

# Nondispersive solutions to the mass critical half-wave equation in two dimensions

Vladimir Georgiev<sup>b\*</sup> Yuan Li<sup>a b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, PR China

<sup>b</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo 5, 56100 Pisa, Italy

## Abstract

We consider the half-wave equation with mass critical in two dimension

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = Du - |u|u, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

First, we prove the existence of a family of traveling solitary waves. We then show the existence of finite-time blowup solutions with minimal mass  $\|u_0\|_2 = \|Q\|_2$ , where  $Q$  is the ground state solution of equation  $DQ + Q = Q^2$ .

**Keywords:** Half-wave equation; Traveling waves solution; Minimal mass; Finite-time blowup solution

**Math. Subject Classification** 35Q55, 35B44, 35B40

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the half-wave equation in two dimension

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = Du - |u|u, \\ u(t_0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here,  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval containing the initial time  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$(\widehat{Df})(\xi) = |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi)$$

denotes the first-order nonlocal fractional derivative. Let us mention that nonlinear half-wave equation have recently attracted some attention in the area of dispersive nonlinear PDE. The evolution problems like (1.1) arise in various physical settings, which include equations range from turbulence phenomena [5, 19], wave propagation [26], continuum limits of lattice system [15] and models for gravitational collapse in astrophysics [7, 9, 14]. We also

---

\*Corresponding author

<sup>†</sup>E-mail addresses: liyuan2014@lzu.edu.cn (Y. Li), georgiev@dm.unipi.it

refer to [6,8,11,16] and the references therein for the background of the fractional Schrödinger model in mathematics, numerics and physics.

Let us review some basic properties of this equation. The Cauchy problem (1.1) is an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system, which has the following three conservation laws:

$$\text{Mass } M(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(t, x)|^2 dx = M(u_0), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\text{Momentum } P(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -i \nabla u(t, x) \bar{u}(t, x) dx = P(u_0), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\text{Energy } E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{u}(t, x) Du(t, x) dx - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(t, x)|^3 dx = E(u_0). \quad (1.4)$$

The equation (1.1) also has the following symmetries:

- Phase: if  $u(t, x)$  is a solution, then for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u(t, x)e^{i\theta}$  is also a solution.
- Translation: if  $u(t, x)$  is a solution, then for all  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $u(x - x_0, t - t_0)$  is also a solution.
- Scaling: if  $u(t, x)$  is a solution, then for all  $\lambda > 0$

$$u_\lambda(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} u\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \quad (1.5)$$

is also a solution.

The Cauchy problem (1.1) is  $L^2$ -critical since the  $L^2$ -norm is invariant under the scaling rule (1.5):

$$\|u_\lambda\|_2 = \|u\|_2, \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

From [1] we know that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is locally well-posed in energy space  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . More precisely, for all  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there exists a unique solution  $u(t) \in C([0, T]; H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$  to (1.1). Moreover, we have the blowup alternative that if  $u(t)$  is the unique solution with its maximal time of existence  $t_0 < T \leq \infty$ , then

$$T < +\infty \text{ implies } \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \|u(t)\|_{H^{1/2}} = +\infty. \quad (1.6)$$

A classical criterion of global-in-time existence for  $H^{1/2}$  initial data is derived by using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality with best constant

$$\|u\|_3^3 \leq C_{opt} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_2^2 \|u\|_2, \text{ for } u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (1.7)$$

where  $C_{opt} = \frac{3}{2\|Q\|_2}$  and  $Q$  is the unique ground state solution to

$$DQ + Q = Q^2, \quad Q(x) > 0, \quad Q(x) \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (1.8)$$

Note that the existence of this equation follows from standard variational techniques, while the uniqueness of  $Q$  follows from the result of Frank, Lenzmann and Silvestre in [9, 10]. A

combination of the mass and energy conservation and the blowup criterion (1.6) implies that initial data  $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with

$$\|u_0\|_2 < \|Q\|_2$$

generate global-in-time solution.

In this paper, we study the following two nondispersive phenomena connected with the focusing 2D half-wave equation (1.1).

1) **Traveling solitary waves of the form**

$$u(t, x) = e^{it\mu} Q_c(x - vt)$$

with some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and traveling velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Bellazzini, Georgiev, Lenzmann and Visciglia [3] proved that traveling solitary waves for speed  $|v| > 1$  does not exist and small data scattering failed in any space dimension. We also refer to [2, 11, 13, 18, 21, 24] and the references therein for the traveling solitary waves of the fractional Schrödinger operator, square root Klein-Gordon operator  $\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}$  and other nonlinearities. In what follows, let  $Q \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be the unique ground state solution of (1.8). We can obtain the existence of traveling solitary waves by using a variational approach and adapting the proof in [11]. For the half-wave equation (1.1), we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $0 < |v| < 1$ , there exists a profile  $Q_v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that*

$$u(t, x) = e^{it} Q_v(x - vt)$$

*is a traveling solitary waves solution to (1.1). Moreover, if  $v = e\lambda$  with  $|e| = 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , then the mass  $\|Q_v\|_2$  is strictly decreasing with respect to  $\lambda$ , and for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , the profile  $Q_v$  has strictly subcritical mass:*

$$\|Q_v\|_2 < \|Q\|_2. \tag{1.9}$$

*Moreover, the following limits hold:*

$$\begin{cases} \|Q_v\|_2 \rightarrow \|Q\|_2 & \text{as } |v| = \lambda \rightarrow 0, \\ \|Q_v\|_2 \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |v| = \lambda \rightarrow 1. \end{cases}$$

2) **Minimal mass blowup solutions.** There is no general criterion for blowup solutions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for  $L^2$ -critical and  $L^2$ -supercritical half-wave equation. This is still an open problem (see [4] for more details).

For the classical  $L^2$ -critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, we have the Variance-Virial Laws, which can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 |u(t)|^2 dx \right) = 2 \frac{d}{dt} \left( \Im \int \bar{u} x \cdot \nabla u dx \right) = 8E(u_0).$$

Unlike the  $L^2$ -critical NLS, for the  $L^2$ -critical half-wave equation, we only have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \Im \int \bar{u}x \cdot \nabla u dx \right) = 2E(u_0).$$

However, it seems difficult to represent the term  $\Im \int \bar{u}x \cdot \nabla u dx$  as the derivative of some nonnegative one. Possible analogue of the variance for half-wave equation was suggested in [4]

$$V(u(t)) := \int \bar{u}(t)x \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}xu(t)dx = \|x(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{4}}u(t)\|_2^2.$$

However, the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(u(t)) = 8\Im \left( \int \bar{u}(t)x \cdot \nabla u(t)dx \right)$$

is true only if  $u(t)$  is a solution of free half-wave equation  $i\partial_t u = \sqrt{-\Delta}u$ . This observation shows the difficulty to use viral type identity and prove a blow-up result in the mass critical case.

Another difficulty arises, when one tries to construct a minimal blow up solution, following the approach for NLS. This difficulty is connected with the lack of pseudo-conformal symmetry, that is an essential advantage of NLS. However, Krieger, Lenzmann and Raphaël [18] constructed a minimal mass blow-up solutions to the mass critical Half-wave equation in one dimension and they obtained that the blowup speed is

$$\|D^{1/2}u(t)\|_2 \sim \frac{C(u_0)}{|t|} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^-.$$

But unlike the mass critical NLS [22], the uniqueness for this minimal mass blow-up solution is still not known. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *(Existence of minimal mass blowup elements) For all  $(E_0, P_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , there exists  $t^* < 0$  independent of  $E_0, P_0$  and a minimal mass solution  $u \in C^0([t^*, 0]; H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$  of equation (1.1) with*

$$\|u\|_2 = \|Q\|_2, \quad E(u) = E_0, \quad P(u) = P_0,$$

which blow up at time  $T = 0$ . More precisely, it holds that

$$u(t, x) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)}Q\left(\frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)e^{i\gamma(t)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^-, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda^*t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^5), \quad \alpha(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^3), \quad \gamma(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^*|t|} + \mathcal{O}(t),$$

with some constant  $\lambda^* > 0$ , and the blowup speed is given by:

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|_2 \sim \frac{C(u_0)}{|t|^2} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^-, \quad (1.11)$$

where  $C(u_0) > 0$  is constant depending only on the initial data  $u_0$ .

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we prove the Theorem 1.1; in Section 3, we construct the high order approximation  $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  solution of the renormalized equation; in Section 4, we decompose the solution and estimate the modulation parameters; in Section 5, we establish a refined energy/virial type estimate; in Section 6, we apply the energy estimate to establish a bootstrap argument that will be needed in the construction of minimal mass blowup solutions; in Section 7, we prove the Theorem 1.2; The Section 8 is Appendix.

### Notations

- $(f, g) = \int \bar{f}g$  as the inner product on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .
- $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$  denotes the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  norm for  $p \geq 1$ .
- $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of function  $f$ .
- We shall use  $X \lesssim Y$  to denote that  $X \leq CY$  holds, where the constant  $C > 0$  may change from line to line, but  $C$  is allowed to depend on universally fixed quantities only.
- Likewise, we use  $X \sim Y$  to denote that both  $X \lesssim Y$  and  $Y \lesssim X$  hold.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, which establishes the existence and properties of traveling solitary waves for (1.1).

Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $|v| < 1$  be given. By making the ansatz  $u(t, x) = e^{it}Q_v(x - vt)$  for equation (1.1), we find that the profile  $Q_v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  has to satisfy

$$DQ_v + Q_v + i(v \cdot \nabla)Q_v = |Q_v|Q_v. \quad (2.1)$$

Following an idea in [11], we obtain nontrivial solutions  $Q_v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  as optimizers for the interpolation inequality

$$\int |u|^3 \leq C_v \left( \int \bar{u}Du + \bar{u}(iv \cdot \nabla u) \right) \left( \int |u|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here  $C_v > 0$  denotes the optimal constant given by Weinstein functional

$$\frac{1}{C_v} = \inf_{u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(\int \bar{u}Du + \bar{u}(iv \cdot \nabla u)) (\int |u|^2)^{1/2}}{\int |u|^3}. \quad (2.3)$$

By Sobolev inequalities, we see that the infimum on the right is strictly positive (and hence  $C_v < +\infty$ ). Furthermore, the fact that this infimum is, indeed, attained can be deduced from the concentration-compactness arguments, which in our case follow from a direct adaption of the proof given in [11]. In particular, optimizers  $Q_v \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for (2.2) exist, and after a suitable rescaling  $Q_v(x) \mapsto aQ(bx)$  with  $a, b > 0$  they are found to satisfy equation (2.1). Following the terminology introduced in [11], we refer to optimizers such as  $Q_v$  that solve Equation (2.1) as boosted ground states (with velocity  $v$ ) in what follows. In particular, the unboosted ground state  $Q_{v=0}(x) = Q(x)$  is the unique (modulo symmetries) radial ground state solving (1.8) above. Finally, we observe that

$$C_v = \frac{3}{2} \|Q_v\|_2^{-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

which follows from the fact that  $Q_v$  is an optimizer (2.2) and satisfy equation (2.1). In particular, the relation (2.4) shows that two different boosted ground states  $Q_v$  and  $\tilde{Q}_v$  with the same velocity  $v$  must satisfy  $\|Q_v\|_2 = \|\tilde{Q}_v\|_2$ .

We may reformulate (2.4) as follows. Let the energy functional

$$E_v(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{u} Du + \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{u} (iv \cdot \nabla u) - \frac{1}{3} \int |u|^3, \quad (2.5)$$

then by the standard Pohozaev identity

$$E_v(Q_v) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.4) and the sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality:

$$E_v(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int \bar{u} Du + \bar{u} (iv \cdot \nabla u) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\|u\|_2}{\|Q_v\|_2} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

From the previous paragraph we know that boosted ground states  $Q_v$  satisfying equation (2.1) exist. Now we prove the behaviour of the boosted ground states.

**Step 1** Sign of the momentum. Let  $0 \leq |v| < 1$ . We claim:

$$v \cdot \int \bar{Q}_v (i \nabla Q_v) \leq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Indeed, assume on the contrary that  $v \cdot \int \bar{Q}_v (i \nabla Q_v) > 0$  holds. We define the reflected function  $\tilde{Q}_v(x) := Q_v(-x)$ . Note that  $\int |\tilde{Q}_v|^2 = \int |Q_v|^2$  and  $v \cdot \int \bar{\tilde{Q}}_v (i \nabla \tilde{Q}_v) < 0$ . Since the remaining terms in  $E_v(u)$  are invariant with respect to space reflections, we find that  $E_v(\tilde{Q}_v) < E_v(Q_v) = 0$ . But  $\|\tilde{Q}_v\|_2 = \|Q_v\|_2$  implies  $E_v(\tilde{Q}_v) \geq 0$  from (2.7), a contradiction. We conclude that (2.8) holds. In particular, by a suitable (possibly improper) rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can henceforth assume that

$$v = |v|e_1 = (|v|, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

points in (positive)  $x_1$ -direction. We can see

$$\int \bar{Q}_v (i \partial_1 Q_v) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < |v| < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

For the case  $v = 0$ , we recall that the fact from [10] that (after translation and shift by a complex constant phase) the functions  $Q_{v=0}(x) = Q(|x|)$  are radial. Hence, in this special case, we have

$$\int \bar{Q}_{v=0} i \nabla Q_{v=0} = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

**Step 2** The mass is non-increasing. We claim the monotonicity:

$$\|Q_{v_2}\|_2 \leq \|Q_{v_1}\|_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq |v_1| < |v_2| < 1, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $v_j = |v_j|e_1 = (|v_j|, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

Note that this implies, in particular, the subcritical mass property:

$$\|Q_v\|_2 < \|Q\|_2 \text{ for } 0 < |v| < 1.$$

Indeed, let  $Q_{v_1}$  and  $Q_{v_2}$  be two boosted ground states satisfying (2.1) with  $v = v_1$  and  $v = v_2$ , respectively. Since  $E_{v_1}(Q_{v_1}) = 0$  by (2.6), we find using (2.9), if  $|v_1| > 0$  and (2.8) if  $|v_1| = 0$ , that

$$E_{v_2}(Q_{v_1}) = E_{v_1}(Q_{v_1}) + (v_2 - v_1) \cdot \int \bar{Q}_{v_1}(i\nabla Q_{v_1}),$$

since  $v_2 - v_1 = (|v_2| - |v_1|)e_1 = ((|v_2| - |v_1|)e_1, 0)$  and  $(v_2 - v_1) \cdot \int \bar{Q}_{v_1}(i\nabla Q_{v_1}) = (|v_2| - |v_1|)e_1 \int \bar{Q}_{v_1}(i\partial_1 Q_{v_1}) \leq 0$ . Hence  $E_{v_2}(Q_{v_1}) \leq 0$ , which together with (2.7) implies  $\|Q_{v_1}\|_2 \geq \|Q_{v_2}\|_2$ . In the case of equality,  $\|Q_{v_1}\|_2 = \|Q_{v_2}\|_2$ ,  $Q_{v_1}$  attains the minimization problem (2.3) with  $v_2$ . In particular, the function  $Q_{v_1}$  satisfies the equation

$$DQ_{v_1} + \lambda Q_{v_1} + v_2 \cdot \nabla Q_{v_1} - |Q_{v_1}|Q_{v_1} = 0$$

with the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, by assumption, the boosted ground state  $Q_{v_1}$  also satisfies equation (2.1) with  $v = v_1$ . By subtracting the equations satisfied by  $Q_{v_1}$ , we obtain that

$$(\lambda - 1)Q_{v_1} + (v_2 - v_1) \cdot \nabla Q_{v_1} = 0.$$

Since  $v_1 \neq v_2$  by assumption and  $Q_{v_1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce from this equation that  $Q_{v_1} \equiv 0$  holds, which is absurd.

**Step 3 Limits.** We claim:

$$\begin{cases} \|Q_v\|_2 \rightarrow \|Q\|_2 & \text{as } |v| \rightarrow 0, \\ \|Q_v\|_2 \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |v| \rightarrow 1. \end{cases}$$

To show this, we argue as follows. From  $|\xi| - v \cdot \xi \geq (1 - |v|)|\xi|$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and Plancherel's identity, we deduce that  $C_v \leq (1 - |v|)^{-1}C_{v=0}$  for the optimal constants in (2.2). From this simple bound and rescaling (2.4) and the monotonicity (2.11), we deduce that the bounds

$$\sqrt{1 - |v|} \|Q\|_2 \leq \|Q_v\|_2 \leq \|Q\|_2.$$

Hence it follows that  $\|Q_v\|_2 \rightarrow \|Q\|_2$  as  $v \rightarrow 0$ .

It remain to show  $\|Q_v\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $|v| \rightarrow 1$ . To prove this, from [3], we know that for  $|v| < 1$ , we have the estimate

$$C_v \sim (1 - |v|)^{-1} \text{ and } \|Q_v\|_2 \sim (1 - |v|)^2.$$

Hence, we can easily obtain our result.

### 3 Approximate Blowup Profile

This section is devoted to the construction of the approximate blowup profile. For a sufficiently regular function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we define the generator of  $L^2$  scaling given by

$$\Lambda f := f + x \cdot \nabla f.$$

Note that the operator  $\Lambda$  is skew-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , that is, we have

$$(\Lambda f, g) = -(f, \Lambda g).$$

We write  $\Lambda^k f$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , for the iterates of  $\Lambda$  with the convention that  $\Lambda^0 f \equiv f$ .

In some parts of this paper, it will be convenient to identity any complex-valued function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the function  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by setting

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Re f \\ \Im f \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also define

$$\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g} = f_1 g_1 + f_2 g_2.$$

Corresponding, we will identity the multiplication by  $i$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with the multiplication by the real  $2 \times 2$ -matrix defined as

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We start with a general observation: If  $u = u(t, x)$  solves (1.1), then we define the function  $v = v(s, y)$  by setting

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} v \left( s, \frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)}, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)}. \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to check that  $v = v(s, y)$  with  $y = \frac{x - \alpha}{\lambda}$  satisfies

$$i\partial_s v - Dv - v + |v|v = i\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda v + i\frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} \cdot \nabla v + \tilde{\gamma}_s v, \quad (3.2)$$

where we set  $\tilde{\gamma}_s = \gamma_s - 1$ . Here the operators  $D$  and  $\nabla$  are understood as  $D = D_y$  and  $\nabla = \nabla_y$ , respectively. Following the slow modulated ansatz strategy developed in [17, 18, 22], we freeze the modulation

$$-\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} = a, \quad \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} = b. \quad (3.3)$$

And we look for an approximate solution of the form

$$v(s, y) = Q_{\mathcal{P}(s)}(y), \quad \mathcal{P}(s) = (a(s), b(s)), \quad (3.4)$$



where

$$Q_{\mathcal{P}}(y) = Q(y) + \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} a^k R_{k,0}(y) \right) + \left( \sum_{\ell \geq 1} a^\ell \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j^\ell R_{k,\ell,j}(y) \right),$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

We shall define ODE for  $a(s), b(s)$  of type

$$a_s = P_1(a, b), b_s = P_2(a, b),$$

where  $P_1, P_2$  are appropriate polynomials in  $a, b$ .

Using the heuristic asymptotic expansions

$$\lambda(t) \sim t^2, \alpha(t) \sim t^3$$

from  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)}$  we see that  $s = s_0 - 1/t$  goes to  $\infty$  as  $t \nearrow 0$  and  $t = 1/(s_0 - s) \sim -1/s$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover, the modulation relations (3.3) show that

$$a(s) = -\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \sim \frac{1}{s}, \quad |b(s)| = \frac{|\alpha_s|}{\lambda} \sim \frac{1}{s^2}$$

These asymptotic expansions suggests to define  $a(s), b(s)$  so that

$$a_s = -\frac{a^2}{2}, \quad b_s = -ab. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover the asymptotic expansions for  $a(s), b(s)$  show that we can consider  $\mathcal{P} = (a, b)$  close to the origin with norm

$$\|\mathcal{P}\|^2 \sim a^2 + |b|.$$

The terms  $R_{k,0}(y), R_{k,\ell,j}(y)$  are decomposed in real and imaginary parts as follows

$$R_{k,0}(y) = T_{k,0}(y) + iS_{k,0}(y), \quad R_{k,\ell,j}(y) = T_{k,\ell,j}(y) + iS_{k,\ell,j}(y).$$

We also use the notation

$$T_{k,\ell} = (T_{k,\ell,1}, T_{k,\ell,2}) \text{ and } S_{k,\ell} = (S_{k,\ell,1}, S_{k,\ell,2}).$$

We adjust the modulation equation for  $(a(s), b(s))$  to ensure the solvability of the obtained system, and a specific algebra leads to the laws to leading order:

$$a_s = -\frac{a^2}{2}, \quad b_s = -ab.$$

From (3.4) we have

$$\partial_s v = -\frac{a^2}{2} \partial_a Q_{\mathcal{P}} - a \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \partial_{b_j} Q_{\mathcal{P}}$$

Therefore, our purpose is to construct a high order approximation  $Q(y, a, b) = Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  that is solution to

$$-i\frac{a^2}{2}\partial_a Q_{\mathcal{P}} - ia \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \partial_{b_j} Q_{\mathcal{P}} - DQ_{\mathcal{P}} - Q_{\mathcal{P}} + ia\Lambda Q_{\mathcal{P}} - i \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \partial_j Q_{\mathcal{P}} + |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|Q_{\mathcal{P}} = -\Phi_{\mathcal{P}},$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = (a, b)$  is close to 0 and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  is some small term of order  $\mathcal{O}(\|P\|^5) = \mathcal{O}(a^5 + |b|^{5/2})$ .

We have the following result about an approximate blowup profile  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , parameterized by  $\mathcal{P} = (a, b)$ , around the ground state  $\mathbf{Q} = [Q, 0]^\top$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** (*Approximate Blowup Profile*) *Let  $\mathcal{P} = (a, b)$ . There exists a smooth function  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$  of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} = & \mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + a \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j^2 \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} + a^3 \mathbf{R}_{3,0} + a^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} + a^4 \mathbf{R}_{4,0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

that satisfies the equation

$$-J\frac{1}{2}a^2\partial_a \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} - Ja \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \partial_{b_j} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} - D\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} - \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} + Ja\Lambda \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} - J \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \partial_j \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} + |\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} = -\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Here the functions  $\{\mathbf{R}_{k,l}\}_{0 \leq k \leq 3, 0 \leq l \leq 1}$  satisfy the following regularity and decay bounds:

$$\|\mathbf{R}_{k,l}\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda \mathbf{R}_{k,l}\|_{H^m} + \|\Lambda^2 \mathbf{R}_{k,l}\|_{H^m} \lesssim 1, \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$|\mathbf{R}_{k,l}| + |\Lambda \mathbf{R}_{k,l}| + |\Lambda^2 \mathbf{R}_{k,l}| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3}, \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover, the term on the right-hand side of (3.7) satisfies

$$\|\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{H^m} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(a^5 + b^2 \mathcal{P}), \quad |\nabla \Phi_{\mathcal{P}}| \lesssim \mathcal{O}(a^5 + b^2 \mathcal{P}) \langle x \rangle^{-3}, \quad (3.10)$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* We recall that the definition of the linear operator

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_+ & 0 \\ 0 & L_- \end{bmatrix}$$

acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $L_+$  and  $L_-$  denote the unbounded operators acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  given by

$$L_+ = D + 1 - 2Q, \quad L_- = D + 1 - Q.$$

From [11] we have the key property that the kernel of  $L$  is given by

$$\ker L = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{x_1} Q, \partial_{x_2} Q) \\ (0, 0) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Note that the bounded inverse  $L^{-1} = \text{diag}\{L_+^{-1}, L_-^{-1}\}$  exists on the orthogonal complement  $\{\ker L\}^{-1} = \{(\partial_{x_1}Q, \partial_{x_2}Q)\}^\perp \oplus \{Q\}^\perp$ .

**Step 1** Determining the functions  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}$ . We discuss our ansatz for  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$  to solve (3.7) order by order. The proof of the regularity and decay bounds for the functions  $\mathbf{R}_{k,l}$  will be given further below.

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ :** Clearly, we have that

$$D\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q} - |\mathbf{Q}|\mathbf{Q} = 0.$$

Since  $\mathbf{Q} = [Q, 0]^\top$ , with  $Q = Q(|x|) > 0$  being the ground state solution.

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(a)$ :** We note that

$$|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{Q}^2 + 2a\mathbf{Q}\Re(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}) + a\mathbf{Q}\Im(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}) + \mathcal{O}(a^2).$$

Hence, we obtain the equation

$$L\mathbf{R}_{1,0} = J\Lambda\mathbf{Q}.$$

Note that  $J\Lambda\mathbf{Q} = [0, \Lambda Q]^\top$  satisfies  $J\Lambda\mathbf{Q} \perp \ker L$  due to the fact that  $(\Lambda Q, Q) = 0$ , which can be easily seen by using the  $L^2$ -criticality. Hence we can find a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \perp \ker L$  to the equation above. In what follows, we denote

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,0} = L^{-1}J\Lambda\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ L_-^{-1}\Lambda Q \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(b_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ :** Similar to the above discussion. Here we need to solve

$$L\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = -J\partial_j\mathbf{Q}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We observe the orthogonality  $J\partial_j\mathbf{Q} = [0, \partial_{x_j}Q]^\top \perp \ker L$ , since  $(\partial_{x_j}Q, Q) = 0$  holds for  $j = 1, 2$ . Thus there is a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} \perp \ker L$ , which we denote as

$$\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = -L^{-1}J\partial_j\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L_-^{-1}\partial_{x_j}Q \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(ab_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}|(\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}) \\ &= Q \left( 1 + \frac{ab_j(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0}\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j})}{Q^2} + \frac{ab_j(\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,1,j})}{Q} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad (\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}) \\ &= \mathbf{Q}Q + 2ab_j\mathbf{Q}\Re\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + ab_j\mathbf{Q}\Im\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + ab_j(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j})Q\mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{O}(a^2b_j + ab_j^2). \end{aligned}$$

We find that  $\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}$  has to solve the equation

$$L\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} = -J\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + J\Lambda\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} - J\partial_j\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + (\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j})Q\mathbf{Q}, \quad (3.11)$$

where we use the fact that  $\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{1,0} = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = 0$ . Now, we need to prove

$$\text{the right-hand side of the above (3.11) is } \perp \ker L. \quad (3.12)$$

Indeed, we note that

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ S_{1,0} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with } L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ S_{0,1,j} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ with } L_- S_{0,1,j} = -\partial_{x_j} Q. \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $S_{0,1} = (S_{0,1,1}, S_{0,1,2})$  is a vector. Therefore the orthogonality condition (3.12) is equivalent to

$$(\nabla Q, S_{0,1}) - (\nabla Q, \Lambda S_{0,1}) + (\nabla Q, \nabla S_{1,0}) + (\nabla Q, S_{1,0} S_{0,1}) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

To see that this holds true, we argue as follows. Using the commutator formula  $[\Lambda, \nabla] = -\nabla$  and intergrating by part, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla Q, \Lambda S_{0,1}) &= (\Lambda \nabla Q, S_{0,1}) = (\nabla \Lambda Q, S_{0,1}) - (\nabla Q, S_{0,1}) \\ &= (\nabla L_- S_{1,0}, S_{0,1}) - (\nabla Q, S_{0,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, since  $L_-$  is self-adjoint and the definition of  $S_{0,1}$ , for any function  $F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla L_- F, S_{0,1}) + (\nabla Q, \nabla F) &= -(L_- F, \nabla S_{0,1}) - (L_- S_{0,1}, \nabla F) = (F, [\nabla, L_-] S_{0,1}) \\ &= -(F, \nabla Q S_{0,1}), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the commutator formulate  $[\nabla, L_-] = -\nabla Q$ . By combining the above equalities, we conclude that (3.15) holds. This shows that the (3.12) holds, and hence there is a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} \perp \ker L$  of the equation (3.11). Moreover, we note that

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1,1,j} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|(\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}) \\ &= Q \left( 1 + \frac{a^2(\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^2|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}) \\ &= \mathbf{Q}Q + 2a^2\mathbf{Q}\Re(\mathbf{R}_{2,0}) + a^2\mathbf{Q}\Im(\mathbf{R}_{2,0}) + \frac{a^2}{2}|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{O}(a^3). \end{aligned}$$

We find the equation

$$L\mathbf{R}_{2,0} = -\frac{1}{2}J\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + J\Lambda\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} = [0, S_{1,0}]^\top$  with  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$ , the solvability condition for  $\mathbf{R}_{2,0}$  reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2}(\nabla Q, S_{1,0}) - (\nabla Q, \Lambda S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla Q, S_{1,0}^2) = 0.$$

However, this is true, since  $S_{1,0}$  and  $Q$  are the radial functions. Thus there exists a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{2,0} \perp \ker L$ , which is given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{2,0} = \begin{bmatrix} L_+^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} S_{1,0} + \Lambda S_{1,0} - \frac{1}{2} |S_{1,0}|^2 \right) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(b_j^2)$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{Q} + b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + b_j^2 \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j}| (\mathbf{Q} + b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + b_j^2 \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j}) \\ &= Q \left( 1 + \frac{b_j^2 (\mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,2,j})}{Q} + \frac{b_j^2 |\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}|^2}{Q^2} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{Q} + b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + b_j^2 \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j}) \\ &= \mathbf{Q}Q + 2b_j^2 \mathbf{Q} \Re \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} + b_j^2 \mathbf{Q} \Im \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} + \frac{1}{2} b_j^2 |\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}|^2 Q^{-1} \mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{O}(b_j^3). \end{aligned}$$

We find the equation

$$L \mathbf{R}_{0,2} = -J \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}|^2 Q \mathbf{Q}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = [0, S_{0,1,j}]^\top$  with  $L_- S_{0,1,j} = -\partial_{x_j} Q$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = [Q, 0]^\top$ , the solvability condition reads

$$(\partial_{x_j} Q, \nabla S_{0,1,j}) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_j} Q, S_{0,1,j}^2) = 0.$$

Obviously, this is true, since  $Q$  is radial function and  $S_{0,1,j}$  is antisymmetry function. Hence there exists a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} \perp \ker L$ , and we have

$$\mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} = \begin{bmatrix} L_+^{-1} \left( \partial_{x_j} S_{0,1,j} + \frac{1}{2} |S_{0,1,j}|^2 \right) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(a^3)$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{Q} + a \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3 \mathbf{R}_{3,0}| (\mathbf{Q} + a \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3 \mathbf{R}_{3,0}) \\ &= Q \left( 1 + \frac{a (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^2 (\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^3 (\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^3 (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0} \mathbf{R}_{2,0})}{Q^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{a^2 |\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{Q} + a \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3 \mathbf{R}_{3,0}) \\ &= Q \left( 1 + \frac{2a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0}}{Q} + \frac{a^3 (\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^2 |\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{Q} + a \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3 \mathbf{R}_{3,0}) \\ &= \mathbf{Q}Q + 2a^3 \mathbf{Q} \Re (\mathbf{R}_{3,0}) + a^3 \mathbf{Q} \Im (\mathbf{R}_{3,0}) + a^3 \Re \mathbf{R}_{2,0} \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \frac{a^3}{2} Q^{-1} |\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2 \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \mathcal{O}(a^4). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{2,0} = 0$  and we obtain the equation

$$L \mathbf{R}_{3,0} = -J \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + J \Lambda \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + \Re \mathbf{R}_{2,0} \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1} |\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2 \mathbf{R}_{1,0}. \quad (3.16)$$

Note that the right side is of the form  $[0, f]^\top$  with some nontrivial  $f$ . Hence the solvability condition for  $\mathbf{R}_{3,0}$  is equivalent to

$$-(Q, T_{2,0}) + (Q, \Lambda T_{2,0}) + (Q, T_{2,0} S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(Q, Q^{-1} S_{1,0}^2 S_{1,0}) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

where the functions  $S_{1,0}$  and  $T_{2,0}$  satisfy

$$L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q, \quad L_+ T_{2,0} = \frac{1}{2} S_{1,0} - \Lambda S_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2} |S_{1,0}|^2. \quad (3.18)$$

To see that (3.17) holds, we first note that

Left-hand side of (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned} &= -(Q, T_{2,0}) - (\Lambda Q, T_{2,0}) + (Q, T_{2,0} S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(Q, Q^{-1} S_{1,0}^2 S_{1,0}) \\ &= -(Q, T_{2,0}) - (L_- S_{1,0}, T_{2,0}) + (Q, T_{2,0} S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(Q, Q^{-1} S_{1,0}^2 S_{1,0}) \\ &= -(Q, T_{2,0}) - (L_+ S_{1,0}, T_{2,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(Q, Q^{-1} S_{1,0}^2 S_{1,0}) \\ &= -(Q, T_{2,0}) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) + (S_{1,0}, \Lambda S_{1,0}) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(Q, Q^{-1} S_{1,0}^2 S_{1,0}) \\ &= -(Q, T_{2,0}) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that  $(S_{1,0}, \Lambda S_{1,0}) = 0$ , since  $\Lambda^* = -\Lambda$ . Thus it remains to show that

$$-(Q, T_{2,0}) = \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}). \quad (3.19)$$

Indeed, by using  $L_+ \Lambda Q = -Q$  and the equations for  $T_{2,0}$  and  $S_{1,0}$  above, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} -(Q, T_{2,0}) &= (\Lambda Q, \frac{1}{2} S_{1,0} - \Lambda S_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2} |S_{1,0}|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) - (L_- S_{1,0}, \Lambda S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, D S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, Q S_{1,0}) \\ &\quad - (L_- S_{1,0}, \Lambda S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Next, we apply the commutator formula  $(L_- f, \Lambda f) = \frac{1}{2}(f, [L_-, \Lambda] f)$ , which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_- S_{1,0}, \Lambda S_{1,0}) &= \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, [L_-, \Lambda] S_{1,0}) = \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, [D, \Lambda] S_{1,0}) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, [Q, \Lambda] S_{1,0}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, D S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, (x \cdot \nabla Q) S_{1,0}), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the commutator  $[D, x \cdot \nabla] = D$  and  $[Q, \Lambda] = -x \cdot \nabla Q$  holds. Furthermore, we have the pointwise identity

$$-x \cdot \nabla Q + \Lambda Q = Q.$$

If now we insert the above two equalities into (3.20), we obtain the desired relation (3.19), and thus the solvability condition (3.17) holds. Note that  $\mathbf{R}_{3,0} = [0, S_{3,0}]^\top$  with some radial function  $S_{3,0}$ .

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$ :**

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + a^4\mathbf{R}_{4,0}|(\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + a^4\mathbf{R}_{4,0}) \\
&= Q \left( 1 + \frac{a(\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^2(\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^3(\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})}{Q} + \frac{a^4(\mathbf{R}_{4,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{4,0})}{Q} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{a^4(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0}\mathbf{R}_{3,0})}{Q^2} + \frac{a^3(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0}\mathbf{R}_{2,0})}{Q^2} + \frac{a^2|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} + \frac{a^4|\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2}{Q^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad (\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + a^4\mathbf{R}_{4,0}) \\
&= Q \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2a^2\Re\mathbf{R}_{2,0}}{Q} + \frac{a^4(\mathbf{R}_{4,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{4,0})}{Q} + \frac{2a^4\Re(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})}{Q^2} + \frac{a^2|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} + \frac{a^4|\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2}{Q^2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{2a^2\Re\mathbf{R}_{2,0}}{Q} + \frac{a^2|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} \right)^2 \right) (\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^3\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + a^4\mathbf{R}_{4,0}) \\
&= \mathbf{Q}Q + 2a^4\mathbf{Q}\Re\mathbf{R}_{4,0} + a^4\mathbf{Q}\Im\mathbf{R}_{4,0} + a^4\Re(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} + \frac{a^4}{2}|\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} + a^4|\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} \\
&\quad - \frac{a^4}{2}|\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} - \frac{a^4}{8}\frac{|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^4}{Q^3}\mathbf{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{a^4\Re\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2}\mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{O}(a^5) \\
&= \mathbf{Q}Q + 2a^4\mathbf{Q}\Re\mathbf{R}_{4,0} + a^4\mathbf{Q}\Im\mathbf{R}_{4,0} + a^4\Re(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} + a^4|\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} \\
&\quad - \frac{a^4}{8}\frac{|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^4}{Q^3}\mathbf{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{a^4\Re\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2}\mathbf{Q} + \mathcal{O}(a^5).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
L\mathbf{R}_{4,0} &= -\frac{3}{2}J\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + J\Lambda\mathbf{R}_{3,0} + a^4\Re(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{3,0})Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} + |\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|^2Q^{-1}\mathbf{Q} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8}\frac{|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^4}{Q^3}\mathbf{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Re\mathbf{R}_{2,0}|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2}\mathbf{Q},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where we use the fact that  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \cdot \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{R}_{3,0} \cdot \mathbf{Q} = 0$ . Moreover, we easily see that

Right-hand side of (3.21)  $\perp \ker L$ ,

since the right-hand side of (3.21) is radial and  $(F, \nabla Q) = 0$  for any radial function  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Hence there is a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{4,0} \perp \ker L$  of equation (3.21), and we have that  $\mathbf{R}_{4,0} = [T_{4,0}, 0]^\top$  holds with some radial function  $T_{4,0}$ .

**Order  $\mathcal{O}(a^2b_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ :**

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^2b_j\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j}| \\
& (|\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + a^2\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^2b_j\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j}|) \\
&= Q \left( 1 + \frac{a(\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0})}{Q} + \frac{b_j(\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j})}{Q} + \frac{ab_j(\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,1,j})}{Q} + \frac{a^2(\mathbf{R}_{2,0} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0})}{Q} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{a^2b_j(\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,1,j})}{Q} + \frac{a^2|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} + \frac{ab_j(\mathbf{R}_{1,0}\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j}) + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0}\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}}{Q^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{a^2 b_j (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,1,j}) + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,0} \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}}{Q^2} + \frac{a^2 b_j (\mathbf{R}_{2,0} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j}) + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,0} \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}}{Q^2} \Big)^{1/2} \\
& (|\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^2 b_j \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j}| \\
& = Q \left( 1 + \frac{2ab_j \Re \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}}{Q} + \frac{2a^2 \Re \mathbf{R}_{2,0}}{Q} + \frac{a^2 b_j (\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,1,j})}{Q} + \frac{a^2 |\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} + \frac{2ab_j \Re (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j})}{Q^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
& (|\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^2 b_j \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j}| \\
& = Q \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2ab_j \Re \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j}}{Q} + \frac{2a^2 \Re \mathbf{R}_{2,0}}{Q} + \frac{a^2 b_j (\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,1,j})}{Q} + \frac{a^2 |\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2}{Q^2} + \frac{2ab_j \Re (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j})}{Q^2} \right) \right) \\
& (|\mathbf{Q} + a\mathbf{R}_{1,0} + b_j \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} + ab_j \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + a^2 \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + a^2 b_j \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j}| + \mathcal{O}(a^2 b^2 + a^3 b + a^3 + b^2)) \\
& = \mathbf{Q} Q + 2a^2 b_j \mathbf{Q} \Re \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} + a^2 b_j \mathbf{Q} \Im \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} + a^2 b_j \Re \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} \mathbf{R}_{1,0} \\
& + a^2 b_j (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j}) \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + a^2 b_j \frac{|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}}{Q} + \mathcal{O}(a^2 b^2 + a^3 b + a^3 + b^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \cdot \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{1,0} = \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{2,0} = 0$ . We find the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
L\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} &= \frac{3}{2} J \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} + J \Lambda \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} - J \partial_j \mathbf{R}_{2,0} + \Re \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} \mathbf{R}_{1,0} \\
&+ (\mathbf{R}_{1,0} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1,j}) \mathbf{R}_{1,0} + \frac{|\mathbf{R}_{1,0}|^2 \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j}}{Q}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Using the symmetries of the previously constructed functions, we can check that

Right-hand side of (3.22)  $\perp \ker L$ ,

Since  $(g, Q) = 0$  for any antisymmetry function  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Thus there is a unique solution  $\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} \perp \ker L$  of the equation (3.22), and we set that  $\mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} = [0, S_{2,1,j}]^\top$  with some radial function  $S_{2,1,j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

**Step 2** Regularity and decay bounds. Let  $m \in [0, 2]$  be given. First, we recall that  $\|Q\|_{H^m} \lesssim 1$  and  $|Q(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3}$  holds. Since, moreover,  $L_+ \Lambda Q = -Q$  and  $(\Lambda Q, Q) = 0$ , we can apply lemma A.1 to conclude that

$$\|\Lambda Q\|_{H^m} \lesssim 1, \quad |\Lambda Q| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3}. \tag{3.23}$$

Next, by applying  $\Lambda$  to the equation  $L_+ \Lambda Q = -Q$  and using that  $[L_+, \Lambda] = D + 2x \cdot \nabla Q$ , we deduce that

$$L_+ \{-\Lambda^2 Q + \Lambda Q + \alpha Q\} = -(Q^2 + x \cdot \nabla Q) \Lambda Q - (1 - 2\alpha) Q^2. \tag{3.24}$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Using the previous bounds for  $Q$  and  $\Lambda Q$  (and hence for  $x \cdot \nabla Q$ ) as well, we can apply lemma A.1 again to obtain the bounds

$$\|\Lambda^2 Q\|_{H^m} \lesssim 1, \quad |\Lambda^2 Q(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3}.$$

Having these bounds for  $\mathbf{Q} = [Q, 0]^\top$ ,  $\Lambda \mathbf{Q} = [\Lambda Q, 0]^\top$ , and  $\Lambda^2 \mathbf{Q} = [\Lambda^2 Q, 0]^\top$  at hand, we can now prove the claimed bound (3.8) and (3.9) by iterating the equations satisfied



by the functions  $\{\mathbf{R}_{k,l}\}_{0 \leq k \leq 3, 0 \leq l \leq 1}$  above. For instance, recall that  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} = [0, S_{1,0}]^\top$  with  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  and hence  $\Lambda L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda^2 Q$ . Then, by using the commutator  $[L_+, \Lambda]$  and the previous estimates for  $\{Q, \Lambda Q, \Lambda^2 Q\}$ , we derive that

$$\|\Lambda^k S_{1,0}\|_{H^m} \lesssim 1, \quad |\Lambda^k S_{1,0}(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3}, \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2 \text{ and } m \geq 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Using this and proceeding in the above, we deduce that (3.8) and (3.9) holds.

Finally, we mention that the bounds (3.10) for the error term  $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  follow from expanding  $|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}| \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and using the regularity and decay bounds for the functions  $\{\mathbf{R}_{k,l}\}$ . We omit the straightforward details. The proof of lemma 3.1 is now complete.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Note that  $L_- > 0$  on  $Q^\perp$  and we have  $S_{1,0} \perp Q$  and  $S_{0,1,j} \perp Q$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

**Remark 2.** The proof of lemma 3.1 will actually show that the functions  $\{\mathbf{R}_{k,l}\}$  have the following symmetry structure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{1,0} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{symmetry} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{antisymmetry} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{antisymmetry} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{R}_{2,0} &= \begin{bmatrix} \text{symmetry} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{0,2,j} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{symmetry} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{3,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{symmetry} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{R}_{2,1,j} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{antisymmetry} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{4,0} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{symmetry} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

These symmetry properties will be of essential use in the sequel.

We now turn to some key properties of the approximate blowup profile  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$  constructed in lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** The mass, the energy and the linear momentum of  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}|^2 &= \int Q^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2), \\ E(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) &= e_1 a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2), \\ P(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) &= p_1 b + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $e_1 > 0$  and  $p_1 > 0$  are the positive constants given by

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}), \quad p_1 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L_- S_{0,1} \cdot S_{0,1},$$

where  $S_{1,0}$  and  $S_{0,1}$  satisfy  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  and  $L_- S_{0,1} = -\nabla Q$ , respectively.

*Proof.* From the proof of lemma 3.1, we recall that the facts that  $\mathbf{R}_{1,0} = [0, S_{1,0}]^\top$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = [0, S_{0,1,j}]^\top$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} = [T_{1,1,j}, 0]^\top$  with some antisymmetry function. Hence we have  $\int \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0,1,j} = \int \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{1,0} = \int \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{1,1,j} = 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Next, we recall that  $\mathbf{R}_{2,0} = [T_{2,0}, 0]^\top$  satisfies  $(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) + 2(Q, T_{2,0}) = 0$ , shown in (3.19) above. In summary, we see that

$$\int |\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}|^2 = \int Q^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

For the expansion for the linear momentum functional, we observe that  $P(\mathbf{f}) = 2 \int f_1 \nabla f_2$ , where  $\mathbf{f} = [f_1, f_2]^\top$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) &= 2a \int Q \nabla S_{1,0} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \int Q \nabla S_{0,1,j} + 2a^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \int T_{1,1,j} \nabla S_{1,0} + 2a^3 \int T_{2,0} \nabla S_{1,0} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= -2 \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j (\nabla Q, S_{0,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j (L_- S_{0,1}, S_{0,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= bp_1 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_- S_{0,1,j} = -\partial_{x_j} Q$ , and using that  $\int Q \nabla S_{1,0} + \int T_{1,1,j} \nabla S_{1,0} + \int T_{2,0} \nabla S_{1,0} = 0$  due to the fact that  $Q, S_{1,0}, T_{2,0}$  are the radial symmetry functions.

To treat the expansion of the energy, we first recall that  $E(\mathbf{Q}) = 0$  and  $DQ + Q - Q^2 = 0$  and  $E'(\mathbf{Q}) = -Q$ . Moreover, since we have  $(Q, S_{1,0}) = 0$  and  $(Q, S_{0,1,j}) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}, D\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) - \frac{1}{3}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}, |\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}| \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) \\
&= a^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(Q, DQ) + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, DS_{1,0}) + (T_{2,0}, DQ) \right\} \\
&\quad + a^2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}(Q, Q^2) - (T_{2,0}, Q^2) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}^2, Q) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= a^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, DS_{1,0}) + (T_{2,0}, DQ) \right\} \\
&\quad + a^2 \left\{ -(T_{2,0}, Q^2) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}^2, Q) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the term  $\mathcal{O}(ab)$  vanishes in the expansion for  $E(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}})$ , since  $S_{0,1}$  and  $S_{1,0}$  are the antisymmetry and radial symmetry functions, respectively, and hence  $(S_{1,0}, DS_{0,1}) = 0$ . Using  $DQ + Q - Q^2 = 0$  and (3.20), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) &= a^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, DS_{1,0}) - (T_{2,0}, Q) - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}^2, Q) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= a^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}, DS_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) - (L_- S_{1,0}, \Lambda S_{1,0}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0}^2) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1,0}^2, Q) + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= \frac{a^2}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) + a^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) (S_{1,0}^2, Q) + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) \\
&= \frac{a^2}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= a^2 e_1 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

The proof of lemma 3.2 is now complete.  $\square$

## 4 Modulation Estimates

We start with a general observation: If  $u = u(t, x)$  solves (1.1), then we define the function  $v = v(s, y)$  by setting

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} v \left( s, \frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)}, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)},$$

here  $s = s(t)$ . It is easy to check that  $v = v(s, y)$  with  $y = \frac{x - \alpha}{\lambda}$  satisfies

$$i\partial_s v - Dv - v + |v|v = i\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda}\Lambda v + i\frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} \cdot \nabla v + \tilde{\gamma}_s v,$$

where we set  $\tilde{\gamma}_s = \gamma_s - 1$ . Here the operators  $D$  and  $\nabla$  are understood as  $D = D_y$  and  $\nabla = \nabla_y$ , respectively.

### 4.1 Geometrical Decomposition and Modulation Equations

Let  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a solution of (1.1) on some time interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  with  $t_1 < 0$ . Assume that  $u(t)$  admits a geometrical decomposition of the form

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} [Q_{\mathcal{P}(t)} + \epsilon] \left( s, \frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)}, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)}, \quad (4.1)$$

with  $\mathcal{P}(t) = (a(t), b(t))$ , and we impose the uniform smallness bound

$$a^2(t) + |b(t)| + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \ll 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, we assume that  $u(t)$  has almost critical mass in the sense that

$$\left| \int |u(t)|^2 - \int Q^2 \right| \lesssim \lambda^3(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1]. \quad (4.3)$$

To fix the modulation parameters  $\{a(t), b(t), \lambda(t), \alpha(t), \gamma(t)\}$  uniquely, we impose the following orthogonality conditions on  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\epsilon_1, \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) &= 0, \\ (\epsilon_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\epsilon_1, \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) &= 0, \\ (\epsilon_2, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\epsilon_1, \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) &= 0, \\ (\epsilon_2, \partial_{x_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) &= 0, \\ (\epsilon_2, \rho_1) + (\epsilon_1, \rho_2) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

the function  $\rho = \rho_1 + i\rho_2$  is defined by

$$L_+\rho_1 = S_{1,0}, L_-\rho_2 = aS_{1,0}\rho_1 + a\Lambda\rho_1 - 2aT_{2,0} + b \cdot S_{0,1}\rho_1 - b \cdot \nabla\rho_1 - b \cdot T_{1,1}, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $S_{1,0}$ ,  $T_{2,0}$  and  $T_{1,1,j}$  are the functions introduced in the proof of lemma 3.1. Note that  $L_+^{-1}$  exists on  $L_{rad}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and thus  $\rho_1$  is well-defined. Moreover, it is easy to see that the right-hand side in the equation for  $\rho_2$  is orthogonality to  $Q$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} (Q, S_{1,0}\rho_1 + \Lambda\rho_1 - 2T_{2,0}) &= (QS_{1,0}, \rho_1) - (\Lambda Q, \rho_1) - 2(Q, T_{2,0}) \\ &= (QS_{1,0}, \rho_1) - (S_{1,0}, L_-\rho_1) + (S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) \\ &= -(S_{1,0}, L_+\rho_1) + (S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

using that  $(S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) = -2(T_{2,0}, Q)$ , see (3.19), and the definition of  $\rho_1$ . Moreover, we clearly see that  $S_{0,1,j}\rho_1 - \partial_{x_j}\rho_1 - T_{1,1,j} \perp Q$ , since  $S_{0,1,j}$  and  $T_{1,1,j}$  are the antisymmetry functions, whereas  $\rho_1$  and  $Q$  are radial symmetry functions. Hence  $\rho_2$  is well-defined.

In the conditions (4.4), we use the notation

$$Q_{\mathcal{P}} = Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + iQ_{2\mathcal{P}},$$

which (in terms of the vector notation used in Section 3) means that

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} \\ Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We refer to Appendix B.1 for some standard arguments, which show that the orthogonality condition (4.4) imply that the modulation parameters  $\{a(t), b(t), \lambda(t), \alpha(t), \gamma(t)\}$  are uniquely determined, provided that  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is sufficiently small. Moreover, it follows from the standard arguments that  $\{a(t), b(t), \lambda(t), \alpha(t), \gamma(t)\}$  are  $C^1$ -functions.

If we insert the decomposition (4.1) into (1.1), we obtain the following system

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2)\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \sum_{j=1}^2((b_j)s + ab_j)\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \partial_s \epsilon_1 - M_-(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_1 - b \cdot \nabla \epsilon_1 \\ &= (\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a)(\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda\epsilon_1) + (\frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b) \cdot (\nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_1) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\gamma}_s(Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_2) + \Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}) - R_2(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2)\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \sum_{j=1}^2((b_j)s + ab_j)\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \partial_s \epsilon_2 + M_+(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla \epsilon_2 \\ &= (\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a)(\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda\epsilon_2) + (\frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b) \cdot (\nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_2) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\gamma}_s(Q_{1\mathcal{P}} - \epsilon_1) - \Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}) + R_1(\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Here  $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  denotes the error term from lemma 3.1, and  $M = (M_+, M_-)$  are the small deformations of the linearized operator  $L = (L_+, L_-)$  given by

$$M_+(\epsilon) = D\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_1 - \frac{3}{2}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}|\epsilon_1 - \frac{1}{2}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}(Q_{1\mathcal{P}}^2 - Q_{2\mathcal{P}}^2)\epsilon_1$$

$$- |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \epsilon_2, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_-(\epsilon) = & D\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2 - \frac{3}{2} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}| \epsilon_2 - \frac{1}{2} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} (Q_{1\mathcal{P}}^2 - Q_{2\mathcal{P}}^2) \epsilon_2 \\ & - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \epsilon_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

And  $R_1(\epsilon)$ ,  $R_2(\epsilon)$  are the high order terms about  $\epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\epsilon) = & \frac{5}{4} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} |\epsilon|^2 + \frac{3}{8} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} (Q_{1\mathcal{P}}(\epsilon_1^2 - \epsilon_2^2) + 2Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-3} ((Q_{1\mathcal{P}}^3 - 3Q_{1\mathcal{P}} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}^2)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) + 2(3Q_{1\mathcal{P}}^2 Q_{2\mathcal{P}} - Q_{2\mathcal{P}}^3) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \\ R_2(\epsilon) = & \frac{5}{4} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} |\epsilon|^2 + \frac{3}{8} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} (2Q_{1\mathcal{P}} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + Q_{2\mathcal{P}}(\epsilon_1^2 - \epsilon_2^2)) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-3} (2(Q_{1\mathcal{P}}^3 - 3Q_{1\mathcal{P}} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}^2) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_2 + (3Q_{1\mathcal{P}}^2 Q_{2\mathcal{P}} - Q_{2\mathcal{P}}^3)(\epsilon_1^2 - \epsilon_2^2)) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following energy type bound.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  with  $t_1 < 0$ , it holds that*

$$a^2 + |b| + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim \lambda(|E_0| + |P_0|) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

Here  $E_0 = E(u_0)$  and  $P_0 = P(u_0)$  denote the conserved energy and linear momentum of  $u = u(t, x)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* By the conservation of  $L^2$ -mass and lemma 3.2, we find that

$$\int |u|^2 = \int |Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon|^2 = \int |Q|^2 + 2\Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \int |\epsilon|^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

By assumption (4.3), this implies

$$2\Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \int |\epsilon|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2). \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we recall that  $v = Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon$  and the assumed form of  $u = u(t, x)$ . Hence, by energy conservation and scaling, we obtain

$$E(v) = \lambda E(u_0). \quad (4.11)$$

On the other hand, from lemma 3.2 and by expanding the energy functional

$$\begin{aligned} E(v) = & E(Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon) \\ = & \frac{1}{2} (Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon, D(Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon)) - \frac{1}{3} (Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon, |Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon| (Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon)) \\ = & \frac{1}{2} \{ (Q_{\mathcal{P}}, DQ_{\mathcal{P}}) + (\epsilon, D\epsilon) \} + \Re(\epsilon, DQ_{\mathcal{P}}) \\ & - \frac{1}{3} \{ (Q_{\mathcal{P}}, |Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon| Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + 2(\epsilon, |Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon| Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + (\epsilon, |Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon| \epsilon) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E(Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon, D\epsilon) + \Re(\epsilon, DQ_{\mathcal{P}} - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int |Q_{\mathcal{P}}| |\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \int |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} (2Q_{1\mathcal{P}}\epsilon_1 + 2Q_{2\mathcal{P}}\epsilon_2)^2 \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^3 + \mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equality and (4.10), (4.11) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda E_0 &= a^2 e_1 + \Re(\epsilon, DQ_{\mathcal{P}} + Q_{\mathcal{P}} - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \frac{1}{2}\{M_+\epsilon_1 + M_-\epsilon_2\} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^3 + \mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(L_-S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0$ . In the previous equation, we note that the linear term in  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Re(\epsilon, DQ_{\mathcal{P}} + Q_{\mathcal{P}} - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}) \\
&= \Im(\epsilon, \frac{a^2}{2}\partial_a Q_{\mathcal{P}} + a \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \partial_{b_j} Q_{\mathcal{P}} - a\Lambda Q_{\mathcal{P}} + b \cdot \nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2)) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the orthogonality condition (4.4). Next, we observe that quadratic form  $M = (M_+, M_-)$  is a small deformation of the quadratic form given by the linearization  $L = (L_+, L_-)$  around  $Q$ . Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^2 e_1 + \frac{1}{2} \{ (L_+\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \} \\
&= \lambda E_0 + \mathcal{O}(\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^3 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2) + o(\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Next, we recall from lemma C.4 the coercivity estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L_+\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \\
&\geq c_0 \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{1}{c_0} \{ (\epsilon_1, Q)^2 + (\epsilon_1, S_{1,0})^2 + |(\epsilon_1, S_{0,1})|^2 + |(\epsilon_2, \rho_1)|^2 \},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

with some universal constant  $c_0 > 0$ . Note that the orthogonality condition (4.4) imply that

$$(\epsilon_1, S_{1,0})^2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\|\epsilon\|_2^2), \quad |(\epsilon_1, S_{0,1})|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\|\epsilon\|_2^2), \quad (\epsilon_2, \rho_1)^2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\|\epsilon\|_2^2).$$

Furthermore, from the relation (4.10) we deduce that

$$|(\epsilon_1, Q)|^2 = o(\|\epsilon\|_2^2) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

Combining these bounds with (4.13) and the universal smallness assumption for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}$ , we obtain that

$$(L_+\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \geq \frac{c_0}{2} \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

Inserting this bound into (4.12) and recall that  $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0$  holds, we have

$$a^2 + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim \lambda E_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2). \quad (4.14)$$

As our final step, we derive the bound for the boost parameter  $b$ . Here we observe that

$$P(v) = \lambda P(u_0),$$

by scaling and using the conservation of the linear momentum  $P(u(t)) = P(u_0)$ . Hence, by expansion and lemma 3.2 and using the orthogonality condition (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda P_0 &= P(v) = P(Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + 2\Re(\epsilon, -i\nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \Re(\epsilon, -i\nabla \epsilon) \\ &= p_1 b + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2 + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2), \end{aligned}$$

with the constant  $p_1 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (L_- S_{0,1} \cdot S_{0,1}) > 0$ . Recalling that (4.14) holds, we complete the proof of this lemma.  $\square$

## 4.2 Modulation Estimates

We continue with estimating the modulation parameters. To this end, we define the vector-valued function

$$\mathbf{Mod}(t) := (a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2, \tilde{\gamma}_s, \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a, \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b, b_s + ab). \quad (4.15)$$

We have the following result.

**Lemma 4.2.** *For  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  with  $t_1 < 0$ , we have the bound*

$$|\mathbf{Mod}(t)| \lesssim \lambda^3 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2 + \mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2 + \|\epsilon\|_2^2 + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^3. \quad (4.16)$$

Furthermore, we have the improved bound

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right| \lesssim a^5 + b\mathcal{P}^2 + \mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2 + \|\epsilon\|_2^2 + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^3.$$

*Proof.* We divide the proof into the following six steps, where we also make use of the estimate (B.1)-(B.5), which are shown in lemma B.1. Now, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} &= \Lambda Q + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = a\Lambda S_{1,0} + b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \\ \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}} &= 2aT_{2,0} + b \cdot T_{1,1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = S_{1,0} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \\ \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}} &= \partial_j Q + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = a\partial_j S_{1,0} + \partial_j(b \cdot S_{0,1}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \\ \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} &= aT_{1,1,j} + 2b_j T_{0,2,j} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = S_{0,1,j} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $j = 1, 2$ .

**Step 1 Law for  $a$ .** We multiply both sides of the equation (4.6) and (4.7) by  $-\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}$ , respectively. Adding this and using (B.1) yields, after some calculation (also using the condition (4.4)), we have

$$\left( a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \right) [(\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + \sum_{j=1}^2 ((b_j)_s + ab_j) [(\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + [(\partial_s \epsilon_1, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_s \epsilon_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] - \Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) \\
& = \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_1, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \frac{(\alpha_j)_s}{\lambda} - b_j \right) [(\partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \partial_j \epsilon_1, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \partial_j \epsilon_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + \tilde{\gamma}_s [(Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_2, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
& \quad - (Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_1, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + (R_2(\epsilon), \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) \\
& \quad + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) [(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \frac{(\alpha_j)_s}{\lambda} - b_j \right) [-(\partial_j Q, S_{0,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
& = \Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + (R_2(\epsilon), \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) \\
& \quad + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)(\|\epsilon\|_2 + \mathcal{P}^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also used that

$$(\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) = (S_{0,1,j}, \Lambda Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

since  $S_{0,1,j} = -L_-^{-1} \partial_{x_j} Q$  is antisymmetry and  $\Lambda Q$  is radial symmetry, and hence  $(S_{0,1,j}, \Lambda Q) = 0$ . Next, from the lemma 3.2 the constants

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0, \quad p_{1,j} = 2(L_- S_{0,1,j}, S_{0,1,j}) > 0.$$

and

$$2\Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) = - \int |\epsilon|^2 + \left( \int |u|^2 - \int |Q|^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) [2e_1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \frac{(\alpha_j)_s}{\lambda} - b_j \right) \left[ \frac{1}{2} p_{1,j} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2) \right] \\
& = - \int |\epsilon|^2 + (R_2(\epsilon), \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) \\
& \quad + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)(\|\epsilon\|_2 + \mathcal{P}^2) + \|u\|_2^2 - \|Q\|_2^2 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 2 Law for  $\lambda$ .** We multiply both sides of the equation (4.6) and (4.7) by  $-\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}$ , respectively. After some calculation (also using the condition (4.4)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) [(\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + \sum_{j=1}^2 ((b_j)_s + ab_j) [(\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
& \quad + (\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + [(\partial_s \epsilon_1, -\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_s \epsilon_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}})]
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_1, -\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot [(\nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_1, -\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + \tilde{\gamma}_s [(Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_2, -\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
&\quad - (Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_1, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
&\quad + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^2 ((b_j)_s + ab_j) [(aT_{1,1,j} + 2b_j T_{0,2,j}, -S_{1,0}) + (S_{0,1,j}, 2aT_{2,0} + b_j T_{1,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
&= \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q, -S_{1,0}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot [(\nabla Q, -S_{1,0}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
&\quad + (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)(\|\epsilon\|_2 + \mathcal{P}^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [2e_1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + (b_s + ab)\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \\
&= (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)(\|\epsilon\|_2 + \mathcal{P}^2) + a^5 + b^2 \mathcal{P}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that  $(\nabla Q, -S_{1,0}) = 0$ .

Furthermore, by this estimate, we deduce the improved bound for  $|\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a|$ .

**Step 3 Law for  $\tilde{\gamma}_s$ .** We multiply both sides of the equation (4.6) and (4.7) by  $-\rho_2$  and  $\rho_1$ , respectively. Adding this and using (B.3) yields, after some calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \right) [(\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\rho_2) + (\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \rho_1)] + \sum_{j=1}^2 ((b_j)_s + ab_j) [(\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\rho_2) \\
&\quad + (\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \rho_1)] + [(\partial_s \epsilon_1, -\rho_2) + (\partial_s \epsilon_2, \rho_1)] \\
&= \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_1, -\rho_2) + (\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_2, \rho_1)] \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot [(\nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_1, -\rho_2) + (\nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_2, \rho_1)] + \tilde{\gamma}_s [(Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_2, -\rho_2) \\
&\quad - (Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_1, \rho_1)] + (R_2(\epsilon), -\rho_2) + (R_1(\epsilon), \rho_1) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \rho_2) \\
&\quad + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\gamma}_s((Q, \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)) \\
&= - \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \right) ((S_{1,0}, \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)) - (b_s + ab) \cdot [(S_{0,1}, \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q, \rho_2) + (a\Lambda S_{1,0} + b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1}, \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot [(\nabla Q, \rho_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (a \nabla S_{1,0} + \nabla(b \cdot S_{0,1}), \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + (R_2(\epsilon), \rho_2) + (R_1(\epsilon), \rho_1) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \rho_2) \\
& + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
& = - \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) ((S_{1,0}, \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)) + \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \\
& + (R_2(\epsilon), \rho_2) + (R_1(\epsilon), \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)(\|\epsilon\|_2 + \mathcal{P}^2) + a^5 + b^2 \mathcal{P}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that  $(S_{0,1}, \rho_1) = 0$  and the definition of  $\rho$ .

**Step 4 Law for  $b_j$ .** We multiply both sides of the equation (4.6) and (4.7) by  $-\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}}$ , respectively. Adding this and using (B.4) yields, after some calculation (also using the condition (4.4)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) [(\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + \sum_{j=1}^2 ((b_j)_s + ab_j) [(\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
& + (\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + [(\partial_s \epsilon_1, -\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_s \epsilon_2, \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] \\
& = \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_1, -\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_2, \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] \\
& + \left[ \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot (\nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_1), -\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \right) + \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot (\nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_2), \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}} \right) \right] \\
& + \tilde{\gamma}_s [(Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_2, -\partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) - (Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_1, \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) \\
& - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) [(S_{1,0}, \partial_j Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + [(b_s + ab) \cdot (S_{0,1}, \partial_j Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
& = (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)\|\epsilon\|_2 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (b_s + ab) \left[ -\frac{1}{2} p_1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2) \right] \\
& = (R_2(\epsilon), \nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|)\|\epsilon\|_2 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 5 Law for  $\alpha_j$ .** We multiply both sides of the equation (4.6) and (4.7) by  $-\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}$ , where  $j = 1, 2$  respectively. Adding this and using (B.5) yields, after some calculation (also using the condition (4.4)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right) [(\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + ((b_j)_s + ab_j) [(\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}, -\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
& + (\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + [(\partial_s \epsilon_1, -\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\partial_s \epsilon_2, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] \\
& = \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [(\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_1, -\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \Lambda \epsilon_2, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] \\
& + \left( \frac{(\alpha)_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot [(\nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_1, -\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \nabla \epsilon_2, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + \tilde{\gamma}_s [(Q_{2\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_2, -\partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
& + (Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_1, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (Q_{1\mathcal{P}} + \epsilon_1, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}})] + (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\Im(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) \\
& + (\Re(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}), \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \right) \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \\
& = \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) [-(\Lambda Q, S_{0,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot [(\nabla Q, S_{0,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
& \quad + (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_b Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_b Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2 \right) \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) + \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) [p_1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2)] \\
& = (R_2(\epsilon), \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (R_1(\epsilon), \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{mod}(t)|) \|\epsilon\|_2 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use  $(\Lambda Q, S_{0,1}) = 0$ .

**Step 6 Conclusion.** We collect the previous equation and estimate the nonlinear terms in  $\epsilon$  by Sobolev inequalities. This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
(A + B)\mathbf{Mod}(t) &= \mathcal{O}((\mathcal{P}^2 + |\mathbf{Mod}(t)|) \|\epsilon_2\| + \|\epsilon\|_2^2 + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^3 \\
& \quad + \|u\|_2^2 - \|Q\|_2^2 + a^4 + b^2 + b\mathcal{P}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $A = O(1)$  is invertible  $7 \times 7$ -matrix, and  $B = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$  is some  $7 \times 7$ -matrix that is polynomial in  $\mathcal{P} = (a, b)$ . For  $|\mathcal{P}| \ll 1$ , we can thus invert  $A + B$  by Taylor expansion and derive the estimate for  $\mathbf{Mod}(t)$  stated in this lemma.  $\square$

## 5 Refined Energy bounds

In this section, we establish a refined energy estimate, which will be a key ingredient in the compactness argument to construct minimal mass blowup solutions.

Let  $u = u(t, x)$  be a solution (1.1) on the time interval  $[t_0, 0)$  and suppose that  $w$  is an approximate solution to (1.1) such that

$$i w_t - D w + |w| w = \psi, \quad (5.1)$$

with the priori bounds

$$\|w\|_2 \lesssim 1, \quad \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} w\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^{-1}, \quad \|\nabla w\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^{-2}. \quad (5.2)$$

We decompose  $u = w + \tilde{u}$ , and hence  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$i \tilde{u}_t - D \tilde{u} + (|u| u - |w| w) = -\psi, \quad (5.3)$$

where we assume the priori estimate

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}\|_2 \lesssim \lambda, \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (5.4)$$

as well as

$$|\lambda_t + a| \lesssim \lambda^2, \quad a \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |a_t| \lesssim 1, \quad |\alpha_t| \lesssim \lambda. \quad (5.5)$$

Next, Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth and radial function with the following properties

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 3 - e^{-|x|} & \text{for } x \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

and the convexity condition

$$\phi''(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } x \geq 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Furthermore, we denote

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{3}|u|^3, \quad f(u) = |u|u, \quad F'(u) \cdot h = \Re(f(u)\bar{h}).$$

Let  $A > 0$  be a large constant and define the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} J_A(u) := & \frac{1}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} - \int [F(u) - F(w) - F'(w) \cdot \tilde{u}] \\ & + \frac{a}{2} \Im \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \tilde{\bar{u}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Our strategy will be to use the preceding functional to bootstrap control over  $\|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (*Localized energy estimate*) *Let  $J_A$  be as above. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dJ_A}{dt} = & \Im \left( \psi, D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} - f'(w)\tilde{u} \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda} (\tilde{u}, f'(w)\tilde{u}) - \Re(\partial_t w, (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u})) \\ & + \frac{a}{2\lambda} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} - \frac{2a}{\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\nabla \tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds \\ & + \frac{a}{2A^2 \lambda^3} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta^2 \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds \\ & + \Im \left( \int \left[ ia A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \psi + i \frac{a}{2\lambda} \Delta \psi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \psi \right] \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \\ & + a \Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{3}{4} |w|^{-1} |\tilde{u}|^2 w + \frac{1}{4} |w|^{-1} \tilde{u}^2 \bar{w} \right) \cdot \nabla w \right) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2 \|\psi\|_2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where  $\tilde{u}_s := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi - \Delta + s}} \tilde{u}$  with  $s > 0$ .

*Proof. Step 1 :* (Estimating the energy part). Using (5.3), a computation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} - \int [F(u) - F(w) - F'(w) \cdot \tilde{u}] \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Re \left( \partial_t \tilde{u}, D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} - (f(u) - f(w)) \right) - \frac{\lambda_t}{2\lambda^2} \int |\tilde{u}|^2 \\
&\quad - \Re (\partial_t w, (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u})) \\
&= -\Im \left( \psi, D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} - (f(u) - f(w)) \right) - \frac{\lambda_t}{2\lambda^2} \int |\tilde{u}|^2 - \Re (\partial_t w, (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u})) \\
&\quad - \Im \left( D\tilde{u} - (f(u) - f(w)), D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} - (f(u) - f(w)) \right) \\
&= -\Im \left( \psi, D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} - (f(u) - f(w)) \right) - \frac{\lambda_t}{2\lambda^2} \int |\tilde{u}|^2 + \Im \left( f(u) - f(w), \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} \right) \\
&\quad - \Re (\partial_t w, (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u})) \\
&= -\Im \left( \psi, D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u} - f'(w) \tilde{u} \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda} (\tilde{u}, f'(w) \tilde{u}) - \frac{\lambda_t}{2\lambda^2} \int |\tilde{u}|^2 \\
&\quad + \Im \left( \psi - \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u}, f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u} \right) - \Re (\partial_t w, (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u})), \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$f'(w) \tilde{u} = \frac{3}{2} |w| \tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2} |w|^{-1} w^2 \bar{\tilde{u}}.$$

From (5.5) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\lambda_t}{2\lambda^2} \int |\tilde{u}|^2 &= \frac{a}{2\lambda} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} (\lambda_t + a) \|\tilde{u}\|_2^2 \\
&= \frac{a}{2\lambda} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2). \quad (5.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \Im \left( \psi - \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{u}, f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim (\|\psi\|_2 + \lambda^{-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_2) \|f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u}\|_2 \\
&\lesssim (\|\psi\|_2 + \lambda^{-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_2) \|\tilde{u}\|_4^2 \\
&\lesssim (\|\psi\|_2 + \lambda^{-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_2) \|\tilde{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}}^2 \\
&\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\psi\|_2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \quad (5.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality together with the assumed a-priori estimate (5.2) and (5.4). Here we also used the following estimate

$$|g(u+v) - g(u) - g'(u) \cdot v| \lesssim |v|^p, \quad (5.13)$$

for  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $g(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ .

We now insert (5.11) and (5.12) into (5.10). Combined with the assumed a priori bounds on  $\tilde{u}$ , we conclude

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} - \int [F(u) - F(w) - F'(w) \cdot \tilde{u}] \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\Im \left( \psi, D\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\tilde{u} - f'(w)\tilde{u} \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda}(\tilde{u}, f'(w)\tilde{u}) - \Re(\partial_t w, (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w) \cdot \tilde{u})) \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{2\lambda} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2 \|\psi\|_2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

**Step 2 :** Estimating the localized virial part. We set

$$\nabla \tilde{\phi}(t, x) = aA \nabla \phi(t, \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda}).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( a \Im \left( \int A \nabla \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Im \left( \int (\partial_t \nabla \tilde{\phi}) \cdot \nabla u \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Im \left( \int \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (\nabla \partial_t \tilde{u} \tilde{\bar{u}} + \nabla \tilde{u} \partial_t \tilde{\bar{u}}) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Using the bounds (5.5), we estimate

$$|\partial_t \nabla \tilde{\phi}| \lesssim |a_t| + \frac{a}{\lambda} \alpha_t + a \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \lesssim 1 \tag{5.16}$$

$$|\partial_t \Delta \tilde{\phi}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \tag{5.17}$$

Hence, by [18, Lemma F.1], we deduce that

$$\left| \Im \left( (\partial_t \nabla \tilde{\phi}) \cdot \nabla u \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \right| \lesssim \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \lambda^{-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_2^2. \tag{5.18}$$

Using (5.3), a calculation yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \Im \left( \int \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (\nabla \partial_t \tilde{u} \tilde{\bar{u}} + \nabla \tilde{u} \partial_t \tilde{\bar{u}}) \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \Re \left( \int \tilde{u} \left[ -iD, \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} \right] \right) \\
&\quad - a \Re \left( \int (|u|u - |w|w) A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla \tilde{u}} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int (|u|u - |w|w) A \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \\
&\quad - a \Re \left( \int \psi \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla \tilde{u}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \psi \Delta \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \tilde{\bar{u}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Next, we rewrite the commutator by using some identities from functional calculus. Here, we recall the known formula

$$x^\beta = \frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s^{\beta-1} \frac{x}{x+s} ds$$

for  $x > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$ . From spectral calculus applied to the self-adjoint operator  $-\Delta$ , we have the Balakrishnan's formula

$$(-\Delta)^\beta = \frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s^{\beta-1} \frac{-\Delta}{-\Delta + s} ds. \tag{5.20}$$

Next, we note the formal identity

$$\left[\frac{A}{A+B}, B\right] = \left[1 - \frac{s}{A+s}, B\right] = -\left[\frac{s}{A+s}, B\right] = s\frac{1}{A+s}[A, B]\frac{1}{A+s} \quad (5.21)$$

for operators  $A \geq 0$ , and  $B$ , where  $s > 0$  is the positive constant. We obtain the formal commutator identity

$$[(-\Delta)^m, B] = \frac{\sin(\pi m)}{\pi} \int s^m \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} [-\Delta, B] \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} ds. \quad (5.22)$$

In particular, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & [D, \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int \sqrt{s} \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} [-\Delta, \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}] \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Next, we recall the known formula

$$[-\Delta, \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}] = -4\nabla \cdot (\Delta \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla)) + i\Delta^2 \tilde{\phi},$$

for any smooth function  $\phi$ .

We now define the auxiliary function

$$\tilde{u}_s(t, x) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi - \Delta + s}} \tilde{u}(t, x). \text{ for } s > 0. \quad (5.24)$$

Hence, by construction, we have that  $\tilde{u}_s$  solves the elliptic equation

$$-\Delta \tilde{u}_s + s\tilde{u}_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \tilde{u}. \quad (5.25)$$

Note that the integral kernel for the resolvent  $(-\Delta + s)^{-1}$  is explicitly given by

$$G^s(x) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t} - st\right\} dt.$$

Hence, we remark that we have the convolution formula

$$\tilde{u}_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} G^s(x) * \tilde{u}(t, x).$$

Recalling that  $\nabla \tilde{\phi}(t, x) = aA\nabla \phi(\frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda})$  and using that  $(-\Delta + s)^{-1}$  is self-adjoint and the definition of  $\tilde{u}_s$ , as well as Fubini's theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left( \int \tilde{u} \left[ -iD, \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} \right] \tilde{u} \right) \\ &= \Re \left( \int \tilde{u} \frac{1}{\pi} \int \sqrt{s} \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} [-i(-\Delta), \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (-i\nabla) + (-i\nabla) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}] \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} ds \tilde{u} dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \Re \left( \int \int \sqrt{s} \tilde{u} \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} (4\nabla \cdot (\Delta \tilde{\phi} \cdot \nabla) + \Delta^2 \tilde{\phi}) \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} \tilde{u} dx ds \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Re \left( \int \int \sqrt{s} \tilde{u}_s (4\nabla \cdot (\Delta \tilde{\phi} \cdot \nabla) + \Delta^2 \tilde{\phi}) \tilde{u}_s dx ds \right) \\
&= -\frac{2a}{\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\nabla \tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds + \frac{a}{2A^2\lambda^3} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta^2 \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

Next, we estimate the other term in (5.19). Using fractional Leibniz rule as well as the bound (5.2), (5.4) and (5.5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| -a \Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w)\tilde{u}) \cdot \overline{\nabla \tilde{u}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) (f(u) - f(w) - f'(w)\tilde{u}) \cdot \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| -a \Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}| \tilde{u} \cdot \overline{\nabla \tilde{u}} \right) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}| \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| -a \Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \nabla (\tilde{u}^3) \right) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}| \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}| \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
&\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int |\tilde{u}|^3 \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

We consider the term in (5.19) that are quadratic in  $\tilde{u}$ . Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-a \Re \left( \int \psi \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla \tilde{u}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \psi \Delta \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \tilde{u} \right) \\
&= \Im \left( \int \left[ ia A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \psi + i \frac{a}{2\lambda} \Delta \psi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \psi \right] \tilde{u} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Moreover, an integration by parts yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-a \Re \left( \int \psi A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} |w| \tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2} |w|^{-1} w^2 \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla \tilde{u}} \right) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \left( \int \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{3}{2} |w| \tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2} |w|^{-1} w^2 \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \cdot \tilde{u} \right) \\
&= a \Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{3}{4} |w|^{-1} |\tilde{u}|^2 w + \frac{1}{4} |w|^{-1} \tilde{u}^2 \bar{w} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla w} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Note that  $\Delta \phi$  is not present on the right-hand side of the previous equation and that the term is different from those appearing on the left-hand side.

Finally, we insert (5.26), (5.27), (5.28), and (5.29) into (5.19). This yield that

$$\frac{1}{2} \Im \left( \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot (\nabla \partial_t \tilde{u} \tilde{\bar{u}} + \nabla \tilde{u} \partial_t \tilde{\bar{u}}) \right)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{2a}{\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\nabla \tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{2A^2\lambda^3} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta^2 \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds \\
&\quad + \Im \left( \int \left[ iaA \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \psi + i \frac{a}{2\lambda} \Delta \psi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \psi \right] \tilde{u} \right) \\
&\quad + a \Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{3}{4} |w|^{-1} |\tilde{u}|^2 w + \frac{1}{4} |w|^{-1} \tilde{u}^2 \bar{w} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla w} \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2). \tag{5.30}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of lemma.  $\square$

## 6 Backwards Propagation of Smallness

We now apply the energy estimate of the previous section in order to establish a bootstrap argument that will be needed in the construction of minimal mass blowup solution.

Let  $u = u(t, x)$  be a solution to (1.1) defined in  $[t_0, 0)$ . Assume that  $t_0 < t_1 < 0$  and suppose that  $u$  admits on  $[t_0, t_1]$  a geometrical decomposition of the form

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} [Q_{\mathcal{P}(t)} + \epsilon] \left( s, \frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)}, \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$  satisfies the orthogonality condition (4.4) and  $a^2 + |b| + \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \ll 1$  holds. We set

$$\tilde{u}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \epsilon \left( s, \frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)}. \tag{6.2}$$

Suppose that the energy satisfies  $E_0 = E(u_0) > 0$  and define the constant

$$A_0 = \sqrt{\frac{e_1}{E_0}}, \tag{6.3}$$

with the vector  $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0$ . Moreover, Let  $P_0 = P(u_0)$  be the linear momentum and define the constant

$$B_0 = \frac{P_0}{p_1}, \tag{6.4}$$

where  $p_1 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L_- S_{0,1} \cdot S_{0,1} > 0$  is a constant.

Now we claim that the following backwards propagation estimate holds.

**Lemma 6.1.** (*Backwards propagation of smallness*) Assume that, for some  $t_1 < 0$  sufficiently close to 0, we have the bounds

$$||u||_2^2 - \|Q\|_2^2 \lesssim \lambda^3(t_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}(t_1)\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2}{\lambda(t_1)} &\lesssim \lambda^2(t_1), \\ \left| \lambda(t_1) - \frac{t_1^2}{4A_0^2} \right| &\lesssim \lambda^3(t_1), \quad \left| \frac{a(t_1)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right| \lesssim \lambda(t_1), \quad \left| \frac{b(t_1)}{\lambda(t_1)} - B_0 \right| \lesssim \lambda(t_1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are defined in (6.3) and (6.4), respectively. Then there exists a time  $t_0 < t_1$  depending on  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  such that  $\forall t \in [t_0, t_1]$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2}{\lambda(t)} &\lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}(t_1)\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2}{\lambda(t_1)} \lesssim \lambda^2(t), \\ \left| \lambda(t) - \frac{t^2}{4A_0^2} \right| &\lesssim \lambda^3(t), \quad \left| \frac{a(t)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right| \lesssim \lambda(t), \quad \left| \frac{b(t)}{\lambda(t)} - B_0 \right| \lesssim \lambda(t). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By assumption, we have  $u \in C^0([t_0, t_1]; H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ . Hence, by this continuity and the continuity of the functions  $\{\lambda(t), a(t), b(t), \alpha(t)\}$ , there exists a time  $t_0 < t_1$  such that for any  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  we have the bounds

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_2^2 \lesssim K\lambda^3(t), \quad \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{H^{1/2}} \lesssim K\lambda(t), \quad (6.5)$$

$$\left| \lambda(t) - \frac{t^2}{4A_0^2} \right| \lesssim K\lambda^3(t), \quad \left| \frac{a(t)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right| \lesssim K\lambda(t), \quad \left| \frac{b(t)}{\lambda(t)} - B_0 \right| \lesssim K\lambda(t), \quad (6.6)$$

with some constant  $K > 0$ . We now claim that the bounds stated in this lemma hold on  $[t_0, t_1]$ , hence improving (6.5) and (6.6) on  $[t_0, t_1]$  for  $t_0 = t_0(C_0) < t_1$  small enough but independent of  $t_1$ . We divide the proof into the following steps.

**Step 1 Bounds on energy and  $L^2$  – norm.** We set

$$w(x, t) = \tilde{Q}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} Q_{\mathcal{P}(t)} \left( \frac{x - \alpha(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)}. \quad (6.7)$$

Let  $J_A$  be given by above section. Applying lemma 5.1, we claim that we obtain the following coercivity estimate:

$$\frac{J_A}{dt} \geq \frac{a}{\lambda^2} \int |\epsilon|^2 + \mathcal{O} \left( \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} \right), \quad (6.8)$$

Assume (6.8) holds. By the Sobolev embedding and small of  $\epsilon$ , we deduce the upper bound

$$|J_A| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\tilde{u}\|_2^2 \quad (6.9)$$

Here we use the following inequality

$$\left| \Im \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \bar{\tilde{u}} \right) \right| \lesssim \|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\tilde{u}\|_2^2,$$

where we can see [18, Lemma F.1]. Furthermore, due to the proximity of  $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  to  $Q$ , we derive the lower bound

$$J_A = \frac{1}{2} \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} - \int [F(u) - F(w) - F'(w) \cdot \tilde{u}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{a}{2} \Im \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} \tilde{\bar{u}} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left[ (L_+ \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_- \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) + o(\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2) \right] \\
& \geq \frac{C_0}{\lambda} \left[ \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - (\epsilon_1, Q)^2 \right], \tag{6.10}
\end{aligned}$$

using the orthogonality conditions (4.4) satisfied by  $\epsilon$  and the coercivity estimate for the linearized operator  $L = (L_+, L_-)$ . On the other hand, using the conservation of the  $L^2$ -mass and applying lemma 4.1, we combine the assumed bounds to conclude that

$$|\Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}})| \lesssim \|\epsilon\|_2^2 + \lambda^2(t) + \left| \int |u|^2 - \int |Q|^2 \right| \lesssim \|\epsilon\|_2^2 + K^2 \lambda^2(t).$$

This implies

$$(\epsilon_1, Q)^2 \lesssim o(\|\epsilon\|_2^2) + K^4 \lambda^4(t). \tag{6.11}$$

Next, we define

$$H(t) := \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_2^2.$$

By integrating (6.8) in time and using (6.9), (6.10) and (6.11), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
H(t) & \lesssim H(t_1) + K^4 \lambda^3(t) + \int_t^{t_1} \left( \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}(\tau) \right) d\tau \\
& \lesssim H(t_1) + K^4 \lambda^3(t) + \int_t^{t_1} H(\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  with some  $t_0 = t_0(C_0) < t_1$  close enough to  $t_1 < 0$ . By Gronwall's inequality, we deduce the desired bound for  $H(t)$ . In particular, we obtain

$$H(t) := \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_2^2 \lesssim \lambda^2(t), \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_1], \tag{6.12}$$

and closes the bootstrap for (6.5).

**Step 2 Controlling the law for the parameters.** From lemma 4.2 and using (6.6), we deduce

$$\left| a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \right| + \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right| \lesssim \lambda^2. \tag{6.13}$$

As a direct consequence of this bound, we obtain that

$$\left( \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)_s = \frac{a_s + \frac{1}{2} a^2}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{a}{2\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Hence, for any  $s < s_1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{A_0} - \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}(s) \lesssim \frac{1}{A_0} - \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}(s_1) + \int_s^{s_1} \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}(\tau) d\tau \lesssim \lambda(s). \quad (6.14)$$

Here we used  $\lambda(t) \sim t^2$  and the relation  $dt = \lambda^{-1}ds$ , as well as the assumed initial bound for  $\left| \frac{a(t)}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right|$  at time  $t = t_1$ . Next, by following the calculations in proof of lemma 4.1 and recalling that  $a^2 + |b| \sim \lambda^2$  thanks to (6.6) and  $\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim \lambda^3$ , we deduce

$$a^2 e_1 = \lambda E_0 + \left( \int |u|^2 - \int |Q|^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2),$$

where  $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0$  is a constant. Since  $\int |u|^2 - \int |Q|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$  and recalling the definition of (6.3), we deduce that

$$\frac{a^2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{A_0^2} = \left( \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right) \left( \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{A_0} \right) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda).$$

Furthermore, from (6.14) we see that  $\frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq 1$ . Hence, we obtain the desired bound

$$\left| \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right| \lesssim \lambda.$$

From (6.5) and (6.13), we conclude that

$$-\lambda_t = a + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{A_0} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} + t^4) = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{A_0} + \mathcal{O}(t^3).$$

Dividing the above equality by  $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and integrating in  $[t, t_1]$  and using the boundary value at  $t_1$ , we have

$$\left| \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) - \frac{t}{2A_0} \right| \lesssim \left| \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1) - \frac{t_1}{2A_0} \right| + \mathcal{O}(t^3) \lesssim t^2,$$

and the bound for  $\lambda$  is obtained.

Next, we improve the bound (6.6). In fact, by following the calculations in the proof of lemma 4.1 for the linear momentum  $P(u_0)$  and recalling that  $a^2 + |b| \sim \lambda$ , we deduce that

$$bp_1 = \lambda P_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2),$$

where  $p_1 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L_- S_{0,1} \cdot S_{0,1}$  is a positive constant. Here we also used the fact that  $\|\epsilon\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \lesssim \lambda^3$ . Recalling the definition of  $B_0 = \frac{P_0}{p_1}$  see (6.4), we thus obtain

$$\left| \frac{b(t)}{\lambda(t)} - B_0 \right| \lesssim \lambda(t).$$

This completes the proof of Step 2.

**Step 3 Proof of the coercivity estimate.** Recalling that  $w = \tilde{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{u})$  denote the terms in  $\tilde{u}$  on the righthand side in lemma 5.1, that is, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{u}) &= -\frac{1}{\lambda}(\tilde{u}, f'(w)\tilde{u}) + \frac{a}{2\lambda} \int \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{\lambda} \\ &\quad - \frac{2a}{\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\nabla \tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{2A^2\lambda^3} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta^2 \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}_s|^2 dx ds \\ &\quad + a\Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{3}{4}|w|^{-1}|\tilde{u}|^2 w + \frac{1}{4}|w|^{-1}\tilde{u}^2 \bar{w} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla w} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (6.15)$$

Recalling that the function  $\tilde{u}_s = \tilde{u}_s(t, x)$  with the parameter  $s > 0$  was defined in lemma 5.1 to be  $\tilde{u}_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi - \Delta + s}} \tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{u} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \epsilon \left( t, \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)$ , we now claim that the following estimate holds:

$$\mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{u}) \geq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int |\epsilon|^2 + \mathcal{O}(K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}), \quad (6.16)$$

where  $C > 0$  is some positive constant.

Indeed, from the lemma 4.2 and the estimate (6.5) we obtain that

$$|\mathbf{Mod}(t)| \lesssim K^2 \lambda^4(t). \quad (6.17)$$

We find that  $w = \tilde{Q}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \tilde{Q} &= e^{i\gamma(t)} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ -\frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} \Lambda Q_{\mathcal{P}} + i\gamma_t Q_{\mathcal{P}} + a_t \frac{\partial Q_{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial a} - \frac{\alpha_t}{\lambda} \cdot \nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}} \right] \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{\lambda} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{i}{\lambda} + \frac{a}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{Q} + a \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{Q} + \mathcal{O}(K\lambda^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where we use the uniform bounds  $\|\partial_a Q_{\mathcal{P}}\| \lesssim 1$ ,  $\|\partial_b Q_{\mathcal{P}}\| \lesssim 1$  and the fact that  $|a_t| \lesssim K$ ,  $|b_t| \lesssim K$ , which can be seen from (6.17) and (6.6). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}-\Re \int \partial_t \tilde{Q} |\tilde{u}|^2 &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \Im \int \tilde{Q} |\tilde{u}|^2 - \frac{a}{\lambda} \Re \int \tilde{Q} |\tilde{u}|^2 \\ &\quad - a \Re \int \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{\lambda} \right) |\tilde{u}|^2 \cdot \nabla \tilde{Q} + \mathcal{O}(K\lambda^{-1} \|\epsilon\|_2^2).\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{u})$  and expressing everything in terms of  $\epsilon(t, x) = \lambda \tilde{u}(t, \lambda x + \alpha)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{u}) &\geq \frac{a}{2\lambda^2} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \left( \frac{x}{A} \right) |\nabla \epsilon_s|^2 dx ds + \int |\epsilon|^2 - 2 \int Q_{1\mathcal{P}} (\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2A^2} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta^2 \phi \left( \frac{x}{A} \right) |\epsilon_s|^2 dx ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\Re \left( \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x}{A} \right) \left( \frac{3}{4}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} |\epsilon|^2 Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{1}{4}|Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} \epsilon^2 \bar{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}} \right) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$-2 \int x \cdot (|\epsilon|^2 \nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}) \Big\} + \mathcal{O}(K\lambda^{-1} \|\epsilon\|_2^2). \quad (6.18)$$

Next, we note that the definition of  $\phi$  and we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Re \int A \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x}{A} \right) \left( \frac{3}{4} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} |\epsilon|^2 Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{1}{4} |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} \epsilon^2 \bar{Q}_{\mathcal{P}} \right) \cdot \overline{\nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}} - x \cdot |\epsilon|^2 \nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}} \right| \\ & \lesssim \|(A + |x|) \nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}\|_{L^\infty(|x| \geq A)} \|\epsilon\|_2^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{A} \|\epsilon\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the uniform decay estimate of  $\nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Furthermore, thanks to lemma C.3, we have

$$\left| \int_{s=0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int \Delta^2 \phi_A |\epsilon_s|^2 dx ds \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{A} \|\epsilon\|_2^2. \quad (6.19)$$

Recalling the definitions of  $L_{+,A}$  and  $L_{-,A}$  in (C.1) and (C.2), we deduce that

$$K_A(\tilde{u}) = \frac{a}{2\lambda^2} \left\{ (L_{+,A} \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + (L_{-,A} \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{A} \|\epsilon\|_2^2 \right) \right\} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \mathcal{O}(K\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\epsilon\|_2^2). \quad (6.20)$$

Next, we recall that  $a \sim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$  due to the above. Hence, by lemma C.2 and choosing the  $A > 0$  sufficiently large, we deduce from previous estimates that

$$K_A(\tilde{u}) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left\{ \int |\epsilon|^2 - (\epsilon_1, Q)^2 \right\} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int |\epsilon|^2 + \mathcal{O}(K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}). \quad (6.21)$$

**Step 4 Controlling the remainder terms in  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{A}}$ .** We now control the terms that appear in lemma 5.1 and contain  $\psi$ . Here we recall that  $w = \tilde{Q}$  and (5.3), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = & \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left[ i(a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2) \partial_a Q_{\mathcal{P}} - i \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) \Lambda Q_{\mathcal{P}} + i(b_s + ab) \partial_v Q_{\mathcal{P}} \right. \\ & \left. - i \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot \nabla Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \tilde{\gamma}_s Q_{\mathcal{P}} + \Phi_{\mathcal{P}} \right] \left( \frac{x - \alpha}{\lambda} \right) e^{i\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  is the error term given in lemma 3.1. In fact, by the estimate for  $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  from lemma 3.1 and recalling (6.17), we deduce the rough pointwise bounds:

$$|\nabla^k \psi(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^{2+k}} \left\langle \frac{x - \alpha}{\lambda} \right\rangle^{-3} K^2 \lambda^2, \text{ for } k = 0, 1. \quad (6.22)$$

Hence

$$\|\nabla^k \psi\|_2 \lesssim K^2 \lambda^{1-k}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1. \quad (6.23)$$

In particular, we obtain the following bounds

$$\|\psi\|_2^2 \lesssim K^4 \lambda^6, \quad (6.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \Im \left( \int \left[ iaA \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \cdot \nabla \psi + i \frac{a}{2\lambda} \Delta \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{A\lambda} \right) \psi \right] \right) \right| \\
& \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_2 + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_2 \\
& \lesssim K^2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\epsilon\|_2 \lesssim o \left( \frac{\|\epsilon\|_2^2}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Write  $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$  with  $\psi_2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}|\mathbf{Mod}| + a^5) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{13}{2}})$ , that is, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} & \left[ - (a_s + \frac{1}{2}a^2) S_{1,0} - i \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right) \Lambda Q - (b_s + ab) S_{0,1} \right. \\
& \left. - i \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right) \cdot \nabla Q + \tilde{\gamma}_s Q \right] \left( \frac{x-\alpha}{\lambda} \right) e^{i\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us first deal with estimating the contributions coming from  $\psi_2$ . Indeed, since  $a^2 + |b| \sim \lambda$  we note that  $\psi_2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}})$  satisfies the pointwise bound

$$|\nabla^k \psi_2(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^{2+k}} \left\langle \frac{x-\alpha}{\lambda} \right\rangle^{-3} K^2 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1. \tag{6.26}$$

Hence

$$\|\nabla^k \psi_2\|_2 \lesssim K^2 \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}-k}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1. \tag{6.27}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \Re \left( \int \left[ -D\psi_2 - \frac{\psi_2}{\lambda} + \frac{3}{2}|w|\psi_2 + \frac{1}{2}|w|^{-1}w^2\bar{\psi}_2 \right] \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
& \lesssim \left( \|\nabla \psi_2\|_2 + \lambda^{-1} \|\psi_2\|_2 + \|w\|_2^{2/3} \|\nabla w\|_2^{1/3} \|\psi_2\|_2^{2/3} \|\nabla \psi_2\|_2^{1/3} \right) \|\epsilon\|_2 \\
& \lesssim K^2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\epsilon\|_2 \lesssim o \left( \frac{\|\epsilon\|_2^2}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

which is acceptable. Here we used the Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality. We finally use the fact that  $\psi_1$  belongs to the generalized null space of  $L = (L_+, L_-)$  and hence an extra factor of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$  is gained using the orthogonality conditions obeyed by  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ . Indeed, we find the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \Re \left( \int \left[ -D\psi_1 - \frac{\psi_1}{\lambda} + \frac{3}{2}|w|\psi_1 + \frac{1}{2}|w|^{-1}w^2\bar{\psi}_1 \right] \tilde{u} \right) \right| \\
& \lesssim \frac{|\mathbf{Mod}(t)|}{\lambda^2} [ |(\epsilon_2, L_- S_{1,0})| + |(\epsilon_2, L_- S_{0,1})| + |(\epsilon_2, L_- Q)| + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P} \|\epsilon\|_2) ] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left| \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + a \right| |(\epsilon_1, L_+ \Lambda Q)| + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left| \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} - b \right| |(\epsilon_1, L_+ \nabla Q)| \\
& \lesssim K^2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\epsilon\|_2 + \frac{K^2 \lambda \|\epsilon\|_2}{\lambda^2} (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\epsilon\|_2 + K^2 \lambda^2) \\
& \lesssim o \left( \frac{\|\epsilon\|_2^2}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + K^4 \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

Here we used (6.17) once again and  $|\mathcal{P}| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , as well as  $(\epsilon_2, L_- S_{1,0}) = (\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\|\epsilon\|_2)$  and  $(\epsilon_2, L_- S_{0,1}) = -(\epsilon_2, \nabla Q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\|\epsilon\|_2)$ , thanks to the orthogonality conditions for  $\epsilon$ . Moreover, we used that  $L_+ \nabla Q = 0$  and  $L_+ \Lambda Q = -Q$  together with the improved bound in lemma 4.2, combined with the fact that  $|(\epsilon_1, Q)| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\epsilon\|_2 + K^2 \lambda^2$ , which follows from  $\|\epsilon\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}$  and the conservation of  $L^2$ -norm. And the proof of this lemma is complete.  $\square$

## 7 Existence of minimal mass blowup solutions

In this section, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\gamma_0, P_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $E_0 > 0$  be given. Then there exist a time  $t_0 < 0$  and a solution  $u \in C^0([t_0, 0); H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2))$  of (1.1) such that  $u$  blowup at time  $T = 0$  with*

$$E(u) = E_0, \quad P(u) = P_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_2^2 = \|Q\|_2^2.$$

Furthermore, we have  $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_2 \sim t^{-2}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^-$ , and  $u$  is of the form

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} [Q_{\mathcal{P}(t)} + \epsilon] \left( t, \frac{x - \alpha}{\lambda} \right) e^{i\gamma(t)} = \tilde{Q} + \tilde{u},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(t) = (a(t), b(t))$ , and  $\epsilon$  satisfies the orthogonality condition (4.4). Finally, the following estimate hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}\|_2 &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{1/2}} \lesssim \lambda, \\ \lambda(t) - \frac{t^2}{4A_0^2} &= \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5), \quad \frac{a}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}(t) - \frac{1}{A_0} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2), \quad \frac{b}{\lambda}(t) - B_0 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2), \\ \gamma(t) &= -\frac{4A_0^2}{t} + \gamma_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \alpha(t) = x_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [t_0, 0)$  and  $t$  sufficiently close to 0. Here  $A_0 > 0$  and  $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are the constant and the vector defined in (6.3) and (6.4), respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $t_n \rightarrow 0^-$  be a sequence of negative times and let  $u_n$  be the solution to (1.1) with initial data at  $t = t_n$  given by

$$u_n(t_n, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n(t_n)} Q_{\mathcal{P}_n(t_n)} \left( \frac{x - \alpha_n(t_n)}{\lambda_n(t_n)} \right) e^{i\gamma_n(t_n)}, \quad (7.1)$$

where the sequence  $\mathcal{P}_n(t_n) = (a_n(t_n), b_n(t_n))$  and  $\{\lambda_n(t_n), \alpha_n(t_n)\}$  are given by

$$a_n(t_n) = -\frac{t_n}{2A_0}, \quad \lambda_n(t_n) = \frac{t_n^2}{4A_0^2}, \quad \gamma_n(t_n) = \gamma_0 - \frac{4A_0^2}{t_n}, \quad (7.2)$$

$$b_n(t_n) = \frac{B_0 t_n^2}{2A_0}, \quad \alpha_n(t_n) = x_0. \quad (7.3)$$

By lemma 3.2, we have

$$\int |u_n(t_n)|^2 = \int |Q|^2 + \mathcal{O}(t_n^6), \quad (7.4)$$



and  $\tilde{u}(t_n) = 0$  by construction. Thus  $u_n$  satisfies the assumptions of lemma 6.1. Hence we can find a backwards time  $t_0$  independent of  $n$  such that for al  $t \in [t_0, t_1)$  we have the geometric decomposition

$$u_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n(t)} Q_{\mathcal{P}_n(t)} \left( \frac{x - \alpha_n(t)}{\lambda_n(t)} \right) + \tilde{u}_n(t, x), \quad (7.5)$$

with the uniform bounds given by

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}_n\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\tilde{u}_n\|_2^2}{\lambda_n(t)} \lesssim \lambda_n^2(t), \quad (7.6)$$

$$\left| \lambda_n(t) - \frac{t^2}{4A_0^2} \right| \lesssim K\lambda_n^5(t), \quad \left| \frac{a_n(t)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} - \frac{1}{A_0} \right| \lesssim K\lambda_n^2(t), \quad \left| \frac{b_n(t)}{\lambda_n(t)} - B_0 \right| \lesssim K\lambda_n^2(t). \quad (7.7)$$

Next, we conclude that  $\{u_n(t_0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges strongly in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (after passing to a subsequence if necessary). Indeed, from the uniform bound  $\|\tilde{u}_n(t_0)\|_{H^{1/2}} \lesssim 1$  we can assume (after passing to a subsequence if necessary) that  $u_n(t_0) \rightharpoonup u_0$  weakly in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Moreover, we note the uniform bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \int \chi_R |u_n|^2 \right| &= \left| \int \chi_R ((u_n)_t \bar{u}_n + u_n (\bar{u}_n)_t) \right| \\ &= \left| \int u_n [\chi_R, iD] \bar{u}_n \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla \chi_R\|_\infty \|u_n\|_2^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

with a smooth cutoff function  $\chi_R(x) = \chi(\frac{x}{R})$  where  $\chi(x) \equiv 0$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\chi(x) \equiv 1$  for  $|x| \geq 2$ . Note that we used the commutator estimate (which we can see [23])

$$\|[\chi_R, D]\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla \chi_R\|_\infty.$$

By integrating the previous bound from  $t_1$  to  $t_0$  and using the previous estimate (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3), we derive that for every  $\tau > 0$  there is a radius  $R > 0$  such that

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} |u_n(t_0)|^2 \leq \tau \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Combining this fact with the weak convergence of  $\{u_n(t_0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we deduce that

$$u_n(t_0) \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for every } s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]. \quad (7.9)$$

Thus, by local well – posedness, we can solve the Cauchy problem (1.1) and find

$$u \in C([t_0, T]; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2))$$

and obtain

$$u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t) \text{ strongly in } H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for } t \in [t_0, T), \quad (7.10)$$

where  $T > t_0$  is the lifetime of  $u$  on the right. Moreover,  $u$  for  $t < \min\{T, 0\}$  a geometrical decomposition of the form state in above with

$$a_n(t) \rightarrow a(t), \quad b_n(t) \rightarrow b(t), \quad \lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t), \quad \gamma_n(t) \rightarrow \gamma(t), \quad \alpha_n(t) \rightarrow \alpha(t). \quad (7.11)$$

Furthermore, we deduce that

$$\|\tilde{u}(t)\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ and } \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{H^{1/2}} \lesssim \lambda.$$

for  $t \in [t_0, T)$ . In particular, this implies that  $u(t)$  blows up at time  $T = 0$  such that

$$\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_2^2 \sim \lambda^{-2}(t) \sim |t|^{-4} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^-.$$

In addition, we deduce from  $L^2$ -mass conversation and the strong convergence that

$$\|u\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t_n)\|_2 = \|Q\|_2.$$

As for the energy, we note that

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{a^2}{\lambda} e_1 + o(1) \rightarrow E_0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^-,$$

by the choice of  $A_0$ ,  $a_n(t_n)$  and  $\lambda_n(t_n)$ . By energy conversation, this implies that

$$E(u) = E_0$$

Also, we observe that

$$P(u(t)) = \frac{b}{\lambda} p_1 + o(1) \rightarrow P_0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^-,$$

by our choice of  $B_0$ ,  $a_n(t_n)$  and  $\lambda_n(t_n)$ . By momentum conversation, this shows that

$$P(u) = P_0.$$

Next, we recall that rough bound

$$|\tilde{\gamma}_s| \lesssim \lambda_n.$$

Therefore, using that  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \lambda^{-1}$  and the estimates for  $\lambda_n$

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left( \gamma_n + \frac{4A_0^2}{t} \right) \right| = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| (\gamma_n)_s - \frac{4A_0^2 \lambda_n}{t^2} \right| = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| (\tilde{\gamma}_n)_s - \frac{4A_0^2 \lambda_n}{t^2} + 1 \right| \lesssim 1.$$

Integrating this bound and using (7.2) and  $\lambda \sim t^2$ , we find

$$\gamma_n(t) + \frac{4A_0^2}{t} = \gamma_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

whence the claim for  $\gamma$  follows, since we have  $\lambda \sim t^2$ . Finally, we recall the rough bound

$\left| \frac{(\alpha_n)_s}{\lambda_n} + b_n \right| \lesssim \lambda_n$ . Integrating this and bounds for  $b_n$  and  $\lambda_n$ , we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} (\alpha_n - x_0) \right| = \left| \frac{(\alpha_n)_s}{\lambda_n} \right| \lesssim \lambda_n + |b_n| \lesssim \lambda_n.$$

Integrating this and using (7.3), we find that

$$\alpha_n(t) = x_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}),$$

which shows that the claims for  $\alpha(t)$  holds. □

## A Appendix A

In this section, we collect some regularity and decay estimates concerning the linearized operators  $L_+$  and  $L_-$ .

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $f, g \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $k \geq 0$  and suppose  $f \perp Q$  and  $g \perp \partial_{x_j} Q$ , where  $j = 1, 2$ . Then we have the regularity bounds*

$$\|L_+^{-1}g\|_{H^{k+1}} \lesssim \|g\|_{H^k}, \quad \|L_-^{-1}f\|_{H^{k+1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^k},$$

and the decay estimates

$$\|\langle x \rangle^3 L_-^{-1}g\|_\infty \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle^3 g\|_\infty, \quad \|\langle x \rangle^3 L_+^{-1}f\|_\infty \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle^3 f\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the lemma for  $L_-^{-1}g$ , since the estimate for  $L_+^{-1}f$  follow in the same fashion.

To show the regularity bound, we can assume that  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is an integer. Let  $L_-^{-1}g = h$ , and thus

$$Dh + h = Qh + g.$$

Note that  $Q \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by Sobolev embeddings and the fact that  $Q \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Applying  $\nabla^k + 1$  to the equation above and using the Leibniz rule and Hölder, we find that

$$\|h\|_{H^{k+1}} \sim \|(\nabla^k + 1)(Dh + h)\|_2 \lesssim \|Q\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \|h\|_{H^k} + \|g\|_{H^k}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Note, in particular, that  $\|h\|_2 = \|L_-^{-1}g\|_2 \lesssim \|g\|_2$  holds, since  $L_-$  has a bound inverse on  $Q^\perp$ . Hence (A.1) shows that the desired regularity estimates are true for  $k = 0$ . By induction, we obtain the desired estimate  $\|L_-^{-1}g\|_{H^{k+1}} \lesssim \|g\|_{H^k}$  for any integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To show the decay estimate, we argue as follows. Assume that  $\|\langle x \rangle^{-3}g\|_\infty < +\infty$ , because otherwise there is nothing to prove. As above, let  $L_-^{-1}g = h$  and rewrite the equation satisfied by  $h$  in resolvent form:

$$h = \frac{1}{D}Qh + \frac{1}{D}g.$$

Let  $R(x - y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\frac{1}{|\xi|+1})(x - y)$  denote the associated kernel of the resolvent  $(D + 1)^{-1}$ . From [10] we recall the standard fact that  $R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p \in [1, \infty]$  with  $1 - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , this implies that  $(R * h)(x)$  is continuous and vanishes as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover we have the pointwise bound

$$0 < R(z) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^3}, \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1.$$

Using this bound and our decay assumption on  $h(x)$ , it is elementary to check that

$$|R * g(x)| \lesssim \min\{1, |x|^{-3}\}.$$

Using this bound, we can bootstrap the equation for  $f$ , using that  $Q$  is continuous and vanishes at infinity; we refer to [12] for details on a similar decay estimate. This shows that  $|f(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3}$  as desired.  $\square$

## B On the modulation equations

Here we collect some results and estimates regarding the modulation theory used in section 4.

### B.1 Uniqueness of modulation parameters

First, we show that the parameters  $\{a, b, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma\}$  are uniquely determined if  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is sufficiently small and satisfies the orthogonality conditions (4.4). Indeed, this follows from an implicit function argument, which we detail here.

For  $\delta > 0$ , let  $W_\delta = \{w \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|w - Q\|_{H^{1/2}} < \delta\}$ . Consider approximate blowup profiles  $Q_{\mathcal{P}}$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = |(a, b)| < \eta$ , where  $\eta > 0$  is a small constant. For  $w \in W_\delta$ ,  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|\mathcal{P}| < \eta$ , we define

$$\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b}(y) = e^{i\gamma_1} \lambda_1 w(\lambda_1 y - y_0) - Q_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Consider the map  $\sigma = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, \sigma^4, \sigma^5, \sigma^6, \sigma^7)$  define by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^1 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_0, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}), \\ \sigma^2 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}), \\ \sigma^3 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \rho_2) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \rho_1), \\ \sigma^4 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \partial_1 Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \partial_1 Q_{2\mathcal{P}}), \\ \sigma^5 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \partial_2 Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \partial_2 Q_{2\mathcal{P}}), \\ \sigma^6 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \partial_{b_1} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \partial_{b_1} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}), \\ \sigma^7 &= ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_2, \partial_{b_2} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - ((\epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b})_1, \partial_{b_2} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\rho = \rho_1 + i\rho_2$  was defined in (4.5). Taking the partial derivatives at  $(\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b_1, b_2) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b}}{\partial \lambda_1} &= \Lambda w, \quad \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b}}{\partial y_{1,j}} = -\partial_j w, \quad \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b}}{\partial \gamma_1} = iw, \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b}}{\partial a} &= -\partial_a Q_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}=(0,0)} = -iS_{1,0}, \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b}}{\partial b_j} &= -\partial_{b_j} Q_{\mathcal{P}}|_{\mathcal{P}=(0,0)} = -iS_{0,1,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  and  $L_- S_{0,1} = -\nabla Q$ . Note that  $S_{1,0}$  is an radial function, whereas  $S_{0,1}$  is antisymmetry. At  $(\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b_1, b_2, w) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, Q)$ , the Jacobian of the map  $\sigma$  is hence given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial y_{1,1}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial y_{1,2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial \gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial a} = -(S_{1,0}, L_- S_{1,0}), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial b_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^1}{\partial b_2} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial \lambda_1} &= -(S_{1,0}, L_- S_{1,0}), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial y_{1,1}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial y_{1,2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial \gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial b_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial b_2} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial y_{1,1}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial y_{1,2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial \gamma_1} = -(Q, \rho_1), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial b_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^3}{\partial b_2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial y_{1,1}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial y_{1,2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial \gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial b_1} = -(L_- S_{0,1,1}, S_{0,1,1}), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^4}{\partial b_2} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial y_{1,1}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial y_{1,2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial \gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial b_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^5}{\partial b_2} = -(L_- S_{0,1,2}, S_{0,1,2}), \\
\frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial y_{1,1}} = (L_- S_{0,1,1}, S_{0,1,1}), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial y_{1,2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial \gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial b_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^6}{\partial b_2} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial y_{1,1}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial y_{1,2}} = (L_- S_{0,1,2}, S_{0,1,2}), \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial \gamma_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial a} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial b_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma^7}{\partial b_2} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we used here that  $Q$  and  $S_{1,0}$  are the radial symmetry functions, whereas  $S_{0,1}$  is antisymmetry, for example  $(Q, S_{0,1}) = 0$ . Moreover, we note

$$-(Q, \rho_1) = (L_+ \Lambda Q, \rho_1) = -(\Lambda Q, L_+ \rho_1) = -(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0}) = -(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}).$$

Since  $(L_- S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0$  and  $(L_- S_{0,1,j}, S_{0,1,j}) > 0$ , hence the determinant of the functional matrix is nonzero. By the implicit function theorem, we obtain existence and uniqueness for  $(\lambda_1, y_1, \gamma_1, a, b_1, b_2, w)$  in some neighborhood around  $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, Q)$ .

## B.2 Estimates for the modulation equations

To conclude this section, we collect some estimates needed in the discussion of the modulation equations in section 4.

**Lemma B.1.** *The following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(M_-(\epsilon) - a\Lambda\epsilon_1 + b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_1, \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (M_+(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) \\
&= -\Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2), \tag{B.1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(M_-(\epsilon) - a\Lambda\epsilon_1 + b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_1, \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (M_+(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2), \tag{B.2}$$

$$(M_-(\epsilon) - a\Lambda\epsilon_1 + b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_1, \rho_2) + (M_+(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_2, \rho_1) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2), \tag{B.3}$$

$$(M_-(\epsilon) - a\Lambda\epsilon_1 + b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_1, \partial_j Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (M_+(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_2, \partial_j Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2), \tag{B.4}$$

$$(M_-(\epsilon) - a\Lambda\epsilon_1 + b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_1, \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + (M_+(\epsilon) + a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla\epsilon_2, \partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2). \tag{B.5}$$

*Proof.* First, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_+(\epsilon) &= L_+ \epsilon_1 - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \epsilon_2 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\epsilon), \\
M_-(\epsilon) &= L_- \epsilon_2 - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} \epsilon_1 + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

We have notice the identity

$$L_- \Lambda S_{1,0} = -S_{1,0} + 2(\Lambda Q) Q S_{1,0} + \Lambda Q + \Lambda^2 Q. \tag{B.6}$$

To see this relation, we recall that  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  and hence

$$L_- \Lambda S_{1,0} = [L_-, \Lambda] S_{1,0} + \Lambda L_- S_{1,0} = D S_{1,0} + (x \cdot \nabla Q) S_{1,0} + \Lambda^2 Q$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -S_{1,0} + |Q|S_{1,0} + \Lambda Q + (x \cdot \nabla Q)S_{1,0} + \Lambda^2 Q \\
&= -S_{1,0} + \Lambda Q + (\Lambda Q)S_{1,0} + \Lambda^2 Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the identity is hold. Similarly, we deduce from  $L_- S_{0,1} = -\nabla Q$  that

$$L_- \Lambda S_{0,1} = -S_{0,1} - \nabla Q + (\Lambda Q)S_{0,1} - \Lambda \nabla Q. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Next, we recall that

$$\Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}} = \Lambda Q + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = a\Lambda S_{1,0} + b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2).$$

Combining (B.6) and (B.7) with the fact and using that  $L_+ \Lambda Q = -Q$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{left-hand side of (B.1)} \\
&= a(\epsilon_2, L_- \Lambda S_{1,0}) + (\epsilon_2, b \cdot L_- \Lambda S_{0,1}) - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}(Q_{1\mathcal{P}}Q_{2\mathcal{P}}\epsilon_1, a\Lambda S_{1,0} + b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1}) \\
&\quad + (-a\Lambda\epsilon_1 + b \cdot \Lambda \nabla \epsilon_1, a\Lambda S_{1,0} + b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1}) + (\epsilon_1, L_+ \Lambda Q) + (a\Lambda\epsilon_2 - b \cdot \nabla \epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) \\
&\quad - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}(Q_{1\mathcal{P}}Q_{2\mathcal{P}}\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= -(\epsilon_1, Q) - a(\epsilon_2, S_{1,0}) - (\epsilon_2, b \cdot S_{0,1}) + a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) - (\epsilon_2, b \cdot \nabla Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= -\Re(\epsilon, Q_{\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that  $a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2)$  and  $(\epsilon_2, b \cdot \nabla Q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2)$ , which follows from the orthogonality condition (4.4).

**Estimate (B.2).** From lemma 3.2 we recall that

$$\partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}} = 2aT_{2,0} + b \cdot T_{1,1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = S_{1,0} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2),$$

where

$$L_+ T_{2,0} = \frac{1}{2}S_{1,0} - \Lambda S_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2}|S_{1,0}|^2, \quad L_+ T_{1,1} = S_{0,1} - \Lambda S_{0,1} + \nabla S_{1,0} + S_{1,0}S_{0,1}.$$

Using this fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{left-hand side of (B.2)} \\
&= (\epsilon_2, L_- S_{1,0}) - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}(Q_{1\mathcal{P}}Q_{2\mathcal{P}}\epsilon_1, S_{1,0}) + a(\epsilon_1, \Lambda S_{1,0}) \\
&\quad - (\epsilon_1, b \cdot \nabla S_{1,0}) + 2a(\epsilon_1, L_+ T_{2,0}) + (\epsilon_1, b \cdot L_+ T_{1,1}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= (\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}((aQ S_{1,0} + b \cdot Q S_{0,1})\epsilon_1, S_{1,0}) + a(\epsilon_1, \Lambda S_{1,0}) \\
&\quad - (\epsilon_1, b \cdot \nabla S_{1,0}) + 2a \left( \epsilon_1, \frac{1}{2}S_{1,0} - \Lambda S_{1,0} + \frac{1}{2}|S_{1,0}|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + (\epsilon_1, b \cdot S_{0,1} - b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1}) + \nabla S_{1,0} + b \cdot S_{1,0}S_{0,1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= (\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q) - a(\epsilon_1, \Lambda S_{1,0}) - (\epsilon_1, b \cdot \Lambda S_{0,1}) + (\epsilon_1, b \cdot S_{0,1}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= (\epsilon_2, \Lambda Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) - (\epsilon_1, \Lambda Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we also used that  $(\epsilon_1, b \cdot S_{0,1}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2)$ , thanks to the orthogonality condition (4.4).

**Estimate (B.3).** Indeed, by the definition of  $\rho = \rho_1 + i\rho_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{left-hand side of (B.3)} \\
&= (\epsilon_2, L_- \rho_2) + (\epsilon_1, L_+ \rho_1) - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}((aQS_{1,0} + b \cdot QS_{0,1})\epsilon_2, \rho_1) \\
&\quad - a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda \rho_1) + (\epsilon_2, b \cdot \nabla \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= a(\epsilon_2, S_{1,0} \rho_1) + a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda \rho_1) - 2a(\epsilon_2, T_{2,0}) + (\epsilon_2, b \cdot S_{0,1} \rho_1) \\
&\quad - (\epsilon_2, b \cdot \nabla \rho_1) - (\epsilon_2, b \cdot T_{1,1}) + (\epsilon_1, S_{1,0}) - |Q_{\mathcal{P}}|^{-1}((aQS_{1,0} + b \cdot QS_{0,1})\epsilon_2, \rho_1) \\
&\quad - a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda \rho_1) + (\epsilon_2, b \cdot \nabla \rho_1) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= -2a(\epsilon_2, T_{2,0}) - (\epsilon_2, b \cdot T_{1,1}) + (\epsilon_1, S_{1,0}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= -(\epsilon_2, \partial_a Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + (\epsilon_1, \partial_a Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the orthogonality condition (4.4).

**Estimate (B.4).** First, we note that

$$\nabla Q_{1\mathcal{P}} = \nabla Q + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \nabla Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = a\nabla S_{1,0} + \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j \nabla S_{0,1,j} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2).$$

Moreover, we have the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
L_+ \nabla Q &= 0, \quad L_- \nabla S_{1,0} = (\nabla Q) S_{1,0} + \nabla \Lambda Q, \\
L_- \nabla S_{0,1} &= (\nabla Q) \cdot S_{0,1} - \nabla \cdot \nabla Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, since  $[D, \nabla] = 0$ , we have

$$L_+ \nabla Q = (D + 1 - 2Q) \nabla Q = \nabla(DQ + Q - Q^2) = 0.$$

Due to the fact that  $[L_-, \nabla] = [Q, \nabla]$ , we obtain

$$L_- \nabla S_{1,0} = [L_-, \nabla] S_{1,0} + \nabla L_- S_{1,0} = (\nabla Q) S_{1,0} + \nabla \Lambda Q,$$

where we use  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$ . Similarly, we can obtain  $L_- \nabla S_{0,1,j} = (\nabla Q) \cdot S_{0,1,j} - \nabla(\partial_{x_j} Q)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Thus, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{left-hand side of (B.4)} \\
&= a(\epsilon_2, L_- \partial_j S_{1,0}) + b_j(\epsilon_2, L_- \partial_j S_{0,1,j}) + (\epsilon_1, L_+ \partial_j Q) - a(\epsilon_2 Q S_{1,0}, \partial_j Q) \\
&\quad - b_j(\epsilon_2 Q S_{0,1,j}, \partial_j Q) - a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda \partial_j Q) + b_j(\epsilon_2, \partial_j(\partial_{x_j} Q)) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= a(\epsilon_2, \partial_j Q S_{1,0} + \partial_j \Lambda Q) + b_j(\epsilon_2, \partial_j Q S_{0,1,j} - \partial_j(\partial_{x_j} Q)) \\
&\quad - a(\epsilon_2 Q S_{1,0}, \partial_j Q) - b_j(\epsilon_2 Q S_{0,1,j}, \partial_j Q) - a(\epsilon_2, \Lambda \partial_j Q) \\
&\quad + b_j(\epsilon_2, \partial_j(\partial_{x_j} Q)) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= a(\epsilon_2, [\partial_j, \Lambda] Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\
&= a(\epsilon_2, \partial_j Q) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $a(\epsilon_2, \partial_j Q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2)$  due to the orthogonality condition (4.4).

**Estimate (B.5).** We note that

$$\partial_{b_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}} = aT_{1,1,j} + 2b_j T_{0,2,j} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2), \quad \partial_{b_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}} = S_{0,1,j} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2),$$

where

$$L_+ T_{0,2,j} = \partial_{x_j} S_{0,1,j} + \frac{1}{2} |S_{0,1,j}|^2.$$

Using the above relations, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{left-hand side of (B.5)} \\ &= (\epsilon_2, L_- S_{0,1,j}) - a(\epsilon_1 Q S_{1,0}, S_{0,1,j}) - b_j(\epsilon_1 S_{0,1,j} Q, S_{0,1}) + a(\epsilon_1, \Lambda S_{0,1,j}) \\ & \quad - b_j(\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} S_{0,1,j}) + a(\epsilon_1, L_+ T_{1,1,j}) + 2b_j(\epsilon_2, L_+ T_{0,2,j}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\ &= -(\epsilon_2, \partial_{x_j} Q) - a(\epsilon_1 Q S_{1,0}, S_{0,1,j}) - b_j(\epsilon_1 S_{0,1,j} Q, S_{0,1,j}) + a(\epsilon_1, \Lambda S_{0,1,j}) \\ & \quad - b_j(\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} S_{0,1,j}) + a(\epsilon_1, S_{0,1,j} - \Lambda S_{0,1,j} + \nabla S_{1,0} + S_{0,1,j} S_{1,0}) \\ & \quad + 2b_j(\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} S_{0,1,j} + \frac{1}{2} |S_{0,1,j}|^2) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\ &= -(\epsilon_2, \partial_{x_j} Q) + a(\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} S_{1,0}) + b_j(\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} S_{0,1,j}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\ &= -(\epsilon_2, \partial_{x_j} Q_{1\mathcal{P}}) + (\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} Q_{2\mathcal{P}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}^2 \|\epsilon\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we use the orthogonality condition (4.4) and hence we proven this lemma.  $\square$

## C Coercivity estimate for the localized energy

In the following, we assume that  $A > 0$  is a sufficiently large constant. Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the smooth cutoff function introduced in (5.6), Section 5. For  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we consider the quadratic forms

$$L_{+,A}(\epsilon_1) := \int_{s=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(\epsilon_1)_s|^2 dx ds + \int |\epsilon_1|^2 - 2 \int Q |\epsilon_1|^2 \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$L_{-,A}(\epsilon_2) := \int_{s=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(\epsilon_2)_s|^2 dx ds + \int |\epsilon_2|^2 - \int Q |\epsilon_2|^2, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where  $\Delta \phi_A = \Delta(\phi(\frac{x}{A}))$ . As in lemma 5.1, we denote

$$u_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi - \Delta + s}} u. \quad \text{for } s > 0. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

We start with the following simple identity.

For  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_s|^2 dx ds = \|D^{1/2} u\|_2^2. \quad (\text{C.4})$$



Indeed, by applying Fubini's theorem and using Fourier transform, we find that

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_s|^2 dx ds = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{s} ds}{(\xi^2 + s)^2} |\xi|^2 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \|D^{1/2} u\|_2^2.$$

In general, we have

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_s|^2 dx ds = \|D^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} u\|_2^2. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Next, we establish a technical result, which show that, when taking the limit  $A \rightarrow +\infty$ , the quadratic form  $\int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla u_s|^2 dx ds + \|u\|_2^2$  defines a weak topology that serves as a useful substitute for weak convergence in  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . The precise statement reads as follows.

**Lemma C.1.** *Let  $A_n \rightarrow \infty$  and suppose that  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |\nabla(u_n)_s|^2 dx ds + \|u_n\|_2^2 \leq C,$$

*for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$ . Then, after possibly passing to a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , we have that*

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

*and  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover, we have the bound*

$$\|D^{1/2} u\|_2^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |\nabla(u_n)_s|^2 dx ds.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a smooth cutoff function in Fourier space that satisfies

$$\hat{\eta}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |\xi| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For any  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we write  $u = u^l + u^h$  with

$$\hat{u}^l = \hat{\eta} \hat{u}, \quad \hat{u}^h = (1 - \hat{\eta}) \hat{u}.$$

Recall the definition of  $u_s$ , we readily notice that the relations

$$(u^l)_s = (u_s)^l, \quad (u^h)_s = (u_s)^h.$$

**Step 1 control of  $u^h$ .** Let  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a smooth cutoff function such that

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For any  $R > 0$  given, we set

$$\chi_R(x) = \chi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right).$$

We now claim the following control: For any  $R > 0$ , there exist constants  $C_R > 0$  and  $A_0 = A_0(R) > 0$  such that for any  $A \geq A_0$  and  $u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have

$$\int |D^{1/2}(\chi_R u^h)|^2 \leq C_R \left[ \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla u_s^h|^2 dx ds + \|u\|_2^2 \right]. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Indeed, from definition (C.3) we see that

$$-\Delta(\chi_R u^h)_s + s(\chi_R u^h)_s = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \chi_R u^h. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

On the other hand, an elementary calculation show that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(\chi_R(u_s)^h) + s\chi_R(u_s)^h &= \chi_R(-\Delta(u_s)^h + s(u_s)^h) - 2\nabla\chi_R \cdot \nabla(u_s)^h - (u_s)^h \Delta\chi_R \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \chi_R(u_s)^h - 2\nabla\chi_R \cdot \nabla(u_s)^h - (u_s)^h \Delta\chi_R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function

$$w := \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \{(\chi_R u^h)_s - \chi_R(u_s)^h\}$$

satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta w + sw = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \{2\nabla\chi_R \cdot \nabla(u_s)^h + (u_s)^h \Delta\chi_R\}.$$

Hence, we deduce the bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla w|^2 + s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \{|\nabla\chi_R| |\nabla(u_s)^h| + |(u_s)^h| |\Delta\chi_R|\} |w|.$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla w|^2 + s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w|^2 &\leq C_R \left\{ \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 + \int |(u_s)^h|^2 \right\} \text{ for } s \geq 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla w|^2 + s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w|^2 &\leq \frac{C_R}{s} \left\{ \int |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 + \int |(u_s)^h|^2 \right\} \text{ for } 0 < s < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we apply identity (C.5) and note that  $\hat{u}^h(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| \leq 1$ . For some sufficiently large  $A > A_0(R)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla w|^2 dx ds &\leq C_R \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \left\{ \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 + \int |(u_s)^h|^2 \right\} ds \\ &\leq C_R \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 dx ds + \|D^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^h\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C_R \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 dx ds + \|u\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \sqrt{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla w|^2 dx ds &\leq C_R \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{s}}{s} \int \frac{(1 + |\xi|^2) |\hat{u}^h|^2}{(s + |\xi|^2)^2} d\xi ds \\
&\leq C_R \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \int \frac{1 + |\xi|^2}{|\xi|^4} |\hat{u}^h|^2 d\xi ds \\
&= C_R \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} \frac{1 + |\xi|^2}{|\xi|^4} |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi ds \\
&\leq C_R \|u\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (C.4) and the previous bounds, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\chi_R u^h)\|_2^2 \\
&= \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |\nabla(\chi_R u^h)_s|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |\nabla w|^2 dx ds + \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |\nabla(\chi_R(u^h))_s|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq C_R \left( \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 dx ds + \|u\|_2^2 \right) + \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |u^h|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq C_R \left( \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(u_s)^h|^2 dx ds + \|u\|_2^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the claim (C.6).

**Step 2 Conclusion** Let  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfy the assumption in this lemma. By (C.4), we have for all  $A > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_A |\nabla(u_n^l)_s|^2 dx ds &\leq \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |\nabla(u_n^l)_s|^2 dx ds \\
&= \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n^l\|_2^2 \leq C \|u_n\|_2^2 \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the definition of  $u^l$ . Thus the assumed bound in this lemma ensures that

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |\nabla(u_n^h)_s|^2 dx ds \lesssim C.$$

Therefore we conclude from (C.6) that, for all  $R > 0$ , the  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^{1/2}(B_R)$  and  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Hence, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can find that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for all } R > 0.$$

By the compactness of the Sobolev embedding  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we also have

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

It remains to show the “weak lower semicontinuity property” given by

$$\|D^{1/2} u\|_2^2 = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |\nabla u_s|^2 dx ds \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |\nabla(u_n)_s|^2 dx ds.$$

Indeed, we first note that

$$\nabla(u_n)_s(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int \nabla(G^s(x-y))u_n(y)dy.$$

Since  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\nabla G^s(x-y) \in L_y^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we thus obtain

$$\nabla(u_n)_s(x) \rightarrow \nabla u_s(x) \text{ pointwise on } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ for any } s > 0.$$

Next, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we derive the uniform pointwise bound

$$|\nabla(u_n)_s| \lesssim \|\nabla G^s\|_2 \|(u_n)_s\|_2 \leq C.$$

Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $B > 0$  be given. By the dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s=\epsilon}^{1/\epsilon} \sqrt{s} \int_{|x| \leq B} |\nabla u_s| dx ds &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s=\epsilon}^{1/\epsilon} \sqrt{s} \int_{|x| \leq B} |\nabla(u_n)_s| dx ds \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |\nabla(u_n)_s| dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used Fatou's lemma and the fact that  $\Delta \phi_{A_n} \geq 0$  satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_{A_n} = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since the previous bound holds for arbitrary  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $B > 0$ , we conclude that

$$\|D^{1/2}u\|_2^2 = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int |\nabla u_s|^2 dx ds \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |\nabla(u_n)_s| dx ds.$$

The proof of lemma C.1 is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma C.2.** *Let  $L_{+,A}(\epsilon_1)$  and  $L_{-,A}(\epsilon_2)$  be the quadratic forms defined (C.1) and (C.2), respectively. Then there exist constants  $C_0 > 0$  and  $A_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $A \geq A_0$  and all  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have the coercivity estimate*

$$(L_{+,A}\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_{-,A}\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \geq C_0 \int |\epsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{C_0} \{(\epsilon_1, Q)^2 + (\epsilon_1, S_{1,0})^2 + |(\epsilon_1, S_{0,1})|^2 + |(\epsilon_2, \rho_1)|^2\}.$$

Here  $S_{1,0}$  and  $S_{0,1}$  are the unique functions such that  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  with  $(S_{1,0}, \partial_j Q) = 0$ , where  $j = 1, 2$  and  $L_- S_{0,1} = -\nabla Q$  with  $(S_{0,1}, Q) = 0$ , respectively, and the function  $\rho_1$  is defined in (4.5).

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the coercivity bound

$$(L_{-,A}\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \geq C_0 \int |\epsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{C_0} |(\epsilon_2, \rho_1)|^2, \quad (\text{C.8})$$

since the corresponding estimate for  $L_{+,A}$  follows by the same argument.

To prove (C.8), we argue by contradiction as follows. Suppose that there exists a sequence of functions  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with

$$\|u_n\|_2^2 = 1, \quad (u_n, \rho_1) = 0,$$

as well as a sequence  $A_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int \Delta \phi_{A_n} |u_n|^2 dx ds + \int |u_n|^2 - \int |Q| |u_n|^2 \leq o(1) \int |u_n|^2, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

where  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By applying lemma C.1, we find that (after passing to a subsequence if necessary)

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

But since  $Q(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ , we easily check that  $\int |Q| |u_n|^2 \rightarrow \int |Q| |u|^2$ . Moreover, from (C.9) and  $\|u_n\|_2^2 = 1$ , we deduce that  $\int |Q| |u|^2 \geq 1$  must hold. In particular, the weak limit  $u \not\equiv 0$  is nontrivial. However, by the weak lower semicontinuity inequality in lemma C.1 and the fact that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_n|^2 \geq \int |u|^2$ , we deduce that

$$(L_- u, u) = \int |D^{\frac{1}{2}} u|^2 + \int |u|^2 - \int Q |u|^2 \leq 0, \quad (u, \rho_1) = 0.$$

Since  $u \not\equiv 0$ , this bound contradicts the coercivity estimate for  $L_-$  stated in below.  $\square$

**Lemma C.3.** *For any  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have the bound*

$$\left| \int_{s=0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{s} \int \Delta^2 \phi_A |u_s|^2 dx ds \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{A} \|u\|_2^2.$$

*Proof.* First, we recall that  $\Delta \phi_A(x) = \Delta \phi(\frac{x}{A})$  and hence  $\Delta^2 \phi(x) = \frac{1}{A^2} \Delta^2(\frac{x}{A})$ . Now we consider the following integral

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \int_0^\infty \int \sqrt{s} \Delta^2 \phi(\frac{x}{A}) |u_s|^2 dx ds =: I + II,$$

where

$$I = \frac{1}{A^2} \int_0^\tau \sqrt{s} \int \Delta^2 \phi(\frac{x}{A}) |u_s|^2 dx ds, \quad II = \frac{1}{A^2} \int_\tau^\infty \sqrt{s} \int \Delta^2 \phi(\frac{x}{A}) |u_s|^2 dx ds.$$

Here  $\tau > 0$  is some given number. We can integral by parts twice and use the Hölder inequality to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\lesssim \|\Delta \phi\|_\infty \int_0^\tau \sqrt{s} (\|\Delta u_s\|_2 \|u_s\|_2 + \|\nabla u_s\|_2^2) ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau \sqrt{s} \left( \left\| \frac{-\Delta}{-\Delta + s} u \right\|_2 \left\| \frac{1}{-\Delta + s} u \right\|_2 + \left\| \frac{\nabla}{-\Delta + s} u \right\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{s^{1/2}} ds \|u\|_2^2 \lesssim \sqrt{\tau} \|u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

where we use the bound  $\|u_s\|_2 \lesssim s^{-1} \|u\|_2$ . To estimate  $II$ .

$$|II| \lesssim \frac{1}{A^2} \|\Delta^2 \phi\|_\infty \int_\tau^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{3}{2}}} ds \|u\|_2^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{A^2 \sqrt{\tau}} \|u\|_2^2.$$

Thus, we have show that

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \int_0^\infty \int \sqrt{s} \Delta^2 \phi\left(\frac{x}{A}\right) |u_s|^2 dx ds \lesssim \left( \sqrt{\tau} + \frac{1}{A^2 \sqrt{\tau}} \right) \|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{A} \|u\|_2^2.$$

In the last step, we minimizing this bound with respect to  $\tau$ .  $\square$

**Lemma C.4.** *There exist a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for all  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have the coercivity estimate*

$$(L_+ \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_- \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \geq C_1 \int |\epsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{C_1} \{ (\epsilon_1, Q)^2 + (\epsilon_1, S_{1,0})^2 + |(\epsilon_1, S_{0,1})|^2 + |(\epsilon_2, \rho_1)|^2 \}.$$

Here  $S_{1,0}$  and  $S_{0,1,j}$  are the unique functions such that  $L_- S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  with  $(S_{1,0}, Q) = 0$  and  $L_- S_{0,1} = -\nabla Q$  with  $(S_{0,1,j}, Q) = 0$ , respectively, and the function  $\rho_1$  is defined in (4.5).

*Proof.* From [10] we recall that the key fact that the null space of  $L_+$  and  $L_-$  are given by

$$\ker L_+ = \text{span}\{\nabla Q\} = \text{span}\{\partial_1 Q, \partial_2 Q\}, \quad \ker L_- = \text{span}\{Q\}. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

Moreover,  $L_+$  has a unique negative eigenvalue, while  $L_- \geq 0$ .

If we consider the minimization problem

$$\inf_{g \in H^{1/2}, g \perp Q} (L_- g, g)_{L^2},$$

then we have two possibilities: or there exists  $g \neq 0, g \in H^{1/2}, g \perp Q$  such that

$$L_- g = aQ$$

or

$$(L_- g, g) \geq C \|g\|_{H^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall g \perp Q. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

The first possibility leads easy to a contradiction. Indeed, multiplying by  $Q$  we find  $a = 0$  and then we arrive at a contradiction with (C.10). Therefore, remains (C.11) and this estimate implies

$$(L_- \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \geq C \|\epsilon_2\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{1}{C} |(\epsilon_2, Q)|^2. \quad (\text{C.12})$$

In a similar way, we consider the minimization problem

$$\inf_{g \in H^{1/2}, g \perp \varphi, g \perp \nabla Q} (L_+ g, g)_{L^2},$$

and using the argument of sections 7.1 and 7.2 in deduce

$$(L_+ g, g) \geq C \|g\|_{H^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall g \perp \varphi, g \perp \nabla Q. \quad (\text{C.13})$$

As before this estimate implies

$$(L_+ \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) \geq C \|\epsilon_1\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{1}{C} (|(\epsilon_1, \varphi)|^2 + |(\epsilon_1, \nabla Q)|^2). \quad (\text{C.14})$$

From (C.12) and (C.14) we find

$$(L_+\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) \geq C_1 \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{1}{C_1} \{(\epsilon_1, \varphi)^2 + |(\epsilon_1, \nabla Q)|^2 + |(\epsilon_2, Q)|^2\} \quad (\text{C.15})$$

for all  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $C_1 > 0$  is some constant. Here  $\varphi = \varphi(x) > 0$  with  $\|\varphi\|_2^2 = 1$  denotes the unique ground state eigenfunction of  $L_+$ , and we have  $L_+\varphi = e\varphi$  with some  $e < 0$ .

To derive the coercivity estimate in this lemma from an estimate of the form (C.15), we can use some arguments that can be found, for example, in [20]. For the reader's convenience, we provide the details of the adaptation to our case. To prove the desired coercivity estimate, we can that assume  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfies

$$(\epsilon_1, S_{1,0}) = (\epsilon_1, S_{0,1,j}) = (\epsilon_2, \rho_1) = 0.$$

and let the auxiliary function  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + i\tilde{\epsilon}_2$  satisfy

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon - a\Lambda Q - ibQ - \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j \partial_{x_j} Q, \text{ for } j = 1, 2$$

where  $a, b, c$  are chosen such that

$$(\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \varphi) = (\tilde{\epsilon}_2, Q) = (\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \partial_{x_j} Q) = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

That is

$$a = \frac{(\epsilon_1, \varphi)}{(\Lambda Q, \varphi)}, \quad b = \frac{(\epsilon_2, Q)}{(Q, Q)}, \quad c_j = \frac{(\epsilon_1, \partial_{x_j} Q)}{(\nabla Q, \nabla Q)}, \text{ for } j = 1, 2$$

where we also used that  $(\Lambda Q, \nabla Q) = 0$  holds and  $(\varphi, \nabla Q) = 0$  since  $\nabla Q \in \ker L_+$  and  $\varphi \in \text{ran } L_+$ . Next, recall that  $L_+\varphi = e\varphi$  with  $e < 0$  and  $L_+\Lambda Q = -Q$ . Hence  $(\Lambda Q, \varphi) = -\frac{1}{e}(Q, \varphi) > 0$ , by the strict positivity of  $Q > 0$  and  $\varphi > 0$ . On the other hand, the orthogonality conditions satisfied by  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$  imply that

$$a = \frac{(\tilde{\epsilon}_1, S_{1,0})}{(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0})}, \quad b = -\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_2, \rho_1}{(Q, \rho_1)}, \quad c_j = -\frac{(\tilde{\epsilon}_1, S_{0,1,j})}{(\nabla Q, S_{0,1})}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where we use that  $(\Lambda Q, S_{0,1}) = (\nabla Q, S_{1,0}) = 0$ , since  $Q$  and  $S_{1,0}$  are radial symmetry and  $S_{0,1}$  is antisymmetry. Note that  $L_-S_{1,0} = \Lambda Q$  and hence  $(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0}) = (L_-S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) \neq 0$ , and  $(\nabla Q, S_{0,1}) = -(L_-S_{0,1}, S_{0,1}) < 0$  because of  $L_-S_{0,1} = -\nabla Q$ . Furthermore, recall that  $L_+\rho_1 = S_{1,0}$ . Thus  $(Q, \rho_1) = -(\Lambda Q, S_{1,0}) = (L_-S_{1,0}, S_{1,0}) > 0$  again. In summary, we find that

$$\frac{1}{K} \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \|\tilde{\epsilon}\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq K \|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}},$$

with some constant  $K > 0$ . Now, since  $(\Lambda Q, Q) = (\nabla Q, Q) = 0$  and  $L_+\Lambda Q = -Q$  as well as  $L_+\nabla Q = 0$  and  $L_-Q = 0$ , we obtain

$$(\tilde{\epsilon}_1, Q) = (\epsilon_1, Q), \quad (L_-\tilde{\epsilon}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_2) = (L_-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2)$$

$$(L_+\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_1) = (L_+\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + a(\epsilon_1, Q).$$

By the previous relations and estimate (C.15), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (L_+\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) + (L_-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) &= (L_+\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + (L_-\tilde{\epsilon}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_2)) - a(\epsilon_1, Q) \\ &\geq C_1\|\tilde{\epsilon}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - a(\epsilon_1, Q) \geq C_0\|\epsilon\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{1}{C_0}(\epsilon_1, Q)^2, \end{aligned}$$

with some sufficiently small constant  $C_0 > 0$ . □

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for the careful reading and valuable suggestions. YL was supported by the China Scholarship Council (201906180041).

## References

- [1] J. Bellazzini, V. Georgiev, N. Visciglia, Long time dynamics for semirelativistic NLS and half wave in arbitrary dimension, *Math. Ann.* 371 (2018) 707-740.
- [2] J. Bellazzini, V. Georgiev, N. Visciglia, Traveling waves for the quartic focusing half wave equation in one space dimension, (2018) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07075>
- [3] J. Bellazzini, V. Georgiev, E. Lenzmann, N. Visciglia, On traveling solitary waves and absence of small data scattering for nonlinear half-wave equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 372, (2019) 713-732.
- [4] T. Boulenger, D. Himmelsbach, E. Lenzmann, Blowup for fractional NLS, *J. Funct. Anal.* 271 (2016) 2569-2603.
- [5] D. Cai, A. J. Majda, D. W. McLaughlin, and E. G. Tabak, Dispersive wave turbulence in one dimension, *Phys. D* 152 (2001), 551-572.
- [6] Y. Cho, H. Hajaiej, G. Hwang, and T. Ozawa, On the Cauchy problem of fractional Schrödinger equation with Hartree type nonlinearity, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* 56 (2013), no. 2, 193-224.
- [7] W. Eckhaus, P. Schuur, The emergence of solitons of the Korteweg-de Vries equation from arbitrary initial conditions, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 5 (1983), no. 1, 97-116.
- [8] A. Elgart, B. Schlein, Mean field dynamics of boson stars. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 60(4), (2007) 500-545.
- [9] R. Frank and E. Lenzmann, Uniqueness of non-linear ground states for fractional Laplacians in  $\mathbb{R}$ , *Acta Math.* 210 (2013), no. 2, 261-318.
- [10] R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann, L. Silvestre, Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 69 (2016), 1671-1726.



- [11] J. Fröhlich, B. L. G. Jonsson, E. Lenzmann, Boson stars as solitary waves. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 274 (2007) 1-30.
- [12] J. Frohlich, B. L. G. Jonsson, E. Lenzmann, Effective dynamics for Boson stars, *Nonlinearity* 20 (2007) 1031-1075.
- [13] Y. H. Hong, Y. Sire, A new class of traveling solitons for cubic fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity* 30 (2017) 1262-1286.
- [14] A. D. Ionescu and F. Pusateri, Nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in one dimension, *J. Funct. Anal.* 266 (2014), no. 1, 139-176.
- [15] K. Kirkpatrick, E. Lenzmann, and G. Staffilani, On the continuum limit for discrete NLS with long-range lattice interactions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 317 (2013), no. 3, 563-591.
- [16] C. Klein, C. Sparber, and P. Markowich, Numerical study of fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Proc. R. Soc. A* 470 (2014), no. 2172, 20140364.
- [17] J. Krieger, Y. Martel, P. Raphaël, Two-soliton solutions to the three-dimensional gravitational Hartree equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 62 (11) (2009) 1501-1550.
- [18] J. Krieger, E. Lenzmann, P. Raphaël, Nondispersive solutions to the  $L^2$ -critical half-wave equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 209 (2013) 61-129.
- [19] A. J. Majda, D. W. McLaughlin, and E. G. Tabak, A one-dimensional model for dispersive wave turbulence, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* 7 (1997), no. 1, 9-44.
- [20] F. Merle, P. Raphaël, On a sharp lower bound on the blow-up rate for the  $L^2$  critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (2006) 37-90.
- [21] I. Naumkin, P. Raphaël, On small traveling waves to the mass critical fractional NLS, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2018) 57:93.
- [22] P. Raphaël, J. Szeftel, Existence and uniqueness of minimal blow-up solutions to an inhomogeneous mass critical NLS. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24 (2) (2011) 471-546.
- [23] E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integral. Princeton Mathematical series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press (1993).
- [24] Q. Wang, X. Li, Asymptotic analysis of boosted ground states of boson stars. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 43 (2020), pp: 704-715.
- [25] M. I. Weinstein, Modulational stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 16(3) (1985) 472-491.
- [26] M. I. Weinstein, Existence and dynamic stability of solitary wave solutions of equations arising in long wave propagation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 12 (1987), no. 10, 1133-1173.