The Largest Entry in the Inverse of a Vandermonde Matrix

Carlo Sanna*
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Politecnico di Torino
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24
10129 Torino
Italy

carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com

Jeffrey Shallit and Shun Zhang
School of Computer Science
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1
Canada

shallit@uwaterloo.ca s385zhang@uwaterloo.ca

February 26, 2022

Abstract

We investigate the size of the largest entry (in absolute value) in the inverse of certain Vandermonde matrices. More precisely, for every real b > 1, let $M_b(n)$ be the maximum of the absolute values of the entries of the inverse of the $n \times n$ matrix $[b^{ij}]_{0 \le i,j < n}$. We prove that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} M_b(n)$ exists, and we provide some formulas for it.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ be a list of n real numbers. The classical *Vandermonde matrix* $V(\mathbf{a})$ is defined as follows:

$$V(\mathbf{a}) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_0 & a_0^2 & \cdots & a_0^{n-1} \\ 1 & a_1 & a_1^2 & \cdots & a_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_{n-1} & a_{n-1}^2 & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As is well-known, the Vandermonde matrix $V(\mathbf{a})$ is invertible if and only if the a_i are pairwise distinct. See, for example, [3].

^{*}C. Sanna is a member GNSAGA of the INdAM and of CrypTO, the Group of Cryptography and Number Theory of Politecnico di Torino.

In what follows, n is a positive integer and b > 1 is a fixed real number. Let us define the entries $c_{i,j,n}$ by

$$[c_{i,j,n}]_{0 \le i,j < n} = V(b^0, b^1, b^2, \dots, b^{n-1})^{-1},$$

and let $M_b(n) = \max_{0 \le i,j < n} |c_{i,j,n}|$, the maximum of the absolute values of the entries of $V(1,b,b^2,\ldots,b^{n-1})^{-1}$. The size of the entries of inverses of Vandermonde matrices have been studied for a long time (e.g., [1]). Recently, in a paper by the first two authors and Daniel Kane [2], we needed to estimate $M_2(n)$, and we proved that $M_2(n) \le 34$. In fact, even more is true: the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_2(n)$ exists and equals $3\prod_{i\ge 2} \left(1+\frac{1}{2^{i}-1}\right) \doteq 5.19411992918\cdots$. In this paper, we generalize this result, replacing 2 with any real number greater than 1.

Our main results are as follows:

Theorem 1. Let b > 1 and $n_0 = \lceil \log_b(1 + \frac{1}{b}) \rceil$. Then $|c_{i,j,n}| \le |c_{n_0,n_0,n}|$ for $i, j \ge n_0$. Hence $M_b(n) \in \{|c_{i,j,n}| : 0 \le i, j \le n_0\}$.

Theorem 2. Let $b \ge \tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ and $n \ge 2$. Then $M_b(n) \in \{|c_{0,0,n}|, |c_{1,1,n}|\}$.

Theorem 3. For all real b > 1 the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} M_b(n)$ exists.

2 Preliminaries

For every real number x, and for all integers $0 \le i, j < n$, let us define the power sum

$$\sigma_{i,j,n}(x) := \sum_{\substack{0 \le h_1 < \dots < h_i < n \\ h_1,\dots,h_i \ne j}} x^{h_1 + \dots + h_i}.$$

The following lemma will be useful in later arguments.

Lemma 4. Let i, j, n be integers with $0 \le i < n, 0 \le j < n-1$, and let x be a positive real number.

- (a) If x > 1, then $\sigma_{i,j,n}(x) \ge \sigma_{i,j,n+1}(x)$.
- (b) If x < 1, then $\sigma_{i,j,n}(x) \leq \sigma_{i,j+1,n}(x)$.

Proof. We have

$$\sigma_{i,j+1,n}(x) - \sigma_{i,j,n}(x) = \sum_{(h_1,\dots,h_i) \in S_{i,j,n}} x^{h_1 + \dots + h_i} - \sum_{(h_1,\dots,h_i) \in T_{i,j,n}} x^{h_1 + \dots + h_i},$$

where

$$S_{i,j,n} := \{0 \le h_1 < \dots < h_i < n : j \in \{h_1, \dots, h_i\}, j+1 \notin \{h_1, \dots, h_i\}\}$$

and

$$T_{i,j,n} := \{0 \le h_1 < \dots < h_i < n : j \notin \{h_1, \dots, h_i\}, j+1 \in \{h_1, \dots, h_i\}\}.$$

Now there is a bijection $S_{i,j,n} \to T_{i,j,n}$ given by

$$(h_1,\ldots,h_i)\mapsto (h_1,\ldots,h_{i_0-1},h_{i_0}+1,h_{i_0+1},\ldots,h_i),$$

where i_0 is the unique integer such that $h_{i_0} = j$. Hence, it follows easily that $\sigma_{i,j,n}(x) \ge \sigma_{i,j+1,n}(x)$ for x > 1, and $\sigma_{i,j,n}(x) \le \sigma_{i,j+1,n}(x)$ for x < 1.

Recall the following formula for the entries of the inverse of a Vandermonde matrix (see, e.g., [4, §1.2.3, Exercise 40]).

Lemma 5. Let a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} be pairwise distinct real numbers. If $V(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = [c_{i,j}]_{0 \le i,j < n}$ then

$$c_{n-1,j}X^{n-1} + c_{n-2,j}X^{n-2} + \dots + c_{0,j}X^0 = \prod_{\substack{0 \le i < n \\ i \ne j}} \frac{X - a_i}{a_j - a_i}.$$

For $0 \le i, j < n$ define

$$\pi_{j,n} := \prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} |b^j - b^h|.$$

We now obtain a relationship between the entries of $V(b^0, b^1, \ldots, b^{n-1})^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{i,j,n}$ and $\pi_{j,n}$.

Lemma 6. Let $V(b^0, b^1, \dots, b^{n-1})^{-1} = [c_{i,j,n}]_{0 \le i,j < n}$. Then

$$|c_{i,j,n}| = \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}}{\pi_{j,n}} \tag{1}$$

for $0 \le i, j < n$.

Proof. By Lemma 5, we have

$$\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} \frac{X - b^h}{b^j - b^h} = \sum_{0 \le i < n} c_{i,j,n} X^i.$$

which in turn, by Vieta's formulas, gives

$$c_{n-i-1,j,n} = (-1)^i \left(\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} \frac{1}{b^j - b^h} \right) \sum_{\substack{0 \le h_1 < \dots < h_i < n \\ h_1, \dots, h_i \ne j}} b^{h_1 + \dots + h_i}$$
 (2)

for $0 \le i < n$. The result now follows by the definitions of σ and π .

Next, we obtain some inequalities for π .

Lemma 7. Define $n_0 = \lceil \log_b(1 + \frac{1}{b}) \rceil$. Then

$$\pi_{j,n} \le \pi_{j+1,n}$$
 for $n_0 \le j < n$.

Proof. For $0 \le j < n-1$, we have

$$\pi_{j+1,n} := \prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j+1}} |b^{j+1} - b^h| = b^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h-1 \ne j}} |b^j - b^{h-1}| = \frac{b^{n+j-1} - b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1} - b^j} \pi_{j,n}.$$

A quick computation shows that the inequality

$$\frac{b^{n+j-1} - b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1} - b^j} \ge 1$$

is equivalent to

$$b^j \ge \frac{b^{n-1} + b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1} + 1}.$$

Let n_0 be the minimum positive integer such that $b^{n_0} \ge 1 + \frac{1}{b}$. Then $n_0 = \lceil \log_b(1 + \frac{1}{b}) \rceil$. Hence, for $n_0 \le j < n$, we have

$$b^{j} \ge 1 + \frac{1}{b} > \frac{b^{n-1} + b^{n-2}}{b^{n-1} + 1},$$

so that

$$\pi_{j,n} \le \pi_{j+1,n} \quad \text{for } n_0 \le j < n. \tag{3}$$

Finally, we have the easy

Lemma 8. For $0 \le i, j < n$ we have $c_{i,j,n} = c_{j,i,n}$.

Proof. $V(b^0, b^1, \dots, b^{n-1})$ is a symmetric matrix, so its inverse is also.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Suppose $i, j \geq n_0$. Then

$$|c_{i,j,n}| = \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}}{\pi_{j,n}} \quad \text{(by (1))}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,n_0,n}}{\pi_{j,n}} \quad \text{(by Lemma 4 (a))}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,n_0,n}}{\pi_{n_0,n}} \quad \text{(by Lemma 7)}$$

$$= |c_{i,n_0,n}| \quad \text{(by (1))},$$

and so we get

$$|c_{i,j,n}| \le |c_{i,n_0,n}|. \tag{4}$$

But

$$c_{i,n_0,n} = c_{n_0,i,n} (5)$$

by Lemma 8. Make the substitutions n_0 for i and i for j in (4) to get

$$|c_{n_0,i,n}| \le |c_{n_0,n_0,n}|. \tag{6}$$

The result now follows by combining Eqs. (4), (5), and (6).

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Since $b \ge \tau$, it follows that $b \ge 1 + 1/b$. Hence in Theorem 1 we can take $n_0 = 1$, and this gives $M_b(n) \in \{|c_{0,0,n}|, |c_{1,0,n}|, |c_{0,1,n}|, |c_{1,1,n}|\}$. However, by explicit calculation, we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{n-1,1,n} &= b^{n(n-1)/2-1} \\ \sigma_{n-2,1,n} &= b^{n(n-1)/2-1} + \sum_{(n-1)(n-2)/2-1 \leq i \leq n(n-1)/2-3} b^i, \end{split}$$

so that

$$\sigma_{n-1,1,n} \le \sigma_{n-2,1,n}.\tag{7}$$

Hence

$$|c_{1,0,n}| = |c_{0,1,n}| \text{ (by Lemma 8)}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{n-1,1,n}}{\pi_{1,n}} \text{ (by (1))}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma_{n-2,1,n}}{\pi_{1,n}} \text{ (by (7))}$$

$$= |c_{1,1,n}| \text{ (by (1))},$$

and the result follows.

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. We have

$$|c_{i,j,n}| = \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}}{\pi_{j,n}}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}(b)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} |b^j - b^h|}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}(b)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} (b^h \cdot |b^{j-h} - 1|)}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}(b)}{b^{n(n-1)/2-j}} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} |b^{j-h} - 1|}$$

$$= \sigma_{i,j,n}(b^{-1}) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} |b^{j-h} - 1|},$$

where the equality

$$\frac{\sigma_{n-i-1,j,n}(b)}{b^{n(n-1)/2-j}} = \sigma_{i,j,n}(b^{-1})$$

arises from the one-to-one correspondence between the subsets of $\{0, 1, ..., n-1\} - \{j\}$ of cardinality i and those of cardinality n-1-i.

For x < 1 define

$$\sigma_{i,j,\infty}(x) = \sum_{\substack{0 \le h_1 < \dots < h_i < \infty \\ h_1, \dots, h_i \ne j}} \frac{1}{x^{h_1 + \dots + h_i}}.$$

Hence the limits

$$\ell_{i,j} := \lim_{n \to +\infty} |c_{i,j,n}|$$

$$= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sigma_{i,j,n}(b^{-1}) \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{0 \le h < n \\ h \ne j}} |b^{j-h} - 1|}$$

$$= \sigma_{i,j,\infty}(b^{-1}) \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \le s \le j}} \frac{1}{b^s - 1}\right) \left(\prod_{t \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - b^{-t}}\right)$$
(8)

exist and are finite.

From Theorem 1 we see that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} M_b(n) = \max_{0 < i < j < n_0} \lim_{n \to +\infty} |c_{i,j,n}| = \max_{0 < i < j < n_0} \ell_{i,j},$$

and the proof is complete.

From this theorem we can explicitly compute $\lim_{n\to+\infty} M_b(n)$ for $b\geq \tau$.

Corollary 9. Let $\alpha \doteq 2.324717957$ be the real zero of the polynomial $X^3 - 3X^2 + 2X - 1$.

(a) If
$$b \ge \alpha$$
, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} M_b(n) = \prod_{t \ge 1} (1 - b^{-t})^{-1}$.

(b) If
$$\tau \leq b \leq \alpha$$
, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} M_b(n) = \frac{b^2 - b + 1}{b(b-1)^2} \prod_{t \geq 1} (1 - b^{-t})^{-1}$.

Proof. From Theorem 2 we know that for $b \ge \tau$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_b(n) \in \{\ell_{0,0}, \ell_{1,1}\}$. Now an easy calculation based on (8) shows that

$$\ell_{0,0} = \prod_{t \ge 1} (1 - b^{-t})^{-1}$$

$$\ell_{1,1} = \frac{b^2 - b + 1}{b(b-1)^2} \prod_{t \ge 1} (1 - b^{-t})^{-1}.$$

By solving the equation $\frac{b^2-b+1}{b(b-1)^2}=1$, we see that for $b\geq \alpha$ we have $\ell_{0,0}\geq \ell_{1,1}$, while if $\tau\leq b\leq \alpha$ we have $\ell_{1,1}\geq \ell_{0,0}$. This proves both parts of the claim.

Remark 10. The quantity $M_b(n)$ converges rather slowly to its limit when b is close to 1. The following table gives some numerical estimates for $M_b(n)$.

b	$\lim_{n\to\infty} M_b(n)$
3	1.785312341998534190367486
$\alpha \doteq 2.3247$	2.4862447382651613433
2	5.194119929182595417
$\tau \doteq 1.61803$	26.788216012030303413
1.5	67.3672156
1.4	282.398
1.3	3069.44
1.2	422349.8

6 Final remarks

We close with a conjecture we have been unable to prove.

Conjecture 11. Let b > 1 and $n_0 = \lceil \log_b(1 + \frac{1}{b}) \rceil$. Then, for all sufficiently large n, we have $M_b(n) = |c_{i,i,n}|$ for some $i, 0 \le i \le n_0$.

References

[1] W. Gautschi. On inverses of Vandermonde and confluent Vandermonde matrix. *Numer. Mathematik* 4 (1962), 117–123.

- [2] D. M. Kane, C. Sanna, and J. Shallit. Waring's theorem for binary powers. *Combinatorica* **39** (2019), 1335–1350.
- [3] A. Klinger. The Vandermonde matrix. Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 571–574.
- [4] D. E. Knuth. The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 1, Fundamental Algorithms. Addison-Wesley, third edition, 1997.