

# Quantization of Einstein-aether Scalar field Cosmology

N. Dimakis,<sup>1,\*</sup> T. Pailas,<sup>2,†</sup> A. Paliathanasis,<sup>3,‡</sup> G. Leon,<sup>4,§</sup> Petros A. Terzis,<sup>2,¶</sup> and T. Christodoulakis<sup>2,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Center for Theoretical Physics, College of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Nuclear and Particle Physics section, Physics Department, University of Athens, 15771 Athens, Greece*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, South Africa*

<sup>4</sup>*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,  
Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile.*

We present, for the first time, the quantization process for the Einstein-aether scalar field cosmology. We consider a cosmological theory proposed as a Lorentz violating inflationary model, where the aether and scalar fields interact through the assumption that the aether action constants are ultra-local functions of the scalar field. For this specific theory there is a valid minisuperspace description which we use to quantize. For a particular relation between the two free functions entering the reduced Lagrangian the solution to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation as also the generic classical solution are presented for any given arbitrary potential function.

Keywords: Einstein-aether; scalar field; quantum cosmology

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The interest in Lorentz violating cosmological theories comes together with the idea of a variable speed of light. The Horava-Lifshitz gravity is certainly violating Lorentz invariance by construction, since arbitrary time re-definitions are not among its covariances [1]. In the Einstein-aether theory, a unit time-like rotationally invariant vector field, called the “aether” is also responsible for the same effect [2]. Specifically, in Einstein-aether theory quadratic kinematic quantities of the unitary time-like vector fields are introduced in the gravitational Action Integral [3, 4]. These new terms break the Lorentz symmetry [5], by selecting a preferred frame at each space time point, while keeping the field equations of second-order as in the case of General Relativity.

On the other hand, scalar fields play a prominent role in modern cosmology. The main mechanism for the description of the inflation is based on the domination of a scalar field potential, known as inflaton [6]. Moreover, scalar fields have also been proposed as dark energy models, while they can attribute the geometrodynamical degrees of freedom provided by higher-order theories which belong to the class of modified theories of gravity, for more details we refer the reader to [7–22]; for a complete review on the cosmological implications in modified theories of gravity see [23] and references therein.

One can contemplate a non-trivial coupling of the scalar to the aether field by allowing the coefficients of its kinetic action to be functions of the scalar field [24]. Such a theory has been proposed before as an alternative inflationary model which provides two periods of inflation [25]. A classical slow-roll era and a Lorentz violating epoch. In this work we realize this idea for the case of a spatially flat FLRW universe and a scalar field with arbitrary potential and we present, for the first time, the quantization of the Einstein-aether scalar field cosmology; we also derive the generic algebraic classical solution to the field equations. Einstein-aether scalar field theory are of special interest in the scientific society and there are various studies in the literature on the subject, some of these studies for homogeneous and inhomogeneous spacetimes can be found in [26–33].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define the cosmological model that we focus on in this work; it is the Einstein-aether scalar field cosmology in a homogeneous and isotropic geometric background space where only quadratic terms of the derivatives exist in the Action Integral. The latter property is essential for enabling a minisuperspace description of the gravitational field equations. In Section 3 we present the point-like Lagrangian of our model. This specific model has been proposed before as an alternative model for inflation. The quantization process is presented in Section 4. In Section 5 we study the classical limit while we discuss our results and we draw our conclusions in Section 6.

---

\*Electronic address: nsdimakis@scu.edu.cn; nsdimakis@gmail.com

†Electronic address: teopailas879@hotmail.com

‡Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

§Electronic address: genly.leon@ucn.cl

¶Electronic address: pterzis@phys.uoa.gr

\*\*Electronic address: tchris@phys.uoa.gr

## 2. EINSTEIN-AETHER SCALAR FIELD COSMOLOGY

Kanno and Soda in [25] proposed a Lorentz violating Einstein-aether Action Integral while assuming the Einstein-aether coupling parameters to be functions of this scalar field, thus generating a coupling between the scalar and the aether field. The main characteristic of this model is that the inflationary epoch can be described by two stages; the usual slow-roll stage and the new Lorentz violating stage.

A more general Einstein-aether scalar field model was later proposed in [34], where a scalar field is introduced in the Einstein-aether Action Integral with the scalar field potential being a function of the field and the kinematic invariants of the aether field. The model of Kanno and Soda is included as a special case in that of [34]. While the model proposed in [34] describes a second-order theory, only in the limit of [25] the Action Integral depends only on quadratic terms of the derivatives. As we shall see in the following this is an essential property in order for the dynamical field equations to admit a minisuperspace description.

The Einstein-aether scalar field model proposed in [25] is described by the Action Integral

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{;\mu} \phi_{;\nu} - V(\phi) \right) - S_{Aether}, \quad (1)$$

where  $S_{Aether}$  describes the terms of the aether field  $u^\mu$  as follows

$$S_{Aether} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{Aether} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \beta_1(\phi) u^{\nu;\mu} u_{\nu;\mu} + \beta_2(\phi) (g^{\mu\nu} u_{\mu;\nu})^2 + \beta_3(\phi) u^{\nu;\mu} u_{\mu;\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \beta_4(\phi) u^\mu u^\nu u_{\kappa;\mu} u_{\nu}{}^\kappa - \lambda(u^\mu u_\mu + 1) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Function  $\lambda$  is the Lagrange multiplier which is introduced to ensure the unitarity of the aether field, i.e.  $u^\mu u_\mu + 1 = 0$ . Coefficients  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$  and  $\beta_4$  define the coupling between the aether and the gravitational field. While in the Einstein-aether theory the coefficients are constants in this specific theory they are functions of the scalar field  $\phi$ .

We select the case of a homogeneous and isotropic spacetime described by the spatially flat FLRW line element

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) dt^2 + a^2(t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (3)$$

where  $a(t)$  is the scale factor,  $N(t)$  is the lapse function. The corresponding Hubble function is defined as  $H(t) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$  (where a dot denotes total derivative with respect to the variable  $t$ ) and for the aether field we have  $u^\mu = \frac{1}{N} \delta_t^\mu$ . These assumptions imply that  $\phi = \phi(t)$  and then the gravitational field equations follow from the variation of the action [25]

$$-\frac{3}{N^2} B(\phi) a \dot{a}^2 + \frac{1}{2N^2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 + a^3 V(\phi) = 0. \quad (4)$$

$$2a \left( \ddot{a} - \frac{1}{N} \dot{a} \dot{N} \right) B(\phi) + 2a B_{,\phi} \dot{a} \dot{\phi} + B(\phi) \dot{a}^2 + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \dot{\phi}^2 - N^2 a^2 V(\phi) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\phi} - \frac{\dot{N}}{N} \dot{\phi} + \frac{3}{a^2} B_{,\phi} \dot{a}^2 + N^2 V_{,\phi} = 0. \quad (6)$$

where the new function  $B(\phi)$  is expressed as  $B(\phi) = \beta_1(\phi) + 3\beta_2(\phi) + \beta_3(\phi) + 1$ . We observe that in the limit where  $B(\phi) = \text{const.}$ , the field equations take the form of the quintessence scalar field model in General Relativity, which means that the Lorentz violating inflationary stage does not exist. Thus in the following we consider the case where  $B(\phi)_{,\phi} \neq 0$ .

In order to demonstrate the correspondence with the General Relativistic limit we take the Einstein equations that emerge for the spacetime (3) in presence of a perfect fluid source. The set of equations  $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$ , where  $T^\mu{}_\nu = \text{diag}(-\rho, p, p, p)$  is the energy momentum of the fluid with energy density  $\rho$ , pressure  $p$  and  $\kappa$  the constant related to the gravitational coupling, is equivalent to

$$3H^2 = \kappa \rho \quad (7a)$$

$$-3H^2 - \frac{2}{N} \dot{H} = \kappa p. \quad (7b)$$

In the latter we have used the Hubble function  $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{Na}$  as expressed in an arbitrary time gauge. In the cosmic time gauge, where  $N = 1$  the above equations fall to the usual expressions given in the literature for the Friedmann equations [35].

In a similar spirit we may start from the cosmological field equations (4)-(5) and write

$$3H^2 = k_{eff}\rho_{eff} \quad (8a)$$

$$-\left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2\right) = k_{eff}p_{eff} \quad (8b)$$

where the new functions  $\rho_{eff}$  and  $p_{eff}$  are the energy density and pressure for the effective fluid defined as  $\rho_{eff} = \frac{1}{2N^2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)$ ,  $p_{eff} = \left(\frac{2B_{,\phi}H}{N}\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{2N^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)\right)$ . Additionally,  $k_{eff} = B(\phi)^{-1}$  is not a constant but varies in time, that is an effect that is observed in the Jordan frame and in scalar tensor theories, however here this effect follows from the time-dependent coupling function for the aether field. We can see thus how the  $B(\phi) = \text{const.}$  limit turns equations (8) into (7) as  $k_{eff} = \kappa$  and  $\rho = \rho_{eff}$ ,  $p = (p_{eff})|_{B'(\phi)=0}$  with the  $\rho$  and  $p$  corresponding now to the typical energy density and pressure that is produced by a minimally coupled scalar field to a FLRW spacetime. In this context, the  $\rho_{eff}$  and  $p_{eff}$  of the full equations, when  $B(\phi) \neq \text{constant}$  can be seen as the energy density and pressure of a fluid generated by a non-minimally coupled scalar field.

### 3. MINISUPERSPACE DESCRIPTION

The superspace is an infinite-dimensional space serving as the basic configuration space of canonical quantum gravity [36]. As defined in the canonical formulation of General Relativity, it consists of all Riemannian 3-dimensional metrics and the matter fields. In cosmology, due to the spacetime symmetries of the geometry, the infinite degrees of freedom of the corresponding superspace are truncated to a finite number and thus a particular minisuperspace model is achieved. For example, consider the typical cosmological line element

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{AB}(t)\sigma_i^A(x)\sigma_j^B(x)dx^i dx^j, \quad A, B, i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (9)$$

which describes Bianchi cosmologies. The  $\gamma_{AB}$  is the scale factor matrix, the  $\sigma_i^A$  are the Cartan forms corresponding to the three dimensional group of isometries that characterizes the Bianchi model and carry only dependence on the spatial variables. The reduction of a generic gravitational action incorporating such a model is realized as follows:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}(g, \phi, u) = \int d^3x \sigma(x) dt N(t) \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{L}(g, \phi, u) \rightarrow S = \int dt L(N, q^I, \dot{q}^I), \quad (10)$$

where  $\gamma$ ,  $\sigma$  are the determinants of  $\gamma_{AB}$  and  $\sigma_i^A$  respectively. With  $(g, \phi, u)$  we denote the possible dependence of a generic Lagrangian on scalars of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the scalar  $\phi$  and the vector field  $u_\mu$ , as well as their derivatives. In cosmology, the spatial dependence can be integrated out of the action, due to the space-time symmetries, leaving only a multiplicative constant  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \int \sigma(x) d^3x$  symbolizing the volume of a finite three-space cell. The only dynamical part that remains in this reduction is  $t$  dependent and expressed by the point Lagrangian  $L(N, q^I, \dot{q}^I)$ , where the  $q^I(t)$  are the remaining  $t$  dependent degrees of freedom after the reduction has taken place. The range of the capital index  $I$  counts through the dimension of the minisuperspace and apart from the number of independent  $\gamma_{AB}$ , it also incorporates any matter degrees of freedom that are still present after the reduction. Of course, one has always to ensure that the variation of the new action of finite degrees of freedom gives rise to Euler-Lagrange equations that are equivalent to those of the original field theory, under the assumed ansatz for the metric and the matter fields. In cosmology the  $L$  is a singular Lagrangian given, for matter actions quadratic in the field derivatives, by the following expression

$$L(N, q^I, \dot{q}^I) = \mathcal{V}_0 \left[ \frac{1}{2N} G_{IJ}(q) \dot{q}^I \dot{q}^J - NU(q) \right]. \quad (11)$$

For details see for example the reduction performed in Einstein's General Relativity to arrive in a minisuperspace Lagrangian of the form (11) in [37]. Functions  $q^I(t)$ ,  $N(t)$  are the unknown functions which describe the spacetime and the kinematic quantities of the matter source ( $N(t)$  correspond to the lapse-function). The  $G_{IJ}(q)$  transforms as a second-rank tensor under arbitrary redefinitions of the  $q^I$ s. It is the so-called minisuperspace metric, while  $U(q)$  is the effective potential which describes the dynamical interactions of the gravitational field and of the matter source. The Lagrangian function  $L$  is a singular Lagrangian since  $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{y}^I \partial \dot{y}^J}\right) = 0$ , where  $y^{\bar{I}} = (N, q^I)$ .

Not all the cosmological models in General Relativity have a minisuperspace description. For a full scale factor matrix and non vanishing shift, only the Bianchi models which belong to the Class A and the Bianchi V admit a minisuperspace description (see [38] and [39] respectively). Moreover, there are some inhomogeneous models where the field equations follow from a point-like Lagrangian of the form (11). In the context of alternative theories of gravity not all the proposed theories have a minisuperspace description.

The existence of a Lagrangian function for a given dynamical system, known also as the inverse problem, is essential in physics. In addition, the existence of a point-like Lagrangian for the given dynamical system can be used for the quantization process, which is the main approach applied in quantum cosmology. From there various approaches can be followed, e.g. canonical theory, loop quantum cosmology, path integrals etc. [40–47]; with interesting implications regarding cosmological effects, e.g. associating the dark energy with the quantum potential [48]. Due to the lack of a complete theory of Quantum Gravity, quantum cosmology has been the test laboratory to offer at least some hindsight on what we should expect from the full theory. However, we always need to keep in mind that its range of validity highly depends on whether it is correct to neglect the spatial degrees of freedom for these spacetimes of high symmetry which yield minisuperspace models. The situation is not so simple and it has been shown that such reductions may be precarious [49]. The importance of the existence of an equivalent Lagrangian description of a given set of equations lies in the reach methods of analytical Mechanics that can be applied in order to study the evolution of the field equations and their integrability. In the minisuperspace approach, the quantum analogs of the classical integrals of motion can be used as supplementary conditions in conjunction to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation, so that the wave function describing quantum states is defined up to constants.

As far as the Einstein-aether theory is concerned in general, the gravitational field equations do not admit a point-like Lagrangian. The determination of a Lagrangian description for the field equations in Einstein-aether theory was the subject of study in [50, 51].

For the cosmological model of our consideration, the unknown functions of the spacetime (3) are the scale factor  $a$  and the lapse function  $N$ , while from the matter source the dynamical variable is function  $\phi$ . We observe that the field equations (4)-(6) follow from the variation of the Action Integral

$$S = \int dt L(N, a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}), \quad (12)$$

where now the Lagrangian function  $L(N, a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi})$  is the point-like Lagrangian [52]

$$L(N, a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}) = \frac{\mathcal{V}_0}{N} \left( -3B(\phi) a \dot{a}^2 + \frac{1}{2} a^3 \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - N \mathcal{V}_0 a^3 V(\phi). \quad (13)$$

where  $q^I = (a, \phi)$ . The minisuperspace metric is

$$G_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} -6B(\phi) a & 0 \\ 0 & a^3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

and the effective potential is  $U(q) = a^3 V(\phi)$ .

The derivation of (12) follows directly by the original action (1), which consists of three parts: a) The gravitational

$$\sqrt{-g} \frac{R}{2} = -3 \frac{a \dot{a}^2}{N} + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{3a^2 \dot{a}}{N} \right), \quad (15)$$

b) the scalar field contribution

$$- \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{;\mu} \phi_{;\nu} + V(\phi) \right) = \frac{a^3 \dot{\phi}^2}{2N} - N a^3 V(\phi) \quad (16)$$

and c) that of the Aether

$$\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{Aether} = - \frac{3a \dot{a}^2}{N} (\beta_1(\phi) + 3\beta_2(\phi) + \beta_3(\phi)) = \frac{3a \dot{a}^2}{N} (1 - B(\phi)) \quad (17)$$

where in the last relation the substitution  $B(\phi) = 1 + \beta_1(\phi) + 3\beta_2(\phi) + \beta_3(\phi)$  has been used. By combining the three and ignoring the total derivative appearing in (15) since it gives a surface term in the Lagrangian we arrive at (13) where a multiplicative constant  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \int d^3x$  also appears from the finite volume integration of the spatial part of the

original action (1).

The metric defined by the kinetic part of the point-like Lagrangian has dimension two, i.e.  $\dim G_{IJ} = 2$ , which means that it admits an infinite number of conformal symmetries, independently of the functional form of  $B(\phi)$ . Recall that we assume that  $B_{,\phi}(\phi) \neq 0$ .

#### 4. QUANTIZATION

We can exploit the parametrization invariance of Lagrangian (11) to bring it into an equivalent form which resembles the motion of a free relativistic particle in a (generally) curved space. To this end, we reparametrize the lapse function as  $N \mapsto n = \frac{2Na^3V(\phi)}{\mathcal{V}_0}$  in (13) in order to obtain

$$L \rightarrow L_n = \frac{1}{2n} \bar{G}_{IJ} \dot{q}^I \dot{q}^J - n \frac{\mathcal{V}_0^2}{2}. \quad (18)$$

Note that  $L_n$  and  $L$  are equivalent, i.e. they reproduce the same set of Euler-Lagrange equations. Having obtained  $L_n$  in this form allows us to interpret  $\mathcal{V}_0$  as the “mass” of the supposed relativistic particle and

$$\bar{G}_{IJ} = 2a^3 V(\phi) G_{IJ}, \quad (19)$$

as the scaled mini-superspace metric corresponding to a “constant (effective) potential” in the Lagrangian, i.e. the metric of the space in which the motion of the free particle takes place, where  $G_{IJ}$  is given by (14). In the particular problem we are studying,  $\bar{G}_{IJ}$  will generally designate a two dimensional curved manifold of hyperbolic signature. However, there exists a large class of models for which this space becomes flat, thus leading to a straightforward quantum description. Specifically, it is easy to see that, if the potential  $V(\phi)$  and the coupling function  $B(\phi)$  are related through

$$V(\phi) = \frac{V_1}{B(\phi)} \exp \left( V_2 \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{B(\phi)}} d\phi \right), \quad (20)$$

or equivalently

$$B(\phi) = \frac{1}{V(\phi)} \left( B_1 + B_2 \int \sqrt{V(\phi)} d\phi \right)^2, \quad (21)$$

where the  $V_i$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are constants, then the corresponding metric  $\bar{G}_{IJ}$  is that of a flat space. Relations (20) and (21) guarantee that the Riemann curvature tensor of the mini-superspace is zero.

As a result, whenever (20) (or equivalently (21)) holds, the system is equivalent to a motion of a free relativistic particle in a two dimensional flat space. Consequently there exist three classical integrals of motion, whose quantum counterparts can be used as observables in a canonical quantum description together with the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Before proceeding with the quantum description, let us briefly give the connection with the Cartesian coordinates, say  $(u, v)$ , with respect to which the solution of all this class of models can be obtained straightforwardly. The mini-superspace line element corresponding to the metric  $\bar{G}_{IJ}$  is

$$ds_{2D}^2 = -12a^4 B(\phi) V(\phi) da^2 + 2a^6 V(\phi) d\phi^2. \quad (22)$$

By using (21) and introducing a new variable  $\phi \mapsto \psi = \int \sqrt{V(\phi)} d\phi$ , the expression (22) becomes  $ds_{2D}^2 = -du^2 + dv^2$  under the transformation

$$a = 2^{\frac{15-\sqrt{6}B_2}{24B_2^2-36}} 3^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}B_2-12}} \left( 2B_2 + \sqrt{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}B_2+6}} \left( 3 - \sqrt{6}B_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{6-2\sqrt{6}B_2}} (u+v)^{\frac{1}{6-2\sqrt{6}B_2}} (u-v)^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}B_2+6}} \quad (23)$$

$$\psi = -\frac{B_1}{B_2} + \frac{1}{B_2} 2^{\frac{B_2(6B_2+\sqrt{6})}{12-8B_2^2}} 3^{\frac{\sqrt{3}B_2}{6-2\sqrt{6}B_2}} \left( B_2 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{B_2}{2B_2+\sqrt{6}}} \left( 3 - \sqrt{6}B_2 \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{3}B_2}{\sqrt{6}B_2-3}} (u+v)^{\frac{\sqrt{3}B_2}{\sqrt{6}B_2-3}} (u-v)^{\frac{B_2}{2B_2+\sqrt{6}}}, \quad (24)$$

for which of course we need to assume  $B_2 \neq 0$ . In the special case where  $B_2 = 0$  the corresponding transformation is

easily derived to be

$$a = \left( \frac{3(u^2 - v^2)}{4B_1^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad \psi = \frac{B_1}{\sqrt{6}} \ln \left[ \frac{4(u+v)}{B_1^2(u-v)} \right]. \quad (25)$$

We may now proceed with the quantization of the system. The classical Hamiltonian constraint that emerges from Lagrangian (18) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^{IJ} p_I p_J + \frac{\mathcal{V}_0^2}{2} \approx 0 \quad (26)$$

where  $p_I = \frac{\partial L_p}{\partial \dot{q}^I}$  are the momenta and the symbol “ $\approx$ ” denotes a weak equality in the Dirac sense [53]. In the canonical description we assign to the momenta the differential operators  $p_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{p}_\alpha = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}$ , while for the factor ordering in the kinetic term of the Hamiltonian constraint we choose to make use of the Laplacian<sup>1</sup> and thus have the quantum constraint operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -\hbar^2 \frac{1}{2} \nabla_I \nabla^I + \frac{\mathcal{V}_0^2}{2} \quad (27)$$

which - following Dirac’s prescription of quantizing constrained systems [54] - we demand to annihilate  $\Psi$ , i.e.  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi = 0$  must hold for all the states of the system. The latter defines the Wheeler-DeWitt equation of the mini-superspace model.

In this flat two-dimensional configuration space we are studying, there are two well known quantization algebras: one involving the constant translations generators and another the boost in the  $u - v$  plane. To utilize the first, we start from the Cartesian coordinates where  $ds_{2D}^2 = -du^2 + dv^2$  and use the two classical integrals of motion, which in these coordinates are just  $p_u$  and  $p_v$ . Their quantum counterparts are the commuting operators  $\hat{p}_u = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$  and  $\hat{p}_v = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  which can be used to define the eigenvalue equations

$$\hat{p}_u \Psi = \mu \Psi, \quad \hat{p}_v \Psi = \nu \Psi \quad (28)$$

admitting the plane wave solution  $\Psi(u, v) = \Psi_{\mu\nu}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mu u + \nu v)}$ , which normalizes to a product of Dirac delta functions since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi_{\mu'\nu'}^* \Psi_{\mu\nu} du dv = \delta(\mu - \mu') \delta(\nu - \nu'). \quad (29)$$

The spectrum is continuous and the quantum numbers  $\mu, \nu$  can take values in the entire  $\mathbb{R}$  domain. However, the quantum Hamiltonian constraint sets the additional condition

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \left[ -\hbar^2 \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) + \mathcal{V}_0^2 \right] \Psi_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0^2 = \mu^2 - \nu^2 \quad (30)$$

which forces us to assume that  $|\nu| < |\mu|$ .

The second way to proceed with the canonical quantization is to use the quantum equivalent of the third classical integral of motion, which in these variable is  $Q = vp_u + up_v$ . In this case, it is far more convenient to utilize coordinates in which the corresponding symmetry generator assumes a normal form. In particular we may adopt the transformation  $u = r \cosh \theta$ ,  $v = r \sinh \theta$ , which makes the flat space line element  $ds_{2D}^2 = -dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$  and the aforementioned integral of motion  $Q = p_\theta$ . At the quantum level we can thus write the eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{Q}\Psi(r, \theta) = \kappa \Psi(r, \theta) \Rightarrow -i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} = \kappa \Psi, \quad (31)$$

which leads to the solution  $\Psi(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\kappa\theta} \psi(r)$ . Note that here we have no reason to consider  $\theta$  as a periodic

---

<sup>1</sup> One can also use the conformal Laplacian in more complicated systems with a higher dimensional non-flat configuration space [55]. However here it makes no difference since we have a two dimensional mini-superspace and there is no distinction between them.

variable. As a result we take  $\kappa$  to have a continuous spectrum and be normalized to a Dirac delta function, like the eigenvalues  $\mu, \nu$  previously. The  $\psi(r)$  part is to be obtained by the Wheeler-DeWitt equation which results to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi(r, \theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \left[ -h^2 \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + \mathcal{V}_0^2 \right] \Psi(r, \theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \\ \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d}{dr} \psi(r) \right) + \left( \frac{\mathcal{V}_0^2}{h^2} + \frac{\kappa^2}{h^2 r^2} \right) \psi(r) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The latter is the Bessel equation with general solution

$$\psi(r) = C_1 J_{\frac{i\kappa}{h}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{V}_0}{h} r \right) + C_2 Y_{\frac{i\kappa}{h}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{V}_0}{h} r \right), \quad (33)$$

where the  $J_n(z)$ ,  $Y_n(z)$  are the Bessel equations of the first and second kind respectively.

In [58, 59], where a similar Wheeler-DeWitt equation is explored, a certain linear combination of the solution is chosen which leads to a delta function normalization. In particular if you take as wave function

$$\psi(r) \propto \text{Re} \left[ e^{-i \ln \sigma} J_{is}(\sigma r) \right], \quad (34)$$

where  $\sigma = \frac{\mathcal{V}_0}{h}$  and  $s = \frac{\kappa}{h}$  it can be seen that [58, 59]

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r |\psi(r)|^2 dr \propto \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (35)$$

Note that the weight  $r$  in the integral in (35) is exactly what emerges from using the natural measure for the inner product between states, i.e. the square root of the determinant of the mini-superspace metric (in the  $(r, \theta)$  coordinates  $|\det(\bar{G}_{IJ})|^{1/2} = r$ ). The additional phase  $e^{-i \ln \sigma}$  in (34) is introduced in order to eliminate finite terms appearing to the lower limit of the above integral.

However here we explore another linear combination in which we use as our base the function defined firstly in [56] and denoted by

$$W_{s,\sigma}(r) = \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)} \text{Re} [J_{is}(\sigma r)]. \quad (36)$$

It forms a different linear combination of the solution and it is shown to be still normalizable in terms of a delta function [57]. Unlike (34) it lacks the phase which serves to eliminate the  $\sigma$  dependence of the wave function at the limit  $r \rightarrow 0$ . By using the typical procedure of deriving the orthogonality condition in a Sturm-Liouville problem, it has been shown that (see the appendix of [57])

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r W_{s,\sigma}(r) W_{s,\sigma'}(r) dr = \frac{1}{\sigma} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \frac{\mathcal{A}_0(\sigma, \sigma')}{\sigma^2 - \sigma'^2} \quad (37)$$

The additional term  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \sin \left[ s \ln \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma'} \right) \right] \quad (38)$$

and it is eliminated under the condition

$$\ln \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma'} \right) = \frac{k\pi}{s}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (39)$$

Thus a quantum restriction is set upon  $\sigma$  to guarantee orthogonality when  $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ . In the case  $\sigma = \sigma'$  the limit

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'} \left( \frac{\mathcal{A}_0(\sigma, \sigma')}{\sigma^2 - \sigma'^2} \right) = s \frac{\tanh\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)}{\pi \sigma^2} \quad (40)$$

is finite and just adds a constant to the delta function. The necessary for the orthogonality of states condition (39), ensures at the same time the Hermiticity of the operator  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$  under the assumption that the wave function vanishes at

the boundary of the half line  $(0, +\infty)$ .

As a result we may write the full wave function of this case as

$$\Psi_{\kappa, \mathcal{V}_0}(r, \theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{V}_0 \hbar}{2\pi}} \frac{e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \kappa \theta}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\pi \kappa}{2\hbar}\right)} \text{Re} \left[ J_{\frac{i\kappa}{\hbar}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{V}_0}{\hbar} r \right) \right], \quad (41)$$

satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} r \Psi_{\kappa', \mathcal{V}_0'}^* \Psi_{\kappa, \mathcal{V}_0} d\theta dr = \delta(\kappa - \kappa') \delta(\mathcal{V}_0 - \mathcal{V}_0') \quad (42)$$

subject to the condition

$$\ln \left( \frac{\mathcal{V}_0}{\mathcal{V}_0'} \right) = \frac{k\pi}{\kappa} \hbar, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (43)$$

As it is evident from (41)-(43), we choose to interpret  $\mathcal{V}_0$  as some sort of “eigenvalue”. In this manner we observe that for a fixed  $\kappa$ , a discretization is introduced in the fiducial volume of the three space through the orthogonality condition (43). It is interesting that the study of the reduced mini-superspace system can yield such an information about the three space, which is usually discarded through the process of the reduction.

## 5. CLASSICAL SOLUTION

We lastly proceed with the presentation of the classical solution for the gravitational field equations for arbitrary potential. For the sake of simplicity of the resulting expressions we here present the case where  $B(\phi) = \frac{B_1}{V(\phi)}$ . For the lapse parameterization  $N(t) = \bar{N}(t) (a^3 V(\phi))^{-1}$  (in which the potential of the relevant Lagrangian (13) is free of  $a, \phi$ ) the aforementioned equations become

$$\frac{1}{\bar{N}^2} \left( 3B_1 a^4 \dot{a}^2 - \frac{a^6}{2} \dot{\psi}^2 \right) - 1 = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$6B_1 a^4 \ddot{a} + 12B_1 a^3 \dot{a}^2 + 3a^5 \dot{\psi}^2 - \frac{6}{\bar{N}} B_1 a^4 (\bar{N})' \dot{a} = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\ddot{\psi} + 6 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\psi} - \frac{\bar{N}}{N} \dot{\psi} = 0. \quad (46)$$

where the new field  $\psi$  is defined as  $d\psi = \sqrt{V(\phi)} d\phi$ .

For  $\bar{N}(t) = 1$ , these equations can be integrated resulting in the scale factor

$$a(t)^6 = \frac{3}{B_1} t^2 + \alpha_1 \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{B_1}} t + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \quad (47)$$

and the scalar field  $\psi(t)$

$$\psi(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2B_1}{3}} \text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{6}t + \sqrt{B_1} \alpha_1}{\sqrt{B_1(a_1^2 - \alpha_2)}} \right), \quad (48)$$

while the line element (3) reads

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{a^6(t) V(\phi(t))} dt^2 + a^2(t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (49)$$

We observe that the potential functions  $V(\phi)$  has been included in the line element and consequently it affects all the geometric and physical quantities. The solution that we constructed here is known as algebraic solution

because the physical quantities are given by algebraic equations. This kind of solution has been before derived for the quintessence field in [60] with various physical applications [61, 62].

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this piece of work we considered an Einstein-aether scalar field cosmological theory proposed as a Lorentz violating inflationary model. The scalar and aether fields are interacting due to the assumption that the constants of the aether part of the action are taken to be functions of the scalar field. A useful and critical property of this theory is that, for the assumed geometry and the consequent assumptions for the fields, the reduced field equations are correctly described by the corresponding minisuperspace Lagrangian inferred by the reduced action. This occurrence is not at all automatic for arbitrary reductions, and is certainly not common in Einstein-aether theories. Yet, it is an important facilitation in order to study the quantization process.

For the spatially flat FLRW geometry considered, the metric of the two-dimensional minisuperspace (spanned by  $a, \phi$ ) depends on two unknown functions, the scalar field potential  $V(\phi)$  and the collective gravitational coupling function for the aether field,  $B(\phi)$ . For a specific relation of the two unknown functions, for which the configuration manifold becomes flat, we were able to: (A) write the general algebraic classical solution to the simplified cosmological field equations; and (B) present the quantization of the model which is carried out in the flat coordinates of the configuration space.

Surprisingly enough, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation is revealed as that of a free particle in a two dimensional flat space of hyperbolic signature. Under an appropriate choice for the basic wave function, certain quantization conditions can be enforced in the constant appearing due to the spatial integration at the classical level and which represents the spatial volume of the three space.

We note that the above quantization procedure encompasses an infinitely large class of models since it is based in a combination relating the function  $B(\phi)$  with the potential  $V(\phi)$  (see (20) or (21)). Even a specific  $B(\phi)$  by itself contains infinitely many possible combinations of the  $\beta_i(\phi)$  of the original Lagrangian that can realize it. As a result, the physical implications of this process of quantization are expected to have different interpretations in each specific model that belongs to the class characterized by (20) and (21).

In a future work we plan to apply the classical solution in order to study the physical applications of the model. Also, to investigate the general case of unrelated  $V(\phi)$ ,  $B(\phi)$  as well as different geometries, such as Bianchi  $I, V$ .

## Acknowledgments

AP & GL were funded by Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo - ANID through the program FONDECYT Iniciación grant no. 11180126. Additionally, GL is supported by Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico at Universidad Católica del Norte.

- 
- [1] P. Horava, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009)1.
  - [2] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 024003 (2004)
  - [3] I. Carruthers and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 024034 (2011).
  - [4] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 10502 (2010); Erratum: Phys. Rev. D **82**, 129901 (2010).
  - [5] D. Garfinkle and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 191102 (2011).
  - [6] A. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981)
  - [7] B. Ratra and P.J.E Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988)
  - [8] J.D. Barrow and P. Saich, Class. Quant. Grav. **10**, 279 (1993)
  - [9] E.V Linder, Phys. Rev. D. **70**, 023511 (2004)
  - [10] E.J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006)
  - [11] J.M. Overduin and F.I. Cooperstock, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 043506 (1998)
  - [12] T. Clifton, P.G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rept. **513**, 1 (2012)
  - [13] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and V.K. Oikonomou, Phys. Rept. **692**, 1 (2017)
  - [14] M Kerachian, G Acquaviva and G Lukes-Gerakopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 043535 (2020)
  - [15] C.R. Fadrakas and G. Leon, Class. Quantum Grav. **31**, 195011 (2014)
  - [16] A. Paliathanasis, M. Tsamparlis, S. Basilakos and J.D. Barrow, Phys. Rev D **91**, 123535 (2015)
  - [17] B. Vakili, Phys. Lett. B **738**, 488 (2014)
  - [18] N. Banerjee and S. Das, MPLA **21**, 2663 (2006)
  - [19] W. Yang, M. Shahalam, B. Pal, S. Pan and A. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 023522 (2019)

- [20] R. Lazkoz, G. Leon and I. Quiros, *Phys. Lett. B* **649**, 103 (2007)
- [21] T. Gonzalez, G. Leon and I. Quiros, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 3165 (2006)
- [22] C. Rubano and J.D. Barrow, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 127301 (2001)
- [23] M. Ishak, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **22**, 1 (2019)
- [24] S. Carroll and E. Lim, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 123525 (2004)
- [25] S. Kanno and J. Soda, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 063505 (2006)
- [26] A. A. Coley, G. Leon, P. Sandin and J. Latta, *JCAP* **1512**, 010 (2015)
- [27] J. Latta, G. Leon and A. Paliathanasis, *JCAP* **1611**, 051 (2016)
- [28] B. Alhulaimi, R. J. Van Den Hoogen and A. A. Coley, *JCAP* **1712**, 045 (2017)
- [29] R. J. Van Den Hoogen, A. A. Coley, B. Alhulaimi, S. Mohandas, E. Knighton and S. O’Neil, *JCAP* **1811**, 017 (2018)
- [30] A. Coley and G. Leon, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **51**, no. 9, 115 (2019)
- [31] G. Leon, A. Coley and A. Paliathanasis, *Annals Phys.* **412**, 168002 (2020)
- [32] A. Paliathanasis, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 064008 (2020)
- [33] A. Paliathanasis, G. Papagiannopoulos, S. Basilakos and J. D. Barrow, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no. 8, 723 (2019)
- [34] W. Donnelly and T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 064032 (2010)
- [35] S. Weinberg, “Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity”, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, London, Sydney, Toronto (1972)
- [36] B. S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev.* **160**, 1113 (1967)
- [37] C. W. Misner, “Minisuperspace” in J. R. Klauder, “Magic Without Magic: John Archibald Wheeler, a collection of essays in honor of his sixtieth birthday”, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco (1972)
- [38] M. A. H. MacCallum and A. H. Taub, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **25**, 173 (1972)
- [39] G. E. Sneddon, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **9**, 229 (1976)
- [40] W. F. Blyth and C. J. Isham, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 768 (1974)
- [41] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 2960 (1983)
- [42] S. W. Hawking, *Nucl. Phys. B* **239**, 257 (1984)
- [43] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 888 (1987)
- [44] C. Kiefer, *Nucl. Phys. B* **341**, 273 (1990)
- [45] S. P. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 3403 (1992)
- [46] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 141301 (2006)
- [47] M. Bojowald, *Living Rev. Relativ.* **8**, 11 (2005)
- [48] A. E. Faraggi and M. Matone, arXiv preprint: 2006.11935 [gr-qc] (2020)
- [49] K. V. Kuchař and M. P. Ryan Jr., *Phys. Rev. D* **40** (1989) 3982
- [50] M. Roumeliotis, A. Paliathanasis, Petros A. Terzis and T. Christodoulakis, *EPJC* **79**, 349 (2019)
- [51] M. Roumeliotis, A. Paliathanasis, Petros A. Terzis and T. Christodoulakis, *EPJC* **80**, 239 (2020)
- [52] A. Paliathanasis and G. Leon, *EPJC* **80**, 355 (2020)
- [53] P. A. M. Dirac, *Canad. J. Math* **2**, 129 (1950)
- [54] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Yeshiva University Press, New York (1964)
- [55] T. Christodoulakis and J. Zanelli, *Nuovo Cimento B* **93**, 1 (1986).
- [56] T. M. Dunster, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21**, 995 (1990)
- [57] N. Dimakis and A. Paliathanasis, arXiv preprint: 2001.09687 [gr-qc] (2020)
- [58] S. Gryb and K. P. Y. Thébault, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **36**, 035009 (2019)
- [59] S. Gielen and L. Menéndez-Pidal, arXiv preprint: 2005.05357 [gr-qc] (2020)
- [60] N. Dimakis, A. Karagiorgos, A. Zampeli, A. Paliathanasis, T. Christodoulakis, Petros A. Terzis, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 123518 (2016)
- [61] J.D. Barrow and A. Paliathanasis, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 083518 (2016)
- [62] J.D. Barrow and A. Paliathanasis, *Gen. Rel. Gravit.* **50**, 82 (2018)