

MINIMAL MASS BLOW-UP SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH A POTENTIAL

NAOKI MATSUI

ABSTRACT. We consider a mass critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a real-valued potential. In this work, we construct a minimal mass solution that blows up at finite time, under weaker assumptions on spatial dimensions and potentials than Banica, Carles, and Duyckaerts (2011). Moreover, we show that the blow-up solution converges to a blow-up profile. Furthermore, we improve some parts of the arguments in Raphaël and Szeftel (2011) and Le Coz, Martel, and Raphaël (2016).

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$(1) \quad i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u + g(x)|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u - V(x)u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, g is a real-valued function, and V is a real-valued potential. It is well known that if

$$(2) \quad V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \left(p \geq 1 \text{ and } p > \frac{N}{2} \right),$$

$$(3) \quad g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

then (1) is locally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see, e.g., [3]). This means that for any initial value $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a unique maximal solution $u \in C((T_*, T^*), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((T_*, T^*), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ for (1) with $u(0) = u_0$. Moreover, the mass (i.e., L^2 -norm) and energy E of the solution u are conserved by the flow, where

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2 + \frac{4}{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u(x)|^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Furthermore, the blow-up alternative holds:

$$T^* < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T^*} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 = \infty.$$

We define Σ^k by

$$\Sigma^k := \{u \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid |x|^k u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}, \quad \|u\|_{\Sigma^k}^2 := \|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \||x|^k u\|_2^2.$$

Particularly, Σ^1 is called the virial space. If $u_0 \in \Sigma^1$, then the solution u for (1) with $u(0) = u_0$ belongs to $C((T_*, T^*), \Sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$.

Moreover, we consider

$$(4) \quad V \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \left(p \geq 2 \text{ and } p > \frac{N}{2} \right).$$

If $u_0 \in \Sigma^2$, then the solution u for (1) with $u(0) = u_0$ belongs to $C((T_*, T^*), \Sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((T_*, T^*), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $|x|\nabla u \in C((T_*, T^*), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$.

In this paper, we investigate conditions for the potential related with the existence of a minimal mass blow-up solution for (1).

Date: January 14, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q55.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear Schrödinger equation, critical exponent, critical mass, minimal mass blow-up, blow-up rate, potential type.

1.1. **The case $V = 0$ and $g = 1$.** Firstly, we describe the results when V is a real constant and $g = 1$. Let u_V be a solution for (1) and define $u(t, x) := u_V(t, x)e^{iVt}$. Then u is a solution for (1) with $V = 0$ and $g = 1$. Therefore, we may assume that $V = 0$, that is, we consider

$$(5) \quad i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u + |u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

It is well known ([2, 4, 11]) that there exists a unique classical solution Q for

$$-\Delta Q + Q - |Q|^{\frac{4}{N}} Q = 0, \quad Q \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad Q > 0, \quad Q \text{ is radial,}$$

which is called the ground state. If $\|u\|_2 = \|Q\|_2$ ($\|u\|_2 < \|Q\|_2$, $\|u\|_2 > \|Q\|_2$), we say that u has the *critical mass* (*subcritical mass*, *supercritical mass*, respectively).

We note that $E_{\text{crit}}(Q) = 0$, where E_{crit} is the energy when $V = 0$. Moreover, the ground state Q attains the best constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|v\|_{2+\frac{4}{N}}^{2+\frac{4}{N}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{N}\right) \left(\frac{\|v\|_2}{\|Q\|_2}\right)^{\frac{4}{N}} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \quad \text{for } v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Therefore, for all $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$E_{\text{crit}}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\|v\|_2}{\|Q\|_2}\right)^{\frac{4}{N}}\right)$$

holds. This inequality and the mass and energy conservations imply that any subcritical mass solution for (5) exists globally in time and is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Regarding the critical mass case, we apply the pseudo-conformal transformation

$$u(t, x) \mapsto \frac{1}{|t|^{\frac{N}{2}}} u\left(-\frac{1}{t}, \pm \frac{x}{t}\right) e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$$

to the solitary wave solution $u(t, x) := Q(x)e^{it}$. Then we obtain

$$S(t, x) := \frac{1}{|t|^{\frac{N}{2}}} Q\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) e^{-\frac{i}{t}} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}},$$

which is also a solution for (5) and satisfies

$$\|S(t)\|_2 = \|Q\|_2, \quad \|\nabla S(t)\|_2 \sim \frac{1}{|t|} \quad (t \nearrow 0).$$

Namely, S is a minimal mass blow-up solution for (5). Moreover, S is the only finite time blow-up solution for (5) with critical mass, up to the symmetries of the flow (see [6]).

Regarding the supercritical mass case, there exists a solution u for (5) such that

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \sim \sqrt{\frac{\log|\log|T^* - t||}{T^* - t}} \quad (t \nearrow T^*)$$

(see [8, 9]).

1.2. **Previous results.** Banica, Carles, and Duyckaerts [1] present the following result for (1).

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). Let $N = 1$ or 2 , $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, and $g \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$. Assume $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^\beta V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($|\beta| \leq 2$), $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})^\beta g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($|\beta| \leq 4$), and

$$g(0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0) = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(0) = 0 \quad (1 \leq j, k \leq N).$$

Then there exist $T > 0$ and a solution $u \in C((0, T), \Sigma^1)$ for (1) such that

$$\left\| u(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} Q\left(\frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t} - i\theta(\frac{1}{t}) - itV(0)} \right\|_{\Sigma^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \searrow 0),$$

where θ and λ are continuous real-valued functions and x is a continuous \mathbb{R}^N -valued function such that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\tau) &= \tau + o(\tau) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \lambda(t) &\sim t \text{ and } |x(t)| = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \searrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

This result means that if V and g are sufficiently smooth and bounded, then there exists a minimal mass solution that blows up at finite time with a blow-up rate $|t|^{-1}$. The blow-up rate is identical with the blow-up rate when $g = 1$ and $V = 0$.

Le Coz, Martel, and Raphaël [5] present the following result for

$$(6) \quad i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u + |u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u + |u|^{p-1} u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Theorem 1.2 ([5]). Let $N = 1, 2, 3$ and $1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{N}$. Then for any energy level $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist $t_0 < 0$ and a radially symmetric initial value $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\|u_0\|_2 = \|Q\|_2, \quad E(u_0) = E_0$$

such that the corresponding solution u for (6) with $u(t_0) = u_0$ blows up at $t = 0$ with a blow-up rate of

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_2 = \frac{C(p) + o_{t \nearrow 0}(t)}{|t|^\sigma},$$

where $\sigma = \frac{4}{4+N(p-1)}$ and $C(p) > 0$.

This result means that the attractive nonlinearity $|u|^{p-1}u$ affects blow-up rates of blow-up solutions with critical mass. Moreover, for any energy level, there exists a blow-up solution with critical mass and the energy.

1.3. Main result. For the potential V , we consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}(7) \quad & V \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1,1} \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (8) \quad & \nabla V = O(|x|), \\ (9) \quad & \nabla^2 V = O(|x|^r) \quad \text{for some } r \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

For the function g , we consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}(10) \quad & g \in C_{\text{loc}}^{3,1} \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (11) \quad & g(0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(0) = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(0) = 0 \quad (1 \leq j, k \leq N), \\ (12) \quad & g, \nabla g, x \cdot \nabla g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ (13) \quad & \nabla^3 g, \nabla^4 g = O(|x|^{r_g}) \quad \text{for some } r_g \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

The main result of this paper is the following, which gives an extension of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3 (Existence of a minimal mass blow-up solution). Let the potential V satisfy (4), (7), (8), and (9). Let the function g satisfy (10), (11), (12), and (13). Then there exist $t_0 < 0$ and a radial initial value $u_0 \in \Sigma^1$ with $\|u_0\|_2 = \|Q\|_2$ such that the corresponding solution u for (1) with $u(t_0) = u_0$ blows up at $t = 0$. Moreover,

$$\left\| u(t, x) - \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} Q \left(\frac{x + w(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) e^{-i \frac{b(t)}{4} \frac{|x + w(t)|^2}{\lambda(t)^2} + i \gamma(t)} \right\|_{\Sigma^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \nearrow 0)$$

holds for some C^1 functions $\lambda : (t_0, 0) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $b, \gamma : (t_0, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $w : (t_0, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\lambda(t) = |t|(1 + o(1)), \quad b(t) = |t|(1 + o(1)), \quad \gamma(t) \sim |t|^{-1}, \quad |w(t)| = o(|t|)$$

as $t \nearrow 0$.

Remark 1.4. In contrast, if V satisfies (2), then any subcritical mass solution for (1) exists globally in time and is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This can be proved easily by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem. Therefore, the solution in Theorem 1.3 is a minimal mass blow-up solution.

Remark 1.5. Since begin locally Lipschitz continuous and belonging to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}$ are equivalent, if V satisfies (7), then ∇V and $\nabla^2 V$ are bounded near the origin.

1.4. Outline of proof. We prove Theorem 1.3 by using a simplified version with modification of the method of Le Coz, Martel, and Raphaël [5], which is based on seminal work of Raphaël and Szeftel [10]. We proceed in the following steps:

Step 1. For a solution u for (1), we consider the following transformation:

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(s)^{\frac{N}{2}}} v(s, y) e^{-i \frac{b(s)|y|^2}{4} + i \gamma(s)}, \quad y = \frac{x + w(s)}{\lambda(s)}, \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda(s)^2}.$$

Step 2. Let $v = Q + \varepsilon$ for some error function ε . Then we obtain the equation of ε (Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1):

$$0 = i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} + \Delta \varepsilon - \varepsilon + g(\lambda y - w) |Q + \varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}} (Q + \varepsilon) - Q^{1+\frac{4}{N}} - \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon \\ + \text{modulation terms} + \text{an error term}.$$

Step 3. By using the modulation terms and ε , we estimate the parameters λ , b , γ , and w (from Section 3 to Section 6).

Step 4. We construct a sequence of suitable solutions for (1) and show that the limit of the sequence is the desired minimal mass blow-up solution (Section 7).

1.5. Comments on Theorem 1.3. Firstly, the assumptions in Theorem 1.3 are weaker than those in Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.3 has no restrictions on spatial dimensions. On the other hand, according to the lack of regularity of the nonlinearity $|u|^{\frac{4}{N}}u$, Theorem 1.1 requires the restriction $N = 1$ or 2 . Although Theorem 1.3 is also affected by the lack of regularity, we overcome this difficulty by using the properties of the ground state. Regarding potentials, Theorem 1.3 requires less differentiability and integrability than Theorem 1.1. Indeed, any $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (4), (7), (8), and (9). On the other hand, there exists V such that it satisfies (4), (7), (8), and (9) but does not satisfy the assumption in Theorem 1.1, e.g.,

$$V(x) := \frac{1}{1+x^2} \cos(x^4).$$

When V does not satisfy (7), blow-up rates should change as the result of Le Coz, Martel, and Raphaël [5]. Regarding the function g , Theorem 1.3 does not require higher-order derivatives to be bounded. For example, Theorem 1.3 applies to the following function:

$$g(x) := \frac{1}{1+x^4} \cos(x^4).$$

Secondly, we improve some parts of the arguments in Le Coz, Martel, and Raphaël [5] and Raphaël and Szeftel [10]. Although the authors of [5, 10] introduce the Morawetz functional ([5, Section 5] and [10, Lemma 3.3]) and apply a *truncation* procedure to the functional, we avoid using the functional by modifying the definition of ε . As a result, without the truncation, we work directly in the virial space Σ^1 . Moreover, the authors of [5] use the continuous dependence on the initial value for (6) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $s \in [0, 1)$. Although this continuous dependence is an important fact in the proof of the main result in [5], it is not obvious for (1). Therefore, instead of proving the continuous dependence for (1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $s \in [0, 1)$, we use Lemma A.1 in Appendix A, which gives a kind of the continuous dependence. Consequently, we provide a simpler and more general proof.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We define

$$(u, v)_2 := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \overline{v}(x) dx, \quad \|u\|_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ f(z) := |z|^{\frac{4}{N}} z, \quad F(z) := \frac{1}{2 + \frac{4}{N}} |z|^{2 + \frac{4}{N}} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By identifying \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 , we denote the differentials of f and F by df and dF , respectively. We define

$$\Lambda := \frac{N}{2} + x \cdot \nabla, \quad L_+ := -\Delta + 1 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{N}\right) Q^{\frac{4}{N}}, \quad L_- := -\Delta + 1 - Q^{\frac{4}{N}}.$$

Namely, Λ is the generator of L^2 -scaling, and L_+ and L_- come from the linearised Schrödinger operator to close Q . Then

$$L_- Q = 0, \quad L_+ \Lambda Q = -2Q, \quad L_- |x|^2 Q = -4\Lambda Q, \quad L_+ \rho = |x|^2 Q, \quad L_- x Q = -\nabla Q$$

hold, where $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the unique radial solution for $L_+ \rho = |x|^2 Q$. Furthermore, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle L_+ \operatorname{Re} u, \operatorname{Re} u \rangle + \langle L_- \operatorname{Im} u, \operatorname{Im} u \rangle \\ & \geq \mu \|u\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \left((\operatorname{Re} u, Q)_2^2 + |(\operatorname{Re} u, xQ)_2|^2 + (\operatorname{Re} u, |x|^2 Q)_2^2 + (\operatorname{Im} u, \rho)_2^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds (see, e.g., [7, 8, 10, 12]). Finally, we use the notation \lesssim and \gtrsim when the inequalities hold up to a positive constant. We also use the notation \approx when \lesssim and \gtrsim hold.

For the ground state Q , the following property holds:

Proposition 2.1 (E.g., [5]). For any multi-index α , there exist $C_\alpha, \kappa_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha Q(x) \right| \leq C_\alpha Q(x), \quad \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha \rho(x) \right| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |x|)^{\kappa_\alpha} Q(x).$$

We estimate the error term Ψ that is defined by

$$\Psi(y) := \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) Q(y).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $V(0) = 0$ (see Section 1.1).

Proposition 2.2 (Estimate of Ψ). There exists a sufficiently small constant $\epsilon' > 0$ such that

$$\left\| e^{\epsilon' |y|} \Psi \right\|_2 + \left\| e^{\epsilon' |y|} \nabla \Psi \right\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^2 (\lambda + |w|)$$

for $0 < \lambda \ll 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, for any radial function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$|(\Psi, \varphi)_2| \lesssim \lambda^2 |w| + \lambda^4$$

proof. By using Taylor's theorem and $V(0) = 0$, we write

$$\lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) = \lambda^2 (\lambda y - w) \cdot \nabla V(0) + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \int_0^1 \lambda^2 (\lambda y - w)^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha V}{\partial x^\alpha}(\tau(\lambda y - w)) (1 - \tau) d\tau,$$

$$\lambda^3 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j}(\lambda y - w) = \lambda^3 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j}(0) + \int_0^1 \lambda^3 (\lambda y - w) \cdot \left(\nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j} \right) (\tau(\lambda y - w)) d\tau.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(y)| & \leq \lambda^2 (\lambda |y| + |w|) Q(y) + \lambda^2 (\lambda |y| + |w|)^{2+r} Q(y), \\ |\nabla \Psi(y)| & \leq \lambda^3 Q(y) + \lambda^2 (\lambda |y| + |w|)^{1+r} Q + \lambda^2 (\lambda |y| + |w|) |\nabla Q(y)| + \lambda^2 (\lambda |y| + |w|)^{2+r} |\nabla Q(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to Proposition 2.1 and the exponential decay of Q ([3, Theorem 8.1.1]), there exists a sufficiently small constant $\epsilon' > 0$ such that

$$\left\| e^{\epsilon' |y|} \Psi \right\|_2 + \left\| e^{\epsilon' |y|} \nabla \Psi \right\|_2 \lesssim \lambda^2 (\lambda + |w|).$$

Since $(yQ, \varphi)_2 = 0$ for any radial function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$(\Psi, \varphi)_2 = -\lambda^2 w \cdot \nabla V(0) (Q, \varphi)_2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \int_0^1 \lambda^2 \left((\lambda y - w)^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha V}{\partial x^\alpha}(\tau(\lambda y - w)) Q, \varphi \right)_2 (1 - \tau) d\tau.$$

Therefore, we obtain conclusion. \square

At the end of this section, we state the following standard result. For the proof, see [8].

Lemma 2.3 (Decomposition). There exists $\overline{C} > 0$ such that the following statement holds. Let I be an interval and $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. We assume that $u \in C(I, H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1(I, H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ satisfies

$$\forall t \in I, \left\| \lambda(t)^{\frac{N}{2}} u(t, \lambda(t)y - w(t)) e^{i\gamma(t)} - Q \right\|_{H^1} < \delta$$

for some functions $\lambda : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $w : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. Then there exist unique functions $\tilde{\lambda} : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\tilde{b} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and $\tilde{w} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$(15) \quad u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}(t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} (Q + \tilde{\varepsilon}) \left(t, \frac{x + \tilde{w}(t)}{\tilde{\lambda}(t)} \right) e^{-i\frac{\tilde{b}(t)}{4} \frac{|x + \tilde{w}(t)|^2}{\tilde{\lambda}(t)^2} + i\tilde{\gamma}(t)},$$

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(t)}{\lambda(t)} - 1 \right| + |\tilde{b}(t)| + |\tilde{\gamma}(t) - \gamma(t)|_{\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}} + \left| \frac{\tilde{w}(t) - w(t)}{\tilde{\lambda}(t)} \right| < \overline{C}$$

hold, where $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$|c|_{\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}} := \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |c + 2\pi m|,$$

and that $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the orthogonal conditions

$$(16) \quad (\tilde{\varepsilon}, i\Lambda Q)_2 = (\tilde{\varepsilon}, |y|^2 Q)_2 = (\tilde{\varepsilon}, i\rho)_2 = 0, \quad (\tilde{\varepsilon}, yQ)_2 = 0$$

on I . In particular, $\tilde{\lambda}$, \tilde{b} , $\tilde{\gamma}$, and \tilde{w} are C^1 functions and independent of λ , γ , and w .

3. UNIFORMITY ESTIMATES FOR MODULATION TERMS

From this section to Section 6, we prepare lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Given $t_1 < 0$ which is sufficiently close to 0, we define $s_1 := -t_1^{-1}$ and $\lambda_1 = b_1 = s_1^{-1}$. Let $u(t)$ be the solution for (1) with an initial value

$$(17) \quad u(t_1, x) := \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{N}{2}}} Q \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_1} \right) e^{-i\frac{b_1}{4} \frac{|x|^2}{\lambda_1^2}}.$$

Note that $u \in C((T_*, T^*), \Sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $|x|\nabla u \in C((T_*, T^*), L^2(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(t_1, x) \nabla \overline{u}(t_1, x) dx = 0$$

holds.

Since u satisfies the assumption in Lemma 2.3 in a neighbourhood of t_1 , there exist decomposition parameters $\tilde{\lambda}_{t_1}$, \tilde{b}_{t_1} , $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_1}$, \tilde{w}_{t_1} , and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{t_1}$ such that (15) and (16) hold in the neighbourhood. We define the rescaled time s_{t_1} by

$$s_{t_1}(t) := s_1 - \int_t^{t_1} \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_{t_1}(\tau)^2} d\tau.$$

Moreover, we define

$$\begin{aligned} t_{t_1} &:= s_{t_1}^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{t_1}(s) := \tilde{\lambda}_{t_1}(t_{t_1}(s)), \quad b_{t_1}(s) := \tilde{b}_{t_1}(t_{t_1}(s)), \\ \gamma_{t_1}(s) &:= \tilde{\gamma}_{t_1}(t_{t_1}(s)), \quad w_{t_1}(s) := \tilde{w}_{t_1}(t_{t_1}(s)), \quad \varepsilon_{t_1}(s, y) := \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t_1}(t_{t_1}(s), y). \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of clarity in notation, we often omit the subscript t_1 . Furthermore, let I_{t_1} be the maximal interval of the existence of the decomposition such that (15) and (16) hold and we define

$$J_{s_1} := s_{t_1}(I_{t_1}).$$

Additionally, let $s_0 (\leq s_1)$ be sufficiently large and

$$s' := \max \{s_0, \inf J_{s_1}\}.$$

Let K be sufficiently large and L and M satisfy

$$L = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{K}, \quad 1 < M < 2(L - 1).$$

Moreover, we define s_* by

$$s_* := \inf \{ \sigma \in (s', s_1] \mid (18) \text{ holds on } [\sigma, s_1] \},$$

where

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(s)^2 \|y|\varepsilon(s)\|_2^2 < s^{-2L}, \\ |s\lambda(s) - 1| < s^{-M}, \quad |sb(s) - 1| < s^{-M}, \quad |w(s)| < s^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Note that for all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$, we have

$$s^{-1}(1 - s^{-M}) < \lambda(s), b(s) < s^{-1}(1 + s^{-M}).$$

Finally, we define

$$\text{Mod}(s) := \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b, \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2, 1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \right).$$

The goal of this section is to estimate of $\text{Mod}(s)$.

In the following, positive constants C and ϵ are sufficiently large and small, respectively. If necessary, we retake s_0 and s_1 sufficiently large in response to ϵ .

Lemma 3.1 (The equation for ε). On J_{s_1} ,

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi = i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} + \Delta \varepsilon - \varepsilon + g(\lambda y - w) f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon \\ - i \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) \Lambda(Q + \varepsilon) + \left(1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \right) (Q + \varepsilon) + \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right) \frac{|y|^2}{4} (Q + \varepsilon) \\ - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) b \frac{|y|^2}{2} (Q + \varepsilon) + i \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \cdot \nabla(Q + \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \cdot y(Q + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

proof. This result is proven via direct calculation. □

Lemma 3.2. For g ,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda y - w) &= 1 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{|\alpha|=3} (\lambda y - w)^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha}(0) + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{|\alpha|=4} \int_0^1 (\lambda y - w)^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha}(\tau(\lambda y - w))(1 - \tau)^3 d\tau \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(\lambda y - w) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=2} (\lambda y - w)^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=3} \int_0^1 (\lambda y - w)^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x_j}(\tau(\lambda y - w))(1 - \tau)^2 d\tau \end{aligned}$$

hold.

proof. This result is proven via direct calculation by Taylor's theorem. □

Lemma 3.3. For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$(20) \quad |(\text{Im } \varepsilon(s), \nabla Q)_2| \lesssim s^{-2}.$$

proof. According to a direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(t, x) \nabla \bar{u}(t, x) dx = 2 \left(g|u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u - V u(t), \nabla u(t) \right)_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{N}} \nabla g|u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u + \nabla V u(t), u(t) \right)_2.$$

Firstly, according to (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\nabla V u(t), u(t))_2| &= \left| \left((\nabla V)(\tilde{\lambda}(t)y - \tilde{w}(t))(Q + \tilde{\varepsilon}(t)), Q + \tilde{\varepsilon}(t) \right)_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim \|Q + \varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|(\tilde{\lambda}(t)y - w)(Q + \varepsilon)\|_2 \|Q + \varepsilon\|_2 \\ &\lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2 + \frac{4}{N}} \left(\nabla g|u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u + \nabla V u(t), u(t) \right)_2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) F(Q + \varepsilon) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) dy + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q) + dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $(\nabla g)(\lambda y - w)Q = O(\lambda^2 + |w|^2)$, we obtain

$$\left| \left(\nabla g |u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u + \nabla V u(t), u(t) \right)_2 \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + 1 + \frac{|w|^2}{\lambda^2} \lesssim 1$$

Accordingly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(t(s), x) \nabla \bar{u}(t(s), x) dx \right| &\lesssim \int_s^{s_1} \lambda(\sigma)^2 |(\nabla V u(t(\sigma)), u(t(\sigma)))_2| d\sigma \\ &\lesssim \int_s^{s_1} \sigma^{-2} d\sigma \lesssim s^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2(\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon(s), \nabla Q)_2 + (\varepsilon(s), i \nabla \varepsilon(s))_2 + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y |Q(y) + \varepsilon(s, y)|^2 dy \\ &= \lambda \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(t(s), x) \nabla \bar{u}(t(s), x) dx \\ &= O(s^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (18) and the orthogonal conditions (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\varepsilon(s), i \nabla \varepsilon(s))_2 + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y |Q(y) + \varepsilon(s, y)|^2 dy &= 2(\varepsilon(s), i \nabla \varepsilon(s))_2 + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} y |\varepsilon(s, y)|^2 dy \\ &= O(s^{-2L}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain (20). □

Lemma 3.4 (Estimation of modulation terms). For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$(21) \quad 2(\varepsilon(s), Q)_2 = -\|\varepsilon(s)\|_2^2,$$

$$(22) \quad |\operatorname{Mod}(s)| \lesssim s^{-3},$$

$$(23) \quad \left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right| \lesssim s^{-2L}.$$

proof. According to the mass conservation, we have

$$2(\varepsilon, Q)_2 = \|u\|_2^2 - \|Q\|_2^2 - \|\varepsilon\|_2^2 = -\|\varepsilon\|_2^2,$$

meaning (21) holds.

From Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} &= L_+ \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon + i L_- \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) f(Q + \varepsilon) - (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon \\ &\quad + i \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) \Lambda(Q + \varepsilon) - \left(1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \right) (Q + \varepsilon) - \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right) \frac{|y|^2}{4} (Q + \varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) b \frac{|y|^2}{2} (Q + \varepsilon) - i \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \cdot \nabla (Q + \varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \cdot y (Q + \varepsilon) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

holds.

From the orthogonal properties 16, we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} (i\varepsilon, \Lambda Q)_2 = \frac{d}{ds} (i\varepsilon, i|\cdot|^2 Q)_2 = \frac{d}{ds} (i\varepsilon, \rho)_2, \quad 0 = \frac{d}{ds} (i\varepsilon, iy_j Q)_2$$

For $v = \Lambda Q$, $i|y|^2 Q$, ρ , or $iy_j Q$, the following estimates hold:

$$|f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)| |v| \lesssim |\varepsilon|^2, \quad |(\lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon, v)_2| \lesssim s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_2.$$

Firstly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s}, \Lambda Q \right)_2 \\
&= -2 (\operatorname{Re} \varepsilon, Q)_2 - (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) \Lambda Q)_2 - (f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) \Lambda Q)_2 \\
&\quad + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + \frac{1}{4} \|yQ\|_2^2 \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right) + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|) + O(\lambda^2 |w| + \lambda^4) \\
&= O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\lambda^2 |w|) + \frac{1}{4} \|yQ\|_2^2 \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right) + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right| \lesssim s^{-2L} + s^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{K})} |\operatorname{Mod}(s)|.$$

Secondly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s}, i |\cdot|^2 Q \right)_2 \\
&= -4 (\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon, \Lambda Q)_2 - (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) i |\cdot|^2 Q)_2 - (f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) i |\cdot|^2 Q)_2 \\
&\quad + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) - \|yQ\|_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|) \\
&= O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) - \|yQ\|_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(24) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right| \lesssim s^{-2L} + s^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{K})} |\operatorname{Mod}(s)|.$$

Thirdly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s}, \rho \right)_2 \\
&= (\operatorname{Re} \varepsilon, |\cdot|^2 Q)_2 - (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) \rho)_2 - (f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) \rho)_2 \\
&\quad + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) - (Q, \rho)_2 \left(1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \right) + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|) + \left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right| + b \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right| + O(\lambda^2 |w| + \lambda^4) \\
&= O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\lambda^2 |w|) - (Q, \rho)_2 \left(1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \right) + \left| \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right| + b \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right| + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \right| \lesssim s^{-2L} + s^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{K})} |\operatorname{Mod}(s)|.$$

Fourthly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s}, i y_j Q \right)_2 \\
&= \left(\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_j} \right)_2 - (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) i y_j Q)_2 - (f(Q), (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) i y_j Q)_2 \\
&\quad + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_j}, y_j Q \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial s} \|y_j Q\|_2^2 + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|) \\
&= O(s^{-2}) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_j}, y_j Q \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial s} \|y_j Q\|_2^2 + O(s \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} |\operatorname{Mod}|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \right| \lesssim s^{-3} + s^{-1} |\text{Mod}(s)|.$$

Accordingly, we obtain

$$|\text{Mod}(s)| \lesssim s^{-3} + s^{-1} |\text{Mod}(s)|,$$

so that (22) holds. Moreover, from (24), we obtain (23). \square

4. MODIFIED ENERGY FUNCTION

In this section, we proceed with a modified version of the technique presented in Le Coz, Martel, and Raphaël [5] and Raphaël and Szeftel [10]. Let m , ϵ_1 , and ϵ_2 satisfy

$$1 < 1 + \epsilon_1 < \frac{m}{2} < L, \quad 0 < \epsilon_2 < \frac{m\mu\epsilon_1}{16},$$

where μ is from the coercivity (14) of L_+ and L_- . Moreover, we define

$$\begin{aligned} H(s, \varepsilon) &:= \frac{1}{2} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \epsilon_2 b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\lambda y - w) (F(Q(y) + \varepsilon(y)) - F(Q(y)) - dF(Q(y))(\varepsilon(y))) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon(y)|^2 dy, \end{aligned}$$

$$S(s, \varepsilon) := \frac{1}{\lambda^m} H(s, \varepsilon).$$

Lemma 4.1 (Coercivity of H). For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$H(s, \varepsilon) \geq \frac{\mu}{4} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \epsilon_2 b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2.$$

proof. Firstly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(F(Q(y) + \varepsilon(y)) - F(Q(y)) - dF(Q(y))(\varepsilon(y)) - \frac{1}{2} d^2 F(Q(y))(\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon(y)) \right) dy \\ &= O \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3 + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^{2+\frac{4}{N}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, according to (4), we have

$$\left| \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon(y)|^2 dy \right| = o(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Thirdly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(g(\lambda y - w) - 1) (F(Q(y) + \varepsilon(y)) - F(Q(y)) - dF(Q(y))(\varepsilon(y)))| &\lesssim |(g(\lambda y - w) - 1) (Q^{\frac{4}{N}} + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}})| |\varepsilon|^2 \\ &\lesssim (\lambda^3 + |w|^3) |\varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^{2+\frac{4}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\lambda y - w) (F(Q(y) + \varepsilon(y)) - F(Q(y)) - dF(Q(y))(\varepsilon(y))) dy = o(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)$$

Finally, since

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d^2 F(Q(y))(\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon(y)) dy = (L_+ \text{Re } \varepsilon, \text{Re } \varepsilon)_2 + (L_- \text{Im } \varepsilon, \text{Im } \varepsilon)_2,$$

we obtain Lemma 4.1. \square

From Lemma 4.1 and the definition of S , we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.2 (Estimation of S). For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^m} \left(\frac{\mu}{4} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \epsilon_2 b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2 \right) \leq S(s, \varepsilon) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^m} \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2 \right).$$

Lemma 4.3. For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$(25) \quad |((g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), \Lambda \varepsilon)_2| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2,$$

$$(26) \quad |((g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), \nabla \varepsilon)_2| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2,$$

$$(27) \quad |\lambda^2 (V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \Lambda \varepsilon)_2| \lesssim s^{-2} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y|\varepsilon\|_2^2),$$

$$(28) \quad |\lambda^2 (V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \nabla \varepsilon)_2| \lesssim s^{-3} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y|\varepsilon\|_2^2).$$

proof. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla (g(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon))) \\ &= \lambda (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) \\ & \quad + g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} (f(Q + \varepsilon) \nabla(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) \nabla Q - df(Q)(\varepsilon) \nabla Q - f(Q) \nabla \bar{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \lambda (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) + g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) \nabla Q \\ & \quad - g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} ((f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) \nabla \bar{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} ((f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) \Lambda \bar{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{N}{2} g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} ((f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) \bar{\varepsilon}) + y \cdot \nabla (g(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon))) \\ & \quad - w \cdot (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) - (\lambda y - w) \cdot (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) \\ & \quad g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) y \cdot \nabla Q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} ((f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) \nabla \bar{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \nabla (g(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon))) - \lambda (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) \\ & \quad g(\lambda y - w) \operatorname{Re} (f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) \nabla Q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & ((g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), \Lambda \varepsilon)_2 = O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2), \\ & ((g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q), \nabla \varepsilon)_2 = O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2), \end{aligned}$$

so that (25) and (26) hold.

For (27), from (8), a direct calculation shows

$$(V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \Lambda \varepsilon)_2 = -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda y \cdot (\nabla V)(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \varepsilon)_2 = O(\lambda \|y\varepsilon\|_2 \|(1 + |\lambda y - w|)\varepsilon\|_2).$$

Therefore, we obtain (27).

For (28), from (8), a direct calculation shows

$$(V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \nabla \varepsilon)_2 = -\frac{1}{2} (\lambda (\nabla V)(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \varepsilon)_2 = O(\lambda \|\varepsilon\|_2 \|(1 + |\lambda y - w|)\varepsilon\|_2).$$

Therefore, we obtain (28). □

We define κ by

$$\kappa := \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2K} = \frac{2-L}{2}.$$

Lemma 4.4 (Derivative of H in time). For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$\frac{d}{ds} H(s, \varepsilon(s)) \geq -b \left(\frac{4\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1 + \epsilon_1 \right) \epsilon_2 b^2 \|y|\varepsilon\|_2^2 + C' s^{-4} \right).$$

proof. Firstly, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}H(s, \varepsilon(s)) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial s}(s, \varepsilon(s)) + \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} \right\rangle.$$

Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon} &= -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon \\ &= L_+ \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon + i L_- \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) df(Q)(\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon, \\ i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon} - 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q) + \operatorname{Mod}_{\text{op}}(Q + \varepsilon) + \Psi, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\text{op}} v := i \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) \Lambda v - \left(1 - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \right) v - \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} + b^2 \right) \frac{|y|^2}{4} v + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) b \frac{|y|^2}{2} c - i \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \cdot \nabla v - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{\lambda} \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \cdot y v.$$

For $\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} &= 2\epsilon_2 b \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} y - \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \right) \cdot (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) dy \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon|^2 dy + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} y - \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \right) \cdot (\nabla V)(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} \approx -b$, $\frac{\partial b}{\partial s} \approx -b^2$, and $\lambda \approx b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\epsilon_2 b \frac{\partial b}{\partial s} \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2 &\geq -2(1 + \epsilon) \epsilon_2 b^3 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2, \\ \left| \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon|^2 dy \right| &\lesssim \lambda^{2-\frac{N}{p}} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2, \\ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda y \cdot (\nabla V)(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon|^2 dy \right| &\lesssim b \|y \varepsilon\|_2 \|(1 + \lambda|y| + |w|)\varepsilon\|_2 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2, \\ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla V)(\lambda y - w) |\varepsilon|^2 dy \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_2 \|(1 + \lambda|y| + |w|)\varepsilon\|_2 \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2, \\ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) dy \right| &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2, \\ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda y - w) \cdot (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w) (F(Q + \varepsilon) - F(Q) - dF(Q)(\varepsilon)) dy \right| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(\lambda y - w) \cdot (\nabla g)(\lambda y - w)| (Q^{\frac{4}{N}} + |\varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}}) |\varepsilon|^2 dy \\ &\lesssim (\lambda + |w|) \|\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^{2+\frac{4}{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(29) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} \geq -2(1 + \epsilon) \epsilon_2 b^3 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2 + o(b(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2)).$$

For $\left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon \right\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, 2i\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w) \varepsilon, 2i\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon \rangle \\ &= 4\epsilon_2 b^2 (\nabla \varepsilon, i y \varepsilon)_2 - 2\epsilon_2 b^2 \left(g(\lambda y - w) \left(|Q + \varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}} - Q^{\frac{4}{N}} \right) Q, |y|^2 \varepsilon \right)_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(30) \quad \left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \leq 4\epsilon_2 b^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} \|y \varepsilon\|_2 + o(b \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2).$$

For $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, (g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q) \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i(g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i(g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q) \rangle \\ &= (\nabla \varepsilon, i\lambda(\nabla g)(\lambda y - w)f(Q) + i(g(\lambda y - w) - 1)df(Q)\nabla Q)_2 + O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) \\ &= O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(31) \quad \left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, (g(\lambda y - w) - 1)f(Q) \right\rangle \right| \leq \epsilon_3 b \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C'}{\epsilon_3} s^{-5}.$$

For $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \Psi \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i\Psi \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i\Psi \rangle \\ &= O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(32) \quad \left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \Psi \right\rangle \right| \leq \epsilon_3 b \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C'}{\epsilon_3} s^{-5}.$$

Next, we consider $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \text{Mod}_{\text{op}} Q \rangle$. Firstly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i\Lambda Q \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \Lambda Q \right\rangle \\ &= \langle L_+ \text{Re } \varepsilon + iL_- \text{Im } \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1)df(Q)(\varepsilon) \\ & \quad - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \Lambda Q \rangle \\ &= -2(\text{Re } \varepsilon, Q)_2 + O(s^{-2}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i\Lambda Q \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-4}.$$

Secondly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, Q \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, iQ \right\rangle \\ &= \langle L_+ \text{Re } \varepsilon + iL_- \text{Im } \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1)df(Q)(\varepsilon) \\ & \quad - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, iQ \rangle \\ &= O(s^{-2}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, iQ \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-4}.$$

Thirdly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, |y|^2 Q \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i|y|^2 Q \right\rangle \\ &= \langle L_+ \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon + iL_- \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) df(Q)(\varepsilon) \\ & \quad - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i|y|^2 Q \rangle \\ &= -4(\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon, \Lambda Q)_2 + O(s^{-2} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, |y|^2 Q \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-4}.$$

Fourthly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \nabla Q \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_j} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle L_+ \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon + iL_- \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) df(Q)(\varepsilon) \\ & \quad - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_j} \rangle \\ &= O(s^{-2} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \nabla Q \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-4}.$$

Fifthly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, y Q \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i y_j Q \right\rangle \\ &= \langle L_+ \operatorname{Re} \varepsilon + iL_- \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - (g(\lambda y - w) - 1) df(Q)(\varepsilon) \\ & \quad - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q) - df(Q)(\varepsilon)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i y_j Q \rangle \\ &= - \left(\operatorname{Im} \varepsilon, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y_j} \right)_2 + O(s^{-2} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(s^{-3} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, y Q \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-2}.$$

Accordingly, we obtain

$$(33) \quad \left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \operatorname{Mod}_{\text{op}} Q \right\rangle \right| \lesssim s^{-3} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-4}) + s^{-2} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-4}) + s^{-3} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + s^{-2}).$$

Finally, we consider $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \operatorname{Mod}_{\text{op}} \varepsilon \rangle$. Firstly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \Lambda \varepsilon \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \Lambda \varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \Lambda \varepsilon \rangle \\ &= O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) + O(b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2) + O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \Lambda \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Secondly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \varepsilon \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i\varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= - \left(g(\lambda y - w) \left(|Q + \varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}} - Q^{\frac{4}{N}} \right) Q, i\varepsilon \right)_2 = O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Thirdly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, |y|^2 \varepsilon \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i|y|^2 \varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i|y|^2 \varepsilon \rangle \\ &= (\nabla \varepsilon, i y \varepsilon)_2 - \left(g(\lambda y - w) \left(|Q + \varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}} - Q^{\frac{4}{N}} \right) Q, i|y|^2 \varepsilon \right)_2 = O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} \|y \varepsilon\|_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, |y|^2 \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \lesssim s (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2).$$

Fourthly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \nabla \varepsilon \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \nabla \varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \nabla \varepsilon \rangle \\ &= -4\epsilon_2 b^2 (y \varepsilon, \varepsilon)_2 + (-g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, \nabla \varepsilon)_2 = O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \nabla \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2.$$

Fifthly, for $\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, y \varepsilon \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i y_j \varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \langle -\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\epsilon_2 b^2 |y|^2 \varepsilon - g(\lambda y - w)(f(Q + \varepsilon) - f(Q)) + \lambda^2 V(\lambda y - w)\varepsilon, i y_j \varepsilon \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y_j}, i \varepsilon \right)_2 - \left(g(\lambda y - w) \left(|Q + \varepsilon|^{\frac{4}{N}} - Q^{\frac{4}{N}} \right) Q, i y_j \varepsilon \right)_2 = O(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, y \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Accordingly, we obtain

$$(34) \quad \left| \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, \text{Mod}_{\text{op}} \varepsilon \right\rangle \right| \lesssim s^{-3} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2) + s^{-2} (\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y \varepsilon\|_2^2).$$

Combining inequalities (29), (30), (31), (32), (33), and (34), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds}H(s, \varepsilon(s)) &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial s}(s, \varepsilon(s)) + \left\langle i \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varepsilon}, i \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} \right\rangle \\
&\geq -2(1 + \epsilon)\epsilon_2 b^3 \|y\varepsilon\|_2^2 + o\left(b\left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2\|y\varepsilon\|_2^2\right)\right) - 4\epsilon_2 b^2 \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} \|y\varepsilon\|_2 + o(b\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2) \\
&\quad - 2\epsilon_3 b \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{C'}{\epsilon_3} s^{-5} + o\left(b\left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2\|y\varepsilon\|_2^2\right)\right) - C'' s^{-5} \\
&\geq -b \left(2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon \right) \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + 2(1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon)\epsilon_2 b^2 \|y\varepsilon\|_2^2 - \frac{C'}{\epsilon_3} s^{-4} \right) \\
&\geq -b \left(\frac{4\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1 + \epsilon_1 \right) \epsilon_2 b^2 \|y\varepsilon\|_2^2 - C' s^{-4} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain Lemma 4.4. \square

Lemma 4.5 (Derivative of S in time). For all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$\frac{d}{ds}S(s, \varepsilon(s)) \gtrsim \frac{b}{\lambda^m} \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \|y|\varepsilon|\|_2^2 - s^{-(2L+\kappa)} \right).$$

proof. According to (22), Lemma 4.3, and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds}S(s, \varepsilon(s)) &= m \frac{b}{\lambda^m} H(s, \varepsilon(s)) - m \frac{1}{\lambda^m} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + b \right) H(s, \varepsilon(s)) + \frac{1}{\lambda^m} \frac{d}{ds} H(s, \varepsilon(s)) \\
&\geq \frac{b}{\lambda^m} \left(\left(\frac{m\mu}{4} - \frac{4\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \right) \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + \left(\frac{m}{2} - (1 + \epsilon_1) \right) \epsilon_2 b^2 \|y|\varepsilon|\|_2^2 - C' s^{-4} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain Lemma 4.5. \square

5. BOOTSTRAP

In this section, we establish the estimates of the decomposition parameters by using a bootstrap argument and the estimates obtained in Section 4.

Lemma 5.1. There exists a sufficiently small $\epsilon_3 > 0$ such that for all $s \in (s_*, s_1]$,

$$(35) \quad \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(s)^2 \|y|\varepsilon(s)|\|_2^2 \lesssim s^{-(2L+\kappa)},$$

$$(36) \quad |s\lambda(s) - 1| < (1 - \epsilon_3)s^{-M},$$

$$(37) \quad |sb(s) - 1| < (1 - \epsilon_3)s^{-M},$$

$$(38) \quad |w(s)| \lesssim s^{-2}.$$

proof. Let C_\dagger be sufficiently large and s_\dagger defined by

$$s_\dagger := \inf \left\{ \sigma \in [s_*, s_1] \mid \|\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(\tau)^2 \|y\varepsilon(\tau)\|_2^2 \leq C_\dagger \tau^{-(2L+\kappa)} \quad (\tau \in [\sigma, s_1]) \right\}.$$

Assume $s_\dagger > s_*$. Then

$$\|\varepsilon(s_\dagger)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(s_\dagger)^2 \|y\varepsilon(s_\dagger)\|_2^2 = C_\dagger s_\dagger^{-(2L+\kappa)}$$

holds. Moreover, let s_\ddagger defined by

$$s_\ddagger := \sup \left\{ \sigma \in [s_\dagger, s_1] \mid \|\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(\tau)^2 \|y\varepsilon(\tau)\|_2^2 \geq \tau^{-(2L+\kappa)} \quad (\tau \in [s_\dagger, \sigma]) \right\}.$$

Then, since $s_\ddagger < s_1$,

$$\|\varepsilon(s_\ddagger)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(s_\ddagger)^2 \|y\varepsilon(s_\ddagger)\|_2^2 = s_\ddagger^{-(2L+\kappa)}$$

holds.

From Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_1}{\lambda^m} \left(\frac{\mu}{4} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2 \right) &\leq S(s, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{C_2}{\lambda^m} \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2 \right), \\ \frac{C_3 b}{\lambda^m} \left(\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 + b^2 \| |y| \varepsilon \|_2^2 - s^{-(2L+\kappa)} \right) &\leq \frac{d}{ds} S(s, \varepsilon(s)) \end{aligned}$$

hold. Then $s \mapsto S(s, \varepsilon(s))$ is monotonically increasing on $[s_\dagger, s_\ddagger]$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 C_\dagger s_\dagger^{-(2L+\kappa)} &= C_1 \left(\|\varepsilon(s_\dagger)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(s_\dagger)^2 \|y \varepsilon(s_\dagger)\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq \lambda(s_\dagger)^m S(s_\dagger, \varepsilon(s_\dagger)) \\ &\leq \lambda(s_\dagger)^m S(s_\ddagger, \varepsilon(s_\ddagger)) \\ &\leq C_2 \frac{\lambda(s_\dagger)^m}{\lambda(s_\ddagger)^m} \left(\|\varepsilon(s_\ddagger)\|_{H^1}^2 + b(s_\ddagger)^2 \|y \varepsilon(s_\ddagger)\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_2 \frac{\lambda(s_\dagger)^m}{\lambda(s_\ddagger)^m} s_\ddagger^{-(2L+\kappa)} \\ &\leq 2C_2 \frac{s_\ddagger^{-(2L+\kappa-m)}}{s_\dagger^{-(2L+\kappa-m)}} s_\dagger^{-(2L+\kappa)}. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we obtain

$$C_1 C_\dagger \leq 2C_2.$$

It is a contradiction since C_\dagger is sufficiently large.

We prove (36). Since

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} (s\lambda) \right| \leq s^{-1} (1 + \epsilon) \left(s^{-M} + C s^{-(2L-1)} \right) \leq (1 + \epsilon) s^{-(M+1)}$$

and $\lambda(s_1) = s_1^{-1}$, we have

$$|s\lambda - 1| \leq \int_s^{s_1} (1 + \epsilon) \sigma^{-(M+1)} d\sigma \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{M} s^{-M}.$$

Therefore, (36) holds since $M > 1$. Next, we prove (37). Since

$$\left| \frac{b}{\lambda} - 1 \right| \lesssim \int_s^{s_1} \sigma^{-(2L-1)} d\sigma \lesssim s^{-2(L-1)},$$

we have

$$|sb - s\lambda| \lesssim s^{-2(L-1)}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$|sb - 1| \leq |sb - s\lambda| + |s\lambda - 1| \leq s^{-2(L-1)} + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{M} s^{-M}.$$

Therefore, (37) holds. Finally, since

$$|w(s)| \leq \int_s^{s_1} |\text{Mod}(\sigma)| d\sigma \lesssim \int_s^{s_1} \sigma^{-3} d\sigma \lesssim s^{-2},$$

we obtain (38). □

From Lemma 5.1 and the definition of s_* , we obtain the following:

Corollary 5.2. If s_0 is sufficiently large, then $s_* = s'$.

Lemma 5.3. If s_0 is sufficiently large, then $s' = s_0$.

proof. We prove $s' \leq s_0$ by contradiction. Assume that for any $s_0 \gg 1$, there exists $s_1 > s_0$ such that $s' > s_0$. In the following, we consider the initial value (17) in response to such s_1 and the corresponding solution u for (1).

Let $t' := \inf I_{t_1}$. Then $s' = \inf J_{s_1} > s_0$ holds. Furthermore, we have

$$\left\| \lambda(s)^{\frac{N}{2}} u(s, \lambda(s)y - w(s)) e^{-i\gamma(s)} - Q(y) \right\|_{H^1} = \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

for all $s \in (s', s_1]$. Since $t_{t_1}((s', s_1]) = (t', t_1]$, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{\lambda}(t)^{\frac{N}{2}} u(t, \tilde{\lambda}(t)y - \tilde{w}(t))e^{-i\tilde{\gamma}(t)} - Q(y) \right\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

for all $t \in (t', t_1]$. We consider three cases $t' > T_*$, $t' = T_* > -\infty$, and $t' = -\infty$.

Firstly, assume $t' > T_*$. Then λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are bounded on $(s', s_1]$ and $(t', t_1]$, respectively, according to (18) and Corollary 5.2. Then, by setting t sufficiently close to t' , we have

$$\left\| \tilde{\lambda}(t)^{\frac{N}{2}} u(t', \tilde{\lambda}(t)y - \tilde{w}(t))e^{-i\tilde{\gamma}(t)} - Q(y) \right\|_{H^1} < \delta.$$

Therefore, there exists the decomposition of u in a neighbourhood of t' according to Lemma 2.3. Its existence contradicts the maximality of I_{t_1} .

Next, assume $t' = T_* > -\infty$. Then $\|\nabla u(t)\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ($t \searrow t'$) holds according to the blow-up alternative. Also, $\|\nabla u(s)\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ($s \searrow s'$) holds. Then since

$$\|u(s)\|_2 + \lambda(s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2 \lesssim 1,$$

we have $\lambda(s) \rightarrow 0$ ($s \searrow s'$). Therefore, we obtain

$$|s\lambda(s) - 1| \rightarrow 1, \quad s^{-M} \rightarrow s'^{-M} < \frac{1}{2} \quad (s \searrow s'),$$

which contradicts (36).

Finally, assume $t' = -\infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges to s' such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(s_n) = \infty$ holds. Therefore, we obtain

$$|s_n \lambda(s_n) - 1| \rightarrow \infty, \quad s_n^{-M} \rightarrow s'^{-M} < 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which contradicts (36).

Consequently, we obtain $s' \leq s_0$. □

6. CONVERSION OF ESTIMATES

In this section, we rewrite the estimates for s in Lemma 5.1 into estimates for t .

Lemma 6.1 (Interval). Let s_0 be sufficiently large. Then there exists $t_0 < 0$ such that

$$[t_0, t_1] \subset s_{t_1}^{-1}([s_0, s_1]), \quad |s_{t_1}(t)^{-1} - |t|| \lesssim |t|^{M+1} \quad (t \in [t_0, t_1])$$

hold for all $t_1 \in (t_0, 0)$.

proof. Firstly, $[t_{t_1}(s_0), t_1] = s_{t_1}^{-1}([s_0, s_1])$ holds. For all $s \in [s_0, s_1]$, we have

$$t_1 - t_{t_1}(s) = s^{-1} - s_1^{-1} + \int_s^{s_1} \sigma^{-2} (\sigma \lambda_{t_1}(\sigma) + 1) (\sigma \lambda_{t_1}(\sigma) - 1) d\sigma$$

since $-s_1^{-1} = t_1 = t_{t_1}(s_1)$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}s^{-1} \leq s^{-1} (1 - 3s^{-M}) \leq |t_{t_1}(s)| \leq s^{-1} (1 + 3s^{-M}) \leq 2s^{-1}.$$

Accordingly, we obtain $\frac{1}{2}|t_{t_1}(s)| \leq s^{-1} \leq 2|t_{t_1}(s)|$. According to $s_{t_1}^{-1} = t_{t_1}$, we obtain

$$(39) \quad \frac{1}{2}|t| \leq s_{t_1}(t)^{-1} \leq 2|t|.$$

Consequently, according to (39), we obtain

$$||t| - s_{t_1}(t)^{-1}| \leq 3s_{t_1}(t)^{-(M+1)} \leq 3 \cdot 2^{M+1}|t|^{M+1}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$t_{t_1}(s_0) = -|t_{t_1}(s_0)| \leq -\frac{1}{2}s_{t_1}(t_{t_1}(s_0))^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2}s_0^{-1}$$

and s_0 is independent of t_1 according to Lemma 5.3, we obtain Lemma 6.1. □

Lemma 6.2 (Conversion of estimates). For all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\lambda}_{t_1}(t) &= |t| \left(1 + \epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda}, t_1}(t)\right), \quad \tilde{b}_{t_1}(t) = |t| \left(1 + \epsilon_{\tilde{b}, t_1}(t)\right), \quad |\tilde{w}_{t_1}(t)| \lesssim |t|^{2L-1}, \\ \|\tilde{\varepsilon}_{t_1}(t)\|_{H^1} &\lesssim |t|^{L+\frac{\kappa'}{2}}, \quad \|y|\tilde{\varepsilon}_{t_1}(t)\|_2 \lesssim |t|^{L+\frac{\kappa'}{2}-1}\end{aligned}$$

holds for some functions $\epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda}, t_1}$ and $\epsilon_{\tilde{b}, t_1}$. Furthermore,

$$\sup_{t_1 \in [t, 0)} \left| \epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda}, t_1}(t) \right| \lesssim |t|^M, \quad \sup_{t_1 \in [t, 0)} \left| \epsilon_{\tilde{b}, t_1}(t) \right| \lesssim |t|^{M'}.$$

proof. Firstly, we define $\epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda}, t_1}(t) := \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{t_1}(t)}{|t|} - 1$. According to (39) and Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\left| \epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda}, t_1}(t) \right| = \left| \left(s_{t_1}(t) \tilde{\lambda}_{t_1}(t) - 1 \right) \frac{1}{s_{t_1}(t)|t|} + \frac{1}{s_{t_1}(t)|t|} - 1 \right| \lesssim |t|^M.$$

Similarly, we define $\epsilon_{\tilde{b}, t_1}(t) := \frac{\tilde{b}_{t_1}(t)}{|t|} - 1$ and obtain estimates of $\tilde{b}_{t_1}(t)$ and $\tilde{w}_{t_1}(t)$. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (t_0, 0)$ be an increasing sequence such that $\lim_{n \nearrow \infty} t_n = 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let u_n be the solution for (1) with the initial value

$$u_n(t_n, x) := \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,n}^{\frac{N}{2}}} Q \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_{1,n}} \right) e^{-i \frac{b_{1,n}}{4} \frac{|x|^2}{\lambda_{1,n}^2}}$$

at t_n , where $b_{1,n} = \lambda_{1,n} = s_n^{-1} = -t_n$.

According to Lemma 2.3, there exists the decomposition

$$u_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_n(t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} (Q + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n) \left(t, \frac{x + \tilde{w}_n(t)}{\tilde{\lambda}_n(t)} \right) e^{-i \frac{\tilde{b}_n(t)}{4} \frac{|x + \tilde{w}_n(t)|^2}{\tilde{\lambda}_n(t)^2} + i \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)}$$

on $[t_0, t_n]$. Then $(u_n(t_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in Σ^1 . Therefore, up to a subsequence, there exists $u_\infty(t_0) \in \Sigma^1$ such that

$$u_n(t_0) \rightharpoonup u_\infty(t_0) \quad \text{weakly in } \Sigma^1.$$

Moreover, as in Section 3.2 in [5], we see that

$$u_n(t_0) \rightarrow u_\infty(t_0) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let u_∞ be the solution for (1) with the initial value $u_\infty(t_0)$ and T^* be the supremum of the maximal existence interval of u_∞ . Moreover, we define $T := \min\{0, T^*\}$. For any $T' \in [t_0, T)$, we have $[t_0, T'] \subset [t_0, t_n]$ if n is sufficiently large. Then there exists n_0 such that

$$\sup_{n \geq n_0} \|u_n\|_{L^\infty([t_0, T'], \Sigma^1)} \lesssim (1 + |T'|^{-1}) (1 + |t_0|^L)$$

holds. According to Lemma A.1,

$$u_n \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{in } C([t_0, T'], L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds. In particular, $u_n(t) \rightharpoonup u_\infty(t)$ in Σ^1 for any $t \in [t_0, T)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\|u_\infty(t)\|_2 = \|u_\infty(t_0)\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t_0)\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t_n)\|_2 = \|Q\|_2.$$

According to weak convergence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Lemma 2.3, we decompose u_∞ to

$$u_\infty(t, x) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_\infty(t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} (Q + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\infty) \left(t, \frac{x + \tilde{w}_\infty(t)}{\tilde{\lambda}_\infty(t)} \right) e^{-i \frac{\tilde{b}_\infty(t)}{4} \frac{|x + \tilde{w}_\infty(t)|^2}{\tilde{\lambda}_\infty(t)^2} + i \tilde{\gamma}_\infty(t)}$$

on $[t_0, T)$. Furthermore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\lambda}_n(t) &\rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_\infty(t), \quad \tilde{b}_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{b}_\infty(t), \quad \tilde{w}_n(t) \rightarrow \tilde{w}_\infty(t), \quad e^{i \tilde{\gamma}_n(t)} \rightarrow e^{i \tilde{\gamma}_\infty(t)}, \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_n(t) &\rightharpoonup \tilde{\varepsilon}_\infty(t) \quad \text{weakly in } \Sigma^1\end{aligned}$$

hold for any $t \in [t_0, T)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_\infty(t) &= |t| (1 + \epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda},0}(t)), \quad \tilde{b}_\infty(t) = |t| (1 + \epsilon_{\tilde{b},0}(t)), \quad |\tilde{w}_\infty(t)| \lesssim |t|^{2L-1}, \\ \|\tilde{\varepsilon}_\infty(t)\|_{H^1} &\lesssim |t|^{L+\frac{\kappa'}{2}}, \quad \|y|\tilde{\varepsilon}_\infty(t)\|_2 \lesssim |t|^{L+\frac{\kappa'}{2}-1}, \quad |\epsilon_{\tilde{\lambda},0}(t)| \lesssim |t|^M, \quad |\epsilon_{\tilde{b},0}(t)| \lesssim |t|^{M'} \end{aligned}$$

from the uniform estimates in Lemma 6.2. Consequently, we obtain Theorem 1.3. \square

APPENDIX A. A FACT REGARDING THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

In this section, we describe a certain continuous dependence on the initial values used in the proof of Theorem 1.3. For notation, see [3].

We consider a more general Schrödinger equation

$$(40) \quad i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta u + g(u) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

For $g = g_1 + \dots + g_k$, we consider the following assumptions:

- (a) There exists $G_j \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ such that $G'_j = g_j$.
- (b) There exist $r_j, \rho_j \in [2, 2^*)$ such that for any $M < \infty$, there exists $L(M) < \infty$ such that

$$\|g_j(u) - g_j(v)\|_{\rho'_j} \leq L(M) \|u - v\|_r$$

for all $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u\|_{H^1} + \|v\|_{H^1} \leq M$.

- (c) For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\operatorname{Im} g_j(u) \bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Here, p' is the Hölder conjugate and 2^* is the Sobolev conjugate, i.e., $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ($N \geq 3$), $2^* := \infty$ ($N = 1, 2$).

Lemma A.1. Let $g = g_1 + \dots + g_k$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c). For φ_n and $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let u_n and u be solutions for (40) with $u_n(0) = \varphi_n$ and $u(0) = \varphi$, respectively. Moreover, we assume that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that for any bounded closed interval $J \subset (-T_{\min}(\varphi), T_{\max}(\varphi))$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{n \geq m} \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(J, H^1)} < \infty$. Then

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } C(J, L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

In particular, $u_n(t) \rightharpoonup u(t)$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t \in I$.

proof. We may assume that $T_1, T_2 > 0$ and $J = [-T_1, T_2]$. Then we define

$$M := \|u\|_{L^\infty(J, H^1)} + \sup_{n \geq m} \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(J, H^1)}.$$

Furthermore, we define

$$\mathcal{G}_j(u)(t) := i \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-s) g_j(u(s)) ds, \quad \mathcal{H}(u)(t) := \mathcal{T}(t) \varphi + \mathcal{G}_1(u)(t) + \dots + \mathcal{G}_k(u)(t).$$

Similarly, we define $\mathcal{G}_j(u_n)$ and $\mathcal{H}(u_n)$. According to Duhamel's principle, we have $u = \mathcal{H}(u)$ and $u_n = \mathcal{H}(u_n)$.

Let $n \geq m$ and $0 < T \leq \min\{T_1, T_2\}$. Moreover, let (q, r) , (q_j, r_j) , and (γ_j, ρ_j) be admissible pairs. Then, according to the Strichartz estimate and (b), we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t) \varphi_n - \mathcal{T}(t) \varphi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, L^r)} \leq C \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^2},$$

$$\|\mathcal{G}_j(u_n) - \mathcal{G}_j(u)\|_{L^q((-T, T), L^r)} \leq C(M) T^{\frac{1}{\gamma_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{q_j}((-T, T), L^{r_j})}.$$

For $v, w \in C([-T, T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$, we define

$$d(v, w) := \|v - w\|_{L^\infty((-T, T), L^2)} + \sum_{j=1}^k \|v - w\|_{L^{q_j}((-T, T), L^{r_j})}.$$

Then we have

$$d(u_n, u) = d(\mathcal{H}(u_n), \mathcal{H}(u)) \leq C \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^2} + d(u_n, u) C(M) \sum_{j=1}^k T^{\frac{1}{\gamma_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}}.$$

Since there exists $T(M) > 0$ such that $C(M) \sum_{j=1}^k T(M)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty((-T(M), T(M)), L^2)} \leq d(u_n, u) \leq C \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which yields the conclusion.

Finally, $(u_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and converges to $u(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t \in I$. Therefore, $(u_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to $u(t)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Masahito Ohta and Noriyoshi Fukaya for their support in writing this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Banica, R. Carles, and T. Duyckaerts, Minimal blow-up solutions to the mass-critical inhomogeneous NLS equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 36 (2011), no. 3, 487–531.
- [2] H. Berestycki and P.-L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 82 (1983), no. 4, 313–345.
- [3] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [4] M. K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 105 (1989), no. 3, 243–266.
- [5] S. Le Coz, Y. Martel, and P. Raphaël, Minimal mass blow up solutions for a double power nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 32 (2016), no. 3, 795–833.
- [6] F. Merle, Determination of blow-up solutions with minimal mass for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with critical power. *Duke Math. J.* 69 (1993), no. 2, 427–454.
- [7] F. Merle and P. Raphael, On universality of blow-up profile for L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Invent. Math.* 156 (2004), no. 3, 565–672.
- [8] F. Merle and P. Raphael, The blow-up dynamic and upper bound on the blow-up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 161 (2005), no. 1, 157–222.
- [9] F. Merle and P. Raphael, On a sharp lower bound on the blow-up rate for the L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (2006), no. 1, 37–90.
- [10] P. Raphaël and J. Szeftel, Existence and uniqueness of minimal blow-up solutions to an inhomogeneous mass critical NLS. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24 (2011), no. 2, 471–546.
- [11] M. Weinstein, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 87 (1982/83), no. 4, 567–576.
- [12] M. Weinstein, Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive evolution equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 39 (1986), no. 1, 51–67. 719–729.

(N. Matsui) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, 1-3 KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 162-8601, JAPAN

Email address, N. Matsui: 1120703@ed.tus.ac.jp