A splitting theorem for capillary graphs under Ricci lower bounds

Giulio Colombo

Luciano Mari

Marco Rigoli

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano (Italy) E-mail: giulio.colombo@unimi.it, marco.rigoli55@gmail.com

Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá degli Studi di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, I-10123 Torino (Italy) E-mail: luciano.mari@unito.it

Abstract

In this paper, we study capillary graphs defined on a domain Ω of a complete Riemannian manifold, where the graph of $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is said to be capillary if it has constant mean curvature and locally constant Dirichlet and Neumann conditions on $\partial\Omega$. Our main result is a splitting theorem both for Ω and for u under mild geometric conditions, in particular, requiring bounds only on the Ricci curvatures of Ω and M. As a corollary, we classify all capillary graphs over domains that are globally Lipschitz epigraphs or slabs in $M = N \times \mathbb{R}$, where N has slow volume growth and non-negative Ricci curvature. The proof hinges on a new geometric Poincaré formula and on a new gradient estimate for positive CMC graphs on manifolds with Ricci lower bounds, of independent interest.¹

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries 2.1 CMC graphs	12 12
3	AK-duality and global gradient bounds for CMC graphs 3.1 The proof	15 18
4	Splitting of capillary graphs4.1Monotonicity of solutions in presence of Killing vectors4.2Splitting of monotone solutions	22 22 26
A	Appendix	36

¹MSC 2020: Primary 53C21, 53C42; Secondary 53C24, 58J65, 31C12, 31B05.

Keywords: overdetermined problem, capillarity, CMC graph, splitting theorem, minimal hypersurface.

1 Introduction

The study of capillary hypersurfaces in an ambient manifold with boundary is a classical subject, [19], that in recent years stimulated a renewed interest from the mathematical community. A capillary hypersurface Σ in a Riemannian manifold with boundary \overline{M} is a constant mean curvature (CMC) hypersurface with boundary $\partial \Sigma \subset \partial \overline{M}$, that meets $\partial \overline{M}$ at a constant angle. For instance, the class includes free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in \overline{M} . Capillary hypersurfaces arise from a variational setup that we now recall, referring to [19, 49] for a more detailed insight.

Suppose that Σ is an embedded, connected hypersurface of \overline{M} , with boundary $\partial \Sigma \subset \partial \overline{M}$ that we assume to be transversal to $\partial \overline{M}$. Fix a connected, relatively compact open set $U \in \overline{M}$ in such a way that Σ divides U into two connected components A and B. Note that $\partial B \cap U$ is the union of a portion of Σ and, possibly, of a relatively open subset $\Omega \subset \partial \overline{M}$. Then, Σ is a stationary point for the functional

$$|\Sigma \cap U| - \cos \gamma |B|, \qquad \gamma \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$$

with respect to variations that are compactly supported in U and preserve the volume of B, if and only if Σ is a CMC hypersurface satisfying

$$\langle \eta, \bar{\eta} \rangle = \cos \gamma \quad \text{on } \partial \Sigma \cap U,$$

where $\bar{\eta}$ and η are, respectively, the outward pointing unit normals to $\partial \Sigma \hookrightarrow \Omega$ and $\partial \Sigma \hookrightarrow \Sigma$.

Rigidity issues for capillary hypersurfaces have been investigated, to our knowledge, mostly in compact ambient spaces \overline{M} with a large amount of symmetries. Especially, capillary hypersurfaces in the unit ball $\overline{M} = \mathbb{B}^{m+1}$ attracted the attention of researchers, also in view of the link between free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{B}^{m+1} and the Steklov eigenvalue problem (cf. [21, 22] and the references therein). For compact $\Sigma \to \mathbb{B}^{m+1}$, complete classification results were obtained under the assumptions that Σ is stable, see [50, 39, 2] (free boundary case) and [49, 59].

In the present paper, we study the rigidity problem for capillary hypersurfaces that are globally graphical over Ω . More precisely, given a complete Riemannian manifold (M^m, σ) without boundary, and a connected, open domain with smooth boundary $\Omega \subset M$, we let $\overline{M} = \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^+_{\Omega}$, where hereafter

$$\mathbb{R}^+_0 := [0, \infty), \qquad \mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty),$$

and consider Σ to be the graph of a function

$$u : \overline{\Omega} \to [0,\infty)$$

satisfying u = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. Having fixed $U \in \overline{M}$, we let *B* denote the subgraph of Σ in *U*. Then, Σ is a capillary hypersurface if and only if *u* satisfies the overdetermined boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H & \text{on } \Omega\\ u = 0, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u = -\tan\gamma & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

for some constant $H \in \mathbb{R}$ being the (unnormalized) mean curvature of Σ . Here, as before, $\bar{\eta}$ is the unit exterior normal to $\partial \Omega \hookrightarrow \Omega$.

More generally, we consider solutions $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ of the following overdetermined problem:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u, \partial_{\bar{\eta}} u \text{ locally constant} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $H \in \mathbb{R}$ is a given constant, whose graphs over Ω we still call capillary graphs. Our goal is to prove the first classification result for both Ω and u under rather general assumptions on M. Precisely, we shall deduce from the existence of a solution of (2) both that Ω splits as a product $I \times N$, for some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and that u only depends on the split \mathbb{R} -direction. In this respect, our main result is strongly inspired by the splitting theorems of J.Cheeger and D.Gromoll [8] as well as those of P.Li and J.Wang, for manifolds with positive spectrum [31, 32] and for properly immersed minimal hypersurfaces in manifolds of non-negative sectional curvature [34]. However, the techniques described below depart in many aspects from those in [8, 32, 31]. In particular, the presence of a boundary introduces nontrivial further difficulties.

Capillary graphs as overdetermined problems

The problem of classifying domains Ω supporting a solution of an overdetermined problem has a long history, starting from the semilinear case

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{on } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = 0, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}} u = \text{const} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
(3)

with $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Connected, open sets Ω with smooth boundary supporting a *bounded*, non-constant solution of (3) are called *f*-extremal domains. While J.Serrin in [54] showed that the only bounded *f*-extremal domain in \mathbb{R}^m is the round ball (cf. also [60] for $f \equiv 1$), in the past 25 years a major open problem in the field was to characterize unbounded *f*-extremal domains. In [4], H.Berestycki, L.Caffarelli and L.Nirenberg conjectured that the only *f*-extremal domains in \mathbb{R}^m with connected complement are either the ball, the half-space, the cylinder $B^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ or their complements. The conjecture turns out to be false in full generality, by counterexamples in [55] ($m \ge 3$) and [47] (m = 2 and Ω an exterior region). Surprisingly, in \mathbb{R}^2 the conjecture is true if $\partial\Omega$ is unbounded and connected [46].

It is natural to wonder whether f-extremal domains can be classified in more general Riemannian manifolds. The BCN conjecture was considered in the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 and in the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , respectively in [12] and [13], with different and interesting techniques. From a perspective more closely related to our work, the classification of solutions of (3) on manifolds with Ric ≥ 0 was studied in [16]. Rigidity, in this case, means both that the domain splits as a product $N \times [0, \infty)$, and that the solution only depends on the split half-line.

To the best of our knowledge, generalizations of (3) to nonlinear operators have mainly focused on the *p*-Laplace equation $\Delta_n u + f(u) = 0$, with

$$\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div} \left(|Du|^{p-2} Du \right) \quad \text{with } p > 1,$$

leaving problems like (2) mostly unexplored. Taking into account that (1) alone imposes restrictions on the geometry of Ω even without overdetermined boundary conditions (cf. [35, 10, 26], and the survey in [58]), we could expect more rigidity than in the case of the Laplacian. However, as far as we know there is still no attempt to study the equivalent of the BCN conjecture for (2), that, we think, is worth investigating. In the present paper, we move some steps in this direction for constant f.

Assumptions and main results

In the study of (2), it is desirable to allow for unbounded u, at least to include the relevant example of H = 0, Ω being a half-space of \mathbb{R}^m , and u being an affine function that is constant on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, we shall just assume

$$\inf_{\Omega} u > -\infty. \tag{4}$$

We tried to keep our curvature requirement on M to a minimum, in particular, avoiding to bound the sectional curvature of M. This is coherent with the above mentioned splitting theorems in [8, 31, 32, 16], all based on Böchner formulas and thus naturally related to Ricci lower bounds. Precisely, we assume

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric} \geq -\kappa(1+r^2) & \text{on } M, \text{ for some } \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \operatorname{Ric} \geq 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(5)

where *r* is the distance from a fixed origin, and the inequalities are meant in the sense of quadratic forms. The first condition is technical, and might be removable. On the other hand, condition Ric ≥ 0 on Ω is fundamental at various stages of the proof. We anticipate that it would be very interesting to obtain a splitting theorem in the spirit of Theorem 1.3 below on manifolds satisfying

$$\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(m-1)\kappa^2$$

with $\kappa > 0$ constant. To this aim, the techniques developed in Li-Wang's [31, 32] should be quite helpful.

In the generality of (4), (5), a major issue is to obtain a gradient bound

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du| < \infty.$$

The main source of difficulty is that the linearization of the mean curvature operator possesses two eigenvalues that behave quite differently as $|Du| \rightarrow \infty$, while an assumption on Ric just controls, via comparison theory, the full trace of the Hessian of the distance function *r*. Therefore, *r* cannot be used in Korevaar's localization method, customarily exploited to get gradient estimates for the mean curvature equation under sectional curvature bounds (cf. [11, 5, 51, 56], and the references therein). We shall overcome this problem by using, in place of *r*, exhaustion functions coming from potential theory, in particular, we use a duality principle recently discovered in [38, 36, 37], called the AK-duality (Ahlfors-Khasminskii duality). We comment on this point later, and we suggest to consult [5, Sec. 3] and [11] for more details. The global gradient estimate in Theorem 1.12 is the second main achievement of our work, inspired by one for minimal graphs in $\mathbb{R} \times M$ that we recently obtained in [11] to prove Bernstein and half-space properties on manifolds with Ricci lower bounds, see Section 3 below.

Leaving aside the characterization of cylinders and complements of balls in \mathbb{R}^m , that relate to the position vector field, an important step to show the uniqueness of the half-space among a large class of non-compact, theoretically f-extremal domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, is to prove that u is monotone in one variable on Ω , namely that $\partial_m u > 0$. This is generally a hard task, and heavily depends on the behaviour of f and on Ω . For instance, $\partial_m u > 0$ follows if f is the derivative of a bistable nonlinearity, like in the Allen-Cahn equation

$$\Delta u + u - u^3 = 0,$$

and if Ω is a globally Lipschitz epigraph in the x_m -direction, see [4, Thm. 1.1]. Note that, since $\partial_m u$ satisfies the linearized equation $\Delta w + f'(u)w = 0$, its positivity implies that u is a stable solution. The existence of the parallel field ∂_m in \mathbb{R}^m is replaced, in our setting, by the assumption that Ω supports a bounded Killing field X, and a necessary condition to obtain the monotonicity (Du, X) > 0 is given by some form of trasversality of X to $\partial\Omega$, that is coherent with the sign of $\partial_{\eta}u$ on each connected component of $\partial\Omega$. This last requirement, codified by the second in (8) below, is satisfied for instance if Ω is a locally Lipschitz epigraph. For constant f, the method in [4] to prove $\partial_m u > 0$ cannot be applied, so we need to devise a different strategy, of independent interest. To reach the goal, we shall require that $(\overline{\Omega}, \sigma)$ is a parabolic manifold with boundary, according to the following

Definition 1.1. Let N be a smooth manifold with, possibly, non-empty boundary. Then, N is said to be parabolic if the capacity of every compact set $K \subset N$, defined as

$$\operatorname{cap}(K) := \inf \left\{ \int_{N} |D\phi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x : \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{c}(N), \, \phi \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\},$$

vanishes.

If $\partial N \neq \emptyset$, note that the support of ϕ can intersect ∂N . It can be shown that N is parabolic if and only if the Brownian motion on N, normally reflected on ∂N if $\partial N \neq \emptyset$, is recurrent, cf. [24].

Example 1.2. From the very definitions, if M is a parabolic manifold without boundary, cf. [24], then every smooth open subset $\Omega \subset M$ is such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is parabolic (hereafter, we say that Ω has a parabolic closure). Notice also that, in dimension 2, the parabolicity of $\overline{\Omega}$ is invariant by conformal deformations of the metric. By [26], a sufficient condition for the parabolicity of $\overline{\Omega}$ is the validity of

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{|\Omega \cap \partial B_s|} = \infty$$

for balls B_s centered at some fixed origin $o \in M$. An application of Hölder inequality (cf. [45, Prop. 1.3]), shows that the condition is implied by

$$\int^{\infty} \frac{s \mathrm{d}s}{|\Omega \cap B_s|} = \infty,$$

that is satisfied, for instance, if the volume of geodesic balls in Ω grow at most quadratically. Examples include any smooth domain in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 , as well as any smooth domain contained between two parallel planes in \mathbb{R}^3 . Also, by Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem, any smooth domain in a surface with non-negative sectional curvature has a parabolic closure.

We are ready to state our main result:

Theorem 1.3. Let (M^m, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$, and let $\Omega \subseteq M$ be a connected open set with smooth boundary such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is parabolic. Assume that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric} \geq -\kappa(1+r^2) & \text{on } M, \\ \operatorname{Ric} \geq 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$, where r is the distance from a fixed origin. Split $\partial\Omega$ into its connected components $\{\partial_j\Omega\}, 1 \leq j \leq j_0$, possibly with $j_0 = \infty$. Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a non-constant

solution of the capillarity problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = b_j, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u = c_j & \text{on } \partial_j\Omega, \ 1 \le j \le j_0, \\ \inf_{\Omega}u > -\infty, \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $\bar{\eta}$ is the unit exterior normal to $\partial \Omega \hookrightarrow \Omega$, $H, c_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{c_j\}$ is a bounded sequence if $j_0 = \infty$, and with the agreement $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \ldots \leq b_{j_0}$. Assume that either

u is constant on
$$\partial \Omega$$
 or $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |\partial \Omega \cap B_r|}{r^2} < \infty.$ (7)

If there exists a Killing vector field X on $\overline{\Omega}$ with the following properties:

$$\sup_{\Omega} |X| < \infty, \qquad \begin{cases} c_j(X, \bar{\eta}) \ge 0 & \text{on } \partial_j \Omega, \text{ for every } j, \\ c_j(X, \bar{\eta}) \not\equiv 0 & \text{on } \partial_j \Omega, \text{ for some } j. \end{cases}$$
(8)

Then:

- (i) $\Omega = (0,T) \times N$ with the product metric, for some $T \leq \infty$ and some complete, boundaryless, parabolic N with $\operatorname{Ric}_N \geq 0$,
- (ii) the product (X, ∂_t) is a positive constant, and (Du, X) > 0 on Ω .
- (iii) the solution u(t, x) only depends on the variable $t \in [0, T]$.

In particular, setting $\partial_1 \Omega = \{0\} \times N$,

- *if* H = 0, *then* $c_1 < 0$ *and*

$$u(t) = b_1 - c_1 t \qquad on \ \Omega, \tag{9}$$

and in case $\partial \Omega$ is connected this is the only possible conclusion;

- if $H \neq 0$, then $c_1 \leq 0$ (with $c_1 < 0$ in case H < 0) and

$$u(t) = b_1 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} - \sqrt{1 - \left(Ht - \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}}\right)^2} \right).$$
(10)

As a consequence, $T < \infty$ and

$$T < \frac{1}{H} \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} \right) \quad if \ H > 0, \qquad T \le \frac{1}{|H|} \frac{|c_1|}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} \quad if \ H < 0.$$

Remark 1.4. Evidently, in the case $T < \infty$, (9) and (10) relate the constants b_2 , c_2 describing the boundary data on $\partial_2 \Omega = \{T\} \times N$ to b_1 , c_1 . Furthermore, if *u* is assumed to be constant on $\partial\Omega$, then $\partial\Omega = \partial_1\Omega = \{0\} \times N$ and the only possible conclusion is $T = \infty$, H = 0.

Remark 1.5. The splitting of Ω as in the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 forces $\partial\Omega$ to consist of one or two copies of a connected, complete manifold of non-negative Ricci curvature. Hence, the second condition in (7) is satisfied a posteriori. In fact, by Bishop's theorem we have $|\partial\Omega \cap B_r(o)| \leq C_0 r^{m-1}$ for some $C_0 > 0$.

Remark 1.6. The second in (8) is a mild transversality assumption, that can be rephrased as $(Du, X) \ge 0$ and $\ne 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Clearly, it is satisfied a posteriori if Ω splits as indicated in the above theorem.

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.3 is also related to the celebrated Schiffer's conjecture. The latter asks whether a domain Ω supporting a non-constant solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = b, \quad \partial_{\bar{\eta}} u = c & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

for some constants $\lambda, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, is necessarily a ball. The problem in unbounded domains has been considered in [18].

As a direct corollary, we obtain the following complete classification result for domains that are globally Lipschitz epigraphs or slabs over manifolds with $\text{Ric} \ge 0$ and small volume growth.

Corollary 1.8 (Lipschitz epigraphs and slabs). Given $m \ge 2$, let N be a complete, connected, boundaryless Riemannian manifold of dimension m - 1. If $m \ge 3$, assume further that

$$\operatorname{Ric} \ge 0, \qquad \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{|B_r^N|}{r \log r} < \infty.$$
(11)

with B_r^N the ball of radius r in N centered at a fixed origin. Define $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$, and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^{\infty}(N)$ be globally Lipschitz functions with $\varphi_1 < \varphi_2$ on N.

(*i*) If the epigraph

$$\Omega := \left\{ (\tau, x) \in M : \tau > \varphi_1(x) \right\}$$

supports a non-constant solution of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = b, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u = c \neq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \inf_{\Omega}u > -\infty, \end{cases}$$

for some constants $H, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, then H = 0, c < 0 and one of the following cases occurs:

- φ_1 is constant (up to translation, $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$) and $u(\tau, x) = b c\tau$;
- *N* splits as a Riemannian product $N = \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ for some compact, boundaryless N_0 and by denoting $x = (s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ we have

$$\varphi_1(s,\xi) = a_0 s + a_1, \qquad u(\tau, s, \xi) = b - \frac{c(\tau - a_0 s - a_1)}{\sqrt{1 + a_0^2}}$$

for some constants $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a_0 \neq 0$.

(ii) If the slab

$$\Omega := \left\{ (\tau, x) \in M : \varphi_1(x) < \tau < \varphi_2(x) \right\}$$

supports a non-constant solution of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = b_1, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u = c_1 \le 0 & \text{on } \{t = \varphi_1(x)\} \\ u = b_2, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u = c_2 \ge 0 & \text{on } \{t = \varphi_2(x)\} \\ \operatorname{inf}_{\Omega}u > -\infty, \end{cases}$$

for some constant $H, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(c_1, c_2) \neq (0, 0)$, then one of the following cases occurs:

- $\varphi_1 \equiv a_1$ and $\varphi_2 \equiv a_2$ are constant; if H = 0 then $c_1 < 0$ and

$$u(\tau, x) = b_1 - c_1(\tau - a_1),$$

otherwise u has the shape in (10), with $\tau - a_1$ in place of t;

- *N* splits as a Riemannian product $N = \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ for some compact, boundaryless N_0 and denoting $x = (s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ we have $\varphi_i(s, \xi) = a_0 s + a_i$, for some constants $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_0 \neq 0$; if H = 0 then

$$u(\tau, s, \xi) = b_1 - \frac{c(\tau - a_0 s - a_1)}{\sqrt{1 + a_0^2}},$$

otherwise

$$u(\tau, s, \xi) = b_1 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{H(\tau - a_0 s - a_1)}{\sqrt{1 + a_0^2}} - \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}}\right)^2} \right).$$

Furthermore, if φ_1 and φ_2 are a-priori globally bounded, then conclusion (ii) holds with the second in (11) replaced by the weaker

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{|B_r^N|}{r^2 \log r} < \infty.$$
⁽¹²⁾

In this case, φ_1 and φ_2 are constant.

Remark 1.9. Observe that the above corollary applies, for instance, to all globally Lipschitz epigraphs and slabs in \mathbb{R}^2 , and to globally Lipschitz, bounded slabs in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 hinges on two main results, of independent interest. The first is a geometric Poincaré inequality. To state it, let us fix some notation. For $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, we denote by (Σ, g) its graph over Ω

$$\Sigma = \{(u(x), x) : x \in \Omega\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M$$

endowed with the metric g induced from the ambient product metric $dy^2 + \sigma$ on $\mathbb{R} \times M$, with y the canonical coordinate on \mathbb{R} . We let ∇ , $\| \|$, dx_g be the Levi-Civita connection, vector norm and volume measure induced by g. For any point $x \in \Sigma$ where $du \neq 0$, the level set $\{u = u(x)\}$ is a regular embedded hypersurface of Σ in a suitable neighbourhood of x. We let A be its second fundamental form in (Σ, g) , and for any $v : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ we let

$$\nabla_{\mathsf{T}} v := \nabla v - \left\langle \nabla v, \frac{\nabla u}{\|\nabla u\|} \right\rangle \frac{\nabla u}{\|\nabla u\|}$$

be the component of ∇v tangent to $\{u = u(x)\}$. Then, along $\{u = u(x)\}$ the remainder in the classical Kato inequality is made explicit by the following identity from [57]:

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|^2 - \|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^2 = \|\nabla_{\mathsf{T}}\|\nabla u\|\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2\|A\|^2.$$
(13)

Note that $\|\nabla u\|$ is C^1 in the set $\{du \neq 0\}$.

Proposition 1.10. Let (M, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold, $\Omega \subseteq M$ an open connected set and let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy (2) for some constant $H \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that u is strictly monotone in the direction of a Killing field X on $\overline{\Omega}$, that is, $\overline{v} := (Du, X) > 0$ on Ω . Then, for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\overline{\Omega})$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left[W^{2} \left(\|\nabla_{\mathsf{T}} \|\nabla u\| \|^{2} + \|\nabla u\|^{2} \|A\|^{2} \right) + \frac{\operatorname{Ric}(Du, Du)}{W^{2}} \right] \varphi^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{g} + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}^{2}}{W^{2}} \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \|\nabla u\| W}{\bar{\upsilon}} \right) \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{g} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \|\nabla u\|^{2} \|\nabla \varphi\|^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{g},$$

$$(14)$$

where $W = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \equiv 1/\sqrt{1 - ||\nabla u||^2}$.

Remark 1.11. The key point is that the support of the function φ is allowed to meet $\partial \Omega$. Indeed, the overdetermined conditions force the boundary terms to cancel out.

Geometric Poincaré formulas of the type in (14) are not new for boundaryless manifolds. For instance, R.Schoen and S.T.Yau [53] and later P.Li and J.Wang [33] used an inequality similar to (14) to prove the rigidity of certain minimal hypersurfaces properly immersed into manifolds with non-negative sectional curvature. Related inequalities also appeared in [31, 32], to split manifolds with Ric $\geq -(m-1)\kappa^2$ and whose Laplacian has a sufficiently large first eigenvalue.

From a different point of view, formulas like (14) were independently introduced by A.Farina in his habilitation thesis [14] and by Farina, B.Sciunzi and E.Valdinoci in [17], to study Gibbons and De Giorgi type conjectures for stable solutions of quasilinear equations of the type

div
$$\left(\frac{\varphi(|Du|)}{|Du|}Du\right) + f(u) = 0$$
 on \mathbb{R}^m ,

with rather general φ (cf. [17, Thm. 2.5]). Their goal is to prove the 1D-symmetry of *u*, that is, that *u* only depends on one variable up to rotation. For the mean curvature operator $\varphi(t) = t/\sqrt{1+t^2}$, the inequality reads

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left[\frac{|D_{\mathsf{T}}|Du||^2}{(1+|Du|^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{|A|^2|Du|^2}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \right] \varphi^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|Du|^2|D\varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \qquad \forall \, \varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^m), \quad (15)$$

where, at a point x such that $Du(x) \neq 0$, D_{\top} and A are the gradient and second fundamental form of the level set $\{u = u(x)\}$ in \mathbb{R}^m . We refer the reader, in particular, to Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 in [17].

Regarding overdetermined boundary value problems, Farina and Valdinoci in [18] made the remarkable discovery that the identity corresponding to (15) for $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$, meaning

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[|D_{\mathsf{T}}|Du||^2 + |A|^2 |Du|^2 \right] \varphi^2 \le \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 |D\varphi|^2, \tag{16}$$

still holds even if the support of φ contains a portion of $\partial\Omega$, provided that *u* satisfies (3) and $\partial_m u > 0$. In other words, the contributions of boundary terms to (16) on $\partial\Omega \cap \operatorname{spt}\varphi$ vanish identically if both *u* and $\partial_{\bar{\eta}}u$ are constant on $\partial\Omega$. This opened the way to use (16) to characterize domains supporting a non-constant solution of (3), a point of view further developed in [16] to obtain splitting theorems for domains with Ric ≥ 0 provided that the solution of (3) is monotone in the direction of a Killing field. In our paper, a key point to get (14) is to show that the "magic cancelation" in [18] still holds for capillary graphs. We give a simpler proof of this identity, emphasizing its geometrical meaning.

The second result is a gradient estimate for non-negative solutions of the CMC equation, holding under just a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of (M, σ) in Ω and, in some cases, a mild control on the volume growth of $\partial\Omega$. This improves on [11], that considers the case H = 0.

Theorem 1.12. Let (M, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$, and let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open subset. Assume that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric} \geq -K(1+r^2) & \text{on } M, \\ \operatorname{Ric} \geq -(m-1)\kappa^2 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(17)

for some constants K > 0, $\kappa \ge 0$, and where r is the distance from a fixed origin $o \in M$. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ satisfy

div
$$\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H, \quad u \ge 0 \quad on \ \Omega$$

for some constant $H \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied

- $\Omega = M$
- $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ is constant
- Ω has locally finite perimeter and

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log|\partial\Omega\cap B_r(o)|}{r^2}<\infty.$$

Let $C \ge 0$ *be such that*

$$C^{2} \ge (m-1)\kappa^{2} - \frac{H^{2}}{m} \qquad if \ H \le 0,$$

$$C^{2} > (m-1)\kappa^{2} - \frac{H^{2}}{m} \qquad if \ H > 0,$$
(18)

and choose $A \ge 1$ to satisfy

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{t} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} \ge 0 \qquad \text{for every } t \ge A.$$

Then

$$\sup_{\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}{e^{Cu}} \le \max\left\{A, \limsup_{x \to \partial\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{1+|Du(x)|^2}}{e^{Cu(x)}}\right\},\tag{19}$$

and in case $\Omega = M$ this yields

$$\sqrt{1+|Du|^2} \le Ae^{Cu} \qquad in \ M.$$

A systematic discussion of values of A, C satisfying the requirements of Theorem 1.12 for various $\kappa \ge 0$, $H \in \mathbb{R}$ is carried out in Section 3. In particular, for $H \le 0$ we can choose A = 1, $C = \sqrt{m - 1\kappa}$ and we recover the gradient bound for positive minimal graphic functions obtained in [11],

$$\sup_{\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}{e^{\sqrt{m-1}\kappa u}} \le \max\left\{1, \limsup_{x \to \partial\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{1+|Du(x)|^2}}{e^{\sqrt{m-1}\kappa u(x)}}\right\}$$

When $\kappa = 0$, the choice A = 1, C = 0 is admissible for each $H \in \mathbb{R}$, so for any CMC-graphic function $u \ge 0$ from (19) we have

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du| = \limsup_{x \to \partial \Omega} |Du|(x) \quad \text{if } \Omega \neq M,$$
$$Du \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } \Omega = M.$$

Remark 1.13. Although the conclusion of Theorem 1.12 is localized on an open subset $\Omega \subset M$, a global curvature bound on M like the first in (17) is crucial in a technical step of the proof. The existence of extensions M of Ω satisfying the first in (17) is not automatic: indeed, an example constructed in [44] shows that a smooth, complete manifold with boundary $\overline{\Omega}$ satisfying Ric $\geq -\kappa^2$ ($\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$) may not be isometrically embeddable into a complete manifold without boundary (M, σ) of the same dimension, if we require that the Ricci curvature of M is bounded from below by some other, possibly different, constant. Arguing similarly, one may be able to find an example of $\overline{\Omega}$ with Ric $\geq -\kappa^2$ that is not embeddable into a complete, boundaryless manifold (M, σ) of the same dimension whose Ricci curvature satisfies the first in (17).

Remark 1.14. The conditions in (18) relate to classical obstructions for the existence of entire CMC graphs over M (with no a priori bound). Indeed, extending previous results by E.Heinz [25], S.-S.Chern [9] and H.Flanders [20] in the Euclidean setting, I.Salavessa [52] showed that a complete manifold M with

$$\operatorname{Ric} \ge -(m-1)\kappa^2$$

does not support any entire solution of

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H \tag{20}$$

whenever $|H| > (m-1)\kappa$, while entire non-negative solutions of (20) exist on the *m*-dimensional hyperbolic space with sectional curvature $-\kappa$ for each value of $0 \le H \le (m-1)\kappa$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 CMC graphs

Let (M, σ) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension *m*, with volume measure dx and induced (m-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure d \mathscr{H}^{m-1} . The metric σ will also be denoted with (,). We let $|\cdot|$ and *D* denote, respectively, the norm and Levi-Civita connection of σ . Give coordinates (y, x) on $\overline{M} = \mathbb{R} \times M$, and let \overline{D} denote the Levi-Civita connection of the product metric $\langle , \rangle = dy^2 + \sigma$ on \overline{M} .

Let $\Omega \subseteq M$ be an open subset and $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ a twice differentiable function. We let

$$\Sigma = \{ (u(x), x) : x \in \Omega \} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M$$

be the graph of *u* over Ω , and we denote by *g* the graph metric induced on Σ from \langle , \rangle , and by $\| \cdot \|, \nabla, \Delta_g$ the induced norm, connection and Laplace operator on (Σ, g) .

The graph map

$$f: \Omega \to M : x \mapsto (u(x), x)$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the image $f(\Omega) = \Sigma$, whose inverse $\pi : \Sigma \to \Omega$ is the restriction to Σ of the canonical projection $\mathbb{R} \times M \to M$. We use these maps to identify the graph Σ with the base domain Ω . In particular, the pulled-back metric f^*g on Ω will still be denoted by g, and $\|\cdot\|$, ∇ , Δ_g will also denote the norm, connection and Laplace operator of the resulting manifold (Ω, g) .

Let $\{\partial_j\}$ be a local coordinate frame on (M, σ) , with $\sigma = \sigma_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$. We write the graph metric g on Σ as

$$g_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + u_i u_j$$

where $du = u_i dx^i$. Defining $u^j = \sigma^{jk} u_k$ and $W^2 = 1 + |Du|^2 = 1 + u_i u^i$, the components of the inverse g^{ij} are

$$g^{ij} = \sigma^{ij} - \frac{u^i u^j}{W^2},$$

and the Riemannian volume and hypersurface measures of g write as

$$\mathrm{d}x_g = W\mathrm{d}x, \qquad \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_g^{m-1} = W\mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}^{m-1}.$$

For any function $\phi \in C^1(\Omega)$, we write $d\phi = \phi_i dx^i$ and $D\phi = \phi^i \partial_i$ with $\phi^i = \sigma^{ik} \phi_k$. Then, $\nabla \phi$ is given in local components by

$$\nabla \phi = g^{ik} \phi_k \partial_i = D\phi - \frac{(D\phi, Du)}{W^2} Du$$

In particular, note that

$$\nabla u = \frac{Du}{W^2}, \qquad \|\nabla u\|^2 = g^{ij} u_i u_j = \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2}, \qquad W^{-2} = 1 - \|\nabla u\|^2.$$
(21)

With the agreements of the Introduction, the normal vectors to Σ and M, pointing outward from the subgraph of u, are respectively

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\partial_y - u^j \partial_j}{W}, \qquad \bar{\mathbf{n}} = -\partial_y. \tag{22}$$

Thus,

$$\langle \eta, \bar{\eta} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{n}, \bar{\mathbf{n}} \rangle = \frac{1}{W},$$

and the angle condition $\langle \eta, \bar{\eta} \rangle = \cos \gamma$ rewrites as $|Du| = \tan \gamma$. Requiring u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$ yields $\partial_{\bar{\eta}} u = -|Du|$ and we deduce (1).

A differentiation gives that the second fundamental form II_{Σ} and the unnormalized mean curvature H in the **n** direction have components

$$\Pi_{ij} = \frac{u_{ij}}{W}, \qquad H = g^{ij}\Pi_{ij} = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{W}\right), \tag{23}$$

with div the divergence in σ . Moreover, from the identity

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \gamma_{ij}^k - \frac{u^k u_{ij}}{W^2}$$

relating the Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k and γ_{ij}^k of, respectively, g and σ , for every $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ the components of the graph Hessian $\nabla^2 \phi$ and of $\Delta_g \phi$ can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{ij}^2 \phi = \phi_{ij} - \phi_k u^k \frac{u_{ij}}{W^2} \\ \Delta_g \phi = g^{ij} \phi_{ij} - \phi_k u^k \frac{H}{W}. \end{cases}$$
(24)

In particular,

$$\nabla_{ij}^2 u = \frac{u_{ij}}{W^2} = \frac{\Pi_{ij}}{W}, \qquad \Delta_g u = \frac{H}{W}.$$
(25)

From now on we assume that H is constant. For every Killing field \bar{X} defined in a neighbourhood $U \subseteq \bar{M}$ of the graph Σ , the angle function $\Theta_{\bar{X}} := \langle \mathbf{n}, \bar{X} \rangle$ solves Jacobi equation

$$0 = J_{\Sigma} \Theta_{\bar{X}} := \Delta_g \Theta_{\bar{X}} + \left(\|\Pi_{\Sigma}\|^2 + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) \right) \Theta_{\bar{X}},$$
(26)

with $\overline{\text{Ric}}$ the Ricci curvature of \overline{M} . This is the case, for instance, of the angle function $\Theta_{\partial_y} = \langle \mathbf{n}, \partial_y \rangle = W^{-1}$ associated to the Killing field ∂_y . As a consequence W satisfies

$$\Delta_g W = \left(\| \mathrm{II}_{\Sigma} \|^2 + \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) \right) W + 2 \frac{\| \nabla W \|^2}{W}.$$
(27)

If X is a Killing field in (Ω, σ) then we can extend it by parallel transport on the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \subseteq \overline{M}$ to a Killing field \overline{X} satisfying $\langle \partial_y, \overline{X} \rangle = 0$, with corresponding angle function $\Theta_{\overline{X}} = \langle \mathbf{n}, \overline{X} \rangle = W^{-1}(Du, X)$. Since (26) holds for both Θ_{∂_y} and $\Theta_{\overline{X}}$, the quotient

$$\bar{v} := \Theta_{\bar{X}} / \Theta_{\partial_{u}} = (Du, X)$$

is a solution of

$$\Delta_g \bar{v} - 2\left\langle \frac{\nabla W}{W}, \bar{v} \right\rangle = 0.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

By introducing the operator

$$\mathscr{L}_{W}\phi := W^{2}\operatorname{div}_{g}\left(W^{-2}\nabla\phi\right) = \Delta_{g}\phi - 2\left\langle\frac{\nabla W}{W}, \nabla\phi\right\rangle,\tag{29}$$

equations (27) and (28) can be rewritten as

$$\mathscr{L}_W \bar{v} = 0 \tag{30}$$

and

$$\mathscr{L}_{W}W = \left(\|\mathrm{II}_{\Sigma}\|^{2} + \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})\right)W.$$
(31)

Considering the weighted measures

$$\mathrm{d} x_W = W^{-2} \mathrm{d} x_g, \qquad \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_W^{m-1} = W^{-2} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_g^{m-1},$$

we note that \mathscr{L}_W is symmetric with respect to dx_W . For a given $C \in \mathbb{R}$, we compute

$$\Delta_{g}e^{-Cu} = -Ce^{-Cu}\Delta_{g}u + C^{2}e^{-Cu}\|\nabla u\|^{2} = e^{-Cu}\left(C^{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2} - \frac{CH}{W}\right)$$

so the function

$$z = \frac{W}{e^{Cu}}$$

satisfies

$$\mathscr{L}_{W}z = W\Delta_{g}e^{-Cu} + e^{-Cu}\mathscr{L}_{W}W$$

= $\left(\|\Pi_{\Sigma}\|^{2} - \frac{CH}{W} + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) + C^{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)z.$ (32)

We observe that if

$$\operatorname{Ric} \ge -(m-1)\kappa^2,\tag{33}$$

for some $\kappa \ge 0$, then, using (21),

$$\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}) = \operatorname{Ric}\left(\frac{Du}{W},\frac{Du}{W}\right) \ge -(m-1)\kappa^2 \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} = -(m-1)\kappa^2 \|\nabla u\|^2.$$
(34)

By Cauchy inequality we also have

$$\|\Pi_{\Sigma}\|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{m} \left(\operatorname{trace}_{g}(\Pi_{\Sigma}) \right)^{2} = \frac{H^{2}}{m},$$
(35)

and therefore, under assumption (33), (32) gives

$$\mathscr{L}_{W}z \ge \left(\frac{H^{2}}{m} - \frac{CH}{W} + (C^{2} - (m-1)\kappa^{2})\frac{W^{2} - 1}{W^{2}}\right)z.$$
(36)

We conclude this section by proving an analogous differential inequality for a modification of the function z. Let ψ_0 be a positive function satisfying

$$\Delta_g \psi_0 \le \lambda \psi_0$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $\beta > 0$ be given and set

$$\psi = \psi_0^{-\beta}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} &= -\beta \frac{\nabla \psi_0}{\psi_0}, \\ \Delta_g \psi &= -\beta \psi_0^{-\beta-1} \Delta_g \psi_0 + \beta (1+\beta) \psi_0^{-\beta-2} \|\nabla \psi_0\|^2 \\ &= \psi \left(-\beta \frac{\Delta_g \psi_0}{\psi_0} + \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \frac{\|\nabla \psi\|^2}{\psi^2} \right) \\ &\geq \psi \left(-\beta \lambda + \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \frac{\|\nabla \psi\|^2}{\psi^2} \right). \end{split}$$

We now compute

$$\begin{split} \Delta_g(\psi e^{-Cu}) &= e^{-Cu} \Delta_g \psi + \psi \Delta_g(e^{-Cu}) - 2e^{-Cu} \langle C\nabla u, \nabla \psi \rangle \\ &\geq \psi e^{-Cu} \left(-\beta \lambda + \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \frac{\|\nabla \psi\|^2}{\psi^2} + C^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 - 2 \left\langle C\nabla u, \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} \right\rangle - \frac{CH}{W} \right) \end{split}$$

that, by Young's inequality

$$-2\left\langle C\nabla u, \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi}\right\rangle \geq -\frac{1+\beta}{\beta}\frac{\|\nabla \psi\|^2}{\psi^2} - \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}C^2\|\nabla u\|^2,$$

leads to

$$\Delta_g(\psi e^{-Cu}) \ge \psi e^{-Cu} \left(\frac{C^2 \|\nabla u\|^2}{1+\beta} - \beta \lambda - \frac{CH}{W} \right).$$

For $\delta > 0$, we let

$$=\psi e^{-Cu}-\delta,\qquad \tilde{z}=W\eta.$$

η

Then, a direct computation gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{W}\tilde{z} = W\Delta_{g}\eta + \eta\mathcal{L}_{W}W$$

$$\geq \left(\|\Pi_{\Sigma}\|^{2} + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}) + \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{\eta}\right)\left(\frac{C^{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}}{1+\beta} - \beta\lambda - \frac{CH}{W}\right)\right)\tilde{z}$$
(37)

in the set $\{\eta > 0\}$. Using again (34) and (35), and the definition of \tilde{z} , under assumption (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{W}\tilde{z} &\geq \left(\frac{H^{2}}{m} - \frac{CH}{W} - (m-1)\kappa^{2}\frac{W^{2} - 1}{W^{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{\eta}\right)\left(\frac{C^{2}}{1+\beta}\frac{W^{2} - 1}{W^{2}} - \beta\lambda\right) - \frac{CH\delta}{\tilde{z}}\right)\tilde{z} \quad \text{on } \{\eta > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(38)$$

3 AK-duality and global gradient bounds for CMC graphs

The goal of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 3.1. Let (M, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$, and let $\Omega \subseteq M$ an open set such that

$$\operatorname{Ric} \ge -(m-1)\kappa^2 \qquad in \ \Omega$$

for some constant $\kappa \geq 0$. Suppose that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ satisfies

div
$$\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H, \quad u \ge 0 \qquad in \ \Omega$$

for some $H \in \mathbb{R}$, and that for some (hence, any) $q \in \Sigma$

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |B_r^g(q)|_g}{r^2} < \infty, \tag{39}$$

where (Σ, g) is the graph of u over Ω . Let $C \ge 0$ satisfy

$$\frac{H^2}{m} + C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2 \ge 0 \qquad if \quad H \le 0,$$
(40)

$$\frac{H^2}{m} + C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2 > 0 \qquad if \quad H > 0 \tag{41}$$

and let $A \ge 1$ be such that

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{t} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} \ge 0 \qquad \text{for every } t \ge A.$$

$$\tag{42}$$

Then,

$$\frac{W}{e^{Cu}} \le \max\left\{A, \limsup_{y \to \partial\Omega} \frac{W(y)}{e^{Cu(y)}}\right\} \qquad on \ \Omega.$$
(43)

In particular, in case $\Omega = M$ we have

$$\sqrt{1+|Du|^2} \le Ae^{Cu} \qquad on \ M.$$

We postpone the proof to subsection 3.1, while commenting here on sufficient conditions for the validity of (39) and on admissible choices of the parameters A, C satisfying the above requirements. As remarked in the Introduction, via a calibration argument (39) is satisfied under mild assumptions on $\partial\Omega$ and on the Ricci curvature of (M, σ) , made precise by the next Proposition 3.2, that we draw from Lemma 2 of [11]. In particular, (39) holds in case $\Omega = M$ and we obtain the subsequent Corollary 3.4.

Proposition 3.2 ([11]). Let M be a complete manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$. Let $o \in M$ be a fixed origin, set $r(x) = \text{dist}_g(o, x)$ for $x \in M$ and assume that

$$\operatorname{Ric} \ge -\kappa (1+r^2) \qquad on \ M \tag{44}$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$. Let $\Omega \subseteq M$ be an open subset supporting a solution $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ of

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H \qquad in \ \Omega$$

for some bounded function $H : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $\Omega = M;$
- $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and u is constant on $\partial\Omega$;
- Ω has locally finite perimeter and

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log|\partial\Omega\cap B_r|}{r^2}<\infty.$$

Then, (39) holds for each fixed $q \in \Sigma$.

From a direct application of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we readily deduce Theorem 1.12 in the Introduction.

Remark 3.3. Direct inspection of the proof of Lemma 2 in [11] shows that Proposition 3.2 remains true if boundedness of H is replaced by the weaker assumption

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log\left(\int_{B_r\cap\Omega} |H|\right)}{r^2} < \infty.$$

Corollary 3.4. Let (M, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$ satisfying Ric $\ge -(m-1)\kappa^2$ for some $\kappa \ge 0$ and let $u \in C^2(M)$ be a solution of

div
$$\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H, \quad u \ge 0 \qquad in M$$

for some constant $H \in \mathbb{R}$. If $C \ge 0$ and $A \ge 1$ satisfy requirements of Theorem 3.1 then

$$\sqrt{1+|Du|^2} \le Ae^{Cu} \quad in \ M.$$

Remark 3.5. We point out some admissible choices for *A* and *C* satisfying the requirements of Theorem 3.1:

- if $\kappa = 0$ then A = 1, C = 0 is admissible for every $H \in \mathbb{R}$;
- if H = 0 and $\kappa \ge 0$ then A = 1, $C = \sqrt{m 1}\kappa$ is admissible;
- if $|H| > \sqrt{m(m-1)\kappa} > 0$ then A = 1, C = 0 is admissible;
- the case $\kappa > 0$ and $|H| \le \sqrt{m(m-1)}\kappa$ is covered, amongst others, by the admissible

$$A = 1, \quad C = \sqrt{(m-1)\kappa^2 - H^2/m} \quad \text{for } -\sqrt{m(m-1)\kappa} \le H \le 0,$$

$$A = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{H}{\sqrt{m-1\kappa}}}, \quad C = A\sqrt{m-1\kappa} \quad \text{for every } \kappa > 0, \ H \ge 0,$$

$$A = \sqrt{1 + \frac{m}{3}}, \quad C = 2\sqrt{m-1\kappa} \quad \text{for every } \kappa \ge 0, \ H \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In general, under assumptions (40)-(41) it is always possible to find $A \ge 1$ satisfying (42). For a precise statement we refer to the next Proposition 3.6, whose proof is given the Appendix.

Proposition 3.6. Let $H \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa \ge 0$, $C \ge 0$ satisfy (40)-(41). Then, there exists $A \ge 1$ satisfying (42). In particular,

- if $H \leq 0$ then (42) is true for any $A \geq 1$
- if H > 0 and $C \ge \sqrt{m 1\kappa}$ then (42) holds for a given $A \ge 1$ if and only if

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{A} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{A^2 - 1}{A^2} \ge 0$$

- if H > 0 and $C < \sqrt{m - 1}\kappa$ then (42) holds for a given $A \ge 1$ if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{A} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right) \frac{A^2 - 1}{A^2} \ge 0,\\ CHA + 2\left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right) \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

and these conditions are also necessary, unless

$$\sqrt{1+\frac{m}{4}}C < \sqrt{m-1}\kappa, \qquad \frac{H^2}{m} \ge \frac{\left((m-1)\kappa^2 - C^2\right)^2}{(m-1)\kappa^2 - \left(1+\frac{m}{4}\right)C^2},$$

in which case (42) is satisfied for any $A \ge 1$.

3.1 The proof

The core of the argument depends on a novel way to localize estimates for the constant mean curvature equation, that we discovered in [11] and that we refine in the present paper. Precisely, for a given smooth open subset Σ' with $\overline{\Sigma'} \subset \Sigma$, we shall produce a boundaryless manifold (N, h) with $\overline{\Sigma'} \hookrightarrow N$ isometrically, and an exhaustion $\psi_0 : N \to \mathbb{R}$ solving

$$\Delta_g \psi_0 \le \lambda \psi_0 \qquad \text{on } N, \tag{45}$$

for a suitable, positive constant λ , that we exploit in place of the distance function from a fixed origin of M. Recall that ψ_0 is said to be an exhaustion if sublevel sets of ψ_0 are relatively compact in N. In this case, we also write

$$\psi_0(x) \to +\infty$$
 as $x \to \infty$.

Recall from [24] that a manifold (N, h) without boundary is said to be stochastically complete if the minimal Brownian motion \mathcal{B}_t on N is non-explosive, that is, if the trajectories of \mathcal{B}_t have infinite lifetime almost surely. Various sufficient conditions were shown to be equivalent to the stochastic completeness of N, among them we emphasize the following two:

- (*i*) [24, 43] for some (equivalently, every) $\lambda > 0$, the only weak solution $0 \le u \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(N) \cap L^{\infty}(N)$ of $\Delta_h u \ge \lambda u$ is $u \equiv 0$;
- (ii) [43] the weak maximum principle at infinity for the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_h holds, that is, for every $\varphi \in C^2(N)$ satisfying $\sup_N \varphi < \infty$,

$$\inf_{\{\varphi > \gamma\}} \Delta_h \varphi \leq 0$$

for each $\gamma < \sup_N \varphi$.

If (N, h) is geodesically complete, then by [43] the bound

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |B_r^h|_h}{r^2} < \infty$$

for the growth of the volume of geodesic balls centered at a fixed origin suffices to guarantee the stochastic completeness of N (see also [24, Thm. 9.1] for a similar condition). Note that the condition holds if

$$|B_r^h|_h \le C_1 \exp\left\{C_2 r^2\right\} \qquad \text{for constants } C_1, C_2 > 0,$$

and is therefore a rather mild assumption. In [38], the authors realized that each of (*i*) and (*ii*) is equivalent (and not only necessary, as in [24, Cor. 6.6]) to the existence, for some (every) $\lambda > 0$, of a continuous exhaustion function ψ_0 satisfying (45) in the weak sense. Such a function is named a Khasminskii type potential in [38, 36, 37]. The characterization in [38] was refined in [11, Lem. 3] to the following

Proposition 3.7 ([11]). Let (N, h) be stochastically complete. Then, for every $o \in N$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists $\psi_0 \in C^{\infty}(N)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \psi_0(o) = 1, \\ \psi_0 > 1 & on \ N \setminus \{o\}, \\ \psi_0(x) \to +\infty & as \ x \to \infty \ on \ N, \\ \Delta_h \psi_0 \le \lambda \psi_0 & on \ N. \end{cases}$$
(46)

Remark 3.8. The statement of [11, Lem.3] is a bit different from that of Proposition 3.7, and it is expressed in terms of an exhaustion ρ . However, the statements are easily seen to be equivalent by setting $\psi_0 = e^{\rho}$. Furthermore, the characterization in [38] shows that the existence of ψ_0 satisfying (46) is, indeed, equivalent to the stochastic completeness of (N, h).

Remark 3.9. The characterization of (i), (ii) in terms of the existence of ψ_0 as above holds in the more general context of a potential theory for fully nonlinear operators \mathscr{F} . Indeed, a Liouville property for bounded subsolutions of $\mathscr{F}[u] \ge 0$ is equivalent, for large classes of \mathscr{F} , to the existence of suitable families of exhaustions solving $\mathscr{F}[\psi_0] \le 0$. This duality principle was studied in [38, 36, 37] and named there the AK-duality (Ahlfors-Khasminskii duality). We refer to these papers for a detailed account and for applications.

Let (Σ, g) be the graph of u, and let $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ be a smooth, connected open subset such that bounded subsets of Σ' have compact closure in Σ . The second auxiliary result that we need guarantees, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the possibility to embed isometrically $\overline{\Sigma'}$ in a stochastically complete manifold. This will be a consequence of the next Lemma 1 in [11]:

Proposition 3.10 ([11]). Let (N_1, h_1) be a Riemannian manifold and let $U_1 \subseteq N_1$ be a connected open set satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ∂U_1 is a smooth, embedded submanifold in N_1
- (ii) every bounded subset of U_1 has compact closure in N_1 .

Then there exists a connected, complete Riemannian manifold (N, h), an open subset $U \subseteq N$ and a diffeomorphism $\phi : U_1 \to U$ with the following properties:

- (a) ϕ : $(U_1, h_1) \rightarrow (U, h)$ is an isometry
- (b) ∂U is a smooth, embedded submanifold in N and the map ϕ extends to a diffeomorphism between the manifolds with boundary $(U_1, \partial U_1)$ and $(U, \partial U)$
- (c) for every $p \in U_1$ and for every $r \ge 2 \operatorname{dist}_{h_1}(p, \partial U_1) + 2$

$$|B_r^h(\phi(p))|_h \le 2|U_1 \cap B_{4r}^{h_1}(p)|_{h_1} + 5\pi.$$

Our last lemma is technical, and we postpone its proof to the Appendix (Proposition A.2).

Lemma 3.11. Let $m \ge 2$, $\kappa \ge 0$, $H \in \mathbb{R}$, $C \ge 0$ satisfy (40), (41), (42). Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $A < A_1 < A + \varepsilon$, $C < C_2 < C_1 < C + \varepsilon$ such that

$$\inf\left\{\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{t} + \left(C_2^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} : t \ge A_1\right\} > 0.$$
(47)

We are now ready for the

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose, by contradiction, that (43) is not satisfied. Then there exists $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{W(\bar{x})}{e^{Cu(\bar{x})}} > \max\left\{A, \limsup_{y \to \partial\Omega} \frac{W(y)}{e^{Cu(y)}}\right\}$$

and, by continuity, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{W(\bar{x})}{e^{(C+\varepsilon)u(\bar{x})}} > \max\left\{A+\varepsilon, \limsup_{y\to\partial\Omega}\frac{W(y)}{e^{Cu(y)}}\right\}.$$

We fix $A < A_1 < A + \varepsilon$, $C < C_2 < C_1 < C + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{t} + \left(C_2^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right) \frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} \ge \varepsilon_0 \qquad \text{for every } t \ge A_1.$$
(48)

This is possible by Lemma 3.11. Set

$$z = \frac{W}{e^{C_1 u}}$$

Since $C_1 < C + \varepsilon$ and $u \ge 0$, we have $z(\bar{x}) \ge W(\bar{x})e^{-(C+\varepsilon)u(\bar{x})}$. Then, as $A_1 < A + \varepsilon$, there exists a regular value $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ for z satisfying

$$\max\left\{A_1, \limsup_{x \to \partial\Omega} \frac{W(x)}{e^{Cu(x)}}\right\} < \gamma < \sup_{\Omega} z.$$

Fix a connected component Ω_{γ} of the non-empty set $\{x \in \Omega : z(x) > \gamma\}$, let Σ_{γ} be the graph of *u* over Ω_{γ} , and fix $p \in \Sigma_{\gamma}$. Note that $z \leq W e^{-Cu}$ since $C_1 u \geq Cu$, so

$$\limsup_{y \to \partial \Omega} z(y) \le \limsup_{y \to \partial \Omega} \frac{W(y)}{e^{Cu(y)}} < \gamma$$

and then $\partial \Omega_{\gamma} \subseteq \Omega$, where the boundary is intended with respect to the topology of M. By continuity of z, we have $\partial \Omega_{\gamma} \subseteq \{x \in \Omega : z(x) = \gamma\}$ and, since Ω is connected and $\Omega_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\partial \Omega_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$.

Let Σ_{γ} be the graph of u over Ω_{γ} . We claim that bounded (with respect to g) subsets of Σ_{γ} have compact closure in Σ . Let $U \subseteq \Sigma_{\gamma}$ be bounded. Since the projection $\pi : (\Sigma, g) \to (\Omega, \sigma)$ does not increase distances, $\pi(U)$ is a bounded (with respect to σ) subset of Ω_{γ} , hence $\overline{\pi(U)}^{M}$ is compact by completeness of M. We have $\overline{\pi(U)}^{M} \subseteq \overline{\Omega_{\gamma}}^{M} = \Omega_{\gamma} \cup \partial \Omega_{\gamma} \subseteq \Omega$. Since $\pi : \Sigma \to \Omega$ is a homeomorphism, $\overline{U}^{\Sigma} = \pi^{-1}(\overline{\pi(U)}^{\Omega}) \equiv \pi^{-1}(\overline{\pi(U)}^{M})$ is compact. This proves the claim. By (39),

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Sigma_{\gamma} \cap B_r^g(p)|_g}{r^2} \le \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |B_r^g(p)|_g}{r^2} < \infty.$$

Observing that Σ_{γ} is connected by construction, by Proposition 3.10 there exist a complete, connected Riemannian manifold (N, h) satisfying

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |B_r^h(o)|_h}{r^2} < \infty$$
(49)

for some point $o \in N$, and an isometry $\phi : \Sigma_{\gamma} \to U$ between Σ_{γ} and an open subset $U \subseteq N$ such that $\phi(p) = o \in U$. By (49), the complete manifold (N, h) is stochastically complete. Pick

$$\lambda \in \left(0, C_1^2 \frac{A_1^2 - 1}{A_1^2}\right).$$
(50)

and let $\psi_0 \in C^{\infty}(N)$ satisfy (46) in Proposition 3.7. Then, the function $\psi_1 = \psi_0 \circ \phi \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma_{\gamma})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1(p) = 1, \\ \psi_1 > 1 & \text{on } \Sigma_{\gamma} \setminus \{p\}, \\ \psi_1(x) \to +\infty & \text{as } r_g(x) \to \infty \\ \Delta_g \psi_1 \le \lambda \psi_1 & \text{on } \Sigma_{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

where r_g is the distance from p in Σ_{γ} . Let $\beta > 0$ be such that

$$\frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta} \ge C_2^2, \qquad \frac{C_1^2}{1+\beta} \frac{A_1^2 - 1}{A_1^2} - \beta\lambda > \frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta} \frac{A_1^2 - 1}{A_1^2}.$$
 (51)

The first condition holds for any sufficiently small $\beta > 0$ as $C_1 > C_2$, while the second one is equivalent to

$$\lambda < \frac{C_1^2}{(1+\beta)(1+2\beta)} \frac{A_1^2 - 1}{A_1^2}$$

and by (50) this is true for any sufficiently small $\beta > 0$. Hence, it is possible to find $\beta > 0$ satisfying (51). Since $\mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \mapsto (t^2 - 1)/t^2$ is nondecreasing, (51) implies

$$\frac{C_1^2}{1+\beta}\frac{t^2-1}{t^2} - \beta\lambda > \frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta}\frac{t^2-1}{t^2} \ge C_2^2\frac{t^2-1}{t^2} > 0 \quad \text{for every } t \ge A_1.$$
(52)

The second inequality, together with (48), gives

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{t} + \left(\frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta} - (m-1)\kappa^2\right) \frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} \ge \epsilon_0 \quad \text{for every } t \ge A_1.$$
(53)

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that

$$\gamma < W(p)(e^{-C_1 u(p)} - \delta), \qquad C_1 H \delta < \varepsilon_0.$$
(54)

The existence of such δ is guaranteed since $W(p)e^{-C_1u(p)} = z(p) > \gamma$. We define

$$\psi = \psi_1^{-\beta}, \qquad \eta = \psi e^{-C_1 u} - \delta, \qquad \tilde{z} = W \eta.$$

Since $C_1 u \ge 0$, when $r_g(x) \to \infty$ we infer $\psi(x) \to 0$ and thus $\eta(x) \to -\delta$, so the set $\{x \in \Sigma_{\gamma} : \eta(x) > 0\}$ is bounded in (Σ, g) . Hence,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma} := \{ x \in \Sigma_{\gamma} : \tilde{z}(x) > \gamma \}$$

is a bounded subset of Σ_{γ} and therefore has compact closure in Σ . Moreover, $p \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$ by (54) and $\psi_1(p) = 1$. We also observe that

$$\tilde{z} \le W(e^{-C_1 u} - \delta) = z - \delta W \le z - \delta,$$

so

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma} \subseteq \overline{\{x \in \Sigma \, : \, z(x) > \gamma + \delta\}} \subseteq \{x \in \Sigma \, : \, z(x) \geq \gamma + \delta\} \subseteq \Sigma_{\gamma}$$

that is, the boundary of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$ is contained in Σ_{γ} . As Σ_{γ} is connected and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$ is open, non-empty, and with closure contained in Σ_{γ} , it follows that $\partial \tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ and, by continuity of \tilde{z} , we have

$$\tilde{z} = \gamma$$
 on $\partial \tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$.

By these observations, \tilde{z} attains its maximum over the compact set $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$ at an interior point $x_0 \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$. By (38), we have

$$\mathscr{L}_W \tilde{z} \ge \left(\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{W} - (m-1)\kappa^2 \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} + \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{\eta}\right) \left(\frac{C_1^2}{1 + \beta} \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} - \beta\lambda\right) - \frac{C_1 H \delta}{\tilde{z}}\right) \tilde{z}$$

on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$. We claim that

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{W} - (m-1)\kappa^2 \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} + \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{\eta}\right) \left(\frac{C_1^2}{1 + \beta} \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} - \beta\lambda\right) - \frac{C_1 H\delta}{\tilde{z}} > 0 \quad (55)$$

in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$. This would imply that $\mathscr{L}_W \tilde{z} > 0$ at the interior maximum point x_0 , thus yielding the desired contradiction and concluding the proof.

We prove the claim. First, observe that

$$W > \tilde{z} > A_1$$
 in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$.

By (52), we have

$$\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\eta}\right)\left(\frac{C_1^2}{1+\beta}\frac{W^2-1}{W^2}-\beta\lambda\right) \ge \frac{C_1^2}{1+\beta}\frac{W^2-1}{W^2}-\beta\lambda > \frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta}\frac{W^2-1}{W^2}$$

Therefore, the LHS of (55) is larger than

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{W} + \left(\frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta} - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} - \frac{C_1 H\delta}{\tilde{z}}$$

and by (53),

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1 H}{W} + \left(\frac{C_1^2}{1+2\beta} - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2} - \frac{C_1 H\delta}{\tilde{z}} \ge \varepsilon_0 - \frac{C_1 H\delta}{\tilde{z}}$$

If $C_1 H \delta \leq 0$ then we conclude

$$\varepsilon_0 - \frac{C_1 H \delta}{\tilde{z}} \ge \varepsilon_0 > 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}.$$

If $C_1H\delta > 0$, then we use the fact that $\tilde{z} > A_1 > 1$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$ together with (54) to get

$$\varepsilon_0 - \frac{C_1 H \delta}{\tilde{z}} \ge \varepsilon_0 - C_1 H \delta > 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}.$$

In both of the cases, we obtain the claimed validity of (55) on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\gamma}$.

4 Splitting of capillary graphs

4.1 Monotonicity of solutions in presence of Killing vectors

As a first step towards the proof of Theorem 1.3, we prove the following

Proposition 4.1. Let (M, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold and $\Omega \subseteq M$ a connected open set with smooth boundary and parabolic closure. Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du| < \infty, \qquad \text{div} \left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H \qquad on \ \Omega$$

for some constant $H \in \mathbb{R}$. If X is a Killing vector field on $\overline{\Omega}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{\Omega} |X| < \infty, \\ (Du, X) \ge 0 \quad on \ \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then

$$(Du, X) \ge 0$$
 on Ω .

Moreover,

To prove the proposition, we shall recall some facts about the parabolicity of weighted operators on manifolds with boundary, adapted from [26], which deals with the case of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. First, given a manifold with boundary (N, h) and $f \in C^1(N)$, we define the weighted Laplacian

$$\Delta_f \phi := e^f \operatorname{div} \left(e^{-f} \nabla \phi \right) \qquad \forall \phi \in C^2(N),$$

and observe that Δ_f is symmetric if we integrate function with compact support in int N with respect to the weighted measure $e^{-f} dx_h$. We say that Δ_f is parabolic on (N, h) if, for every compact set $K \subset N$ with non-empty interior, the capacity

$$\operatorname{cap}_{f}(K) = \inf\left\{\int_{N} |\nabla \phi|^{2} e^{-f} \mathrm{d}x_{h} : \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{c}(N), \ \phi \ge 1 \ \text{on} \ K\right\}$$

By definition, it readily follows that if N, N' are smooth manifolds of the same dimension with boundary, and $N' \subset N$ is closed, then for each $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$ it holds

 Δ_f is parabolic on $N \implies \Delta_f$ is parabolic on N'

The following characterization is showed in [26, Thm. 1.5 and Thm. 0.10] for $\Delta_f = \Delta$, but its proof extends verbatim to weighted operators.

Theorem 4.2 ([26]). Let (N, h) be a smooth manifold with boundary, and let $f \in C^1(N)$. Then, the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) Δ_f is parabolic on (N, h).
- (ii) every $v \in C(N) \cap W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(N)$ solving

$$\Delta_{f} v \ge 0 \qquad on \text{ int } N,$$

$$\partial_{\eta} v \le 0 \qquad on \ \partial N,$$

$$\sup_{N} v < \infty \qquad (56)$$

is constant, where η is the exterior normal of $\partial N \hookrightarrow N$.

In particular, if any of (i) or (ii) holds, every solution $\psi \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(N) \cap C(N)$ of

$$\Delta_f \psi \geq 0 \quad on \ N, \qquad \sup_N \psi < \infty$$

satisfies

$$\sup_{N} \psi = \sup_{\partial N} \psi.$$
(57)

Remark 4.3. We recall that v is a weak solution of $\Delta_f v \ge 0$ on N, $\partial_\eta v \le 0$ on ∂N if and only if

$$\int_{N} \langle \nabla v, \nabla \varphi \rangle e^{-f} \mathrm{d}x \le 0 \qquad \text{for every } 0 \le \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(N).$$

The next Lemma relates the parabolicity of $(\Delta \text{ on})$ a boundaryless manifold N with that of the product $N \times I$, with $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ a closed interval. We recall from the Introduction that a smooth open set $\Omega \subseteq (M, \sigma)$ has a parabolic closure if the Laplacian Δ is parabolic on the manifold with boundary ($\overline{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega, \sigma$), according to the above definition.

Lemma 4.4. Let N be a manifold without boundary, and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $I \not\equiv \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval. Then,

$$I \times N$$
 is parabolic \implies N is parabolic

Proof. Up to translation and reflection, we can assume that either $I = [0, \infty)$ or I = [0, T], for some $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Furthermore, as we observed before, if $[0, \infty) \times N$ is parabolic, then every smooth open subset of it has a parabolic closure, in particular $[0, T] \times N$ is parabolic. Therefore, it suffices to consider I = [0, T]. Given a compact set $C \subset N$, consider $K = [0, T] \times C$. Since $I \times N$ is parabolic, there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_i\} \subset \text{Lip}_c(I \times N)$ such that $\varphi \ge 1$ on K and

$$0 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{I \times N} |D\varphi_j|^2 dx dt = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_N \left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial t} \right)^2 + |D_N \varphi_j|^2 dt \right] dx$$

with D_N and dx the gradient and volume measure of N. Setting

$$\bar{\varphi}_j(x) = \int_0^T \varphi_j(x, t) \mathrm{d}t \in \mathrm{Lip}_c(N),$$

note that $\bar{\varphi}_j \ge 1$ on C and, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|D_N\bar{\varphi}_j|^2 = \left|\int_0^T D_N\varphi_j \mathrm{d}t\right|^2 \le T \int_0^T |D_N\varphi_j|^2 \mathrm{d}t.$$

Therefore,

$$0 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{N} \left[\int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial t} \right)^{2} + |D_{N}\varphi_{j}|^{2} dt \right] dx \ge \frac{1}{T} \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{N} |D\bar{\varphi}_{j}|^{2} dx,$$

so N is parabolic.

The next lemma shows that if an open subset $\Omega \subset (M, \sigma)$ has a parabolic closure, then for any given $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the differential operator \mathscr{D}_W defined in (29) is parabolic on the graph (Σ, g) of u. Remarkably, this implication holds also without requiring $\sup_{\Omega} |Du| < \infty$, and contrasts with the case of the graph Laplacian Δ_g , which may not inherit parabolicity from the base domain Ω if u has unbounded gradient. **Lemma 4.5.** Let (M, σ) be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $\Omega \subseteq M$ be a connected open set with smooth boundary and parabolic closure. For $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let (Σ, g) be the graph of u. Then, for each open subset $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ with smooth boundary, \mathscr{L}_W is parabolic on the graph $(\overline{\Sigma'}, g)$. In particular, for every $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_W v \geq 0 \quad on \ \Omega, \qquad \sup_{\Omega} v < \infty,$$

Then

$$\sup_{\Omega} v = \limsup_{x \to \partial \Omega} v(x).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Let $\Omega' = \pi(\Sigma')$ be the projection of the graph Σ' . For any compact set $K \subseteq \overline{\Omega'}$, we define the capacities

$$\operatorname{cap}_{\sigma}(K) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x : \varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{c}(\overline{\Omega'}), \ \varphi \ge 1 \ \text{on} \ K \right\},$$
$$\operatorname{cap}_{g,W}(K) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla\phi\|^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{W} : \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{c}(\overline{\Omega'}), \ \varphi \ge 1 \ \text{on} \ K \right\}.$$

Since $dx_W = W^{-1}dx$, $W \ge 1$ and $\|\nabla \varphi\| \le |D\varphi|$ for every $\varphi \in C^1(\Omega)$, we deduce $\operatorname{cap}_{g,W}(K) \le \operatorname{cap}_{\sigma}(K)$. The inclusion $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ implies that Ω' is parabolic, thus $\operatorname{cap}_{g,W}(K) = 0$. Hence, $(\overline{\Sigma'}, g)$ is parabolic. To conclude, suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\mathscr{L}_W v \ge 0$$
 in Ω , $\limsup_{x \to \partial \Omega} v(x) < \sup_{\Omega} v < \infty$.

Fix a regular value $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ of v such that

$$\limsup_{x \to \partial \Omega} v(x) < \gamma < \sup_{\Omega} v,$$

and observe that $\Sigma_{\gamma} = \{x \in \Sigma : v(x) > \gamma\}$ has smooth, non-empty boundary and thus it has parabolic closure. However, *v* is a non-constant solution of

$$\Delta_{f} v \ge 0 \qquad \text{in } \Sigma_{\gamma}, \\ \partial_{\eta} v < 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Sigma_{\gamma}, \\ \sup_{N} v < \infty, \end{cases}$$
(58)

contradicting Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.1 now follows at once.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. The function $\overline{v} := (Du, X) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\Omega} |\bar{v}| \le \left(\sup_{\Omega} |Du|\right) \left(\sup_{\Omega} |X|\right) < \infty$$

and by (30) we have

$$\mathscr{L}_W \bar{v} = 0$$
 in Ω

By applying Lemma 4.5 to both functions \bar{v} and $-\bar{v}$ we deduce

$$\inf_{\Omega} \bar{v} = \inf_{\partial \Omega} \bar{v}, \qquad \sup_{\Omega} \bar{v} = \sup_{\partial \Omega} \bar{v}.$$

In particular, $\bar{v} \ge 0$ on Ω , and we further have $\bar{v} \ne 0$ on Ω if and only if $\bar{v} \ne 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. By the strong maximum principle for the elliptic operator \mathscr{L}_W , if $\bar{v} \ge 0$ and does not vanish identically, then $\bar{v} > 0$ on Ω . This concludes the proof.

4.2 Splitting of monotone solutions

The goal of this section is to prove the following

Proposition 4.6. Let $(M^m, (,))$ be a complete Riemannian manifold, let $\Omega \subseteq M$ be a connected open subset with smooth boundary such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is parabolic and

$$\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0$$
 on Ω

Split $\partial\Omega$ into its connected components $\{\partial_j\Omega\}$, $1 \leq j \leq j_0$, possibly with $j_0 = \infty$. Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of the capillarity problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = b_j, \ \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u = c_j & \text{on } \partial_j\Omega, \ 1 \le j \le j_0, \\ \inf_{\Omega}u > -\infty, \end{cases}$$
(59)

for some set of constants $H, c_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$, with the agreement $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \ldots \leq b_{j_0}$. Suppose that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du| < \infty,$$

(Du, X) > 0 on Ω , for some Killing field X on $\overline{\Omega}$

Then,

- (i) $\Omega = (0, T) \times N$ with the product metric, for some $T \leq \infty$ and some complete, boundaryless, parabolic manifold N with $\operatorname{Ric}_N \geq 0$,
- (ii) the product (X, ∂_t) is a positive constant on Ω .
- (iii) the solution u(t, x) only depends on the variable $t \in [0, T]$.

In particular, setting $\partial_1 \Omega = \{0\} \times N$,

- *if* H = 0, *then* $c_1 < 0$ *and*

$$u(t) = b_1 - c_1 t \qquad on \ \Omega,$$

and in case $\partial \Omega$ is connected this is the only possible conclusion;

- if $H \neq 0$, then $c_1 \leq 0$ (with $c_1 < 0$ in case H < 0) and

$$u(t) = b_1 + \frac{1}{H} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} - \sqrt{1 - \left(Ht - \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} \right)^2} \right).$$

As a consequence, $T < \infty$ and

$$T < \frac{1}{H} \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}} \right)$$
 if $H > 0$, $T \le \frac{1}{|H|} \frac{|c_1|}{\sqrt{1 + c_1^2}}$ if $H < 0$.

Combining the above Propositions 4.1 and 4.6, we readily deduce our main Theorem 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Because of the gradient estimate in Theorem 1.12, each one of the assumptions in (7) together with the boundedness of $\{c_i\}$ guarantees that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du| < \infty.$$

Furthermore, the second in (8) rewrites as $(Du, X) \ge 0$ and $\ne 0$ along $\partial \Omega$. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 4.1 to deduce that (Du, X) > 0 on Ω . Proposition 4.6 then gives the desired conclusions.

As remarked in the Introduction, the proof of Proposition 4.6 relies on a geometric weighted Poincaré inequality. In its proof, we need the next fundamental identity, inspired by [18] and by more general computations in [15].

Lemma 4.7. Let Σ be the graph of $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, with $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, and assume that u and |Du| are locally constant on $\partial\Omega$. Let X be a Killing vector field on $\overline{\Omega}$ and set $\overline{v} = (Du, X)$. Then

$$\langle W \| \nabla u \|^2 \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla u \rangle = \langle \bar{v} \nabla W, \nabla u \rangle \quad on \ \partial \Omega.$$
(60)

Proof. Let $\partial_i \Omega$ be a connected component of $\partial \Omega$. If du = 0 along $\partial_i \Omega$ then (60) holds true. If $du \neq 0$, then $\bar{\eta} = Du/|Du|$ is a unit vector field perpendicular to $\partial_i \Omega$ in M. Recalling that

$$\|\nabla u\|^2 = \frac{W^2 - 1}{W^2}$$

and rearranging terms we see that (60) is equivalent to saying that the function

$$\zeta := \frac{\bar{v}}{\sqrt{W^2 - 1}} \equiv \frac{(Du, X)}{|Du|} \equiv (\bar{\eta}, X)$$

satisfies $(D\zeta, \bar{\eta}) = 0$ on $\partial_i \Omega$. Differentiating, we get

$$(D\zeta,\bar{\eta}) = (D_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{\eta},X) + (\bar{\eta},D_{\bar{\eta}}X).$$

By the Killing condition we have $(\bar{\eta}, D_{\bar{\eta}}X) = 0$. From the differential identity

$$d|Du|^2 = 2D^2u(Du, \cdot)$$

we infer

$$\mathrm{d}|Du| = D^2 u(\bar{\eta}, \,\cdot\,),$$

hence

$$(D_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{\eta},X) = \frac{1}{|Du|}(D_{\bar{\eta}}Du,X) + \left(D_{\bar{\eta}}\frac{1}{|Du|}\right)(Du,X) = \frac{1}{|Du|}\left(D^{2}u(\bar{\eta},X) - D^{2}u(\bar{\eta},\bar{\eta})(\bar{\eta},X)\right).$$

Since |Du| is constant on $\partial_i \Omega$, we have (D|Du|, Y) = 0 on $\partial_i \Omega$ for any vector Y satisfying $(Y, \bar{\eta}) = 0$. Hence,

$$D^{2}u(\bar{\eta}, X) = (D|Du|, X) = (D|Du|, \bar{\eta})(\bar{\eta}, X) = D^{2}u(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\eta})(\bar{\eta}, X)$$

and then $(D_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{\eta}, X) = 0$. Therefore, we conclude that $(D\zeta, \bar{\eta}) \equiv 0$ on $\partial_i \Omega$.

Remark 4.8. In the proof we see that, when $du \neq 0$, (60) follows from $(\bar{\eta}, D_{\bar{\eta}}X) = 0$ and $D_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{\eta} = 0$. The first condition is verified since X is a Killing vector, while the second one amounts to saying that the integral curves of Du have zero geodesic curvature at points of $\partial\Omega$, a consequence of |Du| and u being locally constant on $\partial\Omega$. The conclusion then parallels the fact that the angle between a Killing vector field and the tangent vector of a given geodesic curve remains constant along the curve.

Lemma 4.9 (Geometric Poincaré formula). Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = H \quad on \ \Omega, \\ u, \partial_{\bar{\eta}}u \quad locally \ constant \ on \ \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

and assume that u is monotone in the direction of a Killing field X on $\overline{\Omega}$, with $\overline{v} := (Du, X) > 0$ on Ω . Then, for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{c}(\overline{\Omega})$,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left[W^{2} \left(\|\nabla_{\mathsf{T}} \|\nabla u\| \|^{2} + \|\nabla u\|^{2} \|A\|^{2} \right) + \frac{\operatorname{Ric}(Du, Du)}{W^{2}} \right] \varphi^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{g} + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}^{2}}{W^{2}} \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \|\nabla u\| W}{\bar{\upsilon}} \right) \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{g} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \|\nabla u\|^{2} \|\nabla \varphi\|^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{g}$$

$$(61)$$

Proof. We recall that the positive function $\bar{v} = (Du, X)$ satisfies

$$\mathscr{L}_W \bar{v} = 0,$$

thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathscr{L}_W \log(\bar{v} + \varepsilon) = -\|\nabla \log(\bar{v} + \varepsilon)\|^2.$$
(62)

We hereafter consider integration with respect to the weighted measures dx_W and $d\mathcal{H}_W^{m-1}$, defined by

$$\mathrm{d} x_W = W^{-2} \mathrm{d} x_g, \qquad \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}_W^{m-1} = W^{-2} \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}_g^{m-1},$$

that we omit to write. Recall that \mathscr{L}_W is symmetric with respect to dx_W . Integrating by parts on Σ against ϕ^2 , with $\phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\overline{\Omega})$, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} \phi^2 \langle \frac{\nabla \bar{v}}{\bar{v} + \varepsilon}, \eta \rangle = 2 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\phi}{\bar{v} + \varepsilon} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle - \int_{\Sigma} \phi^2 \|\nabla \log(\bar{v} + \varepsilon)\|^2,$$

where η is the exterior normal to $\partial \Sigma$ in Σ . Direct computation gives

$$(\bar{v}+\epsilon)^2 \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{\bar{v}+\epsilon} \right) \right\|^2 = \| \nabla \phi \|^2 - 2 \frac{\phi}{\bar{v}+\epsilon} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + \phi^2 \| \nabla \log(\bar{v}+\epsilon) \|^2,$$

so we deduce

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} \phi^2 \langle \frac{\nabla \bar{v}}{\bar{v} + \epsilon}, \eta \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} \|\nabla \phi\|^2 - \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{v} + \epsilon)^2 \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{\bar{v} + \epsilon} \right) \right\|^2 \qquad \forall \phi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\overline{\Omega}),$$
(63)

that is a form of Picone's identity, [41]. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\overline{\Omega})$ be given. Recall that $\mathscr{L}_W W = qW$, where we have set for convenience

$$q := \|\Pi_{\Sigma}\|^2 + \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}).$$

We multiply both sides of $\mathscr{L}_W W = qW$ by $\varphi^2 W \bar{v}/(\bar{v} + \epsilon)$ and we integrate by parts to get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma} q\varphi^{2} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} W^{2} &= \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} W \mathscr{L}_{W} W \\ &= \int_{\partial \Sigma} \varphi^{2} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} W \langle \nabla W, \eta \rangle - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi \frac{\bar{\upsilon}}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} W \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle \\ &- \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} \| \nabla W \|^{2} - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} W \langle \frac{\varepsilon \nabla \bar{\upsilon}}{(\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon)^{2}}, \nabla W \rangle \\ &= \int_{\partial \Sigma} \varphi^{2} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} W \langle \nabla W, \eta \rangle - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi W \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle \\ &- \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \| \nabla W \|^{2} - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} W \langle \frac{\varepsilon \nabla \bar{\upsilon}}{(\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon)^{2}}, \nabla W \rangle \\ &+ 2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi W \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle + \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} \| \nabla W \|^{2} \end{split}$$

From (60), and since $\eta = \pm \frac{\nabla u}{\|\nabla u\|}$ according to whether c > 0 or c < 0, by Lemma 4.7 we get

$$\langle \bar{v}W\nabla W, \eta \rangle = W^2 ||\nabla u||^2 \langle \nabla \bar{v}, \eta \rangle$$
 on $\partial \Sigma$

The same is true if du = 0 along Σ , since $W dW = \frac{1}{2} dW^2 = \frac{1}{2} d|Du|^2$. We therefore deduce, by Picone's identity (63) applied with $\phi = \varphi W ||\nabla u||$,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \frac{\varphi^2 \bar{v}}{\bar{v} + \epsilon} W \langle \nabla W, \eta \rangle &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} \varphi^2 W^2 \| \nabla u \|^2 \langle \frac{\nabla \bar{v}}{\bar{v} + \epsilon}, \eta \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left\| \nabla (\varphi W \| \nabla u \|) \right\|^2 - \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{v} + \epsilon)^2 \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \| \nabla u \| W}{\bar{v} + \epsilon} \right) \right\|^2 \end{split}$$

Plugging into (64), we get

$$\int_{\Sigma} q\varphi^{2} \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{v}+\epsilon} W^{2} = \int_{\Sigma} \left\| \nabla(\varphi W \| \nabla u \|) \right\|^{2} - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi W \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle$$
$$- \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \| \nabla W \|^{2} - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} W \langle \frac{\epsilon \nabla \bar{v}}{(\bar{v}+\epsilon)^{2}}, \nabla W \rangle$$
$$+ 2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi W \frac{\epsilon}{\bar{v}+\epsilon} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle + \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \frac{\epsilon}{\bar{v}+\epsilon} \| \nabla W \|^{2}$$
$$- \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{v}+\epsilon)^{2} \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \| \nabla u \| W}{\bar{v}+\epsilon} \right) \right\|^{2}.$$
(65)

By the dominated convergence theorem, since $\bar{v} > 0$ on Σ , we get

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left| 2\varphi W \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle + \varphi^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} \| \nabla W \|^2 \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

We examine the integral

$$(I) := \left| \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 W \langle \frac{\varepsilon \nabla \bar{\upsilon}}{(\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon)^2}, \nabla W \rangle \right|$$

The limit of (I) as $\varepsilon \to 0$ is easily seen to be zero if spt $\varphi \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset$, since in this case \overline{v} has a positive lower bound. Fix then $K \subset \overline{\Omega}$ compact that meets the boundary $\partial \Omega$. We claim that there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that

$$|W\langle \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla W\rangle| \le C_K \bar{v} \qquad \text{on } K. \tag{66}$$

We first consider points of $K \cap \partial \Omega$. Since W is constant on $\partial \Omega$,

$$W|\langle \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla W \rangle| = W|\langle \nabla \bar{v}, \eta \rangle \langle \eta, \nabla W \rangle| \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

If $du \neq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, we use Lemma 4.7 to get

$$\begin{split} W|\langle \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla W \rangle| &= \frac{\langle \nabla W, \eta \rangle^2}{\|\nabla u\|^2} \bar{v} \\ &= W^6 \langle \nabla \|\nabla u\|, \eta \rangle^2 \bar{v} \le W^6 \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 \bar{v} \le C_K \bar{v} \quad \text{on } K \cap \partial \Omega, \end{split}$$

since $u \in C^2$ up to $\partial\Omega$, where we used the third in (21) and Kato inequality $\|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^2 \le \|\nabla^2 u\|^2$. On the other hand, if du = 0 on $\partial\Omega$ then

$$W\langle \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla W \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla | Du |^2 \rangle$$

vanishes on $\partial\Omega$, so (66) holds on $K \cap \partial\Omega$. To deduce the validity of (66) on the entire K, observe that if $\bar{v}(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \partial\Omega$, then $\nabla \bar{v}(x) \neq 0$ by Hopf boundary point Lemma. This observation and the positivity of \bar{v} on Ω imply (66). Concluding, by the compactness of the support of φ , there exists $C(u, \varphi)$ such that $|W \langle \nabla \bar{v}, \nabla W \rangle| \leq C\bar{v}$ on spt φ , and by Lebesgue convergence theorem we get

$$(I) \leq \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 \frac{C\varepsilon}{\bar{\upsilon} + \varepsilon} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Because of Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \bar{v}^2 \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \| \nabla u \| W}{\bar{v}} \right) \right\|^2 \le \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{v} + \epsilon)^2 \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \| \nabla u \| W}{\bar{v} + \epsilon} \right) \right\|^2$$

so letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (65) we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} q\varphi^{2}W^{2} + \int_{\Sigma} \bar{v}^{2} \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \| \nabla u \| W}{\bar{v}} \right) \right\|^{2} \leq -2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi W \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla W \rangle + \int_{\Sigma} \left\| \nabla (\varphi W \| \nabla u \|) \right\|^{2} - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^{2} \| \nabla W \|^{2}.$$
(67)

Denote with (III) the right-hand side of (67). Using $W ||\nabla u|| = \sqrt{W^2 - 1}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(III)} &= -\int_{\Sigma} \varphi \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla (W^2 - 1) \rangle + \int_{\Sigma} \| \nabla (\varphi \sqrt{W^2 - 1}) \|^2 - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 \| \nabla W \|^2 \\ &= -\int \varphi \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla (W^2 - 1) \rangle + \int_{\Sigma} (W^2 - 1) \| \nabla \varphi \|^2 \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 \big[\| \nabla \sqrt{W^2 - 1} \|^2 - \| \nabla W \|^2 \big] + 2 \int_{\Sigma} \varphi \sqrt{W^2 - 1} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \sqrt{W^2 - 1} \rangle \\ &= \int (W^2 - 1) \| \nabla \varphi \|^2 + \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 \big[\| \nabla \sqrt{W^2 - 1} \|^2 - \| \nabla W \|^2 \big]. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the third in (21) we get $\|\nabla W\|^2 = W^6 \|\nabla u\|^2 \|\nabla u\|^2$, and therefore

$$\|\nabla\sqrt{W^2 - 1}\|^2 - \|\nabla W\|^2 = \frac{\|\nabla W\|^2}{W^2 - 1} = W^4 \|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^2$$

Thus

(III) =
$$\int_{\Sigma} (W^2 - 1) \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 W^4 \|\nabla \|\nabla u\|\|^2.$$

Putting this into (67) we get

$$\int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 W^2 (q - W^2 \|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^2) + \int_{\Sigma} \bar{v}^2 \left\|\nabla\left(\frac{\varphi\|\nabla u\|W}{\bar{v}}\right)\right\|^2 \le \int_{\Sigma} (W^2 - 1) \|\nabla\varphi\|^2$$

Since

$$q = \|\mathrm{II}_{\Sigma}\|^{2} + \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = \|\mathrm{II}_{\Sigma}\|^{2} + W^{-2}\mathrm{Ric}(Du, Du)$$

we can further rewrite

.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 \left[W^2(\|\mathbf{II}_{\Sigma}\|^2 - W^2 \|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^2) + \operatorname{Ric}(Du, Du) \right] + \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma} \bar{v}^2 \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi \|\nabla u\|W}{\bar{v}} \right) \right\|^2 \leq \int_{\Sigma} (W^2 - 1) \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \end{split}$$

By (25) and (13),

$$\begin{split} \|\Pi_{\Sigma}\|^{2} - W^{2} \|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^{2} &= W^{2} \left(\|\nabla^{2} u\|^{2} - \|\nabla\|\nabla u\|\|^{2}\right) \\ &= W^{2} \left(\|\nabla_{T}\|\nabla u\|\|^{2} + \|\nabla u\|^{2} \|A\|^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

Plugging into (67), rearranging and using $dx_g = W^2 dx_W$ and $(W^2 - 1)/W^2 = ||\nabla u||^2$ we conclude (61).

We are ready for the

Proof of Proposition 4.6. By the parabolicity of $\overline{\Omega}$, every compact subset $K \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ has zero capacity in the manifold with boundary $(\overline{\Omega}, \sigma)$, that is,

$$\inf\left\{\int_{\Omega} |D\phi|^2 \mathrm{d}x : \phi \in \mathrm{Lip}_c(\overline{\Omega}), \ \phi \ge 1 \ \text{on} \ K\right\} = 0.$$

In particular, by [26, Thm. 1.5], there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\} \subset \operatorname{Lip}_c(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$\varphi_j \to 1$$
 in $W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}), \qquad \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi_j|^2 \to 0$

as $j \to \infty$. For each $j \ge 1$, we apply Lemma 4.9 to deduce

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Sigma} \left[W^2 \left(\|\nabla_{\mathsf{T}} \|\nabla u\| \|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 \|A\|^2 \right) + \frac{\operatorname{Ric}(Du, Du)}{W^2} \right] \varphi_j^2 \mathrm{d}x_g + \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}^2}{W^2} \left\| \nabla \left(\frac{\varphi_j \|\nabla u\| W}{\bar{\upsilon}} \right) \right\|^2 \mathrm{d}x_g \leq \int_{\Sigma} \|\nabla u\|^2 \|\nabla \varphi_j\|^2 \mathrm{d}x_g. \end{split}$$

The assumed boundedness of |Du| guarantees that there exists a constant C_0 such that $W \le C_0$ on Ω . Hence,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \|\nabla u\|^2 \|\nabla \varphi_j\|^2 \mathrm{d} x_g \leq \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi_j|^2 W \mathrm{d} x \leq C_0 \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi_j|^2 \mathrm{d} x \to 0,$$

thus letting $j \to \infty$ and using Fatou's Lemma we deduce

$$\|\nabla_{\mathsf{T}}\|\nabla u\|\|^{2} + \|\nabla u\|^{2}\|A\|^{2} \equiv 0, \qquad \nabla\left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|W}{\bar{\upsilon}}\right) \equiv 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma.$$
(68)

From now on, the splitting proceeds similarly to [16], with a few extra topological arguments. First, from $\bar{v} > 0$ we deduce $du \neq 0$ at every point of Ω . For $x \in \Sigma$, define $v = \nabla u / ||\nabla u||$ and let $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ be an orthonormal frame tangent to $\{u = u(x)\}$ in Σ . Because of the identities

$$\langle \nabla \| \nabla u \|, e_j \rangle = \nabla^2 u(v, e_j), \qquad A_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\nabla^2_{\alpha\beta} u}{\| \nabla u \|}$$

with $1 \le j \le m$ and A the second fundamental form of the hypersurface $\{u = u(x)\}$ in Σ , the first in (68) implies that the only nonzero component of $\nabla^2 u$ (hence, by (25), of $D^2 u$), is the one in the direction of Du:

$$D^{2}u = D^{2}u \left(\frac{Du}{|Du|}, \frac{Du}{|Du|}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{|Du|} \otimes \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{|Du|} \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$
(69)

A straightforward computation allows us to deduce from (69) that |Du| is locally constant on level sets of u, that integral curves of Du/|Du| are geodesics in M, and that level sets of uare totally geodesic both in Σ and in Ω . In the limit, we infer that each component of $\partial\Omega$ is totally geodesic. Let $N \subset \Omega$ be a connected component of a level set of u, say of $\{u = b\}$ with $b \notin \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{j_0}\}$. By the implicit function theorem, note that N is properly embedded both in Ω and in M, and is therefore a complete manifold without boundary. We denote with $\Phi(t, x)$ the flow of Du/|Du| starting from N, defined on the connected set

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R} \times N, \qquad \mathcal{D} = \{(t, x) : x \in N, t \in (t_1(x), t_2(x))\},\$$

where, for every $x \in N$, $t_1(x) \in [-\infty, 0)$ and $t_2(x) \in (0, +\infty]$ are the extrema of the largest open interval $I_x = (t_1(x), t_2(x))$ such that for every $t \in I_x$ the point $\Phi(t, x)$ is well defined and belongs to Ω . If $t_1(x) > -\infty$ (respectively, if $t_2(x) < +\infty$) then the curve $t \mapsto \Phi(t, x)$ converges to a point of $\partial\Omega$ as $t \searrow t_1(x)$ (resp., $t \nearrow t_2(x)$) which we shall denote as $x_* = \Phi(t_1(x)^+, x)$ (resp., $x^* = \Phi(t_2(x)^-, x))$). The function t_1 is upper semi-continuous on N, that is, for every $x \in N$ we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} t_1(x_n) \le t_1(x)$$

for every sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq N$ converging to x: otherwise, we could find $t \in (t_1(x), 0]$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x such that $t_1(x_n) \to t$, yielding $\partial \Omega \ni (x_n)_* \to \Phi(t, x) \in \Omega$, absurd. Similarly, the function t_2 is lower semi-continuous on N. Hence, \mathcal{D} is open in $\mathbb{R} \times N$. From (69) we deduce

$$\left(D_V \frac{Du}{|Du|}, W\right) = 0 \qquad \forall V, W \in T\Omega,$$

thus Du/|Du| is a parallel vector field. By standard theory, the induced metric on \mathcal{D} by Φ is the product metric $dt^2 + \sigma_{|N}$. Let $c_0 > 0$ be the constant value of |Du| on N and let β be the

maximal solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \beta' = H \frac{(1+\beta^2)^{3/2}}{\beta}, \\ \beta(b) = c_0. \end{cases}$$

Since *u* is strictly increasing along the curves $t \mapsto \Phi(t, x)$ and |Du| is locally constant on level sets of *u*, for every $x \in \Omega$ there exist a neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq \Omega$ and a smooth real function β_x such that

$$|Du| = \beta_x(u)$$
 on U_x

Since Du/|Du| is parallel, on U_x we have

$$H = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|Du|}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\frac{Du}{|Du|}\right) = D_{Du/|Du|}\frac{|Du|}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}$$
$$= \beta_x(u)\left(\frac{\beta_x}{\sqrt{1+\beta_x^2}}\right)'(u) = \frac{\beta_x(u)\beta_x'(u)}{(1+\beta_x(u)^2)^{3/2}}$$

that is, β_x is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y' = H \frac{(1+y^2)^{3/2}}{y}, \\ y(u(x)) = |Du(x)|. \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality, let us suppose that β_x is the maximal solution of this problem. For $x \in N$, by uniqueness $\beta_x = \beta$, hence for every $x_1, x_2 \in \Phi(\mathcal{D})$ belonging to the same curve $t \mapsto \Phi(t, x), x \in N$, it must hold $\beta_{x_1} = \beta_{x_2}$. Therefore, $\beta_x = \beta$ for every $x \in \Phi(\mathcal{D})$, equivalently

$$|Du| = \beta(u)$$
 on $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$.

We claim that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}) = \Omega$. The map Φ is a diffeomorphism and \mathcal{D} is open in $\mathbb{R} \times N$, so $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ is open in Ω . We check that $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ is also closed in Ω , thus deducing $\Phi(\mathcal{D}) = \Omega$ by connectedness of Ω .

First, we prove that t_1 and t_2 are constant on N. We show this for t_1 , the proof for t_2 being analogous. Let us set

$$\rho(s) = \int_{b}^{s} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\beta(\sigma)} \quad \text{for every } s \in u(\Omega).$$

Observe that by integrating $\frac{d}{dt}u(\Phi) = |Du|(\Phi) = \beta(u(\Phi))$ we get

$$t = \int_{b}^{u(\Phi(t,x))} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\beta(\sigma)} = \rho(u(\Phi(t,x))) \quad \text{for every } (t,x) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We show that t_1 is lower semi-continuous on N. Suppose, by contradiction, that for some $x \in N$ and for some sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq N$ converging to x we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} t_1(x_n) < t_1(x).$$

Fix \overline{t} such that

$$\lim t_1(x_n) < \bar{t} < t_1(x), \qquad \bar{t} \notin \{\rho(b_i), \rho(b_2), \dots \}.$$

Then, $\{\Phi(\bar{t}, x_n)\} \subseteq \Omega$ converges to a point \bar{x} of $\partial\Omega$. Along this sequence, u has the constant value $\rho^{-1}(\bar{t})$, so by continuity it must be $u(\bar{x}) = \rho^{-1}(\bar{t})$. But $\rho^{-1}(\bar{t}) \notin \{b_1, b_2, ...\} = u(\partial\Omega)$ and we have reached a contradiction. Since we already showed that t_1 is upper semi-continuous, we conclude that t_1 is continuous on N. For every $x \in N$, we either have $t_1(x) = -\infty$ or $t_1(x) \in (-\infty, 0)$. In the second case, the endpoint $x_* = \lim_{t \to t_1(x)+} \Phi(t, x)$ belongs to $\partial\Omega$ and by continuity $t_1(x) = \rho(u(x_*))$. So, $t_1(N) \subseteq \{\rho(b_1), \rho(b_2), ...\} \cup \{-\infty\}$. Since this set contains no open intervals and t_1 is continuous on the connected set N, we conclude that t_1 is constant.

Let $T_1 \in [-\infty, 0)$ and $T_2 \in (0, +\infty]$ be the constant values of t_1 and t_2 on N, so that

$$\mathcal{D} = (T_1, T_2) \times N.$$

For every $\overline{t} \in (T_1, T_2)$, the image $N_{\overline{t}} = \Phi({\overline{t}} \times N) \subseteq \Omega$ is a connected open subset of the embedded submanifold $\{u = \rho^{-1}(\overline{t})\} \subseteq \Omega$. The restriction $\Phi_{|{\overline{t}}| \times N} : {\overline{t}} \times N \to N_{\overline{t}}$ is a local Riemannian isometry and ${\overline{t}} \times N$ is complete, so $\Phi_{|{\overline{t}}| \times N}$ is a Riemannian covering map and therefore $N_{\overline{t}}$ is also complete with respect to its intrising geodesic distance, that we shall denote by $d_{\overline{t}}$ (see [40, Lemma 5.6.4 and Proposition 5.6.3]).

We prove that $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ is closed in Ω . Let $\{p_n\} \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{D})$ be a given sequence converging to some point $\bar{p} \in \Omega$. We have to show that $\bar{p} \in \Phi(\mathcal{D})$. Set $\bar{t} = \rho(u(\bar{p}))$. For every *n* we can find $(t_n, x_n) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $p_n = \Phi(t_n, x_n)$. By continuity, $t_n = \rho(u(p_n)) \rightarrow \rho(u(\bar{p})) = \bar{t}$, hence $T_1 \leq \bar{t} \leq T_2$. Both inequalities are strict, otherwise either $\{(x_n)_*\} = \{\Phi(T_1^+, x_n)\}$ or $\{(x_n)^*\} = \{\Phi(T_2^-, x_n)\}$ would be a sequence of points of $\partial\Omega$ converging to $\bar{p} \in \Omega$, absurd. Setting $q_n = \Phi(\bar{t}, x_n)$ for every *n*, we have that $\{q_n\}$ is a sequence of points of $N_{\bar{t}}$ converging to \bar{p} in *M*, since $d_{\sigma}(p_n, q_n) \leq |\bar{t} - t_n| \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\{q_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in *M*. By completeness of *M*, any two points $q_n, q_{n'}$ are joined by a minimizing geodesic arc in *M*. Since $(N_{\bar{t}}, d_{\bar{t}})$ is complete and totally geodesic, every geodesic in *M* joining two points of $N_{\bar{t}}$ must lie in $N_{\bar{t}}$. So, $\{q_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $N_{\bar{t}}$ and therefore converges to some point $\bar{q} \in N_{\bar{t}}$. Since $N_{\bar{t}}$ is embedded in M, $\bar{q} = \bar{p}$ and we conclude $\bar{p} \in N_{\bar{t}} \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{D})$, as desired. This shows that $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ is closed in Ω .

As already stated, since $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ is non-empty and both open and closed in the connected set Ω , we have $\Phi(\mathcal{D}) = \Omega$. Thus, Φ realizes an isometry between Ω and the product manifold

$$(T_1, T_2) \times N$$
,

and N is parabolic because of Lemma 4.4. Furthermore, u only depends on the variable t because

$$u(\Phi(t, x)) = \rho^{-1}(t)$$
 for every $(t, x) \in (T_1, T_2) \times N$.

In the chart Φ , u = u(t) is therefore a solution of

$$H = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{u'\partial_t}{\sqrt{1+(u')^2}}\right) = \left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+(u')^2}}\right)'.$$

Integration of the ODE shows that the possibility $(-\infty, T_2) \times N$ is incompatible with the fact that *u* is increasing and bounded from below, while the other models lead to the solutions listed in the theorem, up to reparametrizing $t \mapsto t - T_1$ and setting $T = T_2 - T_1$. To check (*ii*), the second in (68) implies

$$\bar{v} = cW \|\nabla u\|$$
 on Ω

for some constant c > 0. Using (21), the identity rewrites as (X, Du) = c |Du|, that is,

$$(X, \partial_t) = c.$$

Proof of Corollary 1.8. For i = 1, 2, let $\Gamma_i = \{(\tau, x) \in M : \tau = \varphi_i(x)\}$ be the graph of φ_i over N. The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is either Γ_1 , in case (i), or $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, in case (ii). The unit outward-pointing normal $\bar{\eta}$ on $\partial\Omega$ is given by

$$\bar{\eta} = \frac{D\varphi_1 - \partial_\tau}{\sqrt{1 + |D\varphi_1|^2}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \qquad \bar{\eta} = \frac{\partial_\tau - D\varphi_2}{\sqrt{1 + |D\varphi_2|^2}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2, \tag{70}$$

where $D\varphi_i$ is the gradient of φ_i in N. In case (i) we have $c(\partial_{\tau}, \bar{\eta}) = -c/\sqrt{1+|D\varphi_1|^2}$ on $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$: this quantity is nonzero at every point of Γ_1 , so for either $X = \partial_{\tau}$ or $X = -\partial_{\tau}$ we have $c(X,\bar{\eta}) > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. In case (ii) we have $c_1(\partial_{\tau},\bar{\eta}) = -c_1/\sqrt{1+|D\varphi_1|^2} \ge 0$ on Γ_1 and $c_2(\partial_{\tau},\bar{\eta}) = c_2/\sqrt{1+|D\varphi_2|^2} \ge 0$ on Γ_2 , and at east one of them does not vanish. Fix $o_N \in N$ and let $o = (0, o_N) \in \mathbb{R} \times N = M$. We check that Ω satisfies

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |\partial \Omega \cap B_r|}{r^2} < \infty, \qquad \int^{\infty} \frac{r dr}{|\Omega \cap B_r|} = \infty$$
(71)

where $B_r = B_r^M(o)$. For every r > 0 we have

$$\{(t,x)\in B_r: t=\varphi_i(x)\}\subseteq \{(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}\times B_r^N(o_N): t=\varphi_i(x)\} \quad \text{for } i=1,2.$$

Since φ_1 and φ_2 are globally Lipschitz, there exists C > 0 such that, for every r > 0,

$$|\{(\tau, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times B_r^N(o_N) : \tau = \varphi_i(x)\}| \le C|B_r^N(o_N)| \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

Hence, $|\partial \Omega \cap B_r| \leq 2C|B_r^N(o_N)|$ for every r > 0 and the first condition in (71) is satisfied under the weaker assumption (12).

Since φ_1 and φ_2 are Lipschitz, there exist $C_1 > 0, C_2 \ge 0$ such that

$$|\varphi_i(x)| \le C_1 + C_2 d_N(o_N, x)$$
 for every $x \in N$, for $i = 1, 2$.

If $\Omega = \{(\tau, x) \in M : \tau > \varphi_1(x)\}$, then for each r > 0 the inclusion $\Omega \cap B_r \subseteq (-C_1 - C_2 r, r) \times B_r^N(o)$ implies the inequality

$$|\Omega \cap B_r| \le (C_1 + (1 + C_2)r)|B_r^N(o)|.$$

If $\Omega = \{(\tau, x) \in M : \varphi_1(x) < \tau < \varphi_2(x)\}$ then $\Omega \cap B_r \subseteq (-C_1 - C_2r, C_1 + C_2r) \times B_r^N(o)$ and

$$|\Omega \cap B_r| \le 2(C_1 + C_2 r)|B_r^N(o)|.$$

In both of the cases, if (11) holds then

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{|\Omega \cap B_r|}{r^2 \log r} < \infty$$
(72)

and the second in (71) follows. Furthermore, in the second case if φ_1 and φ_2 are bounded then we can choose $C_2 = 0$ and we obtain the validity of (72) under the weaker condition (12).

We are now in position to apply Theorem 1.3. The domain Ω splits isometrically as the Riemannian product

$$((0,T) \times \Gamma_1, \mathrm{d}t^2 + \sigma_{|\Gamma_1})$$

with $T = \infty$ in case (i), or $T \in (0, \infty)$ in case (ii). The function *u* depends on *t* only. In case (i), necessarily H = 0, c < 0 and u = b - ct. In case (ii) we either have H = 0, and then $c_1 < 0$, $u = b_1 - c_1 t$, or $H \neq 0$ and u = u(t) is given by the expression (10). In both of the cases, Γ_1 is a totally geodesic graph, and in case (ii) Γ_2 is obtained by parallel translation of Γ_1 in the direction of Du/|Du|. The graph Γ_1 is totally geodesic in $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ if and only if $D^2\varphi_1 \equiv 0$ on N. If φ_1 is constant, say $\varphi_1 \equiv a_1$, then $t = \tau - a_1$. If φ_1 is not constant, then $D\varphi_1$ is a parallel, nowhere vanishing vector field on N, with constant norm $a_0 := |D\varphi_1| > 0$. Level sets of φ_1 in N are totally geodesic and equidistant, so N splits as a Riemannian product $N = \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ for some complete, boundaryless manifold N_0 with Ric ≥ 0 . The second in (11) and a computation similar to the one yielding to (72) implies

$$\limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{|B_r^{N_0}|}{\log r} < \infty.$$
(73)

If N_0 were non-compact, an inequality due to E.Calabi and S.T.Yau would ensure that $|B_r^{N_0}| \ge Cr$ for $r \ge 1$ and some constant C, contradicting (73). Hence, N_0 must be compact.

Regarding the function φ_1 , up to a reparametrization $s \mapsto -s$, $\partial_s \varphi_1 \equiv |D\varphi_1| = a_0$. Hence, for some constant $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\varphi_1(s,\xi) = a_0 s + a_1$$
 for every $(s,\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times N_0$

The coordinate function *s* on *N* extends to a smooth function on the product $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$. Regarding *M* as the product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times N_0$, we can denote its generic point by (τ, s, ξ) , with $\xi \in N_0$. On Γ_1 we have $\bar{\eta} = -\partial_t$, therefore

$$a_0\partial_s = D\varphi_1 = -\sqrt{1 + a_0^2}\partial_t + \partial_\tau$$

Integrating,

$$t = \frac{\tau - a_0 s - a_1}{\sqrt{1 + a_0^2}} + C$$
 on Ω ,

for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Since t = 0 and $\tau = \varphi_1 = a_0 s + a_1$ on Γ_1 , we conclude C = 0 and we obtain the desired expressions for u in terms of (τ, s, ξ) .

A Appendix

Proposition A.1 (Proposition 3.6). Let $H \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa \ge 0$, $C \ge 0$ satisfy

$$\frac{H^2}{m} + C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2 \ge 0 \qquad if \quad H \le 0,$$
(74)

$$\frac{H^2}{m} + C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2 > 0 \quad if \quad H > 0.$$
(75)

Then, there exists $A \ge 1$ such that

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{t} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} \ge 0 \quad \text{for every } t \ge A.$$
(76)

In particular,

- if $H \leq 0$ then (76) is true for any $A \geq 1$
- if H > 0 and $C \ge \sqrt{m 1\kappa}$ then (76) holds for a given $A \ge 1$ if and only if

$$\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{A} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{A^2 - 1}{A^2} \ge 0$$

- if H > 0 and $C < \sqrt{m-1}\kappa$ then (76) holds for a given $A \ge 1$ if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{CH}{A} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right) \frac{A^2 - 1}{A^2} \ge 0,\\ CHA + 2\left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right) \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

and these conditions are also necessary, unless

$$\sqrt{1 + \frac{m}{4}C} < \sqrt{m - 1}\kappa, \qquad \frac{H^2}{m} \ge \frac{\left((m - 1)\kappa^2 - C^2\right)^2}{(m - 1)\kappa^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m}{4}\right)C^2},\tag{77}$$

in which case (76) is satisfied for any $A \ge 1$.

Proof. Set

$$P(s) := \frac{H^2}{m} - CHs + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\left(1 - s^2\right) \quad \text{for every } s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Note that $P(0) \ge 0$ under assumptions (74)-(75). By substituting s = 1/t, for any given $A \ge 1$ condition (76) is equivalent to requiring that $P(s) \ge 0$ for every $0 < s \le 1/A$.

- If (74) holds then

$$P(s) \ge \frac{H^2}{m} + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\left(1 - s^2\right) \ge 0 \qquad \text{for every } 0 \le s \le 1.$$

- If H > 0 and $C \ge \sqrt{m-1\kappa}$ then $P'(s) = -CH + 2(C^2 (m-1)\kappa^2) s \le 0$ for $s \ge 0$, so if $P(1/A) \ge 0$ then $P(s) \ge P(1/A) \ge 0$ for every $0 \le s \le 1/A$.
- If H < 0 and $C < \sqrt{m-1}\kappa$ then setting $a = (m-1)\kappa^2 C^2 > 0$ we can write

$$P(s) = as^2 - CHs + \frac{H^2}{m} - a.$$

P is a quadratic polynomial with positive leading coefficient and P'(s) = -CH - 2as. Note that P(0) > 0, $P'(0) \le 0$ since we are in case (75), so *P* can attain negative values only in \mathbb{R}^+ . If $P(1/A) \ge 0$ and $P'(1/A) \le 0$ for some A > 0, then $P(s) \ge 0$ for $0 \le s \le 1/A$. The polynomial *P* has discriminant

$$\Delta_P = C^2 H^2 + 4a^2 - 4a \frac{H^2}{m} = 4 \left[a^2 - \left(a - \frac{m}{4} C^2 \right) \frac{H^2}{m} \right].$$

If $\Delta_P > 0$, then conditions $P(1/A) \ge 0$, $P'(1/A) \le 0$ are also necessary to characterize A > 0 such that (76) holds. Otherwise, (76) is satisfied for any A > 0 since $P \ge 0$ on \mathbb{R} . A necessary condition for $\Delta_P \le 0$ is clearly $a > mC^2/4$, and if this holds then $\Delta_P \le 0$ if and only if $H^2/m \ge a^2/(a - mC^2/4)$. Explicitating *a*, these requests read as (77).

Proposition A.2 (Lemma 3.11). Let $m \ge 2$, $\kappa \ge 0$, $H \in \mathbb{R}$, $C \ge 0$ satisfy (74), (75), (76). Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $A < A_1 < A + \varepsilon$, $C < C_2 < C_1 < C + \varepsilon$ such that

$$\inf\left\{\frac{H^2}{m} - \frac{C_1H}{t} + \left(C_2^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)\frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2} : t \ge A_1\right\} > 0.$$
(78)

Proof. We set

$$P(s) := \frac{H^2}{m} - CHs + \left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)(1-s^2) \quad \text{for every } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For any given $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we also set

$$Q(s) = Q_{C_1, C_2}(s) := \frac{H^2}{m} - C_1 H s + (C_2^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2)(1-s^2) \quad \text{for every } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By substituting s = 1/t, (76) and (78) are respectively equivalent to

(i)
$$\inf \{P(s) : 0 < s \le 1/A\} \ge 0$$
, (ii) $\inf \{Q_{C_1, C_2}(s) : 0 < s \le 1/A_1\} > 0$. (79)

Also observe that

$$Q(s) - P(s) = (C - C_1)Hs + (C_2^2 - C^2)(1 - s^2) \quad \text{for every } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (80)

If $H \le 0$, then for any $C_1 > C_2 > C$ and $A_1 > 1$ we have

$$Q_{C_1,C_2}(s) - P(s) \ge (C_2^2 - C^2)(1 - 1/A_1^2) > 0$$
 for every $0 < s \le 1/A_1$

and then (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in (79). Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist C_1, C_2, A_1 as required in the statement of the lemma.

We now consider the case H > 0. We claim that for any $A_1 > A$ we have

$$\inf\{P(s): 0 < s \le 1/A_1\} > 0. \tag{81}$$

Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $A < A_1 < A + \varepsilon$ such that (81) holds. By (80), as $C_1 \searrow C$ the function Q_{C_1,C_2} uniformly converges to P on $(0, 1/A_1]$. Hence, we can find $C < C_1 < C + \varepsilon$ such that, for any choice of $C < C_2 < C_1$, condition (ii) in (79) is satisfied.

We prove the claim. Observe that

$$P'(s) = -CH - 2\left(C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2\right)s.$$

If $C \ge \sqrt{m-1\kappa}$, then *P* is a non-increasing function of $s \ge 0$. In particular, we either have *P* constant or *P* strictly decreasing, and in any case $P(0) \ge H^2/m > 0$. Hence, (81) holds for any $A_1 > A$. If $C < \sqrt{m-1\kappa}$, then *P* is a quadratic polynomial with positive leading coefficient, attaining its global minimum for

$$s = s_* = \frac{CH}{2((m-1)\kappa^2 - C^2)} \ge 0.$$

Since $0 \le C < \sqrt{m-1\kappa}$ implies $\kappa > 0$, we must be in case (41) and then

$$P(0) = \frac{H^2}{m} + C^2 - (m-1)\kappa^2 > 0.$$

If $P(s_*) > 0$, then for any $A_1 > 0$ we have (81). If $P(s_*) \le 0$ then it must be $0 < 1/A \le s_*$. Hence, *P* is strictly decreasing on (0, 1/A] and for any $A_1 > A$ we have again (81).

References

- L.J. Alías, P. Mastrolia and M. Rigoli, *Maximum principles and geometric applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2016. xvii+570 pp.
- [2] E. Barbosa, On CMC free-boundary stable hypersurfaces in a Euclidean ball. Math. Ann. 372 (2018), 179-187.
- [3] H. Berestycki, L.A. Caffarelli, and L. Nirenberg, *Further qualitative properties for elliptic equations in unbounded domains*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 25(1-2) (1998), 69-94. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi.
- [4] H. Berestycki, L.A. Caffarelli, and L. Nirenberg, *Monotonicity for elliptic equations in unbounded Lipschitz domains*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 50 (1997), n.11, 1089-1111.
- [5] B. Bianchini, G. Colombo, M. Magliaro, L. Mari, P. Pucci and M. Rigoli, *Recent rigidity results for graphs with prescribed mean curvature*. Available at arXiv:2007.07194.
- [6] B. Bianchini, L. Mari, P. Pucci and M. Rigoli, On the interplay among maximum principles, compact support principles and Keller-Osserman conditions on manifolds. Available at arXiv:1801.02102.
- [7] R. Brooks, *A relation between growth and the spectrum of the Laplacian*. Math. Z. 178 (1981), no.4, 501-508.
- [8] J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*. J. Differential Geom. 6 (1971), no. 1, 119-128.
- [9] S. S. Chern, On the curvatures of a piece of hypersurface in Euclidean space. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 29 (1965), 77-91.
- [10] P. Collin and R. Krust, Le probléme de Dirichlet pour l'équation des surfaces minimales sur des domaines non bornés. Bull. Soc. Math. France 119 (1991), 443-462.
- [11] G. Colombo, M. Magliaro, L. Mari and M. Rigoli, *Bernstein and half-space properties for minimal graphs under Ricci lower bounds*. Available at arXiv:1911.12054.
- [12] J.M. Espinar, A. Farina and L. Mazet, *f*-extremal domains in hyperbolic space. Available at arXiv:1511.02659.
- [13] J.M. Espinar and L. Mazet, *Characterization of f-extremal disks*. J. Differ. Equations 266 (2019), 2052-2077.
- [14] A. Farina, Propriétés qualitatives de solutions d'équations et systèmes dâĂŹéquations non-linéaires. 2002. Habilitation à diriger des recherches, Paris VI.
- [15] A. Farina, G. Franz, L. Mari, *Splitting and half-space properties for graphs with pre*scribed mean curvature. In preparation.
- [16] A. Farina, L. Mari and E. Valdinoci, Splitting theorems, symmetry results and overdetermined problems for Riemannian manifolds. Comm. PDE 38, n.10 (2013), 1818-1862,
- [17] A. Farina, B. Sciunzi and E. Valdinoci, *Bernstein and De Giorgi type problems: new results via a geometric approach*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl.Sci. 7, n.5 (2008), 741-791.

- [18] A. Farina and E. Valdinoci, *Flattening results for elliptic PDEs in unbounded domains with applications to overdetermined problems*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 195 (2010), n.3, 1025-1058. 2010
- [19] R. Finn, Equilibrium Capillary Surfaces. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [20] H. Flanders, Remark on mean curvature. J. London Math. Soc. 41 (1966), no.2, 364-366.
- [21] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces.* Adv. Math. 226 (2011), n.5, 4011-4030.
- [22] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball*. Invent. Math. 203 (2016), no. 3, 823-890.
- [23] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *Uniqueness Theorems for Free Boundary Minimal Disks in Space Forms*. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2015), n.17, 8268-8274.
- [24] A. Grigor'yan, Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1999), 135-249.
- [25] E. Heinz, Über Flächen mit eineindeutiger Projektion auf eine Ebene, deren Krümmungen durch Ungleichungen eigenschränkt sind. Math. Ann. 129 (1955), 451-454.
- [26] D. Impera, S. Pigola and A. G. Setti, Potential theory for manifolds with boundary and applications to controlled mean curvature graphs. J. Reine Angew. Math. 733 (2017), 121-159.
- [27] N. Korevaar, An easy proof of the interior gradient bound for solutions of the precribed mean curvature equation. Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. 45 (1986), AMS.
- [28] H. Li and C. Xiong, Stability of capillary hypersurfaces in a Euclidean ball. Pac. J. Math. 297 (2018), n.1, 131-146.
- [29] H. Li and C. Xiong, Stability of capillary hypersurfaces with planar boundaries. J. Geom. Anal. 27 (2017), n.1, 79-94.
- [30] P. Li and L.F. Tam, *Harmonic functions and the structure of complete manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. 35 (1992), no. 2, 359-383.
- [31] P.Li, Peter and J. Wang, *Complete manifolds with positive spectrum*. J. Differential Geom. 58 (2001), no. 3, 501-534.
- [32] P. Li and J. Wang, *Complete manifolds with positive spectrum. II.* J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002), 143-162.
- [33] P. Li and J. Wang, *Finiteness of disjoint minimal graphs*. Math. Res. Lett. 8 (2001), no. 5-6, 771-777.
- [34] P. Li and J. Wang, Stable minimal hypersurfaces in a nonnegatively curved manifold. J. Reine Angew. Math. 566 (2004), 215-230.
- [35] R. López, Constant Mean Curvature Graphs on Unbounded Convex Domains. Journal of Differential Equations 171 (2001), 54-62.

- [36] L. Mari and L.F. Pessoa, Duality between Ahlfors-Liouville and Khas'minskii properties for nonlinear equations. Comm. Anal. Geom. 28 (2020), no.2, 395-497.
- [37] L. Mari and L.F. Pessoa, Maximum principles at infinity and the Ahlfors-Khas'minskii duality: an overview. Contemporary research in elliptic PDEs and related topics, 419-455, Springer INdAM Ser., 33, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [38] L. Mari and D. Valtorta, On the equivalence of stochastic completeness, Liouville and Khas'minskii condition in linear and nonlinear setting. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), no.9, 4699-4727.
- [39] I. Nunes, On stable constant mean curvature surfaces with free boundary. Math. Z. 287 (2017), 473-479.
- [40] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*. Third edition. Graudate Texts in Mathematics, 171. Springer, Cham, 2016. xviii+499 pp.
- [41] M. Picone, Sui valori eccezionali di un parametro da cui dipende un'equazione differenziale lineare del secondo ordine. Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 11 (1910), 1-141.
- [42] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli and A.G. Setti, *Some remarks on the prescribed mean curvature equation on complete manifolds*. Pacific J. Math. 206 (2002), no.1, 195-217.
- [43] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli and A.G. Setti, *Maximum principles on Riemannian manifolds and applications*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 174 (2005), no.822.
- [44] S. Pigola and G. Veronelli, *The Riemannian extension problem*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (in press).
- [45] M. Rigoli and A.G. Setti, *Liouville-type theorems for φ-subharmonic functions*. Rev. Mat. Iberoam 17 (2001), 471-520.
- [46] A. Ros, D. Ruiz and P. Sicbaldi, *A rigidity result for overdetermined elliptic problems in the plane*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 70 (2017), 1223-1252.
- [47] A. Ros, D. Ruiz and P. Sicbaldi, *Solutions to overdetermined elliptic problems in nontrivial exterior domains.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. 22 (2020), 253-281.
- [48] A. Ros and P. Sicbaldi, *Geometry and topology of some overdetermined elliptic problems*. J. Differential Equations, 255 (2013) 951-977.
- [49] A. Ros and R. Souam, On stability of capillary surfaces in a ball. Pacific J. Math. 178 (1997), no. 2, 345-361.
- [50] A. Ros and E. Vergasta, *Stability for hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundary*. Geom. Dedicata 56 (1995), 19-33.
- [51] H. Rosenberg, F. Schulze and J. Spruck, *The half-space property and entire positive minimal graphs in* $M \times \mathbb{R}$. J. Diff. Geom. 95 (2013), 321-336.
- [52] I. Salavessa, *Graphs with parallel mean curvature*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989) 449-458.
- [53] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, Harmonic maps and the topology of stable hypersurfaces and manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature. Comment. Math. Helv. 51 (1976), 333-341.

- [54] J. Serrin, A symmetry theorem in potential theory. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 43 (1971), 304-318.
- [55] P. Sicbaldi, *New extremal domains for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian in flat tori*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 37 (2010) 329-344.
- [56] J. Spruck, Interior gradient estimates and existence theorems for constant mean curvature graphs in $M^n \times R$. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 3 (2007), no. 3, 785-800.
- [57] P. Sternberg and K. Zumbrun. A Poincaré inequality with applications to volume constrained area-minimizing surfaces. J. Reine Angew. Math. 503 (1998), 63-85.
- [58] J.-F. Wang, *How many theorems can be derived from a vector function On uniqueness theorems for the minimal surface equation.* Taiwanese J. Math. 7 (2003), n.4, 513-539.
- [59] G. Wang and C. Xia, *Uniqueness of stable capillary hypersurfaces in a ball*. Math. Ann. 374 (2019), no. 3-4, 1845-1882.
- [60] H.F. Weinberger, *Remark on the preceding paper of Serrin*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 43 (1971), 319-320.