

# Delta shock solution to the generalized one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system with linear damping

Richard De la cruz <sup>\*</sup>  
 Juan Carlos Juajibioy <sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper, we propose a time-dependent viscous system and by using the vanishing viscosity method we show the existence of delta shock solution for a generalized one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system with linear damping.

**Keywords:** Nonstrictly hyperbolic system, generalized one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system, linear damping, time-dependent viscous system, delta shock wave solution.

**MSC (2010):** 35L40, 35F50

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the Riemann problem to the following hyperbolic system of conservation laws with linear damping

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (vu^k)_x = 0, \\ (vu)_t + (vu^{k+1})_x = -\alpha vu, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $k$  is an odd natural number,  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant and the initial data is given by

$$(v(x, 0), u(x, 0)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & \text{if } x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+), & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for arbitrary constant states  $(v_{\pm}, u_{\pm})$  with  $v_{\pm} > 0$ . It is well known that the system (1) is not strictly hyperbolic with eigenvalue  $\lambda = u^k$  and right eigenvector  $\mathbf{r} = (1, 0)$ . Moreover,  $\nabla \lambda \cdot \mathbf{r} = 0$  and therefore the system is linearly degenerate. The principal reason to choose the condition on  $k$  is due to physical motivation. In fact, for  $k = 1$  the system (1) becomes the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system with linear damping. In the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics  $v \geq 0$  denotes the density of mass and  $u$  the velocity. The one-dimensional

---

<sup>\*</sup>Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, School of Mathematics and Statistics, 150003, Colombia. *e-mail:* [richard.delacruz@uptc.edu.co](mailto:richard.delacruz@uptc.edu.co)

<sup>†</sup>Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, School of Mathematics and Statistics, 150003, Colombia. *e-mail:* [juan.juajibioy@uptc.edu.co](mailto:juan.juajibioy@uptc.edu.co)

zero-pressure gas dynamics system can be used to describe the motion process of free particles sticking under collision in the low temperature and the information of large-scale structures in the universe [3, 21]. Really, the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system arise in a wide variety of models in physics, for a more detailed description see for example [2, 10, 18, 15]. So, more details on the studies of the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system can be found in [2, 3, 10, 13, 15, 16]. The Riemann problem for the following system

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (vf(u))_x = 0, \\ (vu)_t + (vuf(u))_x = 0. \end{cases}$$

was solved completely in [30] by mean of characteristic analysis and the vanishing viscosity method. In 2016, Shen [22] studied the Riemann problem for the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics with Coulomb-like friction term and the solutions involve delta shock wave and vacuum state. Shen's paper is the first work for the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system with a source term. Recently, Keita and Bourgault [14] solved the Riemann problem to the one-dimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics system with linear damping (i.e. the system (1) when  $k = 1$ ) and their results include delta shock wave solution.

In this paper, we will find that the delta shock wave solution appears in the Riemann solution to the system (1) with initial data (2). Therefore, we propose the following time-dependent viscous system

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (vu^k)_x = 0, \\ (vu)_t + (vu^{k+1})_x = \varepsilon \frac{1}{\alpha k} e^{-\alpha kt} (1 - e^{-\alpha kt}) u_{xx} - \alpha vu, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $k$  is an odd natural number and  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant. Notice that when  $\alpha \rightarrow 0+$ , we have that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\alpha k} e^{-\alpha kt} (1 - e^{-\alpha kt}) = t$  and the system (3) coincides with the viscous system (4.1) in [30] with  $f(u) = u^k$ . The viscous system (3) is well motivated by scalar conservation law with time-dependent viscosity

$$u_t + F(u)_x = G(t)u_{xx}.$$

where  $G(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ . When  $F(u) = u^2$  the scalar equation is called the Burgers equation with time-dependent viscosity. This type of Burgers equation was studied as a mathematical model of the propagation of the finite-amplitude sound waves in variable-area ducts, where  $u$  is an acoustic variable, with the linear effects of changes in the duct area taken out, and the time-dependent viscosity  $G(t)$  is the duct area, see [4, 9, 29]. The reader can find results concerning the existence, uniqueness and explicit solutions to the Burgers equation with time-dependent viscosity with suitable conditions for  $G(t)$  in [4, 5, 9, 23, 28, 29, 32, 33] and references cited therein. The Burgers equation with time-dependent viscosity and linear damping was studied in [27] and their results include explicit solutions for different  $G(t)$ .

When  $G(t) = \varepsilon t$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for systems of hyperbolic conservation laws with time-dependent viscosity we referred the works developed by Tupciev in [26] and Dafermos in [6]. The results obtained in [6] and [26] not including the delta shock waves solutions. For systems of hyperbolic conservation laws with delta shock solutions the reader may consult [8, 11, 25, 30, 31]. Recently, in [7] a nonlinear time-dependent viscosity  $G(t)$  was consider to obtained delta shock

wave solution for a particular system of conservation laws with linear damping.

Notice that our proposal of the time-dependent viscous system (3) is a special case of the general systems of conservation laws with time-dependent viscous system. Therefore, we consider the viscous system (3) with initial data (2). Observe that if  $(\widehat{v}, \widehat{u})$  solves

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{v}_t + e^{-\alpha kt} (\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k)_x = 0, \\ (\widehat{v}\widehat{u})_t + e^{-\alpha kt} (\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1})_x = \varepsilon \frac{1}{\alpha k} e^{-\alpha kt} (1 - e^{-\alpha kt}) \widehat{u}_{xx}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with initial condition

$$(\widehat{v}(x, 0), \widehat{u}(x, 0)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & \text{if } x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+), & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

then  $(v, u)$  defined by  $(v, u) = (\widehat{v}, \widehat{u}e^{-\alpha t})$  solves the problem (3)–(2). Here and after, denote  $(\widehat{v}^\varepsilon, \widehat{u}^\varepsilon)$  as  $(\widehat{v}, \widehat{u})$  when there is no confusion. In order to solve the problem (4)–(5), we introduce the similarity variable  $\xi$  and solutions to (4) should approach for large times a similarity solution  $(\widehat{v}, \widehat{u})$  to (4) of the form  $\widehat{v}(x, t) = \widehat{v}(\xi)$ ,  $\widehat{u}(x, t) = \widehat{u}(\xi)$  and  $\xi = a(t)x$  for some suitable smooth function  $a(t) \geq 0$  for  $t > 0$  (more details on the similarity methods can be found in [1, 12, 17, 19, 20, 24] and references therein). Therefore, we introduce the similarity variable  $\xi = \frac{\alpha k x}{1 - e^{-\alpha kt}}$  and the system (4) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} -\xi \widehat{v}_\xi + (\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k)_\xi = 0, \\ -\xi (\widehat{v}\widehat{u})_\xi + (\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1})_\xi = \varepsilon \widehat{u}_{\xi\xi}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and the initial data becomes the boundary condition

$$(\widehat{v}(\pm\infty), \widehat{u}(\pm\infty)) = (v_\pm, u_\pm). \quad (7)$$

Note that when  $\alpha \rightarrow 0+$ , the similarity variable  $\xi$  converges to  $x/t$  which is well used in many methods to study the behavior and structure of solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. Now, when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ , the system (4) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{v}_t + e^{-\alpha kt} (\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k)_x = 0, \\ (\widehat{v}\widehat{u})_t + e^{-\alpha kt} (\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1})_x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Using the vanishing viscosity method, and following works by Tan, Zhang and Zheng [25], Li and Yang [16], Yang [30] and De la cruz and Santos [8] with some appropriate modifications, we show the existence of solutions for system (6) with boundary condition (7). The main difficulty in applying the vanishing viscosity method developed in [16, 25, 30] is to choose a suitable Banach space and a bounded convex closed subset to use the Schauder fixed point theorem. Therefore, we specifically follow the vanishing viscosity method developed in [8]. After, we study the behavior of the solutions  $(\widehat{v}^\varepsilon, \widehat{u}^\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  to obtain a delta shock wave solution for the system (8). Finally, as  $(v(x, t), u(x, t)) = (\widehat{v}(x, t), \widehat{u}(x, t)e^{-\alpha t})$ , the solutions of (8) are using to obtain solutions for the original system (1).

The outline of the remaining of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we show the existence of solutions to the viscous system (6) with boundary condition (7). In Section 3, we study the behavior of the solutions  $(\widehat{v}^\varepsilon, \widehat{u}^\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  and we show the existence of delta shock solution for the system (4) without viscosity. In Section 4, we show the existence of delta shock solution for the nonhomogeneous system (1). Final remarks are given in Section 5.

## 2 Existence of solutions to the viscous system (6)-(7)

Let  $R$  be a positive number such that  $R^{1/k} > \max\{|u_-|, |u_+|\}$ . We consider the Banach space  $C([-R, R])$ , endowed with the supremum norm, and let us define the following set

$$K = \{U \in C([-R, R]) \mid U \text{ is monotone increasing with } U(-R) = u_- \text{ and } U(R) = u_+\}$$

which is bounded and a convex closed set in  $C([-R, R])$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $U \in K \cap C^1([-R, R])$ . Let*

$$\widehat{v}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \widehat{v}_1(\xi), & \text{if } -R \leq \xi < \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon, \\ \widehat{v}_2(\xi), & \text{if } \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon < \xi \leq R, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$  is the unique solution of the equation  $(U(\xi))^k = \xi$  (which solution exists because  $u_- > u_+$  and  $R$  is big enough),

$$\widehat{v}_1(\xi) := v_- \frac{u_-^k + R}{(U(\xi))^k - \xi} \exp\left(-\int_{-R}^{\xi} \frac{ds}{(U(s))^k - s}\right) \quad (10)$$

and

$$\widehat{v}_2(\xi) := v_+ \frac{R - u_+^k}{\xi - (U(\xi))^k} \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^R \frac{ds}{(U(s))^k - s}\right). \quad (11)$$

Then  $\widehat{v} \in L^1([-R, R])$ ,  $v$  is continuous in  $[-R, \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon] \cup (\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon, R]$  and it is a weak solution for

$$-\xi \widehat{v}_\xi + (\widehat{v}U^k)_\xi = 0, \quad (12)$$

and  $\widehat{v}(\pm R) = v_\pm$ .

*Proof.* The equation (12) can be rewritten as

$$((U(\xi))^k - \xi)\widehat{v}' + \widehat{v}((U(\xi))^k)' = 0. \quad (13)$$

Integrating (13) on  $[-R, \xi]$  for  $-R < \xi < \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ , we get

$$((U(\xi))^k - \xi)\widehat{v}_1(\xi) - (u_-^k + R)v_- + \int_{-R}^{\xi} \widehat{v}_1(s)ds = 0. \quad (14)$$

Let

$$p(\xi) = \int_{-R}^{\xi} \widehat{v}_1(s)ds, \quad A_1 = (u_-^k + R)v_- \quad \text{and} \quad a(\xi) = ((U(\xi))^k - \xi).$$

Then (14) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} a(\xi)p'(\xi) + p(\xi) = A_1, \\ p(-R) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$p(\xi) = A_1 \left\{ 1 - \exp \left( - \int_{-R}^{\xi} \frac{ds}{a(s)} \right) \right\}.$$

Noticing that  $a(\xi) > 0$  and  $a(\xi) = O(|\xi - \xi_\sigma|)$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-} \int_{-R}^{\xi} \widehat{v}_1(s) ds = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-} p(\xi) = A_1. \quad (15)$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-} ((U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}_1(\xi) = 0. \quad (16)$$

Similarly, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^+} \int_{\xi}^R \widehat{v}_2(s) ds &= A_2, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^+} ((U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}_2(\xi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $A_2 = (u_+^k - R)v_+$ . The equalities (15) and (17) imply that  $\widehat{v}(\xi) \in L^1([-R, R])$ .

Now, for arbitrary  $\phi \in C_0^\infty([-R, R])$ , we verify that

$$I \equiv - \int_{-R}^R ((U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi'(\xi) d\xi + \int_{-R}^R \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

For any  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ , such that  $-R < \xi_1 < \xi_\sigma < \xi_2 < R$  we can write  $I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{-R}^{\xi_1} (-(U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi'(\xi) + \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi, \\ I_2 &= \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (-(U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi'(\xi) + \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \text{ and} \\ I_3 &= \int_{\xi_2}^R (-(U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi'(\xi) + \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| -(U(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1 \widehat{v}_1(\xi_1) \phi(\xi_1) + \int_{-R}^{\xi_1} (((U(\xi))^k - \xi) \widehat{v}(\xi))' \phi(\xi) + \widehat{v}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &= \left| ((U(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1) \widehat{v}_1(\xi_1) \phi(\xi_1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By (16), we have that

$$\lim_{\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-} |I_1| = \lim_{\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-} |((U(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1) \widehat{v}_1(\xi_1) \phi(\xi_1)| = 0.$$

Similarly, we show that

$$\lim_{\xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^+} |I_3| = \lim_{\xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^+} |((U(\xi_2))^k - \xi_2) \widehat{v}_2(\xi_2) \phi(\xi_2)| = 0.$$

Since  $\widehat{v} \in L^1([-R, R])$ ,

$$|I_2| \leq \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} | -((U(\xi))^k - \xi) \phi'(\xi) + \phi(\xi) | |\widehat{v}(\xi)| d\xi \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^-, \xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_\sigma^+.$$

But  $I$  is independent of  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , so  $I = 0$ . Therefore,  $\widehat{v}$  defined in (9) is a weak solution.  $\square$

Now, let us define an operator  $T : K \rightarrow C^2([-R, R])$  as follows: for any  $U \in K$ ,  $\widehat{u} = TU$  is the unique solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \widehat{u}'' = (\widehat{v}(U, \xi)((U(\xi))^k - \xi)) \widehat{u}', \\ \widehat{u}(\pm R) = u_\pm, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where  $\widehat{v}(U, \xi) \equiv \widehat{v}(\xi)$  is defined in (10) or (11). In fact, the solution to this problem can be found explicitly and it is given by

$$\widehat{u}(\xi) = u_- + \frac{(u_+ - u_-) \int_{-R}^{\xi} \exp\left(\int_{-R}^r \frac{\widehat{v}(U, s)((U(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr}{\int_{-R}^R \exp\left(\int_{-R}^r \frac{\widehat{v}(U, s)((U(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr}. \quad (19)$$

**Lemma 2.2.**  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is a continuous operator.

*Proof.* Choose  $\{U_n\}$  in  $K$  such that  $U_n \rightarrow U$ . As  $U$  belongs to  $K$ , then each  $\widehat{u}_n = TU_n$  and  $\widehat{u} = TU$  satisfy the problem (18). Now, we have the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(\widehat{u}_n - \widehat{u})'' = (\widehat{v}(U_n, \xi)((U_n(\xi))^k - \xi))(\widehat{u}_n - \widehat{u})' \\ \quad + (\widehat{v}(U_n, \xi)((U_n(\xi))^k - \xi) - \widehat{v}(U, \xi)((U(\xi))^k - \xi))\widehat{u}' \\ (\widehat{u}_n - \widehat{u})(\pm R) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Setting  $p_n(\xi) = \widehat{v}(U_n, \xi)((U_n(\xi))^k - \xi)$  and  $q_n(\xi) = (\widehat{v}(U_n, \xi)((U_n(\xi))^k - \xi) - \widehat{v}(U, \xi)((U(\xi))^k - \xi))u'$ , from problem (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{u}_n - \widehat{u})'(\xi) &= - \frac{\int_{-R}^R \int_{-R}^y \frac{q_n(r)}{\varepsilon} \exp\left(\int_r^y \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr dy}{\int_{-R}^R \exp\left(\int_{-R}^r \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr} \exp\left(\int_{-R}^{\xi} \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) \\ &\quad + \int_{-R}^{\xi} \frac{q_n(r)}{\varepsilon} \exp\left(\int_{-r}^{\xi} \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{u}_n - \widehat{u})(\xi) &= - \frac{\int_{-R}^R \int_{-R}^y \frac{q_n(r)}{\varepsilon} \exp\left(\int_r^y \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr dy}{\int_{-R}^R \exp\left(\int_{-R}^r \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr} \int_{-R}^{\xi} \exp\left(\int_{-R}^r \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_{-R}^{\xi} \int_{-R}^y \frac{q_n(r)}{\varepsilon} \exp\left(\int_{-r}^y \frac{p_n(s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr dy. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

From (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(((U(\xi))^k - \xi)\widehat{v}(\xi))' = -\widehat{v}(\xi) < 0, \\ &(((U_n(\xi))^k - \xi)\widehat{v}_n(\xi))' = -\widehat{v}_n(\xi) < 0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\widehat{v}(U^k - \xi)$  and  $\widehat{v}_n(U_n^k - \xi)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are monotone decreasing and continuous functions. Because the sequence of monotone functions which converges to a continuous function must converge uniformly, we get that  $q_n(\xi)$  converges to zero uniformly. Then, from (20), (21) and (22) it follows that

$$\widehat{u}_n \rightarrow \widehat{u} \text{ in } C^2([-R, R]), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $T : K \rightarrow C^2([-R, R])$  is continuous. In addition, from (19), we have

$$\widehat{u}'(\xi) = \frac{(u_+ - u_-) \exp\left(\int_{-R}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(U, s)((U(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right)}{\int_{-R}^R \exp\left(\int_{-R}^r \frac{\widehat{v}(U, s)((U(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr}$$

which implies that  $\widehat{u} = TU$  is monotone. So we get  $TK \subset K$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *TK is a bounded set in  $C^2([-R, R])$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $U \in K$ , if  $s < \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ , we have

$$0 < \widehat{v}(U, s)((U(s))^k - s) = \widehat{v}_-(u_-^k + R) - \int_{-R}^s \widehat{v}(r) dr < \widehat{v}_-(u_-^k + R) \quad (23)$$

and if  $s > \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ ,

$$0 > \widehat{v}(U, s)((U(s))^k - s) = \widehat{v}_+(u_+^k - R) + \int_s^R \widehat{v}(r) dr > \widehat{v}_+(u_+^k - R). \quad (24)$$

From (18), we can deduce that

$$\widehat{u}''(\xi) < 0, \quad \xi \in [-R, \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon].$$

Then,  $\widehat{u}'(\xi) \leq \widehat{u}'(-R) < 0$ ,  $\xi \in [-R, \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon]$ , and

$$u_- - u_+ > \widehat{u}(-R) - \widehat{u}(\xi_\sigma) = \widehat{u}'(\zeta)(-R - \xi_\sigma) > \widehat{u}'(\zeta)(-R - u_+^k), \quad \zeta \in (-R, \xi_\sigma).$$

Thus,

$$0 > \widehat{u}'(-R) > \widehat{u}'(\zeta) > -\frac{u_- - u_+}{R + u_+^k}.$$

Also, from (18) we have

$$\widehat{u}'(\xi) = \widehat{u}'(-R) \exp\left(\int_{-R}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(U^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right)$$

and by (23) and (24), we conclude that  $\widehat{u}'$  is uniformly bounded. Consequently,  $\widehat{u}''$  is also uniformly bounded. So,  $TK$  is a bounded set in  $C^2([-R, R])$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.** *TK is precompact in  $C([-R, R])$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the compact embedding  $C^2([-R, R]) \hookrightarrow C([-R, R])$ .  $\square$

From the above lemmas, by virtue of Schauder fixed point theorem, we get the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For each  $R > \max\{|u_-|^k, |u_+|^k\}$ , there exists a weak solution*

$$(\widehat{v}_R, \widehat{u}_R) \in L^1([-R, R]) \times C^2([-R, R])$$

for the system (6) with boundary value  $(\widehat{v}_R(\pm R), \widehat{u}_R(\pm R)) = (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm})$ , and, in addition, being  $\widehat{u}_R$  a decreasing function.

The next step is to obtain from this family of solutions a sequence  $R_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $(\widehat{v}_{R_k}, \widehat{u}_{R_k})$  converges to a weak solution of (6)–(7). To this end, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** 1.  $\widehat{u}_R(\xi)$ ,  $\widehat{u}'_R(\xi)$  and  $\widehat{u}''_R(\xi)$  are uniformly bounded, with respect to  $R$  and  $\xi \in [-R, R]$ .

2. There exist a sequence  $R_k \rightarrow \infty$  and a decreasing function  $\widehat{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\widehat{u}_{R_k}$  converges to  $\widehat{u}$  in  $C^1([-M, M])$ , for each positive number  $M$  (i.e.  $\widehat{u}_{R_k}$ ,  $\widehat{u}'_{R_k}$  converge uniformly in compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\widehat{u}$ ,  $\widehat{u}'$ , respectively).

3.  $\widehat{v}_{R_k}(\widehat{u}_{R_k}, \xi)$  converges to  $\widehat{v}(\widehat{u}, \xi)$ , as  $R_k \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}\}$ , where  $\widehat{v}_{R_k}(\widehat{u}_{R_k}, \xi)$ ,  $\widehat{v}(\widehat{u}, \xi)$  are defined accordingly with (9), (10) and (11), being  $R = \infty$  for  $\rho(u, \xi)$ , and  $\xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies  $(\widehat{u}(\xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}))^k = \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$ .

*Proof.* 1. To simplify the notation in this proof, we shall use  $\widehat{u}$ ,  $\widehat{u}'$  and  $\widehat{u}''$  instead of  $\widehat{u}_R$ ,  $\widehat{u}'_R$  and  $\widehat{u}''_R$ .

Observe that  $u_+^k < \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} < u_-^k$ . We choose  $\xi_1$  such that  $-R < \xi_1 < u_+^k$ . From (6) it follows that

$$\widehat{u}'(\xi) = \widehat{u}'(\xi_1) \exp \left( \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds \right).$$

As  $\widehat{u}''(\xi) < 0$  for  $\xi \in (-R, \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon})$ , then we have that  $\widehat{u}'(\xi) < \widehat{u}'(\xi_1) < 0$ ,  $\xi \in (\xi_1, \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon})$ . Since

$$u_- - u_+ > \widehat{u}(\xi_1) - \widehat{u}(\xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}) = \widehat{u}'(\zeta)(\xi_1 - \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}) > \widehat{u}'(\zeta)(\xi_1 - u_+^k),$$

where  $\zeta \in (\xi_1, \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon})$ , we get

$$\widehat{u}'(\zeta) > \frac{u_- - u_+}{\xi_1 - u_+^k}, \quad \zeta \in (\xi_1, \xi_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}).$$

It follows that

$$0 > \widehat{u}'(\xi_1) > \frac{u_- - u_+}{\xi_1 - u_+^k}.$$

When  $\xi < \xi_1$ ,

$$\exp \left( \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds \right) < 1.$$



When  $\xi_1 < \xi < \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{v}_1(\xi_1) &= \widehat{v}_- \frac{u_-^k + R}{(\widehat{u}(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1} \exp\left(-\int_{-R}^{\xi_1} \frac{ds}{(\widehat{u}(s))^k - s}\right) \\ &\leq \widehat{v}_- \frac{u_-^k + R}{(\widehat{u}(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1} \exp\left(-\int_{-R}^{\xi_1} \frac{ds}{u_-^k - s}\right) = \widehat{v}_- \frac{u_-^k - \xi_1}{(\widehat{u}(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{v}(\xi)((\widehat{u}(\xi))^k - \xi) &= \widehat{v}(\xi_1)((\widehat{u}(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1) - \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi} \widehat{v}(s) ds \\ &\leq \widehat{v}(\xi_1)((\widehat{u}(\xi_1))^k - \xi_1) \leq v_-(u_-^k - \xi_1),\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\exp\left(\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{(v_-(u_-^k - \xi_1))^2}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

When  $\xi > \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds &= \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds + \int_{\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds \\ &< \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\exp\left(\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) < \exp\left(\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right).$$

Therefore,  $\widehat{u}'(\xi)$  and  $\widehat{u}(\xi)$  are uniformly bounded. From (18) it follows that  $\widehat{u}''_R(\xi)$  is also uniformly bounded, with respect to  $R$  and  $\xi \in [-R, R]$ .

2. Fixing  $M > 0$ , we consider  $R \gg M$  and apply the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem to obtain a sequence  $(\widehat{u}_{R_k})$  converging in  $C^1([-M, M])$  to a decreasing function  $u$ . Then, by a diagonalization process, we obtain a sequence  $(\widehat{u}_{R_k})$ , which we do not relabel, such that  $(\widehat{u}_{R_k})$  converges to a decreasing function  $\widehat{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , uniformly in compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\widehat{u}'_{R_k})$  also converges uniformly in compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\widehat{u}'$ , and  $\widehat{u}(-\infty) = u_-$ ,  $\widehat{u}(\infty) = u_+$ .
3. Claim 3 is obtained from (10), (11) by passing to the limit as  $R_k \rightarrow \infty$ , for each fixed  $\xi \neq \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ , noticing that, up to a subsequence, we can assume that  $\xi_\sigma^{R_k}$ , defined by  $(u_{R_k}^k(\xi_\sigma^{R_k})) = \xi_\sigma^{R_k}$ , converges to  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$  (where  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$  is defined by  $(u(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon))^k = \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ ).

□

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\widehat{u}$  be the function obtained in Lemma 2.5. Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\widehat{u}$  satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \widehat{u}'' = (\widehat{v}(\widehat{u}, \xi)(\widehat{u}^k - \xi)) \widehat{u}', \\ \widehat{u}(\pm\infty) = u_\pm, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widehat{v}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \widehat{v}_1(\xi), & \text{if } -\infty < \xi < \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon, \\ \widehat{v}_2(\xi), & \text{if } \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon < \xi < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$  satisfies  $(u(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon))^k = \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ ,

$$\widehat{v}_1(\xi) = v_- \exp\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} \frac{((u(s))^k)'}{(u(s))^k - s} ds\right)$$

and

$$\widehat{v}_2(\xi) = v_+ \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} \frac{((u(s))^k)'}{(u(s))^k - s} ds\right).$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $(\widehat{v}_R(\xi), \widehat{u}_R(\xi))$  the solution of the problem (6) with boundary value  $(\widehat{v}(\pm R), \widehat{u}(\pm R)) = (v_\pm, u_\pm)$ . Fixing  $\xi_2$  and integrating (18) from  $\xi_2$  to  $\xi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\widehat{u}'_R(\xi) - \widehat{u}'_R(\xi_2)) &= (\widehat{v}_R(\xi)((\widehat{u}_R(\xi))^k - \xi))\widehat{u}_R(\xi) \\ &\quad - (\widehat{v}_R(\xi_2)((\widehat{u}_R(\xi_2))^k - \xi_2))\widehat{u}_R(\xi_2) + \int_{\xi_2}^{\xi} \widehat{v}_R(s)\widehat{u}_R(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

(independently of whether  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$  is between  $\xi_2$  and  $\xi$ ). Letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , by the Lebesgue Convergence Theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\widehat{u}'(\xi) - \widehat{u}'(\xi_2)) &= (\widehat{v}(\xi)((\widehat{u}(\xi))^k - \xi))\widehat{u}(\xi) \\ &\quad - (\widehat{v}(\xi_2)((\widehat{u}(\xi_2))^k - \xi_2))\widehat{u}(\xi_2) + \int_{\xi_2}^{\xi} \widehat{v}(s)\widehat{u}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Differentiating (25) with respect to  $\xi$ , we obtain

$$\varepsilon\widehat{u}'' = (\widehat{v}(\widehat{u}^k - \xi))\widehat{u}',$$

and from (19) we have  $\widehat{u}(\pm\infty) = u_\pm$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exists a weak solution  $(\widehat{v}, \widehat{u}) \in L^1_{loc}((-\infty, +\infty)) \times C^2((-\infty, +\infty))$  for the boundary value problem (6)–(7).*

*Proof.* Let  $(\widehat{v}, \widehat{u})$  be defined in Theorem 2.2. By Lemma 2.5 we know that  $\widehat{u}$  is decreasing and belongs to  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\widehat{v}$  is of class  $C^1$  in  $(-\infty, \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon) \cup (\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon, \infty)$ . In addition, it is also bounded, hence, locally integrable. From (25) it follows that  $\widehat{u}$  is of class  $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ . The first equation in (6) is obtained by differentiating  $\widehat{v}_1$  and  $\widehat{v}_2$ , and the second one is equivalent to the first one and the equation stated in Theorem 2.2. □

### 3 The limit solutions of (4)–(5) as viscosity vanishes

We continue this section studying the case when  $u_- > u_+$  and we are interested in the behavior of the solutions  $(\widehat{v}^\varepsilon, \widehat{u}^\varepsilon)$  of (6)–(7) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$  be the unique point satisfying  $(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon))^k = \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$ , and let  $\xi_\sigma$  be the limit*

$$\xi_\sigma = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon$$

(passing to a subsequence if necessary). Then for any  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \widehat{u}_\xi^\varepsilon(\xi) &= 0, \quad \text{for } |\xi - \xi_\sigma| \geq \eta, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi) &= \begin{cases} u_-, & \text{if } \xi \leq \xi_\sigma - \eta, \\ u_+, & \text{if } \xi \geq \xi_\sigma + \eta, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in the above intervals.

*Proof.* To simplify the notation in this proof, we use  $\widehat{v}, \widehat{u}$  instead of  $\widehat{v}^\varepsilon, \widehat{u}^\varepsilon$ . Take  $\xi_3 = \xi_\sigma + \eta/2$ , and let  $\varepsilon$  be so small such that  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon < \xi_3 - \eta/4$ . For  $\xi > \xi_\sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{v}(\xi) &= v_+ \exp \left( \int_\xi^{+\infty} \frac{((\widehat{u}(s))^k)' }{(\widehat{u}(s))^k - s} ds \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} v_+ \frac{R - u_+^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \exp \left( \int_\xi^R \frac{ds}{(\widehat{u}(s))^k - s} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} v_+ \frac{R - u_+^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \exp \left( \int_\xi^R \frac{ds}{u_+^k - s} \right) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} v_+ \frac{R - u_+^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \frac{\xi - u_+^k}{R - u_+^k} = v_+ \frac{\xi - u_+^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\widehat{v}(\xi)((\widehat{u}(\xi))^k - \xi) \geq v_+(u_+^k - \xi), \quad \xi \in (\xi_\sigma, +\infty).$$

Now, integrating the second equation of (6) twice on  $[\xi_3, \xi]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}(\xi_3) - \widehat{u}(\xi) &= -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \int_{\xi_3}^\xi \exp \left( \int_{\xi_3}^r \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds \right) dr \\ &\geq -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \int_{\xi_3}^\xi \exp \left( \int_{\xi_3}^r \frac{v_+(u_+^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds \right) dr \\ &= -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \int_{\xi_3}^\xi \exp \left( \frac{v_+}{\varepsilon} \left( (u_+^k - \xi_3)(r - \xi_3) - \frac{1}{2}(r - \xi_3)^2 \right) \right) dr \\ &= -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \int_0^{\xi - \xi_3} \exp \left( \frac{v_+}{\varepsilon} \left( (u_+^k - \xi_3)r - \frac{1}{2}r^2 \right) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_- - u_+ &\geq -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(\frac{v_+}{\varepsilon} \left((u_+^k - \xi_3)r - \frac{1}{2}r^2\right)\right) dr \\ &\geq -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \int_0^{2\varepsilon} \exp\left(\frac{v_+}{\varepsilon} \left((u_+^k - \xi_3)r - \frac{1}{2}r^2\right)\right) dr \\ &\geq -\widehat{u}'(\xi_3) \sqrt{\varepsilon} A_3 \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , where  $A_3$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Thus

$$|\widehat{u}'(\xi_3)| \leq \frac{u_- - u_+}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} A_3}.$$

So

$$|\widehat{u}'(\xi)| \leq \frac{u_- - u_+}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} A_3} \exp\left(\int_{\xi_3}^{\xi} \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right). \quad (26)$$

For  $\xi > \xi_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{v}(\xi) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} v_+ \frac{R - u_+^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^R \frac{ds}{(\widehat{u}(s))^k - s}\right) \\ &\geq \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} v_+ \frac{R - u_+^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^R \frac{ds}{(\widehat{u}(\xi_3))^k - s}\right) \\ &= v_+ \frac{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi_3))^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R - u_+^k}{R - (\widehat{u}(\xi_3))^k} = v_+ \frac{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi_3))^k}{\xi - (\widehat{u}(\xi))^k} \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\widehat{v}(\xi)((\widehat{u}(\xi))^k - \xi) \leq v_+((\widehat{u}(\xi_3))^k - \xi), \quad \xi > \xi_3. \quad (27)$$

From (26) and (27) we have

$$|\widehat{u}'(\xi)| \leq \frac{u_- - u_+}{\sqrt{\varepsilon} A_3} \exp\left(-\frac{v_+}{\varepsilon} \int_{\xi_3}^{\xi} (s - (\widehat{u}(\xi_3))^k) ds\right)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{u}_{\xi}^{\varepsilon}(\xi) = 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } \xi \geq \xi_{\sigma} + \eta.$$

Now, we choose  $\xi$  and  $\xi_4$  such that  $\xi > \xi_4 \geq \xi_{\sigma} + \eta$ . From the relation

$$\widehat{u}(\xi_4) - \widehat{u}(\xi) = -\widehat{u}'(\xi_4) \int_{\xi_4}^{\xi} \exp\left(\int_{\xi_4}^r \frac{\widehat{v}(s)((\widehat{u}(s))^k - s)}{\varepsilon} ds\right) dr,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{u}(\xi_4) - \widehat{u}(\xi)| &\leq |\widehat{u}'(\xi_4)| \int_{\xi_4}^{\xi} \exp\left(-\frac{A_4}{\varepsilon}(r - \xi_4)\right) dr \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{A_4} |\widehat{u}'(\xi_4)| \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{A_4}{\varepsilon}(\xi_4 - \xi)\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_4 = v_+ (\xi_4 - (\widehat{u}(\xi_4))^k)$ . When  $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain

$$|\widehat{u}(\xi_4) - u_+| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{A_4} |\widehat{u}'(\xi_4)|,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi) = u_+, \quad \text{uniformly for } \xi \geq \xi_\sigma + \eta.$$

The results for  $\xi < \xi_\sigma - \eta$  can be obtained analogously.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any  $\eta > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon(\xi) = \begin{cases} v_-, & \text{if } \xi < \xi_\sigma - \eta, \\ v_+, & \text{if } \xi > \xi_\sigma + \eta, \end{cases}$$

*uniformly, with respect to  $\xi$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  so small such that  $|\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon - \xi_\sigma| < \frac{\eta}{2}$  whenever  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . For any  $\xi > \xi_\sigma + \eta$  and  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , we have

$$\xi > \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon + \frac{\eta}{2}$$

and

$$\widehat{v}^\varepsilon(\xi) = v_+ \exp \left( \int_\xi^\infty \frac{((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k)'}{(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s} ds \right).$$

For any  $s \in [\xi, +\infty)$ , we have

$$(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s < (\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi))^k - \xi = (1 - ((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\zeta))^k)')(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon - \xi) \leq -\frac{\eta}{2}.$$

As  $\widehat{u}$  is decreasing, we have that  $((\widehat{u}(\xi))^k)' = k(\widehat{u}(\xi))^{k-1}\widehat{u}'(\xi) < 0$ , and

$$\frac{((\widehat{u}(s))^k)'}{(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s} < -\frac{2}{\eta}((\widehat{u}(s))^k)', \quad \text{for any } s \in [\xi, +\infty).$$

Now, in the last inequality, integrating on  $[\xi, +\infty)$  we have

$$0 \leq \int_\xi^\infty \frac{((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k)'}{(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s} ds \leq -\frac{2}{\eta} \int_\xi^\infty ((u^\varepsilon(s))^k)' ds = -\frac{2}{\eta} (u_+^k - (\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi))^k),$$

so

$$1 \leq \exp \left( \int_\xi^\infty \frac{((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k)'}{(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s} ds \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{2}{\eta} (u_+^k - (\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi))^k) \right). \quad (28)$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi) = u_+$ , and from (28) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \exp \left( \int_\xi^\infty \frac{((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k)'}{(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s} ds \right) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon(\xi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} v_+ \exp \left( \int_\xi^\infty \frac{((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k)'}{(\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(s))^k - s} ds \right) = v_+, \quad \text{uniformly for } \xi > \xi_\sigma + \eta.$$

Similarly, we also obtain that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon(\xi) = v_-$ , uniformly for  $\xi < \xi_\sigma - \eta$ .  $\square$

Now, we study the limit behavior of  $(\widehat{v}^\varepsilon, \widehat{u}^\varepsilon)$  in the neighborhood of  $\xi_\sigma$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Denote*

$$\sigma = \xi_\sigma = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \xi_\sigma^\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon))^k = (\widehat{u}(\sigma))^k. \quad (29)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon(\xi), \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & \text{if } \xi < \sigma, \\ (w_0 \cdot \delta, u_\delta), & \text{if } \xi = \sigma, \\ (v_+, u_+), & \text{if } \xi > \sigma, \end{cases}$$

where  $\widehat{v}^\varepsilon(\xi)$  converges in the sense of the distributions to the sum of a step function and a Dirac measure  $\delta$  with weight  $w_0 = -\sigma(v_- - v_+) + (v_-u_-^k - v_+u_+^k)$ .

*Proof.* As  $\sigma = \xi_\sigma = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon))^k = (\widehat{u}(\sigma))^k$ , then we have

$$u_+^k < \sigma < u_-^k. \quad (30)$$

Let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be real numbers such that  $\xi_1 < \sigma < \xi_2$  and  $\phi \in C_0^\infty([\xi_1, \xi_2])$  such that  $\phi(\xi) \equiv \phi(\sigma)$  for  $\xi$  in a neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\sigma$ ,  $\Omega \subset (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ <sup>1</sup>. Then  $\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon \in \Omega$  whenever  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . From (6) we have

$$- \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon \phi d\xi = 0. \quad (31)$$

For  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Omega$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  near  $\sigma$  such that  $\alpha_1 < \sigma < \alpha_2$ , we write

$$\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi = \int_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi + \int_{\alpha_2}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi,$$

and from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi &= \int_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} v_-(u_-^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi + \int_{\alpha_2}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}_+(u_+^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi \\ &= (v_-u_-^k - v_+u_+^k - v_-\alpha_1 + v_+\alpha_2)\phi(\sigma) \\ &\quad + \int_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} v_-\phi(\xi)d\xi + \int_{\alpha_2}^{\xi_2} v_+\phi(\xi)d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Then taking  $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \sigma-$ ,  $\alpha_2 \rightarrow \sigma+$ , we arrive at

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)\phi' d\xi = (-[\widehat{v}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k])\phi(\sigma) + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} J(\xi - \sigma)\phi(\xi)d\xi \quad (32)$$

where  $[q] = q_- - q_+$  and

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} v_-, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ v_+, & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The function  $\phi$  is called a *sloping test function* [25]

From (31) and (32), we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon - J(\xi - \sigma)) \phi(\xi) d\xi = (-[\widehat{v}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k]) \phi(\sigma).$$

for all sloping test functions  $\phi \in C_0^\infty([\xi_1, \xi_2])$ .

For an arbitrary  $\psi \in C_0^\infty([\xi_1, \xi_2])$ , we take a sloping test function  $\phi$ , such that  $\phi(\sigma) = \psi(\sigma)$  and

$$\max_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]} |\psi - \phi| < \mu,$$

for a sufficiently small  $\mu > 0$ . As  $\widehat{v}^\varepsilon \in L^1([\xi_1, \xi_2])$  uniformly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon - J(\xi - \sigma)) \psi(\xi) d\xi &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon - J(\xi - \sigma)) \phi(\xi) d\xi + O(\mu) \\ &= (-[\widehat{v}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k]) \phi(\sigma) + O(\mu) \\ &= (-[\widehat{v}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k]) \psi(\sigma) + O(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Then, when  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , we find that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon - J(\xi - \sigma)) \psi(\xi) d\xi = (-[\widehat{v}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k]) \psi(\sigma) \quad (33)$$

holds for all test functions  $\psi \in C_0^\infty([\xi_1, \xi_2])$ . Thus,  $\widehat{v}^\varepsilon$  converges in the sense of the distributions to the sum of a step function and a Dirac delta function with strength  $-\widehat{v}\sigma + \widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k$ . In a similar way, from

$$- \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon ((\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)^k - \xi)) \widehat{u}^\varepsilon \phi' d\xi + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \widehat{v}^\varepsilon \widehat{u}^\varepsilon \phi d\xi = \varepsilon \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{u}^\varepsilon)'' \phi d\xi \quad (34)$$

we can obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon \widehat{u}^\varepsilon - \widetilde{J}(\xi - \sigma)) \phi(\xi) d\xi = (-[\widehat{v}\widehat{u}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1}]) \phi(\sigma)$$

for all test functions  $\phi \in C_0^\infty([\xi_1, \xi_2])$ , where

$$\widetilde{J}(x) = \begin{cases} v_- u_-, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ v_+ u_+, & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\widehat{v}\widehat{u}$  also converges in the sense of the distributions to the sum of a step function and a Dirac delta function with strength  $-\widehat{v}\widehat{u}\sigma + \widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1}$ .

If we take the test function in (34) as  $\frac{\psi}{\widehat{u}^\varepsilon + \nu}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ , where  $\widehat{u}^\varepsilon$  is a modified function satisfying  $\widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\sigma)$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\widehat{u}^\varepsilon$  outside  $\Omega$ , and let  $\nu \rightarrow 0^+$ , we find

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon - J(\xi - \sigma)) \psi d\xi \cdot \widehat{u}(\sigma) = (-[\widehat{v}\widehat{u}]\sigma + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1}]) \psi(\sigma) \quad (35)$$

for all test functions  $\psi \in C_0^\infty([\xi_1, \xi_2])$ . Let  $w_0$  be the strength of the Dirac delta function in  $\widehat{v}$ , and denote

$$\widehat{u}_\delta = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(\xi_\sigma^\varepsilon) = \widehat{u}(\sigma).$$

From (29), (33) and (35) it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma = (\widehat{u}_\delta)^k, \\ w_0 = -\sigma[\widehat{v}] + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k], \\ w_0 u_\delta = -\sigma[\widehat{v}\widehat{u}] + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1}]. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Under the entropy condition (30) the system (36) admits a unique solution  $(\sigma, w_0, u_\delta)$ .  $\square$

Then we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $u_- > u_+$ . Let  $(\widehat{v}^\varepsilon(x, t), \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(x, t))$  be the similarity solution of (4)–(5). Then the limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\widehat{v}^\varepsilon(x, t), \widehat{u}^\varepsilon(x, t)) = (\widehat{v}(x, t), \widehat{u}(x, t))$$

*exists in the measure sense and  $(\widehat{v}, \widehat{u})$  solves (8)–(5). Moreover,*

$$(\widehat{v}(x, t), \widehat{u}(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & \text{if } x < \frac{\sigma}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha k t}), \\ (\frac{w_0}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha k t})\delta(x - \frac{\sigma}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha k t})), u_\delta), & \text{if } x = \frac{\sigma}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha k t}), \\ (v_+, u_+), & \text{if } x > \frac{\sigma}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha k t}), \end{cases}$$

*where the constants  $\sigma$ ,  $w_0$ , and  $u_\delta$  are determined uniquely by the entropy condition  $u_+^k < \sigma < u_-^k$  and*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma = u_\delta^k, \\ w_0 = -\sigma[\widehat{v}] + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^k], \\ w_0 u_\delta = -\sigma[\widehat{v}\widehat{u}] + [\widehat{v}\widehat{u}^{k+1}]. \end{cases}$$

## 4 Delta shock solutions for the system (1)

In this section, we study the Riemann problem to the system (1) with initial data (2) when  $u_- > u_+$ . We need recall the following definition:

**Definition 4.1.** A two-dimensional weighted delta function  $w(s)\delta_L$  supported on a smooth curve  $L = \{(x(s), t(s)) : a < s < b\}$ , for  $w \in L^1((a, b))$ , is defined as

$$\langle w(\cdot)\delta_L, \phi(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle = \int_a^b w(s)\phi(x(s), t(s)) ds, \quad \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)).$$

Now, we define a delta shock wave solution for the system (1) with initial data (2).



**Definition 4.2.** A distribution pair  $(v, u)$  is a *delta shock wave solution* of (1) and (2) in the sense of distribution if there exists a smooth curve  $L$  and a function  $w \in C^1(L)$  such that  $v$  and  $u$  are represented in the following form

$$v = \tilde{v}(x, t) + w\delta_L \text{ and } u = \tilde{u}(x, t),$$

$\tilde{v}, \tilde{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  and

$$\begin{cases} \langle v, \varphi_t \rangle + \langle vu^k, \varphi_x \rangle = 0, \\ \langle vu, \varphi_t \rangle + \langle vu^{k+1}, \varphi_x \rangle = \langle \alpha vu, \varphi \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

for all the test functions  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ , where  $u|_L = u_\delta(t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, \varphi \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{v}\varphi \, dxdt + \langle w\delta_L, \varphi \rangle, \\ \langle vG(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{v}G(\tilde{u})\varphi \, dxdt + \langle wG(u_\delta)\delta_L, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

With the previous definitions, we are going to find a solution with discontinuity  $x = x(t)$  for (1) of the form

$$(v(x, t), u(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (v_-(x, t), u_-(x, t)), & \text{if } x < x(t), \\ (w(t)\delta_L, u_\delta(t)), & \text{if } x = x(t), \\ (v_+(x, t), u_+(x, t)), & \text{if } x > x(t), \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

where  $v_\pm(x, t), u_\pm(x, t)$  are piecewise smooth solutions of system (1),  $\delta(\cdot)$  is the Dirac measure supported on the curve  $x(t) \in C^1$ , and  $x(t), w(t)$  and  $u_\delta(t)$  will be determined later.

Since  $v(x, t) = \widehat{v}(x, t)$  and  $u(x, t) = \widehat{u}(x, t)e^{-\alpha t}$ , from Theorem 3.2, we can establish a solution of the form (38) to the system (1) with initial data (2). Thus, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that  $u_- > u_+$ . Then the Riemann problem (1)–(2) admits one and only one measure solution of the form*

$$(v(x, t), u(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-e^{-\alpha t}), & \text{if } x < x(t), \\ (w(t)\delta(x - x(t)), u_\delta e^{-\alpha t}), & \text{if } x = x(t), \\ (v_+, u_+e^{-\alpha t}), & \text{if } x > x(t), \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

where  $w(t) = \frac{w_0}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha kt})$ ,  $x(t) = \frac{\sigma}{\alpha k}(1 - e^{-\alpha kt})$  and the constants  $\sigma, w_0$ , and  $u_\delta$  are determined uniquely by the entropy condition  $u_+^k < \sigma < u_-^k$  and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma = u_\delta^k, \\ w_0 = -\sigma(v_- - v_+) + (v_-u_-^k - v_+u_+^k), \\ w_0u_\delta = -\sigma(v_-u_- - v_+u_+) + (v_-(u_-)^{k+1} - v_+(u_+)^{k+1}). \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

*Proof.* We need to show that (39) is a solution to the problem (1)–(2) which can be found with  $(v, u) = (\widehat{v}, \widehat{u}e^{-\alpha t})$  and the result obtained in Theorem 3.2. Therefore, for any test function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle vu, \varphi_t \rangle + \langle vu^{k+1}, \varphi_x \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} (vu\varphi_t + vu^{k+1}\varphi_x) dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty w(t)u_\delta e^{-\alpha t} (\varphi_t + u_\delta^k e^{-\alpha kt} \varphi_x) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{x(t)} (v_- u_- e^{-\alpha t} \varphi_t + v_- u_-^{k+1} e^{-\alpha(k+1)t} \varphi_x) dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{x(t)}^\infty (v_+ u_+ e^{-\alpha t} \varphi_t + v_+ u_+^{k+1} e^{-\alpha(k+1)t} \varphi_x) dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty w(t)u_\delta e^{-\alpha t} (\varphi_t + u_\delta^k e^{-\alpha kt} \varphi_x) dt \\
&= - \oint - (v_- u_-^{k+1} e^{-\alpha(k+1)t} \varphi) dt + (v_- u_- e^{-\alpha t} \varphi) dx \\
&\quad + \oint - (v_+ u_+^{k+1} e^{-\alpha(k+1)t} \varphi) dt + (v_+ u_+ e^{-\alpha t} \varphi) dx \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha v u \varphi dx dt + \int_0^\infty w(t)u_\delta e^{-\alpha t} (\varphi_t + \sigma e^{-\alpha kt} \varphi_x) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty (v_- u_-^{k+1} - v_+ u_+^{k+1}) e^{-\alpha(k+1)t} \varphi dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \frac{dx(t)}{dt} (v_- u_- - v_+ u_+) e^{-\alpha t} \varphi dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha v u \varphi dx dt + \int_0^\infty w(t)u_\delta e^{-\alpha t} (\varphi_t + \frac{dx(t)}{dt} \varphi_x) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty (v_- u_-^{k+1} - v_+ u_+^{k+1}) e^{-\alpha(k+1)t} \varphi dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \frac{dx(t)}{dt} (v_- u_- - v_+ u_+) e^{-\alpha t} \varphi dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \frac{w_0 u_\delta}{\alpha k} \frac{d((1 - e^{-\alpha kt})e^{-\alpha t})}{dt} \varphi dt + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha v u \varphi dx dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha v u \varphi dx dt + \int_0^\infty \alpha w(t)u_\delta e^{-\alpha t} \varphi dt = \langle \alpha v u, \varphi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the second equation of (37). By a completely similar argument it is possible to obtain the first equation of (37).  $\square$

As an application of Theorem 4.1 we have the following result:

**Corollary 4.1** (Keita and Bourgaout [14]). *Consider the following Eulerian droplet model*

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (vu)_x = 0, \\ (vu)_t + (vu^2)_x = -\alpha uv, \end{cases}$$

with initial data given by

$$(v(x, 0), u(x, 0)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & \text{if } x < 0, \\ (v_+, u_+), & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

and assume that  $v_{\pm} > 0$  and  $u_- > u_+$ . Then the Riemann solution to the Eulerian droplet model is given by

$$(v(x, t), u(x, t)) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_- e^{-\alpha t}), & \text{if } x < x(t), \\ (w(t)\delta(x - x(t)), u_{\delta} e^{-\alpha t}), & \text{if } x = x(t), \\ (v_+, u_+ e^{-\alpha t}), & \text{if } x > x(t), \end{cases}$$

where  $w(t) = \sqrt{v_- v_+} (u_- - u_+) \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha t})}{\alpha}$ ,  $x(t) = \frac{\sqrt{v_-} u_- + \sqrt{v_+} u_+}{\sqrt{v_-} + \sqrt{v_+}} \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha t})}{\alpha}$  and  $u_{\delta} = \frac{\sqrt{v_-} u_- + \sqrt{v_+} u_+}{\sqrt{v_-} + \sqrt{v_+}}$ , when  $v_- \neq v_+$ .

For the case  $v_- = v_+$ ,  $w(t) = v_- (u_- - u_+) \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha t})}{\alpha}$ ,  $x(t) = \frac{(u_- + u_+) (1 - e^{-\alpha t})}{2\alpha}$  and  $u_{\delta} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+)$ .

*Proof.* Using the Theorem 4.1 with  $k = 1$ , we need solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} \sigma = u_{\delta}, \\ w_0 = -\sigma(v_- - v_+) + (v_- u_- - v_+ u_+), \\ w_0 u_{\delta} = -\sigma(v_- u_- - v_+ u_+) + (v_- u_-^2 - v_+ u_+^2), \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

subject to  $u_+ < \sigma < u_-$ . Thus, when  $v_- \neq v_+$ , from the system (41) we have

$$(v_- - v_+) u_{\delta}^2 - 2(v_- u_- - v_+ u_+) u_{\delta} + (v_- u_-^2 - v_+ u_+^2) = 0$$

and therefore we can find

$$u_{\delta}^{(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{v_-} u_- - \sqrt{v_+} u_+}{\sqrt{v_-} - \sqrt{v_+}} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\delta}^{(2)} = \frac{\sqrt{v_-} u_- + \sqrt{v_+} u_+}{\sqrt{v_-} + \sqrt{v_+}}.$$

Observe that only  $u_{\delta}^{(2)}$  satisfies the condition  $u_+ < \sigma < u_-$ . Thus, with  $u_{\delta} = u_{\delta}^{(2)}$ , from (41) we have that

$$w_0 = \sqrt{v_- v_+} (u_- - u_+).$$

When  $v_- = v_+$ , from (41) we have

$$2(u_- - u_+) u_{\delta} - (u_-^2 - u_+^2) = 0$$

and therefore

$$u_{\delta} = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+) \quad \text{and} \quad w_0 = v_- (u_- - u_+).$$

□

## 5 Final remarks

1. From Theorem 4.1, we can observe that when  $\alpha \rightarrow 0+$ ,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+} x(t) = u_\delta^k t \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+} w(t) = -u_\delta^k (v_- - v_+) t + (v_- u_-^k - v_+ u_+^k) t.$$

So, the limit behavior of the solution given in Theorem 4.1 as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0+$  corresponds to the solution given in Theorem 5.6 of [30].

2. It is easy to see that (38) is a delta shock solution with discontinuity  $x = x(t)$  to the problem (1)–(2) if and only if the following generalized Rankine-Hugoniot conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = (u_\delta(t))^k = \sigma(t), \\ \frac{dw(t)}{dt} = -[[v]]\sigma(t) + [[vu^k]], \\ \frac{d(w(t)u_\delta(t))}{dt} = -[[vu]]\sigma(t) + [[vu^{k+1}]] - \alpha w(t)u_\delta(t), \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

where  $[[q]] = q(x(t)-, t) - q(x(t)+, t)$ . Observe that the solution given in Theorem 4.1 satisfies the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot conditions. Moreover, in this case the generalized Rankine-Hugoniot conditions (42) are equivalent to the equations given in the system (40).

**Conflict of interest:** This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] Barenblatt G.I. *Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [2] Bouchut F. On zero pressure gas dynamics. *Advances in kinetic theory and computing* Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences 22, Singapore: World Scientific. 1994; pp. 171–190.
- [3] Brenier Y, Grenier E. Sticky particles and scalar conservation laws. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* 1998; 35 (6): 2317–2328.
- [4] Crighton D.G. Model equations of nonlinear acoustics. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 1979; 11: 11–33.
- [5] Crighton D.G., Scott J.F. Asymptotic solution of model equations in nonlinear acoustics. *Phil. Trans. Roy Soc. A.* 1979; 292: 101–134. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1979.0046>
- [6] Dafermos C.M. Solutions of the Riemann problem for a class of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws by the viscosity method. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 1973; 52: 1–9.
- [7] De la cruz R. Riemann Problem for a  $2 \times 2$  hyperbolic system with linear damping. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10440-020-00350-w>

- [8] De la cruz R., Santos M. Delta shock waves for a system of Keyfitz-Kranzer type, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* 2019; 99: e201700251 .
- [9] Doyle J., Englefield M.J. Similarity solutions of a generalized Burgers equation. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics.* 1990; 44: 145–153.
- [10] E W., Rykov Y., Sinai Y. Generalized variational principles, global weak solutions and behavior with random initial data for systems of conservation laws arising in adhesion particle dynamics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 1996; 177: 349–380.
- [11] Ercole G. Delta-shock waves as self-similar viscosity limits. *Quart. Appl. Math.* 2000; LVIII (1): 177–199.
- [12] Henriksen R. N. *Scale Invariance: Self-Similarity of the Physical World.* Wiley-VCH; 2015.
- [13] Huang F., Wang Z. Well posedness for pressureless flow, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 2001; 222: 117–146.
- [14] Keita S., Bourgault Y. Eulerian droplet model: Delta-shock waves and solution of the Riemann problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2019; 472 (1): 1001–1027.
- [15] LeVeque R. J. The dynamics of pressureless dust clouds and delta waves, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* 2004; 1(2): 315–327.
- [16] Li J., Yang H. Delta-shocks as limit of solutions of multidimensional zero-pressure gas dynamics, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 2001; 59: 315–342.
- [17] Lou Y.Q., Wang W.G. New self-similar solutions of polytropic gas dynamics, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 2006; 372: 885-900.
- [18] Majda A., Majda G., Zheng Y. Concentrations in the one-dimensional Vlasov-Poisson equations I: Temporal development and non-uniqueness weak solutions in the single component case, *Physica D* 1994; 74: 268–300.
- [19] Polyanin A.D., Zaitsev V.F. *Handbook of nonlinear partial differential equations.* Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2003.
- [20] Sachdev P.L. *Self-Similarity and Beyond: Exact Solutions of Nonlinear Problems.* Chapman & Hall/CRC, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 113; 2000.
- [21] Shandarin S.F., Zeldovich Y.B. Large-scale structure of the universe: Turbulence, intermittency, structures in a self-gravitating medium. *Rev. Modern Phys.* 1989; 61: 185–220.
- [22] Shen C. The Riemann problem for the pressureless Euler system with the Coulomb-like friction term, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* 2016; 81: 76–99.
- [23] Scott J.F. The long time asymptotics of solution to the generalized Burgers equation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Land. A.* 1981; 373: 443–456.

- [24] Suto Y., Silk J. Self-similar dynamics of polytropic gaseous spheres, *Astrophys. J.* 1988; 326: 527–538.
- [25] Tan D., Zhang T., Zheng Y. Delta shock waves as limits of vanishing viscosity for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. *J. Differential Equations.* 1994; 112: 1–32.
- [26] Tupciev V.A. On the method of introducing viscosity in the study of problems involving decay of a discontinuity. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 1973; 14: 978–982.
- [27] Vaganana B.M., Kumaran M.S. Kummer function solutions of damped Burgers equations with time-dependent viscosity by exact linearization. *Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl.* 2008; 9: 2222–2233.
- [28] Wang J.H., Zhang H. A new viscous regularization of the Riemann problem for Burger's equation. *J. Partial Diff. Eqs.* 2000; 13: 253–263.
- [29] Wang J., Zhang H. Existence and decay rates of solutions to the generalized Burgers equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2003; 284: 213–235.
- [30] Yang H. Riemann problems for a class of coupled hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, *J. Differential Equations.* 1999; 159: 447–484.
- [31] Yang H., Zhang Y. New developments of delta shock waves and its applications in systems of conservation laws. *J. Differential Equations.* 2012; 252: 5951–5993.
- [32] Zhang H. Global existence and asymptotic behaviour of the solution of a generalized Burger's equation with viscosity. *Computers Math. Applic.* 2001; 41(5-6): 589–596.
- [33] Zhang H., Wang X. Large-Time Behavior of Smooth Solutions to a Nonuniformly Parabolic Equation. *Computers Math. Applic.* 2004; 47 (2-3): 353–363.