

Minimum 2-vertex strongly biconnected spanning directed subgraph problem

Raed Jaberri

Abstract


A directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is strongly biconnected if G is strongly connected and its underlying graph is biconnected. A strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is called 2-vertex-strongly biconnected if $|V| \geq 3$ and the induced subgraph on $V \setminus \{w\}$ is strongly biconnected for every vertex $w \in V$. In this paper we study the following problem. Given a 2-vertex-strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$, compute an edge subset $E^{2sb} \subseteq E$ of minimum size such that the subgraph (V, E^{2sb}) is 2-vertex-strongly biconnected.

Keywords: Directed graphs, Approximation algorithms, Graph algorithms, strongly connected graphs, Strongly biconnected directed graphs


1. Introduction

A directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is strongly biconnected if G is strongly connected and its underlying graph is biconnected. A strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is called k -vertex-strongly biconnected if $|V| > k$ and for each $L \subset V$ with $|L| < k$, the induced subgraph on $V \setminus L$ is strongly biconnected. The minimum k -vertex-strongly biconnected spanning subgraph problem (denoted by MKVSBSS) is formulated as follows. Given a k -vertex-strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$, compute an edge subset $E^{ksb} \subseteq E$ of minimum size such that the subgraph (V, E^{ksb}) is k -vertex-strongly biconnected. In this paper we consider the MKVSBSS problem for $k = 2$. Each 2-vertex-strongly-biconnected directed graph is 2-vertex-connected, but the converse is not necessarily true. Thus, optimal solutions for minimum 2-vertex-connected spanning subgraph (M2VCSS) problem are not necessarily feasible solutions for the 2-vertex strongly biconnected spanning subgraph problem, as shown in Figure 1.


The problem of finding a k -vertex-connected spanning subgraph of a k -vertex-connected directed graph is NP-hard for $k \geq 1$ [4]. Results of Edmonds [2] and Mader [11] imply that the number of edges in each mini-



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 1: (a) A 2-vertex strongly biconnected graph. (b) An optimal solution for the minimum 2-vertex-connected spanning subgraph problem. But note that this subgraph is not 2-vertex strongly biconnected.(c) An optimal solution for the minimum 2-vertex strongly biconnected spanning subgraph problem

mal k -vertex-connected directed graph is at most $2kn$ [1]. Cheriyan and Thurimella [1] gave a $(1 + 1/k)$ -approximation algorithm for the minimum k -vertex-connected spanning subgraph problem. Georgiadis [7] improved the running time of this algorithm for the M2VCSS problem and presented a linear time approximation algorithm that achieves an approximation factor of 3 for the M2VCSS problem. Georgiadis et al. [8] provided linear time 3-and 2- approximation algorithms based on the results of [6, 5, 9, 3] for the M2VCSS problem. Furthermore, Georgiadis et al. [8] improved the algorithm of Cheriyan and Thurimella when $k = 2$. Strongly connected components of a directed graph and blocks of an undirected graphs can be found in linear time using Tarjan's algorithm [14]. Wu and Grumbach [15] introduced the concept of strongly biconnected directed graph and strongly connected components. The MKVSBSS problem is NP-hard for $k \geq 1$. In this paper we study the MKVSBSS problem when $k = 2$ (denoted by M2VSBSS).

2. Approximation algorithm for the M2VSBSS problem

In this section we present an approximation algorithm (Algorithm 2.2) for the M2VSBSS Problem. This algorithm is based on b-articulation points, minimal 2-vertex-connected subgraphs, and Lemma 2.1. A vertex w in a strongly biconnected directed graph G is a b-articulation points if $G \setminus \{w\}$ is not strongly biconnected [10].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G_s = (V, E_s)$ be a subgraph of a strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$ such that G_s is strongly connected and G_s has $t > 0$ strongly biconnected components. Let (u, w) be an edge in $E \setminus E_s$ such that u, w are in not in the same strongly biconnected component of G_s . Then the directed subgraph $(V, E \cup \{(u, w)\})$ contains at most $t - 1$ strongly biconnected components.*

Proof. Since G_s is strongly connected, there exists a simple path p from w to u in G_s . Path p and edge (u, w) form a simple cycle. Consequently, the vertices u, w are in the same strongly biconnected component of the subgraph $(V, E \cup \{(u, w)\})$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Algorithm 2.2 returns a 2-vertex strongly biconnected directed subgraph.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

The following lemma shows that each optimal solution for the M2VSBSS problem has at least $2n$ edges.

Algorithm 2.2.**Input:** A 2-vertex strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$ **Output:** a 2-vertex strongly biconnected subgraph $G_{2s} = (V, E_{2s})$

```

1  find a minimal 2-vertex-connected subgraph  $G_1 = (V, E_1)$  of  $G$ .
2  if  $G_1$  is 2-vertex strongly biconnected then
3      output  $G_1$ 
4  else
5       $E_{2s} \leftarrow E_1$ 
6       $G_{2s} \leftarrow (V, E_{2s})$ 
7      identify the b-articulation points of  $G_1$ .
8      for every b-articulation point  $b \in V$  do
9          while  $G_{2s} \setminus \{b\}$  is not strongly biconnected do
10             calculate the strongly biconnected components of  $G_{2s} \setminus \{b\}$ 
11             find an edge  $(u, w) \in E \setminus E_{2s}$  such that  $u, w$  are not in
12             the same strongly biconnected components of  $G_{2s} \setminus \{b\}$ .
13              $E_{2s} \leftarrow E_{2s} \cup \{(u, w)\}$ 
14      output  $G_{2s}$ 

```

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a 2-vertex-strongly biconnected directed graph. Let $O \subseteq E$ be an optimal solution for the M2VSBSS problem. Then $|O| \geq 2n$.*

Proof. for any vertex $x \in V$, the removal of x from the subgraph (V, O) leaves a strongly biconnected directed subgraph. Since each strongly biconnected directed graph is strongly connected, the subgraph (V, O) has no strong articulation points. Therefore, the directed subgraph (V, O) is 2-vertex-connected. \square

Let l be the number of b-articulation points in G_1 . The following lemma shows that Algorithm 2.2 has an approximation factor of $(2 + l/2)$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let l be the number of b-articulation points in G_1 . Then, $|E_{2s}| \leq l(n - 1) + 4n$.*

Proof. Results of Edmonds [2] and Mader [11] imply that $|E_1| \leq 4n$ [1, 7]. Moreover, by Lemma 2.4, every optimal solution for the M2VSBSS problem has size at least $2n$. For every b-articulation point in line 8, Algorithm 2.2 adds at most $n - 1$ edge to E_{2s} in while loop. Therefore, $|E_{2s}| \leq l(n - 1) + 4n$ \square

Theorem 2.6. *The running time of Algorithm 2.2 is $O(n^2m)$.*

Proof. A minimal 2-vertex-connected subgraph can be found in time $O(n^2)$ [7, 8]. B-articulation points can be computed in $O(nm)$ time. The strongly biconnected components of a directed graph can be identified in linear time [15]. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1, lines 9–13 take $O(nm)$ time. \square

3. Open Problems

Results of Mader [12, 13] imply that the number of edges in each minimal k -vertex-connected undirected graph is at most kn [1]. Results of Edmonds [2] and Mader [11] imply that the number of edges in each minimal k -vertex-connected directed graph is at most $2kn$ [1]. These results imply a 2-approximation algorithm [1] for minimum k -vertex-connected spanning subgraph problem for undirected and directed graphs [1] because every vertex in a k -vertex-connected undirected graphs has degree at least k and every vertex in a k -vertex-connected directed graph has outdegree at least k [1]. Note that these results imply a $7/2$ approximation algorithm for the M2VSBSS problem by calculating a minimal 2-vertex-connected directed subgraph of a 2-vertex strongly biconnected directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a minimal 3-vertex connected undirected subgraph of the underlying graph of G .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a 2-vertex strongly biconnected directed graph. Let $G_1 = (V, L)$ be a minimal 2-vertex-connected subgraph of G and let $G_2 = (V, U)$ be a minimal 3-vertex-connected subgraph of the underlying graph of G . Then the directed subgraph $G_s = (V, L \cup A)$ is 2-vertex strongly connected, where $A = \{(v, w) \in E \text{ and } (v, w) \in U\}$. Moreover, $|L \cup A| \leq 7n$*

Proof. Let w be any vertex of the subgraph G_s . Since the $G_1 = (V, L)$ is 2-vertex-connected, subgraph G_s has no strong articulation points. Therefore, $G_s \setminus \{w\}$ is strongly connected. Moreover, the underlying graph of $G_s \setminus \{w\}$ is biconnected because the underlying graph of G_s is 3-vertex-connected. Results of Edmonds [2] and Mader [11] imply that $|L| < 4n$. Results of Mader [12, 13] imply that $|U| \leq 3n$. \square

An open problem is whether each minimal 2-vertex strongly biconnected directed graph has at most $4n$ edges.

Cheriyān and Thuriṁella [1] presented a $(1 + 1/k)$ -approximation algorithm for the minimum k -vertex-connected spanning subgraph problem for directed and undirected graphs. The algorithm of Cheriyān and Thuriṁella [1] has an approximation factor of $3/2$ for the minimum 2-vertex-connected directed subgraph problem. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a 2-vertex strongly biconnected directed graph and let E^{CT} be the output of the algorithm of Cheriyān and Thuriṁella [1]. The directed subgraph (V, E^{CT}) is not necessarily 2-vertex

strongly biconnected. But a 2-vertex strongly biconnected subgraph can be obtained by performing the following third phase. For each edge $e \in E \setminus E^{CT}$, if the underlying graph of $G \setminus \{e\}$ is 3-vertex-connected, delete e from G . We leave as open problem whether this algorithm has an approximation factor of $3/2$ for the M2VSBSS problem.

References

- [1] J. Cheriyan, R. Thurimella, Approximating Minimum-Size k -Connected Spanning Subgraphs via Matching. SIAM J. Comput. 30(2) : 528–560(2000)
- [2] J. Edmonds, Edge-disjoint branchings. Combinatorial Algorithms, pages 91–96, 1972
- [3] D. Firmani, G.F. Italiano, L. Laura, A. Orlandi, F. Santaroni, Computing strong articulation points and strong bridges in large scale graphs, SEA, LNCS 7276, (2012) 195–207.
- [4] M. R. Garey, David S. Johnson: Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. W. H. Freeman 1979, ISBN 0–7167–1044–7
- [5] L. Georgiadis, Testing 2-vertex connectivity and computing pairs of vertex-disjoint s-t paths in digraphs, In Proc. 37th ICALP, Part I, LNCS 6198 (2010) 738–749.
- [6] Loukas Georgiadis, Robert E. Tarjan, Dominator Tree Certification and Divergent Spanning Trees. ACM Trans. Algorithms 12(1) : 11 : 1–11 : 42(2016)
- [7] L. Georgiadis: Approximating the Smallest 2-Vertex Connected Spanning Subgraph of a Directed Graph. ESA 2011 : 13–24
- [8] L. Georgiadis, G. F. Italiano, A. Karanasiou: Approximating the smallest 2-vertex connected spanning subgraph of a directed graph. Theor. Comput. Sci. 807: 185–200(2020)
- [9] G.F. Italiano, L. Laura, F. Santaroni, Finding strong bridges and strong articulation points in linear time, Theoretical Computer Science 447 (2012) 74–84.
- [10] R. Jaber, b-articulation points and b-bridges in strongly biconnected directed graphs, CoRR abs/2007.01897 (2020)

- [11] W. Mader, Minimal n -fach zusammenhängende Digraphen. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 38(2) : 102–117(1985)
- [12] W. Mader, Minimale n -fach kantenzusammenhängende Graphen. Math. Ann., 191 : 21 –28, 1971
- [13] W. Mader, Ecken vom Grad n in minimalen n -fach zusammenhängenden Graphen. Arch. Math. (Basel), 23 : 219–224, 1972
- [14] R. E. Tarjan, Depth First Search and Linear Graph Algorithms, SIAM J. Comput.,1(2)(1972), 146–160
- [15] Z. Wu, S. Grumbach, Feasibility of motion planning on acyclic and strongly connected directed graphs. Discret. Appl. Math. 158(9) : 1017–1028(2010)