

The Model of Quantum Thermodynamics From the First Principles: Quantum Halo or Small Environment

Ashot Gevorkyan*

(Dated: November 2, 2021)

The evolution of the joint system (JS) - “*quantum system (QS)+thermal bath (TB)*” is considered in the framework of a complex probabilistic processes that satisfies the stochastic differential equation of the Langevin-Schrödinger type. Two linearly coupled oscillators that randomly interact with the environment and with each other are selected as QS. In the case when the interactions obey the law of a white random process, all the construction of the statistical parameters of the QS and its environment are performed analytically in the form of double integrals and solutions of second-order partial differential equations. Expressions of time-dependent von Neumann entropy and its generalization are obtained, taking into account the self-organization and entanglement processes occurring in the JS. It is mathematically proved that as a result of the relaxation of JS in the TB, a *small quantized environment* is formed, which can be interpreted as a continuation of QS or its *halo*. Bell states formed as a result of the decay of coupled two linear oscillators are constructed taking into account the influence of the environment. The transitions between (*in*) and (*out*) asymptotic states of QS are studied in detail taking into account the influence of TB. Within the framework of the model problem, the possibility of constructing quantum thermodynamics from the first principle is proved without using any additional conditions.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Ta, 05.30-d, 05.20-y

*¹Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems NAS of RA,

²Institute of Chemical Physics NAS of RA ; Electronic address: g_ashot@sci.am

I. Introduction

When we try to strictly and consistently approach the study of a *quantum system* (QS), it becomes obvious that its isolation from the environment is an almost nonrealizable task. Note that even if we assume that it is possible to exclude the interaction of QS with the environment, taking into account the influence of quantum fluctuations of vacuum in any case makes the QS an open system [1–6]. In other words, a full description of QS also requires the inclusion of its environment, which is essentially the whole universe. Thus, the fact that any QS in a certain sense is an open system means that in reality not a single quantum state can be a pure state.

In recent years investigation of problems of influence of an environment on properties of the quantum system has become a subject of great interest in view of importance of solutions a set of fundamental and applied problems of science and technologies, including the quantum foundations of thermodynamical behavior [8, 9], the nature of the quantum measurement process [10], dissipation and decoherence [11–14], the question of how the classical properties emerges from the quantum world [15], the problems of quantum correlations and quantum discord [16], the peculiarities of the entanglement at the Bose condensation [17, 18] etc.

Usually, when we speak about the environment, as a rule, we mean a large system (*thermostat- thermal bath* (TB)), which in a state of thermodynamical equilibrium is characterized by a certain temperature and distributions of various physical parameters. Recall that the theory of open quantum systems (OQS) [19, 20], which is the basis of almost all modern studies in the field of quantum mechanics and its applications, takes into account the influence of the thermostat on QS, while the effect of QS on the thermostat is not taken into account. This assumption, which underlies the theory of OQS, in many cases is justified, nevertheless, there are cases when the effects of the openness of quantum systems are not only impossible to neglect, but they must be taken into account with all mathematical rigor. Note that this is especially relevant in the field of quantum information processing, the advantage of which is obvious in comparison with classical information processing [21]. Mathematically, a more rigorous and consistent formulation of OQS is very important for studying the relaxation of not only QS, but also the thermostat itself in order to avoid the loss of information inherent in open systems.

Recently, when studying the properties of quantum thermodynamics in the framework of model calculations, it was shown that thermal behavior manifests itself even with sufficiently small entangled quantum systems [8, 9]. In particular, calculations show that micro-canonical and thermal behavior have been observed, including the rapid approach to micro-canonical equilibrium entropy, that is typical to canonical systems. The results of these numerical simulations are explained theoretically [22], wherein shown the key role of the quantum entanglement between the system and its environment in attainment of thermodynamic equilibrium in the system. Moreover, it is proved that the basic postulate of statistical mechanics, namely *the postulate of a priori equal probability of statistical states*, should be discarded as unnecessary and misleading. In [23], a model system of coupled quantum oscillators (CQO) was calculated by way of numerical simulation, which interacts with a quantum environment.

The purpose of this article is to develop an analytical approach that will allow us to study the evolution of a *quantum system and its environment* as a *closed inextricable system* depending on time. The main idea on which the developed approach is based is as follows: we assume that during the evolution of the quantum subsystem, i.e. CQO, it is subjected to continuous random environmental influences. In this case, the quantum state of the joint system (CQO+thermostat) can be well described using *complex probabilistic process* (CPP) that satisfies a stochastic differential equation of the Langevin-Schrödinger type.

Note that in the work, using an equation of the Langevin-Schrödinger type as the first principle, we construct all the parameters and the corresponding distributions characterizing the quantum subsystem and its so-called a *small environment*.

The manuscript is organized as follows:

In Section II, the problem of coupled quantum oscillators is formulated taking into account the presence of a random environment. The explicit form of the wave function of the *joint system* (JS) “CQO + thermostat” is obtained by solving the Langevin-Schrödinger equation in the form of an orthonormal probability processes.

In Section III, a method of *stochastic density matrix* (SDM) has been developed. The section contains the basic definitions with which the statistical parameters of the quantum subsystem and small environment are constructed.

In Section IV, we obtain stochastic equations describing a thermostat under various conditions and equations for distributions of the corresponding fields of an environment

under conditions of thermodynamic equilibrium.

Section V is devoted to calculating quantum entropy. In particular, the von Neumann entropy for the ground state is analyzed in detail and its generalization is given.

In Section VI, the energy levels and their populations are constructed in the form of two-dimensional integral representations.

Section VII considers the problem of entanglement of two oscillators as a result of relaxation of CQO in the thermostat. The formation of Bell states is considered in detail. An equation is also derived that describes the evolution of the thermostat under the influence of CQO and the formation of the so-called *small environment* (SE).

In Section VIII, a general expression is constructed for the transition probabilities between different (*in*) and (*out*) asymptotic state, and an exact method for its calculation is developed.

In Section IX, the obtained results are discussed in detail and further ways of development of the problems under consideration are indicated.

II. Formulation of the problem

We consider the quantum subsystem and the random environment as a *joint system* (JS), which is described in the framework of the *stochastic differential equation* (SDE) of the Langevin-Schrödinger type (L-Sch):

$$i\partial_t \Psi_{stc} = \hat{H}(\mathbf{x}, t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) \Psi_{stc}, \quad \partial_t \equiv \partial/\partial t, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

For definiteness, we will study the evolution of a subsystem consisting of two linearly coupled 1D quantum harmonic oscillators immersed in a random environment (thermostat). The evolution operator $\hat{H}(\mathbf{x}, t; \{\mathbf{f}\})$ in the units $\hbar = m = 1$ we will present in the form:

$$\hat{H}(\mathbf{x}, t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_l^2} + \Omega^2(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) x_l^2 \right] + \omega(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) x_1 x_2, \quad (2)$$

where it is assumed that $\Omega(t; \{\mathbf{f}\})$ and $\omega(t; \{\mathbf{f}\})$ are arbitrary time functions consisting of regular and random components.

We define JS randomness as a complex probabilistic process $\{\mathbf{f}\}$, which will be clearly defined below (see (9)). For further analytical study of the problem, it is important to bring the operator (2) into a diagonal form.

By performing the following coordinate transformations:

$$q_1 = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad q_2 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (3)$$

in the equation (2) we get:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(q_1, q_2, t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_l^2} + \Omega_l^2(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) q_l^2 \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\Omega_l(t; \{\mathbf{f}\})$ denotes the effective frequency:

$$\Omega_l^2(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) = \Omega^2(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) - (-1)^l \omega(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

In particular, as follows from (5), the restriction $|\omega(t; \{\mathbf{f}(t)\})| \leq \text{Re}[\Omega^2(t; \{\mathbf{f}(t)\})]$, is imposed on the function $\omega(t; \{\mathbf{f}(t)\})$. For definiteness, we will represent the effective frequency as a sum:

$$\Omega_l^2(t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) = \Omega_{0l}^2(t) + f_l(t), \quad \{\mathbf{f}(t)\} = (f_1(t), f_2(t)). \quad (6)$$

Note that $\Omega_{0l}(t)$ is a real function, and $f_l(t) = f_l^{(r)}(t) + i f_l^{(i)}(t)$ is a random complex function, where $f_l^{(r)}$ and $f_l^{(i)}(t)$ denote its real and imaginary parts, respectively. As for the function $f_l(t)$, it describes the influence of the environment or TB on the QS, which consists of real $f_l^{(r)}$ and imaginary $f_l^{(i)}$ parts. Note that in a quality of an environment model is often used the set of harmonic oscillators [24–28] that is equivalent to the quantized fields [29, 30].

Note that in the absence of a random environment, we turn to the usual problem of a parametric quantum oscillator with a regular Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0(q_1, q_2, t) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(q_1, q_2, t; \{\mathbf{f}\}) \Big|_{\{\mathbf{f}\} \equiv 0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_l^2} + \Omega_{0l}^2(t) q_l^2 \right]. \quad (7)$$

We will suppose that the functions $\Omega_{0l}(t)$ and $f_l(t)$ satisfy the following asymptotic conditions:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} \Omega_{0l}(t) = \Omega_l^\mp = \text{const}_\mp > 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp \infty} f_l(t) = 0. \quad (8)$$

For further analytical constructions, we will assume that $f_l^{(v)}(t)$, where $v = (r, i)$, is an independent Gaussian process with zero mean value and a delta-like correlation function:

$$\langle f_l^{(v)}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle f_l^{(v)}(t) f_l^{(v)}(t') \rangle = 2\epsilon_l^{(v)} \delta(t - t'). \quad (9)$$

Note that $\epsilon_l^{(v)}$ denotes the power of environment fluctuations (TB fluctuations), which is natural for gases to assume that $\epsilon_l^{(r)} = \bar{\epsilon}_l = kT_l$. As regards to the constant $\epsilon_l^{(i)}$, then it characterizes a dissipation processes of QS in the TB and it is assumed that $\epsilon_l^{(i)} = \mu\epsilon_l^{(r)}$, where $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ is a some constant, in addition, k and T_l are the Boltzmann constant and temperature TB, respectively. In the case when $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$ and $\epsilon_l = (\epsilon_l^{(r)}, \epsilon_l^{(i)})$, the TB in thermodynamic equilibrium is characterized by two temperatures.

It is easy to show that the equation (1) in the limit $t \rightarrow -\infty$ or in the (*in*) asymptotic state has the following factorized solution:

$$\Phi_{in}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{q}, t) = \prod_{l=1}^2 e^{-i(n_l+1/2)\Omega_l^- t} \phi_{n_l}(q_l), \quad n_l = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{n} \equiv (n_1, n_2)$ and $\mathbf{q} \equiv (q_1, q_2)$, in addition:

$$\phi_{n_l}(q_l) = \left(\frac{g_l^-}{2^{n_l} n_l!} \right)^{1/2} e^{-(\Omega_l^- q_l^2)/2} H_{n_l} \left(\sqrt{\Omega_l^-} q_l \right), \quad g_l^- = (\Omega_l^- / \pi)^{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

Recall that $\phi_{n_l}(q_l)$ denotes the wave function of 1D stationary quantum harmonic oscillator, and $H_{n_l}(q_l)$ is the Hermitian polynomial.

Let us represent a general solution of the problem (1)-(11) in factorized form:

$$\Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \prod_{l=1}^2 Y_{stc}^{(l)}(q_l, t), \quad (12)$$

where $Y_{stc}^{(l)}(q_l, t)$ denotes the stochastic wave function, the solution of 1D quantum harmonic oscillator for an arbitrary time-dependent frequency $\Omega_l(t)$.

The explicit form of this wave function is well known (see for example [31]):

$$Y_{stc}^{(l)}(q_l, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_l}} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \frac{\dot{\sigma}_l}{\sigma_l} q_l^2 \right\} \chi_l, \quad \dot{\sigma}_l(t) = \frac{d\sigma_l(t)}{dt}, \quad (13)$$

where χ_l is the solution of 1D Schrödinger equation for the autonomous harmonic oscillator on a stochastic space-time continuum $\{y_l, \tau_l\}$:

$$i \frac{\partial \chi_l}{\partial \tau_l} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_l^2} + (\Omega_l^- y_l)^2 \right] \chi_l. \quad (14)$$

Note that the following notations are made in (14):

$$y_l = \frac{q_l}{\sigma_l}, \quad \xi_l(t) = \sigma_l(t) e^{i\gamma_l(t)}, \quad \tau_l = \frac{\gamma_l(t)}{\Omega_l^-}, \quad \gamma_l(t) = \Omega_l^- \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{dt'}{\sigma_l^2(t')},$$

where the function $\xi_l(t)$ is a solution to the classical oscillatory equation:

$$\ddot{\xi}_l + \Omega_l^2(t)\xi_l = 0, \quad \dot{\xi}_l = d\xi_l/dt. \quad (15)$$

Now, taking into account the expressions (12)-(14), we can construct the wave function of the JS:

$$\Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{q}, t, \{\xi\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 Y_{stc}^{(l)}(n_l|q_l, t; \{\xi_l\}),$$

where $\{\xi\} \equiv \{\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t)\}$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)$, in addition:

$$Y_{stc}^{(l)} = \left(\frac{g_l^-}{2^{n_l} n_l! \sigma_l} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(i \frac{\dot{\sigma}_l}{\sigma_l} - \frac{\Omega_l^-}{\sigma_l^2} \right) q_l^2 - i \left(n_l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Omega_l^- \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{dt'}{\sigma_l^2} \right\} H_{n_l} \left(\sqrt{\Omega_l^-} \frac{q_l}{\sigma_l} \right). \quad (16)$$

It is easy to show that the wave functions of JS in the Hilbert space form a full orthonormal basis:

$$\int \Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) \Psi_{stc}^*(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) d\mathbf{q} = \prod_{l=1}^2 \delta_{n_l m_l}, \quad (17)$$

where $\delta_{n_l m_l}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Note that the wave function $\Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) \in L_2(\Xi)$, in addition, $\Xi \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}$ is the extended space, where \mathbb{R}^2 is 2D Euclidean space, while $\mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}$ denotes a functional or uncountable-dimensional space, the measure of which is exactly determined (see []).

III. The free evolution of an environment fields

The solution of the classical equation (15) can be represented in the form:

$$\xi_l(t) = \begin{cases} \xi_{0l}(t), & t \leq t_0, \\ \xi_{0l}(t_0) \exp \{ \Theta_l(t) \}, & t > t_0, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where $\xi_{0l}(t)$ is the solution of the classical equation (15) for the regular frequency $\Omega_{0l}(t)$ and $\Theta_l(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \phi_l(t') dt'$, in addition, t_0 denotes the time of switching on of a random environment or TB.

Substituting (18) into (15), we get the following nonlinear complex SDE:

$$\dot{\phi}_l + \phi_l^2 + \Omega_{0l}^2(t) + f_l(t) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where $\dot{\phi}_l = d\phi_l/dt$ and $\phi_l(t_0) = i\Omega_{0l}^-$.

For further study, the complex function $\phi_l(t)$ is conveniently represented as a sum:

$$\phi_l(t) = u_1^{(l)}(t) + iu_2^{(l)}(t). \quad (20)$$

Using (20) and (19), we can write the following system of nonlinear SDEs [4]:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}_1^{(l)} = (u_2^{(l)})^2 - (u_1^{(l)})^2 - \Omega_{0l}^2(t) - f_l^{(r)}(t), \\ \dot{u}_2^{(l)} = -2u_1^{(l)}u_2^{(l)} - f_l^{(i)}(t), \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where the fields $\mathbf{u}(t) = \{[u_1^{(1)}(t), u_2^{(1)}(t)]; [u_1^{(2)}(t), u_2^{(2)}(t)]\}$ satisfy the following asymptotic conditions:

$$\dot{u}_1^{(l)}(t_0) = \text{Re}[\dot{\xi}_l(t_0)/\xi_l(t_0)] = 0, \quad \dot{u}_2^{(l)}(t_0) = \text{Im}[\dot{\xi}_l(t_0)/\xi_l(t_0)] = \Omega_l^-.$$

Note that depending on the character of random forces $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ the probabilistic processes (fields) $\phi_1(t)$ and $\phi_2(t)$ can be implemented by way of two different scenarios.

First, we consider a scenario when random forces are independent. In this case, it is obvious that the distribution of the fields of TB can be represented in factorized form:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}, t | \mathbf{u}_0, t_0) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^2 \delta(u_j^{(l)}(t) - u_{0j}^{(l)}) \right\rangle, \quad (22)$$

where $u_{0j}^{(l)} = u_j^{(l)}(t_0)$ is a component of fields in the state (*in*). To simplify the notation in the equations below, the superscripts of the random fields will be omitted.

Using SDE (21) for the probability distribution of fields, we can find the following Fokker-Planck type equation (see in detail [33, 34]):

$$\partial_t P_l = \hat{L}_l(\Omega_{0l}(t), \epsilon_l | u_1, u_2, t) P_l, \quad (23)$$

where the evolution operator has the following form:

$$\hat{L}_l = \bar{\epsilon}_l \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1^2} + \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_2^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} (u_1^2 - u_2^2 + \Omega_{0l}^2(t)) + 2u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} u_2.$$

It is quite natural to assume that the solution of the equation (23) satisfies the initial conditions:

$$P_l(u_1, u_2, t_0) = \prod_{j=1}^2 \delta(u_j - u_{0j}), \quad P_l(u_1, u_2, t) \big|_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 0, \quad (24)$$

where $\|\mathbf{u}\| = (u_1^2 + u_2^2)^{1/2}$, in addition, $P_l(u_1, u_2, t)$ as a function of the probability density of the environmental fields should be normalized to unity.

Regarding the physical meaning of solving the equation (23), it is easy to verify that this is the distribution of the fields of the so-called *small environment* (SE) formed under the influence of the classical oscillator with the frequency $\Omega_{0l}(t)$.

When implementing the second scenario, we assume that the source of random forces is the same and, accordingly, the fields distribution can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}, t | \mathbf{u}_0, t_0) = \left\langle \prod_{l=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^2 \delta(u_j^{(l)}(t) - u_{0j}^{(l)}) \right\rangle, \quad (25)$$

which is a solution to the following equation:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{P} = \sum_{l=1}^2 \hat{L}_l(\Omega_{0l}(t), \epsilon_l | u_1, u_2, t) \mathcal{P}. \quad (26)$$

The equation (26) can be solved using initial conditions similar to (24).

Recall that the equations (23) and (26) describe the distributions of environmental fields (*small environment*), when the first and second scenarios are realized, respectively. Recall that in the implementation of the first scenario, the environmental fields are generated by two independent random forces, whereas in the case of the second scenario, these random forces have a common nature. Below we will study the statistical properties of QS and the environment associated with the realization of the first scenario.

IV. Method of the stochastic density matrix

To study irreversible processes in quantum systems, the representation of a nonstationary density matrix developed in the framework of the Liouville-von Neumann equation is often used [32]. However, there is an important limitation associated with the application of this representation, which in number of cases can strongly distort the described phenomena and expected results. Note that the representation will be consistent and rigorous if it allows one to take into account both the influence of the environment on the QS and the impact of the QS on the environment. As noted above, this can lead to the formation of the so-called *small environment*, which will have interesting physical properties and have a specific geometric and topological features. Unfortunately, both the standard representation for the density matrix and many modern approaches describing relaxation processes occurring in open systems do not allow achieving the specified rigor of description. To eliminate this flaw

in the theory, we propose a new approach, the *stochastic density matrix* (SDM) method, which allows us to describe a JS, taking into account the self-consistency between its parts. In other words, we consider and study JS as a *closed system* that is impossible to implement within the framework of other approaches.

Definition 1. Let the *stochastic density matrix* (SDM) be defined as a bilinear form:

$$\varrho_{stc}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}'\}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} w_{\mathbf{n}} \varrho_{stc}^{(\mathbf{n})}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}'\}), \quad (27)$$

where $\varrho_{stc}^{(\mathbf{n})} = \Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{n} | \mathbf{q}, t; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}\}) \Psi_{stc}^*(\mathbf{n} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}'\})$, in addition, $w_{\mathbf{n}} = w_{n_1, n_2} = w_{n_1}^{(1)} w_{n_2}^{(2)}$ denotes the initial population of the levels of two noninteracting quantum harmonic oscillators that, in the (*in*) asymptotic state, respectively, possess energies;

$$E_{n_1} = (n_1 + 1/2)\Omega_1^- \quad \text{and} \quad E_{n_2} = (n_2 + 1/2)\Omega_2^-.$$

It is important to note that when integrating over the extended space Ξ , the order of integration is important. In particular, if we first integrate SDM over the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^2 and then over the functional space $\mathbb{R}_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\}}$, we get:

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} w_{n_1, n_2} = 1, \quad 0 \leq w_{n_1, n_2} \leq 1. \quad (28)$$

Note that the expression (28) is actually a condition for normalizing population levels. It also means that the conservation laws are satisfied on the extended space Ξ .

In the case when the integration is carried out in reverse order, that is, first by the functional space, and then on the Euclidean space, we obtain:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}} w_{\mathbf{n}} \bar{\varrho}_{\mathbf{n}}(T_1, T_2) = 1, \quad \bar{\varrho}_{\mathbf{n}}(T_1, T_2) \leq 1, \quad (29)$$

where $\bar{\varrho}_{\mathbf{n}}(T_1, T_2) = Tr_{\mathbf{q}} \{ Tr_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\}} [\varrho_{stc}^{(\mathbf{n})}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}'\})] \}$ denotes the population of the corresponding quantum levels at temperatures of environment T_1 and T_2 , in addition, $Tr_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\}}$ and $Tr_{\mathbf{q}}$ denote integration operations over functional and Euclidean spaces, respectively (see below (31) and (32)).

The latter means that the conservation laws in the space \mathbb{R}^2 as a whole are violated, and only in the limit of statistical equilibrium can we speak about the preservation of their mean values.

Now we will define the mathematical expectation of various random variables.

Definition 2. The reduced density matrix is defined as the mean value of the random density matrix:

$$\varrho(\mathbf{q}, t | \mathbf{q}', t') = \mathbb{E}[\varrho_{stc}] = Tr_{\{\xi\}}[\varrho_{stc}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\})], \quad (30)$$

where $\mathbb{E}[\dots]$ denotes mean value of the random variable, and $Tr_{\{\xi\}}$ denotes the functional integration over the environmental fields (see in detail [7]):

$$Tr_{\{\xi\}}[K(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\})] = \int K(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\}) D\{\xi\}. \quad (31)$$

Definition 3. The average value of the eigenvalue of the operator $\hat{A}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\})$ in the quantum state defined by numbers $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)$ writes as:

$$A_{\mathbf{n}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{n}}(t)} Tr_{\mathbf{q}}[Tr_{\{\xi\}} \hat{A} \varrho_{stc}^{(\mathbf{n})}] \right\}, \quad (32)$$

where $N_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = Tr_{\mathbf{q}}[Tr_{\{\xi\}}(\varrho_{stc}^{(\mathbf{n})}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\}))]$, in addition:

$$Tr_{\mathbf{q}}[K(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\})] = \int K(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\}) d\mathbf{q}, \quad d\mathbf{q} = dq_1 dq_2. \quad (33)$$

As is known, entropy characterizes the measure of randomness of a statistical ensemble, with the help of which one can find all the thermodynamic potentials of an ensemble. Recall that the entropy for the quantum system for the first time was determined by von Neumann [32].

Definition 4. The von Neumann entropy of a quantum system is determined as:

$$\Lambda_N(t) = -Tr_{\mathbf{q}}\{\varrho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}', t) \ln \varrho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}', t)\}, \quad (34)$$

where $\varrho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}', t) \equiv \varrho(\mathbf{q}, t | \mathbf{q}', t')|_{t=t'}$ denotes the reduced density matrix (RDM).

Definition 5. The entropy of a quantum subsystem interacting with a random environment can be defined as follows:

$$\Lambda_G(t) = -Tr_{\mathbf{q}}\{Tr_{\{\xi\}}[\varrho_{stc}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\}) \ln \varrho_{stc}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\})]\}. \quad (35)$$

In the following, we will call this method the *random entropy method* (REM). It is assumed that the determination (35) is more logical and rigorous and suitable for cases where the quantum subsystem interacts with the environment strongly.

V. The entropy of ground state

Below we consider the features of relaxation immersed in a random environment of QS. Recall that for this purpose, the study of the behavior of the entropy QS may be the most important and informative. In this section, we will calculate the entropy of QS in two different ways.

A. The von Neumann entropy

For simplicity, we assume that $w_{0,0} = 1$ and, accordingly, $w_{n,m} \equiv 0$ for arbitrary quantum numbers $n, m \geq 1$. In this case the SDM (27) can be written in a factorized form:

$$\varrho_{stc}^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\} | \mathbf{q}', t'; \{\xi'\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \varrho_{stc}^{(0)}(q_l, t; \{\xi_l\} | q'_l, t'; \{\xi'_l\}), \quad (36)$$

where taking into account (16) we can write:

$$\varrho_{stc}^{(0)}(q_l, t; \{\xi_l\} | q'_l, t'; \{\xi'_l\}) = g_l^- \exp\{\bar{A}_l(\mathbf{u}^l(t) | q_l, q'_l)\}, \quad (37)$$

in addition:

$$\bar{A}_l(\mathbf{u}^l(t) | q_l, q'_l) = - \int_{t_0}^t u_1(t') dt' + A_l(\mathbf{u}^l | q_l, q'_l), \quad A_l = \frac{i u_1(t)}{2} (q_l^2 - q'_l{}^2) - \frac{u_2(t)}{2} (q_l^2 + q'_l{}^2).$$

To calculate the von Neumann entropy in the first step, we need to calculate the reduced density matrix $\varrho^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}', t)$ (see definition 3). Using the probability distribution $P(\mathbf{u}, t | \mathbf{u}_0, t_0)$ we can construct a continuous measure of the space $\mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}$ and by the generalized Feynman-Kac theorem calculate the functional integral (see in detail [7]):

$$\varrho^{(0,0)}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}', t) = \prod_{l=1}^2 g_l^- \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t) \exp\{A_l(u_1, u_2 | q_l, q'_l)\} du_1 du_2, \quad (38)$$

where $Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t)$ denotes the distribution function of environmental fields, more precisely, SE fields formed under the influence of QS in the *ground state*.

Note that the function $Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t)$ satisfies the following second-order *partial differential equation* (PDE):

$$\partial_t Q_l^{(n)} = \{\hat{L}_l(\Omega_{0l}(t), \epsilon_l | u_1, u_2, t) - u_1(n+1)\} Q_l^{(n)}, \quad (39)$$

for $n = 0$.

Recall that $Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t)$ describes the distribution function of the fields of a environment or TB formed under the influence of a QS, which is in an excited state characterized by a quantum number n . To solve the equation (39), it is natural to use initial and boundary conditions of the type:

$$Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t_0) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \delta(u_l - u_{0l}), \quad Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t) \big|_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 0. \quad (40)$$

Since the function $Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t)$ has a meaning of the probability density, it can be normalized to unity:

$$\bar{Q}_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t) = (c_l^{(0)}(t))^{-1} Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t), \quad c_l^{(0)}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t) du_1 du_2.$$

Finally, substituting (38) for RDM in (34), we obtain the von Neumann entropy:

$$\Lambda_N(t) = -N_1^{(0)}(t)\Lambda_N^{(2)}(t) - N_2^{(0)}(t)\Lambda_N^{(1)}(t), \quad (41)$$

where

$$N_l^{(0)}(t) = (\Omega_l^-)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{du_1 du_2}{\sqrt{u_2}} Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t),$$

$$\Lambda_N^{(l)}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho^{(0)}(q_l, t) \ln(\varrho^{(0)}(q_l, t)) dq_l.$$

As for the function $\varrho^{(0)}(q_l, t)$, then it has the form:

$$\varrho^{(0)}(q_l, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} du_1 du_2 Q_l^{(0)}(u_1, u_2, t) \exp\{-u_2 q_l^2\}.$$

Finally, it is important to note that integration over the coordinates q_1 and q_2 in the obtained expressions cannot be performed analytically, which complicates the study of the properties of the entropy function.

B. Random entropy method

Now we calculate the expression of entropy according to the definition (35).

Substituting (36) into expression (35) and performing simple calculations, we get the following expression (see [4]):

$$\Lambda_G^{(0,0)}(t) = -N_1^{(0)}(t)\Lambda_2^{(0)}(t) - N_2^{(0)}(t)\Lambda_1^{(0)}(t), \quad (42)$$

where

$$\Lambda_l^{(0)}(t) = (\Omega_l^-)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{du_1 du_2}{\sqrt{u_2}} D_l(u_1, u_2, t).$$

As for the function $D_l(\lambda_l, u_1, u_2, t)$, then this is a solution of the equation:

$$\partial_t D_l = \hat{L}_l D_l - u_1 Q_l^{(0)}. \quad (43)$$

Note that to solve the equation (43), the initial and boundary conditions of the type (40) are used. It is obvious that in the limit of thermodynamic equilibrium the entropy should tend to the stationary limit:

$$\Lambda_G^{(0,0)}(\bar{\epsilon}_l) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_G^{(0,0)}(t), \quad \bar{\epsilon}_l = (\bar{\epsilon}_1, \bar{\epsilon}_2). \quad (44)$$

If we assume that in the limit $t \rightarrow +\infty$ the interaction between two coupled 1D oscillators vanishes or, equivalently, $\omega \rightarrow 0$, then $\bar{\epsilon}_1 = \bar{\epsilon}_2$. However, this does not mean that the parameters $N_1^{(0)}$ with $N_2^{(0)}$ and Λ_1 with Λ_2 will be coincide. Moreover, as follows from the expression (38), if these oscillators have ever interacted, then the specified parameters will obviously not be the same. Note that in both definitions of entropy (41) and (42), the entanglement of the states of two separate oscillators is obvious. In addition, it is necessary to note that when the parameter $\bar{\epsilon}_l = (\bar{\epsilon}_1, \bar{\epsilon}_2) \rightarrow 0$, then the functions $N_1^{(0)}(t)$ and $N_2^{(0)}(t)$ tend to unity and, accordingly, the entropy of separate oscillators and QS in whole should tend to zero, which will be meet the condition of switching off an environment.

VI. Energy levels and their occupancy after relaxation in TB

The energy spectrum is an important characteristic of a quantum system. Below we will study the energy levels of the 1D oscillator after switching on the TB and establishing thermodynamic equilibrium in the QS. For the example, we will calculate the first several energy levels. Taking into account (32), it is easy to obtain the expressions for the mathematical expectations of the energy levels. In particular, for the energy level of the *ground state* we get:

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\lambda_l, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} [1 + K_0(\lambda_l, \mu)] \Omega_l^+, \quad (45)$$

where

$$K_0(\lambda_l, \mu) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{u}_2}} \left(-1 + \frac{1 + \bar{u}_1^2 + \bar{u}_2^2}{2\bar{u}_2 d_l} \right) \tilde{Q}_l^{(0)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2.$$

Recall that $d_l = \sqrt{\Omega_l^+/\Omega_l^-}$, in addition, the distribution function $\tilde{Q}_l^{(0)}$ is a solution of the stationary dimensionless equation (39), which is formed in the limit $\bar{t} \rightarrow +\infty$. Obviously, in the limit of $\lambda_l \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu = 0$ for the energy level of the *ground state* we should get the result:

$$\lim_{\lambda_l \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_0(\lambda_l, 0) = (1/2)\Omega_l^+.$$

The latter, in turn, means that the distribution function $\tilde{Q}_l^{(0)}$ in this limit should have the following form:

$$\lim_{\lambda_l \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Q}_l^{(0)}(\lambda_l, 0; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) = \delta(\bar{u}_1)\delta(\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_{02}), \quad (46)$$

where $\bar{u}_{02} = d_l \pm \sqrt{d_l^2 - 1}$.

In the case when $d_l > 1$, obviously there are two solutions $\tilde{Q}_l^{(0-)}$ and $\tilde{Q}_l^{(0+)}$ and, therefore, two energy levels characterizing the *ground state*, which we will denote by $\mathcal{E}_0^-(\lambda_l, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{E}_0^+(\lambda_l, \mu)$, respectively.

Similarly, we can calculate the mathematical expectation of the energy level of the first excited state:

$$\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda_l, \mu) = \frac{3}{2}[1 + K_1(\lambda_l, \mu)]\Omega_l^+, \quad (47)$$

where

$$K_1(\lambda_l, \mu) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\bar{u}_2 \sqrt{\bar{u}_2}} \left(-1 + \frac{1 + \bar{u}_1^2 + \bar{u}_2^2}{2\bar{u}_2 d_l} \right) \tilde{Q}_l^{(2)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2.$$

It is easy to verify that when $d_l > 1$, in this case also the energy level is split into two sublevels $\mathcal{E}_1^-(\lambda_l, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1^+(\lambda_l, \mu)$, respectively. Note that even in the case of $d_l = 1$, when all sublevels disappear or, more precisely merge, the spectrum of a quantum oscillator (QO) in the case under consideration is radically different from the spectrum of QO without a environment. In particular, the equidistance between energy levels is violated in this case.

Finally, we can calculate the population of different quantum levels as a function of temperature. In particular, using the expression:

$$M_n(\lambda_l, \mu) = Tr_{q_l} [Tr_{\xi_l} \{ \varrho^{(n)}(q_l, t; \{\xi_l\} | q'_l, t'; \{\xi'_l\}) \}],$$

we can calculate the population of the first two energy levels. In particular, for the ground state, the population level is determined by the expression:

$$M_0(\lambda_l, \mu) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{u}_2}} Q_l^{(0)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2, \quad (48)$$

while for the first excited state it has the form:

$$M_1(\lambda_l, \mu) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\bar{u}_2 \sqrt{\bar{u}_2}} Q_l^{(2)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2. \quad (49)$$

VII. Entangled state caused by random influence of the thermostat

Performing coordinate transformations (3), the original problem of coupled oscillators is reduced to the problem of two noninteracting oscillators in a random environment. Let the numbers 1 and 2, as indicated above, denote noninteracting oscillators in a random environment which wave states in the Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{R}^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\xi_1})$ and $\mathbb{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\xi_2})$ are denoted by the functions $\Psi_{stc}^{(1)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\xi_1})$ and $\Psi_{stc}^{(2)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\xi_2})$, respectively.

The Hilbert space of the composite system is the tensor product; $\mathbb{H}_{\otimes} = \mathbb{H}_1 \otimes \mathbb{H}_2$, while the state of the composite system is defined as:

$$\Psi_{stc}^{(1)} \otimes \Psi_{stc}^{(2)} = \left(\sum_n c_n^1 |n\rangle_1 \right) \otimes \left(\sum_m c_m^2 |m\rangle_2 \right) \in \mathbb{H}_{\otimes}. \quad (50)$$

In (50) the vectors $|n\rangle_1 = Y_{stc}^{(1)}(n|q_1, t; \{\xi_1\})$ and $|m\rangle_2 = Y_{stc}^{(2)}(m|q_2, t; \{\xi_2\})$ denote the exact states of 1D quantum oscillators in the random environment (see expression (16)), in addition, c_n^1 and c_m^2 are some complex numbers with absolute values; $|c_n^1|, |c_m^2| \leq 1$. Obviously, each set of functions $\{|n\rangle_1\}$ and $\{|m\rangle_2\}$ forms an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert spaces \mathbb{H}_1 and \mathbb{H}_2 , respectively.

If the numbers c_n^1 and c_m^2 are not equal to zero, then in general the separable states can be represented as a direct product:

$$\Psi_{JS} = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm} |n\rangle_1 \otimes |m\rangle_2, \quad c_{nm} = c_n^1 c_m^2. \quad (51)$$

where Ψ_{JS} denotes the wave function of JS.

Obviously, based on the properties (1)-(9), it is easy to show that in the extended space $\Xi \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}$ the wave state Ψ_{JS} is a separable. However, a reasonable question arises: what happens if the wave function Ψ_{JS} is averaged over the functional space $R_{\{\xi\}}$? Obviously, from a physical point of view, this would mean calculating the mathematical expectation of the wave function of coupled quantum oscillators taking into account the influence of a random environment:

$$\bar{\Psi}_{QS}(\mathbf{q}, t|n, m) = \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{JS}] = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm} \overline{|n\rangle_1} \otimes \overline{|m\rangle_2}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (52)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}_{QS} = \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{JS}] = \langle \Psi_{JS} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}}$ denotes the functional integration over the space $\mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}$.

For definiteness, we consider the case when $c_{nm} = 0$ for $n + m \geq 1$, i.e. consider the case when both oscillators are in *ground states*. In this case, from (52) for the wave function of quantum subsystem we get the following expression:

$$\bar{\Psi}_{QS}(\mathbf{q}, t|0, 0) = \overline{|0\rangle}_1 \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}_2, \quad (53)$$

where

$$\overline{|0\rangle}_l = (g_l^-)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(u_1, u_2, t) \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(iu_1 - u_2)q_l^2\right\} du_1 du_2, \quad (54)$$

describes the l -th oscillator *ground state* entangled with the environment. As for the complex function $\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(u_1, u_2, t) = C_l(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \Upsilon_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(u_1, u_2, t)$, where $C_l(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \text{const}$, it is the solution of the following PDE:

$$\partial_t \Upsilon_l^{(p,k)} = \{\hat{L}_l - (pu_1 + iku_2)\} \Upsilon_l^{(p,k)}, \quad (55)$$

for the case $(p, k) = 1/2$.

Obviously, the complex function $\Upsilon_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t)$ cannot have a sense of probability density. Rather, it can be interpreted as a wave function of the forming *small environment* (SE) that is closely related or, more accurately, entangled with QS. In other words, the *small environment* under the influence of QS *is quantized* and therefore the value $|\Upsilon_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t)|^2$ should be interpreted as a probability distribution of SE corresponding to the certain quantum state of the QS. It is easy to show that for any values $(p, k) \in [0, \infty)$ the integral:

$$1/C_l(p, k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} |\Upsilon_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t)|^2 du_1 du_2 < \infty,$$

and therefore the function $\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t)$ can be normalized to unity.

The equation (55) can be represented as a system of two real equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t R_l^{(p,k)} = \hat{L}_l R_l^{(p,k)} - (pu_1 R_l^{(p,k)} - ku_2 I_l^{(p,k)}), \\ \partial_t I_l^{(p,k)} = \hat{L}_l I_l^{(p,k)} - (pu_1 I_l^{(p,k)} + ku_2 R_l^{(p,k)}), \end{cases}$$

where $\Upsilon_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t) = R_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t) + iI_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t)$.

It is easy to see that the system of equations (57) is symmetric with respect to permutations $R_l^{(p,k)} \rightarrow I_l^{(p,k)}$ and $I_l^{(p,k)} \rightarrow -R_l^{(p,k)}$. On the other hand, this means that these solutions pass to each other as a result of coordinate transformations. In particular, we can establish

the following general properties to which these solutions should satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} R_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t) &= I_l^{(p,k)}(-u_1, u_2, t) = -I_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t), \\ I_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t) &= R_l^{(p,k)}(-u_1, u_2, t) = -R_l^{(p,k)}(u_1, u_2, t). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Given the properties (56), we can separate the equations by writing them in a mutually independent form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t R_l^{(p,k)} &= \hat{L}_l R_l^{(p,k)} - (pu_1 + ku_2) R_l^{(p,k)}, \\ \partial_t I_l^{(p,k)} &= \hat{L}_l I_l^{(p,k)} - (pu_1 - ku_2) I_l^{(p,k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

To solve each of these partial differential equations, one can use initial and boundary conditions of the type (24) or (40).

For quantum communications, entangled states consisting of various vector states are of particular interest. In particular, we can construct a quantum gate with the following four *Bell states*:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{JS}^\mp &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \mp |1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \}, \\ \Phi_{JS}^\mp &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |0\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \mp |1\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Conducting functional integration over these clear states, we obtain the following mathematical expectations for Bell entangled states:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}_{QS}^\mp(q_1, q_2, t) &= \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{JS}^\mp] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \overline{|0\rangle}_1 \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}_2 \mp \overline{|1\rangle}_1 \otimes \overline{|1\rangle}_2 \}, \\ \bar{\Phi}_{QS}^\mp(q_1, q_2, t) &= \mathbb{E}[\Phi_{JS}^\mp] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ \overline{|0\rangle}_1 \otimes \overline{|1\rangle}_2 \mp \overline{|1\rangle}_1 \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}_2 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}_{QS}^\mp(q_1, q_2, t) = \langle \Psi_{JS}^\mp \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}}$ and $\bar{\Phi}_{QS}^\mp(q_1, q_2, t) = \langle \Phi_{JS}^\mp \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\{\xi\}}}$, in addition:

$$\overline{|1\rangle}_l = 2(g_l^-)^{1/2} q_l \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \tilde{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})}(u_1, u_2, t) \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2}(iu_1 - u_2) q_l^2 \right\} du_1 du_2. \quad (60)$$

Recall that the wave function $\tilde{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})}(u_1, u_2, t)$ is a solution of the equation (55) for the case $(p, k) = 3/2$, which is normalized to unity.

Note that the states (60) differ from ordinary Bell states in that their constructions includes nonorthogonal basis functions of the corresponding Hilbert spaces as a result of additional integration over TB:

$$\overline{|0\rangle}_1, \overline{|1\rangle}_1 \in \bar{\mathbb{H}}_1^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^1) = \langle \mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{R}^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\xi_1}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi_1}},$$

and, correspondingly,

$$\overline{|0\rangle}_2, \overline{|1\rangle}_2 \in \bar{\mathbb{H}}_1^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^1) = \langle \mathbb{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^1 \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\xi_2}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi_2}}.$$

Note that an important feature of the developed representation is the presence of a number of parameters that allow organizing effective external control over the QS.

VIII. Transitions probabilities between different asymptotic quantum states

Let us consider the evolution of the QS under the influence of a random environment, taking into account possible quantum transitions. For definiteness, we assume that the fluctuations of the environment continue for a finite time. We assume that in the time interval $t \in (-\infty, t_0]$, the random force $f_l(t)$ acts on QS, and on the time interval $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$ this influence disappears, i.e. $f_l(t) \equiv 0$. It follows from the above that in the $t \rightarrow +\infty$ limit the wave function $\Psi_{out}(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{q}, t)$ has the form:

$$\Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} C_{\mathbf{nm}}(t; \{\xi\}) \Psi_{out}(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (61)$$

where $\Psi_{out}(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{q}, t)$ is the stationary wave function QS in the asymptotic state (*out*), which describes the quantum state of coupled oscillators for times $t > t_0$, which can be represented as follows:

$$\Psi_{out}(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{q}, t) = \prod_{l=1}^2 e^{-i(m_l+1/2)\Omega_l^+ t} \phi_{m_l}(q_l), \quad (62)$$

where

$$\phi_{m_l}(q_l) = \left(\frac{g_l^+}{2^{m_l} m_l!} \right)^{1/2} e^{-(\Omega_l^+ q_l^2)/2} H_{m_l}(\sqrt{\Omega_l^+} q_l), \quad g_l^+ = (\Omega_l^+/\pi)^{1/2}. \quad (63)$$

Definition 7. *The mathematical expectation of the transition probability between (in) and (out) asymptotic states will be determined as:*

$$W_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[|S_{\mathbf{nm}}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\})|^2] = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |Tr_{\{\xi\}} Tr_{\mathbf{q}}[S_{\mathbf{nm}}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\})]|^2, \quad (64)$$

where $S_{\mathbf{nm}}(\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) = \Psi_{stc}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) \Psi_{out}^*(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{q}, t)$ denotes a random **S**-matrix element.

To perform analytical calculations, we can use the generating functions method, but for random processes (see [31]):

$$\Psi_{stc}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \sum_{n_l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{n_l}^{n_l}}{\sqrt{n_l!}} Y_{stc}(n_l|q_l, t; \{\xi_l\}), \quad (65)$$

and

$$\Psi_{out}(\beta|\mathbf{q}, t) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \sum_{m_l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{m_l}}{\sqrt{m_l!}} e^{-i(m_l+1/2)\Omega_l^+ t} \phi_{m_l}(q_l), \quad (66)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ are auxiliary complex variables.

Calculating the sum in the representation (65) leads to an expression that is the product of two Gaussian wave packets:

$$\Psi_{stc}(\alpha|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 (g_l^-)^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(a_l q_l^2 - 2b_l q_l + c_l)\right\}, \quad (67)$$

where a_l , b_l and c_l are random variables, which are defined by the following expressions:

$$a_l = -i\dot{\xi}_l \xi_l^{-1}, \quad b_l = \sqrt{2\Omega_l^-} \alpha_l \xi_l^{-1}, \quad c_l = \xi_l^* \xi_l^{-1} \alpha_l^2 + \ln \xi_l. \quad (68)$$

It is easy to see that the random wave packet (67) in the (*in*) asymptotic state passes a determined quantum state:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{stc}(\alpha|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\})|_{t \rightarrow -\infty} &= \Psi_{in}(\alpha|\mathbf{q}, t) = \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^2 (g_l^-)^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_l^- q_l^2 - 2\sqrt{2\Omega_l^-} \alpha_l q_l e^{-i\Omega_l^- t} + \alpha_l^2 e^{-i2\Omega_l^- t} + i\Omega_l^- t\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Obviously, the generating function $\Psi_{out}(\beta|\mathbf{q}, t)$ can be easily found from the expression (69) by making the following substitutions $\Omega_l^- \rightarrow \Omega_l^+$ and $\alpha_l \rightarrow \beta_l$.

Now we consider the following integral:

$$J_{stc}(\alpha, \beta | t; \{\xi\}) = \int \Psi_{stc}(\alpha|\mathbf{q}, t; \{\xi\}) \Psi_{out}^*(\beta|\mathbf{q}, t) d\mathbf{q}. \quad (70)$$

Performing simple calculations, we get:

$$J_{stc}(\alpha, \beta | t; \{\xi\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \frac{(\Omega_l^- \Omega_l^+)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\bar{a}_l}} \exp\left\{\frac{\bar{b}_l^2}{4\bar{a}_l} - \bar{c}_l\right\}, \quad (71)$$

where the following designations are made:

$$\bar{a}_l = \frac{1}{2}(a_l + \Omega_l^+), \quad \bar{b}_l = b_l + \sqrt{2\Omega_l^+} \beta_l e^{i\Omega_l^+ t}, \quad \bar{c}_l = \frac{1}{2}(c_l + \beta_l^2 e^{i2\Omega_l^+ t} - i\Omega_l^+ t).$$

For further calculations, it is useful to represent the generating function (71) as the following decomposition:

$$J_{stc}(\alpha, \beta | t; \{\xi\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \sum_{n_l, m_l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_l^{n_l}}{\sqrt{n_l!}} C_{n_l m_l}^l(t; \{\xi_l\}) \frac{\beta_l^{m_l}}{\sqrt{m_l!}}, \quad C_{\mathbf{nm}} = \prod_{l=1}^2 C_{n_l m_l}^l,$$

from which follows, that:

$$\prod_{l=1}^2 C_{n_l m_l}^l(t, \{\xi_l\}) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_l! m_l!}} \frac{\partial^{n_l+m_l}}{\partial \alpha_l^{n_l} \partial \beta_l^{m_l}} J_{stc}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} | t; \{\boldsymbol{\xi}\}) \Big|_{\alpha_l=\beta_l=0}. \quad (72)$$

Using (71) and (72), we can write the transition probability in the following form:

$$W_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^2 |Tr_{\{\xi_l\}} [C_{n_l m_l}^l(t; \{\xi_l\})]|^2 \right\}. \quad (73)$$

It is easy to verify that the transition probability (73) formally is a product of the transitions probabilities of two one-dimensional oscillators. In other words, we can represent the equation (73) in a factored form:

$$W_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}} = \prod_{l=1}^2 w_{n_l \rightarrow m_l}^{(l)}, \quad W_{n_l \rightarrow m_l}^{(l)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |Tr_{\{\xi_l\}} [C_{n_l m_l}^l(t; \{\xi_l\})]|^2. \quad (74)$$

Thus, by calculating the transition probabilities of 1D quantum oscillator, we can construct the corresponding transitions of 2D oscillator.

For definiteness, we calculate a series of transition probabilities between different asymptotic states (*in*) and (*out*). Taking into account (68), (71) and (73), we can construct explicit form of the functional integral and calculate it using the generalized Feynman-Kac theorem (see []). In particular, for the transition probability between the *ground states* of the (*in*) and (*out*) asymptotic channels, we get the following integral representation:

$$W_{0 \rightarrow 0}^{(l)} = \kappa_l \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)}{(1 + \bar{u}_2 - i\bar{u}_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2 \right|^2, \quad (75)$$

where the following designations are made:

$$\lambda_l = \bar{\epsilon}_l / (\Omega_l^+)^3, \quad \kappa_l = 2(\Omega_l^- / \Omega_l^+)^{1/2}, \quad \bar{u}_1 = u_1 / \Omega_l^+, \quad \bar{u}_2 = u_2 / \Omega_l^+.$$

As for the stationary wave function $\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\lambda_l, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$, then it is the solution of the equation (55) in the limit of $\bar{t} \rightarrow +\infty$, which in dimensionless form is written as:

$$\partial_{\bar{t}} \bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(p,k)} = \{ \bar{\hat{L}}_l - (p \bar{u}_1 + ik \bar{u}_2) \} \bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(p,k)}, \quad \bar{t} = \Omega_l^+ t, \quad (76)$$

where

$$\bar{\hat{L}}_l = \lambda_l \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{u}_1^2} + \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{u}_2^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}_1} (\bar{u}_1^2 - \bar{u}_2^2 + \bar{\Omega}_{0l}^2(t)) + 2\bar{u}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}_2} \bar{u}_2, \quad \bar{\Omega}_{0l}(t) = \frac{\Omega_{0l}(t)}{\Omega_l^+}.$$

Similarly, we can calculate probabilities of other transitions of 1D oscillator. In particular the first few transitions can be represented in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1 \rightarrow 1}^{(l)} &= \kappa_l^3 \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)}{(1 + \bar{u}_2 - i\bar{u}_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2 \right|^2, \\ W_{0 \rightarrow 2}^{(l)} &= \kappa_l \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1 - \bar{u}_2 + i\bar{u}_1}{(1 + \bar{u}_2 - i\bar{u}_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2 \right|^2, \\ W_{2 \rightarrow 0}^{(l)} &= \kappa_l \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\kappa_l^2 \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(2,2)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)}{(1 + \bar{u}_2 - i\bar{u}_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(2,0)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)}{(1 + \bar{u}_2 - i\bar{u}_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2 \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where the wave function $\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(p,k)}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ denotes the stationary solution of the equation (76) in the limit of $\bar{t} \rightarrow +\infty$.

In particular, as follows from the expressions of transition probabilities (77), only transitions between states with the same parity are possible. However, the most important and unexpected result in this case is that when the frequency is constant, i.e. $\Omega_{0l}(t) = \text{const}$, the detailed balance between the quantum levels $W_{nm} \neq W_{mn}$ is disturbed that is the cornerstone law of standard quantum mechanics. In particular, we can verify this by comparing the following two transitions $W_{0 \rightarrow 2}^{(l)}$ and $W_{2 \rightarrow 0}^{(l)}$.

Now it is important to show that in the limit of turning off the environment, the probabilities of transitions pass into regular well-known expressions. For the example, let us rewrite the expression for the *ground stat-ground stat* transition (75) in the form:

$$W_{0 \rightarrow 0}^{(l)} = \kappa_l \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} [1 - \varrho(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)]^{1/4} \bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \exp\{-i\varphi(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)\} d\bar{u}_1 d\bar{u}_2, \quad (78)$$

where

$$\varrho(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) = 1 - \frac{\bar{u}_1^2 + \bar{u}_2^2 + 2\bar{u}_2}{\bar{u}_1^2 + (1 + \bar{u}_2)^2}, \quad \varphi(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) = \frac{1 + \bar{u}_2}{\sqrt{\bar{u}_1^2 + (1 + \bar{u}_2)^2}}, \quad 0 \leq \varrho(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \leq 1.$$

To pass to the well-known 1D problem of a parametric oscillator, it is obviously necessary to put $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda_l \rightarrow 0$. The latter, in turn, implies that the imaginary part of the solution $\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$ is zero and, consequently, it is necessary to replace $\bar{\Upsilon}_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} \rightarrow R_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$ and, in addition, the stationary solution $R_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ must satisfy the condition:

$$\lim_{\lambda_l \rightarrow 0} R_l^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}(\lambda_l, \mu; \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) = \lambda_l^{-1} \delta(\bar{u}_1) \delta(\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_{02}), \quad \bar{u}_{02} = -1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}}, \quad (79)$$

where $\rho = |C_2^{(l)}/C_1^{(l)}|^2$.

Recall that the coefficients $C_1^{(l)}$ and $C_2^{(l)}$ are found from the solution of the classical equation (15) with the regular frequency $\Omega_{0l}(t)$, in the limit $t \rightarrow +\infty$, when a classical oscillator transit in (*out*) channel, and the solution in this case is has the form; $\xi_{0l}(t) \sim C_1^{(l)} e^{i\Omega_l^+ t} - C_2^{(l)} e^{-i\Omega_l^+ t}$.

Finally, substituting (79) in (78), we get a well-known result for the transition probability of 1D parametric oscillator; $W_{0 \rightarrow 0}^{(l)} = \sqrt{1 - \rho}$. Note that in the same way for $\lambda_l \rightarrow 0$ we can pass to the known regular values (see [31]) for other transitions (77).

IX. Conclusion

The main purpose of this study was to develop an analytical model of the joint system “quantum subsystem+environment (universe)”, which would allow a self-consistent study of the evolution of both the quantum subsystem and its environment. To implement this idea, as a basic equation for describing JS, we chose a Langevin-Schrödinger type SDE, for which the Schrödinger equation plays the role of a local correspondence. In other words, we suggest that both equations, SDE and the Schrödinger equation match at small time intervals.

In particular, for a deeper understanding of the problems of quantum foundations, including the connection of *quantum thermodynamics with the first principles of quantum mechanics*, concrete, *exactly constructed models* can be very informative and useful. In this article, we focused on the problem of two coupled oscillators immersed in a thermostat, or on the “CQO + TB” problem, which is an ideal model of a bi-molecular quantum reacting gas. We have shown that within the framework of this model, all the statistical parameters of the quantum subsystem can be constructed in a closed form in the form of two-dimensional integral representations and solutions of second-order PDEs. However, in our opinion, it was very important to prove the formation of a *quantized small environment* (QSE) as a result of complex nonlinear self-organization processes in the JS. The physical meaning of QSE can be interpreted as a continuation of the quantum subsystem or, more precisely, its *quantum halo*, which contains information about QS.

In the work, the time-dependent quantum entropy is calculated for CQO in the ground state. It was shown that the von Nueman quantum entropy (see expressions (34) and (38)) and the generalized quantum entropy taking into account the relaxation of an environment

(42), are different. Both expressions of entropy coincide only when the influence of the environment is considered a small perturbation.

Note that from the generalized entropy expression (42) follows that the environment makes the quantum subsystem inseparable. Even when the QS splits into two parts, and its parts are removed on infinity, a non-potential interaction arises, characteristic of entangled quantum states. The considered problem gives us the opportunity to study in detail and deeply the role of the medium in the phenomenon of entanglement of spatially isolated quantum subsystems, and also allows us to organize effective control of entanglement properties through environmental parameters. We expect that a simulation of expressions (54) can give important information on a role of entangling in the process of thermal relaxation of an environment and degree of violation of the *basic principle of statistical physics*- on the *equiprobability of statistical states* [22].

In Section VIII, the probabilities of transitions between different asymptotic states of CQO are calculated explicitly taking into account the influence of the TB. The latter allows one to construct kinetic equations and directly simulate the population of levels of the QS, which is very important for testing the hypothesis of micro-canonical distribution in a quantum ensemble under thermal equilibrium.

Finally, it should be noted that this study may also shed new light on some fundamental problems of the quantum foundations and quantum statistical mechanics, such as the recent debate on the possibility of violating certain thermodynamic laws, in particular the second law of thermodynamics [36, 37].

-
- [1] O. K. Davtyan, Theory of Gravitational-Inertial Field of Universe. IV. The Universe and the Microcosm, *Ann. der Physik*, **36**, 227 (1979).
 - [2] O. K. Davtyan and G. G. Karamyan, Theories of Inertial Field's and of Quantum Correlations, *Academia of Science of Arm. SSR*, Yerevan (1987).
 - [3] P. W. Milonni, The Quantum Vacuum: An Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics, *American Journal of Physics* **62**, 1154 (1994).
 - [4] A. S. Gevorkyan, Exactly constructing model of quantum mechanics with random environment, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **73** (N2), 311-319 (2010).

- [5] A. S. Gevorkyan, Nonrelativistic Quantum Mechanics with Fundamental Environment, *Foundation of Physics*, **41**, 509-515 (2011).
- [6] A. S. Gevorkyan, Quantum Vacuum: The Structure of Empty SpaceTime and Quintessence with Gauge Symmetry Group $SU(2) \times U(1)$, *Particles*, **2**, 281-308, (2019).
- [7] A. S. Gevorkyan, Nonrelativistic quantum mechanics with fundamental environment, Theoretical Concepts of Quantum Mechanics, (2012), chapter 8, 161-186, Ed. Prof. M. R. Pahlavani, ISBN: 978-953-51-0088-1, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/theoretical-concepts-of-quantum-mechanics/nonrelativisticquantum-mechanics-with-fundamental-environment>.
- [8] J. Gemmer, M. Michel and G. Mahler, Quantum Thermodynamics: Emergence of Thermodynamic Behavior Within Composite Quantum Systems (Second Edition), *Lecture Notes in Physics* (Springer, 2009).
- [9] P. Borowski, J. Gemmer and G. Mahler, Relaxation into equilibrium under pure Schrödinger dynamics, *Euro. Phys. J. B*, **35**., 255-259 (2003).
- [10] Allahverdyan A. E., Balian R. and Nieuwenhuizen Th. M., Understanding quantum measurement from the solution of dynamical models *Phys. Rep.* **525**, 1-201 (2013).
- [11] E. B. Davies, Quantum Theory of Open Systems, (*Academic Press*, 1976).
- [12] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, (Oxford University Press 2002).
- [13] F. Marquardt and A. Püttmann, Introduction to dissipation and decoherence in quantum systems, *ArXiv:0809.4403v1* [quant-ph] 25 Sep. 2008.
- [14] W. H. Zurek, Decoherence from spin environments, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 715 (2003).
- [15] M. Schlosshauer, Decoherence and the quantum-to-classical transition, *The Frontiers Series*, (*Springer* 2007).
- [16] W. H. Zurek, Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **88**, 017901-4 (2002).
- [17] C. Joshi, et al., Quantum entanglement of nanocantilevers, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 043846 (2010).
- [18] Dunningham J. A., Palge V. and Vedral V., *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 044302 (2009).
- [19] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (*Oxford University Press*, Oxford, 2002).
- [20] D. A. Lidar, Lecture Notes on the Theory of Open Quantum Systems, arXiv:1902.00967v2

- [quant-ph] 21 Feb 2020.
- [21] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum computation and quantum information (*Cambridge University Press*, 2010).
 - [22] Popescu S., Short A. J and Winter A., The foundations of statistical mechanics from entanglement: Individual states vs. averages, *Nature Physics*, **2**, 754-758 (2006).
 - [23] G. L. Barnes and M. E. Kellman, Time Dependent Quantum Thermodynamics of a Coupled Quantum Oscillator System in a Small Thermal Environment, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **139**, 2014108 (2013)
 - [24] R. P. Feynman and F. L. Vernon, The Theory of a General Quantum System Interacting with a Linear Dissipative System, *Ann. Phys.*, **281**, Issues 1-2, 547-607 (2000).
 - [25] H. Dekker, Classical and quantum mechanics of the damped harmonic oscillator, *Phys. Rep.*, **80**, 1-110 (1981)
 - [26] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Path integral approach to quantum Brownian motion, *Physica A*, **121**, 587-616 (1983).
 - [27] E. Joos and H. D. Zeh, The emergence of classical properties through interaction with the environment, *Z. Phys. B*, **59**, 223-243 (1985).
 - [28] J. P. Paz and W. H. Zurek, Environment-induced decoherence, classicality, and consistency of quantum histories, *Phys. Rev. D*, **48**, 2728 (1993).
 - [29] W. G. Unruh and W. H. Zurek, Reduction of a wave packet in quantum Brownian motion, *Phys. Rev.*, **D40**, 1071 (1989).
 - [30] W. H. Zurek, Decoherence, chaos, quantum-classical correspondence, and the algorithmic arrow of time, *Phys. Scripta*, **76**, 186 (1998).
 - [31] A. N. Baž, Ya. B. Zeldovich and A. M. Perelomov, Scattering Reactions and Decays in Non-relativistic Quantum Mechanics (*Nauka, Moscow, 1971*) [in Russian].
 - [32] J. von Neumann, Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, *Princeton University Press*, Princeton (1955).
 - [33] I. M. Lifshitz, S. A. Gredeskul and L.P. Pastur, Introduction to the Theory of Disordered Systems, (Wiley, New York, 1987).
 - [34] C. W. Gardiner, Handbook of Stochastic Methods for Physics, Chemistry and Natural Sciences (*Springer, Berlin, New York, Tokyo*, 1985)
 - [35] A. V. Bogdanov, A.S. Gevorkyan and A.G. Grigoryan, Random Motion of Quantum Har-

- monic Oscillator. Thermodynamics of Nonrelativistic Vacuum, *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **13**, 81-111, (1999).
- [36] T. Opatrný and M. O. Scully, Enhancing Otto-mobile efficiency via addition of a quantum Carnot cycle, *Fortschr. Phys.*, **50**, 657 (2002).
- [37] V. Čápek, Zeroth and second laws of thermodynamics simultaneously questioned in the quantum microworld, *Eur. Phys. J.*, B **25**, 101-113 (2002).