

SYMMETRIC F-CONJECTURE FOR $g \leq 35$

MAKSYM FEDORCHUK

ABSTRACT. We prove the symmetric F-conjecture describing the ample cone of $\overline{M}_{0,g}/S_g$ and \overline{M}_g for $g \leq 35$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A divisor on the moduli space $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ of stable n -pointed genus g curves is *F-nef* if it pairs with all 1-dimensional boundary strata (*F-curves*) non-negatively. The (symmetric) F-conjecture says that every (S_n -invariant) F-nef divisor is nef [GKM02, Conjecture (0.2)]. The strong F-conjecture (or, Fulton's conjecture) for $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ says that every F-nef divisor is equivalent to an effective \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the standard boundary divisors; it is known up to $n \leq 7$ [Lar12] and false for $n \geq 12$ [Pix13].

A breakthrough Bridge Theorem of Gibney-Keel-Morrison [GKM02, Theorem (0.3)] reduces the F-conjecture for \overline{M}_g to the symmetric F-conjecture for $\overline{M}_{0,g}$. In this note, we prove the symmetric F-conjecture for $\overline{M}_{0,g}$ for $g \leq 35$ in all characteristics. Previously, the symmetric F-conjecture was proved for $g \leq 24$ by Gibney [Gib09]. A technical statement of the main result is in Theorem 1, with the main ingredient of the proof being Lemma 4, which is a special case of our earlier [Fed14, Prop. 6.0.6]. However, no familiarity with *loc.cit.* is assumed.

2. MAIN RESULT

For an integer partition $\lambda \vdash n$ of length k , there is a closed immersion $b_\lambda: \overline{M}_{0,k} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n}$ where $b_\lambda(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^k)$ is obtained from C by attaching a fixed $(\lambda_i + 1)$ -pointed (maximally degenerate) rational curve to p_i , and stabilizing. An S_n -invariant line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(\overline{M}_{0,n})^{S_n}$ is called *stratally effective boundary* if the pullback b_λ^*L is an *effective boundary* (that is, an effective \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of boundary divisors) on $\overline{M}_{0,|\lambda|}$ for all partitions λ . By a standard argument [Mor07, Effective Dichotomy, p.39], a stratally effective boundary divisor is nef. The main result of this note is:

Theorem 1. *An F-nef S_n -invariant line bundle L on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is stratally effective boundary if b_λ^*L is an effective boundary for all strict partitions λ of n .*

Since n has a strict partition of size $> k$ only if $n \geq (k+1)(k+2)/2$, we obtain:

Corollary 2. *Suppose the strong F-conjecture holds for $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ for all $m \leq k$. Then the symmetric F-conjecture is true for $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ for all $n \leq (k+1)(k+2)/2 - 1$.*

In particular, since the strong F-conjecture holds for $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ for all $m \leq 7$, by [Lar12] for $m = 7$ and [FG03] for $m \leq 6$, we conclude that:

Date: October 11, 2021.

Corollary 3. *Suppose $g \leq 35$. The symmetric F -conjecture holds for $\overline{M}_{0,g}$ in any characteristic, and for \overline{M}_g in any characteristic except 2.*

The characteristic restriction in the second part is from [GKM02, Theorem (0.3)].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Theorem 1 follows from a special case of [Fed14, Prop. 6.0.6], which we now present:

Lemma 4 (Ascent of effectivity). *Let L be an F -nef S_n -invariant line bundle on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. Suppose $\lambda \vdash n$ is a non-strict partition of size k , say, with $\lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_k$. Set $\mu := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-2}, 2\lambda_{k-1}) \vdash n$. If $b_\mu^* L$ is an effective boundary on $\overline{M}_{0,k-1}$, then $b_\lambda^* L$ is an effective boundary on $\overline{M}_{0,k}$.*

Preliminaries: Every divisor D on $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ can be written as

$$(5) \quad D = - \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} b_{I,J} \Delta_{I,J},$$

where the sum is taken over all 2-part partitions of $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$. Such a partition is *proper* if $|I|, |J| \geq 2$. Proper partitions enumerate the boundary divisors, and the non-proper 2-part partitions of $[m]$ correspond to the cotangent line bundles via a standard convention that $\Delta_{\{i\}, [m] \setminus \{i\}} := -\psi_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The ambiguity in writing D as in (5) is completely described by Keel's relations in $\text{Pic}(\overline{M}_{0,m})$ (see [AC98, Theorem 2.2(d)] and [Kee92]). We use the following formulation:

Lemma 6 (Effective Boundary Lemma [Fed14, Lemma 2.3.3]). *We have*

$$- \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} b_{I,J} \Delta_{I,J} = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} c_{I,J} \Delta_{I,J} \in \text{Pic}(\overline{M}_{0,m}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

if and only if there is a function $w: \text{Sym}^2\{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that for every 2-part partition $I \sqcup J = [m]$, we have:

$$\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) = c_{I,J} + b_{I,J}.$$

In particular, a divisor $D = - \sum_{I \sqcup J = [m]} b_{I,J} \Delta_{I,J}$ is an effective boundary on $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ if and only if there exists a function w such that

$$\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) \geq b_{I,J},$$

for all partitions $I \sqcup J = [m]$, with equality holding for all non-proper partitions.

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a function such that

$$(7) \quad L = - \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} f(|I|) \Delta_{I,J},$$

where the sum is taken over all 2-part partitions of $[n]$. If $L = \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_i \Delta_i$ in the standard basis of $\text{Pic}(\overline{M}_{0,n})^{S_n}$, then we can take $f(i) = f(n-i) = -c_i$ for all $i = 2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, and $f(0) = f(1) = f(n-1) = 0$.

The function f is symmetric, that is $f(a) = f(n - a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. By F-nefness of L , for every 4-part integer partition $n = a + b + c + d$, corresponding to the F-curve $F(a, b, c, d) \subset \overline{M}_{0,n}/S_n$, we have an F-inequality:

$$(8) \quad L \cdot F(a, b, c, d) = f(a) + f(b) + f(c) + f(d) - f(a + b) - f(b + c) - f(a + c) \geq 0.$$

By the assumption,

$$b_\mu^* L = - \sum_{I \sqcup J = [k-1]} f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \mu_t\right) \Delta_{I,J}$$

is an effective boundary on $\overline{M}_{0,k-1}$. By Lemma 6, there is a function $\tilde{w}: \text{Sym}^2[k-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that for every 2-part partition $I \sqcup J = [k-1]$, we have:

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \tilde{w}(i, j) \geq f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \mu_t\right),$$

with equality holding for all non-proper partitions.

Define $w: \text{Sym}^2[k] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$w(i, j) = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}(i, j) & \text{if } i, j \in \{1, \dots, k-2\} \\ \tilde{w}(i, j)/2 & \text{if } i \in \{k-1, k\} \text{ and } j \notin \{k-1, k\} \end{cases}$$

$$w(k-1, k) = f(\lambda_k) - \frac{1}{2}f(2\lambda_k) = f(\lambda_k) - \frac{1}{2}f(\mu_{k-1}).$$

Since

$$b_\lambda^* L = - \sum_{I \sqcup J = [k]} f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \lambda_t\right) \Delta_{I,J},$$

Lemma 6 implies that $b_\lambda^* L$ is an effective boundary on $\overline{M}_{0,k}$ once we establish the following:

Claim 10. *For all 2-part partitions $I \sqcup J = [k]$, we have*

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) \geq f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \lambda_t\right),$$

with equality holding for all non-proper partitions.

We consider three cases:

Case 1: If $k-1$ and k belong to the same part, say J , then

$$\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) = \sum_{i \in I, j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \setminus I} \tilde{w}(i, j) \geq f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \mu_t\right) = f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \lambda_t\right),$$

where we used Inequality (9). In particular, the equality holds when I is a singleton.

Case 2: If $J = \{k-1\}$, or $J = \{k\}$,

$$\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) = w(k-1, k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, k-2\}} \tilde{w}(j, k-1) = f(\lambda_k) - \frac{1}{2}f(2\lambda_k) + \frac{1}{2}f(\mu_{k-1}) = f(\lambda_k).$$

Case 3: Suppose $I = I' \cup \{k-1\}$ and $J = J' \cup \{k\}$, where $I' \sqcup J' = \{1, \dots, k-2\}$. Then

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) &= f(\lambda_k) - \frac{1}{2}f(2\lambda_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I', j \in J' \cup \{k-1\}} \tilde{w}(i, j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I' \cup \{k-1\}, j \in J'} \tilde{w}(i, j) \\ &\geq f(\lambda_k) - \frac{1}{2}f(2\lambda_k) + \frac{1}{2}f\left(\sum_{t \in I'} \lambda_t\right) + \frac{1}{2}f\left(\sum_{t \in J'} \lambda_t\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Inequality (9).

Denoting $A := \sum_{t \in I'} \lambda_t$, $B := \sum_{t \in J'} \lambda_t$, and $a := \lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_k$, we have $A + B + 2a = n$, and (12) translates into

$$\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) \geq f(a) - \frac{1}{2}f(2a) + \frac{1}{2}f(A) + \frac{1}{2}f(B).$$

Applying the F-inequality (8):

$$L \cdot F(A, B, a, a) = f(A) + f(B) + 2f(a) - 2f(A + a) - f(2a) \geq 0,$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{i \in I, j \in J} w(i, j) \geq f(A + a) = f\left(\sum_{t \in I} \lambda_t\right),$$

as desired. □

REFERENCES

- [AC98] Enrico Arbarello and Maurizio Cornalba. Calculating cohomology groups of moduli spaces of curves via algebraic geometry. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (88):97–127 (1999), 1998.
- [Fed14] Maksym Fedorchuk. Semiampness criteria for divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, 2014. Under review, [arXiv:1407.7839](#).
- [FG03] Gavril Farkas and Angela Gibney. The Mori cones of moduli spaces of pointed curves of small genus. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(3):1183–1199 (electronic), 2003.
- [Gib09] Angela Gibney. Numerical criteria for divisors on \overline{M}_g to be ample. *Compos. Math.*, 145(5):1227–1248, 2009.
- [GKM02] Angela Gibney, Sean Keel, and Ian Morrison. Towards the ample cone of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(2):273–294 (electronic), 2002.
- [Kee92] Sean Keel. Intersection theory of moduli space of stable n -pointed curves of genus zero. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 330(2):545–574, 1992.
- [Lar12] Paul L. Larsen. Fulton’s conjecture for $\overline{M}_{0,7}$. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 85(1):1–21, 2012.
- [Mor07] Ian Morrison. Mori theory of moduli spaces of stable curves, 2007. Projective Press, New York.
- [Pix13] Aaron Pixton. A nonboundary nef divisor on $\overline{M}_{0,12}$. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(3):1317–1324, 2013.

Department of Mathematics, Boston College, 140 Commonwealth Ave, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA
maksym.fedorchuk@bc.edu