Theory of BCS-like bogolon-mediated superconductivity in transition metal dichalcogenides
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We report on a novel mechanism of BCS-like superconductivity, mediated by a pair of Bogoliubov quasiparticles (bogolons). It takes place in hybrid systems consisting of a two-dimensional electron gas in a transition metal dichalcogenide monolayer in the vicinity of a Bose-Einstein condensate. Taking a system of two-dimensional indirect excitons as a testing ground we show, that the bogolon-pair-mediated electron pairing mechanism is stronger than phonon-mediated and single bogolon-mediated ones. We develop a microscopic theory of bogolon-pair-mediated superconductivity, based on the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation and the Gor'kov’s equations, study the temperature dependence of the superconducting gap and estimate the critical temperature of superconducting transition for various concentrations of the electron gas and the condensate densities.

I. INTRODUCTION

The conventional microscopic Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) superconductivity originates from the interaction between electrons and phonons (crystal lattice vibrations), which results in the attraction between electrons with opposite momenta and spins with the sequential formation of Cooper pairs [1, 2]. However, this phenomenon is usually observed at low temperatures (as compared with room temperature), of the order of several Kelvin since the phonon-mediated superconducting (SC) gap usually amounts to several meV. And superconductors with the critical temperature of SC transition $T_c$ above 30 K are traditionally considered high-temperature superconductors [3].

In an attempt to increase the electron-phonon coupling and $T_c$, one immediately faces certain obstacles, one of which is the Peierls instability [4]. In the mean time, the search for high-temperature superconductivity is a rapidly developing area of research nowadays, especially in low-dimensional systems [5, 6]. In hybrid superconductor-semiconductor electronics and circuit quantum electrodynamics, two-dimensional (2D) superconductors might allow for scaling down the characteristic size of a device down to atomic-scale thickness for possible application in quantum computing [7–9]. Low-dimensional superconductors also provide such advantages as the robustness against in-plane magnetic fields due to the spin-valley locking [10] and an additional enlargement of $T_c$ in the atomic-scale layer limit [11]. From the fundamental side, the SC phase in samples of lower dimensionality usually either co-exists or competes with other (coherent) many-body phases such as the quantum metallic or insulator states, the charge density wave, or magnetic phase, giving rise to richer physics than in three-dimensional systems [12]. The drawbacks and limitations of phonons as mediators of electron pairing for realizing high-$T_c$ 2D superconductors motivate the search for other pairing mechanisms.

There have been various attempts to replace regular phonons by some other quasiparticles aiming at increasing $T_c$ and the SC gap. One of the routes is exciton-mediated superconductivity [13–15]. Photon-mediated superconductivity has also been recently predicted [16]. Another way is to use the excitations above a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), called the Bogoliubov quasiparticles (bogolons) in hybrid Bose-Fermi systems, where one expects the SC transition in the fermionic subsystem. The bosonic subsystem can be represented by an exciton or exciton-polariton condensate, which have been predicted [17–22] and studied experimentally [23–25] at relatively high temperatures sometimes reaching the room temperature. In systems of indirect excitons, spatially separated electron-hole pairs, achieving high-temperature condensation should be possible if using 2D materials based on transition metal dichalcogenides such as MoS$_2$ thanks to large exciton binding energy [26]. Bogolons possess some of the properties of acoustic phonons and can, in principle, give electron pairing, as it has been theoretically shown in several works [27–29]. These proposals, however, operated with single-particle (single-bogolon) pairing, assuming that multi-particle processes belong to the higher orders of the perturbation theory and thus they are weak and can be safely disregarded. Is this widespread assumption true?

As the earlier work [30] points out, the bogolon-pair-mediated processes (2b processes in what follows) can give the main contribution when considering the scatter-
ing of electron gas in the normal state (above $T_c$). If we
go down $T_c$, several questions arise naturally. Will there
occur 2b-mediated pairing? What is its magnitude, as
compared with single-bogolon (1b) processes? Is the pa-
parameter range (in particular, condensate density, concen-
tration of electrons in 2DEG) achievable experimentally?
In this article, using the BCS formalism we develop a mi-
troscopic theory of 2b superconductivity and address all
these questions.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Let us consider a hybrid system consisting of a 2D
electron gas (2DEG) and a 2D Bose-Einstein condensate
(BEC), taking indirect excitons as an example, where the
formation of BEC has been reported [25, 31] (Fig. 1).

The electrons and holes reside in n- and p-doped lay-
ers, respectively. These layers can be made of MoS$_2$ and
WS$_2$ materials separated by several layers of hexagonal
boron nitride (hBN) [25]. The 2DEG and exciton layers
are also spatially separated by hBN and the particles are
coupled by the Coulomb interaction [32, 33] described by
the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{R} \Psi^\dagger_r \Psi^\dagger_r g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) \Phi^\dagger_R \Phi^\dagger_R,
$$

where $\Psi^\dagger_r \Phi^\dagger_R$ are the field operators of electrons
and excitons, respectively, $g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})$ is the strength of
Coulomb interaction between the particles, $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ are
the in-plane coordinates of the electron and the exciton
center-of-mass motion.

Furthermore, we assume the excitons to be in the BEC
phase. Then we can use the model of a weakly interacting
Bose gas and split $\Phi^\dagger_R = \sqrt{n_c} + \varphi^\dagger_R$, where
$n_c$ is the condensate density and $\varphi^\dagger_R$ is the field operator of the
excitations above the BEC. Then, the Hamiltonian (1)
breaks into three terms, two of which are

$$
H_1 = \sqrt{n_c} \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi^\dagger_r \Psi^\dagger_r \int d\mathbf{R} g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) \left[ \varphi^\dagger_R + \varphi_R \right],
$$

$$
H_2 = \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi^\dagger_r \Psi^\dagger_r \int d\mathbf{R} g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) \varphi^\dagger_R \varphi_R.
$$

The first term, $H_1$, is responsible for electron-single
bogolon interaction, and the second term, $H_2$, is bogolon-
pair-mediated. The third term reads $g n_c \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi^\dagger_r \Psi^\dagger_r$. It
gives a shift $\delta \mu = g n_c$ of the Fermi energy $\mu = h^2 p^2 / 2 m$, where
$p_F$ is the Fermi wave vector and $m$ is electron effec-
tive mass. Then $p_F$ also becomes $n_c$-dependent, strictly
speaking, but we disregard this correction in what fol-

s.

We express the field operators as the Fourier series,

$$
\varphi^\dagger_R = \frac{1}{L} \sum_p e^{i p \mathbf{R}} (u_p b^\dagger_p + v_p b^\dagger_{-p}),
\Psi^\dagger_r = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k e^{i k \mathbf{r} c_k},
$$

where $b^\dagger_p (c_k)$ and $b^\dagger_{-p} (c^\dagger_k)$ are the bogolon (electron)
anihilation and creation operators, respectively, and $L$ is
the length of the sample. The Bogoliubov coefficients
read [34]

$$
u^2_p = 1 + v^2_p = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{M s^2}{\omega_p} \right)^{1/2} \right],
$$

where $M$ is the exciton mass, $s = \sqrt{\kappa n_c / M}$ is the sound
velocity, $\kappa = e^2 d / \epsilon_0 \epsilon$ is the exciton-exciton interaction
strength in the reciprocal space, $\epsilon_0$ is electron charge, $\epsilon$
is the dielectric constant, $\epsilon_0$ is the dielectric permittivity,
$\omega_p = h \sqrt{p^2 + \xi^2}$ is the spectrum of bogolons, and
$\xi = \hbar / 2 M s$ is the healing length. Then Eqs. (2) and (3)
transform into

$$
H_1 = \frac{\sqrt{n_c}}{L} \sum_{k.p} g_p \left[(v_p + u_{-p}) b^\dagger_{-p} + (v_p - u_{-p}) b^\dagger_p \right],
$$

$$
H_2 = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{k.p,q} g_p \left[u_q v_{-q} b^\dagger_{-q} b^\dagger_p - u_{-q} v_q b^\dagger_q b^\dagger_{-p} \right] c^\dagger_{k+p+c_k},
$$

where $g_p$ is the Fourier image of the electron-exciton in-
teraction. Disregarding the peculiarities of the exciton
internal motion (relative motion of the electron and hole
in the exciton), we write the electron-exciton interaction
in direct space as

$$
g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) = \frac{\epsilon_0^2}{4 \pi \epsilon \epsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{r_{e-e}} - \frac{1}{r_{e-h}} \right),
$$

where $r_{e-e} = \sqrt{l^2 + (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})^2}$ and $r_{e-h} = \sqrt{l^2 + (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})^2}$; $d$ is an effective size of the
boson, which is equal to the distance between the n- and p-doped layers in the case of indirect exciton condensate, and \( l \) is the separation between the 2DEG and the BEC [35]. The Fourier transform of (7) gives
\[
g_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon_0} \frac{(1 - e^{-\gamma_d \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}) e^{-\gamma_d \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}}{2\epsilon_0 \gamma_d}.
\] (8)

Following the BCS approach [36], we find the effective electron s-wave [37] pairing Hamiltonian (see Supplemental Material [38]), considering 1b and 2b processes separately to simplify the derivations and draw the comparison between them,
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(\lambda)} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \frac{1}{2L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} V_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{k}'} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}'},
\] (9)

where \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) is a free particle dispersion term
\[
V_{1b}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{n_e}{M s^2} g_{\mathbf{p}},
\] (10)
\[
V_{2b}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{M^2 s g_{\mathbf{p}}^2}{4\hbar^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{8}{\pi} \int^{p/2}_{p_{\text{min}}} dq N_q \right]
\] (11)
are effective potentials of electron-electron interaction.

In Eq. (11), \( N_q = \left[ e^{\omega_d/q^2} - 1 \right]^{-1} \) is the bogolon Bose distribution function. It gives the divergence of the integral at \( q = 0 \) typical for 2D systems [31, 39, 40]. Therefore, we introduce a cutoff \( p_{\text{min}} \), responsible for the convergence and associated with the finite size of the sample (or condensate trapping). The factor \( N_q \) emerges at finite temperatures and gives an increase of the exchange interaction between electrons. The number of thermally activated bogolons increases with temperature, which enhances the 2b-mediated electron scattering.

Furthermore, we use the equation for the SC gap \( \Delta_{\lambda} [36] \)
\[
\Delta_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} V_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \frac{\Delta_{\lambda}((\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}))}{2\zeta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}}} \tanh \left( \frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}}}{2k_B T} \right).
\] (12)

where \( \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\lambda}^2(\mathbf{k})} \) with \( \xi_{\mathbf{k}} = h^2 k^2 / 2m - \mu \) being the kinetic energy of particles measured with respect to the Fermi energy. Then, we change the integration variable and cancel out \( \Delta_{\lambda} \) in both sides of Eq. (12) [since we consider the s-wave pairing when the SC gap is momentum independent]. As a result, Eq. (12) transforms into
\[
1 = -\int_0^{\infty} dp \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{d}{2\pi} V_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \frac{\Delta_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})}{2\zeta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}}} \tanh \left( \frac{\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}}{2k_B T} \right).
\] (13)

where \( \theta \) is the angle between the vectors \( \mathbf{k} \) and \( \mathbf{p} \). Furthermore, we switch from the integration over the momentum to the integration over the energy: \( p \to 2m(\mu + \xi) \), and introduce an effective cut-off \( \omega_0 = \hbar s / \xi_{\mathbf{k}} \), in accordance with the BCS theory. This parameter appears by analogy with the Debye energy \( \omega_D \) (in the case of acoustic phonon-mediated pairing), which is connected with the minimal sound wavelength of the order of the lattice constant and has obvious physical meaning. In the case of bogolons, this cut-off is less intuitive and, in principle, it remains a phenomenological parameter [29]. Its value \( \hbar s / \xi_{\mathbf{k}} \) might be attributed to the absence of bogolon excitations with wavelengths shorter than the condensate healing length.

Let us, first, consider zero-temperature case, when the tanh function in Eq. (13) becomes unity and \( N_q = 0 \). Assuming that the main contribution into the effective electron-electron interaction comes from electrons near the Fermi surface and \( p_F d, p_F l \ll 1 \), we find analytical expressions,
\[
\Delta_{1b}(T = 0) = 2\omega_b \exp \left[ -\frac{8M s^2}{\nu_0 n_e} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 \xi \mu}{\epsilon_0 d} \right)^2 \right],
\] (14)
\[
\Delta_{2b}(T = 0) = 2\omega_b \exp \left[ -\frac{16\hbar^3 p_F}{\nu_0 M^2 s} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 \xi \mu}{\epsilon_0 d} \right)^2 \right],
\] (15)
where \( \nu_0 = m / \pi \hbar^2 \) is a density of states of 2DEG, \( \nu_0 = \nu_0 \log(4p_F L) / \pi \) is an effective density of states, and \( L \) is the system size. Note, that in Eq. (15) there emerges an additional logarithmic factor (as compared with the standard BCS theory). It happens due to the momentum dependence of the 2b-mediated pairing potential \( V_{2b} \) and due to the integration over the angle \( \theta \) in the self-consistent equation for the SC gap [Eq. (13)].

The SC critical temperature can be estimated from Eq. (13) exploiting the condition \( \Delta_{\lambda}(T_c) = 0 \). For 1b processes, it gives \( T_c^{(1b)} = (\gamma / \pi)\Delta_{1b}(T = 0) \), where...
\[ \gamma = \exp C_0 \] with \( C_0 = 0.577 \) the Euler’s constant (see, e.g., [41]). The analytical estimation of \( T_c^{(2b)} \) this way is cumbersome due to the presence of \( N_0 \)-containing term in Eq. (11).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Full temperature dependence of \( \Delta_\lambda \) can be studied numerically using Eqs. (10)-(13). Here, we account for the temperature dependence of the condensate density using the formula, which describes 2D BEC in a power-law trap [40]. \( n_c(T) = n_c[1 - (T/T^{BEC})^2] \), where \( T^{BEC} \) is a critical temperature of the BEC formation. We take \( T^{BEC} = 100 \) K in accordance with recent predictions [19, 25]. We also neglect the finite lifetime of bogolons, studied in works [42, 43] since in our case, the effective time of Cooper pair formation \( \sim \Delta^{-1}_\lambda \) is smaller than the exciton scattering time on impurities \( \tau \), \( \Delta_\lambda \tau / (\xi_k k)^2 \gg 1 \).

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the SC order parameters induced by 1b- and 2b-mediated pairings. At the same condensate density \( n_c \) and concentration of electrons in the 2DEG \( n_c \), 2b-induced gap \( \Delta_{2b}(T) \) is bigger than \( \Delta_{1b}(T) \). This drastic difference between them is caused by the ratio of two effective electron-electron pairing potentials, \( V_{1b}/V_{2b} \sim (\xi_k k_F)(n_c \xi_k^2) \ll 1 \). Moreover, the finite-temperature correction to the 2b-mediated pairing potential in Eq. (11) leads to dramatic enhancement of the SC gap with the increase of temperature. As a result, 2b-induced order parameter reveals a pronounced non-monotonic temperature dependence. We want to note, that non-monotonic dependence of the order parameter due to two-acoustic phonon-mediated pairing has been theoretically investigated in three-dimensional multi-band superconductors. There, however, the two-phonon processes were considered as a second-order perturbation [44] giving a contribution in the absence of single-phonon processes. In our case, 2b pairing belongs to the same order of the perturbation theory as 1b pairing [see Eqs. (10) and (11)], as it will be discussed below.

We should also address the issue of Coulomb repulsion between electrons in 2DEG. A standard calculation [45] gives the following renormalization of the coupling constant: \( V_\lambda(p_F) \rightarrow \lambda V_\lambda(p_F) - V_\lambda^2 \), where \( V_\lambda^2 = V_C/[1 + n_0 V_C \log(\mu/\omega_p)] \) with \( V_C \) the momentum-averaged Coulomb potential [46]. Using the same parameters as in Fig. 2, we estimate \( \nu_0 V_\lambda^2 \approx 0.2 \), while we consider \( \nu_0 V_{2b} \) in the range 0.4-1 (along the text).

It should also be noted, that our approach is valid in the weak electron-bogolon coupling regime where the BCS theory is applicable [36, 47]. It corresponds to \( \nu_0 V_{2b}(p_F) < 1 \). Thus we only use \( \nu_0 V_{2b}(p_F) \) in the range 0.4-1, where unity corresponds to a provisionally boundary, where the weak coupling regime breaks and a more sophisticated strong-coupling treatment within the Eliashberg equations approach is required [46, 48-50]. However, we leave it beyond the scope of this article.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the 2b-mediated gap and the critical temperature on the condensate density. As it follows from Eq. (15) (and Eq. (14) for 1b processes), both \( \Delta \) and \( T_c \) grow with the increase of \( n_c \) (via the sound velocity \( s \)) or decrease of \( n_c \) (via the Fermi wave vector \( p_F \) in the exponential factor in \( g_{p_F} \)). A naive idea which comes to mind is to start increasing \( n_c \) up to the maximal experimentally achievable values and decreasing \( n_c \) while possible. However, the applicability of the BCS theory imposes an additional requirement: \( n_c/n_0 > d/a_B^2 \), where \( a_B^2 = \pi \xi_0 h^2/m \xi_0^2 \) is the Bohr radius of electrons in 2DEG. Meanwhile, considering only bogolons with a linear spectrum dictates another requirement: \( k_F \xi < 1 \), that gives the condition \( n_c/n_0 < d/a_B^2 \), where \( a_B^2 = \pi \xi_0 h^2/M \xi_0^2 \) is the Bohr radius of exciton. It results in a condition imposed on the effective masses: the
effective electron mass in 2DEG should be smaller than the mass of the indirect exciton. The optimal relation between \( n_e \) and \( n_c \) is \( n_c/n_e \sim C_1 \pi e q^2/m_0 e_0^2 \), where \( C_1 \) is a numerical constant and \( m_0 \) is a free electron mass.

Why is 2b superconductivity stronger than 1b? The electron-single bogolon and electron-bogolon pair interactions are processes of the same order with respect to the electron-exciton interaction \( \varphi \). The matrix elements of the electron-boson interaction are nonzero, see Eq. (2). However, the physics in question is general and might be relevant to other proximity effects of the BEC phase. We have studied electron pairing in a two-dimensional electron gas in the vicinity of a two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate, taking a condensed dipolar exciton gas as an example. We have found that the bogolon-pair-mediated electron interaction turns out to be the dominant mechanism of pairing in hybrid systems, giving large superconducting gap and critical temperatures of superconducting transition up to 80 K. The effect is twofold. First, the bogolon-pair-induced gap is bigger than the single-bogolon one even at zero temperature due to the structure and magnitudes of the matrix elements of electron interaction. Second, we predict that, in contrast to single-bogolon-mediated processes, two-bogolon electron pairing potential acquires an additional temperature-dependent term, associated with the increase of the number of thermally activated bogolons with temperature. As a consequence, such term leads to non-monotonic temperature characteristics of the superconducting gap and a considerable increase of \( T_c \).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied electron pairing in a two-dimensional electron gas in the vicinity of a two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate, taking a condensed dipolar exciton gas as an example. We have found that the bogolon-pair-mediated electron interaction turns out to be the dominant mechanism of pairing in hybrid systems, giving large superconducting gap and critical temperatures of superconducting transition up to 80 K. The effect is twofold. First, the bogolon-pair-induced gap is bigger than the single-bogolon one even at zero temperature due to the structure and magnitudes of the matrix elements of electron interaction. Second, we predict that, in contrast to single-bogolon-mediated processes, two-bogolon electron pairing potential acquires an additional temperature-dependent term, associated with the increase of the number of thermally activated bogolons with temperature. As a consequence, such term leads to non-monotonic temperature characteristics of the superconducting gap and a considerable increase of \( T_c \).
expect this exotic feature to be observable experimentally. Moreover, instead of indirect excitons, one can employ microcavity exciton polaritons, where the BEC is reported to exist up to the room temperature [54], or other bosons.
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[53] The fundamental obstacle of the exciton-induced superconductivity can be associated with the parabolic dispersion of excitons, which velocity is much higher than the sound velocity of phonons or bogolons. As a result, electrons would attract each other at shorter distances, as compared with bogolon-mediated attraction. Then, short-size Cooper pairs should be strongly affected by the repulsive Coulomb interaction.