
Blow-up solitons at the nonlinear stage of the two-stream instability in quantum plasmas

V. M. LASHKIN¹

¹ *Institute for Nuclear Research - Pr. Nauki 47, Kyiv 03680, Ukraine*

PACS 05.45.Yv – Solitons

PACS 05.60.Gg – Quantum transport

PACS 52.35.Qz – Microinstabilities (ion-acoustic, two-stream, loss-cone, beam-plasma, drift, ion- or electron-cyclotron, etc.)

Abstract –The nonlinear evolution of the quantum two-stream instability in a plasma with counter-streaming electron beams is studied. It is shown that in the long-wave limit the nonlinear stage of the instability can be described by the elliptic nonlinear string equation. We present two types of the nonlinear solutions. The first one is an unstable nonlinear mode that is continuously related with the growing linear solution and the second one is a pulsating soliton. We show that both of these solutions blow up in a finite time.

Introduction. – The two-stream instability is one of the most known instability in plasma physics [1]. This instability may appear when the plasma particles stream with different velocities. In particular, this may be the classical instability of an electron beam in a plasma [2, 3] and the Buneman instability [4] which are widespread in both laboratory and space plasmas. In the context of quantum plasmas, the problem of the two-stream instability was first studied by Haas *et al.* [5]. Quantum effects in plasmas are important in the limit of low-plasma temperature and high-particle number density [6, 7]. Such plasmas are ubiquitous in microelectronic devices, in dense astrophysical plasma, in microplasmas, and in laser plasmas (for example, see the reviews [8, 9] and references therein). There are two well-known models for describing the quantum effects in a plasma. The Wigner and Hartree models are based upon the Wigner-Poisson and Schrödinger-Poisson systems which, respectively, correspond to the statistical and hydrodynamic description of the plasma particles. Authors [5] have introduced a quantum multi-stream model by using the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system. In particular, they derived the dispersion relation for the quantum two-stream instability and showed the existence of new, purely quantum, unstable branches which do not appear in classical plasmas. Later on, the quantum hydrodynamic description of the quantum two-stream instability [5, 10] was extended to the kinetic case [11] which was based on the quantum mechanical Wigner formalism. Since then, the quantum two-stream insta-

bility has attracted considerable attention and been discussed by many authors for different plasma regimes such as dusty quantum plasma [12], electron-positron-ion quantum plasma [13], dense electron-ion plasma [14], relativistic plasma [15], and electron-hole quantum semiconductor plasma [16]. The effects of the exchange interaction [17] and the electron spin [18] for the quantum two-stream instability have been also investigated. Necessary validity conditions for the cold quantum two-stream model were discussed in ref. [19]. Recently, it was also shown [20] that the hydrodynamic model gives a decent approximation of the exchange effects for sufficiently long wavelengths.

A nonlinear stage of the quantum two-stream instability was studied back in the original work [5] by numerical simulation, where, in particular, spatially periodic, stationary states which survive over long times were predicted. The aim of this Letter is to derive a nonlinear evolutionary equation describing the nonlinear stage of the quantum two-stream instability with cold electrons in the long-wavelength limit and to present corresponding exact analytical solutions. To our knowledge, this equation in the form of the elliptic nonlinear string (ENS) equation in this context was not previously obtained even for classical plasmas. In the classical plasma, a nonlinear stage of the long-wavelength Buneman instability was studied in the model of the Boussinesq equation, where the dispersion corresponds to warm electrons [21]. The ENS equation differs from the classical Boussinesq equation in the sign before a fourth derivative term. Note that when consid-

ering the nonlinear stage of the quantum two-stream instability in electron-positron-ion plasmas the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation was obtained by employing the reductive perturbation technique [13]. The ENS equation as well as the KdV equation is completely integrable and admits N -soliton solution [22]. A significant difference, however, is that the dispersion relation of the linearized KdV equation does not corresponds to any instability at all, while the dispersion relation of the ENS equation completely coincides with the one of the two-stream instability in the long-wavelength limit.

Model equation. – The longitudinal dielectric response function of a cold plasma with the "quantum recoil" term for two counter propagating electron beams with equal equilibrium number densities and velocities has the form [5, 7]

$$\varepsilon(\omega, k) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2/2}{(\omega - kv_0)^2 - \hbar^2 k^4/(4m^2)} - \frac{\omega_p^2/2}{(\omega + kv_0)^2 - \hbar^2 k^4/(4m^2)}, \quad (1)$$

where ω and k are the frequency and the wave number respectively, $\omega_p = \sqrt{4\pi e^2 n_0/m}$ is the electron plasma frequency, n_0 is the equilibrium plasma density, e is the elementary charge, m is the electron mass, \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π , and v_0 is the stream velocity. Charge neutrality is provided by the motionless background ions. The solution of eq. (1) for ω^2 has two branches [5]

$$\omega_{\pm}^2 = \frac{\omega_p^2}{2} + k^2 v_0^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 k^4}{4m^2} \pm \frac{\omega_p^2}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{8k^2 v_0^2}{\omega_p^2} + \frac{4\hbar^2 k^6 v_0^2}{m^2 \omega_p^4}}. \quad (2)$$

The solution with the sign "+" is always positive and gives stable oscillations. The other solution can be negative ($\omega^2 < 0$) and the instability occurs provided that [5]

$$[H^2 K^2 - 4][H^2 K^4 - 4K^2 + 4] < 0, \quad (3)$$

where the rescaled variables are

$$H = \hbar \omega_p / (m v_0^2), \quad K = k v_0 / \omega_p. \quad (4)$$

In this Letter, we restrict to the case of the long-wave limit when $K^2 \ll 1$ and $H^2 K^4 \ll 1$. Note that, generally speaking, a strongly quantum regime $H^2 \gg 1$ can also take place. Then the dispersion relation (2) with the sign "-" takes the form

$$\omega^2 = -k^2 v_0^2 + \frac{4v_0^4}{\omega_p^2} \left(1 + \frac{H^2}{16}\right) k^4 \quad (5)$$

and predicts the instability with the growth rate

$$\gamma = k v_0 \sqrt{1 - 4(1 + H^2/16)k^2 v_0^2 / \omega_p^2}. \quad (6)$$

We address the nonlinear stage of this instability.

In the following we use the notation

$$\sum_{q=q_1+q_2} \dots \rightarrow \int \dots \delta(q - q_1 - q_2) \frac{dq_1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{dq_2}{(2\pi)^2}, \quad (7)$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function and $q = (\omega, k)$. In the one-dimensional space the Wigner kinetic equation [23–25] for the quantum electron distribution function (Wigner function) $F(x, v, t)$ can be written as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = -\frac{iem}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \int d\lambda dv' \exp\left[i\frac{m}{\hbar}(v-v')\lambda\right] \times \left[\varphi\left(x + \frac{\lambda}{2}, t\right) - \varphi\left(x - \frac{\lambda}{2}, t\right)\right] F(x, v', t), \quad (8)$$

where φ is the electrostatic potential. In the momentum space eq. (8) can be written as

$$(\omega - kv)f_q(v) = \frac{em}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int \int d\lambda dv' \exp\left[i\frac{m}{\hbar}(v-v')\lambda\right] \times \left[\left(e^{ik\lambda/2} - e^{-ik\lambda/2}\right) \varphi_q f^{(0)}(v') + \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} \left(e^{ik_1\lambda/2} - e^{-ik_1\lambda/2}\right) \varphi_{q_1} f_{q_2}(v')\right] \quad (9)$$

where $f_q(v)$ is the deviation of the electron distribution function of each stream from the equilibrium one $f^{(0)}(v)$, and φ is the electrostatic potential. The distribution function $f^{(0)}(v)$ is normalized to the equilibrium plasma density of each stream, $\int f^{(0)}(v)dv = n_0/2$. Integrating over λ in eq. (9) yields

$$\exp\left[i\frac{m}{\hbar}(v-v') \pm \frac{ik}{2}\right] \lambda \rightarrow 2\pi\delta\left[\frac{m}{\hbar}(v-v') \pm \frac{k}{2}\right], \quad (10)$$

and then integrating over v' yields

$$(\omega - kv)f_q(v) = \frac{e}{\hbar} \varphi_q \left[f^{(0)}\left(v + \frac{\hbar k}{2m}\right) - f^{(0)}\left(v - \frac{\hbar k}{2m}\right)\right] + \frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} \varphi_{q_1} \left[f_{q_2}\left(v + \frac{\hbar k_1}{2m}\right) - f_{q_2}\left(v - \frac{\hbar k_1}{2m}\right)\right]. \quad (11)$$

We present the function $f_q(v)$ as a series in powers of the field strength (i. e. $f_q^{(n)} \sim \varphi^n$)

$$f_q(v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_q^{(n)}(v). \quad (12)$$

In the linear approximation from eqs. (11) and (12) we have

$$f_q^{(1)} = \frac{e\varphi_q}{\hbar(\omega - kv)} \left[f^{(0)}\left(v + \frac{\hbar k}{2m}\right) - f^{(0)}\left(v - \frac{\hbar k}{2m}\right)\right], \quad (13)$$

and then one can write the recurrence relation

$$f_q^{(n)} = \frac{e}{\hbar(\omega - kv)} \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} \varphi_{q_1} \left[f_{q_2}^{(n-1)}\left(v + \frac{\hbar k_1}{2m}\right) - f_{q_2}^{(n-1)}\left(v - \frac{\hbar k_1}{2m}\right)\right]. \quad (14)$$

For the nonlinear terms ($n \geq 2$) we use the quasiclassical approximation and in the limit $\hbar k/(2m) \ll v$ in eq. (14) one can expand

$$f_q^{(n-1)} \left(v \pm \frac{\hbar k_1}{2m} \right) \approx f_q^{(n-1)}(v) \pm \frac{\partial f_q^{(n-1)}}{\partial v} \frac{\hbar k_1}{2m} \quad (15)$$

whence we get

$$f_q^{(n)} = \frac{e}{m(\omega - kv)} \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} k_1 \varphi_{q_1} \frac{\partial f_{q_2}^{(n-1)}}{\partial v}. \quad (16)$$

Retaining terms in eq. (12) up to second order in the wave fields and substituting f_q into the Poisson equation

$$k^2 \varphi_q = -4\pi e \sum_{\alpha} \int f_q(v) dv, \quad (17)$$

where \sum stands for summation over the different electron streams labeled by $\alpha = +, -$, and the ion contribution is omitted, we get

$$\varepsilon_q \varphi_q = \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} V_{q_1, q_2} \varphi_{q_1} \varphi_{q_2}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_q = 1 + \frac{4\pi e^2}{\hbar k^2} \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{[f^{(0)}(v + \frac{\hbar k}{2m}) - f^{(0)}(v - \frac{\hbar k}{2m})]}{(\omega - kv)} dv \quad (19)$$

is the linear electron dielectric response function, and the interaction matrix element V_{q_1, q_2} is determined by

$$V_{q_1, q_2} = \frac{e}{2m n_0 k^2} \sum_{\alpha} \int \frac{k_1}{[(\omega_1 + \omega_2) - (k_1 + k_2)v]} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \frac{k_2}{(\omega_2 - k_2 v)} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}^{(0)}}{\partial v} dv + (\omega_1, k_1 \rightleftharpoons \omega_2, k_2). \quad (20)$$

Note that the expression (20) for the interaction matrix element V_{q_1, q_2} is written in a symmetrized form. Singularities in the denominators in eqs. (19) and (20) are avoided, as usual, using Landau's rule by replacing $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i0$ and then

$$(\omega - kv)^{-1} = \mathcal{P}(\omega - kv)^{-1} - i\pi \delta(\omega - kv), \quad (21)$$

$$[\omega_1 + \omega_2 - (k_1 + k_2)v]^{-1} = \mathcal{P}[\omega_1 + \omega_2 - (k_1 + k_2)v]^{-1} - i\pi \delta[\omega_1 + \omega_2 - (k_1 + k_2)v], \quad (22)$$

where \mathcal{P} is the principal value of the integrals. Imaginary parts in eqs. (21) and (22) account for the linear and nonlinear Landau damping respectively. In this paper we do not take into account the damping and only the principal value of the integrals is understood. After two partial integrations in eq. (20) one can write

$$V_{q_1, q_2} = \frac{e}{2m n_0 k^2} \sum_{\alpha} \int \left[\frac{2k^2 k_1 k_2}{(\omega - kv)^3 (\omega_2 - k_2 v)} + \frac{k k_1 k_2^2}{(\omega - kv)^2 (\omega_2 - k_2 v)^2} \right] f_{\alpha}^{(0)} dv + (\omega_1, k_1 \rightleftharpoons \omega_2, k_2), \quad (23)$$

where $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ and $k = k_1 + k_2$. Expanding $\varepsilon(\omega, k)$ given by eq. (1) near the ω_k^2 determined by eq. (5) yields

$$\varepsilon(\omega, k) = \varepsilon(\omega_k, k) + \varepsilon'(\omega_k^2)(\omega^2 - \omega_k^2) \quad (24)$$

where $\varepsilon'(\omega_k^2) \equiv \partial \varepsilon(\omega^2)/\partial \omega |_{\omega^2=\omega_k^2}$ and in the leading order one can get

$$\varepsilon'(\omega_k^2) = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{4k^4 v_0^4}. \quad (25)$$

After substituting eq. (24) into eq. (18) we have

$$(\omega^2 - \omega_k^2) \varphi_q = \frac{1}{\varepsilon'(\omega_k^2)} \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} V_{q_1, q_2} \varphi_{q_1} \varphi_{q_2}. \quad (26)$$

Considering the monoenergetic electron streams, $v_0 \gg v_{Te}$, where v_{Te} is the thermal electron velocity, one can write for the full electron distribution function $f^{(0)}$

$$f^{(0)} = f_-^{(0)} + f_+^{(0)} = \frac{n_0}{2} [\delta(v - v_0) + \delta(v + v_0)]. \quad (27)$$

Substituting eq. (27) into eq. (19) and then after suitable changes of variables one can obtain the dielectric function (1). Substituting eq. (27) into eq. (23) we obtain

$$V_{k_1, k_2} = \frac{e}{2m} \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \left[2k^2 k_1 k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\Omega_{-,2}^3} + \frac{1}{\Omega_{+,2}^3} \right) + k k_1 k_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{\Omega_{-,2}^2} + \frac{1}{\Omega_{+,2}^2} \right) \right] + (\omega_1, k_1 \rightleftharpoons \omega_2, k_2), \quad (28)$$

where $\Omega_{\pm} = \omega \pm kv_0$, $\Omega_{\pm,2} = \omega_2 \pm k_2 v_0$. The wave dispersion in eq. (5) has an acoustic type and in the leading term satisfies the three-wave resonance condition

$$\omega_k = \omega_{k_1} + \omega_{k_2}, \quad k = k_1 + k_2. \quad (29)$$

Taking into account eq. (5) and eq. (29) when calculating (28), and then substituting eq. (25) and eq. (28) into (26) we finally obtain

$$\left[\omega^2 + k^2 v_0^2 - \frac{4v_0^4}{\omega_p^2} \left(1 + \frac{H^2}{16} \right) k^4 \right] \varphi_q = -\frac{3e}{m} k^2 \sum_{q=q_1+q_2} \varphi_{q_1} \varphi_{q_2}. \quad (30)$$

In the physical space this equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} + v_0^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{4v_0^4}{\omega_p^2} \left(1 + \frac{H^2}{16} \right) \frac{\partial^4 \varphi}{\partial x^4} + \frac{3e}{m} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^2}{\partial x^2} = 0. \quad (31)$$

In the linear approximation, taking $\varphi \sim \exp(-ikx + i\omega t)$, the equation (31) yields the dispersion relation (5). After rescaling

$$t \rightarrow \omega_p t, \quad x \rightarrow \frac{\omega_p}{v_0} x, \quad \varphi \rightarrow \frac{e\varphi}{8m v_0^2 (1 + H^2/16)}, \quad (32)$$

equation (31) can be written in the following dimensionless form

$$\varphi_{tt} + \varphi_{xx} + 4 \left(1 + \frac{H^2}{16} \right) (\varphi_{xx} + 6\varphi^2)_{xx} = 0. \quad (33)$$

The linear part of this equation corresponds to the elliptic operator. The sign before the nonlinear term is not essential and can be changed by replacing $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$. The equation (33) with negative signs before φ_{xx} and φ_{xxxx} is the classical Boussinesq equation and its N -soliton solutions were obtained by Hirota [26] using his bilinearization method. The linear dispersion relation of the Boussinesq equation predicts the instability for short waves unlike the equation of a nonlinear string (33) describing the long-wave instability. Yadjima pointed out [27] that in the Hirota's solutions also implicitly present the solutions which are continuously related to the unstable solution of the corresponding linear equation. The solution in [27] describes the nonlinear stage of the linear instability. Under this, the over-stabilization takes place the unstable mode neither grows unlimitedly nor saturates at some level but takes the maximum and thereafter damps. As we see below, the situation is essentially different for eq. (33).

Blow-up nonlinear solutions. – The equation (33) with negative sign only before φ_{xx} is known as the equation of nonlinear string. Although this equation is linearly stable, Kalantarov and Ladyzhenskaya [28] were apparently the first to show that real solutions with initial data satisfying the inequality $\mathcal{H} < 0$, where \mathcal{H} is the hamiltonian, blow up in a finite time. For the same equation the possibility of collapsing solitons with $\mathcal{H} > 0$ was shown in ref. [29]. Formation of a singularity (collapse of solitons) and decay of solitons was demonstrated in ref. [22], where the continuous (nonsolitonic) spectrum was also investigated.

The recipe suggested in ref. [27] can be extended to the case of the elliptic equation of a nonlinear string (33) describing the long-wave instability. Then one can find an exact solution of eq. (33) in the form

$$\varphi = k^2 \frac{\{\sqrt{P} \cosh[\gamma t + \ln(a\sqrt{P}/2k^2)] \cos kx - 1\}}{\{\sqrt{P} \cosh[\gamma t + \ln(a\sqrt{P}/2k^2)] - \cos kx\}^2} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\gamma = k\sqrt{1 - 4(1 + H^2/16)k^2}, \quad P = \frac{1 - 16(1 + H^2/16)k^2}{1 - 4(1 + H^2/16)k^2}. \quad (35)$$

Here, a and k are arbitrary parameters satisfying the conditions $a > 0$ and $4(1 + H^2/16)k^2 < 1$ so that $P > 0$. By expanding eq. (34) in a one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & a \exp(\gamma t) \cos kx + \frac{a^2}{k^2} \exp(2\gamma t) \cos 2kx \\ & + \frac{3a^3}{4k^4} \exp(3\gamma t) \left[\cos 3kx - \frac{k^4}{\gamma^2} \cos kx \right] + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

which is in agreement to every order in a with the expression obtained from the perturbation theory. At the same time, neglecting the nonlinear term in eq. (33) yields the growing solution

$$\varphi = a \exp(\gamma t) \cos kx \quad (37)$$

with the growth rate γ given by eq. (6) and corresponds to the linear dispersion relation (5). Thus, the solution (34) is continuously related to the linear solution (37). Since $P < 1$ it is seen that the solution will blow up and becomes infinite at time

$$t_{cr} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \frac{2k^2}{a(1 + \sqrt{1 - P})}. \quad (38)$$

This is in contrast to the behavior predicted in ref. [27] for the Boussinesq equation, when the unstable solution initially grows with time then reaches the maximum at some time and thereafter damps so that the over-saturating of the instability takes place.

Another exact solution of eq. (33) is the pulsating (breather) soliton. One can obtain this solution just putting $\gamma = i\Omega$, where Ω is real, and $a = 2k^2/\sqrt{P}$ in eq. (34), so that there is only one arbitrary parameter q in the solution

$$\varphi = q^2 \frac{(1 + \sqrt{Q} \cos \Omega t \cosh qx)}{(\sqrt{Q} \cos \Omega t + \cosh qx)^2}, \quad (39)$$

where

$$\Omega = q\sqrt{1 + 4(1 + H^2/16)q^2}, \quad Q = \frac{1 + 16(1 + H^2/16)q^2}{1 + 4(1 + H^2/16)q^2}. \quad (40)$$

It is seen that $Q > 1$ and the solution (39) has a singularity at some time. The explosion time is

$$t_{cr} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \arccos \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \right). \quad (41)$$

It is seen that in the strongly quantum regime $H^2 \gg 1$ the amplitudes, characteristic sizes and times of the found nonlinear structures are completely determined by the quantum effect. In the limit $H^2 \rightarrow 0$, we readily obtain the case of classical plasma, which for the two cold counter-propagating electron streams was also not discussed earlier in the literature in this context.

A singularity in the solutions indicates that the model (31) is no longer valid and the full model [5] should be used. In reality, the singularity can never be reached and the blow-up is prevented by including extra effects such as Landau damping, higher-order dispersion and nonlinearities. In our case of the monoenergetic electron streams, the stabilization can occur long before thermalization of the beams or reaching the characteristic scale length of the order of the Debye radius due to the electron trapping in wave electrostatic potential well when $e\varphi \sim mv_0^2$ [30] which is in agreement with [5].

Conclusions. – We have derived the nonlinear equation describing the development of the quantum two-stream instability in cold plasmas with counter streaming electrons. This equation has a form of the elliptic nonlinear string equation. We have presented two type of the exact solutions of this equation. The first one is an unstable nonlinear mode that continuously related with the growing linear solution and the second one is a pulsating soliton. We have shown that both of these solutions blow up in a finite time.

(Springer, Heidelberg) 1984.

REFERENCES

- [1] KRALL N. A. and TRIVELPIECE A. W., *Principles of Plasma Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York) 1973.
- [2] PIERCE J. R., *J. Appl. Phys.*, **19** (1948) 231.
- [3] BOHM D., GROSS E. P., *Phys. Rev.*, **75** (1949) 1864.
- [4] BUNEMAN O., *Phys. Rev.*, **115** (1959) 503.
- [5] HAAS F., MANFREDI G. and FEIX M., *Phys. Rev. E*, **62** (2000) 2763.
- [6] MELROSE D. B., *Quantum Plasmadynamics: Unmagnetized Plasmas* (Springer, New York) 2008.
- [7] HAAS F., *Quantum Plasmas: An Hydrodynamical Approach* (Springer, New York) 2011.
- [8] SHUKLA P. K., ELIASSON B., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **83** (2011) 885.
- [9] VLADIMIROV S. V., TSYSHETSKIY YU. O., *Phys. Usp.*, **54** (2011) 1243.
- [10] MANFREDI G., HAAS F., *Phys. Rev. B*, **64** (2001) 075316.
- [11] ANDERSON D., HALL B., LISAK M. and MARKLUND M., *Phys. Rev. E*, **65** (2002) 046417.
- [12] ALI S., SHUKLA P. K., *Eur. Phys. J. D*, **41** (2007) 319.
- [13] MUSHTAQ A., KHAN R., *Phys. Scripta*, **78** (2008) 015501.
- [14] SON S., *Phys. Lett. A*, **378** (2014) 2505.
- [15] HAAS F., ELIASSON B. and SHUKLA P. K., *Phys. Rev. E*, **85** (2012) 056411.
- [16] ZEBI I., YAHIA M. E., SHUKLA P. K. and MOSLEM W. M., *Phys. Lett. A*, **376** (2012) 2309.
- [17] KULL H. J., *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, **826** (2017) 012012.
- [18] HUSSAIN A., IQBAL Z., MURTAZA G. and MUSTAFA G., *Phys. Plasmas*, **26** (2019) 092115.
- [19] HAAS F., BRET A. and SHUKLA P. K., *Phys. Rev. E*, **80** (2009) 066407.
- [20] BRODIN G., EKMAN R. and ZAMANIAN J., *Phys. Plasmas*, **26** (2019) 092113.
- [21] KONO M., KAWAKITA M., *Phys. Fluids B*, **2** (1990) 1084.
- [22] BOGDANOV L. V., ZAKHAROV V. E., *Physica D*, **165** (2002) 137.
- [23] MOYAL J. E., *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **45** (1949) 99.
- [24] KLIMONTOVICH YU. I., SILIN V. P., *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, **23** (1952) 151.
- [25] TATARSKII V. I., *Sov. Phys. Usp.*, **26** (1983) 311.
- [26] HIROTA R., *J. Math. Phys.*, **14** (1973) 810.
- [27] YADJIMA M. E., *Progr. Theor. Phys.*, **69** (1983) 678.
- [28] KALANTAROV V. K., LADYZHENSKAYA O. A., *J. Soviet Math.*, **10** (1978) 53.
- [29] FALKOVICH G. E., SPECTOR M. D. and TURITSYN S. K., *Phys. Lett. A*, **99** (1983) 271.
- [30] ALEXANDROV A. F., BOGDANKEVICH L. S. and RUKHADZE A. A., *Principles of Plasma Electrodynamics*