

# ON THE LOWER BOUND OF THE PRINCIPAL EIGENVALUE OF A NONLINEAR OPERATOR

YUCHENG TU

ABSTRACT. We prove sharp lower bound estimates for the first nonzero eigenvalue of the non-linear elliptic diffusion operator  $L_p$  on a smooth metric measure space, without boundary or with a convex boundary and Neumann boundary condition, satisfying  $BE(\kappa, N)$  for some negative  $\kappa$ . Our results extends the work of Koerber[12] for case  $\kappa = 0$  and Naber-Valtorta[10] for the  $p$ -Laplacian.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a compact manifold. The Laplacian operator on  $M$  plays a key role in studying the geometry of  $M$ , and one of the key quantity related to the Laplacian is its first nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ , also called the principal eigenvalue. There have been a lot of works on the estimate of  $\lambda_1$  for the Neumann boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -\lambda u & \text{on } M \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{in } \partial M \end{cases}$$

In [11] Payne and Weinberger showed  $\lambda_1 \geq \pi^2/D^2$  for the Laplacian on the convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with diameter  $D$ . Later in [4] Cheeger gave a lower bound of  $\lambda_1$  in terms of the isoperimetric constant on compact Riemannian manifolds. In [7], given that  $M$  has nonnegative Ricci curvature, P. Li and Yau proved the lower bound  $\pi^2/4D^2$  by using gradient estimate. Later Zhong and Yang [13] used a barrier argument to prove the sharp lower bound  $\pi^2/D^2$  for compact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Afterwards Kroger in [6] used a gradient comparison technique to recover the result of Zhong and Yang, and furthermore he was able to deal with a negative Ricci lower bound case. It was in Bakry and Emery's work [2] that the situation is generalized into a manifold with weighted volume measure, and the Laplacian is replaced by a general elliptic diffusion operator  $L$ . By defining curvature-dimension condition, we can make sense of Ricci lower bound in the scenario of smooth measure spaces. Later Bakry and Qian [3] used gradient comparison technique similar to Kroger to prove the sharp lower bound  $\pi^2/D^2$  for  $\lambda_1(L)$  assuming  $M$  to be  $BE(0, N)$  for some  $N \geq 1$ . Later Andrews and Ni [1] recovered this result with a simple modulus of continuity method.

In recent years there is much attention to the nonlinear operator called  $p$ -Laplacian  $\Delta_p$ . In [12] he showed the sharp estimate  $\lambda_1 \geq (p-1)\frac{\pi_p^p}{D^p}$  for Riemannian manifolds with Ricci lower bound 0 and  $p > 1$ , where  $\pi_p$  is the half period of  $p$ -sine function which will be defined

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P15, 35P30.

*Key words and phrases.* Eigenvalue estimates, generalized  $p$ -Laplacian, Bakry-Émery curvature dimension, gradient comparison, and half-linear differential equations.

later. The method used is a gradient comparison via Bochner formula for  $p$ -Laplacian, and a fine ODE analysis of the one dimensional model solution. Later Naber and Valtorta [10] extended the result to the case  $\text{Ric} \geq \kappa(n-1)$  for  $\kappa < 0$ . The key improvement is the better understanding of the one dimensional model equation in the  $\kappa < 0$  case, which is considerably more complicated than non-negative case. Very recently Li-Wang in [8] and [9] used a modulus of continuity method to get the gradient comparison, in the case of drifted  $p$ -Laplacian, which fits in the setting of a Bakry-Emery manifold with weight  $e^{-f}$ , thus opening the possibility of studying the non-linear version of  $L$  operator with drifted terms in metric measure spaces Satisfying  $BE(\kappa, N)$ . For  $\kappa = 0$  case, [5] showed that  $(p-1)\frac{\pi_p}{D^p}$  is the sharp lower bound.

In this paper we follow the approaches of [3] and [10] to study the non-linear operator  $L_p$  on a compact manifold with possibly convex boundary (to be defined later). We extend the Theorem 1.1 of [5] to the  $\kappa < 0$  case, more precisely:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be compact and connected and  $L$  be an elliptic diffusion operator with invariant measure  $m$ . Assume that  $L$  satisfies  $BE(\kappa, N)$  where  $\kappa < 0$ . Let  $u$  be an eigenfunction associated with  $\lambda$  satisfying Neumann boundary condition if  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\lambda$  is the first nonzero eigenvalue of  $L_p$ . Then we have a sharp comparison:*

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_D$$

where  $\lambda_D$  is the first nonzero eigenvalue of the following Neumann eigenvalue problem on  $[-D/2, D/2]$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(w')^{(p-1)}] - T_\kappa (w')^{(p-1)} + \lambda w^{(p-1)} = 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 consists the major part of the paper. The basic structure is the following. In section 2 we introduce the setting and definitions related to the linear elliptic diffusion operator  $L$ . In section 3 we define the non-linear operator  $L_p$  and its Neumann eigenvalue problem. In section 4 we use the Bochner formula to derive a useful estimate (Prop 4.2) which will be used in proving the gradient comparison theorem in section 5. In section 6 we study the associated three one-dimensional model equations and combined with section 7, we get maximum comparison between our model solutions and the solution to the Neumann eigenvalue problem. Finally combining the gradient, maximum and diameter comparison we prove the theorem in section 8.

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank his advisor Professor Lei Ni for lots of encouragement and helpful suggestions, and Dr. Xiaolong Li for explaining his paper with Kui Wang [8] and [9] to him.

## 2. THE GEOMETRY OF ELLIPTIC DIFFUSION OPERATORS

In this section we give some definitions which will be used later in our proof. First we introduce the elliptic diffusion operator, which is a natural generalization of a second order linear differential operator on a Riemannian manifold.

**Definition 2.1.** *A linear second order operator  $L : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  is called an elliptic diffusion operator if for any  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$L(\Phi(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) = \sum_{i=1}^r \partial_i \Phi L(f_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^r \partial_i \partial_j \Phi \Gamma(f_i, f_j)$$

and  $\Gamma(f, f) \geq 0$  with equality if and only if  $df = 0$ . Here  $\Gamma$  is defined as

$$\Gamma(f, g) := \frac{1}{2}(L(fg) - fLg - gLf).$$

**Definition 2.2.** We say that a locally finite Borel measure  $m$  is  $L$ -invariant if there is a generalized function  $\nu$  such that

$$\int_M \Gamma(f, h)dm = - \int_M fLhdm + \int_{\partial M} f\Gamma(g, \nu)dm$$

holds for all smooth  $f, g$ .  $\nu$  is called the outward normal function and is defined to be a set of pairs  $(\nu_i, U_i)_{i \in I}$  for a covering  $U_i$  of  $\partial M$  such that  $\nu_i \in C^\infty(U_i)$  and  $\Gamma(\nu_i - \nu_j, \cdot)|_{U_i \cap U_j} = 0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We define the intrinsic distance  $d : M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  as:

$$d(x, y) := \sup \left\{ f(x) - f(y) \mid f \in C^\infty(M), \Gamma(f) \leq 1 \right\}$$

and the diameter of  $M$  by  $D := \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in M\}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For any  $f, u, v \in C^\infty(M)$ , we define the Hessian by

$$H_f(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Gamma(u, \Gamma(f, v)) + \Gamma(v, \Gamma(f, u)) - \Gamma(f, \Gamma(u, v)) \right)$$

and the  $\Gamma_2$ -operator by

$$\Gamma_2(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left( L(\Gamma(u, v)) - \Gamma(u, Lv) - \Gamma(v, Lu) \right).$$

**Definition 2.5.** We can define the  $N$ -Ricci curvature as

$$\text{Ric}_N(f, f)(x) = \inf \left\{ \Gamma_2(\phi, \phi)(x) - \frac{1}{N}(L\phi)^2(x) \mid \phi \in C^\infty(M), \Gamma(\phi - f)(x) = 0 \right\}$$

and let  $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}_\infty$ .

Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in [1, \infty]$ , we say that  $L$  satisfies  $BE(\kappa, N)$  condition if and only if

$$\text{Ric}_N(f, f) \geq \Gamma(f).$$

for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .

If  $M$  has a boundary, we would like to define the meaning of the convexity of  $\partial M$ , which is an extension of positive second fundamental form.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\nu$  be the outward normal direction, and  $U \subset M$  be an open set,  $\phi, \eta \in C^\infty(U)$  such that  $\Gamma(\nu, \eta)$  and  $\Gamma(\nu, \phi) = 0$  on  $U \cap \partial M$ . We define the second fundamental form on  $\partial M$  by

$$II(\phi, \eta) = -H_\phi(\eta, \nu) = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\nu, \Gamma(\eta, \phi)).$$

If for any  $\phi$  as above with  $\Gamma(\phi) > 0$  on  $U \cap \partial M$  we have

$$II(\phi, \phi) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } U \cap \partial M$$

Then we say  $\partial M$  is convex. If we have strict inequality then  $\partial M$  is called strictly convex.

### 3. THE GENERALIZED $p$ -LAPLACIAN AND ITS EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

Now we are going to work on the eigenvalue problem of the non-linear operator  $L_p$  derived from the previously defined  $L$ . The generalized  $p$ -Laplacian is defined by

$$L_p u(x) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( Lu + (p-2) \frac{H_u(u,u)}{\Gamma(u)} \right) & \text{if } \Gamma(u)(x) \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{L}_p^u(\eta) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( L\eta + (p-2) \frac{H_\eta(u,u)}{\Gamma(u)} \right) & \text{if } \Gamma(u)(x) \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

which is the linearization of  $L_p$ . Now we define the eigenvalue of  $L_p$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in C^2(M)$  satisfies the Neumann boundary problem:

$$\begin{cases} L_p u = -\lambda u|u|^{p-2} & \text{on } M^\circ \\ \Gamma(u, \tilde{\nu}) = 0 & \text{on } \partial M \end{cases}$$

Then we call  $\lambda$  an eigenvalue, and  $u$  an eigenfunction of  $L_p$ , however, we may not always find a classical solution. To define the eigenfunction in a weak sense, we first use the invariance of  $m$  to deduce the following integration-by-parts formula:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $u \in C^2(M)$  and  $\Gamma(u) > 0$  on  $\text{supp}(\phi)$ . Then we have*

$$\int_M \phi L_p u dm = - \int_M \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Gamma(u, \phi) dm + \int_{\partial M} \Gamma(u, \tilde{\nu}) \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \phi g m'$$

So we define the eigenvalue and eigenfunction by

**Definition 3.1.** *We say that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $L_p$  if there is a  $u \in W^{1,p}(M)$  such that for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$  the following identity holds:*

$$\int_M \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Gamma(u, \phi) dm = \lambda \int_M \phi u|u|^{p-2} dm$$

We have the following result concerning the regularity of principal eigenfunctions.

**Lemma 3.2.** *(Lemma 2.2 in [5]) If  $M$  is a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with an elliptic diffusion operator  $L$  and an  $L$ -invariant measure  $m$ . Then the principal eigenfunction is in  $C^{1,\alpha}(M)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $u$  is smooth near points  $x \in M$  such that  $\Gamma(u)(x) \neq 0$  and  $u(x) \neq 0$ ; for  $p < 2$ ,  $u$  is  $C^{3,\alpha}$ , and for  $p > 2$ ,  $u$  is  $C^{2,\alpha}$  near  $x$  where  $\Gamma(u)(x) \neq 0$  and  $u(x) = 0$ .*

### 4. BOCHNER FORMULA

In this section, we will derive the Bochner formula and an estimate which is helpful to prove the gradient estimate in the next section.

**Proposition 4.1** (Bochner formula).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u \left( \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) &= \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( \Gamma(L_p u, u) - (p-2) L_p u A_u \right) \\ &\quad + \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( \Gamma_2(u) + p(p-2) A_u^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* c.f.[5], Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose  $L$  satisfies  $BE(\kappa, N)$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in [1, \infty]$ . Then for any  $n \geq N$ , we have for  $n \in (1, \infty)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(u)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\Gamma_2(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2) \\ & \geq \frac{(L_p u)^2}{n} + \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \frac{L_p u}{n} - (p-1)\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u \right)^2 + \kappa \Gamma(u)^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $n = \infty$ ,

$$\Gamma(u)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\Gamma_2(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2) \geq (p-1)^2 \Gamma(u)^{p-2} A_u^2 + \kappa \Gamma(u)^{p-1},$$

for  $n = 1$ ,

$$\Gamma(u)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\Gamma_2(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2) \geq (L_p u)^2 + \kappa \Gamma(u)^{p-1}$$

*Proof.* Following [5] Lemma 3.3, we can scale  $u$  on both sides so that  $\Gamma(u)(x) = 1$ . We can assume  $n = N$  since  $B(\kappa, N)$  implies  $B(\kappa, n)$  for  $n \geq N$ . When  $n = 1$ , by the curvature-dimension inequality and  $Lu = \text{tr}H_u = A_u$ , we get

$$\Gamma_2(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2 \geq \kappa + (Lu)^2 + p(p-2)A_u^2 = \kappa + (p-1)^2 A_u^2 = (L_p u)^2 + \kappa.$$

When  $n = \infty$ , we have  $\Gamma_2(u, u) \geq \kappa + A_u^2$ , therefore  $\Gamma_2(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2 \geq \kappa + (p-1)^2 A_u^2$ . Now if  $1 < n < \infty$ , for any  $v \in C^\infty(M)$ , by the curvature-dimension inequality we have

$$\Gamma_2(v, v) \geq \kappa \Gamma(v) + \frac{1}{N} (Lv)^2$$

Now we consider a quadratic form  $B(v, v) = \Gamma_2(v, v) - \kappa \Gamma(v) - \frac{1}{N} (Lv)^2$ , which is non-negative for any  $v \in C^\infty(M)$ . Let  $v = \phi(u)$  where  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then by standard computations, together with the assumption  $\Gamma(u) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\phi(u)) &= (\phi')^2, & L(\phi(u)) &= \phi' Lu + \phi'', \\ \Gamma_2(\phi(u), \phi(u)) &= (\phi')^2 \Gamma_2(u, u) + 2\phi' \phi'' A_u + (\phi'')^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(\phi(u), \phi(u)) &= \Gamma_2(\phi(u), \phi(u)) - \kappa \Gamma(\phi(u)) - \frac{1}{N} (L(\phi(u)))^2 \\ &= (\phi')^2 \Gamma_2(u, u) + 2\phi' \phi'' A_u + (\phi'')^2 - \kappa (\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{N} [\phi' Lu + \phi'']^2 \\ &= (\phi')^2 B(u, u) + 2\phi' \phi'' (A_u - \frac{Lu}{N}) + \frac{N-1}{N} (\phi'')^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B(\phi(u), \phi(u)) \geq 0$  for any  $\phi$ , we have non-positive discriminant

$$B(u, u) \frac{N-1}{N} - \left( A_u - \frac{Lu}{N} \right)^2 \leq 0$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2 &= \kappa + \frac{1}{N} (Lu)^2 + B(u, u) + p(p-2)A_u^2 \\ &\geq \kappa + \frac{1}{N} (L_p(u) + (p-2)A_u)^2 + \frac{N}{N-1} \left( A_u - \frac{L_p(u) + (p-2)A_u}{N} \right)^2 + p(p-2)A_u^2 \\ &= \kappa + \frac{1}{N} (L_p(u))^2 + \frac{N}{N-1} \left( \frac{L_p(u)}{N} - (p-1)A_u \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## 5. GRADIENT COMPARISON THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

In this section we prove the gradient comparison theorem of the eigenfunction with the solution to the one-dimensional model.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $u$  : be a weak solution of*

$$L_p u = -\lambda u^{(p-1)}$$

*satisfying Neumann boundary condition if  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\lambda$  is the first nonzero eigenvalue of  $L_p$ . Assume that  $L$  satisfies  $BE(\kappa, N)$ . Let  $w : [a, b]$  be a solution of the following ODE:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} [(w')^{(p-1)}] - T_\kappa (w')^{(p-1)} + \lambda w^{(p-1)} = 0 \\ w(a) = -1, \quad w'(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

*such that  $w$  is strictly increasing on  $[a, b]$  and the range of  $u$  is contained the range of  $w$ . Then for all  $x \in M$ ,*

$$\Gamma(w^{-1}(u(x))) \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* By scaling  $u$  so that  $\min(u) = -1$ , we can assume that the range of  $u$  is contained in the range of  $w$ . By chain rule of  $\Gamma$  what we need to show, equivalently, is

$$\Gamma(u)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \leq w'(w^{-1}(u(x)))$$

for all  $x \in M$ . Now for  $c > 0$  we denote  $\phi_c = (c\dot{w}' \circ w^{-1})^p$ , and consider the function  $Z_c : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$Z_c(x) = \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}}(x) - \phi_c(u(x))$$

Now we let

$$c_0 = \inf\{c : Z_c(x) > 0 \text{ for some } x \in M\}$$

and we want to show that  $c_0 \geq 1$ . By our definition of  $c_0$ , there is a  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $Z_{c_0}(x_0) = 0$  is the maximum of  $Z_{c_0}$ . When  $x_0$  is in the interior of  $M$ , this clearly implies the following equations:

$$Z_{c_0}(x_0) = 0, \quad \Gamma(Z_{c_0}, u)(x_0) = 0 \quad \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(Z_{c_0})(x_0) \leq 0$$

If  $x_0 \in \partial M$ , since  $\Gamma(u, \tilde{\nu}) = 0$  by the Neumann boundary condition, we have that  $\Gamma(Z_c, u) = 0$  at  $x_0$ . Since  $Z_{c_0}$  achieves maximum at  $x_0$  and  $\partial M$  is convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \Gamma(Z_{c_0}, \tilde{\nu}) &= \Gamma(\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \phi_{c_0}(u), \tilde{\nu}) = \frac{p}{2} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Gamma(\Gamma(u), \tilde{\nu}) - \phi'(u) \Gamma(u, \tilde{\nu}) \\ &= -p \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} II(u, u) - \phi'(u) \cdot 0 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Gamma(Z_{c_0}, \tilde{\nu})(x_0) = 0$ . This implies that the second derivative of  $Z_{c_0}$  along the normal direction is nonpositive. On the other hand, the second derivatives along tangential directions are nonpositive, hence the ellipticity of  $\mathcal{L}_p^u$  implies that  $\mathcal{L}_p^u(Z_{c_0})(x_0) \leq 0$ . Hence we confirmed the three equations above for all  $x \in M$ .

From the second equation we get

$$\frac{p}{2} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Gamma(\Gamma(u), u) - \phi'_c \Gamma(u) = 0$$

which implies  $\phi'(u) = p \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u$ . Now by calculation we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(\phi(u)) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \phi' L_p u + (p-1) \phi'' \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)$$

By chain rule we have  $\phi' = p \cdot [(w')^{p-2} \cdot w''] \circ w^{-1}$ , and  $\phi'' = p \left[ (p-2)(w'')^2 + w'''w' \right] \cdot (w')^{p-4} \circ w^{-1}$ , and by differentiating the ODE satisfied by  $w$  we have

$$(p-1)(w')^{p-3} \left[ (p-2)(w'')^2 + w'''w' \right] = T'_i(w')^{p-1} + (p-1)T_i w''(w')^{p-2} - \lambda(p-1)w'w^{p-2}$$

Therefore

$$\phi'' = p \cdot \frac{T'_i(w')^{p-1} + (p-1)T_i w''(w')^{p-2} - \lambda(p-1)w'w^{p-2}}{w'} \circ w^{-1}.$$

Now we evaluate the above expression at  $u(x_0)$ . By first derivative test we have  $\phi'(u) = p \cdot [(w')^{p-2} \cdot w''] \circ w^{-1}(u) = p\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u$ , and by (1) we have  $\phi(u) = w' \circ w^{-1}(u) = \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ . Now combining these together we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(\phi(u)) = -\lambda u^{(p-1)} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u + T'_i \Gamma(u)^{p-1} + (p-1)T_i \Gamma(u)^{\frac{2p-3}{2}} A_u - \lambda(p-1)u^{p-2} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

By the ODE evaluated at  $w^{-1}(u(x_0))$ , we have

$$(p-1)\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u - T_i \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \lambda u^{(p-1)} = 0$$

Hence

$$(p-1)T_i \Gamma(u)^{\frac{2p-3}{2}} A_u = [(p-1)\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u + \lambda u^{(p-1)}] \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u$$

Now we use the equation  $T'_i = T_i^2/(n-1) + \kappa$  to rewrite the second term and finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(\phi(u)) &= -\lambda u^{(p-1)} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u + \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \lambda u^{(p-1)} + (p-1)\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u \right]^2 + \kappa \Gamma(u)^{p-1} \\ &\quad + (p-1)^2 \Gamma(u)^{p-2} A_u^2 + (p-1)\lambda u^{(p-1)} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u - \lambda(p-1)u^{p-2} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= (p-2)\lambda u^{(p-1)} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u - \lambda(p-1)u^{p-2} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \kappa \Gamma(u)^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{\lambda u^{(p-1)}}{n} + (p-1)\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} A_u \right]^2 + \frac{\lambda^2 u^{2p-2}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with Corollary we have  $\frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \phi(u)) > 0$ , which contradict with the second derivative test. Hence  $c = 1$  and we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** When  $1 < p < 2$  we know that  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$  near  $x_0$ , hence the Bochner formula can not be directly applied to  $x_0$ . In this case notice that  $u$  does not vanish identically in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ , we can choose  $x' \rightarrow x_0$  with  $u'(x') \neq 0$ . As we apply the Bochner formula at  $x'$ , The first term  $\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Gamma(L_p u, u) = -\lambda \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \Gamma(u^{(p-1)}, u)$  since  $u$  is a eigenfunction. Now this diverging term will cancel with  $-\lambda(p-1)u^{p-2} \Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}}$  in the expression of  $\frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(\phi(u))$ , which makes it possible to define  $\frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_p^u(\Gamma(u)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \phi(u))(x_0)$  as the limit when  $x' \rightarrow x_0$ . Therefore the previous proof still works when  $1 < p < 2$ .

## 6. ONE-DIMENSIONAL MODELS

In this section we will define the one-dimensional model we used and include the results in section 8 of [10] on the fine properties of their solutions.

**6.1. Existence of Model Solutions.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\kappa < 0$  be fixed. We define 3 functions  $\tau_i$  on  $I_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ :

- (1)  $\tau_1(t) = \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t)$
- (2)  $\tau_2(t) = \exp(\sqrt{-\kappa}t)$
- (3)  $\tau_3(t) = \cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t)$

and let  $\mu_i = \tau_i^{n-1}$ . Now we let  $T_i = -\mu_i'/\mu_i$ , that is:

- (1)  $T_1(t) = -(n-1)\sqrt{-\kappa} \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{-\kappa}t)$ , defined on  $I_1 = (0, \infty)$ ;
- (2)  $T_2(t) = -(n-1)\sqrt{-\kappa}$ , defined on  $I_2 = \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (3)  $T_3(t) = -(n-1)\sqrt{-\kappa} \tanh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t)$ , defined on  $I_3 = \mathbb{R}$ .

All  $T_i$ 's satisfy the following equation:

$$T_i' = \frac{T_i^2}{n-1} + (n-1)\kappa$$

Now let  $\lambda$  be fixed. Define the function  $w_{i,a}$  to be the solution to the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(w')^{(p-1)} - T_i(w')^{(p-1)} + \lambda w^{(p-1)} = 0 \\ w(a) = -1, \quad w'(a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

For an eigenfunction  $u$ , in order to find the correct comparison model function  $w$ , we need to study all three equations (1), (2) and (3) introduced in section 5. First we give some definitions and notations. For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $b(i, a)$  be the first critical point of  $w_{i,a}$  after  $a$ . Such  $b(i, a)$  exists or equals  $\infty$  since by the IVP we have  $w'(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (a, a + \epsilon)$ . Let  $\delta_{i,a} = b(i, a) - a$ , and  $m(i, a) = w_{i,a}(b(i, a))$ .

As partially answered by the following proposition, for certain range of  $\max u$ , we can find solution  $w$  such that  $\max w = \max u$ :

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $u$  be an eigenfunction of  $L_p$  operator. If  $\max u \in [m(1, 0), 1]$ , then there is some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $m(i, a) = \max u$ .*

To study the behavior of the one-dimensional model, we need to use the Prufer transformation to reduce the original ODE to a system of first order equations, namely a phase-amplitude decomposition. This will help us to understand the oscillatory behavior and extreme values of the solution. To introduce the Prufer transformation, we first briefly recall the definition of functions  $\sin_p$  and  $\cos_p$ .

**Definition 6.1.** *For every  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , define the positive number  $\pi_p$  by:*

$$\pi_p = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \frac{2\pi}{p \sin(\pi/p)}$$

The  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$  function  $\sin_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  is defined via the integral on  $[-\frac{\pi_p}{2}, \frac{\pi_p}{2}]$  by

$$\begin{cases} t = \int_0^{\sin_p(t)} \frac{ds}{(1-s^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} & \text{if } t \in [-\frac{\pi_p}{2}, \frac{\pi_p}{2}] \\ \sin_p(t) = \sin_p(\pi_p - t) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{\pi_p}{2}, \frac{3\pi_p}{2}] \end{cases}$$



and we extend it to a periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also we let  $\cos_p(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \sin_p(t)$ , and we have the following identity which resembles the case of usual sin and cos:

$$|\sin_p(t)|^p + |\cos_p(t)|^p = 1.$$

Now we can define the Prufer transformation:

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $\alpha = \left(\frac{\lambda}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , then for some solution  $w_{i,a}$  of the ODE, we define functions  $e = e_{i,a}$  and  $\phi = \phi_{i,a}$  by

$$\alpha w = e \sin_p(\phi) \quad w' = e \cos_p(\phi)$$

After computation we get that if  $w$  satisfies the ODE, then  $\phi$  and  $e$  satisfy the following systems of first order equations:

$$\begin{cases} \phi' = \alpha - \frac{T_i}{p-1} \cos_p^{p-1} \sin_p(t) \\ \phi(a) = -\frac{\pi p}{2} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \log(e) = \frac{T_i}{p-1} \cos_p^p(\phi) \\ e(\alpha) = \alpha \end{cases}$$

Since  $\cos_p$  and  $\sin_p$  are both Lipschitz functions, we have the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the above equation for model  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ , and for  $T_1$  when  $a > 0$ . For the boundary case  $a = 0$  in model  $T_1$ , we

**Proposition 6.2.** Fix  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $k < 0$ . Then there always exists a unique  $\bar{a} > 0$  such that the solution  $w_{3,-\bar{a}}$  is odd, and in particular, the maximum of  $w$  restricted to  $[-\bar{a}, \bar{a}]$  is 1.

By studying the equation of  $\phi$  one can show that there is a critical value  $\bar{\alpha}$  at which the oscillatory behavior of  $w$  changes. We quote the following results from [N-V], for  $T_3$  model we have:

**Proposition 6.3.** There exists a limiting value  $\bar{\alpha} > 0$  such that for  $\alpha > \bar{\alpha}$  we have  $\delta(3, a) < \infty$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\alpha < \bar{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{3,a}(t) < \infty \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

for a sufficiently large we have

$$-\frac{\pi p}{2} < \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{3,a}(t) < 0 \quad \text{and } \delta(3, a) = \infty.$$

When  $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ , we have  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \delta(3, a) = \infty$ .

For model  $T_1$  we get the following result:

**Proposition 6.4.** There exist  $\bar{\alpha} > 0$  such that when  $\alpha > \bar{\alpha}$  then  $\delta(1, a) < \infty$  for all  $a \in [0, \infty)$ . If  $\alpha \leq \bar{\alpha}$  then  $\phi_{1,a}$  has finite limit at infinity and  $\delta(1, a) < \infty$  for all  $a \in [0, \infty)$ .

To prove Proposition 7.1 we need to discuss two cases:  $\alpha < \bar{\alpha}$  and  $\alpha \geq \bar{\alpha}$ . We have different situations, where in the first case we can always use model  $T_3$  to produce the comparison solution  $w$ , and in the second case we have restriction on the maximum value that  $u$  can achieve. Namely we have

**Proposition 6.5.** Let  $\alpha \leq \bar{\alpha}$ . Then for each  $0 < \max u \leq 1$ , there is an  $a \in [-\bar{a}, \infty)$  such that  $m(3, a) = \max u$ .

We can also see that model  $T_2$  is translation invariant, hence for all  $a \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $m(2, a) = m_2$  is a constant. For model  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  we have

**Proposition 6.6.** *If  $\alpha > \bar{\alpha}$ , then  $m(3, a)$  is a decreasing function of  $a$ , while  $m(1, a)$  is an increasing function of  $a$  and*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} m(3, a) = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} m(1, a) = m_2.$$

Therefore we can conclude that we can find a comparison solution  $w$  from  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) when  $\alpha > \bar{\alpha}$  and  $m(1, 0) \leq \max u \leq 1$ . In section 7 we confirm that the maximum of  $u$  must lie in that range.

**6.2. Diameter Comparison.** In order to get the eigenvalue comparison with one-dimensional model of the same diameter bound, we still need to understand how  $\lambda_D$  varies with the diameter. Again we will follow [10].

**Definition 6.3.** *We define the minimum diameter of the one-dimensional model associated with  $\lambda$  to be*

$$\bar{\delta}(\lambda) = \min\{\delta(i, a) | i = 1, 2, 3, a \in I_i\}$$

We can find a lower bound of  $\delta(i, a)$  by convexity arguments for  $i = 1, 2$ :

**Proposition 6.7.** *For  $i = 1, 2$  and any  $a \in I_i$ , we have  $\delta(i, a) > \frac{\pi_p}{\alpha}$ .*

Model 3 needs a little bit careful attention. For this one we notice first that there is always  $\bar{a} > 0$  with an odd solution for initial data at  $-\bar{a}$ . Namely  $w_{3, \bar{a}}$  is odd function with min  $-1$  and max  $1$ . This is a critical situation which minimizes the diameter  $D$  given  $\lambda$ :

**Proposition 6.8.** *For  $i = 3$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

$$\delta(3, a) \geq \delta(3, \bar{a}) = 2\bar{a}$$

*and if  $a \neq -\bar{a}$ , the inequality is strict.*

It is also easy to see from the ODE for  $\phi$  when  $i = 3$  that,  $\phi' > \alpha$ . Therefore  $\delta(3, -\bar{a}) < \frac{\pi_p}{\alpha}$ . Also from this we have  $\delta(3, -\bar{a})$  is strictly decreasing function of  $\alpha$ , so as to  $\lambda_D$ . This means that  $\bar{\delta}(\lambda)$  is a strictly decreasing function. Thus if we see  $\lambda$  as a function of  $\delta$ , we also have the monotonicity: if  $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$ , we have

$$\lambda(\delta_1) \geq \lambda(\delta_2).$$

## 7. MAXIMUM OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

In this section we are going to compare the maximum of the eigenfunctions and the model functions. In order to do this, we define a new measure and use a volume comparison under the new measure to do the maximum comparison. By the ODE satisfied by  $w$ ,  $w''$  is positive before  $w$  hits its first zero.

First we have a theorem which can be seen as a comparison between the model function and the eigenfunction.

**Theorem 7.1.** (Theorem 34 [10]) Let  $u$  and  $w$  be as above and define

$$E(s) := -\exp\left(\int_{t_0}^s \frac{w^{(p-1)}}{w'^{(p-1)}} dt\right) \int_a^s w^{(p-1)} d\mu$$

then  $E$  is increasing on  $(a, t_0]$  and decreasing on  $[t_0, b)$ .

This result is equivalent to the following statement:

**Theorem 7.2.** (Theorem 35,[10]) Under the hypothesis of Theorem 6.1 the function

$$E(s) := \frac{\int_a^s w^{(p-1)} d\mu}{\int_a^s w^{(p-1)} t^{n-1} dt} = \frac{\int_{u \leq w(s)} u^{(p-1)} dm}{\int_a^s w^{(p-1)} t^{n-1} dt}$$

is increasing on  $(a, t_0]$  and decreasing on  $[t_0, b)$ .

To prove the maximum comparison we study the volume of a small ball around the minimum of  $u$ . By the gradient comparison we have the following:

**Lemma 7.1.** For  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small, the set  $u^{-1}[-1, -1 + \epsilon)$  contains a ball of radius  $w^{-1}(-1 + \epsilon) - a$ .

Now we can prove the maximum comparison, by combining Bishop-Gromov and the following:

**Theorem 7.3.** Let  $n \geq N$  and  $n > 1$ . If  $u$  is an eigenfunction satisfying  $\min u = -1 = u(x_0)$  and  $\max u \leq m(1, 0) = w_{1,0}(b(1, 0))$ , then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $r$  sufficiently small, we have

$$m(B_{x_0}(r)) \leq cr^n.$$

*Proof.* To keep notations short, let  $w = w_{1,0}$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be small such that  $-1 + \epsilon < -2^{-p+1}$ . Then we have  $u^{(p-1)} < -\frac{1}{2}$  when  $u < -1 + \epsilon$ . Let  $t_0$  be the first zero of  $w$ , then by Theorem 6.1 we have  $E(t) \leq E(t_0)$ . Therefore by Theorem 6.2 we get

$$m(B_{x_0}(r_\epsilon)) \leq C \int_{u \leq -1 + \epsilon} u^{(p-1)} dm \leq CE(t_0) \int_a^{w^{-1}(-1 + \epsilon)} w^{(p-1)} t^{n-1} dt \leq C' r_\epsilon^n$$

Since  $\epsilon$  can be arbitrarily small, we have the claim holds for  $r$  sufficiently small.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.4.** Let  $n \geq N$ ,  $n > 1$ , and  $w_{(1,0)}$  be the corresponding model function. If  $u$  is an eigenfunction with  $\min u = -1$ , then  $\max u \geq m(1, 0)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\max u < m(1, 0)$ , from the analysis of the model equation,  $m(1, 0)$  is the least possible value among  $\max w$  for all model solutions  $w$ . Therefore by continuous dependence of the solution of model equation on  $n$ , we can find  $n' > n$  so that  $\max u$  is still less than the maximum of the corresponding model equation. Since  $BE(\kappa, n')$  is still satisfied, we have by Theorem 6.3, that  $m(B_{x_0}(r)) \leq cr^{n'}$  for  $r$  sufficiently small. However by Bishop-Gromov volume comparison we have  $m(B_{x_0}(r)) \geq Cr^N$ . This is a contradiction since  $n' > n \geq N$ .  $\square$

## 8. PROOF

Now we can combine the gradient and maximum comparison, together with properties of the model equation to show the eigenvalue comparison.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $M$  be compact and connected and  $L$  be an elliptic diffusion operator with invariant measure  $m$ . Assume that  $L$  satisfies  $BE(\kappa, N)$ . Let  $u$  be an eigenfunction associated with  $\lambda$  satisfying Neumann boundary condition if  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\lambda$  is the first nonzero eigenvalue of  $L_p$ . Then we have a sharp comparison:*

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_D$$

where  $\lambda_D$  is the first nonzero eigenvalue of the following Neumann eigenvalue problem on  $[-D/2, D/2]$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(w')^{(p-1)}] - T_\kappa(w')^{(p-1)} + \lambda w^{(p-1)} = 0.$$

*Proof.* We scale  $u$  so that  $\min u = -1$  and  $\max u \leq 1$ . By Proposition 7.1 we can find a model function  $w_{i,a}$  such that  $\max u = \max w_{i,a}$ . By the gradient comparison theorem,  $\Gamma(w_{i,a}^{-1} \circ u) \leq 1$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  on  $M$  be points where  $u$  attains maximum and minimum, then we have

$$D \geq |w_{i,a}^{-1} \circ u(x) - w_{i,a}^{-1} \circ u(y)| = w_{i,a}^{-1}(m(i, a)) - w_{i,a}^{-1}(-1) = \delta(i, a, \lambda) \geq \delta(\bar{i}, a)$$

Therefore by the monotonicity of eigenvalue of the model equation, we have that

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_D.$$

To check the sharpness of this result, we have the following examples: let  $M_i = [-D/2, D/2] \times_{i^{-1}\tau_3} S^{n-1}$  be a warped product where  $S^{n-1}$  is the standard unit sphere, and  $\tau_3(t) = \cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t)$ . If we consider  $L$  being the classical Laplacian on  $M$ , then standard computation shows that  $M_i$  has  $\text{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$  and geodesically convex boundary. Hence it also satisfy the  $BE(\kappa, N)$  condition. If we take  $u(t, x) = w(t)$  where  $w$  is the solution to our one-dimensional model equation with  $\lambda = \lambda_D$ . Since the diameter of  $M_i$  tends to  $d$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the first eigenvalue on  $M_i$  converges to  $\lambda_d$ , which shows the sharpness of our lower bound.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ben Andrews and Lei Ni. Eigenvalue comparison on Bakry-Emery manifolds. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 37(11):2081–2092, 2012.
- [2] D Bakry and Michel Émery. Propaganda for  $\gamma_2$ . *From local times to global geometry, control and physics (Coventry, 1984/85)*, 150:39–46, 1986.
- [3] Dominique Bakry and Zhongmin Qian. Some new results on eigenvectors via dimension, diameter, and Ricci curvature. *Adv. Math.*, 155(1):98–153, 2000.
- [4] Jeff Cheeger. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the laplacian. In *Proceedings of the Princeton conference in honor of Professor S. Bochner*, pages 195–199, 1969.
- [5] Thomas Koerber. Sharp estimates for the principal eigenvalue of the  $p$ -operator. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 57(2):49, 2018.
- [6] Pawel Kröger et al. On the spectral gap for compact manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 36(2):315–330, 1992.
- [7] Peter Li and Shing Tung Yau. Estimates of eigenvalues of a compact Riemannian manifold. In *Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, pages 205–239. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [8] Xiaolong Li and Kui Wang. Sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the weighted  $p$ -laplacian. *arXiv:1910.02295*, 2019.
- [9] Xiaolong Li and Kui Wang. Sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the weighted  $p$ -laplacian ii. *Math. Res. Lett.*, to appear, *arXiv:1911.04596*, 2019.
- [10] Aaron Naber and Daniele Valtorta. Sharp estimates on the first eigenvalue of the  $p$ -Laplacian with negative Ricci lower bound. *Math. Z.*, 277(3-4):867–891, 2014.
- [11] Lawrence E Payne and Hans F Weinberger. An optimal poincaré inequality for convex domains. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 5(1):286–292, 1960.
- [12] Daniele Valtorta. Sharp estimate on the first eigenvalue of the  $p$ -Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(13):4974–4994, 2012.
- [13] Jia Qing Zhong and Hong Cang Yang. On the estimate of the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifold. *Sci. Sinica Ser. A*, 27(12):1265–1273, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CA 92093, USA

*E-mail address:* y7tu@ucsd.edu