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#### Abstract

This article develops duality principles applicable to the Ginzburg-Landau system in superconductivity. The main results are obtained through standard tools of convex analysis, functional analysis, calculus of variations and duality theory. In the second section, we present the general result for the case including a magnetic field and the respective magnetic potential in a local extremal context. Finally, in the last section we develop some global existence results for a model in elasticity.


## 1 Introduction

In this work we present a theorem which represents a duality principle suitable for a large class of non-convex variational problems.

At this point we refer to the exceptionally important article "A contribution to contact problems for a class of solids and structures" by W.R. Bielski and J.J. Telega, [3], published in 1985, as the first one to successfully apply and generalize the convex analysis approach to a model in non-convex and non-linear mechanics.

The present work is, in some sense, a kind of extension of this previous work 3] combined with a D.C. approach presented in [13 and others such as 4], which greatly influenced and inspired my work and recent book [6].

First, we recall that about the year 1950 Ginzburg and Landau introduced a theory to model the super-conducting behavior of some types of materials below a critical temperature $T_{c}$, which depends on the material in question. They postulated the free density energy may be written close to $T_{c}$ as

$$
F_{s}(T)=F_{n}(T)+\frac{\hbar}{4 m} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|_{2}^{2} d x+\frac{\alpha(T)}{4} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{4} d x-\frac{\beta(T)}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{2} d x,
$$

where $\phi$ is a complex parameter, $F_{n}(T)$ and $F_{s}(T)$ are the normal and super-conducting free energy densities, respectively (see [2] for details). Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denotes the super-conducting sample with a boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega=\Gamma$. The complex function $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})$ is intended to minimize $F_{s}(T)$ for a fixed temperature $T$.

Denoting $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ simply by $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are given by:

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{\hbar}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \phi+\alpha|\phi|^{2} \phi-\beta \phi=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

This last system of equations is well known as the Ginzburg-Landau (G-L) one. In the physics literature is also well known the G-L energy in which a magnetic potential here denoted by $\mathbf{A}$ is included. The functional in question is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
J(\phi, \mathbf{A})= & \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right|_{2}^{2} d x+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 m} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi-\frac{2 i e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A} \phi\right|_{2}^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{4} d x-\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{2} d x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering its minimization on the space $U$, where

$$
U=W^{1,2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \times W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

through the physics notation the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 m}\left(-i \hbar \nabla-\frac{2 e}{c} \mathbf{A}\right)^{2} \phi+\alpha|\phi|^{2} \phi-\beta \phi=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\ \left(i \hbar \nabla \phi+\frac{2 e}{c} \mathbf{A} \phi\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A})=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}+\frac{4 \pi}{c} \tilde{J}, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4}\\ \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A})=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{J}=-\frac{i e \hbar}{2 m}\left(\phi^{*} \nabla \phi-\phi \nabla \phi^{*}\right)-\frac{2 e^{2}}{m c}|\phi|^{2} \mathbf{A} .
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{B}_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

is a known applied magnetic field.
At this point, we emphasize to denote generically

$$
\langle g, h\rangle_{L^{2}}=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re}[g] \operatorname{Re}[h] d x-\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Im}[g] \operatorname{Im}[h] d x
$$

$\forall h, g \in L^{2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})$, where $\operatorname{Re}[a], \operatorname{Im}[a]$ denote the real and imaginary parts of $a, \forall a \in \mathbb{C}$, respectively.

Moreover, existence of a global solution for a similar problem has been proved at section 2 of this article and in [7].

Finally, for the subsequent theoretical results we assume a simplified atomic units context.

## 2 A global existence result for the full complex GinzburgLandau system

In this section we present a global existence result for the complex Ginzburg-Landau system in superconductivity.

The main result is summarized by the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega, \Omega_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be open, bounded and connected sets whose the regular (Lipschistzian) boundaries are denoted by $\partial \Omega$ and $\partial \Omega_{1}$, respectively.

Assume $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_{1}$.
Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(\phi, \mathbf{A})= & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\phi|^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x+K_{0}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{2, \Omega_{1}}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho, K_{0}$ are positive constants, $i$ is the imaginary unit,

$$
\begin{gathered}
U=U_{1} \times U_{2} \\
U_{1}=W^{1,2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
U_{2}=W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{1} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Also $\mathbf{B}_{0} \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{1} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ denotes an external magnetic field.
Under such hypotheses, there exists $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \in U$ such that

$$
J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\min _{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in U} J(\phi, \mathbf{A})
$$

Proof. Denote

$$
\alpha_{1}=\inf _{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in U} J(\phi, \mathbf{A})
$$

From the results in [7], fixing the gauge of London, we may find a minimizing sequence for $J$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1} \leq J\left(\phi_{n}, \mathbf{A}_{n}\right)<\alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{n}, \\
\quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}_{n}=0, \text { in } \Omega_{1},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{A}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

From $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}_{n}=0$ we get

$$
\text { curl curl } \mathbf{A}_{n}=-\nabla^{2} \mathbf{A}_{n}
$$

so that from this and

$$
\mathbf{A}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1}
$$

we have that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} d x=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \nabla\left(A_{k}\right)_{n} \nabla\left(A_{k}\right)_{n} d x, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From the expression of $J$, for such a minimizing sequence, there exists a real $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\nabla \mathbf{A}_{n}\right\|_{2, \Omega_{1}}=\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{n}\right\|_{2, \Omega_{1}} \leq K_{1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From this and the boundary conditions in question, there exists a real $K_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathbf{A}_{n}\right\|_{1,2, \Omega_{1}} \leq K_{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Thus from the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there exists $\mathbf{A}_{0} \in U_{2}$ such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence

$$
\mathbf{A}_{n} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{A}_{0}, \text { weakly in } W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right),
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{A}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{0}, \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \text { and } L^{4}\left(\Omega_{1} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

From this and the generalized Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{n}>J\left(\phi_{n}, \mathbf{A}_{n}\right) \geq & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -K_{3}\left\|\mathbf{A}_{n}\right\|_{4, \Omega}\left\|\nabla \phi_{n}\right\|_{2, \Omega}\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{4, \Omega}+\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\mathbf{A}_{n}\right|^{2}\left|\phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{n}\right|^{4} d x-\alpha \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& K_{0}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{n}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{2, \Omega_{1}}^{2}+K_{5} \\
\geq & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -K_{4}\left\|\nabla \phi_{n}\right\|_{2, \Omega}\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{4, \Omega}+\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\mathbf{A}_{n}\right|^{2}\left|\phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{n}\right|^{4} d x-\alpha \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|\phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& K_{0}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{n}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{2, \Omega_{1}}^{2}+K_{5}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, there exists $K_{6}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\nabla \phi_{n}\right\|_{2, \Omega} \leq K_{6},
$$

and

$$
\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{4, \Omega} \leq K_{6}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Thus, from the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there exists $\phi_{0} \in U_{1}$ such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$
\phi_{n} \rightharpoonup \phi_{0}, \text { weakly in } W^{1,2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}),
$$

and

$$
\phi_{n} \rightarrow \phi_{0}, \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \text { and } L^{4}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) .
$$

From such results, we may obtain

$$
\nabla \phi_{n}-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{n} \phi_{n} \rightharpoonup \nabla \phi_{0}-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0} \phi_{0}, \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})
$$

Therefore, from this, the convexity of $J$ in $v=\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi$ and $w=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$, and from

$$
\phi_{n} \rightarrow \phi_{0}, \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \text { and } L^{4}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{n} \rightharpoonup \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}, \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right),
$$

we get

$$
\alpha_{1}=\inf _{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in U} J(\phi, \mathbf{A})=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(\phi_{n}, \mathbf{A}_{n}\right) \geq J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) .
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3 A brief initial description of our proposal for duality

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$. Let $U=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and let $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional defined by

$$
J(u)=G_{1}(u)+F_{1}(u)-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

where

$$
G_{1}(u)=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x
$$

and

$$
F_{1}(u)=\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are positive real constants and $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Observe that there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\eta=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)=J\left(u_{0}\right),
$$

for some $u_{0} \in U$. (We recall that the existence of a global minimizer may be proven by the direct method of the calculus of variations).

In our approach, we combine the ideas of J.J. Telega [4, 3] generalizing the approach in Ekeland and Temam [11] for establishing the dual functionals through the Legendre transform definition, with a D.C. approach for non-convex optimization developed by J.F. Toland, [13].

At this point we would define the functionals $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
F(u)=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x,
$$

and

$$
G(u, v)=-\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta+v\right)^{2} d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) & =F(u)-G(u, 0) \\
& =\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where such a functional, for a large $K>0$, is represented as a difference of two convex functionals in a large domain region proportional to $K>0$.

The second step is to define the corresponding dual functionals $F^{*}, G^{*}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) & =\sup _{u \in U}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(u)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{K-\gamma \nabla^{2}} d x \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)= & \sup _{u \in U} \inf _{v \in L^{2}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle v, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(u, v)\right\} \\
= & \sup _{u \in U} \inf _{v \in L^{2}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle v, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta+v\right)^{2} d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}\right\} \\
= & \sup _{u \in U} \inf _{w \in L^{2}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle w-u^{2}+\beta, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^{2} d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}\right\} \\
= & \sup _{u \in U} \inf _{w \in L^{2}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle w, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^{2} d x-\left\langle u^{2}, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right. \\
& -\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& \left.-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x\right\} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}-f\right)^{2}}{2 v_{0}^{*}-K} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

if $-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Defining

$$
E=\left\{v_{0}^{*} \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text { such that }-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>K / 2, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\right\},
$$

where $K>0$ is such that

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}>\frac{32 K_{2}^{2}}{K^{3}}
$$

for some appropriate $K_{2}>0$ to be specified, it may be proven that for $K>0$ sufficiently large,

$$
\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in L^{2}} \sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E}\left\{-F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)+G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)\right\} \geq \inf _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Equality concerning this last result may be obtained in a local extremal context and, under appropriate optimality conditions to be specified, also for global optimization.

At this point we highlight the maximization in $v_{0}^{*}$ with the restriction $v_{0}^{*} \in E$ does not demand a Lagrange multiplier, since for the value of $K>0$ specified the restriction is not active.

We emphasize this approach is original and substantially different from all those, of other authors, so far known.

Finally, for a more general model, in the next section we formally prove that a critical point for the primal formulation necessarily corresponds to a critical point of the dual formulation. The reciprocal may be also proven.

## 4 The duality principle for a local extremal context

In this section we state and prove the concerning duality principle. We recall the existence of a global minimizer for the related functional has been proven at section 2 of this article. At this point it is also worth mentioning an extensive study on duality theory and applications for such and similar models is developed in [6].

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Omega, \Omega_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be open, bounded and connected sets with regular (Lipschitzian) boundaries denoted by $\partial \Omega$ and $\partial \Omega_{1}$ respectively.

Assume $\Omega_{1}$ is convex and $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_{1}$. Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(\phi, \mathbf{A})= & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\phi|^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{0, \Omega_{1}}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho$ are positive real constants, $i$ is the imaginary unit and

$$
\begin{gathered}
U=U_{1} \times U_{2} \\
U_{1}=C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{C}), \quad U_{2}=C^{1}\left(\overline{\Omega_{1}} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

both with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1, \infty}$.
Moreover,

$$
\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

is the order parameter,

$$
\mathbf{A}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

is the magnetic potential and $\mathbf{B}_{0} \in C^{1}\left(\overline{\Omega_{1}}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is an external magnetic field.

## Defining,

$$
B_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{A} \in C^{1}\left(\overline{\Omega_{1}} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right): \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}=0 \text { in } \Omega_{1}, \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1}\right\},
$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ denotes the outward normal to $\partial \Omega_{1}$, suppose $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{C}) \times B_{2}$ is such that

$$
\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq K_{2}
$$

for some appropriate $K_{2}>0$,

$$
\delta J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

and

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)>\mathbf{0} .
$$

Denoting also generically

$$
(\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A})^{*}(\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A})=|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}|^{2},
$$

define $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F(\phi, \mathbf{A})=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi|^{2} d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{2} d x
$$

$G: U \times C(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
G(\phi, \mathbf{A}, v)=-\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\phi|^{2}-\beta\right. & +v)^{2} d x-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{0, \Omega_{1}}^{2}+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{2} d x  \tag{11}\\
F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right) & =\sup _{\phi \in U_{1}}\left\{\left\langle\phi, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(\phi, \mathbf{A})\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(\gamma|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}|^{2}+K\right)} d x \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\hat{G}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)=\sup _{\phi \in U_{1}} \inf _{v \in C(\Omega)}\left\{\left\langle\phi, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle v, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(\phi, \mathbf{A}, v)\right\}
$$

$$
=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{2 v_{0}^{*}-K} d x
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{0, \Omega_{1}}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>0 \text { in } \bar{\Omega},
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)= & -F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)+\hat{G}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(\gamma|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}|^{2}+K\right)} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{2 v_{0}^{*}-K} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}_{0}\right\|_{0, \Omega_{1}}^{2} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{v}_{0}^{*}=\alpha\left(\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right), \\
& \hat{v}_{1}^{*}=\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right) \phi_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E=\left\{v_{0}^{*} \in C(\bar{\Omega}):-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>K / 2 \text {, in } \bar{\Omega}\right\} .
$$

Under such hypotheses and assuming also

$$
\hat{v}_{0}^{*} \in E,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0} \\
J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, defining

$$
J_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)=\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)
$$

for $K>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}>\frac{8 K_{2}^{2}}{K}
$$

and sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \\
\frac{\partial^{2} J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}>\mathbf{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

so that there exist $r, r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) & =\min _{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in B_{r}\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)} J(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r_{1}}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)} J_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \\
& =J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r_{1}}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We start by proving that

$$
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

Observe that from

$$
\frac{\partial J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial \phi}=0
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{A}}=0
$$

we have

$$
\begin{cases}\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2} \phi_{0}+2 \alpha\left(\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right) \phi_{0}=0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{16}\\ \left(\nabla \phi_{0}-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0} \phi_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{curl}\left(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}+4 \pi \tilde{J}_{0}, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{17}\\ \operatorname{curl}\left(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}, & \text { in } \Omega_{1} \backslash \bar{\Omega},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{J}=-2 i \gamma \rho \operatorname{Im}\left[\left(\phi_{0}^{*} \nabla \phi_{0}\right)\right]-\gamma \rho^{2}\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2} \mathbf{A}_{0} .
$$

Observe also that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}} & =\frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta \\
& =\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta=0 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Summarizing, we have got

$$
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{v}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}}=0 .
$$

Moreover, from the first line in equation (16), we obtain

$$
\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2} \phi_{0}+K \phi_{0}+2 \alpha\left(\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right) \phi_{0}-K \phi_{0}=0, \text { in } \Omega,
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{v}_{1}^{*} & =\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right) \phi_{0} \\
& =2 \alpha\left(\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right) \phi_{0}-K \phi_{0} \\
& =-\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2} \phi_{0}-K \phi_{0} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\phi_{0}=\frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}=-\frac{\hat{v}_{1}^{*}}{\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}+K},
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} & =-\frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}-\frac{\hat{v}_{1}^{*}}{\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}+K} \\
& =-\phi_{0}+\phi_{0}=0, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, denoting

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1} & =\frac{\partial\left[\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}\right]}{\partial \mathbf{A}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left.\left.\left(\gamma \mid \nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+K\right)^{2}}\right]+\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\operatorname{curl}\left(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)-\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\partial\left[\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}\right]}{\partial \mathbf{A}}\left[\frac{\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right]+\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\operatorname{curl}\left(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)-\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\operatorname{curl}\left(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)-\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}\right\}-\tilde{J}_{0}, \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
H_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\operatorname{curl}\left(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)-\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}\right\},
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{A}}= \begin{cases}H_{1} & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{22}\\ H_{2}, & \text { in } \Omega_{1} \backslash \bar{\Omega} .\end{cases}
$$

Summarizing,

$$
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Such last results may be denoted by

$$
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

Recall now that

$$
J_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)=\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}= & \frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} \\
& +\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} \\
= & \mathbf{0} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{A}}=\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{0}
$$

so that we may denote

$$
\delta J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Furthermore, we may easily compute,

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) & =-F^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)+\hat{G}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \\
& =-\left\langle\phi_{0}, \hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)+\left\langle\phi_{0}, \hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle 0, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \\
& =J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that, in particular, we have

$$
J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)=J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right),
$$

where the concerning supremum is attained through the equation

$$
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}}=0,
$$

that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta \\
= & \left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta=0 . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the variation in $v_{1}^{*}$ in such an equation, we get

$$
\frac{2 \hat{v}_{1}^{*}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}-\frac{4\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{3}} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}=0,
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\frac{2 \phi_{0}}{\left(2 v_{0}^{*}-K\right)}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{\left.\left.4\right|_{0}\right|^{2}}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}},
$$

where, as previously indicated,

$$
\phi_{0}=\frac{\hat{v}_{1}^{*}}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}
$$

At this point we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*} \partial v_{0}^{*}} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} \\
= & -\frac{1}{\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}+K}-\frac{1}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K} \\
& +\frac{\frac{4 \alpha\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}}{\left[1+\frac{\left.4 \alpha \phi_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}\right]} \\
= & \frac{-2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-4 \alpha\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}+K-\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}-K}{\left(K+\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}+4 \alpha\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-K\right)} \\
= & \frac{-\delta_{\phi \phi}^{2} J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\left(K+\gamma\left|\nabla-i \rho \mathbf{A}_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}+4 \alpha\left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-K\right)} \\
> & \mathbf{0} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Summarizing,

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}>\mathbf{0}
$$

From these last results, there exists $r, r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) & =\min _{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in B_{r}\left(\phi_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)} J(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r_{1}}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)} J_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \\
& =J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r_{1}}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}, \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.
Remark 4.2. At this point of our analysis and on, we consider a finite dimensional model version in a finite differences or finite elements context, even though the spaces and operators have not been relabeled. So, also in such a context, the expression

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{2 v_{0}^{*}-K} d x
$$

indeed means

$$
\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{T}\left(2 v_{0}^{*}-K I_{d}\right)^{-1} v_{1}^{*}
$$

where $I_{d}$ denotes the identity matrix $n \times n$ and

$$
2 v_{0}^{*}-K I_{d}
$$

denotes the diagonal matrix with the vector

$$
\left\{2 v_{0}^{*}(i)-K\right\}_{n \times 1}
$$

as diagonal, for some appropriate $n \in \mathbb{N}$ defined in the discretization process.

## 5 A second duality principle

In this section we present another duality principle, which is summarized by the next theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Let $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional defined by

$$
J(u)=G(\Lambda u)-F(\Lambda u)-\langle u, f\rangle_{U}, \forall u \in U,
$$

where

$$
U=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega), f \in L^{2}(\Omega), Y=Y^{*}=L^{2}
$$

$\Lambda: U \rightarrow Y$, is a bounded linear operator which the respective adjoint is denoted by $\Lambda^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow U^{*}$.
Suppose also $(G \circ \Lambda): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $(F \circ \Lambda): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Fréchet differentiable functionals on $U$ and such that $J$ is bounded below.

Define $G_{K}^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F_{K}^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{K}^{*}\left(v^{*}\right) & =\sup _{v \in Y}\left\{\left\langle v, v^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(v)-\frac{K}{2}\langle v, v\rangle_{L^{2}}\right\}, \\
F_{K}^{*}\left(z^{*}\right) & =\sup _{v \in Y_{1}}\left\{\left\langle v, z^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(v)-\frac{K}{2}\langle v, v\rangle_{L^{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $\left(\hat{v}^{*}, \hat{z}^{*}, u_{0}\right) \in Y^{*} \times Y^{*} \times U$ is such that

$$
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}, \hat{z}^{*}, u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0},
$$

where $J^{*}: Y^{*} \times Y^{*} \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)=-G_{K}^{*}\left(v^{*}\right)+F_{K}^{*}\left(z^{*}\right)+\left\langle u, \Lambda^{*} v^{*}-\Lambda^{*} z^{*}-f\right\rangle_{U} .
$$

Suppose also $\delta^{2} J\left(u_{0}\right)>\mathbf{0}$ and $K>0$ is sufficiently big so that

$$
G_{K}^{* *}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)=G_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right),
$$

and

$$
F_{K}^{* *}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)=F_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right) .
$$

Denote also

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{+}=\left\{u \in U: u u_{0} \geq 0, \text { a.e. in } \Omega\right\}, \\
B^{+}=\left\{u \in U: \delta^{2} J(u) \geq \mathbf{0}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where we assume, there exists a linear function (in $|u|) H$ such that

$$
\delta^{2} J(u) \geq \mathbf{0} \text { if, and only if, } H(|u|) \geq \mathbf{0} .
$$

Moreover, defining the set

$$
E=A^{+} \cap B^{+},
$$

we have that $E$ is convex,

$$
\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{u \in E}\left\{\inf _{z^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v^{*} \in Y^{*}} J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =\inf _{u \in E}\left\{\sup _{v^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{\inf _{z^{*} \in Y^{*}} J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}, \hat{z}^{*}, u_{0}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)= & -G_{K}^{*}\left(v^{*}\right)+F_{K}^{*}\left(z^{*}\right)+\left\langle u, \Lambda^{*} v^{*}-\Lambda_{1}^{*} z^{*}-f\right\rangle_{U} \\
\leq & -\left\langle\Lambda u, v^{*}\right\rangle_{Y}+G(\Lambda u)+\frac{K}{2}\langle\Lambda u, \Lambda u\rangle_{Y} \\
& +F_{K}^{*}\left(z^{*}\right)+\left\langle u, \Lambda^{*} v^{*}-\Lambda^{*} z^{*}-f\right\rangle_{U} \\
= & G(\Lambda u)+\frac{K}{2}\langle\Lambda u, \Lambda u\rangle_{Y}-\left\langle\Lambda u, z^{*}\right\rangle_{Y^{*}}+F_{K}^{*}\left(z^{*}\right)-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall u \in U, v^{*} \in Y^{*}, z^{*} \in Y^{*}$.
From this, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \inf _{z^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v^{*} \in Y^{*}} J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)\right\} \\
\leq & \inf _{z^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{G(\Lambda u)+\frac{K}{2}\langle\Lambda u, \Lambda u\rangle_{Y}-\left\langle\Lambda u, z^{*}\right\rangle_{Y}+F_{K}^{*}\left(z^{*}\right)-\langle u, f\rangle_{U}\right\} \\
= & G(\Lambda u)+\frac{K}{2}\langle\Lambda u, \Lambda u\rangle_{Y} \\
& -F(\Lambda u)-\frac{K}{2}\langle\Lambda u, \Lambda u\rangle_{Y} \\
& -\langle u, f\rangle_{U} \\
= & J(u) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we may infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \inf _{u \in E}\left\{\inf _{z^{*} \in Y_{1}^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v^{*} \in Y^{*}} J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)\right\}\right\} \\
\leq & \inf _{u \in E} J(u) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from $\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}, \hat{z}^{*}, u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial G_{K}^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}\right)}{\partial v^{*}}-\Lambda u_{0}=0
$$

so that from the Legendre transform properties, we obtain

$$
\hat{v}^{*}=\frac{\partial G_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)}{\partial v}
$$

and

$$
G_{K}^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}\right)=\left\langle\Lambda u_{0}, \hat{v}^{*}\right\rangle_{Y^{*}}-G_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right) .
$$

Similarly, from the variation in $z^{*}$, we get

$$
\frac{\partial F_{K}^{*}\left(\hat{z}^{*}\right)}{\partial z^{*}}-\Lambda u_{0}=0
$$

so that from the Legendre transform properties, we obtain

$$
\hat{z}^{*}=\frac{\partial F_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)}{\partial v}
$$

and

$$
F_{K}^{*}\left(\hat{z}^{*}\right)=\left\langle\Lambda u_{0}, \hat{z}^{*}\right\rangle_{Y^{*}}-F_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right) .
$$

From the variation in $u$, we have

$$
\Lambda \hat{v}^{*}-\Lambda^{*} \hat{z}^{*}-f=0 .
$$

Joining the pieces, we have got

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}, \hat{z}^{*}, u_{0}\right) & =-G_{K}^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}\right)+F_{K}^{*}\left(\hat{z}^{*}\right) \\
& =-\left\langle\Lambda u_{0}, \hat{v}^{*}\right\rangle_{Y}+G_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)-F_{K}\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)+\left\langle\Lambda u_{0}, \hat{z}^{*}\right\rangle_{Y} \\
& =G\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)-F\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)-\left\langle u_{0}, f\right\rangle_{U} \\
& =J\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, from

$$
\Lambda \hat{v}^{*}-\Lambda^{*} \hat{z}^{*}-f=0,
$$

we also have

$$
\Lambda^{*}\left(\frac{\partial G\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)}{\partial v}\right)-\Lambda^{*}\left(\frac{\partial F\left(\Lambda u_{0}\right)}{\partial v}\right)-f=0
$$

so that

$$
\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Finally, observe that if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in A^{+} \cap B^{+}=E$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right) & \geq \mathbf{0}, \\
H\left(\left|u_{2}\right|\right) & \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and also since

$$
\operatorname{sign} u_{1}=\operatorname{sign} u_{2}, \operatorname{in} \Omega,
$$

we get

$$
\left|\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right|=\lambda\left|u_{1}\right|+(1-\lambda)\left|u_{2}\right|,
$$

so that, from the hypotheses on $H$,

$$
\lambda H\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)+(1-\lambda) H\left(\left|u_{2}\right|\right)=H\left(\left|\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right|\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

and thus,

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right) \geq \mathbf{0} .
$$

From this, we may infer that $E$ is convex.
Moreover, since $J$ is convex in $E$, and

$$
\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0},
$$

from (31) and (32), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{u \in E}\left\{\inf _{z^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v^{*} \in Y^{*}} J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =\inf _{u \in E}\left\{\sup _{v^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{\inf _{z^{*} \in Y^{*}} J^{*}\left(v^{*}, z^{*}, u\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}^{*}, \hat{z}^{*}, u_{0}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 6 A third duality principle

Our third duality principle is summarized by the next theorem.
Theorem 6.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$. Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
J(u)=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are positive real constants, $U=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega), f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Here we assume

$$
-\gamma \nabla^{2}-2 \alpha \beta<\mathbf{0}
$$

in an appropriate matrix sense considering, as above indicated, a finite dimensional not relabeled model approximation, in a finite differences or finite elements context.

Define $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
F(u)=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x,
$$

and

$$
G(u, v)=-\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta+v\right)^{2} d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
J(u)=F(u)-G(u, 0)
$$

Define also,

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) & =\sup _{u \in U}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(u)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{K-\gamma \nabla^{2}} d x \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)= & \sup _{u \in U} \inf _{v \in L^{2}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle v, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(u, v)\right\} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}-f\right)^{2}}{2 v_{0}^{*}-K} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

if $-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Furthermore, denote

$$
C=\left\{v_{0}^{*} \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text { such that }-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>K / 2, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\right\}
$$

where $K>0$ is such that

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}>\frac{8 K_{2}^{2}}{K}
$$

for some appropriate $K_{2}>0$.
At this point suppose $u_{0} \in U$ is such that $\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=0,\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq K_{2}$ and

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(u_{0}\right)>\mathbf{0}
$$

Define also,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{+}=\left\{u \in U: u u_{0} \geq 0, \text { in } \Omega\right\}, \\
B^{+}=\left\{u \in U: \delta^{2} J(u) \geq \mathbf{0}\right\}, \\
E=A^{+} \cap B^{+}, \\
\hat{v}_{0}^{*}=\alpha\left(u_{0}^{2}-\beta\right), \\
\hat{v}_{1}^{*}=-\gamma \nabla^{2} u_{0}+K u_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Under such hypothesis, assuming also $\hat{v}_{0}^{*} \in C$ and denoting

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{*}\left(v_{1}, v_{0}^{*}\right) & =F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)-G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right) \\
J_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) & =\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in C} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We start by proving that

$$
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Observe that from

$$
\frac{\partial J\left(u_{0}\right)}{\partial u}=0
$$

we have

$$
-\gamma \nabla^{2} u_{0}+2 \alpha\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right) u_{0}-f=0, \text { in } \Omega
$$

Observe also that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*} \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}} & =\frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta \\
& =\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta=0 . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Summarizing, we have got

$$
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}}=0 .
$$

Moreover, from the first line in equation (16), we obtain

$$
-\gamma \nabla^{2} u_{0}+K u_{0}+2 \alpha\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right) u_{0}-K u_{0}-f=0, \text { in } \Omega,
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{v}_{1}^{*} & =-\gamma \nabla^{2} u_{0}+K u_{0} \\
& =-2 \alpha\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}-\beta\right) u_{0}+K u_{0}+f \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
u_{0}=-\frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}-f\right)}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}=\frac{\hat{v}_{1}^{*}}{-\gamma \nabla^{2}+K},
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} & =-\frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}-f\right)}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}-\frac{\hat{v}_{1}^{*}}{-\gamma \nabla^{2}+K} \\
& =u_{0}-u_{0}=0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Such last results may be denoted by

$$
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Recall now that

$$
J_{1}^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)=\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}= & \frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} \\
& +\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} \\
= & \mathbf{0} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we may easily compute,

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right) & =-F^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)+\hat{G}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right) \\
& =-\left\langle u_{0}, \hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F\left(\phi_{0}\right)+\left\langle u_{0}, \hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle 0, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G\left(u_{0}, \mathbf{0}\right) \\
& =J\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that, in particular, we have

$$
J_{1}^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)=J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right),
$$

where the concerning supremum is attained through the equation

$$
\frac{\partial J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{0}^{*}}=0,
$$

that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}-f\right)^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta \\
= & \left|\phi_{0}\right|^{2}-\frac{\hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\alpha}-\beta=0 . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the variation in $v_{1}^{*}$ in such an equation, we get

$$
\frac{2\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}-f\right)}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}-\frac{4\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{3}} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}=0,
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}}=\frac{\frac{2 u_{0}}{\left(2 v_{0}^{*}-K\right)}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{4\left|\hat{u}_{0}\right|^{2}}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{2}-K}},
$$

where, as previously indicated,

$$
u_{0}=\frac{\hat{v}_{1}^{*}-f}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K} .
$$

At this point we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)}{\partial v_{1}^{*} \partial v_{0}^{*}} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_{0}^{*}}{\partial v_{1}^{*}} \\
= & -\frac{1}{-\gamma \nabla^{2}+K}-\frac{1}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K} \\
& +\frac{\frac{4 \alpha\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K\right)^{2}}}{\left[1+\frac{4 \alpha\left|u^{2}\right|^{2}}{2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-K}\right]} \\
= & \frac{-2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}-4 \alpha\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+K+\gamma \nabla^{2}-K}{\left(K-\gamma \nabla^{2}\right)\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}+4 \alpha\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}-K\right)} \\
= & \frac{-\delta^{2} J\left(u_{0}\right)}{\left(K-\gamma \nabla^{2}\right)\left(2 \hat{v}_{0}^{*}+4 \alpha\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}-K\right)} \\
> & \mathbf{0} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Summarizing,

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}{\partial\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}>\mathbf{0} .
$$

Finally, observe that

$$
\delta^{2} J(u)=-\gamma \nabla^{2}+6 \alpha u^{2}-2 \alpha \beta \geq \mathbf{0},
$$

if, and only if

$$
H(u) \geq \mathbf{0},
$$

where

$$
H(u)=\sqrt{6 \alpha}|u|-\sqrt{\gamma \nabla^{2}+2 \alpha \beta} \geq \mathbf{0} .
$$

Hence, if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in A^{+} \cap B^{+}=E$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right) & \geq \mathbf{0}, \\
H\left(\left|u_{2}\right|\right) & \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and also since
$\operatorname{sign} u_{1}=\operatorname{sign} u_{2}, \operatorname{in} \Omega$,
we get

$$
\left|\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right|=\lambda\left|u_{1}\right|+(1-\lambda)\left|u_{2}\right|,
$$

so that,

$$
H\left(\left|\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right|\right)=H\left(\lambda\left|u_{1}\right|+(1-\lambda)\left|u_{2}\right|\right)=\lambda H\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)+(1-\lambda) H\left(\left|u_{2}\right|\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

and thus,

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right) \geq \mathbf{0} .
$$

From this, we may infer that $E$ is convex.
From these last results, there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{r}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}\right)}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in E} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right) . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 7 A criterion for global optimality

In this section we establish a criterion for global optimality.
Theorem 7.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha>0, \beta>0, \gamma>0, f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $U=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Suppose also either

$$
f(x)>0, \forall x \in \Omega
$$

or

$$
f(x)<0, \forall x \in \Omega
$$

Here we assume

$$
-\gamma \nabla^{2}-2 \alpha \beta<\mathbf{0}
$$

in an appropriate matrix sense considering, as above indicated, a finite dimensional not relabeled model approximation, in a finite differences or finite elements context.

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{+}=\{u \in U: u f \geq 0, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\}, \\
B^{+}=\left\{u \in U: \delta^{2} J(u) \geq \mathbf{0}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E=A^{+} \cap B^{+} .
$$

Under such hypotheses, $E$ is convex and

$$
\inf _{u \in E} J(u)=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\eta=\inf _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$
Hence, by density there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

and

$$
\eta \leq J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\eta+\varepsilon .
$$

Define

$$
v_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}u_{\varepsilon}(x), & \text { if } u_{\varepsilon}(x) f(x) \geq 0  \tag{46}\\ -u_{\varepsilon}(x), & \text { if } u_{\varepsilon}(x) f(x)<0\end{cases}
$$

$\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
Observe that

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)=\delta^{2} J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)= & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon} d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{\varepsilon}^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\left\langle v_{\varepsilon}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\left\langle u_{\varepsilon}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
= & J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\eta \leq J\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\eta+\varepsilon .
$$

From this, since $v_{\varepsilon} \in E$, we obtain

$$
\eta \leq \inf _{u \in E} J(u)<\eta+\varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we may infer that

$$
\inf _{u \in U} J(u)=\eta=\inf _{u \in E} J(u)
$$

Finally, observe also that

$$
\delta^{2} J(u)=-\gamma \nabla^{2}+6 \alpha u^{2}-2 \alpha \beta \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

if, and only if

$$
H(u) \geq \mathbf{0},
$$

where

$$
H(u)=\sqrt{6 \alpha}|u|-\sqrt{\gamma \nabla^{2}+2 \alpha \beta} \geq \mathbf{0} .
$$

Hence, if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in A^{+} \cap B^{+}=E$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right) \geq \mathbf{0}, \\
& H\left(\left|u_{2}\right|\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and also since

$$
\operatorname{sign} u_{1}=\operatorname{sign} u_{2}, \text { in } \Omega,
$$

we get

$$
\left|\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right|=\lambda\left|u_{1}\right|+(1-\lambda)\left|u_{2}\right|,
$$

so that,

$$
H\left(\left|\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right|\right)=H\left(\lambda\left|u_{1}\right|+(1-\lambda)\left|u_{2}\right|\right)=\lambda H\left(\left|u_{1}\right|\right)+(1-\lambda) H\left(\left|u_{2}\right|\right) \geq \mathbf{0}
$$

and thus,

$$
\delta^{2} J\left(\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right) \geq \mathbf{0} .
$$

From this, we may infer that $E$ is convex.
The proof is complete.

### 7.1 The concerning duality principle

In this section we develop a duality principle concerning the last optimality criterion established.

Theorem 7.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$. Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
J(u)=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta\right)^{2} d x-\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}},
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are positive real constants, $U=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega), f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and we also denote $Y=$ $Y^{*}=L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Here we assume

$$
-\gamma \nabla^{2}-2 \alpha \beta<\mathbf{0}
$$

in an appropriate matrix sense considering, as above indicated, a finite dimensional not relabeled model approximation, in a finite differences or finite elements context.

Assume also either

$$
f(x)>0, \forall x \in \Omega
$$

or

$$
f(x)<0, \forall x \in \Omega
$$

Given $u \in U$ define

$$
L_{1}(u)=\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left\{\int_{\Omega} v_{0} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x\right\}
$$

and

$$
L_{2}(u)=\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}}\left\{\int_{\Omega} v_{0} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x\right\}
$$

where

$$
B^{*}=\left\{v_{0}^{*} \in Y^{*}:-2 v_{0}^{*}+K>K / 2 \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\right\}
$$

for some $K>0$ to be specified.
Let

$$
U_{1}=\left\{u \in U \text { such that } L_{1}(u)=L_{2}(u) \text { and }\|u\|_{1, \infty} \leq \sqrt[4]{K}\right\}
$$

Moreover, define $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
F(u)=\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x,
$$

and

$$
G(u, v)=-\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}-\beta+v\right)^{2} d x+\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+\langle u, f\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
J(u)=F(u)-G(u, 0)
$$

Define also,

$$
B_{1}^{*}=\left\{v_{1}^{*} \in Y^{*}:\left\|v_{1}^{*}-f\right\|_{\infty} \leq K_{2}\right\},
$$

where $K_{2}>0$ and $K>0$ are such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{32 K_{2}^{2}}{K^{3}}+\frac{1}{\alpha}>0, \\
& C_{1}=\left\{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{1}^{*}: \text { there exists } u \in U_{1}\right. \\
& \text { such that } \left.v_{1}^{*}=\frac{\partial F(u)}{\partial u}\right\} \text {, }  \tag{48}\\
& C_{2}=\left\{v_{1}^{*} \in B_{1}^{*}: \text { there exists } u \in U_{1}\right. \\
& \text { such that } \left.v_{1}^{*}=\frac{\partial G(u, 0)}{\partial u}\right\} \text {, } \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
C^{*}=C_{1} \cap C_{2} .
$$

Furthermore, define $F^{*}: C^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) & =\sup _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(u)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}}{K-\gamma \nabla^{2}} d x \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

and $G^{*}: C^{*} \times B^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)= & \sup _{u \in U} \inf _{v \in L^{2}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle v, v_{0}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(u, v)\right\} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(v_{1}^{*}-f\right)^{2}}{2 v_{0}^{*}-K} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Define also,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{+}=\{u \in U: u f \geq 0, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\} \\
B^{+}=\left\{u \in U: \delta^{2} J(u) \geq \mathbf{0}\right\} \\
E=A^{+} \cap B^{+}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E_{1}=E \cap U_{1}
$$

Moreover, define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{v}_{0}^{*}=\alpha\left(u_{0}^{2}-\beta\right), \\
\hat{v}_{1}^{*}=\left(2 v_{0}^{*}-K\right) u_{0}+f
\end{gathered}
$$

and assume $u_{0} \in U$ is such that $\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$, and

$$
u_{0} \in E_{1}
$$

Under such hypothesis, assuming also $\hat{v}_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}, \hat{v}_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}$ and denoting

$$
J^{*}\left(v_{1}, v_{0}^{*}\right)=-F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)+G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E_{1}} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\eta=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta \leq & J(u) \\
\leq & -\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(u, 0)\right\} \\
= & -\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} u^{2} d x-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall u \in U_{1}, v_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}$.
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta \leq & J(u) \\
\leq & -\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-G(u, 0)\right\} \\
= & -\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}}\left\{\sup _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} u^{2} d x-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{*} d x\right\}\right\} \\
= & -\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall u \in U_{1}, v_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}$.
From this, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta \leq & \inf _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{-\left\langle u, v_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u)\right\} \\
& +\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right) \\
= & -F^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)+\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} G^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right) \\
= & \sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right), \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall v_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}$.
Summarizing, we have got

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \leq \inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)\right\} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as in the proof of the Theorem 6.1, we may obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \\
J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)=J\left(u_{0}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right)=\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right) .
$$

From the proof of Theorem 7.1 we may infer that $E$ is convex.
From this, since $u_{0} \in E_{1} \subset E$ and $\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ and $E$ is convex we have that, also from the Theorem 7.1,

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in E} J(u)=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Consequently, from such a result, from $\hat{v}_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}$ and (54) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E_{1}} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{v_{1}^{*} \in C^{*}}\left\{\sup _{v_{0}^{*} \in B^{*}} J^{*}\left(v_{1}^{*}, v_{0}^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& =J^{*}\left(\hat{v}_{1}^{*}, \hat{v}_{0}^{*}\right) \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 8 Numerical results

In this section we present some numerical results for the following sets

$$
\Omega=[-1 / 2,1 / 2] \times[-1 / 2,1 / 2] \times[-1 / 2,1 / 2],
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{1}=[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] .
$$

The system of equation in question, namely, the complex Ginzburg-Landau one, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\gamma \nabla^{2} \phi-2[i \rho \gamma((\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \phi)+\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} \phi)] \\
& +\gamma \rho^{2}|\mathbf{A}|^{2} \phi+\alpha|\phi|^{2} \phi-\beta \phi=0, \text { in } \Omega \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
(\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} & =K_{0} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}+\tilde{J}, \operatorname{in} \Omega, \\
\quad \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} & =\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}, \operatorname{in} \Omega_{1} \backslash \bar{\Omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\tilde{J}=-2 \operatorname{Re}\left[i \rho \gamma \phi^{*} \nabla \phi\right]-\rho^{2} \gamma|\phi|^{2} \mathbf{A} .
$$

At this point we start to describe the process concerning the numerical method of lines.
Fixing a starting point $\left\{\hat{\phi}_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)_{n}\right\}$ and considering the generalized method of lines, we discretize the system in partial finite differences in $z$, that is, we obtain $N-1$ lines which correspond to the $N-1$ partial differential equations in $(x, y)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\gamma \frac{\left(\phi_{n+1}-2 \phi_{n}+\phi_{n-1}\right)}{d^{2}}-\gamma \nabla^{2} \phi_{n}+K \phi_{n}-K\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n} \\
& -2\left[i \rho \gamma\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)_{n} \nabla\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n}+\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n}\right)\right] \\
& +\rho^{2} \gamma\left|\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)_{n}\right|^{2} \phi_{n}+\alpha\left|\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n}\right|^{2} \phi_{n}-\beta \phi_{n}=0 \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall n \in\{1, \ldots, N-1\}$, where $d=1 / N$.
With such a equation in mind, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n+1}-2 \phi_{n}+\phi_{n-1}-K \phi_{n} \frac{d}{\gamma}+T_{n}\left(\phi_{n}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}=0, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}\left(\phi_{n}\right)= & -\gamma \nabla^{2} \phi_{n}+2\left[i \rho \gamma\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)_{n} \nabla\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n}+\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)_{n}\left(\phi_{0}\right)_{n}\right)\right] \\
& -K\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n}-\rho^{2} \gamma\left|\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\right)_{n}\right|^{2} \phi_{n}-\alpha\left|\left(\hat{\phi}_{0}\right)_{n}\right|^{2} \phi_{n}+\beta \phi_{n} . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

For $n=1$, from the boundary condition

$$
(\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0
$$

at $x=-1 / 2$ we get

$$
\phi_{0}=H_{1} \phi_{1},
$$

fora n appropriate matrix $H_{1}$.
Replacing such a relation in (58), we obtain

$$
\phi_{2}-2 \phi_{1}+H_{1} \phi_{1}-K \phi_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+T_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}=0
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=a_{1} \phi_{2}+b_{1} T_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+E_{1} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=\left(2+K \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}-H_{1}\right)^{-1} \\
b_{1}=a_{1} \\
E_{1}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

For $n=2$ replacing (60) into (58), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{3}-2 \phi_{2}+a_{1} \phi_{2}+b_{1} T_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma} \\
& -K \phi_{2} \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+T_{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}=0 \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, we may write

$$
\phi_{2}=a_{2} \phi_{3}+b_{2} T\left(\phi_{2}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+E_{2},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{2}=\left(2-a_{1}+K \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}\right)^{-1}, \\
b_{2}=a_{2}\left(b_{1}+1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E_{2}=a_{2} b_{1}\left(T_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right)-T_{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma} .
$$

At this point we remark that the matrix concerning the operator $\nabla^{2}$ must take into account the boundary conditions in $(y, z)$ at each $n \in\{1, \ldots, N-1\}$.
reasoning inductively, having

$$
\phi_{n-1}=a_{n-1} \phi_{n}+b_{n-1} T_{n-1}\left(\phi_{n-1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+E_{n-1}
$$

and replacing such an relation into (58) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{n+1}-2 \phi_{n}+a_{n-1} \phi_{n}+b_{n-1} T_{n-1}\left(\phi_{n-1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+E_{n-1} \\
& -K \phi_{n} \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+T_{n}\left(\phi_{n}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}=0 . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\phi_{n}=a_{n} \phi_{n}+b_{n} T_{n}\left(\phi_{n}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+E_{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{n}=\left(2-a_{n-1}+K \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}\right)^{-1} \\
b_{n}=a_{n}\left(b_{n-1}+1\right) \\
E_{n}=a_{n} b_{n-1}\left(T_{n-1}\left(\phi_{n-1}\right)-T_{n}\left(\phi_{n}\right)\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+a_{n} E_{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, for $n=N-1$ for the boundary condition

$$
(\nabla \phi-i \rho \mathbf{A} \phi) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0
$$

at $x=1 / 2$, we get

$$
\phi_{N}=H_{2} \phi_{N-1}
$$

for an appropriate matrix $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.
Hence, from the previous results, with $n=N-1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{N-1} & =a_{N-1} \phi_{N}+b_{N-1} T_{N-1}\left(\phi_{N-1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma}+E_{N-1} \\
& \approx a_{N-1} H_{2} \phi_{N-1}+b_{N-1} T_{N-1}\left(\phi_{N-1}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma} . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this last linear partial differential equation we obtain $\phi_{N-1}$.
Having $\phi_{N-1}$ we obtain $\phi_{N-2}$ through the equation

$$
\phi_{N-2} \approx a_{N-2} \phi_{N-1}+b_{N-2} T_{N-2}\left(\phi_{N-2}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{\gamma} .
$$

Having $\phi_{N-2}$ similarly we obtain $\phi_{N-3}$ and so on up to finding $\phi_{1}$.


Figure 1: Solution $|\phi|^{2}(x, y, 0)$ for the section $\mathrm{z}=0$ for $B_{0}=0.008$

Having $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ the next step is to calculate $\mathbf{A}=\left\{\mathbf{A}_{n}\right\}$ through the linear equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{0} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}=K_{0} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}+\tilde{J}\left(\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}, \mathbf{A}\right), \text { in } \Omega, \\
\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_{0}, \text { in } \Omega_{1} \backslash \bar{\Omega} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The idea here is to fix the Gauge of London through the equation

$$
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}=0 \text { in } \Omega_{1},
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1} .
$$

Finally, we replace $\hat{\phi}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{0}$ by $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{A}_{n}\right\}$ and repeat the process until an appropriate convergence criterion is satisfied.

### 8.1 A numerical example

We present numerical results for $\gamma=\alpha=\beta=K(0)=1$. In this example

$$
\mathbf{B}_{0}(x, y, y)=B_{0}(f(x, y) \mathbf{i}+f(x, y) \mathbf{j})
$$

where

$$
f(x, y)=(-3 / 2+x)^{2}(-3 / 2+y)^{2}(-3 / 2+z)^{2}(x+3 / 2)(y+3 / 2)(z+3 / 2) / 3^{6}
$$

and

$$
B_{0}=0.008 .
$$

For the solution $|\phi(x, y, 0)|^{2}$ at the section $z=0$, please see 1 .
For the solutions for $A_{1}(x, y, 0)$ and $A_{2}(x, y, 0)$ please see figures 2 and 3 .
For $B_{0}=0.031$, for the solution $|\phi(x, y, 0)|^{2}$ at the section $z=0$, please see 4 .
For such a $B_{0}$ value, for the solutions for $A_{1}(x, y, 0)$ and $A_{2}(x, y, 0)$ please see figures 5 and 6.


Figure 2: Solution $A_{1}(x, y, 0)$ for the section $\mathrm{z}=0$ for $B_{0}=0.008$


Figure 3: Solution $A_{2}(x, y, 0)$ for the section $\mathrm{z}=0$ for $B_{0}=0.008$


Figure 4: Solution $|\phi|^{2}(x, y, 0)$ for the section $\mathrm{z}=0$ for $B_{0}=0.031$


Figure 5: Solution $A_{1}(x, y, 0)$ for the section $\mathrm{z}=0$ for $B_{0}=0.031$


Figure 6: Solution $A_{2}(x, y, 0)$ for the section $\mathrm{z}=0$ for $B_{0}=0.031$

Remark 8.1. We observe that for both values of $B_{0}$ the effect of magnetic field on the $|\phi|^{2}$ distribution is more present close to the boundaries of $\Omega$. Also as expected, the higher value of $B_{0}$ corresponds to more decreasing in the $|\phi|^{2}$ distribution on its domain. We recall that $|\phi|^{2}$ is point-wise the proportion of electrons along the sample in the super-conducting state. It is always expected for $|\phi|^{2}$ point-wise, a value between 0 and 1 , with $\phi=0$ corresponding to the normal state and $\phi=1$ corresponding to the super-conducting state.

## 9 Initial model formulation

In the present section, in a first step, we develop a new existence proof and a dual variational formulation for the Kirchhoff-Love thin plate model. Previous results on existence in mathematical elasticity and related models may be found in [8, [9, 10].

At this point we refer to the exceptionally important article "A contribution to contact problems for a class of solids and structures" by W.R. Bielski and J.J. Telega, 3], published in 1985 , as the first one to successfully apply and generalize the convex analysis approach to a model in non-convex and non-linear mechanics.

The present work is, in some sense, a kind of extension of this previous work [3] and others such as [4], which greatly influenced and inspired my work and recent book [6].

Here we highlight that such earlier results establish the complementary energy under the hypothesis of positive definiteness of the membrane force tensor at a critical point (please see [3, 4] for details).

We have obtained a dual variational formulation which allows the global optimal point in question not to be positive definite (for related results see F.Botelho [6]), but also not necessarily negative definite. The approach developed also includes sufficient conditions of optimality for the primal problem. It is worth mentioning that the standard tools of convex analysis used in this text may be found in [11, 6], for example.

At this point we start to describe the primal formulation.
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an open, bounded, connected set which represents the middle surface of a plate of thickness $h$. The boundary of $\Omega$, which is assumed to be regular (Lipschitzian), is denoted by $\partial \Omega$. The vectorial basis related to the cartesian system $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is denoted by $\left(\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{a}_{3}\right)$, where $\alpha=1,2$ (in general Greek indices stand for 1 or 2 ), and where $\mathbf{a}_{3}$ is the vector normal to $\Omega$, whereas $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ are orthogonal vectors parallel to $\Omega$. Also, $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward normal to the plate surface.

The displacements will be denoted by

$$
\hat{\mathbf{u}}=\left\{\hat{u}_{\alpha}, \hat{u}_{3}\right\}=\hat{u}_{\alpha} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}+\hat{u}_{3} \mathbf{a}_{3} .
$$

The Kirchhoff-Love relations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{u}_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=u_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-x_{3} w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)_{, \alpha} \\
& \text { and } \hat{u}_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $-h / 2 \leq x_{3} \leq h / 2$ so that we have $u=\left(u_{\alpha}, w\right) \in U$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U= & \left\{\left(u_{\alpha}, w\right) \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \times W^{2,2}(\Omega)\right. \\
& \left.u_{\alpha}=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{n}}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\} \\
= & W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \times W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is worth emphasizing that the boundary conditions here specified refer to a clamped plate.
We define the operator $\Lambda: U \rightarrow Y \times Y$, where $Y=Y^{*}=L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda(u)=\{\gamma(u), \kappa(u)\}, \\
\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u)=\frac{u_{\alpha, \beta}+u_{\beta, \alpha}}{2}+\frac{w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}}{2}, \\
\kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u)=-w_{, \alpha \beta} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The constitutive relations are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{\alpha \beta}(u)=H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \gamma_{\lambda \mu}(u),  \tag{65}\\
& M_{\alpha \beta}(u)=h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \kappa_{\lambda \mu}(u), \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

where: $\left\{H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}=\frac{h^{2}}{12} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}$, are symmetric positive definite fourth order tensors. From now on, we denote $\left\{\bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}=\left\{H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}^{-1}$ and $\left\{\bar{h}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}=\left\{h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}^{-1}$.

Furthermore $\left\{N_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ denote the membrane force tensor and $\left\{M_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ the moment one. The plate stored energy, represented by $(G \circ \Lambda): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(G \circ \Lambda)(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} N_{\alpha \beta}(u) \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha \beta}(u) \kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u) d x \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the external work, represented by $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=\langle w, P\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P, P_{1}, P_{2} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ are external loads in the directions $\mathbf{a}_{3}, \mathbf{a}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ respectively. The potential energy, denoted by $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is expressed by:

$$
J(u)=(G \circ \Lambda)(u)-F(u)
$$

Finally, we also emphasize from now on, as their meaning are clear, we may denote $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$ simply by $L^{2}$, and the respective norms by $\|\cdot\|_{2}$. Moreover derivatives are always understood in the distributional sense, $\mathbf{0}$ may denote the zero vector in appropriate Banach spaces and, the following and relating notations are used:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{, \alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}, \\
u_{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}, \\
N_{\alpha \beta, 1}=\frac{\partial N_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{1}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
N_{\alpha \beta, 2}=\frac{\partial N_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{2}} .
$$

## 10 On the existence of a global minimizer

At this point we present an existence result concerning the Kirchhoff-Love plate model.
We start with the following two remarks.
Remark 10.1. Let $\left\{P_{\alpha}\right\} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. We may easily obtain by appropriate Lebesgue integration $\left\{\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ symmetric and such that

$$
\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta, \beta}=-P_{\alpha}, \text { in } \Omega \text {. }
$$

Indeed, extending $\left\{P_{\alpha}\right\}$ to zero outside $\Omega$ if necessary, we may set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{T}_{11}(x, y)=-\int_{0}^{x} P_{1}(\xi, y) d \xi, \\
& \tilde{T}_{22}(x, y)=-\int_{0}^{y} P_{2}(x, \xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{T}_{12}(x, y)=\tilde{T}_{21}(x, y)=0, \text { in } \Omega .
$$

Thus, we may choose a $C>0$ sufficiently big, such that

$$
\left\{T_{\alpha \beta}\right\}=\left\{\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta}+C \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}
$$

is positive definite in $\Omega$, so that

$$
T_{\alpha \beta, \beta}=\tilde{T}_{\alpha \beta, \beta}=-P_{\alpha},
$$

where

$$
\left\{\delta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}
$$

is the Kronecker delta.
So, for the kind of boundary conditions of the next theorem, we do NOT have any restriction for the $\left\{P_{\alpha}\right\}$ norm.

Summarizing, the next result is new and it is really a step forward concerning the previous one in Ciarlet [9]. We emphasize this result and its proof through such a tensor $\left\{T_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ are new, even though the final part of the proof is established through a standard procedure in the calculus of variations.

About the other existence result for plates, its proof through the tensor well specified $\left\{\left(T_{0}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ is also new, even though the final part of such a proof is also performed through a standard procedure.

A similar remark is valid for the existence result for the model of shells, which is also established through a tensor $T_{0}$ properly specified.

Finally, the duality principles and concerning optimality conditions are established through new functionals. Similar results may be found in [6].

Remark 10.2. Specifically about the existence of the tensor $T_{0}$ relating Theorem ??, we recall the following well known duality principle of the calculus of variations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \inf _{T=\left\{T_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in B^{*}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\|T\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \\
= & \sup _{\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \in \tilde{U}}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla u_{\alpha} d x+\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}^{t}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}\right\} . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
B^{*}=\left\{T \in L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{4}\right): T_{\alpha \beta, \beta}+P_{\alpha}=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega, T_{\alpha \beta} n_{\beta}-P_{\alpha}^{t}=0, \text { on } \Gamma_{t}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\tilde{U}=\left\{\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right): u_{\alpha}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\} .
$$

We also recall that the existence of a unique solution for both these primal and dual convex formulations is a well known result of the duality theory in the calculus of variations. Please, see related results in [11].

A similar duality principle may be established for the case of Theorem ??.
Theorem 10.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an open, bounded, connected set with a Lipschitzian boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega=\Gamma$. Suppose $(G \circ \Lambda): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
G(\Lambda u)=G_{1}(\gamma(u))+G_{2}(\kappa(u)), \forall u \in U,
$$

where

$$
G_{1}(\gamma u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u) \gamma_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x
$$

and

$$
G_{2}(\kappa u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u) \kappa_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda(u)=(\gamma(u), \kappa(u))=\left(\left\{\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\},\left\{\kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\}\right), \\
\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u)=\frac{u_{\alpha, \beta}+u_{\beta, \alpha}}{2}+\frac{w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}}{2} \\
\kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u)=-w_{, \alpha \beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

and where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & W(\gamma(u), \kappa(u))-\left\langle P_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& -\langle w, P\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}-\left\langle P_{\alpha}^{t}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
& -\left\langle P^{t}, w\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}, \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \left\{u=\left(u_{\alpha}, w\right)=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, w\right) \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \times W^{2,2}(\Omega):\right. \\
& \left.u_{\alpha}=w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{n}}=0, \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\}, \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{t}$ and the Lebesgue measures

$$
m_{\Gamma}\left(\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{t}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
m_{\Gamma}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)>0
$$

We also define,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}(u)= & -\langle w, P\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}-\left\langle P_{\alpha}^{t}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
& -\left\langle P^{t}, w\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
\equiv & -\langle u, \mathbf{f}\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
\equiv & -\left\langle u, \mathbf{f}_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\langle u, \mathbf{f}_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\langle u, \mathbf{f}\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

$\varepsilon_{\alpha}>0, \forall \alpha \in\{1,2\}$ and

$$
\mathbf{f}=\left(P_{\alpha}, P\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Let $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
J(u)=G(\Lambda u)+F_{1}(u), \forall u \in U
$$

Assume there exists $\left\{c_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ such that $c_{\alpha \beta}>0, \forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}$ and

$$
G_{2}(\kappa(u)) \geq c_{\alpha \beta}\left\|w_{, \alpha \beta}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \forall u \in U
$$

Under such hypotheses, there exists $u_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\min _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Proof. Observe that we may find $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}=\left\{\left(T_{\alpha}\right)_{\beta}\right\}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{T}_{\alpha}=T_{\alpha \beta, \beta}=-P_{\alpha}
$$

an also such that $\left\{T_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ is positive definite and symmetric (please, see Remark 10.1).
Thus defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\alpha \beta}(u)=\frac{u_{\alpha, \beta}+u_{\beta, \alpha}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & G_{1}\left(\left\{v_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\}\right)+G_{2}(\kappa(u))-\langle u, \mathbf{f}\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
= & G_{1}\left(\left\{v_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\}\right)+G_{2}(\kappa(u))+\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta, \beta}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}-\left\langle u, \mathbf{f}_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
= & G_{1}\left(\left\{v_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\}\right)+G_{2}(\kappa(u))-\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta}, \frac{u_{\alpha, \beta}+u_{\beta, \alpha}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta} n_{\beta}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}-\left\langle u, \mathbf{f}_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
= & G_{1}\left(\left\{v_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\}\right)+G_{2}(\kappa(u))-\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta}, v_{\alpha \beta}(u)-\frac{1}{2} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}-\left\langle u, \mathbf{f}_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
& +\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta} n_{\beta}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
\geq & c_{\alpha \beta}\left\|w_{, \alpha \beta}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta}, w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}-\left\langle u, \mathbf{f}_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\varepsilon_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}+G_{1}\left(\left\{v_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\}\right) \\
& -\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta}, v_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\langle T_{\alpha \beta} n_{\beta}, u_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, since $\left\{T_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ is positive definite, clearly $J$ is bounded below.
Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in U$ be a minimizing sequence for $J$. Thus there exists $\alpha_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)=\alpha_{1} .
$$

From (74), there exists $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(w_{n}\right)_{, \alpha \beta}\right\|_{2}<K_{1}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Therefore, there exists $w_{0} \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence not relabeled,

$$
\left(w_{n}\right)_{, \alpha \beta} \rightharpoonup\left(w_{0}\right)_{, \alpha \beta}, \text { weakly in } L^{2},
$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Moreover, also up to a subsequence not relabeled,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{n}\right)_{, \alpha} \rightarrow\left(w_{0}\right)_{, \alpha} \text {, strongly in } L^{2} \text { and } L^{4}, \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall \alpha, \in\{1,2\}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Also from (74), there exists $K_{2}>0$ such that,

$$
\left\|\left(v_{n}\right)_{\alpha \beta}(u)\right\|_{2}<K_{2}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and thus, from this, (73) and (75), we may infer that there exists $K_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(u_{n}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}+\left(u_{n}\right)_{\beta, \alpha}\right\|_{2}<K_{3}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From this and Korn's inequality, there exists $K_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)} \leq K_{4}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

So, up to a subsequence not relabeled, there exists $\left\{\left(u_{0}\right)_{\alpha}\right\} \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, such that

$$
\left(u_{n}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}+\left(u_{n}\right)_{\beta, \alpha} \rightharpoonup\left(u_{0}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}+\left(u_{0}\right)_{\beta, \alpha}, \text { weakly in } L^{2},
$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and,

$$
\left(u_{n}\right)_{\alpha} \rightarrow\left(u_{0}\right)_{\alpha}, \text { strongly in } L^{2},
$$

$\forall \alpha \in\{1,2\}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Moreover, the boundary conditions satisfied by the subsequences are also satisfied for $w_{0}$ and $u_{0}$ in a trace sense, so that

$$
u_{0}=\left(\left(u_{0}\right)_{\alpha}, w_{0}\right) \in U .
$$

From this, up to a subsequence not relabeled, we get

$$
\gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup \gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(u_{0}\right), \text { weakly in } L^{2},
$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}$, and

$$
\kappa_{\alpha \beta}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup \kappa_{\alpha \beta}\left(u_{0}\right), \text { weakly in } L^{2},
$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}$.

Therefore, from the convexity of $G_{1}$ in $\gamma$ and $G_{2}$ in $\kappa$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\inf _{u \in U} J(u) & =\alpha_{1} \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \geq J\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\min _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

The proof is complete.

## 11 An analogous model in elasticity

In this section we present similar results to those of previous sections for an elastic plate model.

The first analogous result is summarized by the following theorem.
Theorem 11.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u) \gamma_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u) \kappa_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x \\
& -\langle w, P\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}},  \tag{77}\\
u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)= & \left(u_{\alpha}, w\right) \in U=W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \times W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u)=\frac{u_{\alpha, \beta}+u_{\beta, \alpha}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}
$$

and

$$
\kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u)=-w_{\alpha \beta} .
$$

Moreover $P, P_{\alpha} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that either $P>0$ or $P<0$ in $\Omega$. Moreover $\left\{H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}$ is a constant symmetric fourth order tensor such that

$$
H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} t_{\alpha \beta} t_{\lambda \mu} \geq c_{0} t_{\alpha \beta} t_{\alpha \beta}
$$

$\forall$ symmetric $\left\{t_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, for some $c_{0}>0$.
Also,

$$
\left\{h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}=\left\{\frac{\hat{c}_{1} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}}{12}\right\},
$$

for some $\hat{c}_{1}>0$.

Suppose $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$are such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\delta^{2} J\left(u, \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right)+K \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d x+K^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right|^{2} d x} \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}|w|\right)|\varphi| d x+c_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right| d x, \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall u \in U,\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Define also,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A^{+}=\left\{u \in U: \int_{\Omega}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}|w|\right)|\varphi| d x+c_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right| d x\right. \\
\left.\geq \sqrt{K \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d x+K^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right|^{2} d x}, \forall\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\},  \tag{79}\\
B^{+}=\{u \in U: P w \geq 0, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
E=A^{+} \cap B^{+} .
$$

Under such hypotheses,

$$
\inf _{u \in U} J(u)=\inf _{u \in B^{+}} J(u)
$$

and $E$ is convex.
Proof. Let $\alpha_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$
\alpha_{1}=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$. By density there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \cap U$ such that

$$
\alpha_{1} \leq J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon .
$$

Define

$$
\hat{w}_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}w_{\varepsilon}(x), & \text { if } w_{\varepsilon}(x) P(x) \geq 0  \tag{80}\\ -w_{\varepsilon}(x), & \text { if } w_{\varepsilon}(x) P(x)<0\end{cases}
$$

$\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
Hence

$$
\left\langle\hat{w}_{\varepsilon}, P\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \geq\left\langle w_{\varepsilon}, P\right\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
J\left(\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

where

$$
\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}=\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}, \hat{w}_{\varepsilon}\right) \in B^{+} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\alpha_{1} \leq J\left(\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq J\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon .
$$

From this we obtain,

$$
\alpha_{1} \leq \inf _{u \in B^{+}} J(u)<\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon .
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we may infer that

$$
\inf _{u \in B^{+}} J(u)=\alpha_{1}=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Finally, let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in E$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$. Denoting

$$
H\left(u, \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right)=\int_{\Omega}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}|w|\right)|\varphi| d x+c_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right| d x
$$

since $u_{1}, u_{2} \in B^{+}$we have that

$$
\operatorname{sign} w_{1}=\operatorname{sign} w_{2}, \text { in } \bar{\Omega} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}, \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right) \\
= & \lambda H\left(u_{1}, \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right)+(1-\lambda) H\left(u_{2}, \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right) \\
\geq & (\lambda+(1-\lambda)) \sqrt{K \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d x+K^{\alpha}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right|^{2} d x \\
= & \sqrt{K \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d x+K^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right|^{2} d x}, \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

From this we may easily infer that

$$
\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2} \in A^{+} \cap B^{+}=E
$$

so that $E$ is convex.
The proof is complete.
Theorem 11.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u) \gamma_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u) \kappa_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x \\
& -\langle w, P\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}  \tag{82}\\
u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)= & \left(u_{\alpha}, w\right) \in U=W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \times W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u)=\frac{u_{\alpha, \beta}+u_{\beta, \alpha}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}
$$

and

$$
\kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u)=-w_{\alpha \beta} .
$$

We also denote $Y_{1}=Y_{1}^{*}=L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right), Y_{2}=Y_{2}^{*}=L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ where generically,

$$
N=\left\{N_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in Y_{1}^{*} \text { and } Q=\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\} \in Y_{2}^{*} .
$$

Moreover $P, P_{\alpha} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\left\{H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}$ is a constant symmetric fourth order tensor such that

$$
H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} t_{\alpha \beta} t_{\lambda \mu} \geq c_{0} t_{\alpha \beta} t_{\alpha \beta},
$$

$\forall$ symmetric $\left\{t_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, for some $c_{0}>0$.
Also,

$$
\left\{h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}=\left\{\frac{\hat{c}_{1} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}}{12}\right\},
$$

for some $\hat{c}_{1}>0$.
Define also,

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{0}^{*}=\left\{\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\} \in Y_{2}^{*}:\|Q\|_{\infty} \leq K_{2}\right\}, \\
B^{*}=\left\{Q \in B_{0}^{*} \text { such that there exists } \hat{u} \in U_{1}^{0} \cap U_{2}\right. \\
\text { such that } \left.F^{*}(Q)=\left\langle\hat{w}, \alpha, Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(\hat{u})\right\} \tag{83}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $K_{2}>0$ is such that

$$
\frac{-8 K_{2}^{2}}{K}\left\{\delta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}+\left\{\bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}>\mathbf{0}
$$

in an appropriate tensor sense, and where

$$
\left\{\bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}=\left\{H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\right\}^{-1}
$$

Moreover define,

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}^{*}=\left\{\left\{N_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in Y_{1}^{*}:\left\{-N_{\alpha \beta}+K \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}>K \delta_{\alpha \beta} / 2\right\}, \\
B_{2}^{*}=\left\{\left\{N_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in Y_{1}^{*}: N_{\alpha \beta, \beta}+P_{\alpha}=0, \text { in } \Omega\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and $C^{*}=B_{1}^{*} \cap B_{2}^{*}$.
For each $u \in U$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{1}(u)= & \sup _{N \in Y_{1}^{*}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} N_{\alpha \beta} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, N_{\alpha \beta, \beta}+P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right\},  \tag{84}\\
L_{2}(u)= & \sup _{N \in B^{*}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} N_{\alpha \beta} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x-\left\langle u_{\alpha}, N_{\alpha \beta, \beta}+P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right\}, \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
U_{1}=\left\{u \in U:\|u\|_{2, \infty} \leq \sqrt[4]{K} \text { and } L_{1}(u)=L_{2}(u)\right\}
$$

Furthermore, define $F: U_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
F(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} h_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \kappa_{\alpha \beta}(u) \kappa_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x \\
& +\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{,_{\alpha}} w_{, \alpha} d x-\langle w, P\rangle_{L^{2}}, \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

$G: U_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
G(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(u) \gamma_{\lambda \mu}(u) d x \\
& +\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{{ }_{, \alpha}} w_{, \alpha} d x \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

$F^{*}: Y_{2}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}(Q)=\sup _{u \in U}\left\{\left\langle w_{, \alpha}, Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-F(u)\right\} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G^{*}: Y_{1}^{*} \times Y_{2}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{*}(Q, N)= & \sup _{v_{2} \in Y_{2}}\left\{\operatorname { i n f } _ { v _ { 1 } \in Y _ { 1 } } \left\{\left\langle\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\left(v_{1}\right)_{\alpha \beta}, N_{\alpha \beta}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\left[\left(v_{1}\right)_{\alpha \beta}+\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\beta}\right]\left[\left(v_{1}\right)_{\lambda \mu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\lambda}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\mu}\right] d x \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\beta} d x\right\}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \overline{N_{\alpha \beta}^{K}} Q_{\alpha} Q_{\beta} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x, \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\left\{N_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \in B^{*}$.
Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\overline{N_{\alpha \beta}^{K}}\right\}=\left\{N_{\alpha \beta}-K \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}^{-1} . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally define,

$$
J^{*}(Q, N)=-F^{*}(Q)+G^{*}(Q, N)
$$

Suppose $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$are such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\delta^{2} J\left(u, \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right)+K \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d x+K^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right|^{2} d x} \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}|w|\right)|\varphi| d x+c_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right| d x \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall u \in U,\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

## Define also

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{+}=\left\{u \in U: \int_{\Omega}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}|w|\right)|\varphi| d x+c_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right| d x\right. \\
\left.\geq \sqrt{K \int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d x+K^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right|^{2} d x}, \forall\left(\varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \\
B^{+}=\{u \in U: P w \geq 0, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E=A^{+} \cap B^{+}
$$

Let $u_{0} \in U$ be such that $u_{0} \in U_{1} \cap E$ and $\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$.
Defining

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(N_{0}\right)_{\alpha \beta}=H_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}\left(\frac{\left(u_{0}\right)_{\lambda, \mu}+\left(u_{0}\right)_{\mu, \lambda}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{0}\right)_{, \alpha}\left(w_{0}\right)_{, \beta}\right), \\
\left(Q_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=-\left(N_{0}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left(w_{0}\right)_{\beta}+K\left(w_{0}\right)_{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

suppose

$$
\left(Q_{0}, N_{0}\right) \in B^{*} \times C^{*}
$$

Under such hypotheses, $E$ is convex and

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{Q \in B^{*}} \sup _{N \in C^{*}} J^{*}(Q, N) \\
& =J^{*}\left(Q_{0}, N_{0}\right) . \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\alpha_{1}=\inf _{u \in U} J(u)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} \leq & -\left\langle w_{, \alpha} Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{N \in C^{*}}\left\{\left\langle w_{, \alpha} Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right. \\
& -\left\langle u_{\alpha}, N_{\alpha \beta, \beta}+P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} N_{\alpha \beta} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \beta} d x \\
& \left.-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{, \alpha} w_{, \alpha} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x\right\} \\
\leq & -\left\langle w_{, \alpha} Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& \sup _{N \in C^{*}}\left\{\operatorname { s u p } _ { v _ { 2 } \in Y _ { 2 } } \left\{\left\langle\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} N_{\alpha \beta}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\beta} d x\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha}\left(v_{2}\right)_{\alpha} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}^{\bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu}} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x\right\}\right\} \\
= & -\left\langle w_{, \alpha} Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u) \\
& +\sup _{N \in C^{*}}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{N_{\alpha \beta}^{K}}{} Q_{\alpha} Q_{\beta} d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall u \in U_{1}, Q \in B^{*}$.
Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1} \leq & \inf _{u \in U_{1}}\left\{-\left\langle w_{, \alpha} Q_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+F(u)\right\} \\
& +\sup _{N \in C^{*}}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \overline{N_{\alpha \beta}^{K}} Q_{\alpha} Q_{\beta} d x\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \bar{H}_{\alpha \beta \lambda \mu} N_{\alpha \beta} N_{\lambda \mu} d x\right\} \\
= & -F^{*}\left(Q^{*}\right)+\sup _{N \in C^{*}} G^{*}(Q, N) \\
= & \sup _{N \in C^{*}} J^{*}(Q, N) \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall Q \in B^{*}$.
Summarizing, we have got

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \leq \inf _{Q \in B^{*}}\left\{\sup _{N \in C^{*}} J^{*}(Q, N)\right\} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as in the proof of the Theorem 6.1, we may obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta J^{*}\left(Q_{0}, N_{0}\right)-\left\langle\left(u_{0}\right)_{\alpha},\left(N_{0}\right)_{\alpha \beta, \beta}+P_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\mathbf{0} \\
J^{*}\left(Q_{0}, N_{0}\right)=J\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{96}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{*}\left(Q_{0}, N_{0}\right)=\sup _{N \in C^{*}} J^{*}\left(Q_{0}, N\right) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of Theorem 11.1 we may infer that $E$ is convex.
From this, since $u_{0} \in U_{1} \cap E$ and $\delta J\left(u_{0}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ we have that, also from the Theorem 11.1.

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in E} J(u)=\inf _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Consequently, from such a result, from $Q_{0} \in B^{*}$, (95), (96) and (97) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\inf _{u \in E} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \\
& =\inf _{Q \in B^{*}} \sup _{N \in C^{*}} J^{*}(Q, N) \\
& =J^{*}\left(Q_{0}, N_{0}\right) \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 12 An auxiliary theoretical result in analysis

In this section we state and prove some theoretical results in analysis which will be used in the subsequent sections.

Theorem 12.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Assume $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ be such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1,4} \leq K, \forall \in \mathbb{N},
$$

for some $K>0$.
Under such hypotheses there exists $u_{0} \in W^{1,4}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}, \text { weakly in } W^{1,4}(\Omega), \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { uniformly in } \bar{\Omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}, \text { strongly in } W^{1,3}(\Omega) .
$$

Proof. Since $W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, from the Kakutani and Sobolev Imbedding theorems, up to a not relabeled there exists $u_{0} \in W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}, \text { weakly in } W^{1,4}(\Omega),
$$

and

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}, \text { strongly in } L^{4}(\Omega)
$$

From the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem, since for $m=1, p=4$ and $n=3$, we have $m p>n$, the following imbedding is compact,

$$
W^{1,4}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset C(\bar{\Omega}),
$$

and again up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { uniformly in } \bar{\Omega},
$$

and also

$$
u_{0} \in C(\bar{\Omega}),
$$

so that

$$
u_{0} \in W^{1,4}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$. Hence, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n>n_{0}$, then

$$
\left|u_{n}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|<\varepsilon, \text { for almost all } x \in \Omega .
$$

Let

$$
\varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

Choose $j \in\{1,2,3\}$.
Therefore, we may obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right| & =\left|\left\langle u_{n}-u_{0}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right| \\
& \leq\langle | u_{n}-u_{0}\left|,\left|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}}\right|\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left\|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|_{1}, \forall n>n_{0} . \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

From this we may infer that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=0, \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

At this point we claim that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=0, \forall \varphi \in C_{c}(\Omega) .
$$

To prove such a claim, let $\varphi \in C_{c}(\Omega)$.
Let a new $\varepsilon>0$ be given.
Hence, for each $r>0$ there exists $n_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n>n_{r}$, then

$$
\left\|u_{n}-u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon r .
$$

Observe that by density, we may obtain $\varphi_{1} \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{1}\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right| \\
\leq & \langle | \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\left|,\left|\varphi-\varphi_{1}\right|\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& +\left|\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right| \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right| d x\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& +\left|\left\langle u_{n}-u_{0}, \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right| \\
\leq & 2 K_{1} \varepsilon+\varepsilon\left\|\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|_{1} \\
= & \left(2 K_{1}+\left\|\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|_{1}\right) \varepsilon, \forall n>n_{1} . \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{1}>0$ is such that

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{1}<K_{1},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}<K_{1}
$$

and

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1}<K_{1},\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}<K_{1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From this we may infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=0, \forall \varphi \in C_{c}(\Omega) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the claim holds.
Since $\Omega$ is bounded, we have $W^{1,4}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.
From the Gauss-Green Formula for such a latter space, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{\partial u_{n}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}\right| \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left|\int_{B_{r}(x)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}(y)}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}(y)}{\partial x_{j}}\right) d y\right|}{m\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}\right) \\
\leq & \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left|\int_{B_{r}(x)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}(y)}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}(y)}{\partial x_{j}}\right) d y\right|}{m\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}\right) \\
= & \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\int_{B_{r}(x)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}(y)}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}(y)}{\partial x_{j}}\right) d y\right|}{m\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}\right) \\
= & \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\int_{\partial B_{r}(x)}\left(u_{n}(y)-u_{0}(y)\right) \nu_{i} d S(y)\right|}{m\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}\right) \\
= & \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\left(u_{n}(\tilde{y})-u_{0}(\tilde{y})\right) \int_{\partial B_{r}(x)} \nu_{i} d S(y)\right|}{m\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}\right) \\
\leq & \varepsilon \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{\partial B_{r}(x)} r\left|\nu_{i}\right| d S(y)}{m\left(B_{r}(x)\right)} \\
\leq & K_{1} \varepsilon, \text { for almost all } x \in \Omega, \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{y} \in \overline{B_{r}(x)}$ depends on $r$ and $n$.
Therefore, we may infer that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial u_{n}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial u_{0}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}, \text { a. e. in } \Omega .
$$

Here we define

$$
A_{n, \varepsilon}=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|\frac{\partial u_{n}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}\right|<\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Define also

$$
B_{n}=\cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k, \varepsilon} .
$$

Observe that for almost all $x \in \Omega$, there exists $n_{x} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n>n_{x}$, then

$$
\left|\frac{\partial u_{n}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}\right|<\varepsilon,
$$

so that almost all $x \in B_{n}, \forall n>n_{x}$.
From this

$$
\Omega=\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}\right) \cup B_{0},
$$

where $m\left(B_{0}\right)=0$.
Also

$$
\cup_{k=1}^{n} B_{k}=B_{n},
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m\left(B_{n}\right)=m(\Omega) .
$$

Observe that there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n>n_{0}$, then

$$
\sqrt[4]{m\left(\Omega \backslash B_{n}\right)}<\varepsilon / K^{3} .
$$

Consequently fixing $n>n_{0}$, from the generalized Hölder inequality, if $m>n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right|^{3} d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{n}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right|^{3} d x \\
& +\int_{B_{n}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right|^{3} d x \\
\leq & \left\|\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|_{4}^{3}\left\|\chi_{\Omega \backslash B_{n}}\right\|_{4}+\varepsilon^{3} m(\Omega) \\
\leq & \varepsilon+\varepsilon^{3} m(\Omega) . \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

Summarizing, we may infer that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right|^{3} d x \rightarrow 0, \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty, \forall j \in\{1,2,3\} .
$$

so that

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}, \text { strongly in } W^{1,3}(\Omega)
$$

The proof is complete.

## 13 An existence result for a model in elasticity

In this section we present an existence result for a non-linear elasticity model.
Theorem 13.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l}\left(\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{u_{m, i} u_{m, j}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{u_{k, l}+u_{l, k}}{2}+\frac{u_{p, k} u_{p, l}}{2}\right) d x \\
& -\left\langle P_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}, \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U=W_{0}^{1,4}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right), P_{i} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \forall i \in\{1,2,3\}$.
Moreover, $\left\{H_{i j k l}\right\}$ is a fourth order constant tensor such that

$$
H_{i j k l} t_{i j} t_{k l} \geq c_{0} t_{i j} t_{i j}, \forall \text { symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}
$$

and

$$
H_{i j k l} t_{m i} t_{m j} t_{k p} t_{l p} \geq c_{1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} t_{i j}^{4}, \forall \text { symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2},
$$

for some real constants $c_{0}>0, c_{1}>0$.
Under such hypotheses, there exists $u_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\min _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Proof. First observe that we may find a positive definite tensor $\left\{T_{i j}\right\} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$ such that

$$
T_{i j, j}+P_{i}=0, \text { in } \Omega
$$

Hence, denoting

$$
v_{i j}(u)=\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{u_{m, i} u_{m, j}}{2},
$$

we have

$$
\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}=v_{i j}(u)-\frac{u_{m, i} u_{m, j}}{2},
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x+\left\langle T_{i j, j}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x-\left\langle T_{i j}, \frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x-\left\langle T_{i j}, v_{i j}(u)-\frac{u_{m i} u_{m j}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x-\left\langle T_{i j}, v_{i j}(u)\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle T_{i j}, \frac{u_{m i} u_{m j}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}, \forall u \in U . \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

From this and the hypotheses on $\left\{H_{i j k l}\right\}$ it is clear that $J$ is bounded below so that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\alpha=\inf _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset U$ be a minimizing sequence for $J$, that is, let such a sequence be such that

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Also from the hypotheses on $\left\{H_{i j k l}\right\}$ and the Poincaré inequality, we have that there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1,4} \leq K, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From the auxiliary result in the last section, there exists $u_{0} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \cap W^{1,4}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}, \text { strongly in } W^{1,3}\left(\Omega: \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) .
$$

From such a latter result, up to a not relabeled subsequence, we may obtain

$$
\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{i, j}+\left(u_{n}\right)_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{m, i}\left(u_{n}\right)_{m, j}}{2} \rightharpoonup \frac{\left(u_{0}\right)_{i, j}+\left(u_{0}\right)_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{\left(u_{0}\right)_{m, i}\left(u_{0}\right)_{m, j}}{2}, \text { weakly in } L^{3 / 2}(\Omega) .
$$

Since $L^{3 / 2}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, from the convexity of $J$ in $v_{i j}(u)$ and since $\left\{T_{i j}\right\}$ is positive definite, we have that

$$
\alpha=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right) \geq J\left(u_{0}\right),
$$

so that

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\min _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

The proof is complete.

## 14 Another existence result for a model in elasticity

In this section we present another existence result for a similar (to the previous one) nonlinear elasticity model.

Theorem 14.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by $\partial \Omega$.

Consider the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l}\left(\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{u_{m, i} u_{m, j}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{u_{k, l}+u_{l, k}}{2}+\frac{u_{p, k} u_{p, l}}{2}\right) d x \\
& -\left\langle P_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle P_{i}^{t}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial \Omega=\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{t} \\
\Gamma_{0} \cap \Gamma_{t}=\emptyset
\end{gathered}
$$

$m_{\Gamma}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)>0, m_{\Gamma}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)>0, P_{i} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,2}(\Omega), P_{i}^{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right), \forall i \in\{1,2,3\}$.
Moreover

$$
U=\left\{u \in W^{1,4}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right): u=\hat{u}_{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\},
$$

where we assume $\hat{u}_{0} \in W^{1,4}(\Omega)$.
Furthermore, $\left\{H_{i j k l}\right\}$ is a fourth order symmetric constant tensor such that

$$
H_{i j k l} t_{i j} t_{k l} \geq c_{0} t_{i j} t_{i j}, \forall \text { symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}
$$

and

$$
H_{i j k l} t_{m i} t_{m j} t_{k p} t_{l p} \geq c_{1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} t_{i j}^{4}, \forall \text { symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2},
$$

for some real constants $c_{0}>0, c_{1}>0$.
Under such hypotheses, there exists $u_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\min _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Proof. First observe that we may find a positive definite tensor $\left\{T_{i j}\right\} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}\right)$ such that

$$
T_{i j, j}+P_{i}=0, \text { in } \Omega .
$$

Hence, denoting

$$
v_{i j}(u)=\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{u_{m, i} u_{m, j}}{2},
$$

we have

$$
\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}=v_{i j}(u)-\frac{u_{m, i} u_{m, j}}{2},
$$

so that, from this, the Gauss-Green formula and the Trace Theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x+\left\langle T_{i j, j}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle P_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle P_{i}^{t}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x-\left\langle T_{i j}, \frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& +\left\langle u_{i}, T_{i j} \nu_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}+\left\langle\left(u_{0}\right)_{i}, T_{i j} \nu_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}-\left\langle P_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle P_{i}^{t}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x-\left\langle T_{i j}, v_{i j}(u)-\frac{u_{m i} u_{m j}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& +\left\langle u_{i}, T_{i j} \nu_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)}+\left\langle\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right)_{i}, T_{i j} \nu_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}-\left\langle P_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}-\left\langle P_{i}^{t}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{i j k l} v_{i j}(u) v_{k l}(u) d x-\left\langle T_{i j}, v_{i j}(u)\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle T_{i j}, \frac{u_{m i} u_{m j}}{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& -K_{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{1,4}-K_{3}\left\|\hat{u}_{0}\right\|_{1,4}, \forall u \in U, \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

for some appropriate $K_{3}>0$.
From this, the hypotheses on $\left\{H_{i j k l}\right\}$ and a Poincaré type inequality, since $\left\{T_{i j}\right\}$ is positive definite, it is clear that $J$ is bounded below so that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\alpha=\inf _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset U$ be a minimizing sequence for $J$, that is, let such a sequence be such that

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Also from the hypotheses on $\left\{H_{i j k l}\right\}$ and a Poincaré type inequality, we have that there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1,4} \leq K, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

From the auxiliary result in the last section, there exists $u_{0} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \cap W^{1,4}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}, \text { strongly in } W^{1,3}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) .
$$

From such a latter result, up to a not relabeled subsequence, we may obtain

$$
\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{i, j}+\left(u_{n}\right)_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{\left(u_{n}\right)_{m, i}\left(u_{n}\right)_{m, j}}{2} \rightharpoonup \frac{\left(u_{0}\right)_{i, j}+\left(u_{0}\right)_{j, i}}{2}+\frac{\left(u_{0}\right)_{m, i}\left(u_{0}\right)_{m, j}}{2}, \text { weakly in } L^{3 / 2}(\Omega) .
$$

Also from the continuity of the Trace operator we get

$$
u_{0}=\hat{u}_{0}, \text { on } \Gamma_{0},
$$

so that $u_{0} \in U$.
Since $L^{3 / 2}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, from the convexity of $J$ in $\left\{v_{i j}(u)\right\}$ and since $\left\{T_{i j}\right\}$ is positive definite, we have that

$$
\alpha=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{n}\right) \geq J\left(u_{0}\right),
$$

so that,

$$
J\left(u_{0}\right)=\min _{u \in U} J(u) .
$$

The proof is complete.
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