

# On duality principles for non-convex optimization with applications to superconductivity and some existence results for a model in non-linear elasticity

Fabio Silva Botelho  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Federal University of Santa Catarina - UFSC  
 Florianópolis, SC - Brazil

## Abstract

This article develops duality principles applicable to the Ginzburg-Landau system in superconductivity. The main results are obtained through standard tools of convex analysis, functional analysis, calculus of variations and duality theory. In the second section, we present the general result for the case including a magnetic field and the respective magnetic potential in a local extremal context. Finally, in the last section we develop some global existence results for a model in elasticity.

## 1 Introduction

In this work we present a theorem which represents a duality principle suitable for a large class of non-convex variational problems.

At this point we refer to the exceptionally important article "A contribution to contact problems for a class of solids and structures" by W.R. Bielski and J.J. Telega, [4], published in 1985, as the first one to successfully apply and generalize the convex analysis approach to a model in non-convex and non-linear mechanics.

The present work is, in some sense, a kind of extension of this previous work [4] combined with a D.C. approach presented in [10] and others such as [3], which greatly influenced and inspired my work and recent book [6].

First, we recall that about the year 1950 Ginzburg and Landau introduced a theory to model the super-conducting behavior of some types of materials below a critical temperature  $T_c$ , which depends on the material in question. They postulated the free density energy may be written close to  $T_c$  as

$$F_s(T) = F_n(T) + \frac{\hbar}{4m} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|_2^2 dx + \frac{\alpha(T)}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^4 dx - \frac{\beta(T)}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^2 dx,$$

where  $\phi$  is a complex parameter,  $F_n(T)$  and  $F_s(T)$  are the normal and super-conducting free energy densities, respectively (see [2] for details). Here  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  denotes the super-conducting sample with a boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma$ . The complex function  $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$  is intended to minimize  $F_s(T)$  for a fixed temperature  $T$ .

Denoting  $\alpha(T)$  and  $\beta(T)$  simply by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are given by:

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\hbar}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \alpha|\phi|^2\phi - \beta\phi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

This last system of equations is well known as the Ginzburg-Landau (G-L) one. In the physics literature is also well known the G-L energy in which a magnetic potential here denoted by  $\mathbf{A}$  is included. The functional in question is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\psi, \mathbf{A}) &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\text{curl } \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}_0|_2^2 dx + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m} \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla\phi - \frac{2ie}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}\phi \right|_2^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^4 dx - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Considering its minimization on the space  $U$ , where

$$U = W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \times W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3),$$

through the physics notation the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar\nabla - \frac{2e}{c}\mathbf{A})^2 \phi + \alpha|\phi|^2\phi - \beta\phi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ (i\hbar\nabla\phi + \frac{2e}{c}\mathbf{A}\phi) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{curl}(\text{curl } \mathbf{A}) = \text{curl } \mathbf{B}_0 + \frac{4\pi}{c} \tilde{\mathbf{J}}, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \text{curl}(\text{curl } \mathbf{A}) = \text{curl } \mathbf{B}_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = -\frac{ie\hbar}{2m} (\phi^* \nabla\phi - \phi \nabla\phi^*) - \frac{2e^2}{mc} |\phi|^2 \mathbf{A}.$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$$

is a known applied magnetic field.

At this point, we emphasize to denote generically

$$\langle g, h \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\Omega} \text{Re}[g]\text{Re}[h] dx - \int_{\Omega} \text{Im}[g]\text{Im}[h] dx,$$

$\forall h, g \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ , where  $\text{Re}[a], \text{Im}[a]$  denote the real and imaginary parts of  $a$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$ , respectively.

Moreover, existence of a global solution for a similar problem has been proved in [7].

Finally, for the subsequent theoretical results we assume a simplified atomic units context.

**Remark 1.1.** *At this point of our analysis and on, we consider a finite dimensional model version in a finite differences or finite elements context, even though the spaces and operators have not been relabeled. So, also in such a context, the expression*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{2v_0^* - K} dx,$$

*indeed means*

$$(v_1^*)^T (2v_0^* - K I_d)^{-1} v_1^*$$

*where  $I_d$  denotes the identity matrix  $n \times n$  and*

$$2v_0^* - K I_d$$

*denotes the diagonal matrix with the vector*

$$\{2v_0^*(i) - K\}_{n \times 1}$$

*as diagonal, for some appropriate  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  defined in the discretization process.*

## 2 A brief initial description of our proposal

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $U = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and let  $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a functional defined by

$$J(u) = G_1(u) + F_1(u) - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2},$$

where

$$G_1(u) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx,$$

and

$$F_1(u) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 - \beta)^2 \, dx,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are positive real constants and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Observe that there exists  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\eta = \inf_{u \in U} J(u) = J(u_0),$$

for some  $u_0 \in U$ . (We recall that the existence of a global minimizer may be proven by the direct method of the calculus of variations).

In our approach, we combine the ideas of J.J. Telega [3, 4] generalizing the approach in Ekeland and Temam [8] for establishing the dual functionals through the Legendre transform definition, with a D.C. approach for non-convex optimization developed by J.F. Toland, [10].

At this point we would define the functionals  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$F(u) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx,$$

and

$$G(u, v) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 - \beta + v)^2 dx + \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= F(u) - G(u, 0) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 - \beta)^2 dx - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where such a functional, for a large  $K > 0$ , is represented as a difference of two convex functionals in a large domain region proportional to  $K > 0$ .

The second step is to define the corresponding dual functionals  $F^*$ ,  $G^*$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(v_1^*) &= \sup_{u \in U} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - F(u) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{K - \gamma \nabla^2} dx \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(v_1^*, v_0^*) &= \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in L^2} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle v, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} - G(u, v) \} \\ &= \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in L^2} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle v, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 - \beta + v)^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2} \} \\ &= \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{w \in L^2} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle w - u^2 + \beta, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2} \} \\ &= \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{w \in L^2} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle w, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx - \langle u^2, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\Omega} v_0^* dx \} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^* - f)^2}{2v_0^* - K} dx - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (v_0^*)^2 dx \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\Omega} v_0^* dx, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

if  $-2v_0^* + K > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Defining

$$E = \{v_0^* \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ such that } -2v_0^* + K > K/2, \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}\},$$

where  $K > 0$  is such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} > \frac{4}{K},$$

it may be proven that for  $K > 0$  sufficiently large,

$$\inf_{v_1^* \in L^2} \sup_{v_0^* \in E} \{F^*(v_1^*) - G^*(v_1^*, v_0^*)\} \geq \inf_{u \in U} J(u).$$

Equality concerning this last result may be obtained in a local extremal context and, under appropriate optimality conditions to be specified, also for global optimization.

At this point we highlight the maximization in  $v_0^*$  with the restriction  $v_0^* \in E$  does not demand a Lagrange multiplier, since for the value of  $K > 0$  specified the restriction is not active.

We emphasize this approach is original and substantially different from all those, of other authors, so far known.

Finally, for a more general model, in the next section we formally prove that a critical point for the primal formulation necessarily corresponds to a critical point of the dual formulation. The reciprocal may be also proven.

### 3 The duality principle for a local extremal context

In this section we state and prove the concerning duality principle. We recall the existence of a global minimizer for the related functional has been proven in [7]. At this point it is also worth mentioning an extensive study on duality theory and applications for such and similar models is developed in [6].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega, \Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be open, bounded and connected sets with regular (Lipschitzian) boundaries denoted by  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega_1$  respectively.*

*Assume  $\Omega_1$  is convex and  $\overline{\Omega} \subset \Omega_1$ . Consider the functional  $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} J(\phi, \mathbf{A}) &= \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\phi - i\rho\mathbf{A}\phi|^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\phi|^2 - \beta)^2 dx + \frac{1}{8\pi} \| \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}_0 \|_{0, \Omega_1}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho$  are positive real constants,  $i$  is the imaginary unit and

$$U = U_1 \times U_2,$$

$$U_1 = C^1(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{C}), \quad U_2 = C^1(\overline{\Omega_1}; \mathbb{R}^3),$$

both with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1, \infty}$ .

Moreover,

$$\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is the order parameter,

$$\mathbf{A} : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is the magnetic potential and  $\mathbf{B}_0 \in C^1(\overline{\Omega_1}; \mathbb{R}^3)$  is an external magnetic field.

Defining,

$$B_2 = \{\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega_1}; \mathbb{R}^3) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_1, \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1\},$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  denotes the outward normal to  $\partial\Omega_1$ , suppose  $(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{C}) \times B_2$  is such that

$$\delta J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

and

$$\delta^2 J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) > \mathbf{0}.$$

Denoting also generically

$$(\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A})^*(\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}) = |\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}|^2,$$

define  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(\phi, \mathbf{A}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\phi - i\rho\mathbf{A}\phi|^2 dx + \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^2 dx,$$

$G : U \times C(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G(\phi, \mathbf{A}, v) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\phi|^2 - \beta + v)^2 dx - \frac{1}{8\pi} \| \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}_0 \|_{0, \Omega_1}^2 + \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^2 dx, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) &= \sup_{\phi \in U_1} \{ \langle \phi, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - F(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{(\gamma|\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}|^2 + K)} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}) &= \sup_{\phi \in U_1} \inf_{v \in C(\Omega)} \{ \langle \phi, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle v, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} - G(\phi, \mathbf{A}, v) \} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{2v_0^* - K} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (v_0^*)^2 dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} v_0^* dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \| \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}_0 \|_{0, \Omega_1}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

if

$$-2v_0^* + K > 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}) &= -F^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) + \hat{G}^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{(\gamma|\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}|^2 + K)} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{2v_0^* - K} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (v_0^*)^2 dx - \beta \int_{\Omega} v_0^* dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \| \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}_0 \|_{0, \Omega_1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, define

$$\hat{v}_0^* = \alpha(|\phi_0|^2 - \beta),$$

$$\hat{v}_1^* = (2\hat{v}_0^* - K)\phi_0,$$

and

$$E = \{v_0^* \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : -2v_0^* + K > K/2, \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}\}.$$

Under such hypotheses and assuming also

$$\hat{v}_0^* \in E,$$

we have

$$\delta J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

$$J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) = J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0).$$

Moreover, defining

$$J_1^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) = \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}),$$

for  $K > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} > \frac{4}{K}$$

and sufficiently large, we have

$$\delta J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) = \mathbf{0},$$

$$\delta^2 J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) > \mathbf{0}$$

so that there exist  $r, r_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) &= \min_{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in B_r(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0)} J(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \\ &= \inf_{(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) \in B_{r_1}} J_1^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) \\ &= J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) \\ &= \inf_{(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) \in B_{r_1}(\hat{v}_1, \mathbf{A}_0)} \left\{ \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}) \right\} \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

*Proof.* We start by proving that

$$\delta J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Observe that from

$$\frac{\partial J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial \phi} = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2 \phi_0 + 2\alpha(|\phi_0|^2 - \beta)\phi_0 = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ (\nabla \phi_0 - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0 \phi_0) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_0) = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_0 + 4\pi \tilde{J}_0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_0) = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_0, & \text{in } \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\tilde{J} = -2i\gamma \operatorname{Im}[(\phi_0^* \nabla \phi_0)] - \rho^2 |\phi_0|^2 \mathbf{A}_0.$$

Observe also that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_0^*} &= \frac{(\hat{v}_1^*)^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2} - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta \\ &= |\phi_0|^2 - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Summarizing, we have got

$$\frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_0^*} = 0.$$

Moreover, from the first line in equation (14), we obtain

$$\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2 \phi_0 + K\phi_0 + 2\alpha(|\phi_0|^2 - \beta)\phi_0 - K\phi_0 = 0, \text{ in } \Omega,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}_1^* &= (2\hat{v}_0^* - K)\phi_0 \\ &= 2\alpha(|\phi_0|^2 - \beta)\phi_0 - K\phi_0 \\ &= -\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2 \phi_0 - K\phi_0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Hence,

$$\phi_0 = \frac{(\hat{v}_1^*)}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K} = -\frac{\hat{v}_1^*}{\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2 + K},$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_1^*} &= -\frac{(\hat{v}_1^*)}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K} - \frac{\hat{v}_1^*}{\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2 + K} \\ &= -\phi_0 + \phi_0 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Also, denoting

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \frac{\partial[\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2]}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\hat{v}_1^*)^2}{(\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2 + K)^2} \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \{\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_0) - \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_0\} \\ &= \frac{\partial[\gamma |\nabla - i\rho \mathbf{A}_0|^2]}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \left[ \frac{|\phi_0|^2}{2} \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \{\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_0) - \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_0\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \{\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_0) - \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}_0\} - \tilde{J}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$



and

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \{ \text{curl} (\text{curl} \mathbf{A}_0) - \text{curl} \mathbf{B}_0 \},$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \begin{cases} H_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ H_2, & \text{in } \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Summarizing,

$$\frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Such last results may be denoted by

$$\delta J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Recall now that

$$J_1^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) = \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_1^*} &= \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_1^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_0^*} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{0},$$

so that we may denote

$$\delta J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Furthermore, we may easily compute,

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0) &= -F^*(\hat{v}_1) + \hat{G}^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, A_0) \\ &= -\langle \phi_0, \hat{v}_1^* \rangle_{L^2} + F(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) + \langle \phi_0, \hat{v}_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle 0, \hat{v}_0^* \rangle_{L^2} - G(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) \\ &= J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Observe that, in particular, we have

$$J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) = J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0),$$

where the concerning supremum is attained through the equation

$$\frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_0^*} = 0,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\hat{v}_1^*)^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2} - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta \\ &= |\phi_0|^2 - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Taking the variation in  $v_1^*$  in such an equation, we get

$$\frac{2\hat{v}_1^*}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2} - \frac{4(\hat{v}_1^*)^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^3} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} = 0,$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} = \frac{\frac{2\phi_0}{(2v_0^* - K)}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{4|\phi_0|^2}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K}},$$

where, as previously indicated,

$$\phi_0 = \frac{\hat{v}_1^*}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K}.$$

At this point we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial (v_1^*)^2} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial (v_1^*)^2} \\ & \quad + \frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_1^* \partial v_0^*} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\gamma|\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}_0|^2 + K} - \frac{1}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K} \\ & \quad + \frac{\frac{4\alpha|\phi_0|^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2}}{\left[1 + \frac{4\alpha|\phi_0|^2}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K}\right]} \\ &= \frac{-2\hat{v}_0^* - 4\alpha|\phi_0|^2 + K - \gamma|\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}_0|^2 - K}{(K + \gamma|\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}_0|^2)(2\hat{v}_0^* + 4\alpha|\phi_0|^2 - K)} \\ &= \frac{-\delta_{\phi\phi}^2 J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0)}{(K + \gamma|\nabla - i\rho\mathbf{A}_0|^2)(2\hat{v}_0^* + 4\alpha|\phi_0|^2 - K)} \\ &> \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Summarizing,

$$\frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial (v_1^*)^2} > \mathbf{0}.$$

For  $K > 0$  sufficiently big we may easily obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial (\mathbf{A})^2} > \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0)}{\partial v_1^* \partial \mathbf{A}} \approx \mathcal{O}(1/K),$$

so that

$$\delta^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) > \mathbf{0}.$$

From these last results, there exists  $r, r_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0) &= \min_{(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \in B_r(\phi_0, \mathbf{A}_0)} J(\phi, \mathbf{A}) \\ &= \inf_{(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) \in B_{r_1}(\hat{v}_1, \mathbf{A}_0)} J^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \mathbf{A}_0) \\ &= \inf_{(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) \in B_{r_1}(\hat{v}_1, \mathbf{A}_0)} \left\{ \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}) \right\} \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*, \mathbf{A}_0). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 A second duality principle

In this section we present another duality principle, which is summarized by the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Let  $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a functional defined by*

$$J(u) = G(\Lambda u) - F(\Lambda u) - \langle u, f \rangle_U, \quad \forall u \in U,$$

where

$$U = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad f \in L^2(\Omega), \quad Y = Y^* = L^2,$$

$\Lambda : U \rightarrow Y$ , is a bounded linear operator which the respective adjoint is denoted by  $\Lambda^* : Y^* \rightarrow U^*$ .

Suppose also  $(G \circ \Lambda) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $(F \circ \Lambda) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Fréchet differentiable functionals on  $U$  and such that  $J$  is bounded below.

Define  $G_K^* : Y^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $F_K^* : Y^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G_K^*(v^*) = \sup_{v \in Y} \left\{ \langle v, v^* \rangle_{L^2} - G(v) - \frac{K}{2} \langle v, v \rangle_{L^2} \right\},$$

$$F_K^*(z^*) = \sup_{v \in Y_1} \left\{ \langle v, z^* \rangle_{L^2} - F(v) - \frac{K}{2} \langle v, v \rangle_{L^2} \right\}.$$

Assume  $(\hat{v}^*, \hat{z}^*, u_0) \in Y^* \times Y^* \times U$  is such that

$$\delta J^*(\hat{v}^*, \hat{z}^*, u_0) = \mathbf{0},$$

where  $J^* : Y^* \times Y^* \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$J^*(v^*, z^*, u) = -G_K^*(v^*) + F_K^*(z^*) + \langle u, \Lambda^* v^* - \Lambda^* z^* - f \rangle_U.$$

Suppose also  $\delta^2 J(u_0) > \mathbf{0}$  and  $K > 0$  is sufficiently big so that

$$G_K^{**}(\Lambda u_0) = G_K(\Lambda u_0),$$

and

$$F_K^{**}(\Lambda u_0) = F_K(\Lambda u_0).$$

Denote also

$$A^+ = \{u \in U : uu_0 \geq 0, \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\},$$

$$B^+ = \{u \in U : \delta^2 J(u) > \mathbf{0}\},$$

where we assume, there exists a linear function (in  $|u|$ )  $H$  such that

$$\delta^2 J(u) > \mathbf{0} \text{ if, and only if, } H(|u|) > \mathbf{0}.$$

Moreover, defining the set

$$E = A^+ \cap B^+,$$

we have that  $E$  is convex,

$$\delta J(u_0) = \mathbf{0},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_0) &= \inf_{u \in E} J(u) \\ &= \inf_{u \in E} \left\{ \inf_{z^* \in Y^*} \left\{ \sup_{v^* \in Y^*} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \inf_{u \in E} \left\{ \sup_{v^* \in Y^*} \left\{ \inf_{z^* \in Y^*} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) \right\} \right\} \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}^*, \hat{z}^*, u_0). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) &= -G_K^*(v^*) + F_K^*(z^*) + \langle u, \Lambda^* v^* - \Lambda_1^* z^* - f \rangle_U \\ &\leq -\langle \Lambda u, v^* \rangle_Y + G(\Lambda u) + \frac{K}{2} \langle \Lambda u, \Lambda u \rangle_Y \\ &\quad + F_K^*(z^*) + \langle u, \Lambda^* v^* - \Lambda^* z^* - f \rangle_U \\ &= G(\Lambda u) + \frac{K}{2} \langle \Lambda u, \Lambda u \rangle_Y - \langle \Lambda u, z^* \rangle_{Y^*} + F_K^*(z^*) - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\forall u \in U, v^* \in Y^*, z^* \in Y^*.$$

From this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{z^* \in Y^*} \left\{ \sup_{v^* \in Y^*} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) \right\} \\
& \leq \inf_{z^* \in Y^*} \left\{ G(\Lambda u) + \frac{K}{2} \langle \Lambda u, \Lambda u \rangle_Y - \langle \Lambda u, z^* \rangle_Y + F_K^*(z^*) - \langle u, f \rangle_U \right\} \\
& = G(\Lambda u) + \frac{K}{2} \langle \Lambda u, \Lambda u \rangle_Y \\
& \quad - F(\Lambda u) - \frac{K}{2} \langle \Lambda u, \Lambda u \rangle_Y \\
& \quad - \langle u, f \rangle_U \\
& = J(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Hence, we may infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{u \in E} \left\{ \inf_{z^* \in Y_1^*} \left\{ \sup_{v^* \in Y^*} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) \right\} \right\} \\
& \leq \inf_{u \in E} J(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, from  $\delta J^*(\hat{v}^*, \hat{z}^*, u_0) = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial G_K^*(\hat{v}^*)}{\partial v^*} - \Lambda u_0 = 0,$$

so that from the Legendre transform properties, we obtain

$$\hat{v}^* = \frac{\partial G_K(\Lambda u_0)}{\partial v}$$

and

$$G_K^*(\hat{v}^*) = \langle \Lambda u_0, \hat{v}^* \rangle_{Y^*} - G_K(\Lambda u_0).$$

Similarly, from the variation in  $z^*$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial F_K^*(\hat{z}^*)}{\partial z^*} - \Lambda u_0 = 0,$$

so that from the Legendre transform properties, we obtain

$$\hat{z}^* = \frac{\partial F_K(\Lambda u_0)}{\partial v}$$

and

$$F_K^*(\hat{z}^*) = \langle \Lambda u_0, \hat{z}^* \rangle_{Y^*} - F_K(\Lambda u_0).$$

From the variation in  $u$ , we have

$$\Lambda \hat{v}^* - \Lambda^* \hat{z}^* - f = 0.$$

Joining the pieces, we have got

$$\begin{aligned}
J^*(\hat{v}^*, \hat{z}^*, u_0) & = -G_K^*(\hat{v}^*) + F_K^*(\hat{z}^*) \\
& = -\langle \Lambda u_0, \hat{v}^* \rangle_Y + G_K(\Lambda u_0) - F_K(\Lambda u_0) + \langle \Lambda u_0, \hat{z}^* \rangle_Y \\
& = G(\Lambda u_0) - F(\Lambda u_0) - \langle u_0, f \rangle_U \\
& = J(u_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Moreover, from

$$\Lambda \hat{v}^* - \Lambda^* \hat{z}^* - f = 0,$$

we also have

$$\Lambda^* \left( \frac{\partial G(\Lambda u_0)}{\partial v} \right) - \Lambda^* \left( \frac{\partial F(\Lambda u_0)}{\partial v} \right) - f = 0,$$

so that

$$\delta J(u_0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Finally, observe that if  $u_1, u_2 \in A^+ \cap B^+ = E$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$H(|u_1|) > \mathbf{0},$$

$$H(|u_2|) > \mathbf{0}$$

and also since

$$\text{sign } u_1 = \text{sign } u_2, \text{ in } \Omega,$$

we get

$$|\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2| = \lambda|u_1| + (1 - \lambda)|u_2|,$$

so that, from the hypotheses on  $H$ ,

$$\lambda H(|u_1|) + (1 - \lambda)H(|u_2|) = H(|\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2|) > \mathbf{0}$$

and thus,

$$\delta^2 J(\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2) > \mathbf{0}.$$

From this, we may infer that  $E$  is convex.

Moreover, since  $J$  is convex in  $E$ , and

$$\delta J(u_0) = \mathbf{0},$$

from (29) and (30), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_0) &= \inf_{u \in E} J(u) \\ &= \inf_{u \in E} \left\{ \inf_{z^* \in Y^*} \left\{ \sup_{v^* \in Y^*} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) \right\} \right\} \\ &= \inf_{u \in E} \left\{ \sup_{v^* \in Y^*} \left\{ \inf_{z^* \in Y^*} J^*(v^*, z^*, u) \right\} \right\} \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}^*, \hat{z}^*, u_0). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The proof is complete. □

## 5 A third duality principle

Our third duality principle is summarized by the next theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega$ . Consider the functional  $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by*

$$J(u) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 - \beta)^2 \, dx - \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are positive real constants,  $U = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Here we assume

$$-\gamma \nabla^2 - 2\alpha\beta < \mathbf{0}$$

in an appropriate matrix sense considering, as above indicated, a finite dimensional not relabeled model approximation, in a finite differences or finite elements context.

Define  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$F(u) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx,$$

and

$$G(u, v) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 - \beta + v)^2 \, dx + \frac{K}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx + \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2}$$

so that

$$J(u) = F(u) - G(u, 0).$$

Define also,

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(v_1^*) &= \sup_{u \in U} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - F(u) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^*)^2}{K - \gamma \nabla^2} \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(v_1^*, v_0^*) &= \sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in L^2} \{ \langle u, v_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle v, v_0^* \rangle_{L^2} - G(u, v) \} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v_1^* - f)^2}{2v_0^* - K} \, dx - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} (v_0^*)^2 \, dx \\ &\quad - \beta \int_{\Omega} v_0^* \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

if  $-2v_0^* + K > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Furthermore, denote

$$C = \{v_0^* \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ such that } -2v_0^* + K > K/2, \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}\},$$

where  $K > 0$  is such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} > \frac{4}{K}.$$

At this point suppose  $u_0 \in U$  is such that  $\delta J(u_0) = 0$ , and

$$\delta^2 J(u_0) > \mathbf{0}.$$

Define also,

$$A^+ = \{u \in U : uu_0 \geq 0, \text{ in } \Omega\},$$

$$B^+ = \{u \in U : \delta^2 J(u) > \mathbf{0}\},$$

$$E = A^+ \cap B^+,$$

$$\hat{v}_0^* = \alpha(u_0^2 - \beta),$$

$$\hat{v}_1^* = (2v_0^* - K)u_0 + f$$

Under such hypothesis, assuming also  $v_0^* \in E$  and denoting

$$J^*(v_1, v_0^*) = F^*(v_1^*) - G^*(v_1^*, v_0^*),$$

$$J_1^*(v_1^*) = \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*),$$

we have that there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_0) &= \inf_{u \in E} J(u) \\ &= \inf_{v_1^* \in B_r(\hat{v}_1^*)} J^*(v_1^*) \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*) \\ &= \inf_{v_1^* \in B_r(\hat{v}_1^*)} \left\{ \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*) \right\} \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

*Proof.* We start by proving that

$$\delta J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Observe that from

$$\frac{\partial J(u_0)}{\partial u} = 0,$$

we have

$$-\gamma \nabla^2 u_0 + 2\alpha(|u_0|^2 - \beta)u_0 - f = 0, \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Observe also that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_0^*} &= \frac{(\hat{v}_1^*)^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2} - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta \\ &= |u_0|^2 - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$



Summarizing, we have got

$$\frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_0^*} = 0.$$

Moreover, from the first line in equation (14), we obtain

$$-\gamma \nabla^2 u_0 + K u_0 + 2\alpha(|u_0|^2 - \beta)u_0 - K u_0 - f = 0, \text{ in } \Omega,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{v}_1^* - f) &= (2\hat{v}_0^* - K)\phi_0 \\ &= 2\alpha(|u_0|^2 - \beta)u_0 - K u_0 \\ &= -\gamma \nabla^2 u_0 - K u_0 - f. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Hence,

$$u_0 = \frac{(\hat{v}_1^* - f)}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K} = -\frac{\hat{v}_1^*}{\gamma \nabla^2 + K},$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_1^*} &= -\frac{(\hat{v}_1^* - f)}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K} - \frac{\hat{v}_1^*}{\gamma \nabla^2 + K} \\ &= -u_0 + u_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Such last results may be denoted by

$$\delta J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Recall now that

$$J_1^*(v_1^*, \mathbf{A}) = \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*, \mathbf{A}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*)}{\partial v_1^*} &= \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_1^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_0^*} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Furthermore, we may easily compute,

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*) &= -F^*(\hat{v}_1) + \hat{G}^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*) \\ &= -\langle u_0, \hat{v}_1^* \rangle_{L^2} + F(\phi_0) + \langle u_0, \hat{v}_1^* \rangle_{L^2} - \langle 0, \hat{v}_0^* \rangle_{L^2} - G(u_0, \mathbf{0}) \\ &= J(u_0). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Observe that, in particular, we have

$$J_1^*(\hat{v}_1^*) = J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*),$$

where the concerning supremum is attained through the equation

$$\frac{\partial J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_0^*} = 0,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\hat{v}_1^* - f)^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2} - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta \\ &= |\phi_0|^2 - \frac{\hat{v}_0^*}{\alpha} - \beta = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Taking the variation in  $v_1^*$  in such an equation, we get

$$\frac{2(\hat{v}_1^* - f)}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2} - \frac{4(\hat{v}_1^*)^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^3} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} = 0,$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} = \frac{\frac{2u_0}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{4|u_0|^2}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K}},$$

where, as previously indicated,

$$u_0 = \frac{\hat{v}_1^* - f}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K}.$$

At this point we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*)}{\partial (v_1^*)^2} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial (v_1^*)^2} \\ & \quad + \frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*)}{\partial v_1^* \partial v_0^*} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_0^*}{\partial v_1^*} \\ &= -\frac{1}{-\gamma \nabla^2 + K} - \frac{1}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K} \\ & \quad + \frac{\frac{4\alpha|u_0|^2}{(2\hat{v}_0^* - K)^2}}{\left[1 + \frac{4\alpha|u_0|^2}{2\hat{v}_0^* - K}\right]} \\ &= \frac{-2\hat{v}_0^* - 4\alpha|u_0|^2 + K - \gamma \nabla^2 + K}{(K - \gamma \nabla^2)(2\hat{v}_0^* + 4\alpha|u_0|^2 - K)} \\ &= \frac{-\delta^2 J(u_0)}{(K - \gamma \nabla^2)(2\hat{v}_0^* + 4\alpha|u_0|^2 - K)} \\ &> \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Summarizing,

$$\frac{\partial^2 J^*(\hat{v}_1^*)}{\partial (v_1^*)^2} > \mathbf{0}.$$

Finally, observe that

$$\delta^2 J(u) = -\gamma \nabla^2 + 6\alpha u^2 - 2\alpha\beta > \mathbf{0},$$

if, and only if

$$H(u) > \mathbf{0},$$

where

$$H(u) = \sqrt{6\alpha}|u| - \sqrt{\gamma \nabla^2 + 2\alpha\beta} > \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence, if  $u_1, u_2 \in A^+ \cap B^+ = E$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$H(|u_1|) > \mathbf{0},$$

$$H(|u_2|) > \mathbf{0}$$

and also since

$$\text{sign } u_1 = \text{sign } u_2, \text{ in } \Omega,$$

we get

$$|\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2| = \lambda|u_1| + (1 - \lambda)|u_2|,$$

so that,

$$H(|\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2|) = H(\lambda|u_1| + (1 - \lambda)|u_2|) = \lambda H(|u_1|) + (1 - \lambda)H(|u_2|) > \mathbf{0}$$

and thus,

$$\delta^2 J(\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2) > \mathbf{0}.$$

From this, we may infer that  $E$  is convex.

From these last results, there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_0) &= \inf_{u \in E} J(u) \\ &= \inf_{v_1^* \in B_r(\hat{v}_1^*)} J^*(v_1^*) \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*) \\ &= \inf_{v_1^* \in B_r(\hat{v}_1^*)} \left\{ \sup_{v_0^* \in E} J^*(v_1^*, v_0^*) \right\} \\ &= J^*(\hat{v}_1^*, \hat{v}_0^*). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The proof is complete. □

## 6 An auxiliary theoretical result in analysis

In this section we state and prove some theoretical results in analysis which will be used in the subsequent sections.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Assume  $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,4}(\Omega)$  be such that*

$$\|u_n\|_{1,4} \leq K, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

*for some  $K > 0$ .*

*Under such hypotheses there exists  $u_0 \in W^{1,4}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,*

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0, \text{ weakly in } W^{1,4}(\Omega),$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ uniformly in } \overline{\Omega}$$

*and*

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0, \text{ strongly in } W^{1,3}(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* Since  $W^{1,4}(\Omega)$  is reflexive, from the Kakutani and Sobolev Imbedding theorems, up to a not relabeled there exists  $u_0 \in W^{1,4}(\Omega)$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0, \text{ weakly in } W^{1,4}(\Omega),$$

and

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0, \text{ strongly in } L^4(\Omega).$$

From the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem, since for  $m = 1$ ,  $p = 4$  and  $n = 3$ , we have  $mp > n$ , the following imbedding is compact,

$$W^{1,4}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{\Omega}).$$

Thus,

$$\{u_n\} \subset C(\overline{\Omega}),$$

and again up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ uniformly in } \overline{\Omega},$$

and also

$$u_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega}),$$

so that

$$u_0 \in W^{1,4}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > n_0$ , then

$$|u_n(x) - u_0(x)| < \varepsilon, \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega.$$

Let

$$\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

Choose  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Therefore, we may obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j}, \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2} \right| &= \left| \left\langle u_n - u_0, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leq \left\langle |u_n - u_0|, \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right| \right\rangle_{L^2} \\
&\leq \varepsilon \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_1, \quad \forall n > n_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

From this we may infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j}, \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega).$$

At this point we claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j}, \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c(\Omega).$$

To prove such a claim, let  $\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)$ .

Let a new  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

Hence, for each  $r > 0$  there exists  $n_r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > n_r$ , then

$$\|u_n - u_0\|_\infty < \varepsilon r.$$

Observe that by density, we may obtain  $\varphi_1 \in C_c^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_1\|_\infty < \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j}, \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leq \left\langle \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right|, |\varphi - \varphi_1| \right\rangle_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \left| \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j}, \varphi_1 \right\rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leq \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right| dx \|\varphi - \varphi_1\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \left| \left\langle u_n - u_0, \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leq 2K_1 \varepsilon + \varepsilon \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_j} \right\|_1 \\
&= \left( 2K_1 + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_j} \right\|_1 \right) \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > n_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where  $K_1 > 0$  is such that

$$\|u_0\|_1 < K_1, \|u_0\|_2 < K_1$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_1 < K_1, \quad \|u_n\|_2 < K_1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From this we may infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j}, \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c(\Omega) \quad (45)$$

so that the claim holds.

Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, we have  $W^{1,4}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

From the Gauss-Green Formula for such a latter space, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\partial u_n(x)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_j} \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\left| \int_{B_r(x)} \left( \frac{\partial u_n(y)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0(y)}{\partial x_j} \right) dy \right|}{m(B_r(x))} \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\left| \int_{B_r(x)} \left( \frac{\partial u_n(y)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0(y)}{\partial x_j} \right) dy \right|}{m(B_r(x))} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \int_{B_r(x)} \left( \frac{\partial u_n(y)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0(y)}{\partial x_j} \right) dy \right|}{m(B_r(x))} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \int_{\partial B_r(x)} (u_n(y) - u_0(y)) \nu_i dS(y) \right|}{m(B_r(x))} \right) \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| (u_n(\tilde{y}) - u_0(\tilde{y})) \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \nu_i dS(y) \right|}{m(B_r(x))} \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{\partial B_r(x)} r |\nu_i| dS(y)}{m(B_r(x))} \\ &\leq K_1 \varepsilon, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where  $\tilde{y} \in \overline{B_r(x)}$  depends on  $r$  and  $n$ .

Therefore, we may infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial u_n(x)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_j}, \quad \text{a. e. in } \Omega.$$

Here we define

$$A_{n,\varepsilon} = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \frac{\partial u_n(x)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_j} \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Define also

$$B_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{k,\varepsilon}.$$

Observe that for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ , there exists  $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > n_x$ , then

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_n(x)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_j} \right| < \varepsilon,$$

so that almost all  $x \in B_n$ ,  $\forall n > n_x$ .

From this

$$\Omega = (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) \cup B_0,$$

where  $m(B_0) = 0$ .

Also

$$\cup_{k=1}^n B_k = B_n,$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(B_n) = m(\Omega).$$

Observe that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > n_0$ , then

$$\sqrt[4]{m(\Omega \setminus B_n)} < \varepsilon/K^3.$$

Consequently fixing  $n > n_0$ , from the generalized Hölder inequality, if  $m > n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right|^3 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \setminus B_n} \left| \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right|^3 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_n} \left| \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right|^3 dx \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right\|_4^3 \|\chi_{\Omega \setminus B_n}\|_4 + \varepsilon^3 m(\Omega) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon^3 m(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Summarizing, we may infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} \right|^3 dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \forall j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

so that

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0, \text{ strongly in } W^{1,3}(\Omega).$$

The proof is complete. □

## 7 An existence result for a model in elasticity

In this section we present an existence result for a non-linear elasticity model.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega$ .

Consider the functional  $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} \left( \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{u_{k,l} + u_{l,k}}{2} + \frac{u_{p,k}u_{p,l}}{2} \right) dx - \langle P_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2}, \quad (48)$$

where  $U = W_0^{1,4}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $P_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Moreover,  $\{H_{ijkl}\}$  is a fourth order constant tensor such that

$$H_{ijkl}t_{ij}t_{kl} \geq c_0 t_{ij}t_{ij}, \quad \forall \text{ symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$$

and

$$H_{ijkl}t_{mi}t_{mj}t_{kp}t_{lp} \geq c_1 \sum_{i,j=1}^3 t_{ij}^4, \quad \forall \text{ symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2},$$

for some real constants  $c_0 > 0, c_1 > 0$ .

Under such hypotheses, there exists  $u_0 \in U$  such that

$$J(u_0) = \min_{u \in U} J(u).$$

*Proof.* First observe that we may find a positive definite tensor  $\{T_{ij}\} \subset L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2})$  such that

$$T_{ij,j} + P_i = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Hence, denoting

$$v_{ij}(u) = \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2},$$

we have

$$\frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} = v_{ij}(u) - \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx + \langle T_{ij,j}, u_i \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx - \left\langle T_{ij}, \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx - \left\langle T_{ij}, v_{ij}(u) - \frac{u_{mi} u_{mj}}{2} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx - \langle T_{ij}, v_{ij}(u) \rangle_{L^2} + \left\langle T_{ij}, \frac{u_{mi} u_{mj}}{2} \right\rangle_{L^2}, \quad \forall u \in U. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

From this and the hypotheses on  $\{H_{ijkl}\}$  it is clear that  $J$  is bounded below so that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha = \inf_{u \in U} J(u).$$

Let  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  be a minimizing sequence for  $J$ , that is, let such a sequence be such that

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow \alpha, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$



Also from the hypotheses on  $\{H_{ijkl}\}$  and the Poincaré inequality, we have that there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|u_n\|_{1,4} \leq K, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the auxiliary result in the last section, there exists  $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{1,4}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0, \quad \text{strongly in } W^{1,3}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

From such a latter result, up to a not relabeled subsequence, we may obtain

$$\frac{(u_n)_{i,j} + (u_n)_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{(u_n)_{m,i}(u_n)_{m,j}}{2} \rightharpoonup \frac{(u_0)_{i,j} + (u_0)_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{(u_0)_{m,i}(u_0)_{m,j}}{2}, \quad \text{weakly in } L^{3/2}(\Omega).$$

Since  $L^{3/2}(\Omega)$  is reflexive, from the convexity of  $J$  in  $v_{ij}(u)$  and since  $\{T_{ij}\}$  is positive definite, we have that

$$\alpha = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) \geq J(u_0),$$

so that

$$J(u_0) = \min_{u \in U} J(u).$$

The proof is complete. □

## 8 Another existence result for a model in elasticity

In this section we present another existence result for a similar (to the previous one) non-linear elasticity model.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open, bounded and connected set with a regular (Lipschitzian) boundary denoted by  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Consider the functional  $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} \left( \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{u_{k,l} + u_{l,k}}{2} + \frac{u_{p,k}u_{p,l}}{2} \right) dx - \langle P_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} - \langle P_i^t, u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_t)}, \quad (50)$$

where

$$\partial\Omega = \Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_t,$$

$$\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_t = \emptyset,$$

$$m_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_0) > 0, \quad m_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_t) > 0, \quad P_i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad P_i^t \in L^{\infty}(\Gamma_t), \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Moreover

$$U = \{u \in W^{1,4}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) : u = \hat{u}_0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\},$$

where we assume  $\hat{u}_0 \in W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ .

Furthermore,  $\{H_{ijkl}\}$  is a fourth order symmetric constant tensor such that

$$H_{ijkl}t_{ij}t_{kl} \geq c_0 t_{ij}t_{ij}, \quad \forall \text{ symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$$

and

$$H_{ijkl}t_{mit}t_{mjt}t_{kpt}t_{lp} \geq c_1 \sum_{i,j=1}^3 t_{ij}^4, \quad \forall \text{ symmetric } t \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2},$$

for some real constants  $c_0 > 0, c_1 > 0$ .

Under such hypotheses, there exists  $u_0 \in U$  such that

$$J(u_0) = \min_{u \in U} J(u).$$

*Proof.* First observe that we may find a positive definite tensor  $\{T_{ij}\} \subset L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}) \cap W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2})$  such that

$$T_{ij,j} + P_i = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Hence, denoting

$$v_{ij}(u) = \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2},$$

we have

$$\frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} = v_{ij}(u) - \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2},$$

so that, from this, the Gauss-Green formula and the Trace Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx + \langle T_{ij,j}, u_i \rangle_{L^2} - \langle P_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} - \langle P_i^t, u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx - \left\langle T_{ij}, \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}}{2} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \langle u_i, T_{ij} \nu_j \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_t)} + \langle (u_0)_i, T_{ij} \nu_j \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} - \langle P_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} - \langle P_i^t, u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx - \left\langle T_{ij}, v_{ij}(u) - \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \langle u_i, T_{ij} \nu_j \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_t)} + \langle (\hat{u}_0)_i, T_{ij} \nu_j \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} - \langle P_i, u_i \rangle_{L^2} - \langle P_i^t, u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_t)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H_{ijkl} v_{ij}(u) v_{kl}(u) dx - \langle T_{ij}, v_{ij}(u) \rangle_{L^2} + \left\langle T_{ij}, \frac{u_{m,i}u_{m,j}}{2} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad - K_3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{1,4} - K_3 \|\hat{u}_0\|_{1,4}, \quad \forall u \in U, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

for some appropriate  $K_3 > 0$ .

From this, the hypotheses on  $\{H_{ijkl}\}$  and a Poincaré type inequality, since  $\{T_{ij}\}$  is positive definite, it is clear that  $J$  is bounded below so that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha = \inf_{u \in U} J(u).$$

Let  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  be a minimizing sequence for  $J$ , that is, let such a sequence be such that

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow \alpha, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also from the hypotheses on  $\{H_{ijkl}\}$  and a Poincaré type inequality, we have that there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|u_n\|_{1,4} \leq K, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the auxiliary result in the last section, there exists  $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{1,4}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that, up to a not relabeled subsequence,

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0, \text{ strongly in } W^{1,3}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

From such a latter result, up to a not relabeled subsequence, we may obtain

$$\frac{(u_n)_{i,j} + (u_n)_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{(u_n)_{m,i}(u_n)_{m,j}}{2} \rightharpoonup \frac{(u_0)_{i,j} + (u_0)_{j,i}}{2} + \frac{(u_0)_{m,i}(u_0)_{m,j}}{2}, \text{ weakly in } L^{3/2}(\Omega).$$

Also from the continuity of the Trace operator we get

$$u_0 = \hat{u}_0, \text{ on } \Gamma_0,$$

so that  $u_0 \in U$ .

Since  $L^{3/2}(\Omega)$  is reflexive, from the convexity of  $J$  in  $\{v_{ij}(u)\}$  and since  $\{T_{ij}\}$  is positive definite, we have that

$$\alpha = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n) \geq J(u_0),$$

so that,

$$J(u_0) = \min_{u \in U} J(u).$$

The proof is complete. □

## References

- [1] R.A. Adams and J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, 2nd edn. (Elsevier, New York, 2003).
- [2] J.F. Annet, *Superconductivity, Superfluids and Condensates*, 2nd edn. ( Oxford Master Series in Condensed Matter Physics, Oxford University Press, Reprint, 2010)
- [3] W.R. Bielski, A. Galka, J.J. Telega, The Complementary Energy Principle and Duality for Geometrically Nonlinear Elastic Shells. I. Simple case of moderate rotations around a tangent to the middle surface. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Technical Sciences, Vol. 38, No. 7-9, 1988.
- [4] W.R. Bielski and J.J. Telega, A Contribution to Contact Problems for a Class of Solids and Structures, Arch. Mech., 37, 4-5, pp. 303-320, Warszawa 1985.
- [5] D. Bohm, Quantum Theory (Dover Publications INC., New York, 1989).
- [6] F. Botelho, Functional Analysis and Applied Optimization in Banach Spaces, (Springer Switzerland, 2014).
- [7] F. Botelho, A Classical Description of Variational Quantum Mechanics and Related Models, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2017.
- [8] I.Ekeland and R.Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*. North Holland (1976).
- [9] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschits, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 5- Statistical Physics, part 1. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Elsevier, reprint 2008).
- [10] J.F. Toland, *A duality principle for non-convex optimisation and the calculus of variations*, Arch. Rath. Mech. Anal., 71, No. 1 (1979), 41-61.