

# BOUNDING COHOMOLOGY ON A SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SURFACE WITH PICARD NUMBER 2

SICHEN LI

**ABSTRACT.** The following conjecture arose out of discussions between B. Harbourne, J. Roé, C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda: for a smooth projective surface  $X$  there exists a positive constant  $c_X$  such that  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq c_X h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$  for every prime divisor  $C$  on  $X$ . When the Picard number  $\rho(X) = 2$ , we prove that if either the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X) = 1$  and  $X$  has a negative curve or  $X$  has two negative curves, then this conjecture holds for  $X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we work over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. By a (*negative*) *curve* on a surface we will mean a reduced, irreducible curve (with negative self-intersection). By a  $(-k)$ -*curve*, we mean a negative curve  $C$  with  $C^2 = -k < 0$ .

The bounded negativity conjecture (BNC for short) is one of the most intriguing problems in the theory of projective surfaces and can be formulated as follows.

**Conjecture 1.1.** [2, Conjecture 1.1] *For a smooth projective surface  $X$  there exists an integer  $b(X) \geq 0$  such that  $C^2 \geq -b(X)$  for every curve  $C \subseteq X$ .*

Let us say that a smooth projective surface  $X$  has

$$b(X) > 0$$

if there is at least one negative curve on  $X$ .

The main aim of the short note is to study the following conjecture, which implies BNC (cf. [4, Proposition 14]).

**Conjecture 1.2.** [1, Conjecture 2.5.3] *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface. Then there exists a constant  $c_X > 0$  such that  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq c_X h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$  for every curve  $C$  on  $X$ .*

On the other hand, the authors of [1] disproved Conjecture 1.2 by giving a counterexample with a large Picard number (cf. [1, Corollary 3.1.2]). However, they pointed out that it could still be true that Conjecture 1.2 holds when restricted to rational surfaces (cf. [1, Proposition 3.1.3]). On the one hand, there exists a new evidence of BNC (cf. [9, Theorem 1.6]). This motivates us to consider that whether Conjecture 1.2 is true for  $X$  when the Picard number  $\rho(X) = 2$  and  $b(X) > 0$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 14C20 .

*Key words and phrases.* bounded negativity conjecture, bounding cohomology, Picard number 2.

Below is our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2. If the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X) = 1$  and  $b(X) > 0$  or  $X$  has two negative curves, then Conjecture 1.2 holds for  $X$ .*

*Remark 1.4.* In [9, Claim 2.11], we give a classification of a smooth projective surface  $X$  with  $\rho(X) = 2$  and two negative curves.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We first recall the following question posed in [4].

**Question 2.1.** [4, Question 4] Does there exist a constant  $m(X)$  such that  $\frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{D^2} < m(X)$  for any effective divisor  $D$  with  $D^2 > 0$  on a smooth projective surface  $X$ ?

If Conjecture 1.2 is true for a smooth projective surface  $X$ , then  $X$  is affirmative for Question 2.1 (cf. [4, Proposition 15]). This motivates us to give the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface.

- (1) For every  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $D$  with  $D^2 \neq 0$  on  $X$ , we define a value of  $D$  as follows:

$$l_D := \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{\max \left\{ 1, D^2 \right\}}.$$

- (2) For every  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $D$  with  $D^2 = 0$  on  $X$ , we define a value of  $D$  as follows:

$$l_D := \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{\max \left\{ 1, h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \right\}}.$$

The following is a numerical characterization of Conjecture 1.2.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface. If  $X$  satisfies the BNC and there exists a positive constant  $m(X)$  such that  $l_C \leq m(X)$  for every curve  $C$  on  $X$  and  $D^2 \leq m(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$  for every curve  $D$  with  $l_D > 1$  and  $D^2 > 0$  on  $X$ , then  $X$  satisfies Conjecture 1.2.*

*Proof.* Take a curve  $C$  on  $X$ . Note that by Serre duality (cf. [5, Corollary III.7.7 and III.7.12]),  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - C)) \leq p_g(X)$ . As a result,

$$h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq q(X) - 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Here,  $p_g(X)$  and  $q(X)$  are the geometric genus and the irregularity of  $X$  respectively.

Our main condition is the following:

(\*) There exists a positive constant  $m(X)$  such that  $l_C \leq m(X)$  for every curve  $C$  on  $X$  and  $D^2 \leq m(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$  for every curve  $D$  with  $l_D > 1$  and  $D^2 > 0$  on  $X$ .

We divide the proof into the following three cases.

Case (i). Suppose  $C^2 > 0$ . Then by Riemann-Roch theorem (cf. [5, Theorem V.1.6]),

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{C^2(l_C - 1)}{2}. \quad (2.2)$$

If  $l_C \leq 1$ , then Equation (2.1) and (2.2) imply that  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) + q(X) - 1$ , which is the desired result by  $c_X := q(X)$ . If  $l_C > 1$ , then Equation (2.1) and (2.2) and the condition (\*) imply that  $2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq (m^2(X) - m(X) + 2)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) + 2(q(X) - 1)$ , which is the desired result by  $2c_X := m^2(X) - m(X) + 2q(X)$ .

Case (ii). Suppose  $C^2 = 0$ . Then by Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 2h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(l_C + 2), \quad (2.3)$$

which, Equation (2.1) and the condition (\*) imply that

$$2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq 2(q(X) - 1) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))(m(X) + 2),$$

which is the desired result by  $2c_X := m(X) + 2q(X)$ .

Case (iii). Suppose  $C^2 < 0$ . Then  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 1$ . Since  $X$  satisfies the BNC, there exists a positive constant  $b(X)$  such that every curve  $C$  on  $X$  has  $C^2 \geq -b(X)$ . By Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 2 + 2h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + l_C - C^2, \quad (2.4)$$

which, Equation (2.1) and the condition (\*) imply that  $2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq 2q(X) + m(X) + b(X)$ , which is the desired result by  $2c_X := 2q(X) + m(X) + b(X)$ .

In all, we complete the proof of Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is motivated by the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[f_2]$ ,  $f_1^2 \leq 0$ ,  $f_2^2 \leq 0$  and  $f_1 \cdot f_2 > 0$ . Here,  $f_1, f_2$  are extremal rays.
- (ii) If a curve  $C$  has  $C^2 \leq 0$ , then  $C \equiv af_1$  or  $C \equiv bf_2$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .
- (iii) Suppose a divisor  $D \equiv a_1f_1 + a_2f_2$  with  $a_1, a_2 > 0$  in (i). Then  $D$  is big. Moreover, if  $D$  is a curve, then  $D$  is nef and big and  $D^2 > 0$ .

*Proof.* By [7, Lemma 1.22], (i) and (ii) are clear since  $\rho(X) = 2$ . For (iii),  $D \equiv a_1f_1 + a_2f_2$  with  $a_1, a_2 > 0$  is an interior point of Mori cone, then by [8, Theorem 2.2.26],  $D$  is big. Moreover, if  $D$  is a curve, then  $D$  is nef. As a result,  $D^2 > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $\kappa(X) = 1$  and  $b(X) > 0$ , then  $X$  satisfies Conjecture 1.2.*

*Proof.* Since  $\kappa(X) = 1$ ,  $\rho(X) = 2$  and  $\kappa(X)$  is a birational invariant,  $K_X$  is nef and semi-ample. By [3, Proposition IX.2], we have  $K_X^2 = 0$  and there is a surjective morphism  $p : X \rightarrow B$  over a smooth curve  $B$ , whose general fibre  $F$  is an elliptic curve. Since  $b(X) > 0$ ,  $X$  has exactly one negative curve  $C$  by [9, Claim 2.14]. In fact,  $p$  is an Iitaka fibration of  $X$ . In [6], S. Iitaka proved that if  $m$  is any natural number divisible by 12 and  $m \geq 86$ , then  $|mK_X|$  defines the Iitaka fibration. Hence, there exists a curve  $F$  as a general fiber of  $p$  such that  $F \equiv mK_X$ . Then by Proposition 3.1(i)(ii),  $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[F] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$ . Note that  $(F \cdot C) > 0$  since  $\rho(X) = 2$ . Take a curve  $D \equiv a_1F + a_2C$  with  $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ . By Proposition 3.1(iii),  $D^2 > 0$  if and only if  $a_1, a_2 > 0$ ,  $D^2 = 0$  if and only if  $D \equiv a_1F$ .

Now suppose  $D \equiv a_1F$ . Then  $l_D = 0$ . Note that  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \leq q(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$  by Riemann-Roch theorem and Equation (2.1). This ends the proof of this case.

Now suppose  $D^2 > 0$ . Then  $(F \cdot D) \geq 1$  and  $(C \cdot D) \geq 0$ , which imply that

$$a_2 \geq (F \cdot C)^{-1}, a_1 \geq a_2(-C^2)(F \cdot C)^{-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, by Equation (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{(F \cdot D)}{m(a_1(F \cdot D) + a_2(C \cdot D))} \\ &\leq (F \cdot C)^2(-mC^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant  $m(X)$  such that  $l_D \leq m(X)$  for every curve  $D$  on  $X$ . If  $ma_1 \geq 1$ , then  $(K_X - D)D = (1 - ma_1)(K_X \cdot D) - a_2(C \cdot D) \leq 0$ . As a result,  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = q(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$  by Riemann-Roch theorem and Equation (2.1). If  $ma_1 < 1$ , then by Equation (3.1),  $a_2 < (F \cdot C)(-mC^2)^{-1}$ . So  $D^2 < 2m^{-2}(F \cdot C)^2(-C^2)^{-1}$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.3,  $X$  satisfies Conjecture 1.2.  $\square$

Now we give a useful result of the Nef cone when  $\rho(X) = 2$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $X$  has two negative curves  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , then the nef cone  $\text{Nef}(X)$  is*

$$\text{Nef}(X) = \left\{ a_1C_1 + a_2C_2 \mid a_1(C_1 \cdot C_2) \geq a_2(-C_2^2), a_2(C_1 \cdot C_2) \geq a_1(-C_1^2), a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\rho(X) = 2$ ,  $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_2]$  by Proposition 3.1(ii). As a result, an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $D \equiv a_1C_1 + a_2C_2$  is nef if and only if  $D \cdot C_1 \geq 0$  and  $D \cdot C_2 \geq 0$ , which imply the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $X$  has two negative curves  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , then  $X$  satisfies Conjecture 1.2.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_2]$  by Proposition 3.1(ii). We first show that there exists a positive constant  $m(X)$  such that  $l_C \leq m(X)$  for every curve on  $X$ . By [9, Claim 2.11],  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ , i.e., there exists a positive integral number  $m$  such that  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective divisor. As a result,  $K_X \equiv aC_1 + bC_2$

with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Take a curve  $D \equiv a_1 C_1 + a_2 C_2$  with  $a_1, a_2 > 0$ , then by Proposition 3.1(iii),  $D^2 > 0$ . As a result,  $D \cdot C \geq 0$  and  $X$  has no any curves with zero self-intersection.  $D^2 \geq 1$  implies that either  $D \cdot C_1 \geq 1$  and  $D \cdot C_2 \geq 0$  or  $D \cdot C_1 \geq 0$  and  $D \cdot C_2 \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $D \cdot C_2 \geq 0$  and  $D \cdot C_1 \geq 1$ . Then  $a_1 \geq (C_1^2 + (C_1 \cdot C_2)^2(-C_2^2)^{-1})^{-1}$ . Here,  $C_1^2 + (C_1 \cdot C_2)^2(-C_2^2)^{-1} > 0$  since  $\rho(X) = 2$ . By symmetry and Proposition 3.3,

$$a_i \geq c := \min \left\{ (C_i^2 + \frac{(C_1 \cdot C_2)^2}{-C_j^2})^{-1}, \frac{-C_j^2}{(C_1 \cdot C_2)} (C_i^2 + \frac{(C_1 \cdot C_2)^2}{-C_j^2})^{-1} \right\},$$

where  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{a(D \cdot C_1) + b(D \cdot C_2)}{a_1(D \cdot C_1) + a_2(D \cdot C_2)} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists a positive constant  $m(X)$  such that  $l_D \leq m(X)$  for every curve  $D$  on  $X$ .

If  $a_1 > a$  and  $a_2 > b$ , then

$$(K_X - D)D = (a - a_1)(D \cdot C_1) + (b - a_2)(D \cdot C_2) < 0.$$

This and Equation (2.1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \frac{(K_X \cdot D) - D^2}{2} - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\leq q(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $a_1 \leq a$  or  $a_2 \leq b$ , then by Proposition 3.3,  $a_2 \leq a(C_1 \cdot C_2)(-C_2^2)^{-1}$  or  $a_1 \leq b(C_1 \cdot C_2)(-C_1^2)^{-1}$ . As a result,

$$D^2 \leq \max \left\{ 2a^2(C_1 \cdot C_2)^2(-C_2^2)^{-1}, 2b^2(C_1 \cdot C_2)^2(-C_1^2)^{-1} \right\}.$$

Therefore,  $X$  satisfies Conjecture 1.2 by Proposition 2.3. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4. □

We end by asking the following questions.

**Question 3.5.** Is Conjecture 1.2 true for any smooth projective surface  $X$  with  $\rho(X) = 2$  and  $b(X) > 0$ ?

**Question 3.6.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = r$  and  $C_i$  some curves on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$ . Is Conjecture 1.2 true for  $X$ ?

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. Meng Chen, Prof. Rong Du and Prof. De-Qi Zhang for their constant encouragement and the anonymous referee for several suggestions. The author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071078).

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bauer, C. Bocci, S. Cooper, S. D. Rocci, M. Dumnicki, B. Harbourne, K. Jabbusch, A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya, R. Miranda, J. Roé, H. Schenck, T. Szemberg, and Z. Teithler, *Recent developments and open problems in linear series*, In: Contributions to Algebraic Geometry, p. 93-140, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012. ↑ 1
- [2] T. Bauer, B. Harbourne, A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya, S. Müller-Stach, X. Roulleau, and T. Szemberg, *Negative curves on algebraic surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **162**(10)(2013), 1877-1894. ↑ 1
- [3] A. Beauville, *Complex algebraic surfaces*, 2ed., London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **34**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. ↑ 4
- [4] C. Ciliberto, A. L. Knutsen, J. Lesieutre, V. Lozovanu, R. Miranda, Y. Mustopa, and D. Testa, *A few questions about curves on surfaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. II. Ser. **66** (2)(2017), 195-204. ↑ 1, 2
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. ↑ 2, 3
- [6] S. Iitaka, *Deformations of compact complex surfaces, II*, J. Math. Soc. Soc. Japab, 22(1970), 247-261. ↑ 4
- [7] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. ↑ 3
- [8] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. **48**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. ↑ 3
- [9] S. Li, *A note on a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2*, Math. Nachr. **292** (2019), no. 12, 2637-2642. ↑ 1, 2, 4

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, 220 HANDAN ROAD, YANGPU DISTRICT, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: [sichenli@fudan.edu.cn](mailto:sichenli@fudan.edu.cn)

URL: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sichen\\_Li4](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sichen_Li4)