

# EXISTENCE OF A NON-EQUILIBRIUM STEADY STATE FOR THE NON-LINEAR BGK EQUATION ON AN INTERVAL

JOSEPHINE EVANS AND ANGELIKI MENEGAKI

ABSTRACT. We show existence of a non-equilibrium steady state for the one-dimensional, non-linear BGK model on an interval with diffusive boundary conditions. These boundary conditions represent the coupling of the system with two heat reservoirs at different temperatures. The result holds when the boundary temperatures at the two ends are away from the equilibrium case, as our analysis is not perturbative around the equilibrium. We employ a fixed point argument to reduce the study of the model with non-linear collisional interactions to the linear BGK.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Description of the model	2
1.2. State of the Art	3
2. Mathematical Preliminaries	4
2.1. Notation	4
2.2. Plan of the paper	4
3. Main Results	5
4. Proofs	6
4.1. Strategy of Proof	6
4.2. Definition of the map $\mathcal{F}(T)$ .	6
4.3. $L^\infty$ Bounds on $\mathcal{F}(T)$ .	12
4.4. Hölder continuity of $\mathcal{F}(T)(x)$ .	21
4.5. Continuity of the map $\mathcal{F}$	23
4.6. Fixed Point Argument	26
5. Discussion of the results and future work	27
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This work is a contribution to the study of non-equilibrium steady states for non-linear kinetic equations. We study the existence of non-equilibrium steady states for the *non-linear BGK equation* on bounded domains with diffusive boundary conditions. In this paper we look at the 1d case where the velocity variable is in  $\mathbb{R}$  and the  $x$  variable is in an interval with boundary conditions at different temperatures at each side. We show the existence of a non-equilibrium steady state and explore its properties.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Non-Equilibrium steady state, BGK model, Diffusive boundary conditions, Existence results, Heat transfer.

The BGK model of the Boltzmann's equation is a simple kinetic relaxation model introduced by Bhatnagar, Gross and Krook in [BGK54] as a toy model for Boltzmann flows. The evolution problem for the BGK model was first studied in [Per89] and later in [GP89] where global existence was proved and in [PP93] where existence and uniqueness was proved for the initial-value problem in bounded domains.

Here we are interested in non-equilibrium phenomena, that is to say equations with steady states which are not Gibb's states and are induced by effects external to the system of study. In our case these external effects are present as diffusive boundary conditions. We show results which are not derived by perturbations models which have equilibrium states or by models which are close to the hydrodynamic regime. That is to say we work in the regime where the Knudsen number is not considered to be small.

We describe our model in the following subsection.

**1.1. Description of the model.** We consider a gas of particles in the domain  $(0, 1)$  where the collisions among the particles are described by the nonlinear BGK operator. The distribution function  $f(t, x, v)$  of the gas is the density of the particles at the position  $x \in (0, 1)$  with velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  at time  $t > 0$ . We denote by  $\kappa$  the *Knudsen number*<sup>1</sup> and we study the existence of stationary solutions  $f(x, v)$  to the following equation

$$\partial_t f + v \partial_x f = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\rho_f \mathcal{M}_{T_f} - f), \quad (1)$$

$$f(0, v) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) \int_{v' < 0} |v'| f(0, v') dv', \quad v > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$f(1, v) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) \int_{v' > 0} |v'| f(1, v') dv', \quad v < 0. \quad (3)$$

Here the *spatial density*  $\rho_f(x)$  and the *pressure*  $P_f(x) := \rho_f(x) T_f(x)$  are given respectively by

$$\rho_f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, v) dv, \quad \rho_f(x) T_f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^2 f(x, v) dv \quad (4)$$

and then the *local temperature* corresponding to  $f$  is  $T_f$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{T_f}(v)$  the Maxwellian with temperature  $T_f$  i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}_{T_f}(v) = (2\pi T_f)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2T_f} v^2\right).$$

Furthermore,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2$  are Maxwellians at the boundary temperatures  $T_1, T_2$  respectively and they are considered to be renormalised so that, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\int_0^{\infty} v \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(v) dv = 1. \quad (5)$$

This means that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(v) := \frac{1}{T_i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2T_i} v^2\right).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The Knudsen number  $\kappa$  is defined as the ratio between the mean free path and the typical observation length.

In other words we study the steady states of a gas which is coupled to two temperature reservoirs at the two boundaries of the domain  $(0, 1)$  and this coupling is implemented through the so-called *diffusive boundary conditions* or *Maxwell boundary conditions*. So that when particles hit one of the boundaries  $\{0, 1\}$ , they get reflected and re-enter the domain with new velocities drawn from the Maxwellians  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(v)$  corresponding to temperatures  $T_1, T_2$  at the two ends.

**1.2. State of the Art.** This paper is motivated by [CEL<sup>+</sup>18, CEL<sup>+</sup>19], where they study the non-linear BGK equation on the periodic torus in the presence of scatterers at two different temperatures. There it is straightforward to find one steady state and these papers show that this state is unique under certain conditions. There the non-equilibrium forcing is the same throughout the space. Also for the non-linear BGK equation there is a paper of Ukai [Uka92], about the existence of steady states with prescribed boundary conditions which is a situation similar to that studied here. The boundary conditions we consider here are different, since the paper of Ukai prescribes the density at either side of the interval, whereas in our paper we prescribe diffusive boundary conditions. The techniques are also different.

The majority of the papers investigating non-equilibrium steady states of kinetic equations are in the setting of the Boltzmann equation. We mention first the paper [AN00] which also deals with a non-perturbative setting to show the existence of non-equilibrium steady states to the Boltzmann equation in the slab. This paper crucially uses the entropy distribution of the equation. There are also a number papers about similar problems in a perturbative setting either when the difference in temperatures is small [EGKM13], or when the Knudsen number is small and a hydrodynamic approximation can be used [Ark00, AEMN10, AEMN12]. There have also been works in a spatially homogeneous setting in the presence of scatterers [CLM15] for the Boltzmann equation and [Eva16] for Kac's toy model for the Boltzmann equation. We also mention the preprint [Ber19] which shows exponential convergence towards non-equilibrium steady states for the free transport equation in a domain with Maxwell boundary conditions.

One of the main motivations to study non-equilibrium phenomena, like the one appearing in the model of this note due to non-isothermal boundaries, is the better understanding of the *Fourier's law*, which from a mathematical point of view is a very challenging problem. The Fourier's law, which is well tested for several materials, relates the macroscopic thermal flux  $J(t, x)$  to the small variations of the gradient of the temperature  $\nabla T(t, x)$ :

$$J(t, x) = -K(T)\nabla T(t, x) \tag{6}$$

where  $0 < K(T) < \infty$  is the thermal conductivity of the material. It is not difficult to see that (6) implies the following diffusion equation for the temperature:

$$c(T)\partial_t T = \nabla(K(T)\nabla T)$$

where  $c(T)$  is the specific heat of the system per unit volume.

Concerning heat conduction in gases: (6) was rigorously proven in [ELM94, ELM95] for the stationary Boltzmann equation in a slab for small Knudsen numbers and when the temperature difference is small. We also refer here again to [EGKM13] where the authors construct solutions to the 3d steady problem with the Boltzmann hard spheres collision operator and diffusive boundaries with different temperatures at the two walls that do not

oscillate too much. There the authors work with small temperature difference and they can see mathematically that the Fourier's law does not hold, since they are in the kinetic regime, by combining their result with pre existing numerical simulations in [OAY89].

The abovementioned works are specific answers to the more general question in Statistical Physics: the mathematically rigorous derivation of Fourier's law or a proof of its breakdown, from microscopic, purely deterministic or stochastic, models. For several overviews on this topic we refer the reader to [BLRB00, Lep16, Dha08, BF119].

An example of such a microscopic heat conduction model, a model of heat reservoirs is the so-called chain of oscillators. For more information on this model we refer to [RLL67, EPRB99, RBT02, Car07, CEHRB18] where questions of existence and uniqueness of a NESS and exponential approach towards it are addressed, as well as to [Hai09, HM09] for interesting features of the model: for example cases where there is no spectral gap of the generator of the associated process. Quantitative results concerning the scaling of the spectral gap in terms of the number of the particles, for special cases of the chain, can be found in [Men20, BM].

## 2. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

First note that the normalisation (5) is chosen so that the equation conserves mass, indeed we record this observation in the following Lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *The equation (1) at least formally conserves mass.*

*Proof.* We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int f(x, v) dx dv &= - \int v \partial_x f dx dv + \frac{1}{\kappa} \left( \int \rho(x) \mathcal{M}_T(v) dv dx - \int f \right) \\ &= - \int v \partial_x f = \int v f(1, v) dv - \int v f(0, v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Then we show that each of the boundary terms is zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \int v f(1, v) dv &= \int_{-\infty}^0 v f(1, v) dv + \int_0^{\infty} v f(1, v) dv \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} |v'| f(1, v') dv' \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{M}_2(v) v dv + \int_0^{\infty} v f(1, v) dv \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we show that the other boundary term is 0 as well, which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**2.1. Notation.** We write  $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(g(x))$  to denote that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C |g(x)|$ . We occasionally write  $A \lesssim B$  in order to say that  $A \leq CB$  for some constant  $C$  that only depends on the two temperatures  $T_1, T_2$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $A$  and by  $n_x$  the outward unit vector at  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ . We also write  $C_c^\infty(X)$  for the space of the compactly supported smooth functions on  $X$ .

**2.2. Plan of the paper.** We introduce the main results in the next Section. In Section 4 we present the proofs of the main results. This is split into subsections showing the different criteria needed to be fulfilled in order to apply the Schauder fixed point Theorem. In particular in subsection 4.3 we present the asymptotic behaviour of the moments in order to get an  $L^\infty$  bound on the temperature profile, and then to prove Hölder continuity of it in

4.4. In 4.5 we prove the continuity of the mapping to which we apply Schauder's Theorem in 4.6. Finally, we conclude with Section 5 with a discussion of the results and possible avenues for future research.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We introduce the following condition, under which our main Theorem holds.

**Condition 1.** We say that the pair of temperatures  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy this condition when

- (C1)  $\kappa^2 T_1 > \gamma_2$  and
- (C2)  $\sqrt{T_2} - \sqrt{T_1} \geq \gamma_1 \kappa^{1/2} T_2^{1/4}$

where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  are positive constants and  $\kappa > 0$  is the time renormalizing constant in front of the collision operator in equation (1), i.e. the Knudsen number.

Our main results on the steady state of the nonlinear BGK operator with diffusive boundary conditions are summarized in the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.** For every two fixed temperatures  $T_1, T_2$  satisfying Condition 1, there exists a steady state which satisfies equation (1) with boundary conditions (2) and (3). Furthermore, this steady state has the following properties:

- It has zero momentum uniformly in  $x \in (0, 1)$ .
- It has constant density and pressure equal to  $\sqrt{T_1 T_2}$ , asymptotically with  $T_1$ . In particular, for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$1 - \gamma_0 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4} \leq \rho_f(x) \leq 1 + \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}$$

$$\sqrt{T_1 T_2} \lesssim P_f(x) \lesssim \sqrt{T_1 T_2}.$$

- Its temperature profile is 1/2-Hölder continuous and also it is asymptotically equal to  $\sqrt{T_1 T_2}$  with the deviation from  $\sqrt{T_1 T_2}$  decreasing as  $T_1$  increases: for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\sqrt{T_1 T_2} (1 - \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}) \lesssim T_f(x) \lesssim \sqrt{T_1 T_2} (1 + \gamma_0 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}),$$

for some constants  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1$  and  $\kappa$  the constant in front of the collisional operator in (1).

**Remark 1.** The fact that the steady state of this equation has zero momentum uniformly in  $x$ , implies it is also a solution to the similar time independent equation,

$$v \partial_x f = \rho_f(x) \mathcal{M}_{u_f, T_f}(v) - f,$$

with the boundary conditions (2) and (3). Here,

$$\mathcal{M}_{u_f, T_f}(v) = (2\pi T_f)^{-1/2} e^{-(v-u_f)^2/T_f},$$

and

$$\rho_f u_f := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, v) v dv.$$

## 4. PROOFS

**4.1. Strategy of Proof.** In order to prove the existence of a steady state when  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy condition **1**, we perform a fixed point argument. We look at the following linear equation with the same spatially variable diffusive boundary conditions for given temperature profile  $T(x)$ :

$$\partial_t f + v \partial_x f = \rho(x) \mathcal{M}_{T(x)} - f, \quad (7)$$

$$f(0, v) = \widetilde{M}_1(v) \int_{v' < 0} |v'| f(0, v') dv', \quad v > 0, \quad (8)$$

$$f(1, v) = \widetilde{M}_2(v) \int_{v' > 0} |v'| f(1, v') dv', \quad v < 0. \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{T(x)}$  is the Maxwellian with temperature  $T(x)$ .

**Remark 2.** *This differs from equation (1) since we use a fixed temperature profile in the Maxwellian on the right hand side rather than the temperature profile coming from  $f$ .*

We prove the two following facts:

- The PDE (7)-(8)-(9) is the equation on the law of a stochastic process and this stochastic process has a unique equilibrium state. This equilibrium steady state has a temperature profile which we call  $\tau(x)$ .
- If  $T_1 \leq T(x) \leq T_2$  and  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy condition **1** then we have that  $T_1 \leq \tau(x) \leq T_2$  and  $\tau(x)$  is 1/2-Hölder continuous with modulus of continuity depending on  $T_1, T_2$ .

We define the map  $\mathcal{F}(T) = \tau$  which is a map between continuous functions on  $(0, 1)$  and thanks to the first fact above, it is well-defined. Then we apply the Schauder fixed point theorem using the second fact above to show that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a fixed point. From the definition of the mapping  $\mathcal{F}$  we get that a fixed point implies that the temperature profiles of the nonlinear and the linear model will coincide. Therefore, for  $T$  being this fixed point, corresponding to the two temperature profiles, a steady state of the linear model (7)-(8)-(9), will also be a steady state of the nonlinear model (1)-(2)-(3). In the following sections we make it precise how to define the map  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ , then give bounds on  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  which allow us to prove point 2. Finally, we use these to apply the Schauder fixed point theorem.

**4.2. Definition of the map  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ .** In this section we work in the case  $\kappa = 1$  in order not to track too many constants and to simplify the presentation, since quantitative bounds in this section do not have impact on our final result. In order to properly define the map  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  we need a well defined way of selecting a steady state of the PDE (7)-(8)-(9). In order to do this we define a stochastic process and show that this stochastic process has a unique steady state the law of which is a weak solution to the steady state version of (7)-(8)-(9). First we define what we mean for a weak measure valued solution of (7)-(8)-(9).

**Definition 1.** *A weak solution in the sense of measures to the PDE (7)-(8)-(9) is a triple  $\mu_{1,t}, \mu_{2,t}, \mu_t$  with  $\mu_i$  satisfying that for every test function supported on  $\mathbb{R}_i = \mathbb{R}_+$  for  $i = 1$  or  $\mathbb{R}_i = \mathbb{R}_-$  for  $i = 2$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \phi(v) \mu_i(dv) = \int_{\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{R}_i} |v'| \mu_i(dv') \int_{\mathbb{R}_i} v \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(v) \phi(\xi, v) dv$$

where  $\xi = 0, 1$ , the left boundary for  $i = 1$  and the right boundary for  $i = 2$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \iint_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}} \left( \partial_t \phi(t, x, v) + v \partial_x \phi(t, x, v) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, x, v') \mathcal{M}_{T(x)}(v') dv' - \phi(t, x, v) \right) \mu_t(dx, dv) dt \\ & + \iint_{(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}} \phi(0, x, v) \mu_0(dx dv) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, 1, v) \mu_2(dv) dt - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, 0, v) \mu_1(dv) dt. \end{aligned}$$

**Existence and uniqueness for the linear BGK equation with diffusive boundary conditions.** For our purposes we give a probabilistic interpretation of the evolution of the process for the linear BGK. Note also that similar techniques for the free transport equation with diffusive and specular reflective boundary conditions for higher dimensions have been applied in [BF19]. We work on the level of stochastic processes because there is the possibility of some non-uniqueness occurring at what is known as the ‘grazing set’ which in our case is the two points  $(0, 0), (1, 0)$ . Defining a stochastic process allows us to set values at these points.

**Proposition 1.** *For every given continuous function  $T(x)$  there exists a well defined way in which we can select a triple of measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu$  which is a stationary solution in a weak sense to the linear PDE (7)-(8)-(9).*

We split the proof into two lemmas. We first construct a stochastic process and show that it is well defined and then show that the law of this is a desired weak solution.

**Definition 2** (Construction of the stochastic process). *Let  $(R_i^1)_{i \geq 1}, (R_i^2)_{i \geq 1}$ , be two sequences of random variables with  $R_i^1$  having law  $v \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$  and  $R_i^2$  having law  $|v| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2$ . Furthermore let  $N_i$  be a set of  $N(0, 1)$  random variables and  $S_i$  be a sequence of exponential random variables with rate 1. Now we define the deterministic map*

$$\zeta(x, v) = \inf\{s > 0, x + vs \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

which is our first collision with one of the boundaries. Then we define recursively

$$T_{k+1} = T_k + \min\{S_{k+1}, \zeta(X_{T_k}, V_{T_k})\}.$$

Then for  $t \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$  we have

$$X_t = X_{T_k} + (t - T_k)V_{T_k}, V_t = V_{T_k}.$$

We jump at the times  $T_k$  so that

$$V_{T_{k+1}} = \mathbb{1}_{T_{k+1} - T_k = S_{k+1}} \sqrt{T(X_{T_k})} N_{k+1} + \mathbb{1}_{X_{T_{k+1}} = 0} R_{k+1}^1 + \mathbb{1}_{X_{T_{k+1}} = 1} R_{k+1}^2$$

where here  $T(X_{T_k})$  is the temperature at the position  $X_{T_k}$ .

**Lemma 2** (Non-explosion of the process). *This stochastic process defined in 2 is well defined and exists for all  $t > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We would like to show that this process is non-explosive i.e.  $T_i \rightarrow \infty$  almost surely. Lets look at the event

$$A_k = \{R_{2k+1}^1 < 1, R_{2k+2}^1 < 1, R_{2k+1}^2 < 1, R_{2k+2}^2 < 1, S_{2k+1} > 1, S_{2k+2} > 1\}.$$

The  $A_k$ 's are independent events and  $\mathbb{P}(A_k) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) = p > 0$ . Therefore by Borel-Cantelli  $A_k$  happens infinitely often almost surely. On  $A_k$  we can see that  $T_{2k+2} - T_{2k} > 1$ . This is

because  $A_k$  ensures that  $T_{2k+1} - T_{2k} > 1$  or  $\zeta(X_{T_{2k}}, V_{T_{2k}}) < 1$ , and in the second case we know that  $X_{T_{2k+1}} \in \{0, 1\}$  so the next jump time is defined by  $R_{2k+1}^1, R_{2k+2}^2$  and  $S_{2k+2}$  which are all chosen so that  $T_{2k+2} - T_{2k+1} > 1$  if  $X_{T_{2k+1}} \in \{0, 1\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *The law of this stochastic process is a weak solution to the SDE.*

*Proof.* Here we follow [BF19]. We begin by showing how we can represent the boundary measures: for a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}((0, \infty) \times \{0, 1\} \times \Sigma_{\pm})$  with  $\Sigma_{\pm} := \{(x, v) \in \{0, 1\} \times \mathbb{R} : \pm v \cdot n_x < 0\}$ , we introduce the measures

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_-^i(A) &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, V_{T_i}) \in A} \mathbb{1}_{T_i = \zeta(X_{T_{i-1}}, V_{T_{i-1}})} \right), \\ \mu_+^i(A) &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, V_{T_i-}) \in A} \mathbb{1}_{T_i = \zeta(X_{T_{i-1}}, V_{T_{i-1}})} \right)\end{aligned}$$

*i.e.*  $\mu_-^i$  is the law of the triple  $(T_i, X_{T_i}, V_{T_i})$ , *i.e.* after the collision with a boundary, and  $\mu_+^i$  is the law of the triple  $(T_i, X_{T_i}, V_{T_i-})$ , *i.e.* exactly before the collision with a boundary. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_+(A) &= \sum_i \mu_+^i(A) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}((0, \infty) \times \Sigma_-), \\ \mu_-(A) &= \sum_i \mu_-^i(A) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}((0, \infty) \times \Sigma_+).\end{aligned}$$

These boundary measures satisfy the desired boundary condition. Indeed, we investigate the relationship between these two measures:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_-^i(A) &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, V_{T_i}) \in A} \mathbb{1}_{T_i = \zeta(X_{T_{i-1}}, V_{T_{i-1}})} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{1}_{X_{T_i} = 0} \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, R_i^1) \in A} + \mathbb{1}_{X_{T_i} = 1} \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, R_i^2) \in A} \right) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{1}_{X_{T_i} = 0} \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, v) \in A} \right) v \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv + \int \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{1}_{X_{T_i} = 1} \mathbb{1}_{(T_i, X_{T_i}, v) \in A} \right) v \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) dv.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_-^i(A) &= \iint_{(0, T) \times (0, \infty)} \int_{-\infty}^0 (\mathbb{1}_{(t, 0, w) \in A}) w \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(w) dw \mu_+^i(dt, dv) \\ &\quad + \iint_{(0, T) \times (0, \infty)} \int_0^{\infty} (\mathbb{1}_{(t, 1, w) \in A}) w \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(w) dw \mu_+^i(dt, dv).\end{aligned}$$

Testing against a test function  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\pm})$  we recover the boundary conditions as in the Definition 1. Now we would like to show that the tripple will be a weak solution to the PDE. For

$$\mu_1 = \mathbb{1}_{\{x=0\}}(\mu_+ + \mu_-), \quad \mu_2 = \mathbb{1}_{\{x=1\}}(\mu_+ + \mu_-),$$



and  $\phi \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty) \times (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R})$ , we Taylor expand around  $(t, X_t, V_t)$  and we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\phi(t+s, X_{t+s}, V_{t+s}) - \phi(t, X_t, V_t)) &= \\ &\mathbb{E}((\phi(t+s, X_{t+s}, V_{t+s}) - \phi(t, X_t, V_t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{t+s}V_{t+s} \in (0,1)\}}) + \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}((\phi(t+s, X_{t+s}, V_{t+s}) - \phi(t, X_t, V_t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{t+s}V_{t+s} \notin (0,1)\}}) = \\ \mathbb{E} &\left( (s\partial_t\phi(t, X_t, V_t) + sV_t\partial_x\phi(t, X_t, V_t)) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ jumps in} \\ (t, t+s) \end{array} \right\}} \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\phi(t, X_t, w) - \phi(t, X_t, V_t)) (2\pi T(X_t))^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{w^2}{2T(X_t)}\right) dw \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ jump in} \\ (t, t+s) \end{array} \right\}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(s) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \phi(t, X_t, w) w \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(w) dw \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{t+s}V_{t+s} < 0\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \text{ jumps in } (t, t+s)\}} \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi(t, X_t, w) w \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(w) dw \mathbb{1}_{\{X_{t+s}V_{t+s} > 1\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \text{ jumps in } (t, t+s)\}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $s$  to go to 0, this gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int (\partial_t\phi(t, x, v) + v\partial_x\phi(t, x, v)) \mu_t(dx, dv) + \int \int_w (\phi(t, x, w) - \phi(t, x, v)) \mathcal{M}_{T(x)}(w) dw \mu_t(dx, dv) \\ &+ \int \int (\phi(t, 0, w) - \phi(t, 0, v)) w \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(w) \mu_1(dv) \\ &+ \int \int (\phi(t, 1, w) - \phi(t, 1, v)) w \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(w) \mu_2(dv) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_t$  is a weak solution to the PDE according to the Definition 1.  $\square$

In order to prove the existence and uniqueness of a steady state for this stochastic process we use Doeblin's Theorem (we can find this in [Hai16] for example). Which is as follows

**Condition 2** (Doeblin's condition). *If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a stochastic semigroup acting on probability measures over a set  $\Omega$  then  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies Doeblin's condition if there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , a probability measure on  $\Omega$  such that for every  $z \in \Omega$  we have*

$$\mathcal{P}\delta_z \geq \alpha\nu.$$

**Theorem 2** (Doeblin's Theorem). *If  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies Doeblin's condition then it has a unique steady state.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}_t$  be the stochastic semigroup corresponding to the evolution of the stochastic process defined in 2, then there exist a time  $t_*$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_{t_*}$  satisfies Doeblin's condition 2. In particular, the stochastic process has a unique steady state.*

*Proof.* We wish to find a lower bound for Doeblin's condition. We apply Duhamel's formula to find that have that

$$x - vt \in (0, 1), \quad f(t, x, v) = e^{-t} f(0, x - vt, v) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \rho(x - v(t-s)) \mathcal{M}_{T(x-v(t-s))}(v) ds. \quad (10)$$

Similarly,

$$x - vt \leq 0, \quad f(t, x, v) = e^{-x/v} f\left(t - \frac{x}{v}, 0, v\right) + \int_0^{x/v} e^{-(x/v-s)} \rho(vs) \mathcal{M}_{T(vs)}(v) ds, \quad \text{and} \quad (11)$$

$$x - vt \geq 1, \quad f(t, x, v) = e^{\frac{(1-x)}{|v|}} f\left(t - \frac{(1-x)}{|v|}, 1, v\right) + \int_0^{\frac{(1-x)}{|v|}} e^{-\left(\frac{-(1-x)}{|v|}-s\right)} \rho(1-vs) \mathcal{M}_{T(1-vs)}(v) ds. \quad (12)$$

In light of this, we define

$$R(t, x, v) := \begin{cases} x/v, & \text{for } x/v \leq t \\ t, & \text{for } x/v > t, v > 0 \\ t, & \text{for } v = 0 \\ t, & \text{for } (1-x)/|v| > t, v < 0 \\ (1-x)/|v|, & \text{for } -(1-x)/v \leq t \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Pi f(x, v) := \rho_f(x) \mathcal{M}_{T(x)}(v).$$

Then we have the following lower bound

$$f(t, x, v) \geq \int_0^R e^{-R} (\Pi f)(s, x - v(R - s), v) ds. \quad (13)$$

Regarding the boundary conditions, we substitute in the first term from (10):

$$f(t, 0, v) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) \int_{-\infty}^0 |u| f(t, 0, u) du \geq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) \int_{-1/t}^0 e^{-t} |u| f(0, -ut, u) du$$

and

$$f(t, 1, v) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) \int_0^{\infty} |u| f(t, 1, u) du \geq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) \int_0^{1/t} e^{-t} |u| f(0, 1 - ut, u) du.$$

Now if we consider the initial condition  $f(0, x, v) = \delta_{x_0}(x) \delta_{v_0}(v)$ , we have

$$f(t, 0, v) \geq e^{-t} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) |v_0| \delta_{x_0}(-v_0 t) \mathbb{1}_{t|v_0| \leq 1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$f(t, 1, v) \geq e^{-t} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) |v_0| \delta_{x_0}(1 - v_0 t) \mathbb{1}_{t|v_0| \leq 1}.$$

Then we have

$$x - vt \leq 0, \quad f(t, x, v) \geq e^{-t} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) |v_0| \delta_{x_0} \left( -v_0 \left( t - \frac{x}{v} \right) \leq 1 \right).$$

We need to know the local density and integrate in  $v$ . This gives us when  $v_0 < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, x) &\geq \int_{x/t}^{x/(t-1/|v_0|)_+} e^{-t} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) |v_0| \delta_{x_0} \left( -v_0 \left( t - \frac{x}{v} \right) \right) dv \\ &\geq \int_0^{\min(|v_0|t, 1)} e^{-t} |v_0| \frac{1}{|x/v_0|} \left( \frac{xv_0}{y + v_0 t} \right)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 \left( \frac{xv_0}{y + v_0 t} \right) \delta_{x_0}(y) dy \\ &\geq \mathbb{1}_{x_0 + v_0 t \leq 0} e^{-t} \frac{xv_0^2}{(x_0 + v_0 t)^2} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 \left( \frac{xv_0}{x_0 + v_0 t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also when  $v_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t, x) &\geq \int_{-\infty}^{(1-x)/|v|} e^{-t} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) |v_0| \delta_{x_0} \left( 1 - v_0 \left( t - \frac{1-x}{|v|} \right) \right) dv \\ &\geq e^{-t} |v_0| \frac{(1-x)v_0}{(x_0 - 1 + v_0 t)^2} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2 \left( \frac{(1-x)v_0}{1 - v_0 t - x_0} \right) \mathbb{1}_{x_0 + v_0 t \geq 1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now in the simplest case where  $x_0 + v_0 t \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\rho(t, x) = \delta_{x_0 + v_0 t}(x).$$

Now we are going to focus on the case where  $x - vt \in (0, 1)$  in which case

$$f(t, x, v) \geq \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \rho(s, x - v(t-s)) \mathcal{M}_{T(x-v(t-s))}(v) ds.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{M}_{T(x)}(v) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T_2}} e^{-v^2/2T_1} \geq \sqrt{\frac{T_1}{T_2}} \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(v) := \alpha G(v).$$

Using this we can write

$$f(t, x, v) \geq \alpha G(v) \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \rho(s, x - v(t-s)) ds.$$

For a fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , we consider the following three cases

- (1)  $v_0 < 0, x_0/|v_0| \leq \epsilon$ ,
- (2)  $v_0 > 0, (1-x_0)/v_0 \leq \epsilon$ ,
- (3) Neither of these holds.

We observe that in case (1) we know that  $t \geq \epsilon$  implies that  $x_0 + v_0 t \leq 0$  and in case (2): if  $t \geq \epsilon$  then  $x_0 + v_0 t \geq 1$ .

For the case (1), we have

$$\rho(t, x) \geq e^{-t} \mathbb{1}_{t \geq \epsilon} \frac{xv_0^2}{(x_0 + v_0 t)^2} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 \left( \frac{xv_0}{x_0 + v_0 t} \right) \geq e^{-t} \mathbb{1}_{t \geq \epsilon} \frac{x}{T_1 t^2} e^{-1/2T_1 \epsilon^2}.$$

Now we can substitute this into (13) again to get that

$$\begin{aligned}f(t, x, v) &\geq \alpha e^{-t} G(v) \frac{1}{T_1 t^2} e^{-1/2T_1 \epsilon^2} \int_{\epsilon}^t (x - v(t-s)) ds \\ &= \frac{\alpha e^{-t}}{T_1 t^2} G(v) e^{-1/2T_1 \epsilon^2} (t - \epsilon) \left( x - \frac{v}{2}(t - \epsilon) \right) \mathbb{1}_{t \geq \epsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

If we set  $t_* = 2\epsilon$  then we have

$$f(t_*, x, v) \geq \alpha e^{-2\epsilon} G(v) \frac{1}{T_1 \epsilon^2} e^{-1/2T_1 \epsilon^2} \epsilon^2 \mathbb{1}_{x - v\epsilon \in (\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)}.$$

For the case (2), we work essentially the same as in case (1) to get that

$$f(t, x, v) \geq \frac{\alpha e^{-t}}{T_2 t^2} G(v) e^{-1/2T_2 \epsilon^2} (t - \epsilon) \left( 1 - x + \frac{v}{2}(t - \epsilon) \right).$$

Setting  $t_* = 2\epsilon$  we have

$$f(t_*, x, v) \geq \alpha e^{-2\epsilon} G(v) \frac{1}{T_2 \epsilon^2} e^{-1/2T_2 \epsilon^2} \epsilon^2 \mathbb{1}_{x - v\epsilon \in (\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)}.$$

Finally for the third case, we will need further iterations. Initially, we get that

$$\rho(t, x) \geq e^{-t} \delta_{x_0+tv_0}(x) \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \epsilon}.$$

We substitute this once into (13) to get

$$f(t, x, v) \geq e^{-t} \alpha \int_0^t \delta_{x_0+sv_0}(x - v(t-s)) G(v) \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \epsilon} ds.$$

Integrating in  $v$  this, gives

$$\rho(t, x) \geq e^{-t} \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \epsilon} \alpha \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{x_0+sv_0}(x - v(t-s)) G(v) dv ds.$$

After a change of variables, this is bounded below by

$$\rho(t, x) \geq e^{-t} \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \epsilon} \frac{\alpha}{t} \int_0^t G\left(\frac{x - x_0 - v_0 s}{t - s}\right) ds \geq e^{-t} \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \epsilon} \frac{\alpha}{t} \int_0^t G\left(\frac{1}{t - s}\right) ds.$$

We substitute this back into (13) to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, v) &\geq e^{-t} \frac{\alpha^2}{t} G(v) \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{s \leq \epsilon} \int_0^s G\left(\frac{1}{s - r}\right) dr ds \\ &\geq e^{-t} \frac{\alpha^2}{t} G(v) G(2/\epsilon) \int_{\epsilon}^t \int_0^{\epsilon} dr ds \\ &\geq e^{-t} \frac{\alpha^2 \epsilon (t - \epsilon)}{2t} G(v) G(2/\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $t_* = 2\epsilon$  we have,

$$f(t_*, x, v) \geq e^{-2\epsilon} \frac{\alpha^2 \epsilon^2}{2\epsilon} G(v) G(2/\epsilon) \mathbb{1}_{x-2v\epsilon \in (0,1)}.$$

Now let us set

$$\beta = \alpha e^{-2\epsilon} \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha \epsilon}{2} G\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right), \frac{1}{T_1} e^{-1/2T_1 \epsilon^2}, \frac{1}{T_2} e^{-1/2T_2 \epsilon^2} \right\}.$$

Then in every case we have that

$$f(t_*, x, v) \geq \beta \mathbb{1}_{x-2\epsilon v \in (\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)}.$$

□

4.3.  $L^\infty$  **Bounds on  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ .** As we have uniqueness of a steady state for (7)-(8)-(9), thanks to Lemma 4, for  $f$  being this solution with temperature profile  $T$ , we define the mapping

$$\mathcal{F} : C((0, 1)) \rightarrow C((0, 1)), \quad T \mapsto \frac{\int f(x, v) |v|^2 dv}{\int f(x, v) dv}.$$

In this subsection we first represent the solution to (7)- (8)- (9) in terms of the moments appearing in the boundary conditions. Then we check their asymptotic behaviour concerning the boundary temperatures  $T_1, T_2$  so that we establish the conditions required on these temperatures in order to bound the  $\mathcal{F}(T)(x)$  uniformly in  $x$ . The goal is to prove the proposition,

**Proposition 2.** *If  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy condition 1, then we have that*

$$T_1 \leq \tau_T(x) \leq T_2$$

*uniformly in  $x$ .*

We begin with the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *For  $f$  a solution to (7)-(8)-(9) we have the following representation*

$$f(x, v) = e^{-x/\kappa|v|} f(0, v) + \int_0^x e^{-(x-y)/\kappa|v|} \frac{1}{\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)} dy \quad v > 0, \quad (14)$$

$$f(x, v) = e^{-(1-x)/\kappa|v|} f(1, v) + \int_x^1 e^{-(y-x)/\kappa|v|} \frac{1}{\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)} dy \quad v < 0. \quad (15)$$

*Proof.* We will use Duhamel's formula to get an exponential formulation for the equation: let  $v > 0$

$$\partial_t (e^{t/\kappa} f(vt, v)) = \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{t/\kappa} \rho(vt) \mathcal{M}_{T(vt)}(v).$$

Integrating this gives that

$$e^{t/\kappa} f(vt, v) = f(0, v) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^t e^{s/\kappa} \rho(vs) \mathcal{M}_{T(vs)}(v) ds.$$

Now we write  $x = vt$  and in the integral we make the change of variables  $y = vs, dy = vds$ . This gives

$$e^{x/\kappa v} f(x, v) = f(0, v) + \int_0^x e^{y/\kappa v} \frac{1}{\kappa v} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy.$$

Similarly, if  $v < 0$  we can write

$$\partial_t (e^{t/\kappa} f(1 + vt, v)) = \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{t/\kappa} \rho(1 + vt) \mathcal{M}_{T(1+vt)}(v),$$

again integrating this yields,

$$e^{t/\kappa} f(1 + vt, v) = f(1, v) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^t e^{s/\kappa} \rho(1 + vs) \mathcal{M}_{T(1+vs)}(v) ds.$$

Now we make the change of variables  $x = 1 + vt, y = 1 + vs$  this gives

$$e^{(1-x)/\kappa|v|} f(x, v) = f(1, v) + \int_x^1 e^{(1-y)/\kappa|v|} \frac{1}{\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy.$$

□

The above lemma will give us a close form for the moments appearing in the boundary conditions. We start with the following definitions.

**Definition 3.** We define the following moments

$$C_- = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^1 \int_{v<0} e^{-y/\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy, \quad (16)$$

$$C_+ = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^1 \int_{v>0} e^{-(1-y)/\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy, \quad (17)$$

$$C_1 = \int_{v>0} |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv < 1, \quad (18)$$

$$C_2 = \int_{v<0} |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) dv < 1. \quad (19)$$

**Lemma 6.** The moments appearing in the boundary conditions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{v<0} |v| f(0, v) dv &= \frac{1}{1 - C_1 C_2} (C_- + C_2 C_+), \\ \int_{v>0} |v| f(1, v) dv &= \frac{1}{1 - C_1 C_2} (C_+ + C_1 C_-), \end{aligned}$$

where the quantities  $C_1, C_2, C_-, C_+$  are as in the definition 3.

*Proof.* We use the previous lemma iteratively to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{v<0} |v| f(0, v) dv &= \int_{v<0} |v| \left( e^{-1/\kappa|v|} f(1, v) + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\kappa|v|} e^{-y/\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy \right) dv \quad (20) \\ &= \int_{v<0} |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} f(1, v) dv + C_- \\ &= \int_{v<0} |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) dv \int_{v'>0} |v'| f(1, v') dv' + C_- \\ &= C_2 \int_{v>0} |v| f(1, v) dv + C_-. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{v>0} |v| f(1, v) dv &= \int_{v>0} \left( |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} f(0, v) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^1 e^{-(1-y)/\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy \right) dv \quad (21) \\ &= \int_{v>0} |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} f(0, v) dv + C_+ \\ &= \int_{v>0} |v| e^{-1/\kappa|v|} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv \int_{v'<0} |v'| f(0, v') dv' + C_+ \\ &= C_1 \int_{v<0} |v| f(0, v) dv + C_+. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (21) into (20) gives the result.  $\square$

Combining the previous two Lemmas we get easily the following representation of the solution as stated in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 7.**

$$f(x, v) = e^{-x/\kappa|v|} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) \frac{C_- + C_2 C_+}{1 - C_1 C_2} + \int_0^x \frac{1}{\kappa|v|} e^{-(x-y)/\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy \quad v > 0, \quad (22)$$

$$f(x, v) = e^{-(1-x)/\kappa|v|} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) \frac{C_+ + C_1 C_-}{1 - C_1 C_2} + \int_x^1 \frac{1}{\kappa|v|} e^{-(y-x)/\kappa|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy \quad v < 0. \quad (23)$$

We remind here that we are aiming for estimates on  $\mathcal{F}(T)$  which is defined as following: if  $f$  is a solution to (7)-(8)-(9) with profile  $T$  then

$$\mathcal{F}(T)(x) = \frac{\int f(x, v) |v|^2 dv}{\int f(x, v) dv}.$$

In particular, using the following definitions for the *hydrodynamic moments*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_T(x) &= \int f(x, v) dv, \\ \rho_T(x) u_T(x) &= \int f(x, v) v dv \\ \rho_T(x) (\tau_T(x) + u_T(x)^2) &= P_T(x) = \int f(x, v) v^2 dv, \end{aligned}$$

we would like to show that if  $T(x) \in [T_1, T_2]$  then  $\tau_T(x) \in [T_1, T_2]$ .

Therefore we are interested in the scalings of the different quantities  $\rho_T, P_T, \tau_T$  in terms of the temperatures  $T_1, T_2, T(y) \rightarrow \infty$ . These asymptotic behaviours are presented in the following series of Lemmas.

**Lemma 8.** *As  $T_1, T_2 \rightarrow \infty$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{1 - C_1 C_2} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\kappa \sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}}.$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $D_1 = 1 - C_1$  and compute that

$$C_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{T_1}} \int_0^\infty v e^{-1/\kappa v} (2\pi T_1)^{-1/2} e^{-|v|^2/2T_1} dv = \int_0^\infty u e^{-1/(\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u)} e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

Therefore,

$$D_1 = \int_0^\infty u \left(1 - e^{-1/(\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u)}\right) e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

We can straightforwardly bound this above to get

$$D_1 \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} e^{-u^2/2} du = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T_1}}.$$

In order to bound  $D_1$  below, first Taylor expanding gives us:

$$e^{-1/(\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u)} \leq \max \left\{ 1, 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u} + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2 T_1 u^2} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$u \left( 1 - e^{-1/(\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} \max \left\{ 0, 1 - \frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u} \right\}.$$

So that for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} \int_0^\infty \max \left\{ 0, 1 - \frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u} \right\} e^{-u^2/2} du = \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} \int_{\frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{T_1}}}^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u} \right) e^{-u^2/2} du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} \int_{1/(2\kappa\sqrt{T_1}\alpha)}^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{T_1}u} \right) e^{-u^2/2} du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} (1 - \alpha) \left( 2\sqrt{\pi} - \frac{1}{2\alpha\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} \right) \\ &= 2\frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T_1}} - \frac{1}{2\alpha\kappa^2 T_1} - 2\alpha \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T_1}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2 T_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Optimising over  $\alpha$ , for  $\kappa^2 T_1 > 1/2\pi$ , gives

$$D_1 \geq 2\frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T_1}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2 T_1} - 2 \left( \frac{\pi}{\kappa^6 T_1^3} \right)^{1/4}.$$

Symmetrically we find that for  $T_2 > 1/2\pi$ ,

$$2\frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{T_2}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2 T_2} - 2 \left( \frac{\pi}{\kappa^6 T_2^3} \right)^{1/4} \leq D_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\kappa^2 T_2}}.$$

We can rewrite

$$1 - C_1 C_2 = D_1 + D_2 - D_1 D_2.$$

Lets write

$$E_i := -\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T_i}} + \left( \frac{8}{\pi\kappa^2 T_i} \right)^{1/4} \sim A\kappa^{-1/2} T_i^{-1/4}$$

Therefore our upper and lower bounds give

$$1 - C_1 C_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} + \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\kappa^4 T_1 T_2}} (1 - E_1)(1 - E_2) \right).$$

and

$$1 - C_1 C_2 \geq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} (1 - E_1) + \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_2}} (1 - E_2) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\kappa^4 T_1 T_2}} \right).$$

Therefore we have

$$1 - K_1 \leq \frac{1 - C_1 C_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1}} + \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_2}} \right)} \leq 1,$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{T_2}E_1 + \kappa\sqrt{T_1}E_2 + 1}{\kappa\sqrt{T_1} + \kappa\sqrt{T_2}} \leq E_1 + E_2 + \frac{1}{\kappa(\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2})}.$$

So we end up with

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\kappa\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} \leq \frac{1}{1 - C_1 C_2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\kappa\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} \frac{1}{1 - E_1 - E_2 - 1/(\kappa(\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}))}.$$



We can also straightforwardly check that if  $\kappa^2 T_1 \geq \gamma_2$ , for some constant  $\gamma_2 > 0$ , then we can use the approximation  $1/(1-z) \leq 1+z$  to get that

$$\frac{1}{1 - E_1 - E_2 - 1/\kappa(\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2})} \leq 1 + 2 \left( \frac{8}{\pi \kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} + 2 \left( \frac{8}{\pi \kappa^2 T_2} \right)^{1/4}.$$

□

**Lemma 9.** *If  $T_1 \leq T(y)$  then we have that*

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \left( 1 - 2 \left( \frac{2}{\pi \kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} \right) \leq 2C_-, 2C_+ \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}.$$

*Proof.* We just show this for  $C_-$ , the proof for  $C_+$  is almost identical.

$$\kappa C_- = \int_0^1 \rho(y) \int_{v < 0} e^{-y/\kappa|v|} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy.$$

The bound  $e^{-y/\kappa|v|} \leq 1$  gives us the upper bound immediately.

For the lower bound we look at  $D(y) = 1 - C_-$ , and wish to bound this above.

$$D = \int_0^1 \rho(y) \int_{v < 0} (1 - e^{-y/\kappa|v|}) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy.$$

We look at the integral first in  $v$  and change variables

$$\int_{v < 0} (1 - e^{-y/\kappa|v|}) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-y/(\kappa\sqrt{T(y)v})}) \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv.$$

For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we use the bounds

$$1 - e^{-y/(\kappa\sqrt{T(y)v})} \leq 1, \quad |v| \leq \frac{y}{\alpha \kappa \sqrt{T(y)}},$$

and

$$1 - e^{-y/(\kappa\sqrt{T(y)v})} \leq \alpha, \quad |v| > \frac{y}{\alpha \kappa \sqrt{T(y)}}.$$

This gives us

$$\int_{v < 0} (1 - e^{-y/\kappa|v|}) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv \leq \frac{y}{\alpha \sqrt{2\pi \kappa T(y)}} + \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

We optimise over  $\alpha$  to get

$$\int_{v < 0} (1 - e^{-y/\kappa|v|}) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv \leq 2 \left( \frac{2y^2}{\pi \kappa^2 T(y)} \right)^{1/4} \leq 2 \left( \frac{2}{\pi \kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4}.$$

We then use the fact that  $\rho$  integrates to one to conclude. □

Combining the above two lemmas gives us the scaling of the quantity appearing in the first term of the representation (22). Note that similar calculations will give same scaling regarding (23).

**Lemma 10.** *We have*

$$F_1(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} \leq \frac{C_- + C_2 C_+}{1 - C_1 C_2} \leq F_2(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}}$$

where

$$F_1(T_1, T_2) := 1 + \left( \frac{\pi}{2\kappa^6 T_1^3} \right)^{1/4} - 2 \left( \frac{2}{\pi \kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\kappa^2 T_1}} \quad (24)$$

and

$$F_2(T_1, T_2) := 1 + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2 T_2} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\kappa^2 T_2}} - \left( \frac{\pi}{\kappa^6 T_2^3} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (25)$$

*Proof.* We just put together the previous two Lemmas.  $\square$

We would now like to get a sense of the different quantities using these results. Let us start with the pressure  $P_T$ .

**Lemma 11.** *We have for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$G_1(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{T_1 T_2} \leq P_T(x) \leq G_2(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{T_1 T_2}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$G_1(T_1, T_2) = F_1(T_1, T_2) \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\kappa(\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2})} \right),$$

$$G_2(T_1, T_2) = F_2(T_1, T_2) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\kappa^2 T_1}}$$

and  $F_1, F_2$  are functions of the temperatures  $T_1, T_2$  and they are defined in Lemma 10. In particular,

$$\sqrt{T_1 T_2} \lesssim P_T(x) \lesssim \sqrt{T_1 T_2}.$$

*Proof.* Let us first note that the pressure is constant in  $x$ . Indeed, from the equation we can easily see that

$$\partial_x(\rho(x)u_T(x)) = 0$$

and from the boundary conditions we have

$$\rho(0)u_T(0) = \rho(1)u_T(1) = 0,$$

hence  $u_T(x) = 0$ . Since we have that  $\partial_x P_T(x) = -\frac{1}{\kappa}\rho(x)u(x) = 0$ , we know that  $P_T(x)$  is constant.

Now in order to quantify it in terms of the temperatures, we need two further quantities:

$$\int_{v>0} |v|^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_i(v) dv = \sqrt{\frac{\pi T_i}{2}},$$

which is straightforward to compute. We also show that

$$\sqrt{\frac{T_1}{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{2\kappa} \leq \int_0^1 \rho(y) \int_0^\infty |v| e^{-y/\kappa|v|} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy \leq \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{2\pi}}.$$

The upper bound comes from bounding  $e^{-y/\kappa|v|}$  by one, the lower bound comes from bounding it below by  $1 - y/\kappa|v|$ .

More precisely, for  $v$  positive, using the representation of the solution in (22) for the upper bound we write

$$\int_0^\infty |v|^2 f(x, v) dv = \int_0^\infty v^2 e^{-x/\kappa v} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv \left( \frac{C_- + C_2 C_+}{1 - C_1 C_2} \right) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x \rho(y) |v| e^{-(x-y)/\kappa v} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy dv$$

and since the pressure is constant, for  $x = 1$ , the above quantity is bounded above by

$$\int_0^\infty |v|^2 f(1, v) dv \leq \sqrt{T_1} \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} F_2(T_1, T_2) + \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{2\pi}}.$$

while the lower bound similarly is found to be

$$\left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi T_1}{2}} - 1 \right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\kappa \sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} F_1(T_1, T_2)$$

For  $v$  negative, using the representation (23), we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 |v|^2 f(1, v) dv = \int_{-\infty}^0 |v|^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) \frac{C_+ + C_1 C_-}{1 - C_1 C_2} dv.$$

Therefore we can bound it above by,

$$\sqrt{T_2} \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} F_2(T_1, T_2)$$

and below by,

$$\sqrt{T_2} \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} F_1(T_1, T_2).$$

Summing over positive and negative velocities gives us that

$$P_T(x) = P_T(1) \leq \sqrt{T_1 T_2} \left( F_2(T_1, T_2) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi \kappa^2 T_1}} \right).$$

Similarly, we get the lower bound,

$$P_T(x) = P_T(1) \geq \sqrt{T_1 T_2} F_1(T_1, T_2) \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\kappa (\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2})} \right)$$

□

The following Lemma concerns the asymptotics of the density  $\rho$ .

**Lemma 12.** *We have, uniformly in  $x$ ,*

$$1 - \gamma_0 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4} \leq \rho_T(x) \leq 1 + \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}.$$

for some constants  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1$ .

*Proof.* Looking at the formulae (22) and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f(x, v)dv &= \int_{v>0} e^{-x/\kappa v} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) \frac{C_- + C_2 C_+}{1 - C_1 C_2} + \int_0^x \rho(y) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa v} e^{-(x-y)/\kappa v} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy \\ &\leq F_2(T_1, T_2) \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} \int_{v>0} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv + \int_0^x \rho(y) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa v} e^{-(x-y)/\kappa v} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 \end{aligned}$$

where we remind that  $F_2$  is given by (25). For  $I_1$  applying the above estimates we get

$$I_1 \leq F_2(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}}.$$

For the second term  $I_2$ : first we notice that

$$\frac{1}{z} e^{-(x-y)/z} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{e^{-1}}{x-y}, \frac{1}{z} \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa v} e^{-(x-y)/\kappa v} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa \sqrt{T(y)} v} e^{-(x-y)/\kappa \sqrt{T(y)} v} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv \\ &\leq \int_0^a e^{-1} \frac{1}{x-y} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv + \int_a^\infty \frac{1}{a \kappa \sqrt{T(y)}} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv \\ &\leq \frac{a}{e^1 (x-y) \sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{2a \kappa \sqrt{T(y)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Optimising over  $a$  gives that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa v} e^{-(x-y)/\kappa v} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv \leq e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{2}{\pi \kappa^2 T(y)} \right)^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{x-y}}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_2 = \int_0^x \rho(y) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{v} e^{-(x-y)/v} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy \leq 2e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{2}{\pi \kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} \|\rho\|_\infty$$

We can do the same thing for negative  $v$  and put it together to get that

$$\|\rho\|_\infty \leq 2e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{2}{\pi \kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} \|\rho\|_\infty + F_2.$$

Rearranging gives

$$\|\rho\|_\infty \left( 1 - \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4} \right) \leq F_2.$$

Hence,

$$\|\rho\|_\infty \leq F_2 (1 - \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4})^{-1}.$$

For a lower bound on  $\rho$  we can completely ignore the term where we integrate in  $y$  in the formula (22). So we just need to bound below terms like

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-1/\kappa v} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv.$$

We have already treated terms of this type we bound the integrand below by  $1 - \alpha$  for  $v \geq 1/\alpha\sqrt{T_1}$  and optimise over  $\alpha$  to get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-1/\kappa v} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv \geq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\kappa^2 T_1}} \left( 1 - 2 \left( \frac{2}{\pi\kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} \right).$$

Therefore, we write

$$\int_{v>0} f(x, v) dv \gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\kappa^2 T_1}} \left( 1 - 2 \left( \frac{2}{\pi\kappa^2 T_1} \right)^{1/4} \right) \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} F_1(T_1, T_2)$$

Summing over positive and negative  $v$  gives

$$\rho(x) \geq 1 - \gamma_0 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}.$$

□

Now given the scalings in terms of the temperatures for  $P_T(x)$  and  $\rho_T(x)$  and the fact that  $P_T(x) = \rho_T(x)\tau_T(x)$  for every  $x$  we have that

**Lemma 13.** *We have that for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ , asymptotically with  $T_1$ ,*

$$\sqrt{T_1 T_2} (1 - \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}) \lesssim \tau_T(x) \lesssim \sqrt{T_1 T_2} (1 + \gamma_0 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}).$$

*Proof.* This is simply a matter of piecing together the previous lemmas. □

*Proof of Proposition 2.* This follows immediately from the previous lemma, since from the the second item of condition 1, (C2), we indeed have that

$$\sqrt{T_1 T_2} (1 + \gamma_0 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}) \leq T_2$$

and

$$\sqrt{T_1 T_2} (1 - \gamma_1 \kappa^{-1/2} T_1^{-1/4}) \geq T_1.$$

□

**4.4. Hölder continuity of  $\mathcal{F}(T)(x)$ .** In this Section we show Hölder continuity of order 1/2 for the map  $\mathcal{F}(T) = \tau$ . This will allow us to use Schauder fixed point theorem, see Theorem 3, to get the desired fixed point for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Again in this section the precise constants do not matter for the final result so we work with  $\kappa = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.** *If  $T(x) \in [T_1, T_2]$  then there exists a constant  $C(T_1, T_2)$  such that*

$$|\tau(x_1) - \tau(x_2)| \leq C(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{|x_1 - x_2|}.$$

*Proof.* For  $x_1 \leq x_2$  in  $(0, 1)$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_T(x_1) - \tau_T(x_2)| &= \left| \frac{P}{\rho_T(x_1)} - \frac{P}{\rho_T(x_2)} \right| = |P| \left| \frac{\rho_T(x_2) - \rho_T(x_1)}{\rho_T(x_1)\rho_T(x_2)} \right| \\ &\leq C(T_1, T_2) |\rho_T(x_2) - \rho_T(x_1)| \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $P = P_T(x)$  is the constant pressure we got from Lemma 11 and  $C(T_1, T_2)$  a constant that depends only on the two temperatures and comes from the upper bound on  $P$ , Lemma 11, and the, uniform in  $x$ , lower bound on the density as well, see Lemma 12.

Thus, in order to conclude we need to prove Hölder continuity for  $\rho(x)$ . We need to estimate:

$$\int_0^\infty (f(x_2, v)) - f(x_1, v)) dv.$$

We can split this into two terms

$$I_1 = \frac{C_- + C_2 C_+}{1 - C_1 C_2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{T_1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-x_2/v} (1 - e^{-(x_1-x_2)/v}) \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(v) dv,$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_0^{x_2} \frac{1}{|v|} e^{-(x_2-y)/|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy - \int_0^{x_1} \frac{1}{|v|} e^{-(x_1-y)/|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy.$$

In order to bound  $I_1$  from above, we use Lemma 10 to write

$$I_1 \leq \theta(T_1, T_2) \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-(x_2-x_1)/v}) \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(v) dv$$

for some constant  $\theta(T_1, T_2)$ . Now proceeding as in the proofs of the Lemmas in the previous subsection, we split into  $v \leq (x_2 - x_1)/\alpha$  and bound the integrand by 1 for small  $v$  and by  $\alpha$  for large  $v$ :

$$I_1 \lesssim \int_0^{(x_2-x_1)/\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(v) dv + \alpha \int_{(x_2-x_1)/\alpha}^\infty \mathcal{M}_{T_1}(v) dv \lesssim \frac{x_1 - x_1}{\alpha \sqrt{2\pi T_1}} + \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Optimising over  $\alpha$  gives

$$I_1 \leq \theta'(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)}.$$

Here the constant  $\theta'(T_1, T_2)$  depends only on  $T_1, T_2$ . Now we turn to  $I_2$ . We can rewrite it as

$$I_2 = I_3 + I_4,$$

with

$$I_3 = \int_0^{x_1} \int_{v>0} \frac{1}{v} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) (e^{-(x_2-y)/v} - e^{-(x_1-y)/v}) dv dy,$$

and

$$I_4 = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{v>0} \frac{1}{v} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) e^{-(x_2-y)/v} dv dy.$$

Looking first at  $I_4$  we show that

$$I_4 \leq \|\rho\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{T_1}} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{1}{v} e^{-(x_2-y)/v} \mathcal{M}_{T_2}(v) dv dy.$$

Integrating in  $y$  gives

$$I_4 \leq Const. \int_{v>0} (1 - e^{-(x_2-x_1)/v}) \mathcal{M}_{T_2}(v) dv \leq Const. \sqrt{x_2 - x_1}.$$

Now,

$$I_3 \leq \|\rho\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{T_1}} \int_0^{x_1} \int_{v>0} \frac{1}{v} \mathcal{M}_{T_2}(v) e^{-(x_1-y)/v} (1 - e^{-(x_2-x_1)/v}) dv dy.$$

Integrating this in  $y$  gives

$$I_3 \leq \|\rho\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{T_2}{T_1}} \int_{v>0} \mathcal{M}_{T_2}(v) (1 - e^{-x_1/v}) (1 - e^{-(x_2-x_1)/v}) dv.$$

We can bound this by

$$I_3 \leq \text{Const.} \int_{v>0} \mathcal{M}_{T_2}(v) (1 - e^{-(x_2-x_1)/v}) dv \leq \text{Const.} \sqrt{x_2 - x_1}.$$

So we can repeat this for  $v < 0$  to get

$$|\rho(x_2) - \rho(x_1)| \leq C(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{x_2 - x_1}.$$

This gives uniform Hölder continuity for  $\rho$ . Now we get Hölder continuity for the  $\tau(x)$  by combining this with (27):

$$|\tau_T(x_1) - \tau_T(x_2)| \leq C(T_1, T_2) \sqrt{x_2 - x_1}.$$

□

**4.5. Continuity of the map  $\mathcal{F}$ .** In this subsection we are going to prove the continuity of the map  $\mathcal{F}$  which is the second main ingredient in order to apply Schauder's fixed point Theorem. Here we continue to work with  $\kappa = 1$ .

**Proposition 4.** *The map  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous from  $C((0, 1))$  to  $C((0, 1))$  with the  $L^\infty$  norm.*

*Proof.* Let  $T(x), \tilde{T}(x)$  be two different continuous functions satisfying the bounds, *i.e.* are bounded below and above by  $T_1, T_2$  respectively where  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy condition (1). In order to conclude the continuity of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we want to estimate the quantity  $|\mathcal{F}(T)(x) - \mathcal{F}(\tilde{T})(x)|$  and bound it in terms of the difference of the two temperatures  $|T(x) - \tilde{T}(x)|$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . In what follows we write  $\tilde{P} := P_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{\rho} := \rho_{\tilde{T}}$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(T)(x) - \mathcal{F}(\tilde{T})(x)| &= \left| \frac{P}{\rho}(x) - \frac{\tilde{P}}{\tilde{\rho}}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|P - \tilde{P}|}{\rho}(x) + \frac{P\tilde{P}|\rho - \tilde{\rho}|}{\rho\tilde{\rho}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we need to estimate the differences between the two densities and the two pressures that correspond to the two different temperatures. We proceed as in the proofs of the Lemmas in subsection 4.3, using Lemma 7. We recall the result of Lemma 7

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, v) &= e^{-x/|v|} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) \frac{C_- + C_2 C_+}{1 - C_1 C_2} + \int_0^x \frac{1}{|v|} e^{-(x-y)/|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy \quad v > 0, \\ f(x, v) &= e^{-(1-x)/|v|} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) \frac{C_+ + C_1 C_-}{1 - C_1 C_2} + \int_x^1 \frac{1}{|v|} e^{-(y-x)/|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dy \quad v < 0. \end{aligned}$$

First note that the constants  $C_1, C_2$  do not depend on whether we use  $T$  or  $\tilde{T}$ . However  $C_-, C_+$  depend on this. In this case we would like to look at the differences between two different realisations. We recall

$$C_- = \int_0^1 \rho(y) \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-y/|v|} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy.$$

Here we first look at the integral in  $v$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-y/|v|} \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv = \int_0^\infty e^{-y/\sqrt{T(y)v}} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv := F\left(y, \sqrt{T(y)}\right).$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}F(y, t) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{y}{t^2v} e^{-y/tv} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dv} (e^{-y/tv}) v \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} e^{-y/tv} (v^2 - 1) \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv \leq \frac{2}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| F\left(y, \sqrt{T(y)}\right) - F\left(y, \sqrt{\tilde{T}(y)}\right) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{T_1}} \left| \sqrt{T(y)} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}(y)} \right|.$$

This means that

$$|C_- - \tilde{C}_-| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{T_1}} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty + \int_0^1 |\rho(y) - \tilde{\rho}(y)| \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-y/v\sqrt{\tilde{T}(y)}}) \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv dy.$$

Then we can use our bounds from earlier to get that

$$|C_- - \tilde{C}_-| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{T_1}} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T_1}} \|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_\infty.$$

Exactly the same result is true for  $C_+$ .

Now in order to bound the difference of the densities, we write

$$B_1(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x/v} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T_1}},$$

and

$$B_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-(1-x)/|v|} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) dv \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2T_2}}.$$

These quantities don't depend on  $T, \tilde{T}$ . Let us also write

$$A_1(x) = \int_0^x \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{v} e^{-(x-y)/|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv,$$

and  $A_2(x)$  defined symmetrically. Then we have that

$$\rho(x) = \frac{B_1(x)(C_- + C_2C_+) + B_2(x)(C_+ + C_1C_-)}{1 - C_1C_2} + A_1 + A_2. \quad (28)$$

Therefore,

$$|\rho(x) - \tilde{\rho}(x)| \leq \frac{2(B_1(x) + B_2(x))}{1 - C_1C_2} (|C_- - \tilde{C}_-| + |C_+ - \tilde{C}_+|) + |A_1 - \tilde{A}_1| + |A_2 - \tilde{A}_2|. \quad (29)$$

We know from Lemma 8 that

$$(1 - C_1C_2)^{-1} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{T_1T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{2(B_1(x) + B_2(x))}{1 - C_1C_2} \leq 2.$$



Therefore we can bound the first term in the rhs of (29) by

$$4(2 + \sqrt{\pi/2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_1}} \left( \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty + \|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_\infty \right).$$

Regarding the differences between the  $A_i$ 's, we look only at  $A_1$ , since the other case is the same. Let us write

$$G(y, t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{vt} e^{-(x-y)/vt} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv.$$

By our earlier calculations, for example in the proof of the Lemma 12, we know that

$$G(y, t) \leq e^{-1/2} \left( \frac{2}{\pi t^2} \right)^{1/4}.$$

Then we can differentiate to see

$$\frac{d}{dt} G(y, t) = \int_0^\infty \left( -\frac{1}{vt^2} + \frac{x-y}{v^2 t^3} \right) e^{-(x-y)/vt} \mathcal{M}_1(v) dv \leq \frac{2}{t} G(y, t) \leq Ct^{-3/2}.$$

This last inequality only holds for  $t \geq 1$ . Now we have,

$$A_1(x) - \tilde{A}_1(x) \leq \int_0^1 \left( \rho(y) \left| G\left(y, \sqrt{T(y)}\right) - G\left(y, \sqrt{\tilde{T}(y)}\right) \right| + (\rho(y) - \tilde{\rho}(y)) G\left(y, \sqrt{\tilde{T}(y)}\right) \right) dy.$$

Therefore,

$$|A_1(x) - \tilde{A}_1(x)| \leq CT_1^{-3/4} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty + CT_1^{-1/4} \|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_\infty.$$

Therefore overall,

$$\|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_\infty \leq CT_1^{-1/4} \|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_\infty + CT_1^{-1/2} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty.$$

Hence,

$$\|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_\infty \leq CT_1^{-1/2} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty. \quad (30)$$

Regarding the estimation of the difference of the pressures we define the following.

$$D_1(x) = \int_0^\infty |v|^2 e^{-x/v} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1(v) dv, \quad D_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^0 |v|^2 e^{-(1-x)/v} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_2(v) dv.$$

These quantities do not depend on  $T$  or  $\tilde{T}$  and we have that

$$D_1 \approx \sqrt{T_1}, \quad D_2 \approx \sqrt{T_2}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$E_1(x) = \int_0^x \int_0^\infty |v| e^{-(x-y)/v} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy,$$

and

$$E_2(x) = \int_x^1 \int_{-\infty}^0 |v| e^{-(y-x)/|v|} \rho(y) \mathcal{M}_{T(y)}(v) dv dy.$$

Then the formula for the pressure can be rewritten as follows

$$P = \frac{D_1(C_- + C_2 C_+) + D_2(C_+ + C_1 C_-)}{1 - C_1 C_2} + E_1 + E_2.$$

Therefore,

$$|P - \tilde{P}| \leq \frac{2(D_1 + D_2)}{1 - C_1 C_2} \left( |C_- - \tilde{C}_-| + |C_+ - \tilde{C}_+| \right) + |E_1 - \tilde{E}_1| + |E_2 - \tilde{E}_2|.$$

So we can bound,

$$\frac{2(D_1 + D_2)}{1 - C_1 C_2} \leq 2(\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}) \frac{\sqrt{T_1 T_2}}{\sqrt{T_1} + \sqrt{T_2}} = 2\sqrt{T_1 T_2}.$$

Then we want to bound the first term by

$$C\sqrt{T_2} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty.$$

In general we can see that,

$$|P - \tilde{P}| \leq C\sqrt{T_2} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty. \quad (31)$$

Finally about the difference in temperatures, we use the results from Lemmas 12 and 11: that  $\rho, \tilde{\rho} \sim 1$ , and  $P \sim \sqrt{T_1 T_2}$ . Combining (30) and (31) with the calculations in the beginning of this proof, we have for all  $x$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(T)(x) - \mathcal{F}(\tilde{T})(x)| &\leq \frac{C\sqrt{T_2}}{1 + \kappa_1 T_1^{-1/4}} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty + \frac{(T_1 T_2)C}{(1 + \kappa_1 T_1^{-1/4})^2} \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \Lambda(T_1, T_2) \|\sqrt{T} - \sqrt{\tilde{T}}\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

for a constant  $\Lambda(T_1, T_2)$  that depends only on the temperatures  $T_1, T_2$ . Since  $T, \tilde{T}$  are bounded below by  $T_1$  this gives the required continuity.  $\square$

**4.6. Fixed Point Argument.** In this subsection we show how an application of Schauder's fixed point theorem yields the main result. First, for completeness we remind here the Schauder's Theorem, which can be found for example in [Sma74, Theorem 2.3.7].

**Theorem 3** (Schauder Fixed Point Theorem). *Let  $S$  be a non-empty, convex closed subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space and  $F$  a mapping of  $S$  into itself so that  $F(S)$  is compact then  $F$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We apply Schauder's Theorem to get a fixed point for  $\mathcal{F}$ :

Firstly by Proposition 4 we know that the map  $\mathcal{F} : C(0, 1) \rightarrow C(0, 1)$  is a continuous map. For  $T_1, T_2$  fixed temperatures satisfying condition 1, we have that  $T(x) \in [T_1, T_2]$  implies  $\tau \in [T_1, T_2]$ , in other words if we define the set

$$S_{T_1, T_2} := \{T \in C([0, 1]) : T_1 \leq T(x) \leq T_2\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{F}(S_{T_1, T_2}) \subset S_{T_1, T_2}.$$

Also, from Proposition 3, we have that  $\mathcal{F}(S_{T_1, T_2})$  satisfies a Hölder condition of order 1/2 with a constant depending only on the two fixed temperatures. Since moreover  $\mathcal{F}(S_{T_1, T_2})$  is uniformly bounded, we conclude by Arzela-Ascoli, the compactness of the set.

The existence of a fixed point for this mapping ensures us that the steady state for the linear BGK model with temperature profile  $T(x)$  is a steady state for the original non-linear

model (1)-(2)-(3) as well since  $T(x) = T_f(x)$ . The properties of this non-equilibrium steady state listed in the statement are proved in Lemmas 12, 13 and Proposition 4 respectively.  $\square$

## 5. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS AND FUTURE WORK

First we look at how Fourier's law applies in this specific context. The heat flux associated to the NESS is constant along the interval: Recall that the temperature that corresponds to the stationary solution  $f$  is given by

$$T_f(x) = \frac{1}{\rho_f(x)} \int |v - u(x)|^2 f(x, v) dv$$

and the heat flux is the vector field

$$J(x) := \int (v - u(x)) |v - u(x)|^2 f(x, v) dv.$$

Here we have  $u(x) = 0$  everywhere (see beginning of proof of Lemma 11). We easily get that

$$\partial_x J(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1),$$

i.e. the heat flux is constant. This means that if Fourier's law (6) holds, then  $\kappa(T_f) \partial_x T_f(x)$  is constant. Note that this conclusion can be also found in [EGKM13, proof of Theorem 1.5] for the full Boltzmann operator and for temperatures close to equilibrium. There, through comparison with numerical simulations indicating that the temperature is a nonlinear function, one can see that Fourier's law is violated in the kinetic regime.

In our setting  $T_f(x)$  is close to the constant function  $\sqrt{T_1 T_2}$  as  $T_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , as described in the main Theorem 1. So for large boundary temperatures  $T_1$ , the function  $T_f(x)$  is constant in the bulk of the domain  $(0, 1)$  which is reminiscent to the behaviour of the heat flux in the harmonic atom networks.

**Comparison with the heat flux in the microscopic harmonic atom chains.** In the case of harmonic oscillator chains the temperature profile is similarly close to being constant as shown in [RLL67], at least in the case of small temperature difference. However in this case the temperature is the centre of the chain is the linear average  $(T_1 + T_2)/2$  whereas in our case the temperature is close to  $\sqrt{T_1 T_2}$ . In the paper [RLL67] they also show that the temperature is paradoxically lower than the average very close to the hot reservoir. It would be interesting to see if a similar effect could be observed in our model.

As regards the connection of microscopic oscillator chains with the Boltzmann equation for phonons, it has been shown that one can derive a phonon Boltzmann equation as a kinetic limit (high frequency limit) starting from infinite chain of harmonic oscillators with a small anharmonicity. We refer to [Spo06] for that and to [BOS10] for a stochastically perturbed version of it. Another very interesting work analysing the kinetic limit in case of an infinite linear chain of oscillators coupled to a single Langevin thermostat at the boundary is [KORS20].

**Possible directions.** The most natural and important question arising from these results is uniqueness of the steady state given here. A less ambitious question in the same direction is whether the steady state found here is stable under small perturbations, this is done in the Boltzmann equation setting in [AEMN10, AEMN12] and in the BGK setting in [CEL<sup>+</sup>18, CEL<sup>+</sup>19]. This would also be an interesting question in terms of the study of

hypocoercivity as there are only a small number of works showing hypocoercivity for equations on bounded domains and these are generally in the context of the Boltzmann equation initiated by [Guo10]. Showing hypocoercivity for equations with non-explicit non-equilibrium states is also a significant challenge.

Another possible angle for future work is to investigate similar problems in higher dimensions. This would involve looking at the non-linear BGK equation where  $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain. In this case getting  $L^\infty$  estimates on the solution from the Langrangian expansion of the steady state becomes much more challenging.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Clément Mouhot for many insightful discussions and encouragement and Helge Dietert for sharing his observations for possible connections with the harmonic oscillator chains. JE was supported by FSPM postdoctoral fellowship and the grant ANR-17-CE40-0030, AM was supported by the EPSRC grant EP/L016516/1 for the University of Cambridge CDT, the CCA.

## REFERENCES

- [AEMN10] L. Arkeryd, R. Esposito, R. Marra, and A. Nouri. Stability for Rayleigh-Benard convective solutions of the Boltzmann equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 198(1):125–187, 2010.
- [AEMN12] Leif Arkeryd, Raffaele Esposito, Rossana Marra, and Anne Nouri. Exponential stability of the solutions to the Boltzmann equation for the Benard problem. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 5(4):673–695, 2012.
- [AN00] Leif Arkeryd and Anne Nouri.  $L^1$  solutions to the stationary Boltzmann equation in a slab. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 9(3):375–413, 2000.
- [Ark00] Leif Arkeryd. On the stationary Boltzmann equation in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, pages 625–641, 2000.
- [Ber19] Armand Bernou. A semigroup approach to the convergence rate of a collisionless gas. *arXiv:1911.03228*, 2019.
- [BF119] Fourier and the science of today / fourier et la science d’aujourd’hui. Volume 20, Issue 5:387–502, 2019. Edited by P. Flandrin, C. Bernardin.
- [BF19] A. Bernou and N. Fournier. A coupling approach for the convergence to equilibrium for a collisionless gas. *arXiv:1910.02739*, 2019.
- [BGK54] P. L. Bhatnagar, E. P. Gross, and M. Krook. A model for collision processes in gases. i. small amplitude processes in charged and neutral one-component systems. *Phys. Rev.*, 94:511–525, May 1954.
- [BLRB00] F. Bonetto, J. L. Lebowitz, and L. Rey-Bellet. Fourier’s law: a challenge to theorists. In *Mathematical physics 2000*, pages 128–150. Imp. Coll. Press, London, 2000.
- [BM] S. Becker and A. Menegaki. The optimal spectral gap for regular and disordered harmonic networks of oscillators. In preparation.
- [BOS10] Giada Basile, Stefano Olla, and Herbert Spohn. Energy transport in stochastically perturbed lattice dynamics. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 195(1):171–203, 2010.
- [Car07] P. Carmona. Existence and uniqueness of an invariant measure for a chain of oscillators in contact with two heat baths. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 117(8):1076–1092, 2007.
- [CEHRB18] N. Cuneo, J.-P. Eckmann, M. Hairer, and L. Rey-Bellet. Non-equilibrium steady states for networks of oscillators. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23:28 pp., 2018.
- [CEL<sup>+</sup>18] E. A. Carlen, R. Esposito, J. L. Lebowitz, R. Marra, and C. Mouhot. Approach to the steady state in kinetic models with thermal reservoirs at different temperatures. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 172(2):522–543, 2018.
- [CEL<sup>+</sup>19] Eric A. Carlen, Raffaele Esposito, Joel Lebowitz, Rossana Marra, and Clement Mouhot. Uniqueness of the non-equilibrium steady state for a 1d bgk model in kinetic theory. *Acta Appl Math*, 2019.

- [CLM15] Eric A. Carlen, Joel L. Lebowitz, and Clément Mouhot. Exponential approach to, and properties of, a non-equilibrium steady state in a dilute gas. *Braz. J. Probab. Stat.*, 29(2):372–386, 2015.
- [Dha08] A. Dhar. Heat transport in low-dimensional systems. *Advances in Physics*, 57, 08 2008.
- [EGKM13] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim, and R. Marra. Non-isothermal boundary in the Boltzmann theory and Fourier law. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 323(1):177–239, 2013.
- [ELM94] R. Esposito, J. L. Lebowitz, and R. Marra. Hydrodynamic limit of the stationary Boltzmann equation in a slab. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 160(1):49–80, 1994.
- [ELM95] R. Esposito, J. L. Lebowitz, and R. Marra. The Navier-Stokes limit of stationary solutions of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. volume 78, pages 389–412. 1995. Papers dedicated to the memory of Lars Onsager.
- [EPRB99] J.-P. Eckmann, C.-A. Pillet, and L. Rey-Bellet. Non-equilibrium statistical mechanics of anharmonic chains coupled to two heat baths at different temperatures. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 201(3):657–697, 1999.
- [Eva16] Josephine Evans. Non-equilibrium steady states in Kac’s model coupled to a thermostat. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 164(5):1103–1121, 2016.
- [GP89] William Greenberg and Jacek Polewczak. A global existence theorem for the nonlinear BGK equation. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 55(5-6):1313–1321, 1989.
- [Guo10] Yan Guo. Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 197(3):713–809, 2010.
- [Hai09] M. Hairer. How hot can a heat bath get? *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 292(1):131–177, 2009.
- [Hai16] Martin Hairer. Lecture notes: P@w course on the convergence of markov processes. 2016.
- [HM09] M. Hairer and J. C. Mattingly. Slow energy dissipation in anharmonic oscillator chains. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 62(8):999–1032, 2009.
- [KORS20] Tomasz Komorowski, Stefano Olla, Lenya Ryzhik, and Herbert Spohn. High Frequency Limit for a Chain of Harmonic Oscillators with a Point Langevin Thermostat. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 237(1):497–543, 2020.
- [Lep16] S. Lepri, editor. *Thermal Transport in Low Dimensions*, volume 921 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer, [Cham], 2016. From statistical physics to nanoscale heat transfer.
- [Men20] Angeliki Menegaki. Quantitative Rates of Convergence to Non-equilibrium Steady State for a Weakly Anharmonic Chain of Oscillators. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 181(1):53–94, 2020.
- [OAY89] T. Ohwada, K. Aoki, and Sone Y. Heat transfer and temperature distribution in a rarefied gas between two parallel plates with different temperatures: Numerical analysis of the boltzmann equation for a hard sphere molecule. 1989.
- [Per89] B. Perthame. Global existence to the BGK model of Boltzmann equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 82(1):191–205, 1989.
- [PP93] B. Perthame and M. Pulvirenti. Weighted  $L^\infty$  bounds and uniqueness for the Boltzmann BGK model. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 125(3):289–295, 1993.
- [RBT02] L. Rey-Bellet and L. E. Thomas. Exponential convergence to non-equilibrium stationary states in classical statistical mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 225(2):305–329, 2002.
- [RLL67] Z. Rieder, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. Lieb. Properties of a harmonic crystal in a stationary nonequilibrium state. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 8(5):1073–1078, 1967.
- [Sma74] D. R. Smart. *Fixed point theorems*. Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1974. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 66.
- [Spo06] Herbert Spohn. The phonon Boltzmann equation, properties and link to weakly anharmonic lattice dynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 124(2-4):1041–1104, 2006.
- [Uka92] Seiji Ukai. Stationary solutions of the BGK model equation on a finite interval with large boundary data. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Mathematical Aspects of Fluid and Plasma Dynamics (Kyoto, 1991)*, pages 487–500, 1992.

*Email address:* Josephine.Evans@warwick.ac.uk

WARWICK MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, CV4 7AL

*Email address:* angeliki.menegaki@dpms.cam.ac.uk

DPMMS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, WILBERFORCE RD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WA, UK