

# THE COMPLEX SOBOLEV SPACE AND HÖLDER CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS TO MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS

TIEN-CUONG DINH, SŁAWOMIR KOŁODZIEJ, AND NGOC CUONG NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\omega$  a Kähler form on  $X$ . We consider the complex Monge-Ampère equation  $(dd^c u + \omega)^n = \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is a given positive measure on  $X$  of suitable mass and  $u$  is an  $\omega$ -plurisubharmonic function. We show that the equation admits a Hölder continuous solution *if and only if* the measure  $\mu$ , seen as a functional on a complex Sobolev space  $W^*(X)$ , is Hölder continuous. A similar result is also obtained for the complex Monge-Ampère equations on domains of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**MSC 2010:** 32Uxx, 32W20, 46E35.

**Keywords:** Monge-Ampère equation, plurisubharmonic function, complex Sobolev space, capacity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\omega$  a Kähler form on  $X$ . Throughout the paper, we assume that  $\int_X \omega^n = 1$  but we can easily extend the results to the case where  $\omega$  is not normalized. Recall that  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ ,  $d^c := \frac{1}{2i\pi}(\partial - \bar{\partial})$  and  $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$ . Recall also that a *quasi-plurisubharmonic* (*quasi-psh* for short) function on  $X$  is locally the difference of a psh function and a smooth one. A quasi-psh function  $u$  on  $X$  is said to be  $\omega$ -psh if  $dd^c u \geq -\omega$  or equivalently  $dd^c u + \omega$  is a closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current. When  $u$  is a bounded  $\omega$ -psh function, the wedge-product  $(dd^c u + \omega)^n$  is a well-defined positive measure on  $X$ , see e.g. [BT, K05].

In this article, we consider the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(dd^c u + \omega)^n = \mu,$$

where  $\mu$  is a given positive measure and  $u$  is a bounded  $\omega$ -psh function on  $X$  (all measures we consider in this paper are Borel measures of finite mass). This important equation plays a central role in complex geometry. By taking the integral on  $X$  and using Stokes' theorem, we deduce from the equation that the mass of  $\mu$  satisfies

$$\|\mu\| = \int_X \omega^n = 1.$$

From now on, we assume this condition which is necessary to solve the above equation. Our aim is to give a new criterion so that this equation admits a Hölder continuous solution  $u$ . A famous classical theorem of Yau says that when  $\mu$  is given by a smooth volume form then the equation admits a smooth solution [Yau]. More recently, the case of continuous and Hölder continuous solutions has been intensively studied. We refer the reader to [DDGKPZ, Din, DZ, DN, GKZ, Hiep, K98, K08, KN, N18, N20, Vu] for more details. Some of these results will be recalled later in the present article.

Denote by  $W^{1,2}(X)$  the Sobolev space of real valued functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that both  $f$  and  $df$  are of class  $L^2$ . Recall that *the complex Sobolev space*  $W^*(X)$ , introduced by Sibony and the first author in [DS], is the space of all functions  $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$  such that

$$df \wedge d^c f \leq T$$

for some closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current  $T$  on  $X$ . By [Vign], this is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_* := \|f\|_{L^1(X)} + \min \{ \|T\|^{1/2} : T \text{ as above} \}.$$

Here, the mass of  $T$  is defined by  $\|T\| := \|T \wedge \omega^{n-1}\| := \langle T, \omega^{n-1} \rangle$ . Note that by Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality, if we replace  $L^1(X)$  in the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_*$  by  $L^2(X)$ , we obtain an equivalent norm. On each bounded subset of  $W^*(X)$  for  $\|\cdot\|_*$  norm, the  $L^1$  norm induces a natural distance whose topology coincides with the weak topology, see [DMV].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure of finite mass on  $X$ . We say that  $\mu$  is  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuous if there are positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$|\mu(f)| \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(X)}^\alpha$$

for every smooth function  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $\|f\|_* \leq 1$ . Here,  $\mu(f)$  denotes the usual integral of  $f$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

We will see later in Remark 4.5 below that such a measure  $\mu$  extends to a functional on whole  $W^*(X)$  and the above inequality holds for all  $f \in W^*(X)$ . So  $\mu$  is  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuous if and only if it defines a functional on  $W^*(X)$  which is Hölder continuous with respect to the  $L^1$ -distance on every  $\|\cdot\|_*$ -bounded set. Here is our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\omega$  a Kähler form on  $X$  normalized so that  $\int_X \omega^n = 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuous if and only if there exists a Hölder continuous  $\omega$ -psh function  $u$  solving the complex Monge-Ampère equation  $(dd^c u + \omega)^n = \mu$ .*

We also obtain the following local version of this theorem. Consider a smooth bounded and strictly pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\omega$  be the standard Kähler form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  consists of real valued functions  $f$  such that  $f$  and the coefficients of  $df$  belong to  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We define *the complex Sobolev space*  $W^*(\Omega)$  as the space of all functions  $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that

$$df \wedge d^c f \leq T$$

for some closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current of finite mass  $T$  on  $\Omega$ . This is also a Banach space for the norm

$$\|f\|_{*,\Omega} = \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \min \{ \|T\|_\Omega^{1/2} : T \text{ as above} \},$$

where the mass of  $T$  is defined by  $\|T\|_\Omega := \|T \wedge \omega^{n-1}\| := \int_\Omega T \wedge \omega^{n-1}$ , see [Vign].

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure of finite mass and compact support on  $\Omega$ . We say that  $\mu$  is  $W^*(\Omega)$ -Hölder continuous if there are positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$|\mu(f)| \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha$$

for every smooth function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\|f\|_{*,\Omega} \leq 1$ .

Here is our second main theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded and strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure with finite mass and compact support in  $\Omega$ . Then,  $\mu$  is  $W^*(\Omega)$ -Hölder continuous if and only if  $\mu = (dd^c u)^n$  on  $\Omega$  for a Hölder continuous function  $u$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  which is psh on  $\Omega$  and vanishes on the boundary  $b\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ .*

In order to prove that  $(dd^c u + \omega)^n$  (resp.  $(dd^c u)^n$  in the local setting), with  $u$  Hölder continuous, satisfies the  $W^*$ -Hölder continuity in Definition 1.1 (resp. Definition 1.3), we use some idea from the theory of interpolation between Banach spaces. More precisely, we write  $u = u_\epsilon + (u - u_\epsilon)$  for a suitable regularization  $u_\epsilon$  of  $u$  for which we control the norms  $\|u_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{E}^2}$  and  $\|u - u_\epsilon\|_\infty$ . We then use the techniques of integration by parts in order to obtain the desired estimates.

The converse implications are more delicate. Assuming the  $W^*$ -Hölder continuity of  $\mu$  or the Hölder continuity of  $\mu$  on a suitable family of quasi-psh functions, we first show a continuity of  $\mu$  with respect to some notion of capacity, see Proposition 4.1 below. This allows us to apply a result in [KN] to conclude. Alternatively, we can solve the complex Monge-Ampère equation with a continuous solution  $u$ , see Theorem 3.6 below. We then use again the  $W^*$ -Hölder continuity of  $\mu$  to obtain an estimate on  $\|\rho_\delta u - u\|_\infty$ , where  $\rho_\delta u$  is a regularization of  $u$  introduced by Demailly [Dem]. This estimate implies that  $u$  is in fact Hölder continuous.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will recall some known results and describe the structure of the proof. In Section 3, we discuss some sufficient conditions on  $\mu$  so that the complex Monge-Ampère equation admits a continuous solution  $u$ . Finally, in Section 4, we complete the proof of the main results stated above.

**Acknowledgements.** The first author is supported by the NUS Tier 1 grant R-146-000-248-114. The second and third authors are partially supported by NCN grant 2017/27/B/ST1/01145. The third author is also partially supported by the start-up grant G04190056 of KAIST.

## 2. STRUCTURE OF THE PROOF AND SOME PARTIAL RESULTS

Let  $X, n$  and  $\omega$  be as in the Introduction. Denote by  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  the cone of all  $\omega$ -psh functions on  $X$ . Denote also by  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$  the set of  $\omega$ -psh functions  $v$  such that  $-1 \leq v \leq 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A positive measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is said to be  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ -Hölder continuous (resp.  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ -Hölder continuous) if there are positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$|\mu(f_1) - \mu(f_2)| \leq c \|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^1(X)}^\alpha$$

for all functions  $f_i \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  with  $\max_X f_i = 0$  (resp.  $f_i \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ ).

Note that the first notion is equivalent to say that  $\mu$  has a Hölder continuous superpotential and it is stronger than the second notion, see [DN] for details.

We call a *smooth strictly pseudoconvex coordinate patch* of  $X$  any smooth domain  $\Omega \subset X$  such that there is a smooth bijective map  $\pi : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \overline{U}$  which is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ , where  $U$  is a smooth bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Denote by  $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$  the cone of all psh functions on  $\Omega$ . If  $K$  is a subset of  $X$  denote by  $\mathbf{1}_K$  the characteristic function of  $K$ . The following result was obtained in [DN, KN], see also [N18, N20].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\omega$  a Kähler form on  $X$  normalized so that  $\int_X \omega^n = 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Then the following properties are equivalent.*

- (h1)  $\mu = (dd^c u + \omega)^n$  for a Hölder continuous  $\omega$ -psh function  $u$  on  $X$ ;
- (h2) There is a number  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that for every strictly pseudoconvex coordinate patch  $\Omega \subset X$  and any compact set  $K \Subset \Omega$ , we have  $\mathbf{1}_K \cdot \mu = (dd^c u)^n$  for some function  $u \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ ;
- (h3)  $\mu$  is  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ -Hölder continuous.

We are going to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, the above properties (h1)-(h3) are all equivalent to the following ones.*

- (h4)  $\mu$  is  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ -Hölder continuous;
- (h5)  $\mu$  is  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuous;
- (h6) For every strictly pseudoconvex coordinate patch  $\Omega \subset X$  and any compact set  $K \Subset \Omega$ , the measure  $\mathbf{1}_K \cdot \mu$  is  $W^*(\Omega)$ -Hölder continuous.

It is clear that (h6)  $\implies$  (h5). We will show in this section that (h2)  $\implies$  (h6) and in Section 4 we will complete the proof by showing that (h4)  $\iff$  (h1) and (h5)  $\implies$  (h4). It is clear that Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. For Theorem 1.4, we take  $X := \mathbb{P}^n$  and identify  $\Omega$  to some open set of an affine chart  $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . We see that Theorem 1.4 is also a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

As mentioned above, we prove now the implication (h2)  $\implies$  (h6). It is the direct consequence of the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $K \Subset \Omega$  a compact set. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be Hölder continuous psh functions on  $\Omega$ . Then the positive measure  $\mathbf{1}_K \cdot dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n$  is  $W^*(\Omega)$ -Hölder continuous.*

We first prove the following useful lemma that can be easily extended to the global setting.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $f$  be a smooth function on  $\Omega$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are smooth non-negative functions  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  such that*

$$f = f^+ - f^-, \quad \|f^\pm\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|f^\pm\|_{*,\Omega} \leq \|f\|_{*,\Omega} + \varepsilon.$$

*In particular, if  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are positive measures with compact supports in  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu \leq \mu'$  and  $\mu'$  is  $W^*(\Omega)$ -Hölder continuous, then  $\mu$  is also  $W^*(\Omega)$ -Hölder continuous.*

*Proof.* According to the first assertion, in Definition 1.3 we only need to consider positive functions  $f$ . Therefore, the second assertion in the lemma is a consequence of the first one. We prove now the first assertion.

Choose a smooth increasing function  $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\chi(t) \geq \max\{t, 0\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\chi(t) = \max\{t, 0\}$  for  $t \notin [-1, 1]$  and  $0 \leq \chi' \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Choose a constant  $A > 0$  large enough depending on  $\varepsilon$  and  $f$ , and define

$$\chi^+(t) := \chi(At), \quad \chi^-(t) := \chi^+(t) - t, \quad f = f^+ - f^- \quad \text{with} \quad f^\pm := \chi^\pm \circ f.$$

It is easy to see that  $f^\pm$  are smooth, non-negative and  $\|f^\pm\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \varepsilon$  because  $\chi^+$  (resp.  $\chi^-$ ) is almost equal to  $\max\{t, 0\}$  (resp.  $\max\{-t, 0\}$ ). Furthermore, since

$|(\chi^\pm)'| \leq 1$  we obtain

$$df^\pm \wedge d^c f^\pm = |(\chi^\pm)'(f)|^2 df \wedge d^c f \leq df \wedge d^c f.$$

It follows that  $\|f^\pm\|_{*,\Omega} \leq \|f\|_{*,\Omega} + \varepsilon$  which ends the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.4.* By hypothesis, there is a smooth strictly psh function  $\rho$  on a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $\Omega = \{\rho < 0\}$ . By reducing slightly the domain  $\Omega$ , we can assume that  $u_k$  is defined on a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Replacing  $u_k$  by  $\max\{u_k - A_1, A_2\rho\}$  and  $\rho$  by  $A_2\rho$  for some positive constants  $A_2 \gg A_1 > \sup_\Omega u_k$ , we can assume that  $u_k = \rho$  on a neighbourhood of  $b\Omega$ . This doesn't change the values of  $u$  on a neighbourhood of  $K$ .

Let  $f$  be a non-negative smooth function on  $\Omega$  such that  $\|f\|_{*,\Omega} \leq 1$ . By Lemma 2.5, we only need to show that

$$\int_K f dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha$$

for some positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  independent of  $f$ . For this purpose, we will prove by induction on  $k = 0, \dots, n$  that given any compact set  $K \subset \Omega$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_K f dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha$$

for some positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  independent of  $f$ . Recall that  $\omega$  is the standard Kähler form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Obviously, the estimate holds for  $k = 0$ . Assume that the desired estimate is true for  $k - 1$  instead of  $k$ . It remains to show the same property for  $k$ , i.e. to prove (2.1). For simplicity and in order to avoid confusion, let us write

$$S := dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_{k-1} \wedge \omega^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad u := u_k$$

and read the induction hypothesis as: given any compact set  $K' \subset \Omega$  we have

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{K'} f S \wedge \omega \leq c_0 \delta^{4\kappa} \quad \text{with} \quad \delta := \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 1$$

for some positive constants  $c_0$  and  $\kappa$  independent of  $f$ .

Fix a compact set  $L$  and a domain  $\Omega^+$  such that  $K \subset L \Subset \Omega \Subset \Omega^+$  and  $u = \rho$  on  $\Omega^+ \setminus L$ . Fix also a cutoff smooth function  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$  with compact support in  $\Omega$  such that  $\chi = 1$  on a domain  $\Omega^-$  with  $L \Subset \Omega^- \Subset \Omega$ . Denote by  $\lambda$  the distance between  $b\Omega$  and  $b(\Omega^+ \setminus \Omega^-)$  and define  $\varepsilon := \lambda \delta^\kappa \leq \lambda$ . Consider  $u_\varepsilon$  the standard  $\varepsilon$ -regularization of  $u$  by the convolution in  $\Omega^+$ . Then, we have for some positive constant  $c_1$

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{C^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega^-)} \leq c_1, \quad \|u - u_\varepsilon\|_{C^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega^-)} \leq c_1 \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{C^2(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-2}$$

which imply for some positive constant  $c_2$

$$-c_2 \varepsilon^{-2} \omega \leq dd^c u_\varepsilon \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{-2} \omega.$$

Thus, using (2.2) for a compact set  $K'$  containing the support of  $\chi$ , we get

$$\int_\Omega \chi f S \wedge dd^c u_\varepsilon \lesssim \varepsilon^{-2} \int_\Omega \chi f S \wedge \omega \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \delta^{4\kappa} \lesssim \delta^{2\kappa}.$$

Now, since

$$\int_K f S \wedge dd^c u \leq \int_\Omega \chi f S \wedge dd^c u = \int_\Omega \chi f S \wedge dd^c u_\varepsilon + \int_\Omega \chi f S \wedge dd^c (u - u_\varepsilon),$$

it is enough to bound the last integral by a constant times a power of  $\delta$ .

By the Stokes theorem, the last integral can be written as

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi f S \wedge dd^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) = - \int_{\Omega} f d\chi \wedge S \wedge d^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{\Omega} \chi df \wedge S \wedge d^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}).$$

Since  $d\chi$  vanishes outside  $\Omega \setminus \Omega^-$ , the second inequality in (2.3) implies that the first term in the RHS of the last identity is bounded by a constant times  $\varepsilon = \delta^{\kappa} = \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\kappa}$ . It remains to bound the last integral of the last identity. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this integral satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi df \wedge S \wedge d^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) \right|^2 \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} S \wedge df \wedge d^c f \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} \chi^2 S \wedge d(u - u_{\varepsilon}) \wedge d^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) \right).$$

We show that the first factor in the last product is bounded by a constant. Since  $\|f\|_{*,\Omega} \leq 1$ , there is a closed positive  $(1, 1)$ -current  $T$  on  $\Omega$  that  $df \wedge d^c f \leq T$  and  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Therefore, using the definition of  $S$  and the fact that  $u = \rho$  near  $b\Omega$ , we obtain by integration by parts

$$\int_{\Omega} S \wedge df \wedge d^c f \leq \int_{\Omega} S \wedge T = \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} \wedge T$$

which is bounded by a constant because  $dd^c \rho$  is bounded by a constant times  $\omega$ . In order to get the result, it is enough to show that the last integral in (2.4) is bounded by a constant times a power of  $\delta$ .

For this purpose, by integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi^2 S \wedge d(u - u_{\varepsilon}) \wedge d^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) = - \int_{\Omega} 2\chi(u - u_{\varepsilon}) d\chi \wedge S \wedge d^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{\Omega} \chi^2(u - u_{\varepsilon}) S \wedge dd^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}).$$

Since  $d\chi = 0$  outside  $\Omega \setminus \Omega^-$ , the second identity in (2.3) implies that the first term in the RHS of the last line is bounded by a constant times  $\varepsilon = \delta^{\kappa} = \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\kappa}$ . Moreover, the second term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi^2(u - u_{\varepsilon}) S \wedge dd^c(u - u_{\varepsilon}) \right| &\leq \|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} S \wedge dd^c(u + u_{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \rho)^{n-1} \wedge dd^c(\rho + \rho_{\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is obtained by using Stokes theorem and  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  is the standard  $\varepsilon$ -regularization of  $\rho$  by the convolution.

The last integral is clearly bounded by a constant because  $\rho$  is smooth. Finally, since  $u$  is Hölder continuous, we have

$$\|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq c_3 \varepsilon^{\gamma} = c_3 \delta^{\gamma \kappa}$$

for some positive constants  $c_3$  and  $\gamma$ . The result follows.  $\square$

Using the same techniques, we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  bounded psh functions on  $\Omega$ . Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\Omega$  and  $\mu$  a positive measure supported by  $K$ . Assume that  $\mu \leq dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n$ . Then,  $\mu$  seen as a functional on the space  $W^*(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega)$ , extends to a functional  $\mu : W^*(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is continuous in the following sense: if a bounded sequence  $(f_k) \subset W^*(\Omega)$  converges in the sense of currents to a function  $f \in W^*(\Omega)$  then  $\mu(f_k)$  converges to  $\mu(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  be a domain containing  $K$ . By [DMV, Lemma 2.3], for every function  $f \in W^*(\Omega)$ , the standard regularization  $f_\varepsilon$  of  $f$  by the convolution, converges to  $f$  when  $\varepsilon$  goes to 0; moreover,  $f_\varepsilon$  is smooth and has a bounded norm in  $W^*(\Omega')$ . Therefore, in order to obtain the lemma, it is enough to show that if a bounded sequence  $(f_k) \subset W^*(\Omega') \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega')$  converges in the sense of currents to a function  $f \in W^*(\Omega')$ , then the sequence of real numbers  $\mu(f_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence. This property is in fact a particular case of [DMV, Lemma 2.6].  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** Any function  $f \in W^*(\Omega)$  admits canonical representatives which are functions defined outside a pluripolar set and equal to  $f$  almost everywhere. Two canonical representatives of  $f$  are equal outside a pluripolar set. In the last lemma, the value of  $\mu(f)$  is in fact equal to the usual integral of a canonical representative of  $f$  with respect to  $\mu$ . It is well known that  $\mu$  has no mass on pluripolar sets. Therefore, the last integral does not depend on the choice of the canonical representative of  $f$ . We refer to [DMV] for more details.

### 3. MONGE-AMPÈRE MEASURES WITH CONTINUOUS POTENTIALS

From now on, we work in the global setting. Some results can be easily extended to the local setting but for simplicity we choose not to discuss this case here. Let  $X, n$  and  $\omega$  be as in the Introduction.

We first consider some properties of  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  and  $W^*(X)$ . Define

$$\text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) := \{u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) : \max_X u = 0\}.$$

The following result is due to Tian [Tian].

**Lemma 3.1.** *There are positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_X e^{-\alpha u} \omega^n \leq c \quad \text{for every } u \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega).$$

*In particular, Tian's invariant defined as*

$$\alpha_0 := \sup \{\alpha : (3.1) \text{ holds for some positive constant } c\}$$

*is a finite positive number.*

Let  $E$  be a Borel subset of  $X$ . Recall from [BT, K03] that the global version of Bedford-Taylor capacity of  $E$  is defined by

$$\text{cap}_\omega(E) := \sup \left\{ \int_E (dd^c v + \omega)^n : v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), -1 \leq v \leq 0 \right\}.$$

Recall that the *global extremal functions* associated with  $E$  are defined as

$$(3.2) \quad h_E(x) := \sup \{v(x) : v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), v \leq 0 \text{ and } v|_E \leq -1\}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad V_E(x) := \sup \{v(x) : v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), v \leq 0 \text{ on } E\}.$$

The second function is an analogue of the Siciak-Zahariuta extremal function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Denote by  $h_E^*$  and  $V_E^*$  the upper semi-continuous regularizations of  $h_E$  and  $V_E$  respectively. The following result was obtained in [GZ]. We only state it for compact sets for simplicity.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $X$ . Then we have the following properties*

- (a)  $h_K = -1$  on  $K$ ,  $h_K^* \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ ,  $h_K \leq h_K^*$  and the set  $\{h_K \neq h_K^*\}$  is pluripolar;  
 (b)  $K$  is non-pluripolar if and only if  $\sup_X V_K^* < +\infty$ ; and in this case, we have

$$\text{cap}_\omega(K) = \int_K (dd^c h_K^* + \omega)^n = \int_X (-h_K^*)(dd^c h_K^* + \omega)^n$$

and also  $V_K^* \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ ,  $V_K^* \geq 0$  with

$$\int_K (dd^c V_K^* + \omega)^n = \int_X (dd^c V_K^* + \omega)^n = \int_X \omega^n = 1;$$

- (c) there is a positive constant  $c$  independent of  $K$  such that if  $\sup_X V_K^* \geq 1$  then

$$\frac{1}{\text{cap}_\omega(K)^{1/n}} \leq \sup_X V_K^* \leq \frac{c}{\text{cap}_\omega(K)}.$$

*Proof.* For (a) and (b), see [GZ]. Property (c) is slightly different from [GZ, Prop 7.1]. We give here the proof for reader's convenience. Define

$$M := \sup_X V_K^* \quad \text{and} \quad u := M^{-1}(V_K^* - M).$$

Since  $M \geq 1$  by hypothesis, we have  $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ . Note that  $dd^c V_K^* + \omega \leq M(dd^c u + \omega)$ . Therefore, by (b)

$$M^{-n} = M^{-n} \int_K (dd^c V_K^* + \omega)^n \leq \int_K (dd^c u + \omega)^n \leq \text{cap}_\omega(K).$$

The first inequality is proved.

We prove now the second inequality. Let  $v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  be such that  $v \leq 0$  on  $K$  and define  $v' := M^{-1}(v - M)$ . Then, by the definition of  $M$ , we have  $v \leq M$  on  $X$ . Hence  $v' \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  and furthermore,  $\sup_X v' \leq 0$  and  $v' \leq -1$  on  $K$ . This implies that  $v' \leq h_K^*$ . It follows from the definitions of  $V_K$  and  $V_K^*$  that

$$M^{-1}(V_K^* - M) \leq h_K^* \leq 0.$$

Then,

$$\text{cap}_\omega(K) = \int_X (-h_K^*)(dd^c h_K^* + \omega)^n \leq M^{-1} \int_X |V_K^* - M|(dd^c h_K^* + \omega)^n.$$

By [GZ, Cor 3.3] the last integral is less than

$$(3.4) \quad \int_X |V_K^* - M|\omega^n + n \leq \sup \{ \|v\|_{L^1} : v \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega) \} + n =: c$$

because  $\sup_X (V_K^* - M) = 0$ . Since  $\text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$  is compact in  $L^1(X)$ , the constant  $c$  is finite. The second inequality in (c) follows easily.  $\square$

The following lemma shows that the cone  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$  is contained in the space  $W^*(X)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $u, v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$  be such that  $v \leq u \leq 0$ . Then we have*

$$\|u - v\|_* \leq \|u - v\|_{L^1} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|v\|_{L^1} + \|v\|_{L^\infty}.$$

*In particular, if  $u \equiv 0$ , then*

$$\|v\|_* \leq \|v\|_{L^1} + \|v\|_{L^\infty}.$$



*Proof.* We only need to prove the first inequality. Set  $f = u - v \geq 0$ . Then, we have  $\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty}$  as  $v \leq u \leq 0$ . Since

$$dd^c f^2 + 2fdd^c v = 2df \wedge d^c f + 2fdd^c u \quad \text{and} \quad dd^c u + \omega \geq 0,$$

we have

$$2f(dd^c v + \omega) + dd^c f^2 \geq 2df \wedge d^c f.$$

Using  $\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty}$  and  $dd^c v + \omega \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\|f\|_* \leq \|f\|_{L^1} + \int_X \left( \|v\|_{L^\infty}(dd^c v + \omega) + \frac{1}{2}dd^c f^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|v\|_{L^\infty},$$

where we used the Stokes theorem and the normalization of  $\omega$  for the last identity.  $\square$

The following lemma is also useful for us.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on  $X$ . Assume that  $\mu$  is  $W^*(X)$ -bounded, i.e., there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|\mu(f)| \leq c\|f\|_*$  for every smooth function  $f$  on  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  has no mass on pluripolar subsets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a pluripolar subset of  $X$ . Then there is a function  $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  such that  $u = -\infty$  on  $E$ . By Demailly's regularization theorem [Dem], there is a sequence of smooth functions  $u_k \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  decreasing to  $u$ . By subtracting from  $u$  and  $u_k$  a large constant, we can assume that  $u$  and  $u_k$  are negative for every  $k$ . So we have  $u \leq u_k \leq 0$ . By extracting a subsequence, we can also assume that  $u_k \leq -e^k$  on  $E$ .

Consider the function  $\chi(t) := -\log(1-t)$  which is smooth convex and increasing on  $\mathbb{R}_-$ . Define  $v_k := \chi(u_k)$ . Then  $v_k$  is smooth, negative and  $v_k \leq -k$  on  $E$ . We also have  $v_k \geq u_k \geq u$  which implies that  $\|v_k\|_{L^1}$  is bounded by  $\|u\|_{L^1}$ . Furthermore, using that  $0 \leq \chi' \leq 1$ ,  $\chi'' = (\chi')^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}_-$  and  $dd^c u_k + \omega \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c v_k + \omega &= \chi''(u_k)du_k \wedge d^c u_k + \chi'(u_k)dd^c u_k + \omega \\ &\geq \chi''(u_k)du_k \wedge d^c u_k \\ &= dv_k \wedge d^c v_k. \end{aligned}$$

The computation shows that  $dd^c v_k + \omega$  is a positive closed current. Since it is cohomologous to  $\omega$ , its mass is equal to the one of  $\omega$ . We deduce that  $\|v_k\|_*$  is bounded by a constant independent of  $k$ . By hypothesis,  $|\mu(v_k)|$  is bounded by a constant. Since  $v_k$  is negative and  $v_k \leq -k$  on  $E$ , we conclude that  $\mu$  has no mass on  $E$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on  $X$  and  $p$  a positive number. We say that  $\mu$  is  $(W^*(X), \log^p)$ -continuous if there is a positive constant  $c$  such that  $|\mu(f)| \leq c(\log^* \|f\|_{L^1})^{-p}$  for every smooth function  $f$  on  $X$  with  $\|f\|_* \leq 1$ , where  $\log^* := 1 + |\log|$ .

The following theorem gives us sufficient conditions for a measure to have a continuous Monge-Ampère potential. The implication (c2)  $\implies$  (c1) has been obtained in [EGZ, Theorem 2.1] (see also [K98]).

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\omega$  a Kähler form on  $X$  normalized so that  $\int_X \omega^n = 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Then the implications (c3)  $\implies$  (c2)  $\implies$  (c1) hold, where*

(c1)  $\mu = (dd^c u + \omega)^n$  for a continuous  $\omega$ -psh function  $u$  on  $X$ ;

- (c2)  $\mu$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , that is, we have  $\mu(K) \leq c \operatorname{cap}_\omega(K)^{1+\alpha}$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ ;  
 (c3)  $\mu$  is  $(W^*(X), \log^p)$ -continuous for  $p > n + 1$ .

We need the following lemma. Note that functions in  $\operatorname{PSH}(X, \omega)$  are defined everywhere and upper semi-continuous. In particular, their integrals with respect to  $\mu$  are meaningful.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mu$  satisfy the above property (c3). Then there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for  $s \geq 1$  and  $v \in \operatorname{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$*

$$\mu\{v \leq -s\} \leq c \max\{1, \|v\|_{L^\infty}\} (\alpha_0 s + |\log \|v\|_{L^\infty}| + 1)^{-p},$$

where  $\alpha_0$  is the Tian's invariant.

*Proof.* Since  $s \geq 1$ , we only need to consider the case where  $\|v\|_{L^\infty} \geq 1$ , otherwise the estimate is clear. Put  $v_s := \max\{v, -s + 1\}$ . Then  $v \leq v_s \leq 0$  and  $v_s \in \operatorname{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$ . It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|v_s - v\|_* \leq \|v_s - v\|_{L^1} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\|v\|_{L^\infty}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have for every  $t \geq 0$  and for  $\alpha := \alpha_0/2$

$$\int_{\{v < -t\}} \omega^n \leq \int_X e^{-\alpha(v+t)} \omega^n \leq c e^{-\alpha t}.$$

Therefore, applying this for  $t = s + j$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \|v_s - v\|_{L^1} &= \int_{\{v < -s+1\}} |v_s - v| \omega^n \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{v < -s-j+1\}} \omega^n \\ &\leq c_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha j} e^{-\alpha s} = c_2 e^{-\alpha s} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Recall that  $\|v_s - v\|_* \leq 2\|v\|_{L^\infty}$ . Then, the condition (c3) and Definition 3.5 applied for  $f := (2\|v\|_{L^\infty})^{-1}(v_s - v)$  imply

$$\int_X (v_s - v) d\mu \leq c_3 \|v\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \left| \log \frac{\|v_s - v\|_{L^1}}{2\|v\|_{L^\infty}} \right| \right)^{-p}$$

for some positive constant  $c_3$ . Inserting (3.5) into the last inequality, we get

$$\mu\{v \leq -s\} \leq \int_X (v_s - v) d\mu \leq c_4 \|v\|_{L^\infty} (\alpha s + \log \|v\|_{L^\infty} + 1)^{-p}$$

for some positive constant  $c_4$ . The lemma follows by choosing a suitable constant  $c$ .  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 3.6.* Assume the property (c3). We only need to show that (c2) is true. We use an argument which is inspired by the proofs in [EGZ] and [GZ05]. Let  $K \subset X$  be compact as in (c2). Since  $\mu$  vanishes on pluripolar sets (Lemma 3.4) we may assume that  $K$  is non-pluripolar. Let  $h_K^*$  be the relative extremal function of  $K$ . Define the number  $\tau > 0$  by

$$\tau^n := \operatorname{cap}_\omega(K) = \int_X |h_K^*| (dd^c h_K^* + \omega)^n > 0 \quad (\text{see Lemma 3.2}).$$

Let  $V_K$  be the global extremal function defined in (3.3). Since  $K$  is non-pluripolar, we have that  $M := \sup_X V_K$  is a finite number.

**Case 1:** assume that  $0 \leq M \leq 1$ . Then  $0 \leq V_K^* \leq 1$  hence  $V_K - 1$  coincides with the relative extremal function  $h_K$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2-(b) that

$$1 = \int_K (dd^c V_K^* + \omega)^n \leq \text{cap}_\omega(K) \leq \text{cap}_\omega(X) = 1.$$

Thus, the assertion (c) clearly holds in this case.

**Case 2:** assume now that  $M > 1$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2-(c)

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{\tau} \leq M \leq \frac{c}{\tau^n}.$$

We also have from (3.2) that the function  $h := (V_K^* - M)/M$  satisfies  $h \leq h_K^*$ . Hence, using Lemma 3.7, (3.6), and the fact that  $p > n + 1 > 1$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu\{h_K^* \leq -1\} &\leq \mu\{h \leq -1\} = \mu\{V_K^* - M \leq -M\} \\ &\leq c' M (\alpha_0 M + \log M + 1)^{-p} \leq c'' (\text{cap}_\omega(K))^{\frac{p-1}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c'$  and  $c''$  are positive constants.

To complete the proof recall from Lemma 3.2 that  $h_K = h_K^*$  outside a pluripolar set. By Lemma 3.4,  $\mu$  vanishes on this set. Thus,

$$\mu(K) \leq \mu\{h_K = -1\} = \mu\{h_K^* = -1\} \leq \mu\{h_K^* \leq -1\}.$$

This combined with (3.7) and  $p > n + 1$  complete the proof of (c2).  $\square$

#### 4. END OF THE PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS AND SOME REMARKS

From the discussion after Theorem 2.3, we only need to show that (h4)  $\iff$  (h1) and (h5)  $\implies$  (h4). This also completes the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in the Introduction.

We will use the notion of moderate measures introduced in [DNS]. Recall from [DNS] that a measure  $\mu$  is moderate if and only if there exist positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $c$  such that for every compact set  $K \subset X$ ,

$$\mu(K) \leq c \exp\left(-\alpha \text{cap}_\omega(K)^{-1/n}\right).$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Assume that  $\mu$  is  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ -Hölder continuous. Then  $\mu$  is moderate. In particular, we have  $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  be a number such that  $\mu$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder on  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ . We will use arguments similar to the ones in the proof of Theorem 3.6. Instead of using Lemma 3.7, we need the following estimate where  $\alpha := \alpha_0/2$  as above.

**Claim.** There is a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\mu\{v \leq -s\} \leq c \max\{1, \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\beta}\} e^{-\beta\alpha s}$$

for every  $s \geq 1$  and  $v \in \text{PSH}_0(X, \omega)$ .

Indeed, since  $s \geq 1$ , we can assume that  $\|v\|_{L^\infty} \geq 1$ . As for the inequality (3.5), there is a positive constant  $c'$  such that

$$\int_X (v_s - v) d\mu \leq c' \|v\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\beta} \cdot \|v_s - v\|_{L^1}^\beta$$

by the  $\beta$ -Hölder property of  $\mu$ , see Definition 2.1 with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $\beta$ . Thus, the claim is obtained as in the last part of the proof in Lemma 3.7.

Now, observe that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that for every  $t > 0$

$$t^{1-\beta} \exp(-\beta\alpha t) \leq c \exp(-\beta\alpha t/2).$$

We use this inequality for  $t := M$ . As at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.6, using (3.6), we get that for every compact set  $K \subset X$ ,

$$\mu(K) \leq \mu\{h_K^* \leq -1\} \leq c' \exp\left(-\frac{\beta\alpha}{2[\text{cap}_\omega(K)]^{1/n}}\right)$$

for some positive constant  $c'$ . Thus,  $\mu$  is moderate and the proof is finished.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 2.3. (h4)  $\iff$  (h1).* Recall from [KN] that (h1) is equivalent to the following property

(h4)'  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\mu$  is  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ -Hölder continuous.

By Proposition 4.1, the property (h4) is equivalent to (h4)' and hence to (h1).

**(h5)  $\implies$  (h4).** Assume that the property (h5) holds, i.e.,  $\mu$  is  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuous. Consider smooth functions  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  with values in  $[-1, 1]$ . By Lemma 3.3, the norms  $\|f_i\|_*$  are bounded by 2. By Definition 1.1 applied to  $(f_1 - f_2)/4$ , we have  $|\mu(f_1 - f_2)| \leq c' \|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^1}^\alpha$  for some positive constants  $c'$  and  $\alpha$  independent of  $f_i$ .

Consider now arbitrary functions  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ . By Demailly's regularization theorem [Dem], there are sequences of smooth functions  $f_{i,k}$  in  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$  decreasing to  $f_i$ . For  $k$  large enough, we have that  $f_{i,k}$  has values in  $[-1, 1]$ . So we can apply the above estimate for  $f_{i,k}$  instead of  $f_i$  and let  $k$  tend to infinity. Thus, the estimate  $|\mu(f_1) - \mu(f_2)| \leq c' \|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^1}^\alpha$  holds for all  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega, [-1, 0])$ . We conclude that (h5)  $\implies$  (h4) and this ends the proof of the theorem.

**(h5)  $\implies$  (h1).** It is possible to end the proof using a more direct argument where the reader will see the role of (h5) more clearly. Assume that the property (h5) holds. We want to prove the property (h1) which says that  $\mu = (dd^c u + \omega)^n$  for some Hölder continuous  $\omega$ -psh function  $u$ . By Theorem 3.6, there is a continuous  $\omega$ -psh function  $u$  such that

$$(dd^c u + \omega)^n = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_X u = 0.$$

Note that in order to get the last identity it is enough to subtract from  $u$  a constant.

Following [DDGKPZ] consider for  $0 < \delta < 1$  the regularization  $\rho_\delta u$  of the  $\omega$ -psh function  $u$  defined by

$$\rho_\delta u(z) := \delta^{-2n} \int_{\zeta \in T_z X} u(\exp_z(\zeta)) \rho(\delta^{-2} |\zeta|_\omega^2) dV_\omega(\zeta),$$

where  $\zeta \mapsto \exp_z(\zeta)$  is the exponential map from the tangent space  $T_z X$  of  $X$  at  $z$  to  $X$  with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with the Kähler form  $\omega$ . Here,  $|\zeta|_\omega$  denotes the norm of the vector  $\zeta \in T_z X$ ,  $dV_\omega(\zeta)$  the volume element induced by the measure  $(dd^c |\zeta|_\omega^2)^n$  on the complex space  $T_z X \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ , and the smoothing kernel  $\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is given by

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\eta}{(1-t)^2} \exp(\frac{1}{t-1}) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$

with a suitable constant  $\eta$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \rho(\|z\|^2) dV(z) = 1,$$

where  $dV$  denotes the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

A basic result of Demailly [Dem] shows that

$$dd^c \rho_\delta u(z) \geq -A\omega$$

for some constant  $A > 1$  depending only on the curvature of  $(X, \omega)$ . Since  $u$  is bounded,  $\|\rho_\delta u\|_\infty$  is bounded by a constant independent of  $\delta$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3, since  $A^{-1}\rho_\delta u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ , there is a positive constant  $c_1$  independent of  $\delta$  such that  $\|\rho_\delta u\|_* \leq c_1$ .

By [DDGKPZ], we already have  $\|\rho_\delta u - u\|_{L^1} \leq c_2 \delta^2$  for some constant  $c_2 > 0$ . The  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuity of  $\mu$  applied for  $A^{-1}\rho_\delta u$  and  $A^{-1}u$  implies

$$\int_X |\rho_\delta u - u| d\mu \leq c_3 A^{1-\alpha} \|\rho_\delta u - u\|_{L^1}^\alpha \leq c_4 \delta^{2\alpha}$$

for some positive constants  $\alpha$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$ . Note that the first inequality is a direct consequence of the  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuity of  $\mu$  when  $u$  is smooth. The same inequality holds for  $u$  continuous because we can approximate it uniformly by smooth functions in  $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ , see [Dem]. It follows that  $u$  is Hölder continuous with the Hölder exponent  $2\alpha/(n+1)$  (see [DN, Page 83]). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** In the proof of (h5)  $\implies$  (h4), we see that the properties (h1)-(h6) are actually equivalent to the following property

(h5)'  $\mu$  satisfies the estimate in Definition 1.1 for  $f$  in the set

$$\{f \in W^*(X) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(X) : \|f\|_* \leq 1, \quad f \text{ has values in } [0, 1]\}.$$

Let us give some applications of the new criteria (h5) and (h5)'. Denote by  $\text{MAH}(X, \omega)$  the set of all Monge-Ampère measures with Hölder continuous potentials. It is proved in [DDGKPZ, Theorem B] that this is a convex set, and more generally, it satisfies the  $L^p$ -property for  $p > 1$ . This result is also an immediate consequence of (h5)'.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $\mu \in \text{MAH}(X, \omega)$  and  $g \in L^p(\mu)$  a non-negative function with  $p > 1$  and  $\int_X g d\mu = 1$ . Then, we have  $g\mu \in \text{MAH}(X, \omega)$ .*

*Proof.* By Remark 4.2, it is sufficient to show for some positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$  that  $\int_X f g d\mu \leq c \|f\|_{L^1}^\alpha$  for every  $f \in W^*(X) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  with  $\|f\|_* \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ . Indeed, by the equivalence between (h1) and (h5), there are positive constants  $c'$  and  $\alpha'$  such that  $\mu(f) \leq c' \|f\|_{L^1}^{\alpha'}$ . Now let  $q \geq 1$  be the conjugate of  $p$ , i.e.,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . The Hölder inequality gives

$$\mu(fg) \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\mu)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq \|g\|_{L^p(\mu)} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/q} \mu(f)^{1/q} \leq c \|f\|_{L^1}^\alpha,$$

where  $\alpha := \alpha'/q$  and  $c$  is a positive constant. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following proposition is the product property for  $W^*$ -Hölder continuity. Together with our main results, it implies similar product properties for all (h1)-(h6).

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $X_i$  be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension  $n_i$  and  $\omega_i$  a Kähler form on  $X_i$  normalized so that  $\int_{X_i} \omega_i^{n_i} = 1$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\mu_i$  be a probability measure on  $X_i$ . Then, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu_i$  is  $W^*(X_i)$ -Hölder continuous for  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu := \mu_1 \times \mu_2$  is  $W^*(X_1 \times X_2)$ -Hölder continuous.

*Proof.* Denote by  $\pi_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  the natural projection for  $i = 1, 2$ . Consider the Kähler form  $\omega := \lambda(\pi_1^*(\omega_1) + \pi_2^*(\omega_2))$  on  $X$  with the normalization factor  $\lambda > 0$  chosen so that  $\int_X \omega^{n_1+n_2} = 1$ . The  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder continuity doesn't depend on this choice.

(i)  $\implies$  (ii). Assume the property (i). We deduce from the equivalence (h5)  $\iff$  (h1) that  $\mu_i = (dd^c u_i + \omega_i)^{n_i}$ , where  $u_i$  is a Hölder continuous  $\omega_i$ -psh function on  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It follows that

$$\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2 = (dd^c(u_1 \circ \pi_1) + \pi_1^*(\omega_1))^{n_1} \wedge (dd^c(u_2 \circ \pi_2) + \pi_2^*(\omega_2))^{n_2}.$$

Thus, (ii) follows from Proposition 2.4.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). Assume (ii) is true. We only prove the property (i) for  $\mu_1$  as the proof for  $\mu_2$  is similar. Let  $f_1 \in W^*(X_1) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_1)$  such that  $\|f_1\|_* \leq 1$ . Define  $f := f_1 \circ \pi_1$ . It is clear that  $\|f\|_*$  is bounded. By the  $W^*(X)$ -Hölder property of  $\mu$  applying for  $f$  we have

$$|\mu_1(f_1)| = |\mu(f)| \leq c \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}^\alpha = c \|f_1\|_{L^1(\mu_1)}^\alpha$$

for some positive constants  $c$  and  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $\mu_1$  is  $W^*(X_1)$ -Hölder continuous. □

**Remark 4.5.** Let  $\mu$  be the measure as in Theorems 1.2, 1.4, 2.2 or 2.3. According to these theorems, Lemma 2.6 and Remark 2.7, we can extend  $\mu$  to a linear continuous functional on  $W^*(X)$  or  $W^*(\Omega)$  and the estimates in Definitions 1.1 and 1.3 are satisfied for every  $f \in W^*(X)$  or  $f \in W^*(\Omega)$  respectively.

#### REFERENCES

- [BT] E. Bedford, B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. **149** (1982), 1-40.
- [Dem] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents of type (1, 1) by the flow of a Chern connection*, Aspects Math. **E26**, Vieweg (1994) 105–126.
- [DDGKPZ] J.-P. Demailly, S. Dinew, V. Guedj, S. Kołodziej, H.-H. Pham, A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16** (2014) no. 4, 619–647.
- [Din] S. Dinew, *Hölder continuous potentials on manifolds with partially positive curvature*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **9** (2010) 705–718.
- [DZ] S. Dinew, Z. Zhang, *On stability and continuity of bounded solutions of degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010) 367–388.
- [DMV] T.-C. Dinh, G. Marinescu, D.-V. Vu, *Moser-Trudinger inequalities and complex Monge-Ampère equation*, preprint (2020). arXiv:2006.07979
- [DN] T.-C. Dinh, V.-A. Nguyen, *Characterization of Monge-Ampère measures with Hölder continuous potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 1, 67–84.
- [DNS] T.-C. Dinh, V.-A. Nguyen, N. Sibony, *Exponential estimates for plurisubharmonic functions and stochastic dynamics*, J. Differential Geom. **84** (2010), no. 3, 465–488.
- [DS] T.-C. Dinh, N. Sibony, *Decay of correlations and central limit theorem for meromorphic maps*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math **59** (2006) 754–768.
- [EGZ] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 3, 607–639.
- [GKZ] V. Guedj, S. Kołodziej, A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008), 1070–1080.
- [GZ] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **15** (2005), 607–639.
- [Hiep] P. H. Hiep, *Hölder continuity of solutions to the Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **60** (2010), 1857–1869.

- [K98] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math. **180** (1998), no. 1, 69–117.
- [K03] S. Kołodziej, *The Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (3) (2003) 667–686.
- [K05] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (2005), pp. 64.
- [K08] S. Kołodziej, *Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with the right-hand side in  $L^p$  : the case of compact Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **342** (2) (2008), 379–386.
- [KN] S. Kołodziej, N.-C. Nguyen, *Continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations on Hermitian manifolds for measures dominated by capacity*, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2003.05061](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.05061)
- [N18] N.-C. Nguyen, *On the Hölder continuous subsolution problem for the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 15 pp.
- [N20] N.-C. Nguyen, *On the Hölder continuous subsolution problem for the complex Monge-Ampère equation II*, Anal. PDE **13** (2020), no. 2, 435–453.
- [Vign] G. Vigny, *Dirichlet-like space and capacity in complex analysis in several variables*, J. Funct. Anal. **252** (2007), no. 1, 247–277.
- [Vu] D.-V. Vu, *Complex Monge-Ampère equation for measures supported on real submanifolds*, Math. Ann. **372** (2018), pp. 321–367.
- [Tian] G. Tian, *On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with  $c_1(M) > 0$* , Invent. math. **89** (1987), 225–246
- [Yau] S. T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978) no. 3, 339–411.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 10 LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076.

*E-mail address:* [matdtc@nus.edu.sg](mailto:matdtc@nus.edu.sg)

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND.

*E-mail address:* [slawomir.kolodziej@im.uj.edu.pl](mailto:slawomir.kolodziej@im.uj.edu.pl)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, 291 DAEHAK-RO, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 34141, SOUTH KOREA.

*E-mail address:* [cuongnn@kaist.ac.kr](mailto:cuongnn@kaist.ac.kr)