WELL-POSEDNESS AND BLOW-UP FOR AN INHOMOGENEOUS SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

MOHAMED MAJDOUB

ABSTRACT. We consider the large-time behavior of sign-changing solutions of the inhomogeneous equation $u_t - \Delta u = |x|^{\alpha} |u|^p + \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x)$ in $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$, where $N \geq 3$, p > 1, $\alpha > -2$, ζ , \mathbf{w} are continuous functions such that $\zeta(t) \sim t^{\sigma}$ as $t \to 0$, $\zeta(t) \sim t^m$ as $t \to \infty$. We obtain local existence for $\sigma > -1$. We also show the following:

- If $m \leq 0$, $p < \frac{N-2m+\alpha}{N-2m-2}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) dx > 0$, then all solutions blow up in finite time;
- If m > 0, p > 1 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) dx > 0$, then all solutions blow up in finite time.

The main novelty in this paper is that blow up depends on the behavior of ζ at infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the global existence and blow up of solutions of the following semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = |x|^{\alpha} |u|^p + \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x) & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, p > 1 and ζ , **w** are given functions. More specific assumptions on f, ζ and u_0 will be made later. We are interested in finding the critical exponent which separates the existence and nonexistence of global solutions of (1.1).

In the case $\zeta \equiv 0$ or $\mathbf{w} \equiv 0$, problem (1.1) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = |x|^{\alpha} |u|^p & \text{in} \quad (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

For nonnegative initial data, the solution of (1.2) blows up in finite time if u_0 is sufficiently large. For arbitrary initial data $u_0 \ge 0$, we have the following dichotomy:

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K05, 35A01, 35B44.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear heat equation, Existence, Uniqueness, Blow-up, Differential inequalities.

M. MAJDOUB

- if $\alpha > -2$ and 1 , then every nontrivial solution <math>u(t, x) blows up in finite time;
- if $\alpha > -2$, $p > 1 + \frac{2+\alpha}{N}$ and u_0 is sufficiently small, then u(t, x) is a global solution

This result was proved by Fujita in [5] for $\alpha = 0$, $p \neq 1 + \frac{2}{N}$, and by Hayakawa in [6] for $\alpha = 0$, $p = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$. Later, Qi in [12] was able to prove similar results for a wide class of parabolic problems including in particular (1.2). See also [10]. The number $p_F := 1 + \frac{2+\alpha}{N}$ is called the critical Fujita exponent for problem (1.2).

Note that the case $\alpha = 0$, $\zeta \equiv 1$ was investigated in [2]. It was shown, among other results, that (1.1) has no global solutions provided that $p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx > 0$. Recently in [7], the authors consider (1.1) with $\alpha = 0$ and

 $\zeta(t) = t^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma > -1$. They showed that the critical exponent is given by

$$p^*(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{N-2\sigma}{N-2\sigma-2} & \text{if } -1 < \sigma < 0, \\ \\ \infty & \text{if } \sigma > 0. \end{cases}$$

We refer the interested reader to the survey papers [3, 9]. See also [15, 16] for related problems.

Our main motivation for the current work comes from the paper [7], where the authors consider only the case where $\alpha = 0$ and $\zeta(t) = t^{\sigma}, \sigma > -1$. We will improve the results obtained in [7] by considering $\alpha > -2$ and allowing that ζ behaves like $t^{\sigma}, \sigma > -1$ as $t \to 0$ and like $t^m, m \in \mathbb{R}$ as $t \to \infty$. For simplicity of presentation, we shall restrict our attention to ζ of the form

$$\zeta(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\sigma} & \text{if } 0 < t < 1, \\ t^{m} & \text{if } t \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where $\sigma > -1$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

As is a standard practice, we study the local well-posedness of (1.1) via the associated integral equation:

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{\alpha} |u(\tau)|^p) d\tau + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (\zeta(\tau) \mathbf{w}) d\tau, \quad (1.4)$$

where $e^{t\Delta}$ is the linear heat semi-group. Using fixed point argument in suitable complete metric space together with a recent smoothing estimate proved in [1], we obtain the following existence results.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $N \geq 3$, $-2 < \alpha < 0$, $\mathbf{w} \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N) := C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and ζ is given by (1.3). Then, for any $u_0 \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the Cauchy

 $\mathbf{2}$

problem (1.1) has a unique maximal C_B -mild solution u on $[0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that if $T^* < \infty$, then $\lim_{t \to T^*} ||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \infty$. Furthermore, if $u_0 \ge 0$ and $\mathbf{w} \ge 0$, then the solution u is nonnegative.

For $\alpha > 0$, we introduce as in [17] the function $\nu(x) = (1 + |x|)^{\frac{\alpha}{p-1}}$ and define

$$C_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) = \left\{ \varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}^{N}); \quad \|\nu\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}} < \infty \right\},$$
(1.5)

endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\nu} = \|\nu\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}}.\tag{1.6}$$

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $N \geq 3$, $\alpha > 0$, $\mathbf{w} \in C_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and ζ is given by (1.3). Then, for any $u_0 \in C_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the Cauchy problem (1.1) has a unique maximal classical solution u on $[0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that if $T^* < \infty$, then $\lim_{t \to T^*} ||u(t)||_{\nu} = \infty$.

Concerning blow-up we separate the cases $m \leq 0$ and m > 0 as stated below.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $N \ge 3$, $\alpha > -2$, $m \le 0$, $1 and <math>\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) dx > 0$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.1) has no global solutions.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose $N \ge 3$, $\alpha > -2$, m > 0, p > 1 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) dx > 0$.

Then the Cauchy problem (1.1) has no global solutions.

Remark 1.1.

- (i) Unlike to [7] where the critical exponent is given in term of σ, here the critical exponent depends only on m which measures the behavior of ζ at infinity. Indeed, the behavior at 0 and the fact that σ > -1 are needed only for the local existence.
- (ii) The method apply for more general ζ by assuming that $\zeta : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$\zeta(t) \sim \begin{cases} c_0 t^{\sigma} & as \quad t \to 0, \\ c_{\infty} t^m & as \quad t \to \infty, \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

where $c_0, c_{\infty} > 0$, $\sigma > -1$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (iii) For $m \leq 0$ and $p \geq \frac{N-2m+\alpha}{N-2m-2}$, the maximal solution u(t,x) of (1.1) is global provided that u_0 and \mathbf{w} are sufficiently small.
- (iv) Similar results can be obtained for dimensions N = 1, 2 by modifying slightly the proofs. We omit the details here.

M. MAJDOUB

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries needed in the sequel such as smoothing effect for the heat semi-group. The third section is devoted to the local existence for (1.1). Lastly, in Section 3 we will focus on nonexistence of global solutions by proving Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. In all this paper, C will be a positive constant which may have different values at different places.

2. Preliminaries

Let $e^{t\Delta}$ be the linear heat semi-group defined by $e^{t\Delta} \varphi = G_t \star \varphi, t > 0$, where G_t is the heat kernel given by

$$G_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-N/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

Let, for $\gamma \geq 0$, \mathbf{S}_{γ} be defined as

$$\mathbf{S}_{\gamma}(t)\varphi = \mathrm{e}^{t\Delta}\left(|\cdot|^{-\gamma}\varphi\right), \quad t > 0.$$
(2.8)

To treat the nonlinear term in (1.1), we use the following key estimate proved in [1].

Proposition 2.1. Let $N \ge 1$ and $0 < \gamma < N$. For $1 < q_1, q_2 \le \infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q_2} < \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{1}{q_1} < 1, \tag{2.9}$$

we have

$$\|\mathbf{S}_{\gamma}(t)\varphi\|_{q_{2}} \leq C t^{-\frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}-\frac{1}{q_{2}}\right)-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{q_{1}}, \qquad (2.10)$$

where C > 0 is a constant depending only on N, γ, q_1 and q_2 .

Remark 2.1.

- (i) For $\gamma = 0$, the estimate (2.10) holds under the assumption $1 \le q_1 \le q_2 \le \infty$. This is unlike to the case $\gamma > 0$, where (2.9) enable us to take $q_2 < q_1$.
- (ii) As pointed out in [14], we may take $\frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{1}{q_1}$, $q_1 < \infty$, $q_2 < \infty$ in (2.9).

The following Lemma will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\gamma, \kappa > 0$. There exists a constant $C = C(\kappa, \gamma, N) > 0$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\lambda \in [0, \kappa]$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-|z|^2} (1+|x-\lambda z|)^{-\gamma} dz \le C (1+|x|)^{-\gamma}.$$
(2.11)

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Define

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x - \lambda z| \le \frac{|x|}{2} \right\}, \\ \mathbf{B} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x - \lambda z| > \frac{|x|}{2} \right\}.$$

Clearly $(1 + |x - \lambda z|)^{-\gamma} \leq 2^{\gamma} (1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}$ for $z \in \mathbf{B}$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} e^{-|z|^2} \left(1 + |x - \lambda z|\right)^{-\gamma} dz \le 2^{\gamma} \pi^{N/2} \left(1 + |x|\right)^{-\gamma}.$$
 (2.12)

For $z \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $|z| \ge \frac{|x|}{2\lambda}$. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbf{A}} e^{-|z|^2} (1+|x-\lambda z|)^{-\gamma} dz \leq \int_{\{|z| \ge \frac{|x|}{2\lambda}\}} e^{-|z|^2} dz$$
$$\leq |\mathcal{S}^{N-1}| \int_{\frac{|x|}{2\lambda}}^{\infty} e^{-r^2} r^{N-1} dr$$
$$\leq |\mathcal{S}^{N-1}| \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} r^{N-1} dr \right) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8\lambda^2}}$$

Observe that when $0 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$,

$$e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8\lambda^2}} \le e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8\kappa^2}} \le C(\kappa,\gamma) \ (1+|x|)^{-\gamma}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{A}} e^{-|z|^2} (1+|x-\lambda z|)^{-\gamma} dz \le C(\kappa,\gamma,N) (1+|x|)^{-\gamma}.$$
(2.13)

Combining (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain (2.11) as desired.

We also recall the following singular Gronwall inequality proved in [4]. **Proposition 2.2.** Let $\psi : [0,T] \to [0,\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\psi(t) \le A + M \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\psi(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\theta}} d\tau, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(2.14)

where $0 \leq \theta < 1$ and $A, M \geq 0$ are two constants. Then

$$\psi(t) \le A \mathcal{E}_{1-\theta} \left(M \Gamma(1-\theta) t^{1-\theta} \right), \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(2.15)

where \mathcal{E}_{ϱ} is the Mittag-Leffler function defined for all $\varrho > 0$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varrho}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(n\varrho + 1)}.$$

3. Local well-posedness

First we investigate the case $-2 < \alpha \leq 0$ as stated in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove the unconditional uniqueness. Let T > 0 and u, v be two C_B -mild solutions of (1.1). Owing to (1.4) and (2.10), we infer

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C \int_{0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{\alpha/2} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\|u(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-1} + \|v(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p-1} \right) d\tau$$

This together with the singular Gronwall inequality (see Proposition 2.2) imply that u = v on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$. We turn now to the existence part. We use a fixed point argument. We introduce, for any T, M > 0 the following complete metric space

$$\mathbf{X}_{T,M} = \left\{ u \in C_B([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N); \quad \|u\|_T \le M \right\},\$$

where $||u||_T = \sup_{0 \le t \le T} ||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. Set

$$\Phi(u)(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{\alpha}|u(\tau)|^p) d\tau + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (\zeta(\tau)\mathbf{w}) d\tau.$$
(3.16)

We will prove that the parameters T, M > 0 can be chosen so that Φ is a contraction map from $\mathbf{X}_{T,M}$ into itself. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T \leq 1$. Let $u \in \mathbf{X}_{T,M}$. Noticing that $-N < -2 < \alpha \leq 0$, $\sigma > -1$, and owing to (2.10) and (1.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha/2} \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}}^p \, d\tau + \frac{t^{\sigma+1}}{\sigma+1} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} \mathrm{d}\tau) \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + C M^p \frac{T^{1+\alpha/2}}{1+\alpha/2} + \frac{T^{\sigma+1}}{\sigma+1} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{\infty}}. \end{split}$$

Taking $M > ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}$ and choosing T > 0 small enough, we easily deduce that $\Phi(\mathbf{X}_{T,M}) \subset \mathbf{X}_{T,M}$. To show that Φ is a contraction we compute, for

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi(u)(t) - \Phi(v)(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha/2} \, \||u(\tau)|^{p} - |v(\tau)|^{p}\|_{L^{\infty}} \, dt \\ &\leq C M^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\alpha/2} \, \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} \, d\tau \\ &\leq C M^{p-1} T^{1+\alpha/2} \, \|u-v\|_{T}, \end{split}$$

where we have used

$$||a|^p - |b|^p| \lesssim |a - b| (|a|^{p-1} + |b|^{p-1}).$$

It follows that

 $u, v \in \mathbf{X}_{T.M},$

$$\|\Phi(u) - \Phi(v)\|_T \le CM^{p-1}T^{1+\alpha/2} \|u - v\|_T.$$
(3.19)

From (3.19) we conclude that Φ is a contraction for T > 0 sufficiently small. This finishes the existence part. The blowup criterion can be shown in a standard way by taking advantage of the fact that the local time of existence depends on $||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}$ for the choice $M = 2||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}$. Finally, since $e^{t\Delta}$ preserve the positivity, we easily deduce that $u \ge 0$ provided that $u_0, \mathbf{w} \ge 0$. \Box

Next, we turn to the case $\alpha > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of local existence follows from standard fixed point argument in a suitable complete metric space. To this end, we introduce

$$\mathbf{Y}_{T,M} = \left\{ u \in L^{\infty}([0,T]; C_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^{N})); \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|u(t)\|_{\nu} \le M \right\}.$$

endowed with the distance

$$d(u,v) = ||u - v||_T = \sup_{0 \le t \le T} ||u(t) - v(t)||_{\nu}.$$

Here M > 0 and $0 < T \le 1$ to be fixed later. Define

$$\mathbf{I}(t) = e^{t\Delta}u_0,$$

$$\mathbf{J}(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{\alpha}|u(\tau)|^p) d\tau,$$

$$\mathbf{K}(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} (\zeta(\tau) \mathbf{w}) d\tau,$$

so that $\Phi(u)(t) = \mathbf{I}(t) + \mathbf{J}(t) + \mathbf{K}(t)$ where Φ is given as in (3.16). We will estimate separately the terms $\mathbf{I}(t)$, $\mathbf{J}(t)$ and $\mathbf{K}(t)$. First we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}(t)(x) &= (4\pi t)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} u_0(y) \, dy, \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_{\nu} (4\pi t)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} \nu^{-1}(y) \, dy, \\ &= \pi^{-N/2} \|u_0\|_{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-|z|^2} \left(1 + |x - 2\sqrt{t}z|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{p-1}} \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11) with $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{p-1}$, one obtains

$$\|\mathbf{I}(t)\|_{\nu} \le C \|u_0\|_{\nu},\tag{3.20}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on α , p, N.

Next, we compute (for $u \in \mathbf{Y}_{T,M}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(t)(x) &= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (4\pi(t-\tau))^{-N/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-\tau)}} |y|^{\alpha} |u(\tau,y)|^{p} \, dy \, d\tau, \\ &\leq M^{p} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (4\pi(t-\tau))^{-N/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-\tau)}} |y|^{\alpha} \, \nu(y)^{-p} \, dy \, d\tau, \\ &\leq M^{p} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (4\pi(t-\tau))^{-N/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-\tau)}} |y|^{\alpha} \, (1+|y|)^{-\frac{\alpha}{p-1}} \, dy \right) \, d\tau, \\ &\leq M^{p} \int_{0}^{t} C\nu^{-1}(x) \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.11) in the last inequality. Therefore

$$\|\mathbf{J}(t)\|_{\nu} \le CTM^{P},\tag{3.21}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on α , p, N.

Let us now estimate the third term K. Using again (2.11) together with (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}(t)(x) &= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (4\pi(t-\tau))^{-N/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-\tau)}} \zeta(\tau) \mathbf{w}(y) \, dy \, d\tau, \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\nu} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{\sigma} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (4\pi(t-\tau))^{-N/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4(t-\tau)}} \nu^{-1}(y) \, dy \right) \, d\tau, \\ &\leq C \frac{t^{1+\sigma}}{1+\sigma} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\nu} \, \nu^{-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\mathbf{K}(t)\|_{\nu} \le CT^{\sigma+1} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\nu},\tag{3.22}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on σ , α , p, N.

Combining (3.20)-(3.21)-(3.22), we end up with

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_{T} \le C \|u_{0}\|_{\nu} + CTM^{p} + CT^{\sigma+1} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\nu}.$$
(3.23)

Choosing $M > C ||u_0||_{\nu}$ and T sufficiently small such that $C ||u_0||_{\nu} + CTM^p + CT^{\sigma+1} ||\mathbf{w}||_{\nu} \leq M$, we see that $\Phi(\mathbf{Y}_{T,M}) \subset \mathbf{Y}_{T,M}$.

Now we show that Φ is contractive. Let $u, v \in \mathbf{Y}_{T,M}$. Arguing as above, we obtain that

$$\|\Phi(u) - \Phi(v)\|_T \le CTM^{p-1} \|u - v\|_T \le \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|_T, \qquad (3.24)$$

for $M > C ||u_0||_{\nu}$ and T sufficiently small. This enable us to conclude the proof of the existence part.

The uniqueness part follows easily from [17, Lemma 1.3, p. 559] when applied to $f(t, x, u) = |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p} + \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x)$.

Finally, let us turn to regularity. Since ζ is continuous, $\mathbf{w} \in C_{\nu} \subset C_B$ and $u \in L^{\infty}((0,T), C_B)$, standard regularity results for parabolic equations ([13, Appendix B] and [8]) guarantee that u is a classical solution.

4. Nonexistence of global solutions

We will focus in this section on blow-up results stated in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let u be the maximal solution defined on $[0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and suppose that $T^* = \infty$. In order to obtain a contradiction we use the so-called test function method. See for instance [2, 11]. Let's choose two M. MAJDOUB

cut-off functions $f, g \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty)$ such that $0 \le f, g \le 1$,

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1/2 \le \tau \le 2/3, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau \in [0, 1/4] \cup [3/4, \infty), \end{cases}$$
(4.25)

and

$$g(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le \tau \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.26)$$

For T > 0, we introduce $\psi_T(t, x) = f_T(t) g_T(x)$, where

$$f_T(t) = \left(f\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}},$$

$$g_T(x) = \left(g\left(\frac{|x|^2}{T}\right)\right)^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}.$$

Multiplying both sides of the differential equation in (1.1) by ψ_T and integrating over $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ we find

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p} \psi_{T} dx dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x) \psi_{T} dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{0}(x) \psi_{T}(0, x) dx$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u \Delta \psi_{T} dx dt - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u \partial_{t} \psi_{T} dx dt, \qquad (4.27)$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u| |\Delta \psi_{T}| dx dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u| |\partial_{t} \psi_{T}| dx dt.$$

Noticing that f(0) = 0, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x)\psi_T(0,x) \, dx = 0.$$
(4.28)

10

Next, applying Young inequality, we get

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u(t,x)| |\Delta \psi_{T}(t,x)| dx dt = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{\alpha/p} |u| \psi_{T}^{1/p} |x|^{-\alpha/p} |\Delta \psi_{T}| \psi_{T}^{-1/p} dx dt,
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p} \psi_{T} dx dt \qquad (4.29)
+ C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{-\frac{\alpha}{p-1}} |\Delta \psi_{T}|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \psi_{T}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx dt,
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p} \psi_{T} dx dt + CT^{1+\frac{N}{2}-\frac{p}{p-1}-\frac{\alpha}{2(p-1)}},$$

where we have used (4.25), (4.26) and

$$|\Delta g_T(x)| \le \frac{C}{T} \left(g\left(\frac{|x|^2}{T}\right) \right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}.$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u| \, |\partial_{t}\psi_{T}| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |x|^{\alpha} \, |u|^{p} \, \psi_{T} \, dx \, dt + CT^{1 + \frac{N}{2} - \frac{p}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha}{2(p-1)}}.$$
(4.30)

Plugging estimates (4.27), (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30) together, we find

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x) \,\psi_{T}(t,x) \,dx \,dt \,\leq C \,T^{1+\frac{N}{2}-\frac{p}{p-1}-\frac{\alpha}{2(p-1)}}.$$
(4.31)

To conclude the proof we have to find a suitable lower bound of the left hand side in (4.31). For this purpose, we use (1.3) to write (for $T \ge 2$)

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x) \psi_{T}(t,x) dx dt \geq \int_{T/2}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \zeta(t) \mathbf{w}(x) \psi_{T}(t,x) dx dt$$

$$\geq \left(\int_{T/2}^{T} t^{m} f\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dt \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathbf{w}(x) g_{T}(x) dx \right)$$

$$\geq C T^{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathbf{w}(x) g_{T}(x) dx. \qquad (4.32)$$

Since $\mathbf{w} \in L^1$ and $g_T(x) \to g(0) = 1$ as $T \to \infty$, we obtain by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) g_T(x) \, dx \underset{T \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx > 0.$$

Hence, for T sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) g_T(x) \, dx \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx.$$

Recalling (4.31), we end up with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \, \le \, C \, T^{\frac{N}{2} - m - \frac{p}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha}{2(p-1)}}. \tag{4.33}$$

Noticing that $p < \frac{N-2m+\alpha}{N-2m-2}$ and letting T to ∞ in (4.33), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \le 0.$$

This is obviously a contradiction and the proof is complete.

Remark 4.1. The main novelty in this proof is the new lower bound (4.32) using only the parameter m instead of σ . This illustrate that the blow up depends on the behavior of ζ at infinity.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We employ the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 with a different test function. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we set

$$\varphi_T(t,x) = f_T(t) \, g(\varepsilon \, |x|^2),$$

where f, g are given by (4.25)-(4.26). Similar computations as above yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \, \leq \, C \, \left(T^{-m} + T^{-m - \frac{p}{p-1}} \right). \tag{4.34}$$

Noticing that m > 0 and letting T to infinity, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathbf{w}(x) \, dx \le 0.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

12

References

- B. Ben Slimene, S. Tayachi and F. B. Weissler, Well-posedness, global existence and large time behavior for Hardy-Hénon parabolic equations, Nonlinear Analysis, 152 (2017), 116–148. 2, 4
- [2] C. Bandle, H. A. Levine and Qi S. Zhang, Critical Exponents of Fujita Type for Inhomogeneous Parabolic Equations and Systems, Journ. of Math. Anal. and App., 251 (2000), 624–648. 2, 9
- [3] K. Deng and H. A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, the sequel, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 243 (2000), 85–126. 2
- [4] J. Dixon and S. Mckef, Weakly singular discrete Gronwall inequalities, Z. angew. Math. Mech., 64 (1986), 535–544.
- [5] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA Math. **13** (1966), 109–124. **2**
- [6] K. Hayakawa, On nonexistence of global solutions of some semilinear parabolic differential equations, Proc. Japan Acad. 49 (1973), 503–505.
- [7] M. Jleli, T. Kawakami and B. Samet, Critical behavior for a semilinear parabolic equation with forcing term depending of time and space, arXiv:1910.08870v1. 2, 3
- [8] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uralćeva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Amer. Math. Soc., Transl. Math. Monographs, Providence, R.I.(1968). 9
- [9] H. A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, SIAM Rev., 32 (1990), 269–288. 2
- [10] H. A. Levine and P. Meier, The value of the critical exponent for reaction-diffusion equations in cones, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 109 (1989), 73–80. 2
- [11] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pohozaev, A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 234 (2001), 3–383.
- [12] Yuan-wei Qi, The critical exponents of parabolic equations and blow-up in \mathbb{R}^n , Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **128A** (1998), 123–136. 2
- [13] P. Quittner and P. Souplet, Superlinear parabolic problems, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2007), xii+584. 9
- [14] S. Tayachi, Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions for critical Hardy-Hénon parabolic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 488 (2020), 123976. 4
- [15] Q. S. Zhang, A new critical phenomenon for semilinear parabolic problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 219 (1998), 123–139. 2
- [16] Q. S. Zhang, Blow up and global existence of solutions to an inhomogeneous parabolic system, J. Differential Equations, 147 (1998), 155–183. 2
- [17] X. Wang, On the Cauchy problem for reaction-diffusion equations, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 337 (1993), 549–590. 3, 9

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, P. O. Box 1982, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CENTER, IMAM ABDULRAHMAN BIN FAISAL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1982, 31441, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: mmajdoub@iau.edu.sa