

Non-uniform continuity of the generalized Camassa-Holm equation in Besov spaces

Jinlu Li¹, Xing Wu^{2*}, Weipeng Zhu³ and Jiayu Guo¹

¹*School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Gannan Normal University,
Ganzhou, Jiangxi, 341000, China*

²*College of Information and Management Science, Henan Agricultural University,
Zhengzhou, Henan, 450002, China*

³*School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University,
Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510006, China*

Abstract: In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation proposed by Hakkaev and Kirchev (2005) [13]. We prove that the solution map of the generalized Camassa-Holm equation is not uniformly continuous on the initial data in Besov spaces. Our result include the present work (2020) [20, 21] on Camassa-Holm equation with $Q = 1$ and extends the previous non-uniform continuity in Sobolev spaces (2015) [23] to Besov spaces. In addition, the non-uniform continuity in critical space $B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the first to be considered in our paper.

Keywords: Generalized Camassa-Holm equation, Non-uniform continuous dependence, Besov spaces

MSC (2010): 35B30; 35G25; 35Q53

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the Cauchy problem for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation introduced by Hakkaev and Kirchev [13]

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xxt} + \frac{(Q+2)(Q+1)}{2}u^Qu_x = \left(\frac{Q}{2}u^{Q-1}u_x^2 + u^Qu_{xx}\right)_x, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $Q \geq 1$ is a positive integer and $u(t, x)$ stands for the fluid velocity at time $t \geq 0$ in the spatial direction.

*E-mail: lijnlu@gnnu.edu.cn; ny2008wx@163.com(Corresponding author); mathzwp2010@163.com; 1612921989@qq.com

Hakkafv and Kirchev [13] proved that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$. The local well-posedness in the sense of Hadamard in Besov space $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ or $B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ were established in [22, 24, 25], respectively. Mi and Mu [23] showed that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in Sobolev space H^s with $s > \frac{3}{2}$ for both the periodic and the non-periodic case. In addition, they proved that the solution map is not uniformly continuous.

When $Q = 1$, Eq. (1.1) is reduced to the famous Camassa-Holm (CH) equation

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad (1.2)$$

which was first found by Fuchssteiner and Fokas [11] and later derived as a water wave model by Camassa and Holm [2]. The CH equation is completely integrable [2, 6], has bi-Hamiltonian structure [11, 3], possesses an infinity of conservation laws and admits exact peakon solutions of the form $ce^{-|x-ct|}$, describing an essential feature of the travelling waves of largest amplitude [2, 7, 8].

The local well-posedness and ill-posedness for the Cauchy problem of the CH equation (1.2) in Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces were studied in the series of papers [4, 5, 9, 12, 19]. After the non-uniform continuity for some dispersive equations was studied by Kenig et al. [17], non-uniform dependence of the CH equation has been studied by several authors. The first non-uniform dependence result for CH equation was established by Himonas and Misiólek [14] in H^s with $s \geq 2$ on the circle using explicitly constructed travelling wave solutions and this was then sharpened to $s > \frac{3}{2}$ in [15] on the line and [16] on the circle. The above mentioned works utilize the method of approximate solutions in conjunction with delicate commutator and multiplier estimates. Danchin [9, 10] showed the local existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to (1.2) with the initial data in $B_{p,r}^s$ for $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq r < \infty$. The continuous properties of the solutions on the initial data have been supplemented by Li and Yin in [19]. Very recently, Li, Yu and Zhu [20] have sharpened the results in [19] by showing that the solution map is not uniformly continuous, which depends deeply on the estimates of the transfer equation in Besov space and the constructed high-low frequency smooth initial data.

For studying the non-uniform continuity of the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, it is more convenient to express (1.1) in the following equivalent nonlocal form

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u^Q \partial_x u = -\partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{Q^2 + 3Q}{2(Q+1)} u^{Q+1} + \frac{Q}{2} u^{Q-1} (\partial_x u)^2 \right] := \mathbf{P}(u), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Up to the present, there is no result for the non-uniform continuous dependence of Eq. (1.3) in Besov space and it seems more difficult due to the fact that the structure of (1.3) when $Q \geq 2$ is much more complicated than that of the CH equation. On the one hand, when bounding the core part $u - u_0 + t(u_0)^Q \partial_x u_0$ by t^2 in the small time near $t = 0$, because of the high nonlinearity in convection term, the method developed for the CH equation in [20] will only aggravate this difficulty. To overcome this difficulty, we add a new term $t\mathbf{P}(u_0)$, and by using the differential mean value theorem, it is transformed into the estimates of nonlocal term $\mathbf{P}(u) - \mathbf{P}(u_0)$ and convective term $u^Q \partial_x u - (u_0)^Q \partial_x u_0$, which is valid for any bounded set in the above working Besov

space. Thus, for any bounded set u_0 in working space, the corresponding solution $\mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$ can be approximated by a function of first degree of time t with respect to initial data u_0 . That is,

$$\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) = u_0 - t(u_0)^Q \partial_x u_0 + t\mathbf{P}(u_0) + t^2\mathcal{O}(u_0),$$

where $\mathcal{O}(u_0)$ is a bounded quantity of some Besov norm of u_0 . Therefore, the non-uniform continuity of $\mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$ is transformed into that of $u_0 - t(u_0)^Q \partial_x u_0 + t\mathbf{P}(u_0)$, which is the essence in our paper and is different from that in [20]. On the other hand, more precise product and multiplier estimates are necessary. In addition, the non-uniform continuity in critical space $B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ is considered for the first time.

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ or $(s, p, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 2, 1)$. The solution map $u_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$ of the initial value problem (1.3) is not uniformly continuous from any bounded subset of $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}))$. More precisely, there exist two sequences g_n and f_n such that*

$$\|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} = 0,$$

but

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{S}_t(f_n + g_n) - \mathbf{S}_t(f_n)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \gtrsim t, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

with small positive time T_0 for $T_0 \leq T$.

Remark 1.1 *Our result include the present work [20, 21] on Camassa-Holm equation with $Q = 1$.*

Remark 1.2 *Since $B_{2,2}^s = H^s$, our result covers and extends the previous non-uniform continuity in Sobolev space [23] to Besov space.*

Notations: Given a Banach space X , we denote the norm of a function on X by $\|\cdot\|_X$, and

$$\|\cdot\|_{L_T^\infty(X)} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\cdot\|_X.$$

The symbol $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a uniform positive constant C independent of A and B such that $A \leq CB$.

2 Littlewood-Paley analysis

In this section, we will review the definition of Littlewood-Paley decomposition and nonhomogeneous Besov space, and then list some useful properties. For more details, the readers can refer to [1].

There exists a couple of smooth functions (χ, φ) valued in $[0, 1]$, such that χ is supported in the ball $\mathcal{B} \triangleq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$, φ is supported in the ring $\mathcal{C} \triangleq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ for $\frac{4}{3} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Moreover,

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1,$$

$$|j - j'| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \text{Supp } \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) \cap \text{Supp } \varphi(2^{-j'}\cdot) = \emptyset,$$

$$j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \text{Supp } \chi(\cdot) \cap \text{Supp } \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot) = \emptyset.$$

Then, we can define the nonhomogeneous dyadic blocks Δ_j and nonhomogeneous low frequency cut-off operator S_j as follows:

$$\Delta_j u = 0, \text{ if } j \leq -2, \quad \Delta_{-1} u = \chi(D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F}u),$$

$$\Delta_j u = \varphi(2^{-j}D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)\mathcal{F}u), \text{ if } j \geq 0,$$

$$S_j u = \sum_{j'=-\infty}^{j-1} \Delta_{j'} u.$$

Definition 2.1 ([1]) *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. The nonhomogeneous Besov space $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all tempered distribution u such that*

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \triangleq \left\| \left(2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty.$$

Then, we have the following product and multiplier estimates, which will play an important role in the estimates of nonlocal term $\mathbf{P}(u)$ and convective term $u^Q \partial_x u$.

Lemma 2.1 ([1]) (1) *Algebraic properties: $\forall s > 0$, $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach algebra. $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach algebra $\Leftrightarrow B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \Leftrightarrow s > \frac{d}{p}$ or $s = \frac{d}{p}$, $r = 1$.*

(2) *For any $s > 0$ and $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(d, s, p, r)$ such that*

$$\|uv\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right).$$

(3) *Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and f be an S^m -multiplier (i.e., $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and satisfies that $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, there exists a constant C_α such that $|\partial^\alpha f(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$). Then the operator $f(D)$ is continuous from $B_{p,r}^s$ to $B_{p,r}^{s-m}$.*

(4) *Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. Then we have*

$$\|uv\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})} \|v\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence, for the terms $\mathbf{P}(u)$ and $\mathbf{P}(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{P}(u) - \mathbf{P}(v)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|u - v\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})} \|u, v\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})}^{Q-1} \|u, v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \|\mathbf{P}(u) - \mathbf{P}(v)\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|u - v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})} \|u, v\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})}^Q, \\ \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R})}^Q. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([1, 18]) *Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $\sigma > -\min\{\frac{1}{p}, 1 - \frac{1}{p}\}$. There exists a constant $C = C(p, r, \sigma)$ such that for any smooth solution to the following linear transport equation:*

$$\partial_t f + v \partial_x f = g, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0.$$

We have

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|f(s)\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} \leq C e^{CV_p(v,t)} \left(\|f_0\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^t \|g(\tau)\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} d\tau \right), \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$V_p(v, t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} ds, & \text{if } \sigma < 1 + \frac{1}{p}, \\ \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} ds, & \text{if } \sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{p} \text{ and } r > 1, \\ \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{B_{p,r}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbb{R})} ds, & \text{if } \sigma > 1 + \frac{1}{p} \text{ or } \{\sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{p} \text{ and } r = 1\}. \end{cases}$$

3 Non-uniform continuous dependence

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Before proceeding further, we need to introduce several important propositions to show that the solution $\mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$ can be approximated by $u_0 - t(u_0)^Q \partial_x u_0 + t\mathbf{P}(u_0)$ in a small time near $t = 0$.

Firstly, we establish the estimates of the difference between the solution $S_t(u_0)$ and initial data u_0 in different Besov norms. That is

Proposition 3.1 *Assume that $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$ with $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ and $\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim 1$. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} &\lesssim t \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}, \\ \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\lesssim t (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}}), \\ \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} &\lesssim t (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof For simplicity, denote $u(t) = \mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$. Firstly, according to the local well-posedness result [22, 24, 25], there exists a positive time $T = T(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}, s, p, r)$ such that the solution $u(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; B_{p,r}^s)$. Moreover, by Lemmas 2.1-2.2, for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\gamma \geq s - 1$, there holds

$$\|u(t)\|_{B_{p,r}^\gamma} \leq C \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^\gamma}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now we shall estimate the different Besov norms of the term $u(t) - u_0$, which can be bounded by t multiplying the corresponding Besov norms of initial data u_0 .

For $t \in [0, T]$, using (4) with $v = 0$ and product estimates (2) in Lemma 2.1, we have from

(3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau \\
&\lesssim t(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)}^{Q+1} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}^Q \|u_x\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)}) \\
&\lesssim t(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)}^{Q+1} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^{s-1})}^Q \|u_x\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)}) \\
&\lesssim t(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $B_{p,r}^{s-1}$ is a Banach algebra with $s-1 > \max\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ in the fourth inequality.

Following the same procedure of estimates as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} d\tau \\
&\lesssim t\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^{s-1})}^Q \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)} \\
&\lesssim t\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} d\tau \\
&\lesssim t(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^s)}^Q \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^{s+1})} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^{s-1})}^Q \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{p,r}^{s+2})}) \\
&\lesssim t(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\|u^Q\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{Q-1} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}}$, which can be proved by recurrence method in the third inequality. In fact, using product law (2) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^Q\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|u^{Q-1}\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \|u^{Q-1}\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} \|u^{Q-1}\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{Q-1} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} (\|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} \|u^{Q-2}\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{Q-2}) + \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{Q-1} \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^2 \|u^{Q-2}\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{Q-1} \\
&\vdots \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{Q-1} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Since Proposition 3.1 fails for critical index $(s, p, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 2, 1)$ due to the lack of the estimate of solutions in $B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}$, it needs special treatment. That is

Proposition 3.2 *Assume that $(s, p, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 2, 1)$ and $\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim 1$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim t\|u_0\|_{C^{0,1}}^{Q+1}, \\ \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\lesssim t(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + [E(u_0)]^Q\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}}), \\ \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} &\lesssim t(\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^Q\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + [E(u_0)]^Q\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $E(u_0) = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_0\|_{C^{0,1}}^{Q+1}$.

Proof For simplicity, we denote $u(t) = \mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$. Moreover, by Lemmas 2.1-2.2, there holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\gamma \geq \frac{3}{2}$ that

$$\|u(t)\|_{B_{2,1}^\gamma} \leq C\|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^\gamma}, \quad \|u(t)\|_{C^{0,1}} \leq C\|u_0\|_{C^{0,1}}. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows by differential mean value theorem and the Minkowski inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\ &\lesssim t\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(C^{0,1})}^{Q+1} \\ &\lesssim t\|u_0\|_{C^{0,1}}^{Q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the operator $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}$ coincides with convolution by the function $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$, and it can be proved by the Young inequality and Hölder inequality that

$$\|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(C^{0,1})}^{Q+1}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim E(u_0). \quad (3.3)$$

Using the multiplier and product estimates in Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq Ct(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})}^{Q+1} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}^Q \|u_x\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})}), \end{aligned}$$

which along with (3.2)-(3.3) yield the second estimate.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq Ct(\|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})}^Q \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}})} + \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}^Q \|u\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{2,1}^{\frac{7}{2}})}) \\ &\leq Ct(\|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} + [E(u_0)]^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{7}{2}}}), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the last inequality. Thus, we complete the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

With the different norms estimates of $u - u_0$ at hand, we have the following core estimates, which implies that for any bounded initial data u_0 in $B_{p,r}^s$, the corresponding solution $\mathbf{S}_t(u_0)$ can be approximated by $u_0 - t(u_0)^Q \partial_x u_0 + t\mathbf{P}(u_0)$ near $t = 0$.

Proposition 3.3 *Assume that $\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim 1$. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there holds*

$$\|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0 - t\mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim t^2 (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{2Q} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}})$$

for $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, or

$$\|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0) - u_0 - t\mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim t^2 (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + [E(u_0)]^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + [E(u_0)]^{2Q} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}})$$

for $(s, p, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 2, 1)$, here $\mathbf{v}_0 = -u_0^Q \partial_x u_0 + \mathbf{P}(u_0)$.

Proof It should be noticed that according to (3.1)-(3.2), $\|u\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \lesssim 1$ which will be frequently used and be hidden later.

For $s > \max\{1 + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2}\}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, using (4) and product estimates (2) in Lemma 2.1, we obtain from Propositions 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0 - t\mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u - \mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u) - \mathbf{P}(u_0)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u - u_0^Q \partial_x u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} \|u(\tau)\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q d\tau \\ &\leq Ct^2 (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}}^{2Q} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemma 2.1 and Propositions 3.2, for $(s, p, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 2, 1)$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0 - t\mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau u - \mathbf{v}_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\mathbf{P}(u) - \mathbf{P}(u_0)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u^Q \partial_x u - u_0^Q \partial_x u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau + \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{L^\infty} \|u_0, u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^{Q-1} \|u(\tau)\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|u(\tau) - u_0\|_{B_{2,1}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^Q d\tau \\ &\lesssim t^2 (\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + [E(u_0)]^Q \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + [E(u_0)]^{2Q} \|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Proposition 3.3. \square

Now, we move on the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even, real-valued and non-negative function on \mathbb{R} and satisfy

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Define the high frequency function f_n and the low frequency function g_n by

$$f_n = 2^{-ns} \phi(x) \sin\left(\frac{17}{12} 2^n x\right), \quad g_n = \frac{12}{17} 2^{-\frac{n}{Q}} \phi(x), \quad n \gg 1.$$

It has been showed in [20] that $\|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma} \lesssim 2^{n(\sigma-s)}$.

Set $u_0^n = f_n + g_n$, consider Eq. (1.3) with initial data u_0^n and f_n , respectively. Obviously, we have

$$\|u_0^n - f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} = \|g_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C 2^{-\frac{n}{Q}},$$

which means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_0^n - f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} = 0.$$

For the case $s > \frac{3}{2}$, it is easy to show that $\|u_0^n, f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s-1}} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{n}{Q}}$ and

$$\|u_0^n, f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma} \leq C 2^{(\sigma-s)n} \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq s,$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} (\|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^Q \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{2Q} \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}) &\lesssim 1, \\ (\|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^Q \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{2Q} \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}}) &\lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

For the case $s = \frac{3}{2}$, it is easy to show that $E(u_0^n) + E(f_n) \lesssim 2^{-\frac{n}{Q}}$ and

$$\|u_0^n, f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^\sigma} \leq C 2^{(\sigma-s)n} \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq s,$$

which lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + [E(u_0^n)]^Q \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + [E(u_0^n)]^{2Q} \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}} &\lesssim 1, \\ \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^{Q+1} + [E(f_n)]^Q \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} + [E(f_n)]^{2Q} \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+2}} &\lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since u_0^n and f_n are both bounded in $B_{p,r}^s$, according to Proposition 3.3, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{S}_t(u_0^n) - \mathbf{S}_t(f_n)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\geq t \left\| (u_0^n)^Q \partial_x u_0^n - (f_n)^Q \partial_x f_n - \mathbf{P}(u_0^n) + \mathbf{P}(f_n) \right\|_{B_{p,r}^s} - \|g_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} - Ct^2 \\ &\geq t \left\| (u_0^n)^Q \partial_x u_0^n - (f_n)^Q \partial_x f_n \right\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} - C 2^{-\frac{n}{Q}} - Ct^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that

$$(u_0^n)^Q \partial_x u_0^n - (f_n)^Q \partial_x f_n = g_n^Q \partial_x f_n + (u_0^n)^Q \partial_x g_n + ((u_0^n)^Q - f_n^Q - g_n^Q) \partial_x f_n,$$

using Lemma 2.1, after simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|((u_0^n)^Q - f_n^Q - g_n^Q) \partial_x f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq \|((u_0^n)^Q - f_n^Q - g_n^Q)\|_{L^\infty} \|f_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_x f_n\|_{L^\infty} \|((u_0^n)^Q - f_n^Q - g_n^Q)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \\ &\leq C 2^{-n(s-1)}, \\ \|(u_0^n)^Q \partial_x g_n\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq \|u_0^n\|_{B_{p,r}^s}^Q \|g_n\|_{B_{p,r}^{s+1}} \leq C 2^{-\frac{n}{Q}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with fact

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n^Q \partial_x f_n\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} \geq M$$

for some positive M that has been showed in [20] yield

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{S}_t(f_n + g_n) - \mathbf{S}_t(f_n)\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \gtrsim t \quad \text{for } t \text{ small enough.}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. J. Li is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11801090) and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (Grant No.2020M672565). W. Zhu is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11901092) and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No.2017A030310634).

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J. Chemin, R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2011.
- [2] R. Camassa, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett., 71 (1993) 1661-1664.
- [3] A. Constantin, The Hamiltonian structure of the Camassa-Holm equation, Expo. Math., 15(1) (1997) 53-85.
- [4] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Global existence and blow-up for a shallow water equation, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Classe Sci., 26 (1998) 303-328.
- [5] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 51 (1998) 475-504.
- [6] A. Constantin, On the scattering problem for the Camassa-Holm equation, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 457 (2001) 953-970.
- [7] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Particle trajectories in solitary water waves, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 44 (2007) 423-431.

- [8] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Analyticity of periodic traveling free surface water waves with vorticity, *Ann. of Math.*, 173 (2011) 559-568.
- [9] R. Danchin, A few remarks on the Camassa-Holm equation, *Differ. Integral Equ.*, 14 (2001) 953-988.
- [10] R. Danchin, A note on well-posedness for Camassa-Holm equation, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 192 (2003) 429-444.
- [11] A. Fokas, B. Fuchssteiner, Symplectic structures, their bäcklund transformation and hereditary symmetries, *Phys. D*, 4 (1981/1982) 47-66.
- [12] Z. Guo, X. Liu, L. Molinet, Z. Yin, Ill-posedness of the Camassa-Holm and related equations in the critical space, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 266 (2019) 1698-1707.
- [13] S. Hakkaev, K. Kirchev, Local well-posedness and orbital stability of solitary wave solutions for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 30 (2005) 761-781.
- [14] A. Himonas, G. Misiołek, High-frequency smooth solutions and well-posedness of the Camassa-Holm equation, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 51 (2005) 3135-3151.
- [15] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the CH equation on the line, *Diff. Integr. Equ.*, 22 (2009) 201-224.
- [16] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, G. Misiołek, Non-uniform dependence for the periodic CH equation, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 35 (2010) 1145-1162.
- [17] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations, *Duke Math.*, 106 (2001) 617-633.
- [18] J. Li, Z. Yin, Well-posedness and analytic solutions of the two-component Euler-Poincaré system, *Monatsh. Math.*, 183 (2017) 509-537.
- [19] J. Li, Z. Yin, Remarks on the well-posedness of Camassa-Holm type equations in Besov spaces, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 261 (2016) 6125-6143.
- [20] J. Li, Y. Yu, W. Zhu, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Camassa-Holm equation in Besov spaces, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 269 (2020) 8686-8700.
- [21] J. Li, X. Wu, Y. Yu, W. Zhu, Non-uniform dependence on initial data for the Camassa-Holm equation in critical Besov space, *arXiv:2007.04501* (2020).
- [22] Y. Mi, C. Mu, Well-posedness and analyticity for the Cauchy problem for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 405 (2013) 173-182.
- [23] Y. Mi, C. Mu, On the Cauchy problem for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, *Monatsh. Math.*, 176 (2015) 423-457.
- [24] W. Yan, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, The Cauchy problem for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, *Appl. Anal.*, 93 (2014) 1358-1381.
- [25] W. Yan, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, The Cauchy problem for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation in Besov space, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 256 (2014) 2876-2901.