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Abstract. We obtain a complete characterisation of factorial multiparameter Hecke von Neumann algebras associated with right-angled Coxeter groups. Considering their $\ell^p$-convolution algebra analogues, we exhibit an interesting parameter dependence, contrasting phenomena observed earlier for group Banach algebras. Translated to Iwahori-Hecke von Neumann algebras, these results allow us to draw conclusions on spherical representation theory of groups acting on right-angled buildings, which are in strong contrast to behaviour of spherical representations in the affine case. We also investigate certain graph product representations of right-angled Coxeter groups and note that our von Neumann algebraic structure results show that these are finite factor representations. Further classifying them up to unitary equivalence allows us to reveal high-dimensional Euclidean subspaces of the space of extremal characters of right-angled Coxeter groups.

1 Introduction

Generic Hecke algebras are deformations of the group ring of a Coxeter group. Being intimately related to deep representation theoretic problems, they attracted great interest for spherical and affine Coxeter groups [KL79]. In recent decades, other Coxeter groups started to attract interest, driven by the theory of buildings and by Kac-Moody groups acting on them [Rém12]. An operator algebraic perspective on Hecke algebras was created by Dymara who introduced Hecke von Neumann algebras $N_q(W)$ associated with a Coxeter system $(W,S)$ and specific deformation parameters $q \in \mathbb{R}^S_0$ in order to study cohomology of buildings [Dym06; Dav+07].

Among non spherical and non affine Coxeter groups, the right-angled ones are particularly interesting. It was shown by Haglund and Paulin that buildings of arbitrary thickness associated with right-angled Coxeter groups exist [HP03]. Not only certain Kac-Moody groups act on right-angled buildings, but also the buildings’ automorphism groups [Cap14] and universal groups with prescribed local action [DSS18; DS19] enrich the class of examples. From an operator algebraic point of view, right-angled Coxeter groups are interesting thanks to their rich combinatorial structure as graph products [CF17], which makes a variety of operator algebraic tools available.

The first structural result on Hecke von Neumann algebras was obtained by Garncarek, who characterised factorial single parameter Hecke von Neumann algebras of right-angled Coxeter groups [Gar16]. Whether the multiparameter generalisation of his result holds remained unclear at the time and was advertised as an open problem in [Gar16, Question 2]. After further advances in the understanding of Hecke operator algebras [CSW19; Cas20], Caspers, Klisse and Larsen recently obtained in [CKL19] a factoriality result for some multiparameters, as a consequence of their simplicity and unique trace results for Hecke C$^*$-algebras. However, they state in [CKL19, Remark 5.8 (a)] that Garncarek’s proof “does not trivially extend to the multiparameter case”. Here we do extend Garncarek’s work to the multiparameter case and thus establish a complete solution to [Gar16, Question 2], at the same time initiating the study of the corresponding $\ell^p$-operator algebras.

Theorem A. Let $(W,S)$ be an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter system with at least three generators, let $q \in \mathbb{R}^S_0$ and let $r \in [1, \infty)$. Write $q_w = q_{s_1} \cdots q_{s_n}$ for a reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_n \in W$.

- If $\sum_{w \in W} q_w^r = \infty$, then $N_q^r(W)$ is a factor.
- If $\sum_{w \in W} q_w^r < \infty$, then $N_q^r(W) = M \oplus \mathbb{C}p$, where $p$ is the projection onto the space of Hecke eigenvectors in $\ell^r(W)$ and $M$ is a factor.
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Including the $\ell^r$-convolution algebra analogues $\mathcal{N}_q^r(W)$ of Hecke von Neumann algebras allows us to exhibit the interesting parameter dependence, which is in contrast to simplicity results obtained so far. Indeed, it is easy to see that the classical condition to have infinite (non-trivial) conjugacy classes characterises factoriality of group convolution algebras on arbitrary $\ell^r$-spaces. Moreover, the articles [HP15; Phi19] show that also on a $C^*$-algebraic level, simplicity of a classical operator algebra associated with a group implies simplicity of its $\ell^r$-analogues. Our result shows that for Hecke operator algebras a similar implication holds no longer true. The remark is due that some simplicity results for the norm-closed $\ell^r$-analogues of Hecke operator algebras can be obtained from existing literature. Combining the averaging operators introduced in [CKL19] with the interpolating methods employed in [Phi19], it follows directly that for every Hecke $C^*$-algebra $C_q^r(W)$ for which [CKL19] proves simplicity, all norm-closed $\ell^r$-analogues are simple for $r$ from a neighbourhood of 2. The crucial difference to [Phi19], preventing further conclusions, is the lack of a good norm-estimate for the averaging operators on $\ell^1(W)$.

It must also be mentioned that the infinite dimensional von Neumann factors arising in Theorem A are non-injective by [CKL19, Theorem 6.2]. Furthermore, an observation made already in [Gar16, Section 6] (compare also with [CKL19, Section 5.4]) is that Dykema’s free probability results [Dyk93] apply in the special case of free products $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^\infty$ and yield there the von Neumann algebraic statement of our Theorem A.

It is fair to say that recent literature treated Hecke operator algebras as analytic-combinatorial objects. Concerning our main methods, the present work is no different. This is not the case when applications are concerned. The origin of Hecke operator algebras is geometric and lies in the Singer conjecture on cohomology of buildings. But already Garcinck has to state that “it turns out, although the centers of $\mathcal{N}_q^r(W)$ can be nontrivial, they contribute nothing new in the subject of decomposing the weighted cohomology of $W^\infty$” [Gar16, p.1203]. Subsequent work on Hecke operator algebras makes no different claim, and also our work does not create new insight into weighted cohomology. However, connections between Hecke operator algebras and the representation theory of groups acting on buildings have so far not appeared in the literature. A classical result in representation theory of $p$-adic reductive groups going back to Iwahori and Matsumoto [IM65] says that generic Hecke algebras are isomorphic with double coset Hecke algebras associated with Iwahori subgroups. By definition, an Iwahori subgroup of a $p$-adic reductive group is the stabiliser of a chamber in the associated Bruhat-Tits building. This identification of Hecke algebras continues to hold for groups acting strongly transitively on arbitrary buildings. We are hence able to deduce information about the spherical representation theory of certain groups acting on right-angled buildings from (the Hilbert space case of) Theorem A. The most precise way to do so, is to describe a decomposition of the quasi-regular representation associated with an Iwahori subgroup. It is unitarily equivalent to a restriction of the regular representation, since Iwahori subgroups are compact. The next corollary applies to several classes of groups mentioned before, such as certain completed Kac-Moody groups [RR06; Rém12], the full group of type preserving automorphism of right-angled buildings [Cap14] and certain universal groups with prescribed local action [DSS18; DS19].

**Corollary B.** Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a closed subgroup acting strongly transitively and type preserving on a right-angled building $X$ of type $(W, S)$ and rank at least three. Denote by $(d_s)_{s \in S}$ the thickness of $X$ and let $B \leq G$ be the stabiliser of a chamber in $X$ and let $K \leq G$ be the stabiliser of a vertex in $X$. We write $d_w = d_{s_1} \cdots d_{s_n}$ for a reduced expression $w = s_1 \cdots s_n \in W$.

- If $\sum_{w \in W} d_w^3 = \infty$, then the quasi-regular representation $\lambda_{G,B}$ on $\ell^2(G/B)$ is a type $\Pi_\infty$ factor representation.

- If $\sum_{w \in W} d_w^3 < \infty$, then $\lambda_{G,B}$ is the direct sum of a type $\Pi_{\infty}$ factor representation and an infinite dimensional irreducible representation.

- $\lambda_{G,K}$ is a type $\Pi_\infty$ factor representation.
This result provides a complete description of square integrable Iwahori-spherical representations. The fact that there is an irreducible direct summand of $\lambda_{G,B}$ if $\sum_{w \in W} d_w^{-1} < \infty$ follows from the existence of the Steinberg representations, already described by Matsumoto in [Mat69, Number 1.3]. Factoriality of its orthogonal complement is in stark contrast to previously observed behaviour of spherical representation theory. In the classical cases, such as groups acting strongly transitively and type preserving on trees [Car73; FN91] and more generally on affine buildings [Mat77; CC15], the Iwahori-Hecke algebra associated with $B$ is a finitely generated module over the abelian spherical Hecke algebra, which is associated with the maximal compact subgroup $K$. It was already shown in [Lec10, Theorem 3.5] (see also [APV13] and [Mon19, Corollary 2]) that the spherical Hecke algebra in the setting of our Corollary B cannot be abelian. The decomposition of the quasi-regular representation we provide, however, goes far beyond the statement of the spherical Hecke algebra being non-abelian, and similar examples were not obtained before. In particular, it follows already from [Suz17] (see also [Rau19, Theorem G] whose proof is not correct as stated, but the result can be recovered based on Suzuki’s article) that there are groups acting on trees whose regular representation is factorial, but the group action is not (and cannot be) strongly transitive.

In the second part of this article, we focus on unitary representation theory of right-angled Coxeter groups. In [Dav08, Notes 19.2] and [CKL19, Proposition 4.2] it was observed that generic Hecke algebras associated with right-angled Coxeter groups are isomorphic with the respective group algebras. A similar phenomenon is known in the spherical case [Bou02, Chapter IV, Exercise 27]. We put this observation into a systematic context of graph products of representations. Thanks to Theorem A we can single out a family $\lambda_a$, $a \in (-1,1)^S$ of finite factor representations arising as graph product representations of an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter system on at least three generators. Our second main result shows that these representations are pairwise unitarily inequivalent, even though they sometimes generate the same Hecke von Neumann algebra. This is an interesting phenomenon in view of the open classification problems for Hecke operator algebras and it has non-trivial consequences for the character space of right-angled Coxeter groups.

**Theorem C.** Let $(W,S)$ be an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter system with at least three generators. There are finite factor representations $\lambda_a$, $a \in (-1,1)^S$ of $W$ that are pairwise unitarily inequivalent and such that $N_q(W) = \lambda_a(W)^\tau \oplus p$ for $q_s = \frac{1-|a_s|}{\sqrt{1-a_s^2}}$, where $p$ is a projection of rank at most one, which vanishes if and only if $\sum_{w \in W} q_w = \infty$.

Combining the continuous parameter dependence obtained from the construction as graph product representations, Theorem C implies the existence of high-dimensional Euclidean subspaces of the character space of right-angled Coxeter groups. This result is in contrast to recent classification results for traces on group $C^*$-algebras obtained for example in [Bek07; PT16; CP13; BH19b; Bek19; BF20]. While graph products of groups are expected to have complicated trace spaces, their precise topological structure remains unclear.

**Corollary D.** Let $(W,S)$ be an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter system with at least three generators. There is an $|S|$-dimensional Euclidean subspace of the extremal points of $\text{Char}(W)$ whose interior contains the regular trace.

This article contains four sections. After the introduction, we collect some preliminaries on the various topics we treat. In Section 3, we prove our first main Theorem A and its Corollary B. In Section 4, we introduce the graph products of representations and prove Theorem C and Corollary D.
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2 Preliminaries

2.1 Locally compact groups, convolution algebras and regular representations

Standard resources for this material are [DE14] and the appendices of [BHV08]. Every locally compact group $G$ admits a left invariant Radon measure $\mu$, which is unique up to a positive scalar. The modular function of $G$ is the unique group homomorphism $\Delta : G \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying $\Delta(x)\mu(B) = \mu(Bx)$ for all measurable $B$ and all $x \in G$.

The space of continuous and compactly supported functions $C_c(G)$ becomes a *-algebra when equipped with the product

$$f \ast g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)d\mu(y)$$

and the involution

$$f^*(x) = \Delta(x^{-1})f(x^{-1}).$$

The convolution product of $C_c(G)$ extends to a *-representation $C_c(G) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Given a compact open subgroup $K \leq G$, its (coset) Hecke algebra is the *-subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[G, K] \subset C_c(G)$ of $K$-biinvariant functions. The Hecke algebra can be described as $\mathbb{C}[G, K] = p_K C_c(G)p_K$ where $p_K = 1_K$ is the indicator function of $K$; we shall always normalise the Haar measure of $G$ on a suitable compact open subgroup $K$.

The left regular representation is the the unique group homomorphism $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G))$ satisfying $\lambda_x(f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ for all $f \in C_c(G) \subset L^2(G)$ and all $x, y \in G$. The group von Neumann algebra of $G$ is the double commutant

$$L(G) = \lambda(G)'' = \overline{C_c(G)}^\text{SOT},$$

where SOT denotes the strong operator topology, that is the topology of pointwise convergence. Given a closed subgroup $H \leq G$, we denote by $\lambda_{G, H} : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G/H))$ the quasi-regular representation. If $H \leq G$ is open, $G/H$ is discrete and $\lambda_{G, H}$ is the permutation representation of the left translation action on $G/H$. In particular, it has no finite dimensional subrepresentations if $G/H$ is infinite. For a compact open subgroup $K \leq G$, the quasi-regular representation $\lambda_{G, K}$ is unitarily equivalent to the restriction of $\lambda$ to the subrepresentation generated by $K$-fixed vectors. The Hecke von Neumann algebra of the pair $K \leq G$ is

$$L(G, K) = p_K L(G)p_K = \overline{C[G, K]}^\text{SOT} \subset \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(K\backslash G)).$$

Both the group von Neumann algebra and the Hecke von Neumann algebra admit counterparts $R(G)$ and $R(G, K)$ constructed from the right regular representation of $G$, which is defined by $\rho_{\tau}(f)(y) = \Delta_G(x)^{-1/2}f(yx)$ for all $f \in C_c(G) \subset L^2(G)$ and all $x, y \in G$. Since $\lambda$ and $\rho$ are unitarily equivalent, we have $L(G) \cong R(G)$ and $L(G, K) \cong R(G, K)$.

A unitary representation $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(H)$ is a subrepresentation of $\lambda^\otimes \kappa$ for some cardinal $\kappa$ if and only if it is square integrable in the sense that for a dense set of $\xi \in H$ the function $g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)\xi, \xi \rangle$ is square integrable with respect to the Haar measure of $G$. See [Dix96, Chapter 14] or [Rie69, Theorem 4.6]. In order to avoid a clash of terminology, we prefer the term “square integrable” to “discrete series” here, since the latter usually makes the additional assumption of irreducibility in the representation theory of reductive groups.
2.2 Coxeter systems

The books [Hum90] and [Dav08] both describe Coxeter groups. A Coxeter matrix over a non-empty set \( S \) is a symmetric \( S \times S \) matrix whose diagonal entries equal one and whose off diagonal entries lie in \( \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\} \). Let \( M = (m_{st})_{s,t \in S} \) be a Coxeter matrix. The Coxeter system \((W, S)\) associated with \( M \) is given by the group

\[
W = \{ s \mid \forall s, t \in S : e = (st)^{m_{st}} \}
\]

together with its generating set \( S \). The Coxeter matrix and its Coxeter system are called right-angled if \( m_{st} \in \{2, \infty\} \) for all different \( s, t \in S \). They are irreducible if the Coxeter matrix is not the Kronecker tensor product of two non-trivial Coxeter matrices. Equivalently, there is no partition \( S = S_1 \cup S_2 \) such that \( W = \langle S_1 \rangle \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle \).

Given \( w \in W \) we denote by \( |w| \) its word length with respect to the generating set \( S \). A word \( w \) with letters in \( S \) is called reduced if it has \( |w| \) letters. The \( s \)-length \( |w|_s \) of \( w \in W \) is the number of occurrences of \( s \) in any reduced word representing \( w \). Tits’ solution to the word-problem in Coxeter groups [Tit69, Théorème 3] provides normal forms for elements in \( W \) and shows that the \( s \)-length is well defined. Given a subgroup \( D = \langle T \rangle \) for \( T \subset S \), we call a double coset \( DwD \subset W \) non-degenerate if it is not equal to a simple coset.

2.3 Generic Hecke algebras

The book [Hum90] introduces generic Hecke algebras. Also [Dav08] introduces Hecke algebras and discusses their von Neumann algebraic completions. Given a Coxeter system \((W, S)\), we denote its real deformation parameters by

\[
\mathcal{F}^{(W, S)}_{>0} = \left\{ q := (q_s)_{s \in S} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^S \mid \forall s, t \in S : (\exists w \in W : wsw^{-1} = t \implies q_s = q_t) \right\}.
\]

Frequently, the expression

\[
p_s = \frac{q_s - 1}{\sqrt{q_s}}
\]

will be used. If \((W, S)\) is right-angled, Tits’ solution to the word-problem implies that \( \mathcal{F}^{(W, S)}_{>0} = \mathcal{F}^S_{>0} \). Indeed, if \( s, t, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S \) satisfy \( s_1 \cdots s_n s_n \cdots s_1 = t \), then comparing the length of both sides, it follows that \( [s, s_1] = e \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and thus \( s = t \). For \( q \in \mathcal{F}^{(W, S)}_{>0} \) and a reduced word \( w = s_1 \cdots s_n \in W \) we write \( q_w = q_{s_1} \cdots q_{s_n} \).

The \( (\text{generic}) \) Hecke algebra associated with \((W, S)\) and the multiparameter \( q \in \mathcal{F}^{(W, S)}_{>0} \) is the \(*\)-algebra with the presentation

\[
\mathbb{C}_q[W] = \left\{ (T_s)_{q \in S} \mid \forall s, t \in S, m_{st} < \infty : T_s^{(q)} T_t^{(q)} \cdots = T_t^{(q)} T_s^{(q)} \cdots (m_{st} \text{ many factors}), \right. \\
\left. (T_s^{(q)} - q_s^{\frac{1}{2}})(T_s^{(q)} + q_s^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 1, \ \\
(T_s^{(q)})^* = T_s^{(q)} \right\}.
\]

For a reduced expression \( w = s_1 \cdots s_n \in W \), we write \( T_w^{(q)} = T_{s_1}^{(q)} \cdots T_{s_n}^{(q)} \). One can check that this does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression. In particular, \( (T_w^{(q)})^* = T_{w^{-1}}^{(q)} \) holds for all \( w \in W \). Further, for all \( w, w' \in W \) satisfying \( |ww'| = |w| + |w'| \) we have \( T_{ww'}^{(q)} = T_w^{(q)} T_{w'}^{(q)} \).

For every \( r \in [1, \infty) \), the algebra \( \mathbb{C}_q[W] \) admits a representation by bounded operators on \( \ell^r(W) \) satisfying the following formula on its generators,

\[
\pi^r_q(T_s^{(q)}) \delta_w = \begin{cases} 
\delta_{sw} & \text{if } |sw| > |w|, \\
\delta_{sw} + p_s \delta_w & \text{if } |sw| < |w|.
\end{cases}
\]
We define the Hecke $\ell^r$-convolution algebra by

$$\mathcal{N}_q^r(W) = \pi_q^r(\mathbb{C}_q[W])''.$$  

Note that the vector $\delta_e \in \ell^r(W)$ is cyclic and separating, as a short calculation using $J : \ell^r(W) \to \ell^r(W) : \delta_w \mapsto \delta_{w^{-1}}$ shows. This allows us to study elements $x \in \mathcal{N}_q^r(W)$ by means of their image $\hat{x} = x\delta_e \in \ell^r(W)$ and shows injectivity of $\pi_q^r$. We will henceforth suppress the representation $\pi_q^r$ in our notation, identifying $T_w^{(q)}$ with its image in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^r(W))$.

In the Hilbert space case $r = 2$, the algebra $\mathcal{N}_q^2(W) = \mathcal{N}_q^2(W)$ is the multiparameter Hecke von Neumann algebra associated with $(W,S)$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^{(W,S)}$. The representation $\pi_q^2$ is a $*$-representation and it arises from the GNS-construction with respect to the tracial state $T_w^{(q)} \mapsto \langle T_w^{(q)} \delta_e, \delta_e \rangle = \delta_{w,e}$ on $\mathbb{C}_q[W]$. The following parameter reduction is well known from Kazhdan-Lusztig’s work [KL79]. See also [CKL19, Proposition 4.7] for the proof of an operator algebraic version for $r = 2$. The statement continues to hold in the $\ell^r$-setting with the same proof.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $(W,S)$ be a right-angled Coxeter system, let $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^S$, $(\epsilon_s)_{s \in S} \in \{-1,1\}^S$ and $r \in [1,\infty)$. Define $q' = (q_s^{\epsilon_s})_{s \in S}$. Then the map

$$\mathbb{C}_q[W] \to \mathbb{C}_q'[W] : T_s^{(q')} \mapsto \epsilon_s T_s^{(q)}$$

is well defined and extends to an isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_q^r(W) \cong \mathcal{N}_q'^r(W)$.

The following description of Hecke eigenvectors was first observed in [Dav+07, Section 5]. See also [Gar16, Proof of Theorem 5.3].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $(W,S)$ be a right-angled Coxeter system, let $q \in (0,1)^S$ and $r \in [1,\infty)$. Then the Hecke operators $(T_s^{(q)})_{s \in S}$ have a common eigenvector in $\ell^r(W)$ if and only if $\sum_{w \in W} q_w^2 < \infty$. In this case, every common eigenvector of Hecke operators is a multiple of $\xi = \sum_{w \in W} q_w^2 \delta_w$, and the projection onto $\mathbb{C}_q \xi$ lies in the centre of $\mathcal{N}_q^r(W)$. The eigenvalues are given by $T_s^{(q)p} = \sqrt{q_w^2} \delta_w$, $s \in S$.

### 2.4 Buildings and Iwahori-Hecke algebras

The standard reference for buildings is [AB08]. We follow Garrett’s presentation from [Gar97] as it leads directly to the comparison of generic Hecke algebras and Iwahori-Hecke algebras. A chamber complex is a simplicial complex $X$ such that all simplices of $X$ are contained in a maximal simplex and such that for every pair $x,y$ of maximal simplices of $X$ there is a chain $x = 0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y$ of adjacent maximal simplices in $X$. Its maximal simplices are called chambers. They will usually be denoted by $C$. A chamber complex is thin if each facet of a chamber is the facet of exactly two chambers, and it is called thick if each facet of a chamber is the facet of at least three chambers. An important example of a thin chamber complex is the Coxeter complex $\Sigma(W,S)$ associated with a Coxeter system $(W,S)$. Its simplices are indexed by the cosets $W/l(T)$, where $T \subset S$ is a proper subset. The face relation is determined by opposite inclusion. In particular, the singletons $w(\emptyset) = \{w\}$ represent the maximal simplices of $\Sigma(W,S)$.

A system of apartments in a chamber complex $X$ is a set $A$ of thin chamber subcomplexes such that

- for each pair of simplices $x,y$ of $X$ there is $A \in A$ such that $x,y \in A$, and
- if $A,A' \in A$ contain a common chamber $C$ and a simplex $x$, then there is an isomorphism of chamber complexes $\phi : A \to A'$ that fixes $C$ and $x$ point-wise.
A building is a chamber complex that admits a system of apartments. One can prove that for a thick building $X$ there is a unique maximal apartment system. So if $X$ is thick, as we assume from now on, it makes sense to speak of an apartment of $X$ without reference to a specific system of apartments. There is a Coxeter system $(W, S)$ such that every apartment of $X$ is chamber isomorphic with $\Sigma(W, S)$. The choice of a fundamental chamber $C$ in $X$ and a compatible labelling of its facets by elements of $S$ makes these isomorphisms unique and introduces a labelling of all facets of chambers in $X$. The Coxeter system $(W, S)$ is called the type of $X$. In particular, a right-angled building is a building whose Coxeter system is right-angled. The rank of $X$ is by definition the rank of $(W, S)$, which is equal to the number of facets of any chamber in $X$. The thickness of $X$ is the tuple $(d_s)_{s \in S}$ where $d_s$ is the cardinality of the set of chambers containing the fundamental chamber’s facet labelled by $s$. The building is called locally finite if all $d_s$, $s \in S$ are finite.

Given a locally finite, thick building $X$, its group of simplicial automorphisms $\text{Aut}(X)$ carries the topology of point-wise convergence on simplices, which turns $\text{Aut}(X)$ into a totally disconnected, locally compact group. A subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ is called type preserving, if it leaves invariant any labelling of facets of chambers in $X$ obtained from the choice of a labelled fundamental chamber. A subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ is called strongly transitive if it acts transitively on pairs $(A, C)$ where $A$ is an apartment of $X$ and $C$ is a chamber in $A$. Given a closed, strongly transitive subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ an Iwahori subgroup of $G$, denoted below by $B$, is the stabiliser of a chamber in $X$. Every Iwahori subgroup is compact and open and it is unique up to conjugation by elements from $G$. Iwahori subgroups play the role of the group $B$ in Tits’ $(B, N)$-pairs, which can be used to construct buildings form groups. If $(W, S)$ is the type of $X$, then the Bruhat decomposition of a closed, strongly transitive and type preserving subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ provides a bijection $W \to B\backslash G/ B$ assigning to $w \in W$ its Bruhat cell $BwB$. Note that this identification uses the fact that $W$ can be viewed as the group of type preserving automorphisms of an apartment of $X$. The Iwahori-Hecke algebra of $G$ is the algebra $\mathbb{C}[G, B]$ of $B$-biinvariant and compactly supported functions on $G$ equipped with the convolution product of $C_c(G)$. We normalise the Haar measure of $G$ on some (or equivalently any) Iwahori subgroup. A unitary representation $\pi$ of $G$ is called Iwahori-spherical if it has a non-zero fixed vector under some Iwahori subgroup of $G$.

The following identification of Hecke algebras goes back to Iwahori and Matsumoto [IM65]. We refer to [Gar97, Section 6.2] for a modern presentation, and for the convenience of the reader give a short proof, showing that the scaling factors are chosen correctly.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $X$ be a locally finite, thick building of type $(W, S)$ and $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a closed, strongly transitive and type preserving subgroup. Let $B \leq G$ be an Iwahori subgroup of $G$ and denote by $(d_s)_{s \in S}$ the thickness of $X$. Let $q_s = d_s^{-1}$, for $s \in S$. Then $\mathbb{C}_q(W) \cong \mathbb{C}[G, B]$ as *-algebras, with an isomorphism given by the map $T_w^{(q)} \mapsto (-1)^{|w|}\sqrt{q_w}1_{BwB}$.

**Proof.** In [Gar97, Section 6.2] is shown that $\mathbb{C}[G, B]$ is presented as the algebra with relations

$$\mathbb{C}[G, B] = \langle (1_{BwB})_{w \in W} \mid 1_{BwB}1_{BwB} = 1_BwB \text{ if } |sw| > |w|, \quad 1_B^2 = (d_s - 1)1_{BwB} + d_s \rangle.$$

Using the first relation, we see that for $s \neq t$ in $S$ the Artin relation

$$1_{BwB}1_{BwB} \cdots = 1_{B1B}1_{BwB} \cdots \quad (m_{st} \text{ factors})$$

is satisfied, where $m_{st}$ denotes the order of $st$ in $W$. The second relation implies that

$$\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_s}}1_{BwB}\right)^2 = \frac{d_s - 1}{d_s}1_{BwB} + 1 = -p_s\sqrt{q_s}1_{BwB} + 1,$$

which is equivalent to the Hecke relation of $\mathbb{C}_q(W)$. So there is an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_q(W) \to \mathbb{C}[G, B]$ satisfying $\varphi(T_w^{(q)}) = (-1)\sqrt{q_s}1_{BwB}$ for all $s \in S$. It satisfies $\varphi(T_w^{(q)}) = (-1)^{|w|}\sqrt{q_w}1_{BwB}$ for
all \( w \in W \) by the definition of \( T_w^{(q)} \) and the defining relations of \( \mathbb{C}[G,B] \). An inverse for \( \varphi \) exists since \((-1)^{|w|}\sqrt{T_w^{(q)}}\) satisfy the relations characterising \( \mathbb{C}[G,B] \). So \( \varphi \) is an algebra isomorphism. We conclude by pointing out that it is a *-isomorphism thanks to the fact that \((T_w^{(q)})^* = T_w^{(q)}\) and \( \mathbb{1}_{BwB} = \mathbb{1}_{Bw^{-1}B} \).

\[ \Box \]

### 3 Factoriality

In this section, we will prove Theorem A and Corollary B. Our strategy to prove Theorem A closely follows [Gar16], with the key new result being our Lemma 3.2.

The proof of the following lemma is standard. Its single parameter (Hilbert space) version already appeared as [Gar16, Lemma 5.1]. Recall that \( \hat{x} = x\delta_x \in \ell'(W) \) for each \( x \in \mathcal{N}_q^r(W) \).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \((W,S)\) be a right-angled Coxeter system and \( q \in (0,1]^S \). Fix \( s \in S \) and \( x \in \mathcal{N}_q^r(W) \). Then \( x \) commutes with \( T_s^{(q)} \) if and only if for all \( w \in W \) satisfying \( |sws| = |w| + 2 \) we have

\[
\hat{x}_{sw} = \hat{x}_{ws} \\
\hat{x}_{sws} = \hat{x}_w + p_s\hat{x}_{sw}.
\]

The key new result in our treatment of the multiparameter case compared to [Gar16] is the following generalisation of [Gar16, Proposition 5.2].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \((W,S)\) be a right-angled Coxeter system, let \( q \in (0,1]^S \) and let \( r \in [1,\infty) \). Let \( s,t \in S \) be non-commuting elements and write \( D = \langle s,t \rangle \). Assume that \( x \in \mathcal{N}_q^r(W) \) commutes with \( T_s^{(q)} \) and \( T_t^{(q)} \). Then for every non-degenerate double coset \( DwD \subset W \) with shortest element \( w \), we have

\[
\hat{x}_{dwd'} = \hat{x}_w \cdot \left( \frac{q_{dwd'}}{|q_w|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for all } d,d' \in D.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( DwD \) is non-degenerate, at least one of the elements \( s,t \) does not commute with \( w \). We may without loss of generality assume that this is \( t \). Define a function \( f : D \times D \to \mathbb{C} \) by \( f(d,d') = \hat{x}_{d^{-1}wd'} \).

Then we have to show that

\[
f(d,d') = \hat{x}_w \cdot \left( \frac{q_{d^{-1}wd'}}{|q_w|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

We will first collect several algebraic relations between values of \( f \), obtained by applying Lemma 3.1. Given \( d,d' \in D \) that do not end in \( t \) we have \( |td^{-1}wd'| = |d^{-1}wd'| + 2 \), whence

\[
(3.1) \quad f(d,d't) = f(dt,d') \quad f(d,d') = f(dt,d') - p_tf(dt,d').
\]

If \( s \) does not commute with \( w \), we similarly find that for all \( d,d' \in D \) that do not end in \( s \), we have

\[
(3.3) \quad f(d,d's) = f(ds,d') \quad f(d,d') = f(ds,d') - p_sf(ds,d').
\]

If \( s \) does commute with \( w \), these statements do hold for \( d,d' \in D \) that end in \( t \) and additionally for the choice \( d = e \) and \( d' \in D \) that does not start or end with \( s \), as in these cases \( |sd^{-1}wd'| = |d^{-1}wd'| + 2 \) continues to hold.

**Claim 1.** The equality \( f(d,d'ts) = f(dts,d') \) holds for all \( d,d' \in D \) that do not end with \( t \).
Proof of the claim. We fix a pair of elements $d, d' \in D$ that do not end in $t$. Write $d_n = dts\cdots$ with $n$ letters attached, and similarly write $d'_n = d'tst\cdots$ with $n$ letters attached. We can apply the relations (3.2) and (3.4) to obtain

$$f(d, d') = f(dt, d't) - p_tf(dt, d')$$
$$= f(dts, d'ts) - p_sf(dts, d't) - p_tf(dt, d')$$
$$= f(d_{2n}, d'_{2n}) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_sf(d_{2k}ts, d'_{2k}t) + p_tF(d_{2k}t, d'_{2k}).$$

Since $f$ vanishes at infinity, we infer that the partial sums $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_sf(d_{2k}ts, d'_{2k}t) + p_tF(d_{2k}t, d'_{2k})$ converge and we obtain the expression

$$f(d, d') = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_sf(d_{2k}ts, d'_{2k}t) + p_tF(d_{2k}t, d'_{2k}).$$

In order to prove our claim, it now suffices to see that $f(d(ts)^{k+1}t, d'(ts)^k) = f(d(ts)^k t, d'(ts)^{k+1})$ and $f(d(ts)^{k+2}, d'(ts)^k t) = f(d(ts)^{k+1}, d'(ts)^{k+1})$ hold for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. These relations follow from equations (3.1) and (3.3).

Claim 2. If $s$ and $w$ do not commute, then $f(d, d'st) = f(dst, d')$ holds for all $d, d' \in D$ that do not end with $s$. If $s$ and $w$ commute, then $f(d, d'st) = f(dst, d')$ holds for all $d, d' \in D$ that end with $t$ as well as for $d = e$ under the additional condition that $d' \in W$ neither starts nor ends with $s$.

Proof of the claim. The proof of this claim follows exactly the same way as the previous one, invoking equations (3.2) and (3.4) while respecting the special case where $s$ and $w$ commute.

Let us define two functions $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formulas

$$g_1(n) = f((ts)^n, e)$$
$$g_2(n) = f((ts)^n t, e).$$

Then

$$(3.5) \quad g_1(n + 2) = g_1(n) + p_s g_2(n + 1) + p_t g_2(n) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

since an application of equations (3.2) and (3.4), and then of Claim 1 and equation (3.1) shows that

$$f((ts)^n, e) = f((ts)^n t, t) - p_t f((ts)^n t, e)$$
$$= f((ts)^n ts, ts) - p_s f((ts)^n ts, t) - p_t f((ts)^n t, e)$$
$$= f((ts)^{n+1}, e) - p_s f((ts)^{n+1} t, e) - p_t f((ts)^n t, e).$$

Similarly, the recurrence

$$(3.6) \quad g_2(n + 2) = g_2(n) + p_t g_1(n + 2) + p_s g_1(n + 1) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

follows from the calculation

$$f((ts)^n t, e) = f((ts)^n ts, s) - p_s f((ts)^n ts, e)$$
$$= f((ts)^n ts, st) - p_t f((ts)^n ts, s) - p_s f((ts)^n ts, e)$$
$$= f((ts)^{n+2}, e) - p_t f((ts)^{n+2} t, e) - p_s f((ts)^{n+1}, e).$$

The system of recurrence relations (3.5)–(3.6) associated with $g_1, g_2$ can be transformed into a linear system of four relations of with constant coefficients. It follows that it has a system of four fundamental
solutions. Considering the solutions to the difference equation appearing in [Gar16, Proposition 5.2] (and correcting the typo in there), one guesses the following solutions and verifies in elementary calculations that they indeed obey the recurrences.

(First fundamental solution)
\[
\tilde{g}_1(n) = q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^\frac{n}{2}
\]
\[
\tilde{g}_2(n) = q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^{\frac{n+1}{2}}
\]

(Second fundamental solution)
\[
\tilde{g}_1'(n) = (-1)^n q_s^{-\frac{n}{2}} q_t^{\frac{n}{2}}
\]
\[
\tilde{g}_2'(n) = (-1)^n q_s^{-\frac{n}{2}} q_t^{\frac{n+1}{2}}
\]

(Third fundamental solution)
\[
\tilde{g}_1''(n) = (-1)^n q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^{-\frac{n}{2}}
\]
\[
\tilde{g}_2''(n) = (-1)^n q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^{\frac{n+1}{2}}
\]

(Forth fundamental solution)
\[
\tilde{g}_1'''(n) = q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^{-\frac{n}{2}}
\]
\[
\tilde{g}_2'''(n) = -q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}
\]

We exemplify the necessary calculations by checking equation (3.5) for the first fundamental solution \((\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2)\). Dividing both sides of (3.5) by \(\tilde{g}_1(n)\), it remains to match the term \(q_s q_t\) with
\[
1 + p_s q_s^\frac{1}{2} q_t + p_t q_t^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + (q_s - 1) q_t + (q_t - 1) = q_s q_t .
\]

So the equation is indeed satisfied.

Because \(q_s, q_t \in (0, 1]\), it follows that scalar multiples of the first fundamental solution are the only solutions to the recurrence that vanish at infinity. So we find that

\[
(3.7) \quad f((ts)^n, e) = g_1(n) = g_1(0) \tilde{g}_1(n) = f(e, e) q_s^n q_t^n
\]

and similarly
\[
f((ts)^n, e) = g_2(n) = g_1(0) \tilde{g}_2(n) = f(e, e) q_s^n q_t^{n+1} .
\]

We will next investigate the pairs \(((st)^n, e)\) and \(((st)^n s, e)\) in a similar fashion, carefully taking care of possible commutation between \(w\) and \(s\). Let us define functions \(h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}\) by
\[
\begin{align*}
  h_1(n) &= f((st)^n, e) \\
  h_2(n) &= f((st)^n s, e).
\end{align*}
\]

If \(s\) and \(w\) do not commute, then we can derive and solve recurrence relations as before and thereby find that
\[
\begin{align*}
  f((st)^n, e) &= q_s^n q_t^n \\
  f((st)^n s, e) &= q_s^{n+1} q_t^n .
\end{align*}
\]

If \(s\) and \(w\) commute, we only obtain the relations
\[
\begin{align*}
  h_1(n + 2) &= h_1(n) + p_t h_2(n + 1) + p_s h_2(n) & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
  h_2(n + 2) &= h_2(n) + p_s h_1(n + 2) + p_t h_1(n + 1) & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.
\end{align*}
\]
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The same method as before applies, showing that for \( n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \) we have

\[
  h_1(n) = h_1(1)q_s^\frac{n-1}{2} q_t^\frac{n-1}{2}
\]

\[
  h_2(n) = h_1(1)q_s^\frac{n}{2} q_t^\frac{n}{2}.
\]

We note that equations (3.1) and (3.3) combined with Claim 1 imply that

\[
f(s, t, e) = f(s, t) = f(e, ts, e).
\]

Using this together with formula (3.7) shows that

\[
h_1(1) = f(st, e) = f(ts, e) = f(e, e)q_s^\frac{1}{2} q_t^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

This implies that

\[
f((st)^n, e) = h_1(n) = h_1(1)q_s^n q_t^n = f(e, e)q_s^n q_t^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}
\]

and similarly

\[
f((st)^n s, e) = h_2(n) = h_1(1)q_s^n q_t^n = f(e, e)q_s^n q_t^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.
\]

Since \( h_1(0) = f(e, e) \) holds by definition, it remains to find the value of \( h_2(0) = f(s, e) \). Plugging 0 into the first recurrence relation, we obtain

\[
f(e, e)q_s q_t = f(e, e)(1 + pt q_s q_t^\frac{1}{2}) + ps f(s, e)
\]

and thus

\[
f(s, e) = p_s^{-1} f(e, e)(q_s q_t - 1 - pt q_s q_t^\frac{1}{2})
\]

\[
= p_s^{-1} f(e, e)(q_s q_t - 1 - q_s(q_t - 1))
\]

\[
= p_s^{-1} f(e, e)(q_s - 1)
\]

\[
= f(e, e)q_s^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

Summarising our results so far, we have seen that

\[
f(d, e) = f(e, e)q_d^\frac{1}{2} = f(e, e)\left(\frac{qd^{-1}w}{q_w}\right)^\frac{1}{2}
\]

holds for all \( d \in D \). In the remainder of the proof, we will reduce all considerations about the values of \( f \) to these cases.

Since the relations described in (3.1) and (3.3) as well as in Claims 1 and 2 do not change the value of \( q_{d^{-1}w} \), we see that it remains to determine the values of \( f \) on the following pairs of elements:

\[
((st)^n, s) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad ((ts)^n, t) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
((st)^n, t) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \quad ((ts)^n, s) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.
\]

Treating the exception first, we observe that if \( s \) and \( w \) commute, then \((st)^n)\) and \((st)^n s w = (st)^n w\) and hence \( f((st)^n, s) = f((st)^n, e) \). If \( s \) and \( w \) do not commute, we obtain

\[
f((st)^n, s) = f((st)^n, e) + ps f((st)^n, s)
\]

\[
= f(e, e)q_s^n q_t^n (1 + ps q_s^\frac{1}{2})
\]

\[
= f(e, e)q_s^n q_t^n (1 + q_s - 1)
\]

\[
= f(e, e)q_s^n q_t^n q_s^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

Similar calculations determine the value of \( f \) on the pairs \((st)^n, t) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \) as well as \((ts)^n, t) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \((ts)^n, s) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \), thereby finishing the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)
In order to complete Lemma 3.2 to a proof of Theorem A, we will make use of the following combinatorial result proven by Garnarek.

**Proposition 3.3 ([Gar16, Proposition 3.4])**. Let \((W, S)\) be an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter system with at least three generators. Denote by \(\Gamma\) the graph whose vertex set is \(W\) and for which \(w, w' \in W\) are adjacent if and only if there are \(s, t \in S\) such that for \(D = \langle s, t \rangle\), we have \(DwD = Dw'D\) and this coset is non-degenerate. Then all elements of \(W \setminus (S \cup \{ e \})\) lie in the same connected component of \(\Gamma\).

Having Lemma 3.2 at hand, the proof of [Gar16, Theorem 5.3] carries over to the multiparameter case.

**Proof of Theorem A**. Assume that \(x\) lies in the centre of \(N_q^r(W)\). Let \(v, w \in W\) and assume that there are \(s, t \in S\) such that for \(D = \langle s, t \rangle\), the cosets \(DvD\) and \(DwD\) are equal to each other and non-degenerate. Then Lemma 3.2 implies that

\[
\hat{x}_w q_w^s = \hat{x}_v q_v^s.
\]

By Proposition 3.3 it follows that there is \(c \in \mathbb{C}\) such that

\[
(3.8) \quad \hat{x}_w = cq_w^s \quad \text{for all } w \in W \setminus (S \cup \{ e \}).
\]

Let \(s \in S\) and take \(t \in S\) that does not commute with \(s\). Then Lemma 3.1 implies that

\[
\hat{x}_s = \hat{x}_{st} - p_t \hat{x}_{ts}\n\]

\[
= c q_t q_s^\frac{1}{2} - p_t cq_t^\frac{1}{2} q_s^\frac{1}{2}\n\]

\[
= c \left( q_t q_s^\frac{1}{2} - (q_t - 1) q_s^\frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
= cq_s^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

This shows that (3.8) holds for all \(w \in W \setminus \{ e \}\). So there is \(d \in \mathbb{C}\) such that \(\hat{x}_w = d \delta_e + cq_w^s\) holds for all \(w \in W\). If \(\sum_{w \in W} q_w^s = \infty\) holds, then we must necessarily have \(c = 0\) so that \(x \in \mathbb{C}1\) follows, which finishes the proof in this case. If on the other hand \(\sum_{w \in W} q_w^s < \infty\), then \(\hat{x}\) is a linear combination of \(\delta_e\) and \(\xi = \sum_{w \in W} q_w^s \delta_w \in \ell^r(W)\). Since the projection onto \(\mathbb{C}\xi\) lies in \(N_q^r(W)\) by Proposition 2.2, the proof is also finished in this case.

We will next use the relation between representations of groups acting on right-angled buildings and generic Hecke von Neumann algebras described in Section 2.4. Let us first observe that the identification of Hecke algebras made in Theorem 2.3 extends to an identification of Hecke von Neumann algebras, before we prove Corollary B.

**Theorem 3.4**. Let \(X\) be a locally finite, thick building of type \((W, S)\) and \(G \leq \text{Aut}(X)\) be a closed, strongly transitive and type preserving subgroup. Let \(B \leq G\) be an Iwahori subgroup of \(G\) and denote by \((d_s)_{s \in S}\) the thickness of \(X\). Let \(q_s = d_s^{-1}\), for \(s \in S\). Then \(N_q(W) \cong \text{L}[G, B]\).

**Proof**. Denote by \(\varphi\) the isomorphism \(\mathbb{C}_q[W] \to \mathbb{C}[G, B]\) from Theorem 2.3. The Hecke von Neumann algebra \(N_q(W)\) is the completion of \(\mathbb{C}_q[W]\) in the GNS-representation associated with the tracial state satisfying \(T_q^{q(s)} \to \delta_{w,e}\). This state is recovered as the composition of \(\varphi\) with the tracial vector state on \([G, B]\) associated with \(\delta_{B,e} \in \ell^2(B\backslash G)\). So we find that \(\varphi\) extends to a *-isomorphism \(\text{L}(G, B) \cong N_q(W)\).
Proof of Corollary B. Since $\lambda_{G,B}(G)' = R(G, B) \cong L(G, B)$, a combination of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem A applies to describe the structure of the quasi-regular representation. If $\sum_{w \in W} q_w$ is summable, then $\lambda_{G,B} = \pi \oplus St$ for a semi-finite factor representation $\pi$ and an irreducible representation $St$. If $\sum_{w \in W} q_w$ is not summable, then $\lambda_{G,B}$ is a semi-finite factor representation. It remains to show that neither $\pi$ nor $St$ (if it exists) can be a finite representation. We observe that $St$ is a subrepresentation of $\lambda_{G,B}$ and hence infinite dimensional. Let us now show that $\pi$ is of type $\Pi_\infty$. For a contradiction, let us assume that it is of type $\Pi_1$, hence finite. By [BH19a, Theorem 15.D.5] the quotient $G/\ker \pi$ has a compact open normal subgroup, say $L$. Its preimage $N \not\subset G$ must satisfy $NB = G$ by [Tit64, Proposition 2.5] (see alternatively [AB08, Lemma 6.61]). So $N$ has finite index in $G$, since $G/N \cong B/(B \cap N)$ and $B \cap N$ is open inside the compact group $B$. So also $L = N/\ker \pi$ has finite index inside $G/\ker \pi$ which implies that the latter group is compact. We reach a contradiction, since compact groups have no type $\Pi$ representations.

Let us now show that if $\sum_{w \in W} d_w^{-1} < \infty$, then the irreducible representation $St$ does not admit any non-zero $K$-invariant vector. We may assume that $B \leq K$ and pick $s \in S$ such that $BsB \subset K$. Indeed, if $B$ is the stabiliser of $C$, we can pick $K$ to be the stabiliser of a vertex adjacent to the facet labelled by $s$. Denote by $p$ the minimal projection in $L(G, B)$, which exists according to Theorem A. It suffices to show that $p_K p = 0$. Combining the isomorphism of Hecke algebras Theorem 2.3 with the calculation of eigenvalues for $T_s(q)$ in Proposition 2.2, we find that

$$\mathbbm{1}_{B_sB_p} = -p.$$ 

On the other hand $1_{B_sB} p_K = p_K$ follows from the definition of the convolution product on $C_c(G)$, since $BsB \subset K$. This shows that $p_K p = 0$ must hold. \hfill $\Box$

Example 3.5. The statement of Corollary B leaves open the possibility that for a given $G$ an irreducible Iwahori-spherical square integrable representation exists. For large enough thickness of the underlying building, such a representation will always exist according to Corollary B. Indeed, if $(W, S)$ is a Coxeter group and $X$ is the building of type $(W, S)$ and thickness $(d_s)_{s \in S}$, then a closed, strongly transitive and type preserving subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ admits an irreducible Iwahori-spherical square integrable representation if and only if $\sum_{w \in W} q_w < \infty$, where $q_w = d_w^{-1}$. This applies in particular to the full group of type-preserving automorphisms $\text{Aut}(X)^\circ$, which has finite index in $\text{Aut}(X)$.

- We first discuss one example in which there always is an irreducible direct summand of $\lambda_{G,B}$. Let $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^\otimes 2 * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \{s, t, u\}$ the set of its standard generators where $u$ is chosen to generate the free copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Enumerating elements of $W$ according their $u$-length, one finds that

$$\sum_{w \in W} q_w = (1 + q_s + qt + q_{st}) + (1 + q_s + qt + q_{st})^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^\geq 1} (qs + qt + q_{st})^{n-1} q_u^n.$$ 

It follows that $\sum_{w \in W} q_w < \infty$ if and only if $q_u ((1 + q_s)(1 + qt) - 1) < 1$. The last inequality is equivalent to $\frac{(1 + d_u)(1 + d_t)}{d_sd_t} < 1 + d_u$, which holds for all allowable thicknesses, since $d_u \geq 3$.

- Consider then the example $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^\otimes 2 * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^\otimes 2$ with generators $S = \{s, t, u, v\}$ chosen such that the pairs $s, t$ and $u, v$ commute. Reasoning as before, we calculate

$$\sum_{w \in W} q_w = (1 + q_s + qt + q_{st}) + (1 + q_s + qt + q_{st})^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^\geq 1} (qs + qt + q_{st})^{n-1} (q_u + q_v + q_{uv})^n.$$ 

Hence $\sum_{w \in W} q_w < \infty$ if and only if

$$\left(\frac{(1 + d_u)(1 + d_t)}{d_sd_t} - 1\right)\left(\frac{(1 + d_u)(1 + d_v)}{d_ud_v} - 1\right) < 1. $$

This inequality is satisfied for all thick buildings of type $(W, S)$, since $d_s, d_t, d_u, d_v \geq 3$ must hold.
• We finally also find examples where no irreducible Iwahori-spherical discrete series representation exists. Taking \( W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^k \) for \( k \geq 4 \) with its set of Coxeter generators \( S \) there are \( k(k-1)^{n-1} \) words of length \( n \geq 1 \) in \( W \). Hence, for a building of type \((W,S)\) and uniform thickness \( d \), we find

\[
\sum_{w \in W} q_w = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}} k(k-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{d^n},
\]

which is summable if and only if \( d > k-1 \).

4 Graph products of unitary representations

In this section we will develop a systematic point of view on the isomorphism \( \mathbb{C}[W] \cong \mathbb{C}_q[W] \) considered in [Dav08, p.358, Note 19.2] and [CKL19, Proposition 4.2]. We will consider a special class of what should be thought of as graph products of representations. The construction of such representations follows a standard pattern known from free products of Hilbert spaces [Avi82; Voi85], which in turn was the basis of the introduction of graph product of Hilbert spaces as considered in [CF17, Section 2.1].

We will briefly describe the graph product of representations. Using the notation and terminology of [CF17], let \( \Gamma \) be a simplicial graph, let \( G_v, v \in V \Gamma \) be a family of groups indexed by the vertices of \( \Gamma \) and denote by \( \prod_{v \in \Gamma} G_v \) the graph product, obtained as the quotient of the free product \( G_\Gamma = \ast_{v \in \Gamma} G_v \) by the commutation relations \( [g,h] = e \) for \( g \in G_v, h \in G_w \) and \( v \sim \Gamma w \). Suppose that for every \( v \in V \Gamma \) we are given a unitary representation \( \pi_v : G_v \to B(H_v) \) and a unit vector \( \xi_v \in H_v \). Let \( H \) denote the graph product of Hilbert spaces associated to the family \((H_v, \xi_v)_{v \in \Gamma}\). It is then easy to see that using the universal property of \( G_\Gamma \) and injective unital \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \lambda_v : B(H_v) \to B(H) \) described in [CF17, Section 2] we obtain a representation \( \pi_\Gamma : G_\Gamma \to B(H) \) such that \( \pi_\Gamma|_{G_v} \) is unitarily equivalent to a multiple of the representation \( \pi_v \). The resulting representation does depend on the choice of the vectors \( \xi_v \), as noted already in [Avi82].

Given a right-angled Coxeter system \((W,S)\) and \( s \in S \), the \( s \)-length of words provides a bijection \( W \cong W/(s) \times \langle s \rangle \). Applying this bijection to the natural basis of \( \ell^2(W) \), we obtain a unitary \( U_s : \ell^2(W) \to \ell^2(W/(s)) \odot \mathbb{C}^2 \).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \((W,S)\) be a right-angled Coxeter system and \( \pi_s : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{U}(2), s \in S \) a family of unitary representations. The formula

\[
\pi(s) = (U_s)^{-1}(\text{id}_{\ell^2(W/(s))} \otimes \pi_s(1))U_s \quad \text{for } s \in S
\]

defines a unitary representation \( \pi : W \to \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(W)) \).

**Proof.** From the definition it is clear that \( \pi \) defines a unitary representation \( \tilde{\pi} \) of the free product group \( W = \ast \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). We have to show that it descends to a representation of \( W \) by verifying the commutation relation \( [\tilde{\pi}(s), \tilde{\pi}(t)] = 0 \) for all \( s, t \in S \) that commute. So fix different commuting elements \( s, t \in S \). Since \((s,t) = (s) \times (t) \in W \), the \( s \) and \( t \)-length on \( W \) give rise to a bijection \( W \cong W/(s,t) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \), which defines a unitary \( U : \ell^2(W) \to \ell^2(W/(s,t)) \odot \mathbb{C}^2 \odot \mathbb{C}^2 \). We have

\[
\tilde{\pi}(s) = U^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes \pi_s(1) \otimes \text{id})U
\]
\[
\tilde{\pi}(t) = U^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \pi_t(1))U.
\]

So indeed \([\tilde{\pi}(s), \tilde{\pi}(t)] = 0\), showing that \( \pi \) is well-defined. \( \Box \)

If we wish to put the construction above explicitly in the framework of graph products of representations mentioned before the lemma, we should only note the natural identifications, exploited for example in [Cas20], of \( W \) with the graph product of order 2 groups, and of \( \ell^2(W) \) with the graph product Hilbert space \( H_\Gamma \) (where each \( H_s \) for \( s \in V \Gamma = S \) is the two-dimensional vector space \( \ell^2(\langle s \rangle) \)) with the chosen unit vector \( \delta_s \) and verify that the above construction is identical with the graph product representation.
**Example 4.2.** Let us understand graph products of two-dimensional unitary representations arising in the study of right-angled Coxeter groups in more detail. The spectral theorem provides the decomposition of representation spaces

\[
\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})) = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^2) = \{1\} \sqcup \{-1\} \sqcup S^2.
\]

The two isolated components arise from the trivial representation and the sign representation. The component \(S^2 \cong \mathcal{U}(2)/\mathcal{T}^2\) arises from a direct sum decomposition of \(\mathbb{C}^2\) into a pair of orthogonal subspaces on which \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) acts trivially and by the sign representation, respectively. An explicit description of this component is obtained after observing that the nontrivial element \(1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) must act by a trace-free matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

for which the values \(a \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(z \in \mathbb{C}\) must satisfy \(a^2 + |z|^2 = 1\).

Applying Proposition 4.1 to a right-angled Coxeter system \((W, S)\), we obtain for each multiparameter \((a, z) = (a_s, z_s)_{s \in S} \in (\mathbb{S}^2)^S\) a unitary representation \(\tilde{\lambda}_{a,z}\) of \(W\) on \(\ell^2(W)\). Denoting by

\[
\sigma(s)\delta_w = (-1)^{|w|}\delta_w = \begin{cases} \delta_w & \text{if } |sw| > |w| \\ -\delta_w & \text{if } |sw| < |w| \end{cases},
\]

the alternating sign representation of \(W\) on \(\ell^2(W)\), we have \(\tilde{\lambda}_{a,z}(s) = a_s\sigma(s) + z_s\lambda(s)\), if \(z \in \mathbb{R}^S\). In particular, if \((a, z) = (0, 1)_{s \in S}\), then \(\tilde{\lambda}_{a,z} = \lambda\) is the left-regular representation of \(W\).

We observe that given \((a, z) \in (\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{(1, 0), (-1, 0)\})^S\) we can put

\[
\varphi_s = \frac{z_s}{|z_s|}, \quad \varphi_w = \varphi_{s_1} \cdots \varphi_{s_n}
\]

for a reduced expression \(w = s_1 \cdots s_n\) and obtain a unitary equivalence \(\tilde{\lambda}_{a,z} \cong \tilde{\lambda}_{a,|z|}\) implemented by the unitary \(U : \ell^2(W) \to \ell^2(W)\) which satisfies \(U\delta_w = \varphi_w\delta_w\). We will thus write in what follows \(\tilde{\lambda}_a = \tilde{\lambda}_{a,z}\) for \(a \in (-1, 1)^S\) putting \(z_s = \sqrt{1 - a_s^2}\) for all \(s \in S\).

The identification of Hecke operator algebras of right-angled Coxeter groups with operator algebras generated by their unitary representations was already observed in [Dav08, p.358, Note 19.2] and in [CKL19, Proposition 4.2]. These proofs admit a convenient reformulation by means of the graph product, which we provide for illustration. We formulate it for the Hilbert space, but it could be also stated in the \(\ell^p\)-framework when taking into account bounded, non-isometric representations on \(\ell^p(W)\).

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \((W, S)\) be a right-angled Coxeter system and \(a \in (-1, 1)^S\). Define \(q \in (0, 1]^S\) by

\[
q_s = \frac{1 - |a_s|}{z_s}.
\]

Then \(\tilde{\lambda}_a(W)^\prime \cong N^\prime_{q}(W)\).

**Proof.** For \(c \in \{-1, 1\}^S\) given by \(c_s = \text{sign}(a_s)\), we put \(cz = (c_s z_s)_{s \in S}\). Then \(c_s\tilde{\lambda}_a(s) = \tilde{\lambda}_{|a_s|,cz}(s)\) for all \(s \in S\) and \(\tilde{\lambda}_{|a_s|,cz} \cong \tilde{\lambda}_{|a_s|}\). This allows us to reduce the considerations to the case where \(a_s \geq 0\) for all \(s \in S\). Since both the Iwahori-Hecke algebras and the representations \(\tilde{\lambda}_a\) arise as graph products, it suffices
Let \( W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) and match entries of \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrices. The ansatz \( \tilde{\lambda}_a(s) = c1 + dT_s(a) \) for real parameters \( c, d \in \mathbb{R} \) yields the equality
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a_s & z_s \\
z_s & -a_s
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
c & d \\
d & c + d\frac{a_s - 1}{q_s^2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Employing the identity \( z_s = \sqrt{1 - a_s^2} \), a short calculation yields \( q_s^{1/2} = \frac{1-a_s}{z_s} \), finishing the proof of the proposition.

We would like to note here that the above result points the way to constructing and studying interesting deformations of group algebras associated say to RAAGs via the graph products of representations, using the graph product structure of the groups in question and replacing the left regular representation of the individual components by their ‘perturbations’, as was done above with the representations of order 2 groups.

By Theorem A, we know that \( \tilde{\lambda}_a \) is a direct sum of a finite factor representation and a representation whose dimension is at most one. Let us first identify the additional direct summand, slightly refining the picture of Hecke eigenvalues as considered in Proposition 2.2 and allowing us to pick up the signs of a parameter \( a \in (-1,1)^S \). Instead of using direct calculations, it can be deduced from the description of Hecke eigenvalues in [Dav08, Corollary 19.2.9] (and earlier left-sided computations in [Dav08]).

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( (W,S) \) be a right-angled Coxeter group, \( a \in (-1,1)^S \) and set for each \( s \in S \)
\[
\frac{1}{2}q_s^\frac{1}{2} = \text{sign}(a_s) \frac{1 - |a_s|}{z_s} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-a_s}{z_s} & \text{if } a_s \geq 0 \\ -\frac{1+a_s}{z_s} & \text{if } a_s < 0. \end{cases}
\]
Assume that \( \sum_{w \in W} q_w \) is absolutely summable and put \( \xi = \sum_{w \in W} q_w^\frac{1}{2} \delta_w \in l^2(W) \). Then
\[
\tilde{\lambda}_a(s)\xi = \text{sign}(a_s)\xi.
\]

**Proof.** We write out the action of \( s \) on \( \xi \) as
\[
\tilde{\lambda}_a(s)\xi = (a_s\sigma_a(s) + z_s\lambda(s))\xi = \sum_{w \in W \atop |aw|>|w|} a_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} \delta_w + z_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} \delta_{sw} + \sum_{w \in W \atop |sw|>|w|} -a_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} \delta_w + z_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} \delta_{sw}.
\]
If \( |sw| > |w| \), then
\[
(\tilde{\lambda}_a(s)\xi)_w = a_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} + z_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} = q_w^\frac{1}{2} \left( a_s + z_s \text{sign}(a_s) \frac{1 - |a_s|}{z_s} \right) = \text{sign}(a_s) q_w^\frac{1}{2}.
\]
If \( |sw| < |w| \) we can make use of the identity
\[
z_s q_s^\frac{1}{2} = \text{sign}(a_s) \frac{z_s^2}{1 - |a_s|} = \text{sign}(a_s) \frac{1 - a_s^2}{1 - |a_s|} + \text{sign}(a_s) 1
\]
and find that
\[
(\tilde{\lambda}_a(s)\xi)_w = -a_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} + z_s q_w^\frac{1}{2} = q_w^\frac{1}{2} \left( -a_s + z_s q_s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \text{sign}(a_s) q_w^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

**Notation 4.5.** Let \( (W,S) \) be an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter system with at least three generators and let \( a \in (-1,1)^S \). We write \( \sigma_a : W \to \{-1,1\} \) for the character satisfying
\[
\sigma_a(s) = \text{sign}(a_s), \quad s \in S.
\]
Further, we write

$$\lambda_a = \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}_a & \text{if } \sigma_a \not\leq \lambda_a \\ \lambda_a \oplus \sigma_a & \text{if } \sigma_a \leq \lambda_a \end{cases}$$

By Theorem A, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, all $\lambda_a$ are finite factor representations of $W$.

Let us now state some lemmas preparing for the proof of Theorem C.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $(W, S)$ be a right-angled Coxeter system, let $a \in (-1, 1)^S$ and let $\tau_a$ be the character of $(\tilde{\lambda}_a, \delta_e)$.

- For $s \in S$, we have $\tau_a(s) = a_s$.
- For $s, t \in S$ different letters, we have $\tau_a(st) = a_s a_t$.
- For $s, t, u \in S$ pairwise different letters, we have $\tau_a(stu) = a_s a_t a_u$.
- If $s, t \in S$ do not commute, then $\tau_a(stst) = a_s^2 + a_t^2 - a_s^2 a_t^2$.

**Proof.** We note that if $w = s_1 \cdots s_n$ is a reduced word in $W$, then $\tau_a(w)$ is a sum over subwords of $w$ which are trivial. Its coefficients are determined as a products of $\pm a_s$ and $\bar{a}_s$, where $s$ runs over the letters in the subword. Writing these expressions down explicitly, yields the statement of the lemma. In particular, $\tau_a(s) = a_s$ and $\tau_a(st) = a_s a_t$ and $\tau_a(stu) = a_s a_t a_u$ are clear. The last statement follows from the calculation

$$\tau_a(stst) = a_s^2 + a_t^2 - a_s^2 a_t^2.$$

\[\Box\]

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $(W, S)$ be an irreducible, right-angled Coxeter group and let $a, b \in (-1, 1)^S$. Denote by $\tau_a, \tau_b$ the characters of $(\tilde{\lambda}_a, \delta_e)$ and $(\tilde{\lambda}_b, \delta_e)$, respectively. Assume that there are $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\tau_a = c \sigma_a + d \sigma_b + (1 - c - d) \tau_b.$$

If there is $s \in S$ such that $a_s = b_s$, then $a = b$.

**Proof.** The statement is trivial if $S$ contains only one element. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_s \geq 0$, which simplifies notation. We apply Lemma 4.6 to obtain

$$a_s = c + d + (1 - c - d) b_s = c + d + (1 - c - d) a_s.$$

Simplifying this equality, we obtain $a_s(c + d) = c + d$, which implies $c + d = 0$, since $a_s \neq 1$. Let now $t \in S \setminus \{s\}$ be arbitrary. If $\text{sign}(a_t) = \text{sign}(b_t)$, Lemma 4.6 yields

$$a_t = \text{sign}(a_t)(c + d) + (1 - c - d) b_t = b_t.$$

If $\text{sign}(a_t) \neq \text{sign}(b_t)$, then the identity $c + d = 0$ implies

$$a_t = \text{sign}(a_t)(c + d) + (1 - c - d) b_t = \text{sign}(a_t) 2c + b_t.$$

Similarly,

$$a_s a_t = \text{sign}(a_t) 2c + a_s b_t.$$

Taking the difference of these identities gives us

$$(1 - a_s) a_t = (1 - a_s) b_t,$$

which allows to conclude $a_t = b_t$, because $a_s \neq 1$. Since $t \in S \setminus \{s\}$ was arbitrary, this concludes the proof of the lemma. \[\Box\]
Proof of Theorem C. Let us assume that \( \lambda_a \simeq \lambda_b \) for two parameters \( a, b \in (-1, 1)^S \). Denote by \( \tau_a, \tau_b \) the characters of \((\lambda_a, \delta_e)\) and \((\lambda_b, \delta_e)\), respectively. Then Proposition 4.4 implies that there are scalars \( c, d \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( c + d \in (0, 1) \) and

\[
\tau_a = c\sigma_a + d\sigma_b + (1 - c - d)\tau_b.
\]

Replacing \( a \) and \( b \) by \((|a|_s)_{s \in S}\) and \((\text{sign}(a_s)b_s)_{s \in S}\), respectively, we may assume that \( a_s \geq 0 \) for all \( s \in S \). We distinguish three cases.

Case 1. There are two letters \( s, t \in S \) such that \( b_s, b_t \geq 0 \).

Putting \( \gamma = c + d \), Lemma 4.6 implies that

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_s &= \gamma + (1 - \gamma)b_s \\
    a_t &= \gamma + (1 - \gamma)b_t \\
    a_s a_t &= \gamma + (1 - \gamma)b_s b_t.
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting the first two expressions into the third, and simplifying, we obtain

\[
0 = (\gamma^2 - \gamma) + \gamma(1 - \gamma)(b_t + b_s) + ((1 - \gamma)^2 - (1 - \gamma))b_s b_t
\]

\[
= \gamma(\gamma - 1)(b_s - 1)(b_t - 1).
\]

Since \( \gamma, b_s, b_t \neq 1 \), we find that \( \gamma = 0 \) and thus \( a_s = b_s \). So Lemma 4.7 shows that \( a = b \).

Case 2. There is exactly one \( u \in S \) such that \( b_u \geq 0 \).

We will obtain a contradiction in this case. Take \( s \in S \setminus \{u\} \). Then Lemma 4.6 provides the identities

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_s &= c - d + (1 - c - d)b_s \\
    a_u a_s &= c - d + (1 - c - d)b_u b_s,
\end{align*}
\]

which we subtract in order to obtain

\[
0 \leq a_u(1 - a_u) = (1 - c - d)b_u(1 - b_u).
\]

Since \( b_u < 0 \) and \( 1 - b_u > 0 \), this implies \( 1 - c - d \leq 0 \). The latter is a contradiction to \( c + d \in (0, 1) \).

Case 3. We have \( b_s < 0 \) for all \( s \in S \).

Again we aim for a contradiction. Take three pairwise different letters \( s, t, u \in S \). From Lemma 4.6, we obtain that

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_s &= c - d + (1 - c - d)b_s \\
    a_t &= c - d + (1 - c - d)b_t \\
    a_u a_s &= c + d + (1 - c - d)b_u b_s \\
    a_u a_t &= c + d + (1 - c - d)b_u b_t.
\end{align*}
\]

We subtract the second identity from the first one and the fourth from the third one, and we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_s - a_t &= (1 - c - d)(b_s - b_t) \\
    a_u(a_s - a_t) &= (1 - c - d)b_u(b_s - b_t).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( a_u \neq b_u \), this implies \( a_s = a_t \). Because \( c + d \neq 1 \), we also obtain the identity \( b_s = b_t \). Similarly \( a_s = a_u \).

Let us now take pairwise different letters \( s, t, u \in S \). Evaluating \( \tau_a = c\sigma_a + d\sigma_b + (1 - c - d)\tau_b \) on the reduced words \( s \) and \( stu \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_s &= c - d + (1 - c - d)b_s \\
    a_s^3 &= a_s a_t a_u = c - d + (1 - c - d)b_s b_u = c - d + (1 - c - d)b_s^3.
\end{align*}
\]
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Subtracting the second from the first identity we obtain

$$a_s - a_s^3 = (1 - c - d)(b_s - b_s^3).$$

Since $a_s \in [0, 1)$ and $b_s \in (-1, 0)$, this implies that $1 - c - d < 0$, which is a contradiction to $c + d \in [0, 1).$ $\square$

**Proof of Corollary D.** Denote by $\chi_a$ the character of $\lambda_a$, $a \in (-1, 1)^S$. We first show that the assignment $a \mapsto \chi_a$ is continuous. Denoting by $\tau_a$ the character of $\lambda_a$, it is clear that $a \mapsto \tau_a$ is continuous. Write $F(a) = \sum_{w \in W} q_w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$, where

$$q_s = \left(1 - \frac{|a_s|}{z_s}\right)^2 = \frac{(1 - |a_s|)^2}{1 - a_s^2} = \frac{1 - |a_s|}{1 + |a_s|}. $$

Thus $F$ is a continuous function with values in $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$ and hence also $1/F$, which takes values in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, is continuous. If $F(a) < \infty$, then $\xi_a = \sum_{w \in W} q_w^2 \delta_w \in \ell^2(W)$. The projection $p$ on $\mathbb{C}\xi_a$ is central in $N_q(W) = \lambda_a(W)^{''}$ by Theorem A and satisfies

$$\langle p\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle = \frac{1}{|\xi_a|^2} (\xi_a, \delta_e)^2 = \frac{1}{F(a)}. $$

Hence, the definition of $\lambda_a$ in Notation 4.5 shows that

$$\chi_a = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{F(a)}} \left( \tau_a - \frac{1}{F(a)} \sigma_a \right),$$

showing that $\chi_a$ indeed continuously depends on $a \in (-1, 1)^S$. Since $\chi_0$ is the regular character, it remains to observe that the map $a \mapsto \chi_a$ is injective by Theorem C and thus a homeomorphism onto the image of a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$.

$\square$
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