

Formal Power Series on Algebraic Cryptanalysis

Shuhei Nakamura *

Abstract

In cryptography, attacks that utilize a Gröbner basis have broken several cryptosystems. The complexity of computing a Gröbner basis dominates the overall computing and its estimation is important for such cryptanalysis. The complexity is given by using the solving degree, but it is hard to decide this value of a large scale system arisen from cryptography. Thus the degree of regularity and the first fall degree are used as proxies for the solving degree based on a wealth of experiments. If a given system is semi-regular, the complexity is estimated by using the degree of regularity derived from a certain power series, otherwise, by using the first fall degree derived from a construction of a syzygy. The degree of regularity is also defined on a non-semi-regular system and is experimentally larger than the first fall degree, but those relation is not clear theoretically. Moreover, in contrast to the degree of regularity, the first fall degree has been investigated specifically for each cryptosystem and its discussion on generic systems is not given. In this paper, we show an upper bound for the first fall degree of a polynomial system over a sufficiently large field. In detail, we prove that this upper bound for a non-semi-regular system is the degree of regularity. Moreover, we prove that the upper bound for a multi-graded polynomial system is a certain value only decided by its multi-degree. Furthermore, we show that the condition for the order of a field in our results is satisfied in attacks against actual multivariate cryptosystems. Consequently, under a reasonable condition for the order of a field, we clear a relation between the first fall degree and the degree of regularity and provide a theoretical method using a multivariate power series for cryptanalysis.

1 Introduction

A Gröbner basis algorithm that computes a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by a given polynomial system was discovered by B. Buchberger. It gives not only a foundation of computation in commutative ring theory and algebraic geometry

*Department of Liberal Arts and Basic Sciences, Nihon University, 1-2-1 Izumi-cho, Narashino, Chiba 275-8575, Japan (E-mail: nakamura.shuhei@nihon-u.ac.jp)

but also applications in several other fields (e.g. code theory, cryptography, statics, robotics). In particular, in multivariate cryptography, there exist several attacks using a Gröbner basis algorithm.

Multivariate cryptography [9] is based on an NP-complete problem of solving a system of quadratic equations, that is called the MQ problem [19]. It is especially expected to have potential in building post-quantum signature schemes, and has been considered in NIST PQC standardization project [24]. A Gröbner basis algorithm is used in attacks such as the direct attack [2], the Rainbow-Band-Separation attack [10], the MinRank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling [20] and the Minors modeling [17]. Since the complexity of computing a Gröbner basis often dominates the overall complexity of an attack, its estimation is important to security analysis against such attacks.

A Gröbner basis algorithm in an attack is used for solving a system of polynomial equations derived from a cryptosystem. Its complexity depends on the *solving degree*, that is the maximal degree required to compute the Gröbner basis, but it is an experimental value. In order to estimate the complexity of solving a large scale polynomial system derived from a cryptosystem, we need to consider a theoretical proxy for the solving degree. The degree of regularity [1] and the first fall degree [13] are well-known as such proxies.

For a semi-regular polynomial system which generalizes a regular sequence in an overdetermined case, the degree of regularity introduced by M. Bardet et al. [1] is given by the degree D_{reg} of the first term whose coefficient is non-positive in the power series

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t^{d_i})}{(1 - t)^n},$$

where d_1, \dots, d_m and n are the degrees and the number of the variables of the system, respectively. By the concept, a Gröbner basis for a semi-regular system is computed within D_{reg} . Since the value D_{reg} approximates its solving degree tightly and is simply computed by the power series, the degree of regularity is widely used for complexity estimation. On the other hand, there exist non-semi-regular systems in cryptography such as the public quadratic system of the HFE scheme [25] and quadratic systems solved in the Rainbow-Band-Separation attack and the MinRank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling.

For a non-semi-regular system appeared in cryptography, its Gröbner basis is often computed within a smaller degree than the degree of regularity. Namely, its solving degree is smaller than the degree of regularity. For a polynomial system whose components have the same degree, the first fall degree introduced by V. Dubois and N. Gama [13] is defined by using its syzygies and captures the first degree at which occurs a non-trivial degree fall during the computing a Gröbner basis. Since it often approximates the solving degree of a non-semi-regular system appeared in cryptography, the first fall degree is

used for complexity estimation, but deciding its actual value is hard. Thus, in previous estimations [11, 28], an upper bound for the first fall degree has been investigated by constructing a non-trivial syzygy. These estimations depend on each cryptosystem and there is no a general estimation for the first fall degree. Moreover, a relation with the degree of regularity is not clear theoretically.

1.1 Our contribution

In this paper, we show an upper bound for the first fall degree of a polynomial system over a sufficiently large field. In detail, we prove that this upper bound for a non-semi-regular system is the degree of regularity. Moreover, we introduce a value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$ using a multivariate power series and prove that the upper bound for a multi-graded polynomial system is this value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$. Polynomial systems solved in the Rainbow-Band-Separation attack and the MinRank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling are multi-graded, and we further show that the condition for the order of a field in our results is satisfied in these attacks against multivariate cryptosystems proposed in NIST PQC 2nd round. In particular, our result gives a theoretical background for the precise security analysis in [22, 23] since their values are specific cases of $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$. Consequently, under a reasonable condition for the order of a field, we clear a relation between the first fall degree and the degree of regularity and provide a theoretical method using a multivariate power series for cryptanalysis.

1.2 Organization

In this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some fundamental concepts in commutative ring theory and the complexity estimation for a Gröbner basis algorithm in multivariate cryptography. In Section 3, we prove that the first fall degree of a non-semi-regular system is smaller than the degree of regularity if the order of the field is sufficiently large. In Section 4, we prove that the first fall degree of a multi-graded polynomial system is bounded by a certain value derived from its multi-degree if the order of the field is sufficiently large. In Section 5, we conclude our results.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some fundamental concepts in commutative ring theory and the complexity estimation for a Gröbner basis algorithm.

2.1 Fundamental concepts in commutative ring theory

A (finite generated) commutative ring R is said to be \mathbb{Z}^s -graded if it has a decomposition $R = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^s} R_{\mathbf{d}}$ such that $R_{\mathbf{d}_1} R_{\mathbf{d}_2} \subseteq R_{\mathbf{d}_1 + \mathbf{d}_2}$ for any $\mathbf{d}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. In this paper, we assume always that $R_{\mathbf{d}} = \{0\}$ if $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ has a negative component. Then we call R a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded commutative ring. An element h in $R_{\mathbf{d}}$ is said to be $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous, or simply homogeneous and then we denote \mathbf{d} by $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} h$ and call it the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -degree of h . If R_0 is a field, put

$$\text{HS}_R(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} (\dim_{R_0} R_{\mathbf{d}}) \cdot \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{d}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[[t_1, \dots, t_s]],$$

where $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_s)$ and $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{d}} = t_1^{d_1} \cdots t_s^{d_s}$ which is called a (multivariate) Hilbert series. For a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded commutative ring R , its quotient ring with an ideal generated by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous elements is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded. For example, the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded by $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} x_{ij} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in_i}\}$. Then we have a decomposition $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s]_{\mathbf{d}}$ where $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s]_{\mathbf{d}}$ is the vector space over \mathbb{F} generated by the monomials of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -degree \mathbf{d} . When $s = 1$, for a polynomial f in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and a well-ordering $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we consider a expression $f = \sum_d f_d$ where $f_d \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$ and call its homogeneous component of degree $\max_{\{d \mid f_d \neq 0\}}$ its top homogeneous component. When $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x_i = 1$, the polynomial ring is said to be standard graded and we denote $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} f$ as $\deg f$. In this paper, we mainly treat with a homogeneous case and call an element of $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^m$ a system. Moreover, we call a system whose components are homogeneous a homogeneous system.

Let R be a commutative ring. For $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in R^m$, we define an R -module homomorphism

$$R^m \rightarrow R, (b_1, \dots, b_m) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m b_i h_i.$$

Then we denote by $\text{Syz}_R(h_1, \dots, h_m)$, or simply $\text{Syz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$, the kernel of this homomorphism and its element is called a syzygy of (h_1, \dots, h_m) . For example,

$$\pi_{ij} := (0, \dots, 0, -h_j, 0, \dots, 0, h_i, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, is such an element. Here, we denote by $\text{KSyz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ the submodule generated by the elements π_{ij} and its element is called a Koszul syzygy. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded commutative ring. For $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous elements $h_1, \dots, h_m \in R$ with $\mathbf{d}_i = \deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} h_i$ and the free module $E = R^m$ with the standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$, we denote by K_i the i -th exterior power $\bigwedge^i E$ and give the Koszul complex

$$K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet} : \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_4} K_3 \xrightarrow{\delta_3} K_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} K_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R \rightarrow 0$$

by $\delta_l(\mathbf{e}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i_l}) = \sum_{k=1}^l (-1)^{k+1} h_{i_k} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i_{k-1}} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i_l}$. Then we denote by $H_i(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})$ the i -th homology group of the complex and by $H_i(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}}$ its component of degree \mathbf{d} . Note that

$$H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}} = \text{Syz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\mathbf{d}} / \text{KSyz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Define $K(h_1, \dots, h_s)(-\mathbf{d})$ as $K(h_1, \dots, h_s)(-\mathbf{d})_{\mathbf{d}_0} := K(h_1, \dots, h_s)_{\mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{d}}$ for any \mathbf{d}_0 . Since $K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet}$ is the mapping cone of

$$K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet}(-\mathbf{d}_m) \xrightarrow{\times h_m} K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet},$$

we have the short exact sequence (see [7, 27]):

$$0 \rightarrow K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet} \rightarrow K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet} \rightarrow K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet}(-\mathbf{d}_m)[-1] \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we can obtain the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_2(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})(-\mathbf{d}_m) \xrightarrow{\times h_m} H_2(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_2(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet}) \\ \rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})(-\mathbf{d}_m) \xrightarrow{\times h_m} H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet}) \rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet}) \quad (1) \\ \rightarrow R/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle(-\mathbf{d}_m) \xrightarrow{\times h_m} R/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle \rightarrow R/\langle h_1, \dots, h_m \rangle \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Complexity estimation for a Gröbner basis algorithm

In this subsection, we discuss in the standard graded polynomial ring.

A Gröbner basis algorithm that computes a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by a given polynomial system was discovered by Buchberger [4]. In multivariate cryptography, it is used as an algorithm for solving a system of polynomial equations and there exist several attacks using a Gröbner basis algorithm. The complexity of computing a Gröbner basis often dominates the overall complexity of an attack and its estimation is important to security analysis against such attacks. For example, the complexity of the Gröbner basis algorithm F4 [15] with a polynomial system in n variables is estimated by

$$\binom{n + d_{slv}}{d_{slv}}^{\omega},$$

where $2 < \omega \leq 3$ is a linear algebra constant and d_{slv} is the *solving degree* that is the maximal degree required to compute the Gröbner basis. However, the solving degree is an experimental value. In order to estimate the complexity of solving a large scale polynomial system appeared in cryptography, we need to consider a theoretical proxy for the solving degree.

The degree of regularity introduced by Bardet et al. [1] is well-known as such a proxy. For polynomials f_1, \dots, f_m in the (standard graded) polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $d_i = \deg f_i$, the following d_{reg} is called the *degree of regularity*:

$$d_{reg}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \inf\{d \mid \langle f_1^{top}, \dots, f_m^{top} \rangle_d = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d\} \cup \{\infty\},$$

where f_i^{top} is its top homogeneous component of f_i . When the top homogeneous component of the system (f_1, \dots, f_m) is semi-regular [1] (also see Remark 3.6), the degree of regularity coincides with the degree D_{reg} of the first term whose coefficient is non-positive in the power series

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t^{d_i})}{(1 - t)^n}.$$

For any polynomials g_1, \dots, g_m in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg g_i = d_i$, it is known that the following inequality holds [7, 18]:

$$D_{reg} \leq d_{reg}(g_1, \dots, g_m).$$

For a semi-regular system, the degree of regularity D_{reg} tightly approximates the solving degree. However, for a non-semi-regular system such as a multi-graded polynomial system, it is known that its Gröbner basis is computed within a smaller degree than the degree of regularity (for example [22]).

The first fall degree introduced by Dubois and Gama [13] is well-known as a theoretical proxy for the solving degree of a non-semi-regular system. Let $B = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle$ with the standard graded decomposition $B = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} B_d$. For any $h \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we denote by \bar{h} the image of h under the natural surjection $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle$. Let d_0 be a positive integer and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{d_0}$. For a positive integer d , we consider

$$\varphi_d : B_{d-d_0}^m \rightarrow B_d, (\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m) \mapsto \bar{b}_1 \bar{h}_1 + \dots + \bar{b}_m \bar{h}_m.$$

Then, for

$$\bar{\pi}_{ij} := (0, \dots, 0, -\bar{h}_j, 0, \dots, 0, \bar{h}_i, 0, \dots, 0), \bar{\tau}_i := (0, \dots, 0, \bar{h}_i^{q-1}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

we define the following subspace of $\text{Syz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d = \text{Ker } \varphi_d$:

$$\text{TSyz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d := \langle \bar{b}_{ij} \bar{\pi}_{ij}, \bar{b}_i \bar{\tau}_i \mid \bar{b}_{ij} \in B_{d-d_0}, \bar{b}_i \in B_{d-d_0(q-1)} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}.$$

For any $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg f_i = d_0$, the first fall degree $d_{ff}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is defined by

$$d_{ff}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \inf \{d \mid \text{Syz}_B(\overline{f_1^{top}}, \dots, \overline{f_m^{top}})_d \neq \text{TSyz}_B(\overline{f_1^{top}}, \dots, \overline{f_m^{top}})_d\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Ding and Hodges [11] give an upper bound for the first fall degree by constructing a non-trivial syzygy for HFE [25] and prove that it is solved in a quasi logarithmic time. Verbel et al. [28] construct a non-trivial syzygy of a quadratic system in the Kipnis-Shamir method [20] for the MinRank problem [17] and gives a new complexity estimation with the first fall degree for this method. These evaluations for the first fall degree depend on each cryptosystem, and it is hard to apply to others.

For a given polynomial system, the degree of regularity and the first fall degree is defined on its top homogeneous component. It suffices to show a homogeneous case for discussing them. Thus, in this paper, we always assume that a polynomial is homogeneous where a considering grading is not necessary the standard grading.

3 Estimation using the standard grading

In this section, we introduce a certain value for a polynomial system which coincides with the first fall degree of a polynomial system over a sufficiently large field. Then we prove that the first fall degree of a non-semi-regular system over such a field is smaller than the degree of regularity.

3.1 Theoretical proxy d'_{ff}

In this section, for a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we only use the standard grading, i.e. $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x_i = 1$.

We introduce the following definition for computing the first fall degree.

Definition 3.1. *For homogeneous polynomials h_1, \dots, h_m in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we define d'_{ff} as*

$$d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \inf\{d \mid \text{Syz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d \neq \text{KSyz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Let $\pi : \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle$. By the following lemmas, we see that d'_{ff} coincides with the first fall degree d_{ff} for a sufficiently large q .

Lemma 3.2. *For homogeneous polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg h_i = d_0$, if $q > d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$, then we have $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \geq d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$.*

Proof. Let $B = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle$. Put $d = d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. There exists $\bar{\rho} = (\bar{\rho}_1, \dots, \bar{\rho}_m) \in B_{d-d_0}^m$ such that

$$\bar{\rho} \in \text{Syz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d \setminus \text{TSyz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d,$$

where $\deg \rho_i = d - d_0$ holds as a representative. Then, since $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\rho}_i \bar{h}_i = 0 \in B_d$, we have $\rho_1 h_1 + \dots + \rho_m h_m \in \langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle$. Since $\deg \rho_i + \deg h_i = d < q$, it follows that $\rho_1 h_1 + \dots + \rho_m h_m = 0$. If $\rho \in \text{KSyz}_R(h_1, \dots, h_m)$, we obtain a contradiction $\bar{\rho} \in \text{TSyz}_B(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. Thus $\rho \in \text{Syz}_R(h_1, \dots, h_m) \setminus \text{KSyz}_R(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. Therefore, we have $d \geq d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For homogeneous polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg h_i = d_0 \geq 2$, if $q > d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$, then we have $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \leq d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$.*

Proof. Let $B = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle$. Put $d = d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. There exists $\rho \in \text{Syz}_R(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d \setminus \text{KSyz}_R(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d$. In particular, $\bar{\rho} \in \text{Syz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d$. Although an element $\bar{b}_i \bar{\tau}_i$ for $\bar{b}_i \in B_{d-d_0(q-1)}$ is contained in $\text{TSyz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d$ as generators, they do not appear since $B_{d-d_0(q-1)} = 0$ by $d_0 \geq 2$ and $q > d$. Thus, if there exists $\bar{\rho} \in \text{TSyz}_B(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m)_d$, then $\bar{\rho} = \sum_{i,j} \bar{b}_{ij} \bar{\pi}_{ij}$ for some \bar{b}_{ij} where $\deg b_{ij} = d - d_0$ as a representative. Namely, $\rho - \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} \pi_{ij} \in \langle x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q \rangle^m$. By $d < q$, we have $\rho - \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} \pi_{ij} = (0, \dots, 0)$. Thus, we obtain a contradiction $\rho = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} \pi_{ij} \in \text{KSyz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d$. Therefore, $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \leq d$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *For homogeneous polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg h_i = d_0 \geq 2$, if $q > \min\{d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m), d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)\}$, then we have $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) = d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$.*

Proof. When $q > d_{\text{ff}}$, we have $d_{\text{ff}} \geq d'_{\text{ff}}$ by Lemma 3.2. Then $q > d_{\text{ff}} \geq d'_{\text{ff}}$, and we have $d_{\text{ff}} \leq d'_{\text{ff}}$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus $d_{\text{ff}} = d'_{\text{ff}}$. Similarly, when $q > d'_{\text{ff}}$, we have the same result. \square

In Section 4.2, we show that the assumption in this proposition, i.e. $q > \min\{d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m), d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)\}$, is satisfied in attacks against actual cryptosystems. In the next subsection, we see that the first fall degree of a non-semiregular system is smaller than its degree of regularity under the assumption.

3.2 Diem's work

In the article [7], Diem investigates a relation between the regularity of a polynomial system and its syzygies.

The following definition is introduced in [7].

Definition 3.5 ([7]). *A homogeneous system $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is regular up to degree d if the following multiplication map $\times h_i$ by h_i is injective for each $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

$$\times h_i : (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{i-1} \rangle)_{d_0 - \deg h_i} \rightarrow (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{i-1} \rangle)_{d_0}, \forall d_0 \leq d$$

Remark 3.6. In their article [1], Bardet et al. define a *semi-regular* system for a homogeneous system. Note that a homogeneous system is semi-regular if and only if is regular up to degree $D_{\text{reg}} - 1$ (see [7]). They also call a polynomial system semi-regular when its top homogeneous component is semi-regular.

Definition 3.7. *Let \preceq be a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$.*

1. *For two elements a, b of the formal power series ring $\mathbb{Z}[[t_1, \dots, t_s]]$, we denote $a \equiv_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} b$ if the coefficients of these monomials of degree less than or equal to \mathbf{d} with respect to \preceq are the same.*

2. For a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded module M over a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded commutative ring R , i.e. it has $M = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} M_{\mathbf{d}}$ such that $R_{\mathbf{d}_1} M_{\mathbf{d}_2} \subseteq M_{\mathbf{d}_1 + \mathbf{d}_2}$ for any \mathbf{d}_i , we put $M_{\leq \mathbf{d}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d}_0 \preceq \mathbf{d}} M_{\mathbf{d}_0}$.

The regularity in Definition 3.5 is characterized as follows:

Proposition 3.8 ([7]). *Let S be the standard graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$. For a homogeneous system $h_1, \dots, h_m \in S$ with $\deg h_i \neq 0$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. h_1, \dots, h_m is regular up to degree d
2. $\text{HS}_{S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_m \rangle}(t) \equiv_{\leq d} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t^{\deg h_i}) \text{HS}_S(t)$
3. $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\leq d} = \{0\}$

Since $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_d = \text{Syz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d / \text{KSyz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_d$, for the value d'_{ff} in Definition 3.1, note that we have

$$d'_{\text{ff}}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \inf\{d \mid H_1(K(f_1^{\text{top}}, \dots, f_m^{\text{top}})_{\bullet})_d \neq 0\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.9. *For a non-semi-regular system $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have*

$$d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) + 1 \leq D_{\text{reg}}(h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

Moreover, if $\deg h_i = d_0 \geq 2$ and $q > \min\{d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m), d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)\}$, we have

$$d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) + 1 \leq D_{\text{reg}}(h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

In particular, $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) + 1 \leq d_{\text{reg}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$.

Proof. Since there is no a non-Koszul syzygy of degree less than or equal to $d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) - 1$, we have

$$H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\leq d'_{\text{ff}} - 1} = \{0\}.$$

By Proposition 3.8, this means that h_1, \dots, h_m is regular up to degree $d'_{\text{ff}} - 1$. If h_1, \dots, h_m is regular up to degree $D_{\text{reg}} - 1$, it is semi-regular which contradicts the assumption (see Remark 3.6). Therefore $d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) < D_{\text{reg}}$. We assume that $d_0 \geq 2$ and $q > \min\{d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m), d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)\}$. Then, since $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) = d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ by Proposition 3.4, we obtain $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) < D_{\text{reg}}$. Since $D_{\text{reg}} \leq d_{\text{reg}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ (see [7, 18]), we have $d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) < d_{\text{reg}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. \square

Remark 3.10. For a semi-regular system h_1, \dots, h_m , we have $\langle h_1, \dots, h_m \rangle_{D_{reg}} = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{D_{reg}}$ (see Subsection 2.2). In other words, a Gröbner basis algorithm does not add a new leading monomial of degree larger than D_{reg} . Thus, for a semi-regular system, it suffices to compute up to D_{reg} .

Remark 3.11. For a given polynomial system, a standard signature-based algorithm avoids zero-reductions caused by its Koszul syzygies and is possible to give a set of generators for the syzygy module (see [14]). In particular, for a polynomial system which has a non-Koszul syzygy, such an algorithm must compute up to the value d'_{ff} .

Remark 3.12. Suppose that the complexity of solving a system in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ deduced from an attack against a cryptosystem is given by

$$\binom{n + d_{slv}}{d_{slv}}^\omega,$$

and that the complexity at $d_{slv} = q$ satisfies a security. Then, in order to know the security on d_{ff} , it suffices to compute d'_{ff} . Indeed, if $q > \min\{d'_{ff}, d_{ff}\}$ that is the assumption of Theorem 3.9, we have $d_{ff} = d'_{ff}$, otherwise $d_{ff} \geq \min\{d'_{ff}, d_{ff}\} \geq q$ which means secure from the attack by q . A more actual discussion for q is given in Section 4.2.

4 Estimation using a multi-grading

In this section, we introduce a value using a multi-degree to approximate the solving degree and, by extending Proposition 3.8 to a multi-grading, prove that the first fall degree of a multi-graded polynomial system over a sufficiently large field is bounded by this value. Moreover, we show that the assumption for the field order q of our results is satisfied in attacks against actual cryptosystems.

4.1 Extend Proposition 3.8 to a multi-grading

In this subsection, we show that a value in the following definition gives an upper bound for the first fall degree of a multi-graded polynomial system.

Definition 4.1. Let S be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded polynomial ring. For $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous polynomials h_1, \dots, h_m in S , we put

$$\sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} a_{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{d}} = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mathbf{t}^{\deg h_i}) \text{HS}_S(\mathbf{t}), \quad (2)$$

where $\# \mathbf{x}_i = n_i$ and $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{d}} = t_1^{d_1} \dots t_s^{d_s}$ for $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_s)$, and define $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}(h_1, \dots, h_m) := \inf\{|\mathbf{d}| : a_{\mathbf{d}} < 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Moreover, for a well-ordering \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$, we define $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m) := \inf_{\prec}\{\mathbf{d} \mid a_{\mathbf{d}} < 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ where $\mathbf{d}_0 \prec \infty$ for any \mathbf{d}_0 .

The value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$ in Definition 4.1 is similar to D_{mgd} in [23], but our value is also available for a wighted degree and is a more general concept. Moreover, we extend Definition 3.1 to the following:

Definition 4.2. For $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous polynomial h_1, \dots, h_m in the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded polynomial ring S with a well-ordering \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$, we define $\mathbf{d}'_{ff, \prec}$ as

$$\mathbf{d}'_{ff, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \inf_{\prec} \{ \mathbf{d} \mid \text{Syz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\mathbf{d}} \neq \text{KSyz}(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\mathbf{d}} \} \cup \{ \infty \}.$$

If $s = 1$, we denote this by $d'_{ff, \prec}$.

For the standard grading, i.e. $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x_i = 1$, the value $d'_{ff, \prec}$ coincides with d'_{ff} in Definition 3.1. We can extend Definition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8 to a multi-grading as follows.

Definition 4.3. Let S be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded polynomial ring and \preceq be a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous system h_1, \dots, h_m is regular up to degree \mathbf{d} if the following multiplication map $\times h_i$ by h_i is injective for each $i = 1, \dots, m$.

$$\times h_i : (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{i-1} \rangle)_{\mathbf{d}_0 - \deg h_i} \rightarrow (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{i-1} \rangle)_{\mathbf{d}_0}, \forall \mathbf{d}_0 \preceq \mathbf{d}.$$

Lemma 4.4. Let S be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded polynomial ring and \prec be a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ compatible with $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$ if $|\mathbf{a}| < |\mathbf{b}|$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$. For $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous system h_1, \dots, h_m with $\mathbf{d}_i := \deg h_i \neq \mathbf{0}$, the following conditions are equivalent:

1. h_1, \dots, h_m is regular up to degree \mathbf{d}
2. $\text{HS}_{S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_m \rangle}(\mathbf{t}) \equiv_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mathbf{t}^{\deg h_i}) \text{HS}_S(\mathbf{t})$
3. $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} = \{0\}$

Proof. The proof proceeds in the same way as Proposition 3.8 ([7]). For the assertion $1 \Rightarrow 2$, we suppose that the assertion holds for $m - 1$. Then, for any $\mathbf{d}_0 \preceq \mathbf{d}$, by the injection

$$\times h_m : (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle)_{\mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{d}_m} \rightarrow (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle)_{\mathbf{d}_0},$$

we have $\text{HS}_{S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_m \rangle}(\mathbf{t}) = \text{HS}_R(\mathbf{t}) - \text{HS}_{\langle h_m \rangle_R}(\mathbf{t}) \equiv_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} \text{HS}_R(\mathbf{t}) - \text{HS}_R(\mathbf{t}) \cdot \mathbf{t}^{\deg h_m} = (1 - \mathbf{t}^{\deg h_m}) \text{HS}_R(\mathbf{t})$ where $R = S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle$.

For the assertion $2 \Rightarrow 3$, we suppose that the assertion holds for $m - 1$. For any $\mathbf{d}_0 \preceq \mathbf{d}$, the long exact sequence (1) induces an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}_0} \rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}_0} \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle)_{(-\mathbf{d}_m)_{\mathbf{d}_0}} \xrightarrow{\times h_m} (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{m-1} \rangle)_{\mathbf{d}_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by an assumption of the induction and the injection $\times h_m$, we have

$$H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}_0} = 0.$$

For the assertion $3 \Rightarrow 1$, it suffices to prove the following statement and the case $l = m - 1$ in particular:

$$H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m))_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} = 0 \Rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_l))_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} = 0, 1 \leq \forall l \leq m.$$

Indeed, by the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_l)_{\bullet}) \rightarrow (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{l-1} \rangle)(-\mathbf{d}_l) \xrightarrow{\times h_l} S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{l-1} \rangle,$$

the right hand condition for each $1 \leq l \leq m$ gives the injection

$$\times h_l : (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{l-1} \rangle)(-\mathbf{d}_l)_{\mathbf{d}_0} \xrightarrow{\times h_l} (S/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{l-1} \rangle)_{\mathbf{d}_0}, \forall \mathbf{d}_0 \preceq \mathbf{d}$$

Suppose that there exists the minimum \mathbf{d}' such that $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}'} \neq 0$. Then, by $|\mathbf{d}_m| > 0$ and $\mathbf{d}' \succ \mathbf{d}' - \mathbf{d}_m$,

$$H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})(-\mathbf{d}_m)_{\mathbf{d}'} = H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}' - \mathbf{d}_m} = 0.$$

Hence we have $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}'} \neq 0$ by the short exact sequence

$$H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})(-\mathbf{d}_m)_{\mathbf{d}'} \xrightarrow{\times h_m} H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}'} \rightarrow H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\mathbf{d}'},$$

in the long exact sequence (1). Since $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} = 0$, we have $\mathbf{d} \prec \mathbf{d}'$. Therefore $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_{m-1})_{\bullet})_{\preceq \mathbf{d}} = 0$. \square

By this lemma, we see that the values in Definition 4.3 is an upper bound for the first fall degree of a multi-graded polynomial system.

Theorem 4.5. *Let S be a the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded polynomial ring. Then S is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded with $S_{\mathbf{d}} = \bigoplus_{d=|\mathbf{d}|} S_{\mathbf{d}}$. For $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous polynomials h_1, \dots, h_m with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} h_i \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have*

$$d'_{\text{ff}, <}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \leq D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}(h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

Moreover, if $S = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s]$ with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} x_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i$, $\deg h_i = d_0 \geq 2$ and $q > \min\{d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m), d'_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m)\}$, we have

$$d_{\text{ff}}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \leq D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}(h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

Proof. Since the assertion is on $S_{\mathbf{d}} = \bigoplus_{d=|\mathbf{d}|} S_{\mathbf{d}}$, we may fix a well-ordering \prec on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ in Lemma 4.4 as the graded lexicographic monomial ordering. When $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} = \infty$, the statement is obviously. If $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} < \infty$, the power series (2) has a negative coefficient at a certain \mathbf{d} such that $|\mathbf{d}| = D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$. Then,

by Lemma 4.4 and the positivity of the coefficients in the Hilbert series, there exists a non-Koszul syzygy of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -degree equal to or less than \mathbf{d} with respect to \prec . Thus $d'_{ff, \prec} \leq D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded S with $S_{\mathbf{d}} = \bigoplus_{d=|\mathbf{d}|} S_{\mathbf{d}}$. Assume that $S = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s]$ and it is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded by $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} x_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i$. For the standard grading, when $d_0 \geq 2$ and $q > \min\{d_{ff}(h_1, \dots, h_m), d'_{ff}(h_1, \dots, h_m)\}$, we have the last assertion since $d_{ff}(h_1, \dots, h_m) = d'_{ff, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ by Lemma 3.4. \square

The following theorem is a more general statement of Theorem 4.5, but it has an application (see Subsection 4.2).

Theorem 4.6. *Let S be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -graded polynomial ring and \prec be a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ compatible with $<$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$ if $|\mathbf{a}| < |\mathbf{b}|$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$. Then, for $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous polynomials h_1, \dots, h_m , we have*

$$\mathbf{d}'_{ff, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \preceq \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

Proof. Since it is obviously for $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s, \prec} = \infty$, we may assume that $\mathbf{d}_0 := \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$. By Lemma 4.4 with the same well-ordering as the statement, we have $H_1(K(h_1, \dots, h_m)_{\bullet})_{\preceq \mathbf{d}_0} \neq \{0\}$. It follows that $\mathbf{d}'_{ff, \prec}(h_1, \dots, h_m) \preceq \mathbf{d}_0$. \square

4.2 Application to multivariate cryptography

In the previous section, we give an upper bound for the first fall degree d_{ff} of a polynomial system f_1, \dots, f_m whose top homogeneous component is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s$ -homogeneous under the assumption that $\deg f_i = d_0 \geq 2$ and

$$q > \min\{d_{ff}(f_1, \dots, f_m), d'_{ff}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\}. \quad (3)$$

In this section, we show that this assumption is satisfied in attacks against multivariate public key signature schemes Rainbow [12] and GeMSS [5] proposed in NIST PQC standardization project [24] (see the appendix for notation in this section).

The Rainbow scheme is a multilayered version of the UOV scheme [21]. The Rainbow-Band-Separation attack [10] is an attack recovering a secret key of Rainbow and its complexity is dominated by that of a Gröbner basis algorithm for solving a certain system, say the RBS dominant system. Let v, o_1 and o_2 be Rainbow parameters. For $n \times n$ matrices M_{p_1}, \dots, M_{p_m} corresponding a public quadratic system (p_1, \dots, p_m) where $n = v + o_1 + o_2$ and $m = o_1 + o_2$, the RBS dominant system is a quadratic system in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{o_2}]^{m+n-1}$ consisting of

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, 0, \dots, 0, 1)M_{p_i}^t(x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, 0, \dots, 0, 1), 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

and the first $n - 1$ components of

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, 0, \dots, 0, 1)M_{p_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{o_2} y_j(x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, 0, \dots, 0, 1)M_{p_{o_1+j}}.$$

Since $(x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and ${}^t(1, 0, \dots, 0, y_1, \dots, y_{o_2})$ correspond a row and a column of secret linear transformations, the Rainbow-Band-Separation attack can recover a part of the secret key by solving the system. Since the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_{v+o_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{o_2}]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ -graded by $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} x_i = (1, 0)$ and $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} y_i = (0, 1)$, the top homogeneous component of the RBS dominant system is contained in $S_{(1,1)}^{n-1} \oplus S_{(2,0)}^m$ and is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ -homogeneous. Then, for the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ -graded polynomial ring S , the power series (2) in Definition 4.1 is

$$\frac{(1 - t_1 t_2)^{v+o_1+o_2-1} (1 - t_1^2)^{o_1+o_2}}{(1 - t_1)^{v+o_1} (1 - t_2)^{o_2}}. \quad (4)$$

The paper [22] experimentally shows that the solving degree of the RBS dominant system is tightly approximated by $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}$ in Definition 4.1 which is written as D_{bgd} in [22]. According to [22], for the Rainbow parameters Ia and IIIc/Vc [12] proposed in NIST PQC 2nd round, the best complexities of the Rainbow-Based-Separation attack are given by $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} = 15$ and $23/30$, respectively. Since $q = 16$ and 256 for the parameter Ia and IIIc/Vc, it follows that $q > D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}$ holds. In particular, since $q > d'_{ff}$ by Theorem 4.5, the assumption (3) holds and the second half of Theorem 4.5 holds. Namely, the value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}$ in the paper [22] gives an upper bound for the first fall degree d_{ff} . Furthermore, Perlner and Smith-Tone [26] propose a Gröbner basis algorithm that arranges polynomials arisen from the RBS dominant system with respect to a well-ordering on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ and further improves the complexity of the attack. Then we can use Theorem 4.6 as a theoretical background of this algorithm. Here note that their theoretical value in [26] is defined by a non-positive coefficient appeared in (4), i.e. it is different from our value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}$, and has another theoretical background based on such as a big conjecture in Diem [6].

Multivariate signature scheme GeMSS [5] is a minus and vinegar modification of the HFE scheme [25]. The MinRank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling [20] is an attack recovering a secret key of a multivariate cryptosystem such as GeMSS and Rainbow. Although a public quadratic system of GeMSS is defined over the field \mathbb{F}_2 of order two, the complexity of the attack is dominated by that of a Gröbner basis algorithm for solving a certain system over a very large field, say the KS system. Let n, D, a and v be GeMSS parameters. For $(n + v) \times (n + v)$ matrices $M_{p_1}, \dots, M_{p_{n-a}}$ over \mathbb{F}_2 corresponding the public quadratic system (p_1, \dots, p_{n-a}) , the MinRank attack finds x_1, \dots, x_{n-a} in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

such that

$$\text{Rank} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-a} x_i M_{p_i} \right) \leq r,$$

where $r = \lceil \log_2(D-1) \rceil + a + v$. Then, since a found vector (x_1, \dots, x_{n-a}) corresponds to a column vector of a certain linear transform over \mathbb{F}_2^n , the MinRank attack can recover a part of a secret key. For finding x_1, \dots, x_{n-a} , the Kinis-Shamir modeling solves the KS system in \mathbb{F}_2^n which is a quadratic system in $\mathbb{F}_2[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_c]^{c(n-a)}$ and is the components of

$$(0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0, k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jr}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-a} x_i M_{p_i} \right), 1 \leq j \leq c,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-a}\}$, $\mathbf{k}_j = \{k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jr}\}$ and $c \leq n - a - r$. Since the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_c]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}$ -graded by

$$\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}} x_i = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ and } \deg_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}} k_{jl} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j+1}{1}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

the top homogeneous component of the KS system is contained in $\bigoplus_{j=2}^{c+1} S_{\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_j}^{n-a}$ and is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}$ -homogeneous where $\mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then, for the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}$ -graded polynomial ring S , the power series (2) in Definition 4.1 is

$$\frac{(1 - t_0 t_1)^{n-a} \dots (1 - t_0 t_c)^{n-a}}{(1 - t_0)^m (1 - t_1)^r \dots (1 - t_c)^r}. \quad (5)$$

The paper [23] experimentally shows that the solving degree of the KS system from the MinRank problem [17] is approximated by $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}}$ in Definition 4.1 which is written as D_{mdq} in [23]. Then they also show that the value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}}$ which is smaller than the order $q = 256$, i.e. the assumption (3) holds, improves the complexity of the MinRank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling against Rainbow. In particular, the value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}}$ in [23] gives an upper bound for the first fall degree d_{ff} . On the other hand, the GeMSS parameter sets for a security of 2^{128} , 2^{192} and 2^{256} proposed in NIST PQC 2nd round take $n \approx 174, 265$ and 354 [5], respectively. Thus, for these proposed parameter sets, the order q in the definition of the first fall degree d_{ff} is around 2^{174} , 2^{265} and 2^{354} , respectively, and the complexities at $c = 1$ of the Kipnis-Shamir modeling are given by $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}} = 26, 44$ and 65 , respectively. It follows that $q \gg D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}}$ holds. In particular, $q > d'_{ff}$ by Theorem 4.5, and the second half of Theorem 4.5 holds. Therefore, this $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{c+1}}$ gives an upper bound for the first fall degree d_{ff} . In this case, since each order q satisfies a desired security, we see that it suffices to compute d'_{ff} by Remark 3.12.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the value d'_{ff} and saw that the first fall degree d_{ff} coincides with d'_{ff} for sufficiently large fields. By using this, for computing the first fall degree, we are able to use C. Diem's result in 2015 [7] which characterizes the regularity by the syzygies. Then we proved that an upper bound for the first fall degree of a non-semi-regular system is the degree of regularity. Moreover, we introduced a value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$ using a multivariate power series and proved that an upper bound for the first fall degree of a multi-graded polynomial system is this value $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$ by extending Diem's result to the multi-grading.

We showed that the condition for the order of a field in our results is satisfied in the Rainbow-Band-Separation attack and the MinRank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling against multivariate signature systems proposed in NIST PQC 2nd round. In particular, our result gives a theoretical background for the precise security analysis in [22, 23] since their values are specific cases of $D_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s}$. Consequently, under a reasonable condition for the order of a field, we cleared a relation between the first fall degree and the degree of regularity and provided a theoretical method using a multivariate power series for cryptanalysis.

As future works, it is possible to extend the result in this paper to more general grading. We need to consider the complexity of a Gröbner basis algorithm within this grading and to investigate its influence on the security of several other schemes.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Y. Wang and Y. Ikematsu for comments on the paper.

References

- [1] Bardet, M., Faugère, J.C. and Salvy, B.: On the complexity of Gröbner basis computation of semi-regular overdetermined algebraic equations, In: Proc. International Conference on Polynomial System Solving (ICPSS), pp. 71–75 (2004).
- [2] Bettale, L., Faugère, J. C. and Perret, L.: hybrid approach for solving multivariate systems over finite fields. *J. Math. Crypt.*, vol. 3, pp. 177–197 (2009).
- [3] Bettale, L., Faugère, J. and Perret, L.: Cryptanalysis of HFE, multi-HFE and variants for odd and even characteristic. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* vol. 69, pp. 1–52 (2013).
- [4] Buchberger, B.: Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenrings nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal. PhD thesis, Universität Innsbruck (1965)
- [5] Casanova, A., Faugere, J.-C., Macario-Rat, G., Patarin, J., Perret, L., Ryckeghem, J.: GeMSS: A Great Multivariate Short Signature. Specification document of NIST PQC 2nd round submission package (2019) https://www-polsys.lip6.fr/Links/NIST/GeMSS_specification_round2.pdf
- [6] Diem C.: The XL-Algorithm and a Conjecture from Commutative Algebra. In: Lee P.J. (eds) ASIACRYPT 2004. LNCS, vol. 3329. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2004).

- [7] Diem, C.: Bound of Regularity, *J. Algebra*, vol. 423, pp. 1143–1160 (2015).
- [8] Ding, J. and Schmidt, D. S.: Rainbow, a new multivariable polynomial signature scheme. In: Ioannidis, J., Keromytis, A. D., Yung, M. (eds.) *ACNS 2005, LNCS*, vol. 3531, pp. 164–175. Springer (2005).
- [9] Ding, J., Gower, J. E., Schmidt, D. S.: *Multivariate Public Key Cryptosystems*, Springer (2006)
- [10] Ding, J., Yang, B.-Y., Chen, C.-H. O., Chen, M.-S. and Cheng, C.-M.: New differential-algebraic attacks and reparametrization of Rainbow. In: Bellovin, S.M., Gennaro, R., Keromytis, A.D., Yung, M. (eds.) *ACNS 2008, LNCS*, vol. 5037, pp. 242–257. Springer (2008).
- [11] Ding, J. and Hodges, T. J.: Inverting hfe systems is quasi-polynomial for all fields. In: Rogaway, P. (Ed.) *CRYPTO 2011, LNCS*, vol. 6841, pp. 724–742. Springer (2011).
- [12] Ding, J., Chen, M.-S., Petzoldt, A., Schmidt, D., Yang, B.-Y.: Rainbow - Algorithm Specification and Documentation. Specification document of NIST PQC 2nd round submission package (2019)
- [13] Dubois, V., Gama, N.: The degree of regularity of HFE systems. In: Abe, M. (ed.) *ASIACRYPT 2010, LNCS*, vol. 6477, pp. 557–576. Springer, Berlin (2010).
- [14] Eder, C. and Faugère, J. C.: A survey on signature-based algorithms for computing Gröbner bases, *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, vol. 80, pp. 719–784. (2017)
- [15] Faugère, J. C.: A new efficient algorithm for computing Gröbner bases (F4). *J. Pure App. Algebra*, **139**(1), 61–88 (1999)
- [16] Faugère, J. C.: A new efficient algorithm for computing Gröbner Bases without reduction to zero (F5). In: Bose, P., Morin, P. (eds.) *ISSAC 2002*, pp. 75–83. (2002).
- [17] Faugère, J.C., Levy-dit-Vehel, F. and Perret, L.: Cryptanalysis of minrank. *CRYPTO 2008*, pp. 280–296 (2008).
- [18] Fröberg, R.: An inequality for Hilbert series of graded algebras, *Math. Scand.*, vol. 56, No. 2, pp. 117–144, (1985).
- [19] Garey, M.R., Johnson, D.S.: *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman & Co., New York (1979)
- [20] Kipnis, A., Shamir, A.: Cryptanalysis of the Oil and Vinegar signature scheme. In: Krawczyk H. (ed.) *CRYPTO 1998, LNCS*, vol. 1462, pp. 257–266. Springer (1998).
- [21] Kipnis A., Patarin J., Goubin L.: Unbalanced Oil and Vinegar Signature Schemes. In: Stern J. (eds) *EUROCRYPT 1999, LNCS*, vol 1592. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1999).
- [22] Nakamura, Shuhei, Ikematsu, Y., Wang, Y., Ding, J. and Takagi, T.: New Complexity Estimation on the Rainbow-Band-Separation Attack, *IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2020/703* (2020). <https://eprint.iacr.org/2020/703.pdf>
- [23] Nakamura, Shuhei, Wang, Y. and Ikematsu, Y.: Analysis on the MinRank attack using the Kipnis-Shamir method against Rainbow, preprint.
- [24] NIST: Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria for the Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Process (2016). <https://csrc.nist.gov/CSRC/media/Projects/Post-Quantum-Cryptography/documents/call-for-proposals-final-dec-2016.pdf>
- [25] Patarin, J.: Hidden Fields Equations (HFE) and Isomorphisms of Polynomials (IP): two new families of asymmetric algorithms. *EUROCRYPT 1996, LNCS*, vol. 1070, pp. 33–48, (1996).
- [26] Perner, R. and Smith-Tone, D.: Rainbow Band Separation is Better than we Thought, *Report 2020/702* (2020). <https://eprint.iacr.org/2020/702.pdf>
- [27] Schenck, H.: *Computational Algebraic Geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press (2003).
- [28] Verbel, J., Baena, J., Cabarcas, D., Perner, R. and Smith-Tone, D.: On the Complexity of “Superdetermined” Minrank Instances, *IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/731* (2019). <https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/731.pdf>
- [29] Yang, B.-Y. and Chen, J.-M.: All in the XL family: Theory and practice. In: Park, C., Chee, S. (eds.) *ICISC 2004, LNCS*, vol. 3506, pp. 67–86. Springer, Heidelberg (2007).

Appendix

For simplicity, we treat only homogeneous polynomials as polynomials and assume that the characteristic of the field \mathbb{F} is odd. Then, a quadratic homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ corresponds to a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F} . A polynomial system (f_1, \dots, f_m) of $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^m$ gives a map $\mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ by $\mathbf{a} \mapsto (f_1(\mathbf{a}), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{a}))$ which is called a *polynomial map*. A multivariate public key signature scheme consists of the following three algorithms:

Key generation: We construct two invertible linear maps $U : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ and $T : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ randomly and an easily invertible quadratic map $F : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ which is called a *central map*, and then compute the composition $P := T \circ F \circ U$. The *public key* is given as P . The tuple (T, F, U) is a *secret key*.

Signature generation: For a message $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}^m$, we compute $\mathbf{b}' = T^{-1}(\mathbf{b})$. Next, we can compute an element \mathbf{a}' of $F^{-1}(\{\mathbf{b}'\})$ since F is easily invertible. Consequently, we obtain a signature $\mathbf{a} = U^{-1}(\mathbf{a}') \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

Verification: We verify whether $P(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b}$ holds.

For a given public key P , the key recovery attack recovers U, T and F such that $P = T \circ F \circ U$ and forges a signature for any message. For matrices M_{p_i}, M_{f_i}, M_U and M_T corresponding p_i, f_i, U and T where $P = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ and $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, respectively, we have

$$(M_{p_1}, \dots, M_{p_m}) = (M_U M_{f_1}^t M_U, \dots, M_U M_{f_m}^t M_U) M_T.$$

The Rainbow-Band-Separation attack takes time to recover a part of M_S and M_T of the UOV scheme or its multilayerization, i.e. Rainbow. For a public key $P = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ of the HFE scheme and a central $n \times n$ matrix $M_c = (c_{ij})_{i,j}$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} , we have

$$(M_{p_1}, \dots, M_{p_m}) = (M_U M_\varphi M_1^t M_\varphi^t M_U, \dots, M_U M_\varphi M_m^t M_\varphi^t M_U) M_\varphi^{-1} M_T,$$

where $M_\varphi = (\theta^{(i-1)q^j-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ and $M_k = (c_{i-k, j-k}^{q^k})$. The Min-Rank attack with the Kipnis-Shamir modeling takes time to recover a column of $M_\varphi^{-1} M_T$ of the HFE scheme or its modifications, e.g. GeMSS.