

# Polynomial interpolation of modular forms for Hecke groups

Barry Brent

15 September 21

## Abstract

For  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ , let  $\lambda_m = 2 \cos \pi/m$  and let  $J_m(m = 3, 4, \dots)$  be triangle functions for the Hecke groups  $G(\lambda_m)$  with Fourier expansions  $J_m(\tau) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a_n(m) q_m^n$ , where  $q_m(\tau) = \exp 2\pi i \tau / \lambda_m$ . (When normalized appropriately,  $J_3$  becomes Klein's  $j$ -invariant  $j(\tau) = 1/e^{2\pi i \tau} + 744 + \dots$ ) For  $n = -1, 0, 1, 2$  and  $3$ , Raleigh gave polynomials  $P_n(x)$  such that  $a_{-1}(m)^n q_m^{2n+2} a_n(m) = P_n(m)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ , and conjectured that similar relations hold for all positive integers  $n$ . This was proved by Akiyama. We apply work of Hecke to study experimentally similar polynomial interpolations of the  $J_m$  Fourier coefficients and the Fourier coefficients of other, positive weight, modular forms for  $G(\lambda_m)$ . We connect these polynomials (again, only empirically) with variants of Dedekind's eta function, with the Fourier expansions of some standard Hauptmoduln, and, in the case of analogues of Eisenstein series for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , with certain divisor sums.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 An example

Here is an example of a sequence  $\{P_n(x)\}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and a corresponding sequence of modular forms  $\{f_m\}$  having the relationship we examine in this article. Let  $T_m :=$  the cyclic subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\pi/m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

let  $f_m(x) := \sin(mx)$ , and let

$$Q_n(x) := (-1)^{(n-1)/2} x^n / n!.$$

Furthermore let  $P_n(x) = Q_n(x)$  if  $n$  is odd and  $P_n(x) = 0$  if  $n$  is even. Members of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  act on  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows. If

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $x$  is real, we set

$$M(x) := \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}.$$

Thus the  $T_m$  act on  $\mathbb{R}$  by translation. From the periodicity and Taylor series of sine, we know that  $f_m(x)$  is invariant (weight-0 modular) with respect to the action of the  $T_m$  and equal to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(m)x^n$ . We say that the elements of  $\{f_m\}$  are interpolated by the sequence of polynomials  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ .

## 1.2 First sketch of the background.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  denote, respectively, the set of rational integers, the set of rational numbers, the set of complex numbers, and the set of complex numbers with positive imaginary parts. (We will reserve the letter  $\tau$  for elements of the upper half-plane, and  $z$  for generic complex numbers.) We write  $\mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$ , and we equip  $\mathbb{H}^*$  with the Poincaré metric. Figures  $T$  made by three geodesics of  $\mathbb{H}^*$  are called hyperbolic or circular-arc triangles. Let  $\lambda_m = 2 \cos \pi/m$ . For  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ , we define the Hecke group  $G(\lambda_m)$  as the discrete group generated by the maps  $z \rightarrow -1/z$  and  $z \rightarrow z + \lambda_m$ . The full modular group  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is identical to  $G(\lambda_3)$ .

To define modular forms for the Hecke groups, we preview a definition from Berndt [7], which we will quote again in a later section. (We depart occasionally from Berndt's choices of variable to avoid clashes with some of our other notation.)

We say that  $f$  belongs to the space  $M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  if

1.

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n \tau / \lambda},$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , and

2.  $f(-1/\tau) = \gamma(\tau/i)^k f(\tau)$ , where  $k > 0$  and  $\gamma = \pm 1$ .

We say that  $f$  belongs to the space  $M_0(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  if  $f$  satisfies conditions 1 and 2 and if  $a_n = O(n^c)$  for some real number  $c$ , as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ .

Members of  $M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  are known as modular forms for  $G(\lambda)$  of weight  $k$ . Condition 1 tells us that they are invariant under translations  $\tau \mapsto \tau + \lambda$ . Next we preview Berndt's definition of cusp forms for Hecke groups. If  $f \in M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  and  $f(i\infty) = 0$ , then we call  $f$  a cusp form of weight  $k$  and multiplier  $\gamma$  with respect to  $G(\lambda)$ . For cusp forms, the constant terms of condition 1 vanish. We denote by  $C(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  the vector space of all cusp forms of this kind.

For our purposes, Schwarz triangles  $T$  are hyperbolic triangles in  $\mathbb{H}^*$  with certain restrictions on the angles at the vertices. From a Euclidean point of view,

their sides are vertical rays, segments of vertical rays, semicircles orthogonal to the real axis and meeting it at points  $(r, 0)$  with  $r$  rational, or arcs of such semicircles. We choose  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$ , all non-negative, such that  $\lambda + \mu + \nu < 1$ ; then the angles of  $T$  are  $\lambda\pi, \mu\pi$ , and  $\nu\pi$ . By reflecting  $T$  across one of its edges, we get another Schwarz triangle. The reflection between two triangles in  $\mathbb{H}^*$  is effected by a Möbius transformation, so the orbit of  $T$  under repeated reflections is associated to a collection of Möbius transformations. The group generated by these transformations is a triangle group. By the Riemann Mapping Theorem there is a conformal, onto map  $\phi : T \mapsto \mathbb{H}^*$  called a triangle function.

Hecke groups are triangle groups  $H$  that act properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{H}$ .<sup>1</sup> This means that for compact  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$ , the set  $\{\mu \in H \text{ s.t. } K \cap \mu(K) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Recall that  $G(\lambda_m)$  is the Hecke group generated by the maps  $z \mapsto -1/z$  and  $z \mapsto z + \lambda_m$ . Hecke established in [23] that  $G(\lambda_m)$  has the structure of a free product of cyclic groups  $C_2 * C_m$ , generalizing the relation [39, 13]  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) = C_2 * C_3$ .

Let  $\rho = -\exp(-\pi i/m) = -\cos(\pi/m) + i \sin(\pi/m)$ , and let  $T_m \subset \mathbb{H}^*$  denote the hyperbolic triangle with vertices  $\rho, i$ , and  $i\infty$ . The corresponding angles are  $\pi/m, \pi/2$  and  $0$  respectively. Let  $\phi_{\lambda_m}$  be a triangle function for  $T_m$ . The function  $\phi_{\lambda_m}$  has a pole at  $i\infty$  and period  $\lambda_m$ . For  $P, Q \in \mathbb{H}^*$ , let us write  $P \equiv_H Q$  when  $\mu \in H$  and  $Q = \mu(P)$ . Then  $\phi_{\lambda_m}$  extends to a function  $J_m : \mathbb{H}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*$  by declaring that  $J_m(P) = J_m(Q)$  if and only if  $P \equiv_H Q$ .  $J_m$  is a modular function for  $G(\lambda_m)$ .

Schwarz, Lehner, Raleigh and others studied Schwarz triangle functions, which map hyperbolic triangles  $T$  in the extended upper half  $z$ -plane onto the extended upper half  $w$ -plane.<sup>2</sup> For certain  $T = T_m$ , a triangle function  $\phi_{\lambda_m} : T \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*$  extends to a map  $J_m : \mathbb{H}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*$  invariant under modular transformations from  $G(\lambda_m)$ . Suitably normalized, the  $J_m$  become analogues  $j_m$  of the normalized Klein's modular invariant

$$j(\tau) = 1/q + 744 + 196884q + \dots$$

where  $q = q(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$  and  $j_3(\tau) = j(\tau)$ .<sup>3</sup> The  $j_m$  are studied in conjecture 1 below.

With  $\lambda_m = 2 \cos \pi/m$  and  $q_m(\tau) = \exp(2\pi i\tau/\lambda_m)$ , the original  $J_m$  have Fourier series  $J_m(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq -1} a_n(m) q_m(\tau)^n$ . For  $n = -1, 0, 1, 2$  and  $3$ , Raleigh gave polynomials  $P_n(x)$  such that  $a_{-1}(m)^n q_m^{2n+2} a_n(m) = P_n(m)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ , and conjectured that similar relations hold for all positive integers  $n$ .<sup>4</sup> Akiyama proved this conjecture in the passage after his (Akiyama's) equation (6) [1].

---

<sup>1</sup>[23]

<sup>2</sup>[38], [27],[35]

<sup>3</sup>For  $j$ , see [39], Chapter VII, equation (23).

<sup>4</sup>[35]

Hecke built families of modular forms  $f_m$  for  $G(\lambda_m)$  sharing particular properties.<sup>5</sup> Earlier authors, whose work we will also describe, had already built modular functions (meromorphic functions invariant under the action of  $G(\lambda)$ , thus, of weight zero) from triangle functions.

### 1.3 Plan of the article.

The plan of the article is as follows. (1) An elaboration of the preceding discussion to establish a basis for the code in our experiments.<sup>6</sup> (2) Conjectures on polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  interpolating the coefficients in Fourier expansions of triangle functions for  $G(\lambda_m)$ . (3) A survey of Hecke’s theory of modular forms for  $G(\lambda_m)$ , especially, the construction of modular forms from modular functions. (4) Several conjectures about polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  interpolating the coefficients in Fourier expansions of Hecke modular forms on  $G(\lambda_m)$ . (5) Several data plots and tables. Tables at the end of the article focus on the triangle functions, since they are the basis of our construction of positive-weight modular forms, but more extensive collections of plots and tables are available within the *SageMath* and *Mathematica* notebooks on [10].

### 1.4 Methods.

Our conjectures are based on numerical experiments; here is a little more detail on the way we arrive at them. We begin with a list of modular functions or modular forms  $f_m$  for  $G(\lambda_m)$ ,  $m = 3, 4, \dots$  sharing certain properties picked out by Hecke’s theory. Then we make tables of polynomials  $Q_n(x)$  generated by Lagrangian interpolation from the values of the coefficient  $k_m(n)$  in Fourier expansions  $f_m = \sum_n k_m(n) X_m^n$ , where  $X_m$  is a variable related to  $q_m(\tau)$ . Thus we are seeking  $Q_n(x)$  such that

$$Q_n(m) = k_m(n) \tag{1}$$

for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ . If the degrees of the  $Q_n(x)$  we obtain are linear in  $n$ , we take this to be evidence that the  $Q_n(x)$  do satisfy equation (1) for all integers  $m$  greater than two. (Typically, the alternative outcome is that the degree of every polynomial  $Q_n(x)$  that we generate in a given table is equal to the size of the data set we are trying to interpolate.)

---

<sup>5</sup>[7], [23]

<sup>6</sup>We have documentation in the data repository [10]. *Mathematica* notebook names end in the suffix “.nb”, and *SageMath* notebook names end in the suffix “.ipynb”. Numerical data files named in the notebooks is stored in the folder “data” on [10]. A green “Code” button on the top page of the repository contains a drop-down menu with a download option. A *Mathematica* notebook (“mf25.nb”) in the repository is a searchable library of functions that may not be defined explicitly within our other notebooks. We used *SageMath* release 9.1.

## 1.5 Work of Lehner, Raleigh and Leo.

The earliest computer code we located for calculating Fourier expansions of triangle functions for Hecke groups is that of Leo<sup>7</sup>; it is based on Lehner's construction. Leo also calculates the Fourier coefficients of weight 4 and weight 6 Hecke-analogues of classical Eisenstein series in Chapter 4 of [28]. Our code for triangle functions, which is based on Leo's, comes from the papers of Lehner and Raleigh. J. Jermann's package is also concerned with modular forms of triangle groups for Hecke groups, but we did not make use of it.<sup>8</sup>

## 1.6 Disclaimer.

The article describes experiments and states conjectures. It contains no theorems except ones that we quote from the existing literature.

## 2 A glossary

Some special functions in this list are related; different notations for similar objects are used by Lehner and Raleigh, and we included all of them.

1. The digamma function  $\psi(z) := \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$ .

2. <sup>9</sup> The Schwarzian derivative

$$\{w, z\} = \frac{2w'w''' - 3w''^2}{2w'^2} \quad (2)$$

for  $w = w(z)$ . (In section 3 below, we discuss Carathéodory's presentation of a well-known theorem of Schwarz; Carathéodory writes the left side of our equation (4) as " $\{w, z\} = \frac{w'w'''}{w'^2} - 3\frac{w''^2}{w'^2} = \dots$ "<sup>10</sup>, but we infer that the Schwarzian derivative  $\{w, z\}$  is intended from the automorphy property of that theorem's clause 2.)

3. The Pochhammer symbol

$$(a)^0 := 1 \text{ and, for } n \geq 1, (a)^n := a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1) = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a).$$

4. <sup>11</sup> The function  $c_\nu$  given by

$$c_\nu = c_\nu(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \frac{(\alpha)^\nu(\beta)^\nu}{\nu!(\gamma)^\nu}, \nu \geq 0.$$

---

<sup>7</sup>[28]

<sup>8</sup>[24]

<sup>9</sup>[15], p. 130, equation 370.8

<sup>10</sup>[15], §374

<sup>11</sup>[15], p. 138, equation 377.3

To facilitate comparison with Raleigh's equation (9<sup>1</sup>)<sup>12</sup>, we remark that

$$c_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \nu)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \nu)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(1 + \nu)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma + \nu)}. \quad (3)$$

In the terms of this article's Theorem 1 below, Raleigh is treating the case  $\lambda = 0$ , for which (equation (7) below)  $\gamma = 1$  and the expression on the right side of (3) becomes, as in Raleigh,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \nu)\Gamma(\beta + \nu)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)(\nu!)^2}.$$

5. The function  $e_\nu$  given by<sup>13</sup>

$$e_\nu = e_\nu(\alpha, \beta) := \sum_{p=0}^{\nu-1} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha + p} + \frac{1}{\beta + p} - \frac{2}{1 + p} \right).$$

Here, we are dealing with the same ambiguity present in the definition of  $c_\nu$ : this is a specialization to the case  $\gamma = 1$  of the  $e_\nu$  for  $\nu \geq 1$  given by<sup>14</sup>

$$e_\nu = e_\nu(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha + p} + \frac{1}{\beta + p} - \frac{2}{\gamma + p} \right).$$

Unless it is explicitly indicated to be otherwise, we intend the former (Raleigh's) definition.

6. (a)<sup>15</sup> Gauss's hypergeometric series

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} c_\nu(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) z^\nu.$$

$F$  is occasionally written in [15] as  $\phi_1$  (for example, on, p. 152.)

(b)<sup>16</sup>

$$F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) := F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma + 1; z).$$

(c)<sup>17</sup> Alternatively, dropping  $\gamma$ :

$$F_1(\alpha, \beta; z) := \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_k(\beta)_\nu}{(\nu!)^2} e_\nu(\alpha, \beta).$$

It is in the latter form, defined more cryptically in [27], p. 244, that we will use  $F_1$ ; to establish his series for the triangle functions, which

---

<sup>12</sup>[35]

<sup>13</sup>[35], equation 9<sup>1</sup>

<sup>14</sup>[15], p. 153, equation 387.5

<sup>15</sup>[15], p. 138, equation (377.4)

<sup>16</sup>As defined in the first line of [15], p. 142.

<sup>17</sup>[28], equation (3.5)

we will apply below, Lehner uses this definition of  $F_1$ , as well as certain theorems from Fricke.<sup>18</sup> Referring to item 4, we see that

$$F_1(\alpha, \beta; z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{\nu}(\alpha, \beta, 1) e_{\nu}(\alpha, \beta).$$

We will derive another form of  $F_1(\alpha, \beta; z)$  in item 7.

7. With  $F = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z)$ , a special function

$$F^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) := \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} + 2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \gamma};$$

$F^*$  may be written<sup>19</sup>

$$F^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} c_{\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) e_{\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) z^{\nu}.$$

It follows that  $F^*(\alpha, \beta, 1; z) = F_1(\alpha, \beta; z)$ .

- 8.<sup>20</sup> A special function  $\phi_2^*(z)$  is defined as a certain limit but is immediately reduced to

$$\phi_2^*(z) = F(\alpha, \beta, 1; z) \log z + F^*(\alpha, \beta, 1; z).$$

- 9.<sup>21</sup> The set  $\mathcal{Q} = \{2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, \dots\}$  of positive integers not represented by the quadratic form  $x^2 + xy + y^2$ . B. Cloitre asserts on the cited page that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is also the set of non-negative integers  $n$  such that  $\delta(n)$  is non-zero, where  $\eta$  is Dedekind's eta function and  $\sum_n \delta(n) x^n = \eta(x^3)/\eta(x)^3$ .
10. The McKay-Thompson series of class 4A,  $\{1, 24, 276, 2048, \dots\}$ , which is the sequence of coefficients in the  $q$ -series of a certain hauptmodul discussed in [30]. We identified it with the sequence  $\{\phi_n\}$  of our conjecture 1 after finding it in on [46].
11. As usual, the cardinality of a finite set  $S$  is written  $\#S$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  prime number is denoted by  $p_n$ , the number of primes less than or equal to  $x$  is written  $\pi(x)$ , and  $\sigma_k(n) := \sum_{0 < d|n} d^k$ .

### 3 Calculation of Schwarz's inverse triangle function

Schwarz proved

---

<sup>18</sup>[20]

<sup>19</sup>[15], equation (387.4) on p. 153

<sup>20</sup>[15], p. 152, equations 386.2 and 386.3

<sup>21</sup>[44]

**Theorem 1.** <sup>22</sup>

1. Let the half-plane  $\Im z > 0$  be mapped conformally onto an arbitrary circular-arc triangle whose angles at its vertices  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are  $\pi\lambda, \pi\mu$ , and  $\pi\nu$ , and let the vertices  $A, B, C$  be the images of the points  $z = 0, 1, \infty$ , respectively. Then the mapping function  $w(z)$  must be a solution of the third-order differential equation

$$\{w, z\} = \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{2z^2} + \frac{1 - \mu^2}{2(1 - z^2)} + \frac{1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 + \nu^2}{2z(1 - z)}. \quad (4)$$

2. If  $w_0(z)$  is any solution of equation (4) that satisfies  $w'_0(z) \neq 0$  at all interior points of the half-plane, then the function

$$w(z) = \frac{aw_0(z) + b}{cw_0(z) + d} \quad (ad - bc \neq 0)$$

is likewise a solution of equation 3.

3. Also, every solution of equation (4) that is regular and non-constant in the half-plane  $\Im z > 0$  represents a mapping of this half-plane onto a circular-arc triangle with angles  $\pi\lambda, \pi\mu$ , and  $\pi\nu$ .

(In Carathéodory's lexicon, a regular function is one that is differentiable on an open connected set.) <sup>23</sup>

Let us write

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda - \mu + \nu), \quad (5)$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda - \mu - \nu), \quad (6)$$

and

$$\gamma = 1 - \lambda. \quad (7)$$

The solutions  $w$  of equation (4) are inverse to triangle functions; they are quotients of arbitrary solutions of

$$u'' + p(z)u' + q(z)u = 0 \quad (8)$$

when

$$p = \frac{1 - \lambda}{z} - \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - z}$$

and <sup>24</sup>

$$q = -\frac{\alpha\beta}{z(1 - z)}.$$

---

<sup>22</sup>[15], §374

<sup>23</sup>[14] p. 124

<sup>24</sup>[15], p. 136, equation (376.4)



Equation (8) reduces to the hypergeometric differential equation <sup>25</sup>

$$z(1-z)u'' + (\gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1)z)u' - \alpha\beta u = 0. \quad (9)$$

As long as  $\gamma$  is not a non-positive integer,  $u = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z)$  is a solution of equation (9); it is the only solution regular at  $z = 0$ , and it satisfies <sup>26</sup>  $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; 0) = 1$ .

In [15], we find that <sup>27</sup> when  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0$ , another, linearly independent, solution of equation (8) is  $\phi_2^*(z)$ . Section 394, pp. 165 - 167 of [15] is devoted to the case  $\lambda = 0$ . There we find that the mapping function  $w$  of Theorem 1 satisfies <sup>28</sup>

$$w = \frac{1}{\pi i} \left[ \frac{\phi_2^*}{\phi_1} - (2\psi(1) - \psi(1 - \alpha) - \psi(1 - \beta)) \right] + i \frac{\sin \pi \mu}{\cos \pi \mu + \cos \pi \nu}. \quad (10)$$

## 4 Inversion of Schwarz's inverse triangle function

Following Lehner and Raleigh, we consider the Schwarz triangle  $T_m$  with vertices at  $\rho = -\exp(-\pi i/m)$ ,  $i$ , and  $i\infty$ . In terms of Theorem 1,  $T_m$  has  $\lambda = 0$  (an angle 0 at the vertex  $i\infty$ ),  $\mu = 1/2$  (an angle  $\pi/2$  at  $i$ ), and  $\nu = 1/m$  (an angle  $\pi/m$  at  $\rho$ .) In this situation,  $\gamma = 1$ .

Let  $J_m$  be automorphic for  $G(\lambda_m)$  with  $J_m(\rho) = 0$ ,  $J_m(i) = 1$ , and  $J_m(i\infty) = \infty$ . In terms of Theorem 1,  $w$  and  $J_m$  are inverse functions. We are going to write down the Fourier expansion  $\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a_n q_m(\tau)^n$  of  $J_m$ .

By clause 2 of Theorem 1, if  $w$  satisfies equations (4) and (10), so does  $\tau = \tau(z) = \lambda_m w(z)/2$ , and therefore

$$2\pi i \tau / \lambda_m = \frac{\phi_2^*}{\phi_1} - (2\psi(1) - \psi(1 - \alpha) - \psi(1 - \beta)) - \pi \sec(\pi/m).$$

Let us write  $\log A_m = -2\psi(1) + \psi(1 - \alpha) + \psi(1 - \beta) - \pi \sec(\pi/m)$ . In general,  $A_m = a_{-1}(m)$ . <sup>29</sup> Recalling the definitions of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2^*$  from our glossary items 6 and 8, we find (abbreviating  $J_m(\tau)$  as  $J_m$ ) that

$$2\pi i \tau / \lambda_m = -\log J_m + \frac{F^*(\alpha, \beta, 1; 1/J_m)}{F(\alpha, \beta, 1; 1/J_m)} + \log A_m. \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) is equation (6) of [35], but Raleigh suppresses the subscripts. He also writes  $\exp 2\pi i \tau / \lambda_m$  as  $x_m$ , so that (in our earlier notation)  $x_m = q_m(\tau)$ .

<sup>25</sup>[15], p. 137, equations 376.5-7

<sup>26</sup>Final paragraph of [15], §377, p. 138.

<sup>27</sup>§§386-388 (pp. 151-155)

<sup>28</sup>[15] p. 166, equation 394.4

<sup>29</sup>[35], for example two lines below equation (13).

In Raleigh's notation, after taking exponentials,

$$x_m/A_m = \frac{1}{J_m} \exp \frac{F^*(\alpha, \beta, 1; 1/J_m)}{F(\alpha, \beta, 1; 1/J_m)}, \quad (12)$$

the right side of which has a power series in  $J_m$  with rational coefficients. Writing  $X_m = x_m/A_m$  we can regard  $X_m = X_m(J_m)$  as a power series in  $J_m$  with rational coefficients. Following [27] and [35], we inverted this power series to obtain one for the modular function  $J_m$ , also with rational coefficients. By construction, the Fourier expansion of  $J_m$  in  $X_m$  is normalized so that the coefficient of  $1/X_m$  is 1.<sup>30</sup> Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a formal operation taking a power series  $\sigma(v)$  to its inverse; that is, if  $u = \sigma(v)$  then  $v = \mathcal{J}(\sigma)(u)$ . Let  $Y_m(J)$  be a power series such that

$$Y_m(J_m) = J_m \exp \frac{F^*(\alpha, \beta, 1; J_m)}{F(\alpha, \beta, 1; J_m)} = X_m(1/J_m)$$

and hence

$$Y_m(1/J_m) = \frac{1}{J_m} \exp_m \frac{F^*(\alpha, \beta, 1; 1/J_m)}{F(\alpha, \beta, 1; 1/J_m)} = X_m(J_m),$$

so that  $\mathcal{J}(Y_m)(X_m(J)) = 1/J_m$  and, therefore,  $J_m = 1/\mathcal{J}(Y_m)(X_m)$ .

**Remark 1.** We noticed several typos in [35]. Four of Raleigh's equations—(I), (10), and the two equations on p. 109 that begin “ $a_{-1}(q) = \dots$ ” (where Raleigh's  $q$  is our  $m$ )—are pairwise contradictory. From the second paragraph on Raleigh's p. 110, we expect that  $A_3 = a_{-1}(3) = 1/1728$ ,  $A_4 = a_{-1}(4) = 1/256$ , and  $A_6 = a_{-1}(6) = 1/108$ . These values are consistent with Raleigh's equation (10), but not with the others. We infer that all of them except (10) are incorrect. Thus, following Raleigh by writing  $\psi$  for the digamma function,  $\alpha(m)$  for  $(1/2 - 1/m)/2$ , and  $\beta(m)$  for  $(1/2 + 1/m)/2$ ,

$$a_{-1}(m) = \exp(-2\psi(1) + \psi(1 - \alpha(m)) + \psi(1 - \beta(m)) - \pi \sec(\pi/m)).$$

## 5 Raleigh's polynomials for triangle functions

Let  $X_m$  be the variable from the previous section. We define some operators on infinite series in  $X_m$ .<sup>31</sup>

**Definition 1.** Let  $f = \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} k_n X_m^n$ , where  $k_n$  is a rational number for  $n = a, a+1, \dots$ , and  $k_a \neq 0$ .

1. Let  $g = \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} k_n (2^6 m^3 X_m)^n = \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} \tilde{k}_n X_m^n$  (say). Then

$$\bar{f} := g/\tilde{k}_a.$$

<sup>30</sup>[35], equation (12).

<sup>31</sup>The substitution involved appears in [28].

2.

$$f^* := \frac{1}{k_a} \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} k_n X_m^{n-a}.$$

Recall, from the passage following equation (12) in the previous section, that the Fourier expansion of  $J_m$  in  $X_m$  has the form

$$J_m(\tau) = 1/X_m + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(m) X_m^n.$$

**Definition 2.** *For the present purpose, we regard  $J_m$  as a Laurent series in  $X_m$  and write*

$$j_m := \overline{J_m}.$$

**Conjecture 1.** <sup>32</sup> *Let the Fourier expansion of  $j_m(\tau)$  be*

$$j_m = 1/X_m + \sum_{n \geq 0} c_m(n) X_m^n.$$

1. <sup>33</sup> *For each integer  $n$  greater than  $-2$ , there exists a polynomial  $C_n(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  that satisfies the relation  $c_m(n) = C_n(m)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$*
2. <sup>34</sup> *Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be as in item 10 of our glossary. For some degree  $2n$ , irreducible, monic polynomial  $\gamma_n(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ :*

$$C_n(x) = \phi_n \cdot (x-2)(x+2)x^{n+1}\gamma_n(x).$$

3. <sup>35</sup>  *$j_3$  is the modular function on  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  usually denoted  $j$ .*
4. <sup>36</sup> *The complex roots of  $\gamma_n(x)$  lie in the disk with center zero and radius  $n/\log(n)$ .*
5. <sup>37</sup> *Let  $G_n$  be the Galois group of  $\gamma_n(x)$  over the rationals. The size of  $G_n$  is  $2^n n!$  and (if  $n$  is greater than two)  $G_n$  is isomorphic to a permutation group on  $2n$  elements  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$  with three generators: a transposition  $(e_j, e_k)$ , a product  $(e_j, e_{j'})(e_k, e_{k'})$ , and a product  $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2$  of disjoint cycles  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , each of length  $n$ , such that  $\Gamma_1$  sends  $e_j$  to  $e_{j'}$  and  $\Gamma_2$  sends  $e_k$  to  $e_{k'}$ .*

---

<sup>32</sup>Some code for  $j_m$  Fourier expansions appearing in *SageMath* notebooks cited below was generated in ‘j from scratch.ipynb’ [10], which employs a “dictionary” (the definitions at the top of the notebook) distinct from the corresponding dictionaries in the notebooks where it is reproduced.

<sup>33</sup>Notebook “conjecture 1.nb”, [10].

<sup>34</sup>Notebook “conjecture 1 clause 2.ipynb”, [10].

<sup>35</sup>Notebook “conjecture 1 clause 3.nb”, [10].

<sup>36</sup>Notebooks “conjecture1clause4.nb”, “conjecture1clause4d.nb”, “conjecture 1 clause 4 no2.ipynb”, “conjecture 1 clause 4 no3.ipynb”, “conjecture 1 clause 4 no4.ipynb”, “conjecture 1 clause 4 no5.ipynb”, and “conjecture 1 clause 4 no6.ipynb”, [10].

<sup>37</sup>Folder “conjecture1clause5”, [10].

6. <sup>38</sup> Let  $n$  be larger than one and let  $\pi_n$  be the set of prime numbers dividing the denominator of at least one non-zero coefficient of  $C_n(x)$  in its unfactored form. Then

(a)  $\pi_2 = \{3\}$  and  $\pi_3$  is empty.

(b) If  $\pi_n$  is ordered by size, it contains no gaps. That is, if  $p$  and  $p'$  are consecutive elements of  $\pi_n$  with  $p = p_k$  and  $p' = p_j$ , then  $j = k + 1$ .

(c) If  $n$  is an odd prime other than 3, then

$$\pi_n = \{3, \dots, k, \dots, p\}_{k \text{ prime}}$$

where  $p$  is the greatest prime less than  $n$ .

(d) If  $n$  is composite and  $n + 1$  is prime, then

$$\pi_n = \{3, \dots, k, \dots, n + 1\}_{k \text{ prime}}.$$

(e) If  $n$  and  $n + 1$  are both composite, then

$$\pi_n = \{3, \dots, k, \dots, p\}_{k \text{ prime}}$$

where  $p$  is the greatest prime less than  $n$ .

Clause 2 implies that, for  $m$  greater than or equal to three,  $c_n(m)$  is nonzero. It is already known that, for all integers  $n \geq -1$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fourier coefficient of  $j = j_3$ , namely  $c(n) = c_n(3)$ , is positive.<sup>39</sup> We tested clause 4 in several ways. We approximated the roots of the  $\gamma_n(x)$  with root-finding routines and compared their complex moduli with  $n/\log(n)$ . We used the argument principle to count the zeros in central disks of radius  $n/\log(n)$ . We superimposed plots of the roots of  $\gamma_n(x)$  against plots of circles with radius  $n/\log(n)$  and center at the origin. An example is depicted in Figure 1.<sup>40</sup> For clause 5, we computed the Galois groups in *Magma*. For clause 6, some sequences we generated in the analysis were identified in [40] and [48].

**Conjecture 2.** <sup>41</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $J_m(\tau)$  be

$$J_m = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a_m(n) X_m^n.$$

1. <sup>42</sup> We have

---

<sup>38</sup>Notebook “conjecture 1 clause 6.ipynb”, [10].

<sup>39</sup>See, for example, page 199 in [36].

<sup>40</sup>Notebook “conjecture1clause4d.nb”, [10].

<sup>41</sup>Relevant documents in [10] are notebooks “conjecture 2.nb”, “conjecture2no1.ipynb”, “capital-J make data file1jun21.ipynb” and associated data files.

<sup>42</sup>For clause 1, see “conjecture 2.nb”, “conjecture 2 clause 1b.ipynb”, , and “conjecture 2 clause 1b no2.ipynb”, [10].

- (a) There exist polynomials  $A_n(x)$  such that  $A_{-1}(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $A_0(x) = 3x^2 + 4$ ,  $A_1(x) = 69x^4 - 8x^2 - 48$ , and  $A_n(m) = m^{2n+2}a_m(n)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ .<sup>43</sup>

- (b) <sup>44</sup> Let  $C_n(x)$  be as in conjecture 1. We have:

$$A_n(x) = 2^{-6n-6}x^{-n-1}C_n(x).$$

2. <sup>45</sup> Let  $\pi_n$  be the set of prime numbers dividing the denominator of at least one non-zero coefficient of  $A_n$ . Then

- (a)  $\pi_2 = \{3\}$ .

- (b) If  $\pi_n$  is ordered by size, it contains no gaps. That is, if  $p$  and  $p'$  are consecutive elements of  $\pi_n$  with  $p = p_k$  and  $p' = p_j$ , then  $j = k + 1$ .

- (c) If  $n$  is an odd prime, then

$$\pi_n = \{2, \dots, k, \dots, p\}_{k \text{ prime}}$$

where  $p$  is the greatest prime less than  $n$ .

- (d) If  $n$  is composite and  $n + 1$  is prime, then

$$\pi_n = \{2, \dots, k, \dots, n + 1\}_{k \text{ prime}}.$$

- (e) If  $n$  and  $n + 1$  are both composite, then

$$\pi_n = \{2, \dots, k, \dots, p\}_{k \text{ prime}}$$

where  $p$  is the greatest prime less than  $n$ .

The existence statement in clause 1a of conjecture 2 is equivalent up to some changes of variable, obviously, to Raleigh's conjecture.<sup>46</sup> We identified the leading numerical term in clause 1b of conjecture 2 after looking at [49]. Clause 2 of conjecture 2 is only a slight refinement of [1], proposition 2.

## 6 Survey of Hecke's theory of modular forms

When the  $w$ -image of  $\mathbb{H}^*$  is  $T_m$ , the inverse of  $w$  is  $\phi_{\lambda_m}$ . The extension by modularity  $J_m$  of  $\phi_{\lambda_m}$  to  $\mathbb{H}^*$ , is periodic with period  $\lambda_m$  and maps  $\rho$  to 0,  $i$  to 1, and  $i\infty$  to  $\infty$ .<sup>47</sup> These mapping properties allow us, following Berndt's exposition of Hecke, to construct positive weight modular forms for  $G(\lambda_m)$  from  $J_m$ .<sup>48</sup> This section describes results of Hecke that are perhaps most easily accessible for the classical case  $m = 3$  in Schoeneberg and, for the general case, in Berndt.<sup>49</sup>

<sup>43</sup>The first few polynomials in table 10.5 agree with Raleigh's equation-group III in [35].

<sup>44</sup>Notebooks "conjecture 2.nb", "conjecture 2, clause 2.ipynb", [10].

<sup>45</sup>[10], Notebook "conjecture 1 clause 2 w code 14jun21.ipynb"

<sup>46</sup>(proved in [1])

<sup>47</sup>[27], equation (2).

<sup>48</sup>[7]

<sup>49</sup>[37],[7]

### 6.1 The case $m = 3$ .

By keeping track of the weights, zeros and poles of the constituent factors in the numerator and denominator of the fraction defining

$$f_{a,b,c} = \frac{J'^a}{J^b(J-1)^c},$$

Schoeneberg demonstrates that  $f_{a,b,c}$  is an entire modular form of weight  $2a$  for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  if  $a \geq 2$ ,  $3c \leq a$ ,  $3b \leq 2a$ ,  $b+c \geq a$  and  $a, b, c$  are integers. (Schoeneberg speaks of “dimension  $-2a$ .”)<sup>50</sup> Thus he is able to write down a weight 4 entire modular form  $E_4^* = f_{2,1,1}$  for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with a zero of order  $\frac{1}{3}$  at  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$  and a weight 6 entire modular form  $E_6^* = f_{3,2,1}$  for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with a zero of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $i$ . (Schoeneberg writes  $G_4^*, G_6^*$ .) It is well known that the (vector space) dimension of the spaces of weight 4 and 6 entire modular forms for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is equal to one, so  $E_4^*$  and  $E_6^*$  may be identified with the usual weight 4 and weight 6 Eisenstein series, up to a normalization. Finally, Schoeneberg defines the weight 12 cusp form  $\Delta^* = E_4^{*3} - E_6^{*2}$  with a zero of order 1 at  $i\infty$ . It is a multiple of  $\Delta$ .

### 6.2 The case $m \geq 3$ .

We quote statements from Berndt, which is an exposition of Hecke.<sup>51</sup> We depart occasionally from Berndt’s choices of variable to avoid clashes with our earlier notation.

**Definition 3.**<sup>52</sup> We say that  $f$  belongs to the space  $M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  if

1.

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n \tau / \lambda},$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , and

2.  $f(-1/\tau) = \gamma \cdot (\tau/i)^k f(\tau)$ , where  $k > 0$  and  $\gamma = \pm 1$ .

We say that  $f$  belongs to the space  $M_0(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  if  $f$  satisfies conditions 1 and 2, and if  $a_n = O(n^c)$  for some real number  $c$ , as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ .

After defining the notion of a fundamental region in the usual way and defining as  $G(\lambda)$  the group of linear fractional transformations generated by  $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$  and  $\tau \mapsto \tau + \lambda$ , Berndt states (for  $\tau = x + iy$ )

**Theorem 2.**<sup>53</sup> Let  $B(\lambda) = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H} : x < \lambda/2, |\tau| > 1\}$ . Then if  $\lambda \geq 2$  or if  $\lambda = 2 \cos(\pi/m)$ , where  $m \geq 3$  is an integer,  $B(\lambda)$  is a fundamental region for  $G(\lambda)$ .

---

<sup>50</sup>[37], Theorem 16, p.45

<sup>51</sup>[22] and other writings.

<sup>52</sup>[7], Definition 2.2

<sup>53</sup>[7], Theorem 3.1

**Definition 4.** <sup>54</sup> Let  $T_A = \{\lambda : \lambda = 2 \cos(\pi/m), m \geq 3, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Berndt states in his Theorem 5.4 that  $G(\lambda)$  is discrete if and only if  $\lambda$  belongs to  $T_A$ . This discreteness is the premise of the theory of automorphic functions generally. He embeds within the proof of his Lemma 3.1 (which we omit), the

**Definition 5.**  $\tau_\lambda$  denotes the intersection in  $\mathbb{H}$  of the line  $x = -\lambda/2$  and the unit circle  $|\tau| = 1$ .

(Berndt remarks at the top of page 35 that  $\tau_\lambda$  is the lower left corner of  $B(\lambda)$ . and that  $\pi\theta = \pi - \arg(\tau_\lambda)$ , so that  $\cos(\pi\theta) = \lambda/2$ .)

To characterize Eisenstein series, we need to keep track of some analytical properties. The next definition summarizes the second paragraph of Berndt's Chapter 5. (Throughout his Chapter 5,  $\lambda < 2$ .)

**Definition 6.** Let  $f \in M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$ ,  $f$  not identically zero.

1.  $N = N_f$  counts the zeros of  $f$  on  $\overline{B(\lambda)}$  with multiplicities.
2.  $N_f$  does not count zeros at  $\tau_\lambda$ , at  $\tau_\lambda + \lambda$ , at  $i$ , or at  $i\infty$ .
3. If  $\tau_0 \in \overline{B(\lambda)}$ ,  $f(\tau_0) = 0$  and  $\Re(\tau_0) = -\lambda/2$ , then  $f(\tau_0 + \lambda) = 0$  and  $N_f$  counts only one of the two zeros.
4. If  $\tau_0 \in \overline{B(\lambda)}$ ,  $f(\tau_0) = 0$ , and  $|\tau_0| = 1$ , then,  $f(-1/\tau_0) = 0$ , and  $N_f$  counts only one of these two zeros.
5. The numbers  $n_\lambda, n_i$ , and  $n_\infty$  are the orders of the zeros of  $f$  at  $\tau_\lambda, i$  and  $i\infty$ , respectively. The order  $n_\infty$  is measured in terms of  $\exp(2\pi i\tau/\lambda)$ .

The multiplier  $\gamma$  is given by

**Theorem 3.** <sup>55</sup> Let  $f \in M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  and let  $n_i$  be the order of the zero of  $f$  at  $\tau = i$ . Then

$$\gamma = (-1)^{n_i}.$$

The next two results tell us that the only nontrivial case in this theory is the one that we are interested in.

**Theorem 4.** <sup>56</sup> If  $\dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma) \neq 0$ ,

$$N_f + n_\infty + \frac{1}{2}n_i + \frac{n_\lambda}{m} = \frac{1}{2}k \left( \frac{1}{2} - \theta \right).$$

By Berndt's equation (5.16), if  $m \geq 3$  then the right side can be written as  $k(m-2)/4m$ .

---

<sup>54</sup>[7], Definition 3.4

<sup>55</sup>[7], Corollary 5.2

<sup>56</sup>[7], Lemma 5.1

**Theorem 5.** <sup>57</sup> If  $\dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma) \neq 0$ , then  $\theta = 1/m$  where  $m \geq 3$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We are concerned with  $\lambda \in T_A$ . This makes  $\lambda < 2$  as in all the results of Berndt's Chapter 5.

One estimate for  $\dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  is

**Theorem 6.** <sup>58</sup> If  $\lambda \notin T_A$ , then  $\dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma) = 0$ . If  $\lambda = 2 \cos(\pi/m) \in T_A$ , then for nontrivial  $f \in M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$ , the weight  $k$  has the form

$$k = \frac{4h}{m-2} + 1 - \gamma,$$

where  $h \geq 1$  is an integer. Furthermore,

$$\dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{h + (\gamma - 1)/2}{m} \right\rfloor.$$

Eliminating  $h$ , we find that

$$\dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma) = 1 + \left\lfloor k \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2m} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \right\rfloor. \quad (13)$$

Berndt proves that the dimension formula above holds also when  $h = 0$ . <sup>59</sup>

The existence of certain modular forms is provided by

**Theorem 7.** <sup>60</sup> Let  $\lambda \in T_A$ . Then there exist functions  $f_\lambda, f_i$ , and  $f_\infty \in M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  such that each has a simple zero at  $\tau_\lambda, i$ , and  $i\infty$ , respectively, and no other zeros. Here,  $\gamma$  is given by Theorem 3 of the present article, and  $k$  is determined in each case from Theorem 4 of the present article. Thus,  $f_\lambda \in M(\lambda, 4/(m-2), 1)$ ,  $f_i \in M(\lambda, 2m/(m-2), -1)$ , and  $f_\infty \in M(\lambda, 4m/(m-2), 1)$ .

**Remark 2.** <sup>61</sup> By the Riemann mapping theorem there exists a function  $g(\tau)$  that maps the simply connected region  $B(\lambda)$  one-to-one and conformally onto  $\mathbb{H}$ . If we require that  $g(\tau_\lambda) = 0$ ,  $g(i) = 1$ , and  $g(i\infty) = \infty$ , then  $g$  is determined uniquely.

Now we can write down  $f_\lambda, f_i$ , and  $f_\infty$  explicitly. The next theorem is extracted from the proof of Theorem 7.  $f_\lambda$  and  $f_i$  correspond to Eisenstein series and  $f_\infty$  to a cusp form. In our code, we take  $g$  to be a normalized form of  $J_m$ .

**Theorem 8.** <sup>62</sup>

$$f_\lambda(\tau) = \left\{ \frac{g'(\tau)^2}{g(\tau)(g(\tau) - 1)} \right\}^{1/(m-2)},$$

---

<sup>57</sup>[7], Theorem 5.2

<sup>58</sup>[7], Theorem 5.6

<sup>59</sup>[7], Remark 5.3

<sup>60</sup>[7], Theorem 5.5

<sup>61</sup>[7], pages 47-48

<sup>62</sup>[7], page 50



$$f_i(\tau) = \left\{ \frac{g'(\tau)^m}{g(\tau)^{m-1}(g(\tau) - 1)} \right\}^{1/(m-2)},$$

and

$$f_\infty(\tau) = \left\{ \frac{g'(\tau)^{2m}}{g(\tau)^{2m-2}(g(\tau) - 1)^m} \right\}^{1/(m-2)}.$$

In our applications to Lehmer's problem, we will be interested in the dimensions of the weight 12 cusp spaces for  $\lambda = \lambda_m = 2 \cos \pi/m$ .

**Definition 7.** <sup>63</sup> If  $f \in M(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  and  $f(i\infty) = 0$ , then we call  $f$  a cusp form of weight  $k$  and multiplier  $\gamma$  with respect to  $G(\lambda)$ . We denote by  $C(\lambda, k, \gamma)$  the vector space of all cusp forms of this kind.

**Remark 3.** <sup>64</sup>

$$\dim C(\lambda, k, \gamma) \geq \dim M(\lambda, k, \gamma) - 1.$$

**Remark 4.** In view of (i) Theorem 6, (ii) equation (12), (iii) Remark 2, and (iv) the fact that  $\gamma = \pm 1$ , we see that  $\dim C(\lambda_m, 12, \gamma) > 1$  when  $m$  is greater than or equal to 12.

## 7 Modular forms studied in our experiments

We are going to write down versions of the functions from Theorem 8 such that, at  $m = 3$ , they reduce to corresponding functions in the classical theory. Some have fixed weights (four, six and twelve) and others have weights that vary with  $m$ . The classical objects (in Serre's notation [39]), are Klein's  $j$ -invariant, the weight four Eisenstein series  $E_2$ , the weight six Eisenstein series  $E_3$ , and the generating function of Ramanujan's tau function, namely the normalized weight twelve cusp form  $\Delta$ . They all belong to one-dimensional vector spaces of modular forms and the number of zeros each one has in a given fundamental region is small, so the identifications follow by comparison of the initial Fourier coefficients. <sup>65</sup>

Corresponding to  $f_\lambda$ , we have

**Definition 8.** 1.  $H_{\lambda, m}(\tau) :=$

$$\left\{ \frac{J'_m(\tau)^2}{J_m(\tau)(J_m(\tau) - 1)} \right\}^{1/(m-2)}.$$

2.  $H_{\lambda, 4, m}(\tau) := H_{\lambda, m}(\tau)^{m-2}.$

Corresponding to  $f_i$ , we state

---

<sup>63</sup>[7], Definition 5.2

<sup>64</sup>[7], equation (5.25)

<sup>65</sup>[39], Chapter VII, equations (20-21)

**Definition 9.** 1.

$$H_{i,m}(\tau) := \left\{ \frac{J'_m(\tau)^m}{J_m(\tau)^{m-1}(J_m(\tau) - 1)} \right\}^{1/(m-2)}.$$

**Definition 10.** 1. Corresponding to  $f_\infty$ , we have

$$\Delta_{\infty,m}(\tau) := \left\{ \frac{J'_m(\tau)^{2m}}{J_m(\tau)^{2m-2}(J_m(\tau) - 1)^m} \right\}^{1/(m-2)}.$$

2.  $\Delta_m^\diamond := H_{\lambda,m}^3/J_m.$

3.  $\Delta_{12,m}^\diamond := H_{\lambda,4,m}^3/J_m.$

**Remark 5.** By Berndt's theorem 7 above, we have the following table of weights:

$H_{\lambda,m}$	$H_{\lambda,4,m}$	$H_{i,m}$	$\Delta_m^\diamond$	$\Delta_{12,m}^\diamond$	$\Delta_{\infty,m}$
$4/(m-2)$	4	$2m/(m-2)$	$12/(m-2)$	12	$4m/(m-2)$

## 8 Interpolation by polynomials

In this section, we state conjectures about polynomials interpolating coefficients of modular forms for Hecke groups. Conjectures 6 and 7 bear on Lehmer's question about the existence of zeros of Ramanujan's tau function.

Berndt's (Hecke's) theorems 7 and 8 above make it clear that Akiyama's theorem proving Raleigh's conjecture on the interpolation of the coefficients of the Fourier expansions of Hecke triangle functions extends in some way to the modular forms defined in the previous section. We did experiments to explore the details; our observations are summarized in the conjectures below.

### 8.1 Analogues of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ Eisenstein series.

We found the sequence  $\{e_{4,n}\}$  mentioned below on [41].

**Conjecture 3.** <sup>66</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{H_{\lambda,4,m}}(\tau)$  be

$$\overline{H_{\lambda,4,m}}(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{4,m}(n) X_m^n.$$

- <sup>67</sup>  $\overline{H_{\lambda,4,3}}(\tau)$  reduces to Serre's weight-4 Eisenstein series  $E_2$  in the sense that  $\beta_{4,3}(n) = 240\sigma_3(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- For each  $n$  there is a polynomial  $B_{4,n}(x)$  with rational coefficients such that  $m^{3n}\beta_{4,m}(n) = B_{4,n}(m)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$

<sup>66</sup>Notebooks "conjecture 3.nb" and "conjecture 3.ipynb", [10]; associated data files in the data folder on [10].

<sup>67</sup>[39], page 93.

3. If  $n$  is positive, then the degree of  $B_{4,n}(x)$  is  $6n$ .

4.  $B_{4,0}(x) \equiv 1$  and, if  $n$  is positive, then

$$B_{4,n}(x) = e_{4,n}(x^2 - 4)x^{4n}b_{4,n}(x),$$

where  $e_{4,n} = 16 \sum_{\nu|n, \nu \text{ odd}} (-1)^{n-\nu} \nu^3$  and  $b_{4,n}(x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

**Conjecture 4.** <sup>68</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{H_{\lambda,m}}$  be

$$\overline{H_{\lambda,m}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(n) X_m^n.$$

1. For each  $n$  there is a polynomial  $B_n(x)$  with rational coefficients such that  $\beta_m(n) = B_n(m)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$
2. If  $n$  is positive, then the degree of  $B_n(x)$  is  $3n - 1$ .
3.  $B_0(x) \equiv 1$  and  $B_1(x) = 16x(x + 2)$ .
4. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be as in item 9 of our glossary and let  $e_n = 16(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\nu|n, \nu \text{ odd}} 1/\nu$ . If  $n$  is greater than 2 and belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then

$$B_n(x) = e_n(x^2 - 4)(x - 6)x^n b_n(x),$$

where  $b_n(x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial. Otherwise (for  $n$  greater than one)  $B_n(x) = e_n(x^2 - 4)x^n b_n(x)$  where, again,  $b_n(x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

5.  $\overline{H_{\lambda,3}}$  reduces to  $E_2$  in the same sense as in conjecture 3.1.

(We identified the  $e_n$  after reading [44] and [45].)

Thus, in the range of our observations ( $3 \leq m \leq 302, 0 \leq n \leq 100$ ), the only integer value of  $m$  such that  $\overline{H_{\lambda,m}}$  has any vanishing coefficients is six, and  $\beta_n(6)$  is zero just if  $n$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Conjecture 5.** <sup>69</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{H_{i,m}}$  be

$$\overline{H_{i,m}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_m(n) X_m^n.$$

1. For each non-negative integer  $n$ , there is a polynomial  $D_n(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

---

<sup>68</sup>Notebooks “conjecture 4.1-4.3.ipynb, conjecture 4.4a.ipynb, conjecture 4.4b.ipynb”, conjecture 4.5.ipynb, [10]. N.B.: Contrary to appearances, the function denoted “H4” in these *SageMath* notebooks is not the function covered in the previous conjecture. “H4” is  $H_{\lambda,m}$ .

<sup>69</sup>In [10] notebook “conjecture 5.ipynb”.

- (a)  $D_n(m) = \delta_m(n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $m = 3, 4, \dots$
  - (b) The degree of  $D_n$  is  $3n$ .
  - (c)  $D_n(x)$  is a rational number  $d_n$  times a product of monic irreducible polynomials.
  - (d)  $d_0 = 1$  and, for  $n$  a positive integer,  $d_n = 24(-1)^n \sum_{\nu|n}^* \nu$ . Again, the asterisk means that the sum is taken over the odd positive divisors of  $n$ .
2.  $D_n(m) = (-1)^m \delta_n(m)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$
  3.  $D_0(x) \equiv 1$  identically,  $D_1(x) = -24(x - 2/3)x^2$ , and  $D_2(x) = 24(x - 2/3)(x - 2)x^3(x - 14)$ .
  4. For  $n$  larger than two,  $D_n(x) = d_n(x - 2)(x - 2/3)x^{n+1}\epsilon_n(x)$  where  $\epsilon_n(x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .
  5.  $\overline{H_{i,3}}$  reduces to Serre's weight-6 Eisenstein series  $E_3$  in the sense that  $\delta_3(0) = 1$  and  $\delta_3(n) = -504\sigma_5(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

## 8.2 Analogues of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ cusp forms.

Let  $\Delta$  be usual normalized discriminant, a weight 12 cusp form for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) = G(\lambda_3)$  with integer coefficients. Its Fourier expansion is written

$$\Delta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$  and  $\tau(n)$  is Ramanujan's function. (The reader will not confuse the complex number  $\tau$  with Ramanujan's function  $\tau(n)$  or any of its relatives defined below.) Whether or not the equation  $\tau(n) = 0$  has any solutions is, of course, an open question.<sup>70</sup> Several authors have eliminated various classes of integers as values of tau.<sup>71</sup> It will be apparent that each of the conjectures about cusp-form analogues implies that tau has no zeros.

From definition 10.2,

$$\Delta_{\infty, m}(\tau)^{m-2} = \frac{J'_m(\tau)^{2m}}{J_m(\tau)^{2m-2}(J_m(\tau) - 1)^m}$$

and, by Theorem 7 in our sketch of Hecke's theory, its weight is  $4m$ . Since it raises a cusp form beginning with an  $X^1$  term to high powers, we will use the star operator (definition 1.2) to state the following conjecture.

---

<sup>70</sup>[26]

<sup>71</sup>These results are summarized in [25]. Relevant citations are [3], [4], [5], [6], [17], [29], [32], and [25] itself.

**Conjecture 6.** <sup>72</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{(\Delta_{\infty,m}(\tau)^{m-2})^*}$  be written as  $\overline{(\Delta_{\infty,m}(\tau)^{m-2})^*} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\tau}_m(n) X_m$ .

1.  $\bar{\tau}_3(n-1) = \tau(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$
2. There is a set of polynomials  $\bar{T}_n(x), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that, for each  $n, \bar{T}_n(m) = \bar{\tau}_m(n)$ .
3.  $\bar{T}_n(x) = (-8)^n (x-2)^3 x^n t_n(x)/n!$  where  $t_n$  is a polynomial with rational coefficients that is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

**Conjecture 7.** <sup>73</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{\Delta_{\infty,m}}(\tau)$  be

$$\overline{\Delta_{\infty,m}}(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\infty,m}(n) X_m^n.$$

1.  $\tau_{\infty,3}(n) = \tau(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. There is a set of polynomials  $T_{\infty,n}(x)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\tau_{\infty,m}(n) = T_{\infty,n}(m)$ .
3.  $T_{\infty,1}(x) \equiv 1$  identically, and, if  $n$  is greater than one,
  - (a)  $T_{\infty,n}(x) = s_{\infty,n}(x-2)^2 x^{n-1} t_{\infty,n}(x)$ , where  $t_{\infty,n}(x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $2n-4$  and
  - (b)  $s_{\infty,n}$  is (in the notation of [16], Chapter 7, Theorem 7) the coefficient of  $q^n$  in the Fourier expansion of  $\Delta_8(z)$ .<sup>74</sup>
  - (c) <sup>75</sup> Also,

$$s_{\infty,n} = (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{\nu|n \\ n/\nu \text{ odd}}} \nu^3.$$

This sum is the coefficient of  $q^n$  in the Fourier expansion of  $E_{\infty,4}$ , the unique normalized weight-4 modular form for  $\Gamma_0(2)$  with simple zeros at  $i\infty$ ;<sup>76</sup> it is also the number of representations of  $n-1$  as a sum of 8 triangular numbers.<sup>77</sup>

- (d) Finally,  $s_{\infty,n}$  is the coefficient of  $q^n$  in the expansion of  $\eta(2z)^{16}/\eta(z)^{-8}$  where  $\eta(z)$  is Dedekind's function ([11], equation (2-16).)

**Conjecture 8.** <sup>78</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{\Delta_m^\diamond}(\tau)$  be

$$\overline{\Delta_m^\diamond} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_m^\diamond(n) X_m^n.$$

<sup>72</sup>Notebooks “conjecture 6Laptop.nb”, “conjecture 6.ipynb” and “conjecture 6 no2.ipynb”, [10].

<sup>73</sup>Notebook “conjecture 7.ipynb”, [10]

<sup>74</sup>[8]

<sup>75</sup>[9]

<sup>76</sup>[11], equation (2-3)

<sup>77</sup>[33], Theorem 5.

<sup>78</sup>Notebook “conjecture 8.ipynb”, [10].

1.  $\tau_3^\diamond(n) = \tau(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. There is a set of polynomials  $T_n^\diamond(x)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\tau_m^\diamond(n) = T_n^\diamond(m)$ .
3.  $T_1^\diamond(x), T_2^\diamond(x)$ , and  $T_3^\diamond(x)$  are irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degrees 3, 6, and 9, respectively.
4. If  $n$  is greater than 3,  $T_1^\diamond(x) = s_n^\diamond \cdot (x-2)x^{n-1}t_n^\diamond(x)$ , where  $s_n^\diamond$  is a rational number and  $t_n^\diamond(x)$  is a monic polynomial, irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , of degree  $2n-3$ . Furthermore,

$$(a) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n^\diamond q(\tau)^n =$$

$$\prod_{n \text{ odd}} (1 - q(\tau)^n)^{24} \times \prod_{n \equiv 2(4)} (1 - q(\tau)^n)^{-24} = \eta^{24}(\tau) \eta^{24}(4\tau) \eta^{-48}(2\tau).$$

$$(b) s_n^\diamond = (-1)^{n+1} \times \text{the coefficient of } q(\tau)^n \text{ in } (\eta(2\tau)/\eta(\tau))^{24}.$$

5. There is no corresponding set of interpolating polynomials for  $\Delta_3^\diamond$ .

The product decomposition in clause 3(a) above is a guess based on 43 terms of the series using Euler's method.<sup>79</sup>

### Conjecture 9.

<sup>80</sup> Let the Fourier expansion of  $\overline{\Delta_{12,m}^\diamond}(\tau)$  be

$$\overline{\Delta_{12,m}^\diamond}(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{12,m}^\diamond(n) X_m^n.$$

1.  $\tau_{12,3}^\diamond(n) = \tau(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
2. There is a set of polynomials  $T_{12,n}^\diamond(x), n = 1, 2, \dots$  of degree  $3n-3$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\tau_{12,m}^\diamond(n) = T_{12,n}^\diamond(m)$  for each  $m = 3, 4, \dots$
3. For each  $n$ , there are zeros of  $T_{12,n}^\diamond(x)$  on both axes of the complex plane, and there are no other complex zeros.<sup>81</sup> (Figures 2 and 3 illustrate this for  $n = 11$  and 24.)
4.  $T_{12,n}^\diamond(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \tau(n) x^{n-1} t_{12,n}^\diamond(x)$ , where  $t_{12,n}^\diamond(x)$  is a monic irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

<sup>79</sup>[2] (Theorem 14.8); English-language version of [19] in [34]; and [47]. The second decomposition appears in [43].

<sup>80</sup>Notebook “conjecture 9.ipynb”, [10].

<sup>81</sup>Notebook “conjecture 9.nb” [10] contains plots of the complex zeros for  $n$  between 1 and 24.

## 9 Lehmer's question

**Remark 6.** By clause 4 of conjecture 9, for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$ :  $\tau_{12,m}^\diamond(n) = 0$  if and only if  $\tau(n) = 0$ .

More generally, we have

**Conjecture 10.** Letting  $T_n(x)$  and  $\tau_m$  stand for the various polynomials and Fourier coefficients in conjectures 6 through 9, none of the  $T_n(x)$  has an integer root greater than two; consequently, none of the  $\tau_m$  vanishes for  $m = 3, 4, \dots$

Let  $d(m, n)$  be the minimum Euclidean distance to  $m$  of any complex root of  $T_n(x)$ . We have (in effect) conjectured above that in each case  $T_n(3) = \tau(n)$ , so the behavior of  $d(3, n)$  measures how closely we can come to the assertion that  $\tau(n) = 0$  for some  $n$ .

**Conjecture 11.** For any positive real number  $r$ ,  $d(3, n)$  is less than  $e^{-rn}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .<sup>82</sup> )

## 10 Other questions

1. Like  $G_n$  in clause 5 of conjecture 1, the index- $n$  hyperoctahedral group has size  $2^n n!$ .<sup>83</sup> Are they isomorphic?
2. In conjectures 1–9, the  $n^{\text{th}}$  interpolating polynomial is written as a product of a numerical term and several monic polynomials belonging to  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . In each case, all but one of the monic factors is given explicitly, *i.e.*, in terms of  $n$ , but without reference to the Fourier expansion of the underlying modular form. The “inexplicit” factor can, of course, be written in terms of the first  $n$  of these coefficients, but can it be expressed in the same way as the other factors: without reference to the Fourier coefficients?
3. While checking our calculations, we compared the Fourier expansion of  $H_{\lambda,4}(x/A_4)$  (abusing notation in the obvious way) with Leo’s expansion of the weight 4 Eisenstein series at  $m = 4$ .<sup>84</sup> (Recall that  $A_4 = 1/256$ .) Within the range of our observations, they do coincide. The expansions (in our own notation) both begin

$$1 + 48q_4 + 624q_4^2 + 1344q_4^3 + \dots$$

Let

$$E_{\gamma,2} = 1 + 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\nu|n \\ \nu \text{ odd}}} \nu q^n.$$

---

<sup>82</sup>For this proposal, we depend on graphical evidence which we sample figures 10 – 17. More extensive collections of plots are in notebooks “conjecture 6.1.nb”, “conjecture 6.2.nb”, “conjecture 7.nb”, and “conjecture 8.nb”, [10].

<sup>83</sup>[18, 31, 21]


<sup>84</sup>[28], p.54

Sloane comments that the sequence  $\{1, 48, 624, \dots\}$  is the same as that of the coefficients of  $E_{\gamma,2}^2$ .<sup>85</sup>  $E_{\gamma,2}^2$  is a weight 4, level 2 modular form, that is, a weight 4 modular form for the  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  subgroup  $\Gamma_0(2)$ .<sup>86</sup> We propose in conjecture 7 (c) above that  $s_{\infty,n}$  is the coefficient of  $q^n$  in the Fourier expansion of  $E_{\infty,4}$ , the unique normalized weight-4 modular form for  $\Gamma_0(2)$  with simple zeros at  $i\infty$ . We have also proposed in conjectures 1, 2, 7 and 8 that interpolating polynomials are products of monic polynomials with rational numbers equal or related to Fourier coefficients of other classical Hauptmoduln. What is the relationship between modular forms for subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  and modular forms for the other  $G(\lambda_m)$ ?

4. Both  $J_m$  and  $\overline{J_m}$  (that is,  $j_m$ ) appear to be interpolated by polynomials. On the other hand,  $\overline{\Delta_m^\diamond}$  appears to be interpolated by polynomials, but  $\Delta_m^\diamond$  does not. Why are the situations different?

## 11 Figures

### 11.1 Figure 1.



ROOTS OF POLYNOMIAL INTERPOLATING THE COEFFICIENT OF  $q_m^{23}$  IN THE


<sup>85</sup>[42]

<sup>86</sup>[12], equation (2-1), p, 260



FOURIER EXPANSION OF  $j_m(\tau)$  (CONJECTURE 1.) <sup>87</sup>

## 11.2 Figure 2.




ROOTS OF  $\overline{T}_{17}$  (CONJECTURE 6.) <sup>88</sup>

<sup>87</sup>Notebook “conjecture1clause4d.nb”, [10].


<sup>88</sup>Notebook “conjecture 6Laptop.nb”, [10].

11.3 Figure 3.



ROOTS OF  $\overline{T}_{35}$  (CONJECTURE 6) <sup>89</sup>

11.4 Figure 4.




ROOTS OF  $T_{\infty,20}$  (CONJECTURE 7.) <sup>90</sup>

<sup>89</sup>*ibid.*


<sup>90</sup>Notebook “conjecture 7.nb”, [10]

11.5 Figure 5.



ROOTS OF  $T_{\infty,50}$  (CONJECTURE 7.) <sup>91</sup>

11.6 Figure 6.




ROOTS OF  $T_{19}^{\circ}$  (CONJECTURE 8.) <sup>92</sup>

<sup>91</sup>*ibid.*

<sup>92</sup>Notebook “conjecture 8.nb”, [10].

11.7 Figure 7.




ROOTS OF  $T_{50}^{\diamond}$  (CONJECTURE 8.)<sup>93</sup>

---


<sup>93</sup>*ibid.*

11.8 Figure 8.



ROOTS OF  $T_{12,11}^\diamond$  (CONJECTURE 9.)<sup>94</sup>

11.9 Figure 9.




ROOTS OF  $T_{12,24}^\diamond$  (CONJECTURE 9.)<sup>95</sup>

<sup>94</sup>Notebook "Conjecture 9.nb", [10].

<sup>95</sup>*ibid.*


11.10 Figure 10.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } \overline{T}_n \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -\pi n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 6 AND 11. <sup>96</sup>

<sup>96</sup>Notebook "conjecture 6Laptop.nb", [10].


11.11 Figure 11.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } \overline{T}_n \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -4n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 6 AND 11. <sup>97</sup>

<sup>97</sup>*ibid.*

11.12 Figure 12.




$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } T_{\infty,n} \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -\pi n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 7 AND 11. <sup>98</sup>

<sup>98</sup>Notebook "conjecture 7.nb", [10]




11.13 Figure 13.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } T_{\infty,n} \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -4n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 7 AND 11. <sup>99</sup>

<sup>99</sup> *ibid.*


11.14 Figure 14.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } T_n^\diamond \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -\pi n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 8 AND 11. <sup>100</sup>

<sup>100</sup>Notebook "conjecture 8.nb", [10].


11.15 Figure 15.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } T_n^\diamond \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -4n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 8 AND 11. <sup>101</sup>.

<sup>101</sup> *ibid.*


11.16 Figure 16.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } T_{12,n}^\infty \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -\pi n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 9 AND 11. <sup>102</sup>

<sup>102</sup>Notebook "Conjecture 9.nb", [10].

11.17 Figure 17.



$y = \text{LOG}(\text{MINIMUM DISTANCE OF ROOTS OF } T_{12,n}^{\circ} \text{ FROM } 3)$  IN BLUE VS  $y = -4n$  IN RED; CONJECTURES 9 AND 11. <sup>103</sup>

---

<sup>103</sup> *ibid.*

## 12 Tables

### 12.1 Table 1.

m: 3
[1, 744, 196884, 21493760, 864299970, 20245856256, 333202640600, 4252023300096, 44656994071935]
m: 4
[1, 1664, 1119232, 394264576, 81264771072, 11218454577152, 1248696645713920, 115837948032712704, 9477884235378327552]
m: 5
[1, 3160, 4287700, 3316992000, 1669770821250, 611783148748800, 182311990830375000, 331082591561671680000/7, 10920021527700042909375]
m: 6
[1, 5376, 12828672, 18186502144, 17546820452352, 12765329998479360, 7659879696296837120, 4031676429617596465152, 1914422267289591355539456]
m: 7
[1, 8456, 32384884, 75420047360, 122421510269730, 763277744265838592/5, 158451050063635522200, 724332962385118166556672/5, 120169695701642862378844447]
m: 8
[1, 12544, 72208384, 769403125760/3, 644070915440640, 18847818977487355904/15, 18474189128405614592000/9, 14809640947694988624396288/5, 35004863220172133529313869824/9]
m: 9
[1, 17784, 146452212, 751427905536, 2751723239454882, 39426163455019106304/5, 18997742593479521191320, 1417759971910953071481298944/35, 393730507357138291630675991067/5]
m: 10
[1, 24320, 275660800, 5880414208000/3, 10012474736640000, 120667058077486284800/3, 1226200175026896896000000/9, 2863043228249741108183040000/7, 10087487987295371482313523200000/9]
m: 11
[1, 32296, 488459092, 4657814779904, 32056430053661442, 870684224968695627776/5, 799294542465481997797720, 113922536302874601154066046976/35, 60551965898911905145854285906747/5]
m: 12
[1, 41856, 823440384, 10252263096320, 92450199949148160, 3298990680702153916416/5, 3985163925711416931123200, 748030430099943374772913569792/35, 10479030840379559205342951977792]
m: 13
[1, 53144, 1331252884, 21166363412480, 244399876142003010, 11190259716337492803584/5, 17366247753115182553546200, 4190390164966637969920708190208/35, 755001477711620995604809786469887]
m: 14
[1, 66304, 2076884992, 41386975952896, 600207736273108992, 34572394432541086449664/5, 67562583266770425709854720, 2934176886305719533308951396352/5, 23320958817860499374821417253076992/5]
m: 15
[1, 81480, 3142149300, 77229577984000, 1383761793477521250, 19720732054175469158400, 238568424506489864993375000, 17965570391092027765828316160000/7, 25273073715986380574250435743409375]
m: 16
[1, 98816, 4628365312, 138376866955264, 3020070316337528832, 262411526961908842233856/5, 774664686021625638100664320, 356014531052919928839824700604416/35, 611435016534579796624550607414362112/5]
m: 17
[1, 118456, 6659240884, 717777672304640/3, 6282352733926984290, 1971909643943431708491776/15, 21039985747463198342124311000/9, 1294742965797085355931413502664704/35, 4824536312523949655466061286599379159/9]
m: 18
[1, 140544, 9383952384, 400855337533440, 12525919107368878000, 1560760028597070958952448/5, 6613747856802793536041779200, 4365193959367501575146290362187776/35, 2154187979233590714688830241738063872]
m: 19
[1, 165224, 12980423572, 1959007294547968/3, 24049540231891086402, 2120410249820091309473792/3, 159008192599715013741741117080/9, 393139994684825658986354734571520, 72124634822219133445530536175158410487/9]
m: 20
[1, 192640, 17658803200, 1037317439488000, 44639834873610240000, 1533765813234545406771200, 44835258126235877769216000000, 8168518396176141171840641925120000/7, 27825850405167052869217916079308800000]

FOURIER COEFFICIENTS  $c_m(n)$  (CONJECTURE 1.)<sup>104</sup>

<sup>104</sup>Notebook “conjecture 1 tables.ipynb”.

## 12.2 Table 2.

```

n: 0
24*x^3 + 32*x

n: 1
276*x^6 - 32*x^4 - 192*x^2

n: 2
2048*x^9 - 237568/27*x^7 + 32768/27*x^5 + 131072/27*x^3

n: 3
11202*x^12 - 122272*x^10 + 332480*x^8 - 51712*x^6 - 155136*x^4

n: 4
49152*x^15 - 1072627712/1125*x^13 + 4173856768/675*x^11 - 45736787968/3375*x^9 + 1564475392/675*x^7 + 6257901568/1125*x^5

n: 5
184024*x^18 - 144266176/27*x^16 + 43337949824/729*x^14 - 217405085696/729*x^12 + 140124878848/243*x^10 - 77900890112/729*x^8 - 155801780224/729*x^6

n: 6
614400*x^21 - 3105702576128/128625*x^19 + 3087576727552/7875*x^17 - 181127478771712/55125*x^15 + 605256780087296/42875*x^13 - 39732717289472/1575*x^11 + 276526671069184/55125*x^9 + 1106106684276736/128625*x^7

n: 7
1881471*x^24 - 105854663648/1125*x^22 + 61647569321408/30375*x^20 - 2214841137463808/91125*x^18 + 15555313881637376/91125*x^16 - 4024369502937088/6075*x^14 + 10252826009096576/91125*x^12 - 21727588469571584/91125*x^10 - 10863794234785792/30375*x^8

n: 8
5373952*x^27 - 10273321450471424/31255875*x^25 + 2504376772162748416/281302875*x^23 - 3920434518884121088/281302875*x^21 + 128732665203233128448/93767625*x^19 - 2404056704249503940608/281302875*x^17 + 2901573412174312767488/93767625*x^15 - 1590811983944125251584/31255875*x^13 + 107244090590242228992/93767625*x^11 + 4289763623609688915968/281302875*x^9

n: 9
14478180*x^30 - 135380572197152/128625*x^28 + 4997149150467278912/144703125*x^26 - 58282744523269031936/86821875*x^24 + 1233199636594239219712/144703125*x^22 - 705557342287806742528/9646875*x^20 + 181236736650104262066176/434109375*x^18 - 13906333184922741112832/9646875*x^16 + 336759528433271112466432/144703125*x^14 - 47964176875082926260224/86821875*x^12 - 95928353750165852520448/144703125*x^10

n: 10
37122048*x^33 - 8700800730301448192/2773437975*x^31 + 275524637447268663296/2269176525*x^29 - 19305094682612580220928/6807529575*x^27 + 43062022113695035817984/972504225*x^25 - 1097244752820562334580736/2269176525*x^23 + 280299440500067305183510528/74882825325*x^21 - 136418403408445411193520128/6807529575*x^19 + 152096687850175801795280896/2269176525*x^17 - 9018954917651823196110848/84043575*x^15 + 60883733248483864447287296/2269176525*x^13 + 243534932993935457789149184/8320313925*x^11

n: 11
91231550*x^36 - 275311453932678496/31255875*x^34 + 41543800508326583727808/105488578125*x^32 - 10231956994287088820478464/949397203125*x^30 + 27228794739223211670439424/135628171875*x^28 - 2539126818467141230257455104/949397203125*x^26 + 8241939235790494063111929856/316465734375*x^24 - 176641566502310097410363490304/949397203125*x^22 + 900980586709588453508522835968/949397203125*x^20 - 109418019174838782041062899712/35162859375*x^18 + 4729632263755748417927672496128/949397203125*x^16 - 1242638610598866834802452987904/949397203125*x^14 - 1242638610598866834802452987904/949397203125*x^12

n: 12
216072192*x^39 - 238749387742101240020992/10155405385125*x^37 + 1049939575122672822142369792/878833158328125*x^35 - 297041305554441632386346123264/7909498424953125*x^33 + 1757458215387066419623762067456/2157135934078125*x^31 - 27756381257176699844860359737344/2157135934078125*x^29 + 3601899300739360920458148022583296/23728495274859375*x^27 - 416509923527683450531649578883612672/308470438573171875*x^25 + 214599090286803364071045092004069376/23728495274859375*x^23 - 13698854104238038304444085302198272/308162276296875*x^21 + 311167645678132534837954679423369216/2157135934078125*x^19 - 5517517601309441327332348020113014784/23728495274859375*x^17 + 1520585638035255818410888487136919552/23728495274859375*x^15 + 6082342552141023273643553948547678208/102823479524390625*x^13

n: 13
495248952*x^42 - 166549486396236865216/2773437975*x^40 + 54974334969423196043063626624/16053005679046875*x^38 - 35911093777780324418002130944/2948511247171875*x^36 + 186964134925814618728538955679744/61918736190609375*x^34 - 6527981340551415062703567975006208/118208496363890625*x^32 + 20379303411718582309423987731693568/26536601224546875*x^30 - 1528308793439685335673673705396895744/185756208571828125*x^28 + 88424292456414166906843273018515914752/1300293460002796875*x^26 - 11461327319142875240437884621676347392/26536601224546875*x^24 + 34837153800953430313205261294712651776/16886928051984375*x^22 - 8681462875397248092287179390242718220288/1300293460002796875*x^20 + 5904162372782415220171498466289123328/541563290296875*x^18 - 584588911190858351543590035728390684672/185756208571828125*x^16 - 1169177822381716703087180071456781369344/433431153334265625*x^14

```

POLYNOMIALS  $C_n(x)$  (CONJECTURE 1.)<sup>105</sup>

<sup>105</sup> *ibid.*

## 12.3 Table 3.

```

n: 0
(24) * x * (x^2 + 4/3)

n: 1
(276) * x^2 * (x^4 - 8/69*x^2 - 16/23)

n: 2
(2048) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^3 * (x^4 - 8/27*x^2 - 16/27)

n: 3
(11202) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^4 * (x^6 - 38732/5601*x^4 + 11312/5601*x^2 + 6464/1867)

n: 4
(49152) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^5 * (x^8 - 51968/3375*x^6 + 650144/10125*x^4 - 190976/10125*x^2 - 95488/3375)

n: 5
(184024) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^6 * (x^10 - 15548948/621081*x^8 + 3737957344/16769187*x^6 - 452733568/621081*x^4 + 1217201408/5589729*x^2 + 4868805632/16769187)

n: 6
(614400) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^7 * (x^12 - 340526584/9646875*x^10 + 14381852336/28940625*x^8 - 32414868736/9646875*x^6 + 18398435584/1929375*x^4 - 16877848576/5788125*x^2 - 33755697152/9646875)

n: 7
(1881471) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^8 * (x^14 - 97388044148/2116654875*x^12 + 51129660553424/57149681625*x^10 - 320257042164544/34289808975*x^8 + 3050057679448832/57149681625*x^6 - 2640538932296704/19049893875*x^4 + 7468858536415232/171449044875*x^2 + 2715948558696448/57149681625)

n: 8
(46645274445568/2306683575*x^10 + 2013551386772992/11533417875*x^8 - 1469613075017728/1647631125*x^6 + 2526257866092928/11533417875*x^4 - 8182074782580736/11533417875*x^2 - 8182074782580736/11533417875)

n: 9
(14478180) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^10 * (x^18 - 3198287146788/465563975625*x^16 + 1105364295456273728/523759472578125*x^14 - 59589059108611005184/1571278417734375*x^12 + 686543491011235394048/1571278417734375*x^10 - 5191346136692884277248/1571278417734375*x^8 + 24543799615754528407552/1571278417734375*x^6 - 58271049867362723889152/1571278417734375*x^4 + 1948544685502438793216/1571278417734375*x^2 + 5995522109385365782528/523759472578125)

n: 10
(37122048) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^11 * (x^20 - 38182570845784/474257893725*x^18 + 667081983908264464/226221015306825*x^16 - 1252564368273433088/19390372740585*x^14 + 1437996691319776768/1538918471475*x^12 - 2101160162551379873792/226221015306825*x^10 + 6174079805745740849152/96951863702925*x^8 - 21498198045017686540288/75407005102275*x^6 + 30096881642735570845696/45244203061365*x^4 - 7432096343809065484288/32317287900975*x^2 - 14864192687618130968576/75407005102275)

n: 11
(91231550) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^12 * (x^22 - 131952683120626748/1425760961428125*x^20 + 2712934147433547252272/687420463545703125*x^18 - 4432319091990290502666688/43307489203379296875*x^16 + 8619056135480008759541248/4811943244819921875*x^14 - 959277388356290299785242624/43307489203379296875*x^12 + 8525799300260579895526924288/43307489203379296875*x^10 - 61962955485843118997798912/49494273375290625*x^8 + 77873316384781103420655075328/14435829734459765625*x^6 - 180887820747650105502162747392/14435829734459765625*x^4 + 38832456581214588587576655872/8661497840675859375*x^2 + 155329826324858354350306623488/43307489203379296875)

n: 12
(216072192) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^13 * (x^24 - 3509097060138717472/33482371554757125*x^22 + 192479547796556354381536/37667667999101765625*x^20 - 51993114395286819463433216/339009011991915890625*x^18 + 321086846710565634158203136/1017027035975747671875*x^16 - 47721139775624433926503088128/1017027035975747671875*x^14 + 174534507468009441928745009152/339009011991915890625*x^12 - 4261022975930814347789654294528/1017027035975747671875*x^10 + 25524697368256169685922471018496/1017027035975747671875*x^8 - 3571272120889348854879759110656/339009011991915890625*x^6 + 35773896497934458041423781429248/145289576567963953125*x^4 - 9280918200898778188543020513728/1017027035975747671875*x^2 - 23202295502246945471357551378432/339009011991915890625)

n: 13
(495248952) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * x^14 * (x^26 - 20131914674251732052/171692781319469025*x^24 + 6405687716682286279049023328/993779279874751650328125*x^22 - 1968949736088342181014498212224/8944013518872764852953125*x^20 + 12724201929729789565027055243008/2439276414238026778078125*x^18 - 12060131716584898328320387890176/133051440776619642440625*x^16 + 95637714642640862690686586162397184/80496121669854883676578125*x^14 - 63647955712610747863487876504846336/5366408111323658911771875*x^12 + 7234159214295125991548536537023709184/80496121669854883676578125*x^10 - 750254417683083761481598130175868928/1463565848542816066846875*x^8 + 469012764308259887709777000889581568/221752401294366070734375*x^6 - 16167053025962506183252048739546693632/3219844866794195347063125*x^4 + 155281429535071749628766103240353775616/80496121669854883676578125*x^2 + 36536806949428646971474377233024417792/2683204055661829455859375)

```

FACTORED  $C_n(x)$  (CONJECTURE 1.) <sup>106</sup>

<sup>106</sup> *op. cit.*



## 12.4 Table 4.

m: 3

$$\frac{31}{72} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{1823 X}{27 648} + \frac{10 495 X^2}{2 519 424} + \frac{1 778 395 X^3}{18 345 885 696} + \frac{45 767 X^4}{34 828 517 376} + \frac{41 650 330 075 X^5}{3 327 916 660 110 655 488} +$$

$$\frac{711 997 X^6}{7 703 510 787 293 184} + \frac{1 653 962 743 405 X^7}{2 944 327 674 199 660 893 831 168} + \frac{1 021 044 125 X^8}{349 351 379 311 776 170 508 288}$$

m: 4

$$\frac{13}{32} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{1093 X}{16 384} + \frac{47 X^2}{8192} + \frac{620 001 X^3}{2 147 483 648} + \frac{653 X^4}{67 108 864} +$$

$$\frac{9 303 515 X^5}{35 184 372 088 832} + \frac{52 677 X^6}{8 796 093 022 208} + \frac{2 206 741 887 X^7}{18 446 744 073 709 551 616} + \frac{77 191 X^8}{36 028 797 018 963 968}$$

m: 5

$$\frac{79}{200} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{42 877 X}{640 000} + \frac{12 957 X^2}{2 000 000} + \frac{1 335 816 657 X^3}{3 276 800 000 000} + \frac{1 493 611 203 X^4}{80 000 000 000 000} + \frac{1 458 495 926 643 X^5}{2 097 152 000 000 000 000} +$$

$$\frac{64 664 568 664 389 X^6}{2 867 200 000 000 000 000 000} + \frac{3 494 406 888 864 013 731 X^7}{5 368 709 120 000 000 000 000 000 000} + \frac{23 644 062 224 068 813 X^8}{1 376 256 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000}$$

m: 6

$$\frac{7}{18} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{29 X}{432} + \frac{271 X^2}{39 366} + \frac{269 X^3}{559 872} + \frac{215 X^4}{8 503 056} +$$

$$\frac{1 741 655 X^5}{1586 874 322 944} + \frac{307 X^6}{7 346 640 384} + \frac{491 999 X^7}{342 764 853 755 904} + \frac{235 733 X^8}{5 205 741 216 417 792}$$

m: 7

$$\frac{151}{392} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{165 229 X}{2 458 624} + \frac{107 365 X^2}{15 059 072} + \frac{25 493 858 865 X^3}{48 358 655 787 008} + \frac{2 771 867 459 X^4}{92 561 489 592 320} + \frac{168 351 462 893 475 X^5}{118 895 751 725 676 756 992} +$$

$$\frac{36 826 135 390 421 541 X^6}{624 462 781 016 702 892 113 920} + \frac{20 845 419 590 657 658 847 X^7}{9 354 238 358 105 289 311 446 368 256} + \frac{53 674 329 840 187 738 667 X^8}{690 893 631 231 484 559 139 312 500 736}$$

m: 8

$$\frac{49}{128} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{17 629 X}{262 144} + \frac{11 465 X^2}{1 572 864} + \frac{307 116 945 X^3}{549 755 813 888} + \frac{34 283 983 X^4}{1 030 792 151 040} + \frac{2 150 678 672 875 X^5}{1 297 036 692 682 702 848} +$$

$$\frac{52 614 413 973 X^6}{720 575 940 379 279 360} + \frac{31 836 737 635 032 599 X^7}{10 880 332 376 531 662 572 355 584} + \frac{83 000 417 975 587 X^8}{765 023 370 224 882 524 618 752}$$

m: 9

$$\frac{247}{648} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{150 671 X}{2 239 488} + \frac{13 589 191 X^2}{1 836 660 096} + \frac{69 901 012 027 X^3}{120 367 356 051 456} +$$

$$\frac{366 770 621 371 X^4}{10 282 945 612 677 120} + \frac{3 257 500 444 698 134 635 X^5}{1 768 591 357 765 866 863 198 208} + \frac{108 551 656 609 834 559 X^6}{1 289 597 865 037 611 254 415 360} +$$

$$\frac{2 222 620 238 316 981 329 803 361 X^7}{633 718 259 619 258 503 956 804 550 000 640} + \frac{641 719 347 824 464 135 620 559 X^8}{4 737 105 877 202 748 260 290 391 042 949 120}$$

m: 10

$$\frac{19}{50} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{673 X}{10 000} + \frac{701 X^2}{93 750} + \frac{59 679 X^3}{100 000 000} + \frac{2 194 921 X^4}{58 593 750 000} + \frac{17 843 561 X^5}{9 000 000 000 000} +$$

$$\frac{254 289 321 X^6}{2 734 375 000 000 000} + \frac{89 594 891 393 X^7}{22 500 000 000 000 000 000} + \frac{87 629 178 911 X^8}{553 710 937 500 000 000 000}$$

FOURIER COEFFICIENTS  $a_m(n)$  (CONJECTURE 2.)<sup>107</sup>

---

<sup>107</sup>Notebook “conjecture 2.nb”.

## 12.5 Table 5.

n: -1

$$1$$

n: 0

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3x^2}{8}$$

n: 1

$$-\frac{3}{64} - \frac{x^2}{128} + \frac{69x^4}{1024}$$

n: 2

$$\frac{1}{54} + \frac{x^2}{216} - \frac{29x^4}{864} + \frac{x^6}{128}$$

n: 3

$$-\frac{303}{32768} - \frac{101x^2}{32768} + \frac{5195x^4}{262144} - \frac{3821x^6}{524288} + \frac{5601x^8}{8388608}$$

n: 4

$$\frac{373}{72000} + \frac{373x^2}{172800} - \frac{21809x^4}{1728000} + \frac{7961x^6}{1382400} - \frac{16367x^8}{18432000} + \frac{3x^{10}}{65536}$$

n: 5

$$-\frac{4754693}{1528823808} - \frac{4754693x^2}{3057647616} + \frac{68420351x^4}{8153726976} - \frac{106154827x^6}{24461180928} + \frac{338577733x^8}{391378894848} - \frac{2254159x^{10}}{28991029248} + \frac{23003x^{12}}{8589934592}$$

n: 6

$$\frac{8241137}{4214784000} + \frac{8241137x^2}{7225344000} - \frac{4736509x^4}{825753600} + \frac{288608923x^6}{89915392000} - \frac{345473249x^8}{462422016000} + \frac{23556341x^{10}}{264241152000} - \frac{94778521x^{12}}{17263755264000} + \frac{75x^{14}}{536870912}$$

n: 7

$$-\frac{165768344647}{130459631616000} - \frac{165768344647x^2}{195689447424000} + \frac{6257828375189x^4}{1565515579392000} - \frac{491256042839x^6}{208735410585600} + \frac{30381472425073x^8}{50096498540544000} - \frac{4325861596609x^{10}}{50096498540544000} + \frac{963243270647x^{12}}{133590662774784000} - \frac{3307958239x^{14}}{9895604649984000} + \frac{1881471x^{16}}{281474976710656}$$

n: 8

$$\frac{124848553201}{147483721728000} + \frac{124848553201x^2}{196644962304000} - \frac{185194889077x^4}{65548320768000} + \frac{1351150410331x^6}{786579849216000} - \frac{8955809117293x^8}{18877916381184000} + \frac{1918266195107x^{10}}{25170555174912000} - \frac{1168380534109x^{12}}{151023331049472000} + \frac{298544975777x^{14}}{604093324197888000} - \frac{19594805623x^{16}}{1073943687462912000} + \frac{41x^{18}}{137438953472}$$

POLYNOMIALS  $A_n(x)$  (CONJECTURE 2.)<sup>108</sup>

---

<sup>108</sup> *ibid.*

## 12.6 Table 6.

n: -1

$$\{(1, 1)\}$$

n: 0

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{3}{8}, 1\right\}, \left\{\frac{4}{3} + x^2, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 1

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{69}{1024}, 1\right\}, \left\{-\frac{16}{23} - \frac{8x^2}{69} + x^4, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 2

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{1}{128}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{-\frac{16}{27} - \frac{8x^2}{27} + x^4, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 3

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{5601}{8388608}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{\frac{6464}{1867} + \frac{11312x^2}{5601} - \frac{38732x^4}{5601} + x^6, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 4

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{3}{65536}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{-\frac{95488}{3375} - \frac{190976x^2}{10125} + \frac{650144x^4}{10125} - \frac{51968x^6}{3375} + x^8, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 5

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{23003}{8589934592}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{\frac{4868805632}{16769187} + \frac{1217201408x^2}{5589729} - \frac{452733568x^4}{621081} + \frac{3737957344x^6}{16769187} - \frac{15548948x^8}{621081} + x^{10}, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 6

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{75}{536870912}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{-\frac{33755697152}{9646875} - \frac{16877848576x^2}{5788125} + \frac{18398435584x^4}{1929375} - \frac{32414868736x^6}{9646875} + \frac{14381852336x^8}{28940625} - \frac{340526584x^{10}}{9646875} + x^{12}, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 7

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{1881471}{281474976710656}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{\frac{2715948558696448}{57149681625} + \frac{7468858536415232x^2}{171449044875} - \frac{2640538932296704x^4}{19049893875} + \frac{3050057679448832x^6}{57149681625} - \frac{320257042164544x^8}{34289808975} + \frac{51129660553424x^{10}}{57149681625} - \frac{97388044148x^{12}}{2116654875} + x^{14}, 1\right\}\right\}$$

n: 8

$$\left\{\left\{\frac{41}{137438953472}, 1\right\}, \{-2 + x, 1\}, \{2 + x, 1\}, \left\{-\frac{8182074782580736}{11533417875} - \frac{8182074782580736x^2}{11533417875} + \frac{25262578868092928x^4}{11533417875} - \frac{1469613075017728x^6}{1647631125} + \frac{2013551386772992x^8}{11533417875} - \frac{46645274445568x^{10}}{2306683575} + \frac{16469761126016x^{12}}{11533417875} - \frac{73253258992x^{14}}{1281490875} + x^{16}, 1\right\}\right\}$$

$A_n(x)$  FACTORED IN *Mathematica* (conjecture 2.)<sup>109</sup>

---

<sup>109</sup>*op. cit.*

## 12.7 Table 7.

```

n: -1
1

n: 0
(3/8) * (x^2 + 4/3)

n: 1
(69/1024) * (x^4 - 8/69*x^2 - 16/23)

n: 2
(1/128) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^4 - 8/27*x^2 - 16/27)

n: 3
(5601/8388608) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^6 - 38732/5601*x^4 + 11312/5601*x^2 + 6464/1867)

n: 4
(3/65536) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^8 - 51968/3375*x^6 + 650144/10125*x^4 - 190976/10125*x^2 - 95488/3375)

n: 5
(23003/8589934592) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^10 - 15548948/621081*x^8 + 3737957344/16769187*x^6 - 452733568/621081*x^4 + 1217201408/5589729*x^2 + 4868805632/16769187)

n: 6
(75/536870912) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^12 - 340526584/9646875*x^10 + 14381852336/28940625*x^8 - 32414868736/9646875*x^6 + 18398435584/1929375*x^4 - 16877848576/5788125*x^2 - 33755697152/9646875)

n: 7
(1881471/281474976710656) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^14 - 97388044148/2116654875*x^12 + 51129660553424/57149681625*x^10 - 320257042164544/34289808975*x^8 + 3050057679448832/57149681625*x^6 - 2640538932296704/19049893875*x^4 + 746885836415232/171449044875*x^2 + 2715948558696448/57149681625)

n: 8
(41/137438953472) * (x - 2) * (x + 2) * (x^16 - 73253258992/1281490875*x^14 + 16469761126016/11533417875*x^12 - 46645274445568/2306683575*x^10 + 2013551386772992/11533417875*x^8 - 1469613075017728/1647631125*x^6 + 25262578868092928/11533417875*x^4 - 8182074782580736/11533417875*x^2 - 8182074782580736/11533417875)

```

$A_n(x)$  FACTORED IN *SageMath* (conjecture 2.)<sup>110</sup>

## References

- [1] Shigeki Akiyama. “A note on Hecke’s absolute invariants”. In: *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc* 7.1 (1992), pp. 65–81.
- [2] Tom M Apostol. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [3] Jennifer S Balakrishnan, William Craig, and Ken Ono. “Variations of Lehmer’s Conjecture for Ramanujan’s tau-function”. In: *Journal of Number Theory* (2020).
- [4] Jennifer S Balakrishnan, Ken Ono, and Wei-Lun Tsai. “Even values of Ramanujan’s tau-function”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.00111* (2021).
- [5] Jennifer S Balakrishnan et al. “Variants of Lehmer’s speculation for new-forms”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10354* (2020).
- [6] Michael Bennett et al. “Odd values of the Ramanujan tau function”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.02933* (2021).
- [7] Bruce C Berndt and Marvin Isadore Knopp. *Hecke’s theory of modular forms and Dirichlet series*. Vol. 5. World Scientific, 2008.
- [8] M. Bernstein and N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A002408. <http://oeis.org/A002408>.
- [9] M. Bernstein and N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A007331. <http://oeis.org/A007331>.

<sup>110</sup>Notebook “conjecture 2 clause 1b.ipynb”.

- [10] B. Brent. *Interpolations repository*. <https://github.com/barry314159a/interpolations>.
- [11] Barry Brent. “Quadratic minima and modular forms”. In: *Experimental Mathematics* 7.3 (1998), pp. 257–274.
- [12] Barry Brent. “Quadratic minima and modular forms II”. In: *Acta Arithmetica* 96.4 (2001), pp. 381–387.
- [13] I. N. Cangül. “The group structure of Hecke groups  $H(\lambda_q)$ ”. In: *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 20.2 (1996), pp. 203–207.
- [14] Constantin Carathéodory. *Theory of functions of a complex variable, Second English Edition*. Vol. 1. Translated by F. Steinhardt. Chelsea Publishing Company., 1958.
- [15] Constantin Carathéodory. *Theory of functions of a complex variable, Second English Edition*. Vol. 2. Translated by F. Steinhardt. Chelsea Publishing Company., 1981.
- [16] John Horton Conway and Neil James Alexander Sloane. *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*. Vol. 290. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [17] Spencer Dembner and Vanshika Jain. “Hyperelliptic curves and newform coefficients”. In: *Journal of Number Theory* 225 (2021), pp. 214–239.
- [18] Wikipedia The Free Encyclopedia. *Hyperoctahedral group*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperoctahedral\\_group](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperoctahedral_group). 23 October 2020.
- [19] L Euler. “Discovery of a most extraordinary law of numbers concerning the sum of their divisors, Opera Omnia, Ser. 1”. In: *Eneström index 2* (E175), pp. 241–253.
- [20] Robert Fricke. *Die elliptischen Funktionen und ihre Anwendungen*. Vol. 1. BG Teubner, 1922.
- [21] Piotr Graczyk, Gérard Letac, and Hélène Massam. “The hyperoctahedral group, symmetric group representations and the moments of the real Wishart distribution”. In: *Journal of Theoretical Probability* 18.1 (2005), pp. 1–42.
- [22] Erich Hecke. *Lectures on Dirichlet series, modular functions, and quadratic forms*. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1983.
- [23] Erich Hecke. “Über die bestimmung dirichletscher reihen durch ihre funktionalgleichung”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 112.1 (1936), pp. 664–699.
- [24] Jonas Jermann. *Triangle functions on SageMath site*. [https://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/modfrm\\_hecketriangle/index.html](https://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/modfrm_hecketriangle/index.html). 2013.
- [25] Kaya Lakein and Anne Larsen. “Some Remarks on Small Values of  $\tau(n)$ ”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.03556* (2021).
- [26] D. H. Lehmer. “The vanishing of Ramanujan’s function  $\tau(n)$ ”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 14.2 (1947), pp. 429–433.

- [27] Joseph Lehner. “Note on the Schwarz triangle functions.” In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 4.2 (1954), pp. 243–249.
- [28] John Garrett Leo. *Fourier coefficients of triangle functions*, Ph.D. thesis. <http://halfaya.org/ucla/research/thesis.pdf>. 2008.
- [29] Nik Lygeros and Olivier Rozier. “Odd prime values of the Ramanujan tau function”. In: *The Ramanujan Journal* 32.2 (2013), pp. 269–280.
- [30] John McKay and Hubertus Strauss. “The q-series of monstrous moonshine & the decomposition of the head characters”. In: *Communications in Algebra* 18.1 (1990), pp. 253–278. DOI: 10.1080/00927879008823911. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879008823911>.
- [31] GA Miller. “Groups formed by special matrices”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 24.4 (1918), pp. 203–206.
- [32] M Ram Murty, V Kumar Murty, and TN Shorey. “Odd values of the Ramanujan  $\tau$ -function”. In: *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* 115 (1987), pp. 391–395.
- [33] Ken Ono, Sinai Robins, and Patrick T Wahl. “On the representation of integers as sums of triangular numbers”. In: *Aggregating clones, colors, equations, iterates, numbers, and tiles*. Springer, 1995, pp. 73–94.
- [34] George Polya. *Mathematics and Plausible Reasoning, Volume 1*. Princeton University Press, 2021.
- [35] J Raleigh. “On the Fourier coefficients of triangle functions”. In: *Acta Arithmetica* 8 (1962), pp. 107–111.
- [36] R.A. Rankin. *Modular Forms and Functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [37] Bruno Schoeneberg. *Elliptic modular functions*. Springer, 1974, p. 45.
- [38] Hermann Amandus Schwarz. “Ueber diejenigen Fälle, in welchen die Gaussische hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt.” In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 75 (1873), pp. 292–335.
- [39] Jean-Pierre Serre. *A course in arithmetic*. Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [40] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A006093. <http://oeis.org/A006093>.
- [41] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A008457. <http://oeis.org/A008457>.
- [42] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A008658. <http://oeis.org/A008658>.
- [43] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A014103. <http://oeis.org/A014103>.
- [44] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A034020. <http://oeis.org/A034020>.

- [45] N. J. A. Sloane. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A098985.  
<http://oeis.org/A098985>.
- [46] M. Somos. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A097340.  
<https://oeis.org/A097340>.
- [47] M. Somos. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A100130.  
<http://oeis.org/A100130>.
- [48] Robert G. Wilson V. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A068780. <http://oeis.org/A068780>.
- [49] D. Winston. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A089357.  
<http://oeis.org/A089357>.

EMAIL: `barrybrent''at''iphouse.com`