

# UNSTABLE TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE OF PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS WITH SUB-ADDITIVE POTENTIALS

WENDA ZHANG, ZHIQIANG LI, AND YUNHUA ZHOU

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce the unstable topological pressure for  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with sub-additive potentials. Moreover, without any additional assumption, we have established the expected variational principle which connects this unstable topological pressure and the unstable measure theoretic entropy, as well as the corresponding *Lyapunov exponent*.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the topological pressure for additive potentials was first introduced by Ruelle for expansive maps, see [11]. In [9], Pesin and Pitskel defined topological pressures for non-compact sets, which is a generalization of Bowen's topological entropy on non-compact sets in [2], and they proved a variational principle under some supplementary conditions. On the other hand, in [6] and [3], Falconer and Barreira investigated topological pressures for non-additive (including sub-additive ones) potentials and obtained variational principles under some restrictions. In [4], Cao, Feng, and Huang established the variational principle of topological pressure for sub-additive potentials without any additional assumptions. Topological pressures, variational principles, and equilibrium states play fundamental roles in statistical mechanics, ergodic theory, and dynamical systems, see the books [1, 12].

In the category of differentiable dynamics, dynamical invariants such as entropy and pressure are developed for diffeomorphisms on closed Riemannian manifolds, especially for  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. A fundamental result is due to Hu, Hua, and Wu

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37D35, Secondary 37D30.

*Key words and phrases.* Unstable topological pressure, Sub-additive potential, Variational principle.

([7]), they introduced the so called unstable topological and unstable metric entropy, obtained the corresponding Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem and variational principle. Along this line, Hu, Wu, and Zhu investigated the unstable topological pressure for additive potentials in [8].

In this paper, we study the unstable topological pressure in a more general setting, i.e., for  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with sub-additive potentials, and set up the expected variational principle. Although the project originates from purely topological setting, we must deal with measure disintegration in differentiable dynamics with respect to unstable manifolds, which causes some difficulties and complexity. Moreover, we have to handle the *Lyapunov exponent* of sub-additive potentials entirely rather than focus only on the continuous potential in additive case.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism and  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$  on  $M$ . Then*

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = \sup\{h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)\}.$$

Moreover,

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = \sup\{h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f^e(M)\}.$$

(All involved terms and notation are defined in Section 2.)

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set up notation and give definitions of unstable topological pressure for sub-additive potentials. Section 3 consists of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Throughout the paper, we focus on the dynamical system  $(M, f)$ , where  $M$  is a finite dimensional, smooth, connected, and compact Riemannian manifold without boundary; and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. We say  $f$  is *partially hyperbolic*, if there exists a nontrivial  $Df$ -invariant splitting  $TM = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$  of the tangent bundle into stable, central, and unstable distributions, such that all unit vectors  $v^\sigma \in E_x^\sigma$  ( $\sigma = s, c, u$ ) with  $x \in M$  satisfy

$$\|D_x f v^s\| < \|D_x f v^c\| < \|D_x f v^u\|,$$

and

$$\|D_x f|_{E_x^s}\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|D_x f^{-1}|_{E_x^u}\| < 1,$$

for some suitable Riemannian metric on  $M$ . The stable distribution  $E^s$  and unstable distribution  $E^u$  are integrable to the stable and unstable

foliations  $W^s$  and  $W^u$  respectively such that  $TW^s = E^s$  and  $TW^u = E^u$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  the set of Borel probability measures on  $M$ , by  $\mathcal{M}_f(M)$  the subset of invariant ones of  $f$  on  $M$ , and by  $\mathcal{M}_f^e(M)$  the subset of ergodic ones.

Let us gather some necessary preliminaries for the unstable metric entropy in [7].

Take  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  small. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon_0}$  denote the set of finite Borel partitions  $\alpha$  of  $M$  whose elements have diameters smaller than or equal to  $\epsilon_0$ , that is,  $\text{diam } \alpha := \sup\{\text{diam } A : A \in \alpha\} \leq \epsilon_0$ . For any partition  $\xi$  of  $M$  and any  $x \in M$ , we denote by  $\xi(x)$  the element of  $\xi$  containing  $x$ . For each  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$  we can define a finer partition  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(x) = \beta(x) \cap W_{loc}^u(x)$  for each  $x \in M$ , where  $W_{loc}^u(x)$  denotes the local unstable manifold at  $x$  whose size is greater than the diameter  $\epsilon_0$  of  $\beta$ . Since  $W^u$  is a continuous foliation,  $\eta$  is a measurable partition with respect to any Borel probability measure on  $M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}^u$  denote the set of partitions  $\eta$  obtained in this way and *subordinate to unstable manifolds*. Here a partition  $\eta$  of  $M$  is said to be subordinate to unstable manifolds of  $f$  with respect to a measure  $\mu$  if for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x$ ,  $\eta(x) \subseteq W^u(x)$  and contains an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $W^u(x)$ . It is clear that if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $\mu(\partial\alpha) = 0$ , where  $\partial\alpha := \cup_{A \in \alpha} \partial A$ , then the corresponding  $\eta$  given by  $\eta(x) = \alpha(x) \cap W_{loc}^u(x)$  is a partition subordinate to unstable manifolds of  $f$ .

Given any probability measure  $\nu$  and any measurable partition  $\eta$  of  $M$ , a classical result of Rokhlin (cf. [10]) says that there exists a system of conditional measures with respect to  $\eta$ , which is a family of probability measures  $\{\nu_x^\eta : x \in M\}$  with  $\nu_x^\eta(\eta(x)) = 1$  such that for every measurable set  $B \subseteq M$ ,  $x \mapsto \nu_x^\eta(B)$  is measurable and

$$\nu(B) = \int_X \nu_x^\eta(B) d\nu(x).$$

This is called the *canonical system of conditional measures* of  $\nu$  over  $\eta$  or the measure disintegration of  $\nu$  over  $\eta$ . It is essentially unique in the sense that two such systems coincide with respect to a set of points with full  $\nu$ -measure.

In [7], the authors defined the unstable metric entropy as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** For any measurable partitions  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^u$ , set

$$H_\mu(\alpha|\eta) := - \int_M \log \mu_x^\eta(\alpha(x)) d\mu(x),$$

the unstable metric entropy of  $f$  is defined as

$$h_\mu^u(f) = \sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{P}^u} h_\mu(f|\eta),$$

where

$$h_\mu(f, \alpha|\eta) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) \text{ and } h_\mu(f|\eta) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} h_\mu(f, \alpha|\eta)$$

**Remark 2.1.** In [7], the authors proved that  $h_\mu(f|\eta)$  is independent of  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^u$ . Moreover, for any ergodic measure  $\mu$ , any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  small enough),  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^u$ , one has  $h_\mu^u(f) = h_\mu(f|\eta) = h_\mu(f, \alpha|\eta)$ . Moreover, the limit sup above actually means the limit (See Lemma 2.8, Theorem A, and Corollary A.2 there). From now on we shall use this fact frequently without mentioning the reference any more.

**Definition 2.2.** Given a sequence of continuous functions  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  on  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$  if

$$(2.1) \quad \log g_{m+n}(x) \leq \log g_n(x) + \log g_m(f^n x), \forall x \in M, m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$ , set

$$\mathcal{G}_*(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \log g_n d\mu,$$

and  $\mathcal{G}_*(\mu)$  is called the Lyapunov exponent of  $\mathcal{G}$  with respect to  $\mu$ . It takes values in  $[-\infty, +\infty)$ . Moreover, the Sub-additive Ergodic Theorem (cf. [12], Theorem 10.1) implies that for an ergodic measure  $\mu$ , one has

$$\mathcal{G}_*(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log g_n \text{ a.e. } x \in M.$$

Denote by  $d^u$  the metric induced by the Riemannian structure on the unstable manifold and let  $d_n^u(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} d^u(f^j(x), f^j(y))$ . Denote by  $W^u(x, \delta)$  the open ball inside  $W^u(x)$  with center  $x$  and radius  $\delta$  with respect to  $d^u$ . Let  $E$  be a subset of points in  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  with pairwise  $d_n^u$ -distances at least  $\epsilon$ , such a set is called an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated subset of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ . Note that  $M$  is compact and  $W^u$  is a continuous foliation, then for any  $\delta > 0$  small enough, there exists a  $C > 1$  such that for any  $x \in M$ , one has

$$(2.2) \quad d(y, z) \leq d^u(y, z) \leq Cd(y, z), \text{ for any } y, z \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$ . Set

$$P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y) \mid E \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon) \text{ } u\text{-separated subset of } \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \right\},$$

and

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta).$$

Define

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta).$$

The unstable topological pressure of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) := \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in M} P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

We can also define unstable topological pressure using  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -spanning sets as follows.

A subset  $F \subset W^u(x)$  is called an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -spanning set of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  if  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \subset \cup_{y \in F} B_n^u(y, \epsilon)$ , where  $B_n^u(y, \epsilon) = \{z \in W^u(x) : d_n^u(y, z) \leq \epsilon\}$  is the  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -Bowen ball around  $y$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$ . Set

$$Q_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{y \in F} \sup_{z \in B_n^u(y, \epsilon)} g_n(z) \mid F \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon) \text{ } u\text{-spanning subset of } \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \right\},$$

$$Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Q_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta)$$

and

$$Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta).$$

Define

$$P^{u*}(f, \mathcal{G}) := \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in M} Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

The two definitions above actually coincide.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$ . Then for any  $x \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$ , one has  $Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) = P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta)$ , and hence  $P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = P^{u*}(f, \mathcal{G})$ .

*Proof.* First we show for any  $x \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) \geq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , suppose  $F$  is an arbitrary  $(n, \epsilon/2)$   $u$ -spanning subset and  $E$  is an arbitrary  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated subset of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ , respectively. For each  $y \in E$ , one can choose some  $z \in F$  with  $d_n^u(y, z) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , and then define a map  $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$  by  $\varphi(y) = z$ . Then  $\varphi$  is injective. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\varphi(y) \in F} \sup_{z \in B_n^u(\varphi(y), \epsilon/2)} g_n(z) \geq \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y),$$

and then

$$Q_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon/2, x, \delta) \geq P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta).$$

This immediately yields (2.3).

On the other hand, for any given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , one can choose  $y_1 \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  with

$$g_n(y_1) = \sup_{y \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(y),$$

and then choose  $y_2 \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus B_n^u(y_1, \epsilon)$  with

$$g_n(y_2) = \sup_{y \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus B_n^u(y_1, \epsilon)} g_n(y).$$

One can continue this process. More precisely, in step  $m$  we choose  $y_m \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{m-1} B_n^u(y_j, \epsilon)$  with

$$g_n(y_m) = \sup_{y \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{m-1} B_n^u(y_j, \epsilon)} g_n(y).$$

Suppose this process stops at certain step  $l$ , and produces a maximal  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated set  $E = \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}$ , which implies that  $E$  is also an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -spanning set of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) \\ & \leq \sum_{y \in E} \exp \left( \sup_{z \in B_n^u(y, \epsilon)} \log g_n(z) \right) = \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y) \\ & \leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{y \in F} g_n(y) \mid F \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon) \text{ } u\text{-separated subset of } \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \right\} \\ & = P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.4) \quad Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

Combining (2.3) with (2.4), one has  $Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) = P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta)$ , and hence  $P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = P^{u*}(f, \mathcal{G})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** *With a quite similar argument as the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [8], we can show that the unstable topological pressure for sub-additive potentials we defined above is independent of the choice of  $\delta$ .*

Another formulation of the unstable topological pressure is using open covers. Let  $Cov_{(x, \delta)}^O$  be the collection of all finite open covers of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  and  $Cov_{(x, \delta)}^B$  be the collection of all finite Borel covers of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ , i.e., each member of the cover is a Borel subset. Suppose that  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  are two covers of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{V} \succeq \mathcal{U}$  if the cover  $\mathcal{V}$  is a refinement of the cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . Set  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) := \max\{\text{diam}(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

Given  $\mathcal{U} \in Cov_{(x, \delta)}^O$ , set  $\mathcal{U}_m^n := \bigvee_{i=m}^n f^{-i}\mathcal{U}$ , and define

$$p_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \mathcal{V}} \sup_{y \in B \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(y) \mid \mathcal{V} \in Cov_{(x, \delta)}^B, \mathcal{V} \succeq \mathcal{U}_0^{n-1} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all Borel covers refining  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** *For any  $x \in M$ , any  $\delta > 0$ , and any  $\mathcal{U} \in Cov_{(x, \delta)}^O$ , the sequence  $\{\log p_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is sub-additive.*

In fact, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , observe that if  $\alpha \in Cov_{(x, \delta)}^B$  such that  $\alpha \succeq \mathcal{U}_0^{n-1}$ , and  $\beta \in Cov_{(x, \delta)}^B$  with  $\beta \succeq \mathcal{U}_0^{m-1}$ , then  $\alpha \vee f^{-n}\beta \succeq \mathcal{U}_0^{n+m-1}$  and

$$\sum_{A \in \alpha \vee f^{-n}\beta} \sup_{y \in A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_{n+m}(y) \leq \left( \sum_{B \in \alpha} \sup_{y \in B \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(y) \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{C \in \beta} \sup_{z \in C \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_m(z) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\log p_{n+m}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta) \leq \log p_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta) + \log p_m^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta).$$

**Definition 2.5.** *Set*

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log p_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta),$$

and

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) := \sup_{\mathcal{U} \in Cov_{(x, \delta)}^O} \tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta).$$

We define

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}) := \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in M} \tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

**Remark 2.5.** *We claim that*

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) = \lim_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow 0} \tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta).$$

Indeed if  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U} \in \text{Cov}_{(x, \delta)}^O$  and  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{V})$  is less than the Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{U}$ , then by the definition one gets

$$p_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}, x, \delta) \geq p_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta).$$

Next we show that this formulation in terms of open covers is equivalent to the previous definitions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$ . Then for any  $x \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$ , one has*

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) = P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) = Q^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta),$$

and hence

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = P^{u*}(f, \mathcal{G}).$$

*Proof.* First we show

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , any  $x \in M$ , and any  $\delta > 0$ , we construct an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated set of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  in the following way. Take  $y_1 \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  such that  $g_n(y_1) = \sup_{y \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(y)$ , and then take  $y_2 \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus B_n^u(y_1, \epsilon)$  with

$$g_n(y_2) = \sup_{y \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus B_n^u(y_1, \epsilon)} g_n(y).$$

Continue this procedure, in step  $l$ , choose  $y_l \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} B_n^u(y_i, \epsilon)$  such that

$$g_n(y_l) = \sup_{y \in \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} B_n^u(y_i, \epsilon)} g_n(y).$$

By compactness of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ , this process will stop at certain step  $N$ . Denote by  $E = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$  the set we obtain in this way. Then  $E$  is an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated subset of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ . Set  $\mathcal{U} = \{B^u(f^i y_j, \epsilon) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{U}$  forms an open cover of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  with  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq 2\epsilon$  in the  $d^u$  metric. Set

$$\alpha = \{B_n^u(y_1, \epsilon), B_n^u(y_2, \epsilon) \setminus B_n^u(y_1, \epsilon), \dots, B_n^u(y_N, \epsilon) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N-1} B_n^u(y_i, \epsilon)\}.$$



Then  $\alpha$  is a Borel cover of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  and  $\alpha \succeq \mathcal{U}_0^{n-1}$ . Then one has

$$\sum_{A \in \alpha} \sup_{y \in A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N g_n(y_i) \leq P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta).$$

Hence

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta) \leq P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta),$$

Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , by (2.5) one has

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) \leq P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

Next we show the inverse inequality

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta) \geq P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, x, \delta).$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  with  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon$  in the  $d^u$  metric. Given any Borel cover  $\alpha$  of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  with  $\alpha \succeq \mathcal{U}_0^{n-1}$ , and any  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated subset  $E$  of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ , then any  $y \in E$  is contained exactly in one member  $A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  for some  $A \in \alpha$ . So we get

$$\tilde{P}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U}, x, \delta) \geq P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta).$$

Combining with (2.3), we get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Next we gather some basic properties of unstable topological pressure for sub-additive potentials. They follow in quite a similar way as in the classical case, see Theorem 9.7 in [12], so we omit the proof to avoid redundancy.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{\log h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be two sequences of sub-additive potentials of  $f$  on  $M$ . Then the following statements are true.*

- (1)  $P^u(f, c + \mathcal{G}) = P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) + c$ , where  $c + \mathcal{G} = \{c + \log g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{H}$ , i.e. for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x \in M$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq g_n(x)$ , then

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{H}),$$

- (3)  $P^u(f, \cdot)$  is convex, that is to say,

$$P^u(f, p\mathcal{G} + (1-p)\mathcal{H}) \leq pP^u(f, \mathcal{G}) + (1-p)P^u(f, \mathcal{H}),$$

where  $p\mathcal{G} + (1-p)\mathcal{H} = \{p \log g_n + (1-p) \log h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

- (4)

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H}) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) + P^u(f, \mathcal{H}),$$

where  $\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H} = \{\log g_n + \log h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$

(5)

$$P^u(f, c\mathcal{G}) \leq cP^u(f, \mathcal{G}), \text{ if } c \geq 1,$$

and

$$P^u(f, c\mathcal{G}) \geq cP^u(f, \mathcal{G}), \text{ if } c \leq 1.$$

(6) If we further assume  $\mathcal{H}$  is additive, then

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H} \circ f - \mathcal{H}) = P^u(f, \mathcal{G}),$$

where  $\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H} \circ f - \mathcal{H} = \{\log g_n + \log h_n \circ f - \log h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .(7) For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$P^u(f^k, \mathcal{G}^{(k)}) = kP^u(f, \mathcal{G})$$

where  $f^k := \underbrace{\{f \circ \cdots \circ f\}}_{k \text{ times}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} := \{\log g_{kn}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** It is not clear whether the result of (6) is still true if  $\mathcal{H}$  is only sub-additive.

### 3. THE PROOF OF THE VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

The following result is well known, see e.g. Lemma 9.9 of [12].

**Lemma 3.1.** Given  $0 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m \leq 1$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(a_i - \log p_i) \leq \log \sum_{i=1}^m e^{a_i},$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $p_i = \frac{e^{a_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^m e^{a_i}}$ .

The following power rule for unstable entropy is also straightforward.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$ , one has  $h_\mu(f^k|\eta) = kh_\mu(f|\eta)$ , and hence  $h_\mu^u(f^k) = kh_\mu^u(f)$ .

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* We divide the proof into three steps.

*Step 1.* In this step will show that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$ , one has

$$h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}).$$

Take any finite partition  $\alpha = \{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  of  $M$ , such that  $\text{diam}(A_i) \leq \epsilon_0$ ,  $A_i$  is compact for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $A_0 = M \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$ .

Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , based on the definition of conditional measure and Lemma 3.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_\mu(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) + \int_M \log g_n(x) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_M \log g_n(x) - \log \mu_x^\eta(\alpha_0^{n-1}(x)) d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_M \int_{\eta(x)} (\log g_n(y) - \log \mu_x^\eta(\alpha_0^{n-1}(y))) d\mu_x^\eta(y) d\mu(x) \\
&\leq \int_M \left( \sum_{A \in \alpha_0^{n-1} \cap \eta(x)} \mu_x^\eta(A) (\sup_{y \in A} \log g_n(y) - \log \mu_x^\eta(A)) \right) d\mu \\
&\leq \sup_{B \in \eta} \log \left( \sum_{A \in \alpha_0^{n-1} \cap B} \sup_{y \in A} g_n(y) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{diam}(\eta) \leq \epsilon_0$ , then for any  $B \in \eta$ , there exists  $x \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B \subseteq \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ . Then

$$H_\mu(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) + \int_M \log g_n(x) d\mu(x) \leq \sup_{x \in M} \log \left( \sum_{A \in \alpha_0^{n-1}} \sup_{x \in A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(x) \right).$$

Set  $b = \min\{d(A_i, A_j) : i, j = 1, \dots, k, i \neq j\}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $\epsilon < b/2$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we shall construct an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated set of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  to obtain an estimation of  $P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{C = A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)} | A \in \alpha_0^{n-1}\}$ . For each  $C \in \mathcal{M}$ , choose some  $x(C) \in \overline{C}$  such that  $g_n(x(C)) = \sup_{y \in C} g_n(y)$ . We claim

that for each  $C \in \mathcal{M}$ , there are at most  $2^n$  different  $\tilde{C}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that  $d_n^u(x(C), x(\tilde{C})) < \epsilon$ . To see this claim, for each  $C \in \mathcal{M}$ , pick up the unique index tuple  $(i_0(C), i_1(C), \dots, i_{n-1}(C)) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}^n$  such that

$$C = (A_{i_0(C)} \cap f^{-1}A_{i_1(C)} \cap f^{-2}A_{i_2(C)} \cap \dots \cap f^{-(n-1)}A_{i_{n-1}(C)}) \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}.$$

Now fix a  $C \in \mathcal{M}$  and let  $\mathcal{Y}$  denote the collection of all  $\tilde{C}$  with  $d_n^u(x(C), x(\tilde{C})) < \epsilon$ , then we have

$$\#\{i_l(\tilde{C}) | \tilde{C} \in \mathcal{Y}\} \leq 2, l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

To see this inequality, we assume on the contrary that there exists a  $l$ , and  $\tilde{C}_1, \tilde{C}_2, \tilde{C}_3 \in \mathcal{Y}$ , such that  $i_l(\tilde{C}_1), i_l(\tilde{C}_2), i_l(\tilde{C}_3)$  are distinct.

Without loss of generality, we assume  $i_l(\widetilde{C}_1) \neq 0, i_l(\widetilde{C}_2) \neq 0$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^u(x(\widetilde{C}_1), x(\widetilde{C}_2)) &\geq d_n^u(f^l(x(\widetilde{C}_1)), f^l(x(\widetilde{C}_2))) \\ &\geq d_n^u(A_{i_l(\widetilde{C}_1)}, A_{i_l(\widetilde{C}_2)}) \geq b > 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Now we choose an element  $C_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ , such that

$$g_n(x(C_1)) = \max_{C \in \mathcal{M}} g_n(x(C)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_1$  denote the collection of all  $\widetilde{C} \in \mathcal{Y}$  with  $d_n^u(x(C_1), x(\widetilde{C})) < \epsilon$ . Then the cardinality of  $\mathcal{Y}_1$  does not exceed  $2^n$ . If the collection  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{Y}_1$  is not empty, we choose an element  $C_2 \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{Y}_1$  such that

$$g_n(x(C_2)) = \max_{C \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{Y}_1} g_n(x(C)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_2$  be the collection of  $\widetilde{C} \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{Y}_1$  with  $d_n^u(x(C_2), x(\widetilde{C})) < \epsilon$ . We continue this process, in step  $m$  we choose an element  $C_m \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{Y}_i$  such that

$$g_n(x(C_m)) = \max_{C \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{Y}_i} g_n(x(C)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_m$  be the collection of  $\widetilde{C} \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{Y}_i$  with  $d_n^u(x(C_m), x(\widetilde{C})) < \epsilon$ . Since the partition is finite, the process above will stop at some step  $m$ . Set  $E = \{x(C_j) \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $E$  is an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated set of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$ .

For each  $\mathcal{Y}_j$ , we have

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{Y}_j} g_n(x(C)) \leq 2^n g_n(x(C_j)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y) &= \sum_{j=1}^m g_n(x(C_j)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{Y}_j} g_n(x(C)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{A \in \alpha_0^{n-1}} \sup_{x \in A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{A \in \alpha_0^{n-1}} \sup_{x \in A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(x) \leq 2^n \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_\mu(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) + \int_M \log g_n(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \sup_{x \in M} \log \left( \sum_{A \in \alpha_0^{n-1}} \sup_{x \in A \cap \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}} g_n(x) \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in M} \log(2^n \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Divided by  $n$  on both sides, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) + \frac{1}{n} \int_M \log g_n(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \log 2 + \frac{1}{n} \sup_{x \in M} \log \left( \sum_{y \in E} g_n(y) \right) \\ &\leq \log 2 + \frac{1}{n} \log P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}). \end{aligned}$$

Take the limit superior with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , one gets

$$h_\mu(\alpha|\eta) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \leq \log 2 + \sup_{x \in M} P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}).$$

Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , one has

$$h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \leq \log 2 + P^u(f, \mathcal{G}).$$

Since this inequality holds for all diffeomorphisms and sub-additive potentials, thus we can apply it to  $f^k$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}$  and get

$$h_\mu^u(f^k) + \mathcal{G}_*^{(k)}(\mu) \leq \log 2 + P^u(f^k, \mathcal{G}^{(k)}).$$

By Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \leq \frac{\log 2}{k} + P^u(f, \mathcal{G}).$$

Since  $k$  is arbitrary, we have

$$h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}).$$

*Step 2.* In this step we show that for any  $\rho > 0$ , there exists a  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$  satisfying

$$h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \geq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) - \rho.$$

The argument is quite similar to the case of additive potentials, as well as the classical case of entropy, we exhibit the full detail for the convenience of readers. By the definition of  $P^u(f, \mathcal{G})$ , for any  $\delta > 0$  small enough, one can take  $x \in M$  such that

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \overline{W^u(x, \delta)}) \geq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) - \rho.$$

For any small  $\epsilon > 0$ , suppose  $E_n$  be an  $(n, \epsilon)$   $u$ -separated set of  $\overline{W^u(x, \delta)}$  whose cardinality is denoted by  $N^u(f, \epsilon, n, x, \delta)$  satisfying

$$\log \sum_{y \in E_n} g_n(y) \geq \log P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) - 1.$$

Define

$$\nu_n := \frac{\sum_{y \in E_n} g_n(y) \delta_y}{\sum_{z \in E_n} g_n(z)} \text{ and } \mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \nu_n \circ f^{-i}.$$

By compactness of  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  equipped with the weak\*-topology, we can find a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $\{\mu_{n_k}\}$  converges, say  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_k} = \mu$ , obviously  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$ . Next we show that  $\mu$  fits in our purpose.

For some  $\delta$  small enough, pick  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}^u$  such that  $W^u(x, \delta) \subset \eta(x)$ . Then one can choose a  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\mu(\partial\alpha) = 0$ , and  $\text{diam}(\alpha) < \epsilon/C$  where  $C > 1$  is as in (2.2). Hence  $\log N^u(f, \epsilon, n, x, \delta) = H_{\nu_n}(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta)$ . For any given  $q > 1$ , put  $a(j) = \lfloor \frac{n-j}{q} \rfloor$ , where  $n$  is a natural number with  $n > q$  and  $j = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ . So

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-i} \alpha = \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-(rq+j)} \alpha_0^{q-1} \vee \bigvee_{t \in S_j} f^{-t} \alpha,$$

where  $S_j = \{0, 1, \dots, j-1\} \cup \{j+qa(j), \dots, n-1\}$ .

For any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ , suppose  $\alpha^u$  is the partition in  $\mathcal{P}^u$  whose elements are given by  $\alpha^u(x) = \alpha(x) \cap W_{loc}^u(x)$ . Then

(3.1)

$$f^{rq} \left( \bigvee_{i=0}^{r-1} f^{-iq} \alpha_0^{q-1} \vee f^j \eta \right) = f^{rq} (\alpha_0^{rq-1} \vee f^j \eta) = f\alpha \vee \dots \vee f^{rq} \alpha \vee f^{rq+j} \eta \geq f\alpha^u,$$

and

(3.2)

$$H_\nu \left( \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-(rq+j)} \alpha_0^{q-1} | \eta \right) = H_{f_*^j \nu} \left( \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-rq} \alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta \right), \forall \nu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M).$$

On one hand, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\nu_n}(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) + \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n &= \sum_{y \in E_n} \nu_n(\{y\}) (-\log \nu_n(\{y\}) + \log g_n(y)) \\ &= \log \sum_{y \in E_n} g_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_{\nu_n}(\alpha_0^{n-1}|\eta) + \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n \\
&= H_{\nu_n} \left( \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-(rq+j)} \alpha_0^{q-1} \vee \bigvee_{t \in S_j} f^{-t} \alpha | \eta \right) + \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n \\
&\leq \sum_{t \in S_j} H_{\nu_n}(f^{-t} \alpha | \eta) + H_{\nu_n} \left( \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-(rq+j)} \alpha_0^{q-1} | \eta \right) + \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n \\
&= \sum_{t \in S_j} H_{\nu_n}(f^{-t} \alpha | \eta) + H_{f^j \nu_n} \left( \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-rq} \alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta \right) + \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n.
\end{aligned}$$

While by Lemma 2.6 in [7], combining with (3.1) and (3.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_{f_*^j \nu_n} \left( \bigvee_{r=0}^{a(j)-1} f^{-rq} \alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta \right) \\
&= H_{f_*^j \nu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta) + \sum_{r=1}^{a(j)-1} H_{f_*^j \nu_n} \left( \alpha_0^{q-1} | f^{rq} \left( \bigvee_{i=0}^{r-1} f^{-iq} \alpha_0^{q-1} \vee f^j \eta \right) \right) \\
&\leq H_{f_*^j \nu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta) + \sum_{r=1}^{a(j)-1} H_{f_*^j \nu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f \alpha^u).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $|S_j| \leq 2q$  and assume  $|\alpha| = d$ . Add up the inequalities above over  $j$  from 0 to  $q-1$ , and divided by  $n$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{q}{n} \log \sum_{y \in E_n} g_n(y) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{t \in S_j} H_{\nu_n}(f^{-t} \alpha | \eta) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} H_{f_*^j \nu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{f_*^j \nu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f \alpha^u) + \frac{q}{n} \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n \\
& (3.3) \\
&\leq \frac{2q^2}{n} \log d + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} H_{f_*^j \nu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f^j \eta) + H_{\mu_n}(\alpha_0^{q-1} | f \alpha^u) + \frac{q}{n} \int_M \log g_n d\nu_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{n_k\}$  be a sequence of natural numbers satisfying

- (1)  $\mu_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \log P_{n_k}^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta)$ . Since  $\mu(\partial \alpha) =$

0 and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$ , then for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has  $\mu(\partial\alpha_0^{q-1}) = 0$ . By upper semi-continuity of the unstable metric entropy, one has that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_{\mu_{n_k}}(\alpha_0^{q-1} \mid f\alpha^u) \leq H_\mu(\alpha_0^{q-1} \mid f\alpha^u).$$

Now we can deduce from (3.3) that

$$q \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) \leq H_\mu(\alpha_0^{q-1} \mid f\alpha^u) + q\mathcal{G}_*(\mu),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} P^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \overline{W(x, \delta)}) &\leq \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q} H_\mu(\alpha_0^{q-1} \mid f\alpha^u) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \\ &= h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \geq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) - \rho$ .

Combining with *Step 1*, we have proved

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = \sup \{h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)\}.$$

*Step 3.* Now we prove the second conclusion of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $\rho > 0$  be sufficiently small, by *step 2*, there exists an invariant measure  $\mu$  such that

$$h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) > P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) - \rho.$$

Note that  $h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_f^e(M)} (h_\nu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\nu)) d\nu$ , there is an ergodic invariant measure  $\nu$  such that

$$h_\nu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\nu) > P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) - \rho.$$

Let  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , one has  $P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = \sup\{h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f^e(M)\}$ .  $\square$

Inspired by [5], we give the following equivalent description of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$ -smooth partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism and  $\mathcal{G} = \{\log g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of sub-additive potentials of  $f$  on  $M$ . Then*

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^u(f, \frac{\log g_n}{n})$$

*if and only if*

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) = \sup\{h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)\}.$$

*Proof.* **The "if" part.** By assumption, for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad h_\mu^u(f) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\log g_n}{n} d\mu \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}).$$



Based on upper semi-continuity of unstable metric entropy, for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists  $\mu_{2^t} \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$  such that

$$P^u(f, \frac{\log g_{2^t}}{2^t}) = h_{\mu_{2^t}}^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_{2^t}}{2^t} d\mu_{2^t}.$$

Since the set  $\mathcal{M}_f(M)$  is compact, we can assume that  $\{\mu_{2^t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \rightarrow \mu$ . By the sub-additivity of  $\{\log g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ , the sequence  $\{\frac{\log g_n}{n}\}$  is decreasing. Then

$$h_{\mu_{2^t}}^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_{2^t}}{2^t} d\mu_{2^t} \leq h_{\mu_{2^s}}^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_{2^s}}{2^s} d\mu_{2^s},$$

if  $s < t$ . Based on upper semi-continuity of unstable metric entropy, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^u(f, \frac{\log g_n}{n}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P^u(f, \frac{\log g_{2^t}}{2^t}) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( h_{\mu_{2^t}}^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_{2^t}}{2^t} d\mu_{2^t} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( h_{\mu_{2^s}}^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_{2^s}}{2^s} d\mu_{2^s} \right) \quad (s < t) \\ &\leq h_\mu^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_{2^s}}{2^s} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of the natural number  $s$ , one has

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^u(f, \frac{\log g_n}{n}) \leq h_\mu^u(f) + \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\log g_{2^s}}{2^s} d\mu.$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^u(f, \frac{\log g_n}{n}) \leq P^u(f, \mathcal{G}).$$

For the other inequality, we write  $n = sl + r$ , for any given  $l$ , where  $s \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq r < l$ , by the sub-additivity of  $\{\log g_n\}$ , for any  $0 \leq j < l$ , we have

$$\log g_n(x) \leq \log g_j(x) + \log g_l(f^j x) + \cdots + \log g_l(f^{(s-2)l+j} x) + \log g_{l+r-j}(f^{(s-1)l+j} x),$$

where  $\log g_0(x) \equiv 0$ . Summing up the inequalities above from  $j = 0$  to  $j = l - 1$  leads to

$$l \log g_n(x) \leq 2lC_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{(s-1)l-1} \log g_l(f^i x),$$

where  $C_1 = \max_{0 \leq j \leq 2l-1} \max_{x \in M} |\log g_j(x)|$ . Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \log g_n(x) \leq 2C_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{(s-1)l-1} \frac{1}{l} \log g_l(f^i x) \leq 4C_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \log g_l(f^i x),$$

and so

$$g_n(x) \leq \exp(4C_1) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \log g_l(f^i x)\right).$$

Then for any  $x \in M$  and any  $\delta > 0$ , one has

$$P_n^u(f, \mathcal{G}, \epsilon, x, \delta) \leq P_n^u\left(f, \frac{1}{l} \log g_l, \epsilon, x, \delta\right),$$

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) \leq P^u\left(f, \frac{1}{l} \log g_l\right),$$

and then

$$P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} P^u\left(f, \frac{1}{l} \log g_l\right).$$

**The "only if" part.** Since the entropy map  $\mu \rightarrow h_\mu^u(f)$  is upper semi-continuous, with a similar argument as Proposition 4.4 in [4], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{ h_\mu^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_n}{n} d\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M) \right\} = \sup \{ h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M) \}.$$

Then by the variational principle for the additive potentials, we have

$$P^u\left(f, \frac{\log g_n}{n}\right) = \sup \left\{ h_\mu^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_n}{n} d\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M) \right\},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} P^u(f, \mathcal{G}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{ h_\mu^u(f) + \int \frac{\log g_n}{n} d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M) \right\} \\ &= \sup \{ h_\mu^u(f) + \mathcal{G}_*(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M) \}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 3.1.** *This proposition shows that to deduce the variational principle for sub-additive potentials from additive case is equivalent to prove it directly.*

*Acknowledgements.* The first author is supported by a NSFC (National Science Foundation of China) grant with grant No.11501066 and a grant from the Department of Education in Chongqing City with contract No. KJQN201900724 in Chongqing Jiaotong University.

The second author is supported by the Chongqing Key Laboratory of Analytic Mathematics and Applications.

The third author is supported by the National Science Foundation of China with grant No. 11871120 and 11671093.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*. Lect. Notes in Math. 470, Springer Verlag, 1975. [1](#)
- [2] R. Bowen, *Topological entropy for noncompact sets*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 184 (1973), 125-136. [1](#)
- [3] L. Barreira, *Measures of maximal dimension for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*. Commun. Math. Phys. 239 (2003), 93-113. [1](#)
- [4] Y. Cao, D. Feng, and W. Huang, *The thermodynamic formalism for submultiplicative potentials*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A 20 (2008), 639-657. [1](#), [18](#)
- [5] J. Ban, Y. Cao, and H. Hu, *Dimensions of average conformal repeller*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 727-751. [16](#)
- [6] K. Falconer, *A subadditive thermodynamic formalism for mixing repellers*. J. Phys. A 21 (1988), 737-742. [1](#)
- [7] H. Hu, Y. Hua, and W. Wu, *Unstable entropies and variational principle for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*. Adv. Math. 321 (2017), 31-68. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [15](#)
- [8] H. Hu, W. Wu, and Y. Zhu, *Unstable pressure and u-equilibrium states for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*. ArXiv preprint arXiv:1601.05504, 2016. [2](#), [7](#)
- [9] Ya. Pesin and B. Pitskel, *Topological pressure and the variational principle for noncompact sets*. Functional Anal. Appl. 18 (1984), 307-318. [1](#)
- [10] V. A. Rohlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, no. 71 (1952), 55 pp. [3](#)
- [11] D. Ruelle, *Statistical mechanics on a compact set with  $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ -action satisfying expansiveness and specification*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 187 (1973), 237-251. [1](#)
- [12] P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 79, Springer Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. [1](#), [4](#), [9](#), [10](#)

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, CHINA 400074

*E-mail address:* wendazhang951@aliyun.com

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, CHINA 401331

*E-mail address:* zqli@cqu.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, CHINA 401331

*E-mail address:* zhouyh@cqu.edu.cn