1}$$

Hence, the test rejects $\mathbf{H}_0$ on the significance level $\tau \in (0,1)$ if $\mathcal{T}_n > \chi_s^2(1-\tau)$ where $\chi_s^2(1-\tau)$ is the $100(1-\tau)\%$-quantile of the χ^2 distribution with s degrees of freedom. The asymptotic distribution under $\mathbf{K}_n$ also shows that the Pitman efficiency of the test coincides with that of the classical rank test in the situation without the autoregressive errors.

Proof.

(i) It follows from [8], Theorem 3.3 and [7], Theorem 4.1, that under $\mathbf{H}_0$, the linear autoregression rank scores statistic admits the representation

$$\mathbf{Q}_n^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}_n = n^{-1/2}\mathbf{Q}_n^{-1/2}(\mathbf{X}_n^* - \overline{\mathbf{X}}_n^*)^\top \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_n + o_p(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_n = (\tilde{b}_{n1}, \ldots, \tilde{b}_{nn})^\top$ and $\tilde{b}_{nt} = J(F(u_{nt}))$, $t = 1, \ldots, n$. Then (i) follows from the central limit theorem.

(ii) The same representation holds also under the sequence of alternatives $\mathbf{K}_n$, which is contiguous with respect to the sequence of null distributions with the densities $\prod_{t=1}^n f(u_t)$.

■

References

[1] Alpuim, T. & El-Shaarawi, A. (2008). On the efficiency of regression analysis with AR(p) errors.*J. Applied Statistics* 35, 717–737.

[2] Brockwell, P.J. and R.A. Davis (1991). *Time Series: Theory and Methods*, 2nd edition. Springer Verlag, New York.

[3] El Bantli, F. and Hallin, M. (2001). Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for AR models based on autoregression rank scores. *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Vol. 37* Selected Proceedings of the Symposium on Inference for Stochastic Processes (2001), pp. 111-124.

[4] Güney, Y., Tuaç, Y., Özdemir, Ş. & Arslan, O. (2020a). Conditional maximum L_q-likelihood estimation for regression model with autoregressive error terms. *Metrika* 39.

[5] Güney, Y., Jurečková, J. and Arslan, O. (2020b). Averaged Autoregression Quantiles in Autoregressive Model. In: *Analytical Methods in Statistics* (M. Maciak et al. (Eds.)), Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 329, ISBN 978-3-030-48813-0.

[6] Gutenbrunner, C. and J. Jurečková (1992). Regression rank scores and regression quantiles. *Ann. Statist.* **20**, 305-330.

[7] Gutenbrunner, C., J. Jurečková, R. Koenker and S. Portnoy (1993). Tests of linear hypotheses based on regression rank scores. *J. Nonpar. Statist.* **2**, 307-331.

[8] Hallin, M. and Jurečková, J. (1991). Optimal tests for autoregressive models based on autoregression rank scores. *Ann. Statist.* 27, 1385–1414.

[9] Jurečková, J. (1991). Tests of Kolmogorov-Smirnov type based on regression rank scores. *Trans. 11th Prague Conf. on Inform. Theory, Statist. Decision Functions and Random Processes* (J.Á. Víšek, ed.), 41-49. Academia, Prague.

[10] Koenker, R. and G. Bassett (1978). Regression quantiles. *Econometrica* **46**, 33-50.

[11] Koenker, R. and Xiao, Z. (2004). Unit Root Quantile Autoregression Inference. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 99, 775–787.

[12] Koenker, R. and Xiao, Z. (2006). Quantile Autoregression. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 101, 980–990.

[13] Koul, H.L. and A.K.Md.E. Saleh (1995). Autoregression quantiles and related rank scores processes. *Ann. Statist.* 23, 670–689.

[14] McKnight, S., McKean, J., Huitema, B. (2000). A double bootstrap method to analyze linear models with autoregressive error terms. *Psychological Methods* 5, 87–101

[15] Tuaç, Y., Güney, Y., Senoglu, B., Arslan, O. (2018). Robust parameter estimation of regression model with AR(p) error terms. *Communications in Statistics-Simulations and Computations* 47, 2343–2359

[16] Tuaç, Y., Güney, Y., Arslan, O. (2020). Parameter estimation of regression model with AR(p) error terms based on skew distributions with EM algorithm. *Soft Computing* 24, 3309–3330.