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#### Abstract

We investigate the size of the largest entry (in absolute value) in the inverse of certain Vandermonde matrices. More precisely, for every real $b>1$, let $M_{b}(n)$ be the maximum of the absolute values of the entries of the inverse of the $n \times n$ matrix $\left[b^{i j}\right]_{0 \leq i, j<n}$. We prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} M_{b}(n)$ exists, and we provide some formulas for it.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ be a list of $n$ real numbers. The classical Vandermonde matrix $V(\mathbf{a})$ is defined as follows:

$$
V(\mathbf{a}):=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a_{0} & a_{0}^{2} & \cdots & a_{0}^{n-1} \\
1 & a_{1} & a_{1}^{2} & \cdots & a_{1}^{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & a_{n-1} & a_{n-1}^{2} & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

As is well-known, the Vandermonde matrix $V(\mathbf{a})$ is invertible if and only if the $a_{i}$ are pairwise distinct. See, for example, [3].

[^0]In what follows, $n$ is a positive integer and $b>1$ is a fixed real number. Let us define the entries $c_{i, j, n}$ by

$$
\left[c_{i, j, n}\right]_{0 \leq i, j<n}=V\left(b^{0}, b^{1}, b^{2}, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

and let $M_{b}(n)=\max _{0 \leq i, j<n}\left|c_{i, j, n}\right|$, the maximum of the absolute values of the entries of $V\left(1, b, b^{2}, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right)^{-1}$. The size of the entries of inverses of Vandermonde matrices have been studied for a long time (e.g., [1]). Recently, in a paper by the first two authors and Daniel Kane [2], we needed to estimate $M_{2}(n)$, and we proved that $M_{2}(n) \leq 34$. In fact, even more is true: the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{2}(n)$ exists and equals $3 \prod_{i \geq 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{i}-1}\right) \doteq$ $5.19411992918 \cdots$. In this paper, we generalize this result, replacing 2 with any real number greater than 1.

Our main results are as follows:
Theorem 1. Let $b>1$ and $n_{0}=\left\lceil\log _{b}\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right\rceil$. Then $\left|c_{i, j, n}\right| \leq\left|c_{n_{0}, n_{0}, n}\right|$ for $i, j \geq n_{0}$. Hence $M_{b}(n) \in\left\{\left|c_{i, j, n}\right|: 0 \leq i, j \leq n_{0}\right\}$.

Theorem 2. Let $b \geq \tau=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Then $M_{b}(n) \in\left\{\left|c_{0,0, n}\right|,\left|c_{1,1, n}\right|\right\}$.
Theorem 3. For all real $b>1$ the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{b}(n)$ exists.

## 2 Preliminaries

For every real number $x$, and for all integers $0 \leq i, j<n$, let us define the power sum

$$
\sigma_{i, j, n}(x):=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{i}<n \\ h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i} \neq j}} x^{h_{1}+\cdots+h_{i}} .
$$

The following lemma will be useful in later arguments.
Lemma 4. Let $i, j, n$ be integers with $0 \leq i<n, 0 \leq j<n-1$, and let $x$ be a positive real number.
(a) If $x>1$, then $\sigma_{i, j, n}(x) \geq \sigma_{i, j, n+1}(x)$.
(b) If $x<1$, then $\sigma_{i, j, n}(x) \leq \sigma_{i, j+1, n}(x)$.

Proof. We have

$$
\sigma_{i, j+1, n}(x)-\sigma_{i, j, n}(x)=\sum_{\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right) \in S_{i, j, n}} x^{h_{1}+\cdots+h_{i}}-\sum_{\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right) \in T_{i, j, n}} x^{h_{1}+\cdots+h_{i}}
$$

where

$$
S_{i, j, n}:=\left\{0 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{i}<n: j \in\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right\}, j+1 \notin\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right\}\right\}
$$

and

$$
T_{i, j, n}:=\left\{0 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{i}<n: j \notin\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right\}, j+1 \in\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Now there is a bijection $S_{i, j, n} \rightarrow T_{i, j, n}$ given by

$$
\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right) \mapsto\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i_{0}-1}, h_{i_{0}}+1, h_{i_{0}+1}, \ldots, h_{i}\right),
$$

where $i_{0}$ is the unique integer such that $h_{i_{0}}=j$. Hence, it follows easily that $\sigma_{i, j, n}(x) \geq$ $\sigma_{i, j+1, n}(x)$ for $x>1$, and $\sigma_{i, j, n}(x) \leq \sigma_{i, j+1, n}(x)$ for $x<1$.

Recall the following formula for the entries of the inverse of a Vandermonde matrix (see, e.g., [4, §1.2.3, Exercise 40]).

Lemma 5. Let $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ be pairwise distinct real numbers. If $V\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)=$ $\left[c_{i, j}\right]_{0 \leq i, j<n}$ then

$$
c_{n-1, j} X^{n-1}+c_{n-2, j} X^{n-2}+\cdots+c_{0, j} X^{0}=\prod_{\substack{0 \leq i<n \\ i \neq j}} \frac{X-a_{i}}{a_{j}-a_{i}}
$$

For $0 \leq i, j<n$ define

$$
\pi_{j, n}:=\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\ h \neq j}}\left|b^{j}-b^{h}\right| .
$$

We now obtain a relationship between the entries of $V\left(b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right)^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{i, j, n}$ and $\pi_{j, n}$.
Lemma 6. Let $V\left(b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right)^{-1}=\left[c_{i, j, n}\right]_{0 \leq i, j<n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{i, j, n}\right|=\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}}{\pi_{j, n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq i, j<n$.
Proof. By Lemma 5, we have

$$
\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\ h \neq j}} \frac{X-b^{h}}{b^{j}-b^{h}}=\sum_{0 \leq i<n} c_{i, j, n} X^{i}
$$

which in turn, by Vieta's formulas, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n-i-1, j, n}=(-1)^{i}\left(\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\ h \neq j}} \frac{1}{b^{j}-b^{h}}\right) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{i}<n \\ h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i} \neq j}} b^{h_{1}+\cdots+h_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq i<n$. The result now follows by the definitions of $\sigma$ and $\pi$.

Next, we obtain some inequalities for $\pi$.
Lemma 7. Define $n_{0}=\left\lceil\log _{b}\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right\rceil$. Then

$$
\pi_{j, n} \leq \pi_{j+1, n} \quad \text { for } n_{0} \leq j<n
$$

Proof. For $0 \leq j<n-1$, we have

$$
\pi_{j+1, n}:=\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\ h \neq j+1}}\left|b^{j+1}-b^{h}\right|=b^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\ h-1 \neq j}}\left|b^{j}-b^{h-1}\right|=\frac{b^{n+j-1}-b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1}-b^{j}} \pi_{j, n}
$$

A quick computation shows that the inequality

$$
\frac{b^{n+j-1}-b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1}-b^{j}} \geq 1
$$

is equivalent to

$$
b^{j} \geq \frac{b^{n-1}+b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1}+1}
$$

Let $n_{0}$ be the minimum positive integer such that $b^{n_{0}} \geq 1+\frac{1}{b}$. Then $n_{0}=\left\lceil\log _{b}\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right\rceil$. Hence, for $n_{0} \leq j<n$, we have

$$
b^{j} \geq 1+\frac{1}{b}>\frac{b^{n-1}+b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1}+1}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{j, n} \leq \pi_{j+1, n} \quad \text { for } n_{0} \leq j<n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we have the easy
Lemma 8. For $0 \leq i, j<n$ we have $c_{i, j, n}=c_{j, i, n}$.
Proof. $V\left(b^{0}, b^{1}, \ldots, b^{n-1}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix, so its inverse is also.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Suppose $i, j \geq n_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{i, j, n}\right| & =\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}}{\pi_{j, n}} \quad(\text { by }(1)) \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, n_{0}, n}}{\pi_{j, n}} \quad(\text { by Lemma } 4(\mathrm{a})) \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, n_{0}, n}}{\pi_{n_{0}, n}} \quad(\text { by Lemma } 7) \\
& =\left|c_{i, n_{0}, n}\right| \quad(\text { by }
\end{aligned}
$$

and so we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{i, j, n}\right| \leq\left|c_{i, n_{0}, n}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i, n_{0}, n}=c_{n_{0}, i, n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 8. Make the substitutions $n_{0}$ for $i$ and $i$ for $j$ in (4) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n_{0}, i, n}\right| \leq\left|c_{n_{0}, n_{0}, n}\right| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows by combining Eqs. (4), (5), and (6).

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Since $b \geq \tau$, it follows that $b \geq 1+1 / b$. Hence in Theorem 1 we can take $n_{0}=1$, and this gives $M_{b}(n) \in\left\{\left|c_{0,0, n}\right|,\left|c_{1,0, n}\right|,\left|c_{0,1, n}\right|,\left|c_{1,1, n}\right|\right\}$. However, by explicit calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{n-1,1, n}=b^{n(n-1) / 2-1} \\
& \sigma_{n-2,1, n}=b^{n(n-1) / 2-1}+\sum_{(n-1)(n-2) / 2-1 \leq i \leq n(n-1) / 2-3} b^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n-1,1, n} \leq \sigma_{n-2,1, n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{1,0, n}\right| & =\left|c_{0,1, n}\right| \quad(\text { by Lemma } 8) \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{n-1,1, n}}{\pi_{1, n}} \quad(\text { by }(1)) \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma_{n-2,1, n}}{\pi_{1, n}} \quad(\text { by }(7)) \\
& =\left|c_{1,1, n}\right| \quad(\text { by }(1)),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the result follows.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{i, j, n}\right| & =\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}}{\pi_{j, n}} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}(b)}{\prod_{0 \leq h<n}\left|b^{j}-b^{h}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}(b)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\
h \neq j}}\left(b^{h} \cdot\left|b^{j-h}-1\right|\right)} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}(b)}{b^{n(n-1) / 2-j}} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\
h \neq j}}\left|b^{j-h}-1\right|} \\
& =\sigma_{i, j, n}\left(b^{-1}\right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\
h \neq j}}\left|b^{j-h}-1\right|},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the equality

$$
\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1, j, n}(b)}{b^{n(n-1) / 2-j}}=\sigma_{i, j, n}\left(b^{-1}\right)
$$

arises from the one-to-one correspondence between the subsets of $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}-\{j\}$ of cardinality $i$ and those of cardinality $n-1-i$.

For $x<1$ define

$$
\sigma_{i, j, \infty}(x)=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{i}<\infty \\ h_{1}, \ldots, h_{i} \neq j}} \frac{1}{x^{h_{1}+\cdots+h_{i}}}
$$

Hence the limits

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell_{i, j} & :=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|c_{i, j, n}\right| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{i, j, n}\left(b^{-1}\right) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq h<n \\
h \neq j}}\left|b^{j-h}-1\right|} \\
& =\sigma_{i, j, \infty}\left(b^{-1}\right)\left(\prod_{1 \leq s \leq j} \frac{1}{b^{s}-1}\right)\left(\prod_{t \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-b^{-t}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

exist and are finite.
From Theorem 1 we see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} M_{b}(n)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq j<n_{0}} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|c_{i, j, n}\right|=\max _{0 \leq i \leq j \leq n_{0}} \ell_{i, j},
$$

and the proof is complete.
From this theorem we can explicitly compute $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} M_{b}(n)$ for $b \geq \tau$.

Corollary 9. Let $\alpha \dot{=} 2.324717957$ be the real zero of the polynomial $X^{3}-3 X^{2}+2 X-1$.
(a) If $b \geq \alpha$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{b}(n)=\prod_{t \geq 1}\left(1-b^{-t}\right)^{-1}$.
(b) If $\tau \leq b \leq \alpha$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{b}(n)=\frac{b^{2}-b+1}{b(b-1)^{2}} \prod_{t \geq 1}\left(1-b^{-t}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. From Theorem 2 we know that for $b \geq \tau$ we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{b}(n) \in\left\{\ell_{0,0}, \ell_{1,1}\right\}$. Now an easy calculation based on (8) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{0,0} & =\prod_{t \geq 1}\left(1-b^{-t}\right)^{-1} \\
\ell_{1,1} & =\frac{b^{2}-b+1}{b(b-1)^{2}} \prod_{t \geq 1}\left(1-b^{-t}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By solving the equation $\frac{b^{2}-b+1}{b(b-1)^{2}}=1$, we see that for $b \geq \alpha$ we have $\ell_{0,0} \geq \ell_{1,1}$, while if $\tau \leq b \leq \alpha$ we have $\ell_{1,1} \geq \ell_{0,0}$. This proves both parts of the claim.

Remark 10. The quantity $M_{b}(n)$ converges rather slowly to its limit when $b$ is close to 1 . The following table gives some numerical estimates for $M_{b}(n)$.

| $b$ | $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{b}(n)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| 3 | 1.785312341998534190367486 |
| $\alpha \doteq 2.3247$ | 2.4862447382651613433 |
| 2 | 5.194119929182595417 |
| $\tau \doteq 1.61803$ | 26.788216012030303413 |
| 1.5 | 67.3672156 |
| 1.4 | 282.398 |
| 1.3 | 3069.44 |
| 1.2 | 422349.8 |

## 6 Final remarks

We close with a conjecture we have been unable to prove.
Conjecture 11. Let $b>1$ and $n_{0}=\left\lceil\log _{b}\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right\rceil$. Then, for all sufficiently large $n$, we have $M_{b}(n)=\left|c_{i, i, n}\right|$ for some $i, 0 \leq i \leq n_{0}$.
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