

RATE OF CONVERGENCE AT THE HARD EDGE FOR VARIOUS PÓLYA ENSEMBLES OF POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

PETER J. FORRESTER AND SHI-HAO LI

ABSTRACT. The theory of Pólya ensembles of positive definite random matrices provides structural formulas for the corresponding biorthogonal pair, and correlation kernel, which are well suited to computing the hard edge large N asymptotics. Such an analysis is carried out for products of Laguerre ensembles, the Laguerre Muttalib-Borodin ensemble, and products of Laguerre ensembles and their inverses. The latter includes as a special case the Jacobi unitary ensemble. In each case the hard edge scaled kernel permits an expansion in powers of $1/N$, with the leading term given in a structured form involving the hard edge scaling of the biorthogonal pair. The Laguerre and Jacobi ensembles have the special feature that their hard edge scaled kernel — the Bessel kernel — is symmetric and this leads to there being a choice of hard edge scaling variables for which the rate of convergence of the correlation functions is $O(1/N^2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are many settings in random matrix theory for which the eigenvalues (assumed real) can be scaled in relation to the matrix size in such a way that the limiting support is compact. This is referred to as a global scaling. As some concrete examples, let X be an $N \times N$ standard complex Gaussian matrix, and construct from this the Hermitian matrices $H_1 = \frac{1}{2}(X + X^\dagger)$ and $H_2 = X^\dagger X$. The set of matrices H_1 (H_2) are said to form the Gaussian unitary ensemble (special case of the Laguerre unitary ensemble), and have joint eigenvalue probability density function (PDF) proportional to

$$\prod_{l=1}^N w(x_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (x_k - x_j)^2, \quad w(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x^2}, & \text{matrices } H_1 \\ e^{-x} \chi_{x>0}, & \text{matrices } H_2; \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

see e.g. [12, 40]. Here $\chi_A = 1$ for A true, $\chi_A = 0$ otherwise.

Scaling the eigenvalues $x_j \mapsto \sqrt{2N}x_j$ (matrices H_1) and $x_j \mapsto 4Nx_j$ (matrices H_2), it is a standard result that as $N \rightarrow \infty$ the spectrum is supported on the intervals $(-1, 1)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively. Among the endpoints of the intervals of support, the point $x = 0$ for the global scaling of the matrices H_2 is special. Thus the region $x < 0$ to the other side of this endpoint has strictly zero eigenvalue density for all values of N , because H_2 is positive definite. For this reason the endpoint $x = 0$ in this example is called a hard edge. The hard edge notion extends beyond the class of matrix ensembles permitting a global scaling to include heavy tailed distributions — an example of the latter is given in Section 3.3 below. The essential point then is that the limiting eigenvalue density is nonzero for $x > 0$, and strictly zero for $x < 0$.

In this paper our interest is in the approach to a limiting hard edge state for various ensembles of positive definite matrices. A hard edge state refers to the statistical distribution formed when the eigenvalues are scaled to have nearest neighbour spacing of order unity as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For the

matrices H_2 , or more generally the ensemble of matrices with weight function

$$w(x) = x^a e^{-x} \chi_{x>0} \quad (1.2)$$

(Laguerre weight, realised for $a = n - N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as the eigenvalue PDF of matrices $X^\dagger X$ with X an $n \times N$ complex standard Gaussian matrix) with parameter $a > -1$, this takes place for the scaling of the eigenvalues $x_j \mapsto x_j/4N$, and gives rise to the hard edge state specified by the k -point correlations (see [12, §7.2])

$$\rho_{(k)}^{\text{hard}}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \det[K^{\text{hard}}(x_j, x_l; a)]_{j,l=1}^k, \quad (1.3)$$

where, with $J_a(u)$ denoting the Bessel function,

$$K^{\text{hard}}(x, y; a) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 J_a(\sqrt{xt}) J_a(\sqrt{yt}) dt. \quad (1.4)$$

For finite N the k -point correlation function is defined in terms of the joint eigenvalue PDF, P_N say, according to

$$\rho_{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{N!}{(N-k)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{k+1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_N P_N(x_1, \dots, x_N). \quad (1.5)$$

For eigenvalue PDFs of the form (1.1), the correlation function (1.5) admits the determinant evaluation (see e.g. [12, §5.1])

$$\rho_{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \det[K_N(x_j, x_l)]_{j,l=1}^k, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$K_N(x, y) = \left(w(x)w(y) \right)^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{h_n} p_n(x) p_n(y) \quad (1.7)$$

In (1.7) $\{p_n(x)\}$ refers to the set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight function $w(x)$ — p_n of degree n and chosen to be monic for convenience — with norm h_n ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x) p_m(x) p_n(x) dx = h_n \delta_{m,n}. \quad (1.8)$$

In the case of the Laguerre weight, the polynomials $p_n(x)$ are proportional to the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(a)}(x)$.

Recently, attention has been given to the rate of convergence to the hard edge limiting kernel (1.4). One line of motivation came from a question posed by Edelman, Guionnet and Péché [11]. These authors, taking a viewpoint in numerical analysis, took up the problem of studying finite N effects in the hard edge scaling of the distribution of the smallest singular value of a (complex) standard Gaussian matrix. With $E^{\text{LUE}}(0; (0, s))$ denoting the probability that there are no eigenvalues in the interval $(0, s)$ of the LUE, it was conjectured in [11] that

$$E^{\text{LUE}}(0; (0, s/(4N))) = E^{\text{hard}}(0; (0, s)) + \frac{a}{2N} s \frac{d}{ds} E^{\text{hard}}(0; (0, s)) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$E^{\text{hard}}(0; (0, s)) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E^{\text{LUE}}(0; (0, s/(4N))),$$

and thus [8, 43],

$$E^{\text{LUE}}\left(0; \left(0, \frac{s}{4N+2a}\right)\right) = E^{\text{hard}}(0; (0, s)) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \quad (1.10)$$

which moreover is the optimal rate of convergence.

Subsequently Bornemann [8] provided a proof of (1.9) which involved extending the limit formula (1.3) to the large N expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4N} K_N^{(L)}\left(\frac{X}{4N}, \frac{Y}{4N}\right) &= K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{a}{8} J_a(\sqrt{X}) J_a(\sqrt{Y}) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \\ &= K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + \frac{1}{N} \frac{a}{2} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 1 \right) K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

valid uniformly for $X, Y \in [0, s]$. In fact knowledge of (1.11) is sufficient to establish (1.9). We remark too that analogous to (1.10), it follows from (1.11) that

$$\frac{1}{4N+2a} K_N^{(L)}\left(\frac{X}{4N+2a}, \frac{Y}{4N+2a}\right) = K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \quad (1.12)$$

and this implies (1.10).

Our aim in this work is to extend hard edge scaling results of the type (1.11) to examples of a recently isolated structured class of random matrices known as Pólya ensembles [29]. The definition of these ensembles, which include the Laguerre unitary ensemble, the Jacobi unitary ensemble, products of these ensembles, and their Muttalib-Borodin generalisations, will be given in Section 2.1. The benefit of the structures provided by the Pólya ensemble class is seen by our revision of the key formulas in Section 2.2, where we also extend the theory by exhibiting differential recurrences satisfied by the associated biorthogonal pair, and a differential identity satisfied by the correlation kernel. In Section 2.3 we make note of some asymptotic formulas relating to ratios of gamma functions which will be used in our subsequent large N hard edge analysis. The latter is undertaken in Section 3, starting with products of Laguerre ensembles, then the Laguerre Muttalib-Borodin ensemble, and finally products of Laguerre ensembles and their inverses, with the latter including as a special case the Jacobi unitary ensemble.

The Jacobi unitary ensemble is specified by the eigenvalue PDF (1.1) with weight

$$x^a (1-x)^b \chi_{0 < x < 1}. \quad (1.13)$$

Our results of Section 3.3 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4N^2} K_N^{(J)}\left(\frac{X}{4N^2}, \frac{Y}{4N^2}\right) &= K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + \frac{a+b}{2N} J_a(\sqrt{X}) J_a(\sqrt{Y}) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \\ &= K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + \frac{a+b}{N} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 1 \right) K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

and thus

$$\left. \frac{1}{4\tilde{N}^2} K_N^{(J)}\left(\frac{X}{4\tilde{N}^2}, \frac{Y}{4\tilde{N}^2}\right) \right|_{\tilde{N}=N+(a+b)/2} = K^{\text{hard}}(X, Y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \quad (1.15)$$

This gives an explanation for recent results in [38] relating to the large N form of the distribution of the smallest eigenvalue in the Jacobi unitary ensemble. In Appendix A large N expansions of the latter quantity are extended to all Jacobi β -ensembles with β even.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Pólya ensembles — definitions. The Vandermonde determinant identity tells us that

$$\det[x_k^{j-1}]_{j,k=1}^N = \det[p_{j-1}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (x_k - x_j), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\{p_l(x)\}_{l=0}^{N-1}$ are arbitrary monic orthogonal polynomials, p_l of degree l . A generalisation of (1.1) is therefore an eigenvalue PDF proportional to

$$\det[p_{j-1}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N \det[w_{j-1}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N \quad (2.2)$$

for some polynomials $\{p_l(x)\}_{l=0}^{N-1}$ and functions $\{w_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ — note though that in general there is no guarantee (2.2) will be positive. In [34] eigenvalue PDFs (2.2) were given the name polynomial ensembles.

In [29, 30] a further specialisation of (2.2),

$$\det[p_{j-1}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N \det \left[\left(-x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^{j-1} w(x_k) \right]_{j,k=1}^N, \quad (2.3)$$

was proposed. Assuming all the eigenvalues are positive, it was shown that this class of eigenvalue PDF is closed under multiplicative convolution. At first PDFs of the form (2.3) were referred to as polynomial ensembles of derivative type, but subsequently with the requirement that they be non-negative, it was pointed out in [26] that it is more apt to use the term Pólya ensemble. The invariance of a determinant under the elementary row operation of adding one multiple of a row to another shows

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left[\left(-x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^{j-1} w(x_k) \right]_{j,k=1}^N &= \det \left[\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \left(-x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - l \right) w(x_k) \right]_{j,k=1}^N \\ &= \det \left[\frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial x_k^{j-1}} \left((-x_k)^{j-1} w(x_k) \right) \right]_{j,k=1}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In relation to the second line, note that it is in fact an equality that

$$\prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \left(-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - l \right) w(x) = \frac{d^{j-1}}{dx^{j-1}} \left((-x)^{j-1} w(x) \right). \quad (2.5)$$

The differential operator on the RHS of (2.5) reveals that the Laguerre unitary ensemble fits the framework of Pólya ensembles. Thus choosing $w(x)$ to be given by (1.2), the Rodrigues formula for the Laguerre polynomials tells us that

$$\frac{d^{j-1}}{dx^{j-1}} \left((-x)^{j-1} w(x) \right) = (-1)^{j-1} (j-1)! w(x) L_{j-1}^{(a)}(x), \quad (2.6)$$

and so, up to proportionality, (2.3) reduces to

$$\prod_{l=1}^N x_l^a e^{-x_l} \det[p_{j-1}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N \det[L_{j-1}^{(a)}(x_k)]_{j,k=1}^N. \quad (2.7)$$

In view of (2.1), this corresponds to the eigenvalue PDF for the Laguerre unitary ensemble. The advantage in working within the Pólya ensemble framework is that it reveals a mechanism to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the correlation kernel (1.7) at the hard edge, which applies at once to a much wider class of random matrix ensembles. The reason for this are certain general structural formulas applicable to all Pólya ensembles. These will be revised next.

2.2. Pólya ensembles — biorthogonal system and correlation kernel. It is standard in random matrix theory that the ensembles (2.2) are determinantal, meaning that the k -point correlation functions have the form (1.6). Moreover, if the polynomials $\{p_l(x)\}_{l=0}^N$ and the functions $\{q_j(x)\}_{j=0}^N$ — the latter chosen from $\text{span}\{w_j(x)\}_{j=0}^N$ — have the biorthogonal property

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_m(x) q_n(x) dx = \delta_{m,n}, \quad (2.8)$$

then the correlation kernel has the simple form

$$K_N(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j(x) q_j(y); \quad (2.9)$$

see e.g. [12, §5.8]. While in general computation of the LU (lower/ upper triangular) decomposition of a certain inverse matrix used to construct the biorthogonal functions (see e.g. [12, Proof of Prop. 5.8.1]), this cannot be expected to result in a tractable formula for (2.9), permitting large N analysis, without further structures. It is at this stage that the utility of Pólya ensembles shows itself: special functional forms for the biorthogonal system hold true, and moreover there is a summed up form of the kernel as an integral analogous to (1.4), which together facilitate a large N analysis.

The formulas, which are due to Kieburg and Kösters [29], involve the Mellin transform of the weight w in (2.3),

$$\mathcal{M}[w](s) := \int_0^{\infty} y^{s-1} w(y) dy. \quad (2.10)$$

One has that the polynomials $\{p_l(x)\}_{l=0}^N$ in the biorthogonal pair $\{p_j, q_k\}$ are specified by

$$p_n(x) = (-1)^n n! \mathcal{M}[w](n+1) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-x)^j}{j!(n-j)! \mathcal{M}[w](j+1)}, \quad (2.11)$$

and that the functions $\{q_l(x)\}_{l=0}^N$ — chosen from the span of the functions specifying the columns in (2.3) — are specified by the Rodrigues type formula

$$q_n(x) = \frac{1}{n! \mathcal{M}[w](n+1)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left((-x)^n w(x) \right). \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, the correlation kernel can be written in a form generalising the final expression in (1.4),

$$K_N(x, y) = -N \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](N+1)}{\mathcal{M}[w](N)} \int_0^1 p_{N-1}(xt) q_N(yt) dt. \quad (2.13)$$

In [29] the integral form (2.13) of the correlation kernel was derived by first converting (2.11) and (2.12) to integral forms, which allow for the summation to be carried out in closed form. The identification with the RHS of (2.13) then follows after some manipulation. In a special case this strategy was first given in [34]. An alternative method of derivation is also possible, as we will now show, which involves first identifying differential recurrences satisfied by each of the $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$. (We remark that other examples of differential recurrences can be found in a number of recent studies in random matrix theory [15, 16, 19, 20, 35].)

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ be specified by (2.11) and (2.12). These functions satisfy the differential recurrences*

$$x \frac{d}{dx} p_n(x) = np_n(x) + n \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](n+1)}{\mathcal{M}[w](n)} p_{n-1}(x) \quad (2.14)$$

$$x \frac{d}{dx} q_n(x) = -\frac{(n+1)\mathcal{M}[w](n+2)}{\mathcal{M}[w](n+1)} q_{n+1}(x) + (n+1)q_n(x). \quad (2.15)$$

A corollary of these recurrences is the differential identity

$$\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 1\right) K_N(x, y) = -N \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](N+1)}{\mathcal{M}[w](N)} p_{N-1}(x) q_N(y), \quad (2.16)$$

which implies (2.13).

Proof. From the formula (2.11),

$$x \frac{d}{dx} p_n(x) = (-1)^n n! \mathcal{M}[w](n+1) \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \frac{j}{j!(n-j)! \mathcal{M}[w](j+1)} x^j.$$

Rewrite the j in the denominator of this expression as $n - (n - j)$, and use this to decompose the sum into two. Upon some simple manipulation, the identity (2.14) results.

According to (2.5), the formula (2.12) can be rewritten

$$q_n(x) = \frac{1}{n! \mathcal{M}[w](n+1)} \prod_{l=1}^n \left(-x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - l\right) w(x).$$

Acting on both sides with $-x \frac{d}{dx} - (n+1)$ shows

$$\left(-x \frac{d}{dx} - (n+1)\right) q_n(x) = \frac{(n+1)\mathcal{M}[w](n+2)}{\mathcal{M}[w](n+1)} q_{n+1}(x).$$

This gives (2.15).

With the differential recurrences (2.14) and (2.15) established, we can use them in the expression (2.9) to give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) K_N(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(np_n(x) + n \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](n+1)}{\mathcal{M}[w](n)} p_{n-1}(x)\right) \left(-\frac{(n+1)\mathcal{M}[w](n+2)}{\mathcal{M}[w](n+1)} q_{n+1}(y) + (n+1)q_n(y)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Simple manipulation reduces this to (2.16).

In (2.16) scale x and y by writing as xt and yt respectively. The LHS of (2.16) can then be written

$$\frac{d}{dt} t K_N(tx, ty) = -N \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](N+1)}{\mathcal{M}[w](N)} p_{N-1}(tx) q_N(ty). \quad (2.18)$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to 1, on the LHS noting $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t K_N(tx, ty) = 0$ as follows from (2.9), reclaims (2.13). □

Remark 2.2. We show in Appendix B how (2.18), combined with a recurrence formula of fixed depth of $tp_{N-1}(t)$ known to hold for a number of the specific Pólya ensembles considered in Section 3, provides a combinatorial based method to compute the leading large N form of the moments of the spectral density.

2.3. Asymptotics of ratios of gamma function. The gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ is one of the most commonly occurring of special functions [3], analytic in the complex plane except for poles at 0 and the negative integers. Since $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$ and $\Gamma(1) = 1$, for n a non-negative integer

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n!, \quad (2.19)$$

and so gives meaning to the factorial for general complex n . Historically [42] Stirling's formula for the gamma function is the large n approximation to the factorial $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} n^{n+1/2} e^{-n}$, later extended to the asymptotic series [45]

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{12n} + \frac{1}{288n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)\right). \quad (2.20)$$

Using (2.19) and truncating this asymptotic series at $O(1/n)$ leads to the large $|z|$ asymptotic expansion [44]

$$\frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z+b)} = z^{a-b} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2z}(a-b)(a+b-1) + O(z^{-2})\right), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.21)$$

valid for $|\arg z| < \pi$ and a, b fixed. Furthermore, specify $(u)_\alpha := \Gamma(u+\alpha)/\Gamma(u)$, which for α a positive integer corresponds to the product $(u)_\alpha = (u)(u+1)\cdots(u+\alpha-1)$. From this definition, and under the assumption that α is a positive integer, we see

$$(-N+k)_\alpha = (-1)^\alpha \frac{\Gamma(N-k+1)}{\Gamma(N-k+1-\alpha)} = (-N)^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(2k+\alpha-1)}{2N} + O(N^{-2})\right), \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.22)$$

where the large N form follows from (2.21). Our analysis of the rate of convergence for hard edge scalings will have use for both (2.21) and (2.22).

3. HARD EDGE SCALING TO $O(1/N)$ FOR SOME PÓLYA ENSEMBLES

3.1. Products of Laguerre ensembles. The realisation of the Laguerre unitary ensemble with $a = n - N$ noted below (1.2) can equivalently be expressed as being realised by the squared singular values of an $n \times N$ standard complex Gaussian matrix. A natural generalisation, first considered in [1, 2], is to consider the squared singular values of the product of say M rectangular standard complex Gaussian matrices (assumed to be of compatible sizes). Since each ensemble in the product is individually a Pólya ensemble, the closure property of Pólya ensembles under multiplicative convolution from [29] tells us that the product ensemble can be formed by simply replacing $w(x)$ in (2.3) by

$$w^{(M)}(x) := \int_0^\infty dx_1 \cdots dx_M \delta\left(x - \prod_{j=1}^M x_j\right) \prod_{l=1}^M w_l(x_l), \quad w_j(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_j+1)} x^{a_j} e^{-x}. \quad (3.1)$$

For the Mellin transform we have the factorised gamma function evaluation

$$\mathcal{M}[w^{(M)}](s) = \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\Gamma(a_j+s)}{\Gamma(a_j+1)}. \quad (3.2)$$

The formula for the inverse Mellin transform then gives

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(M)}(x) &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_j + 1)} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(a_j - s) x^s ds \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_j + 1)} G_{0,M}^{M,0} \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ a_1, \dots, a_M \end{matrix} \middle| x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Here c is any positive real number, and $G_{M,0}^{0,M}$ denotes a particular Meijer G-function; see [37].

Substituting (3.2) in (2.11) and (3.3) in (2.12) shows [1]

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x) &= (-1)^n n! \prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(a_j + n + 1) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-x)^j}{j!(n-j)! \prod_{l=1}^M (a_l + 1)_j} \\ &= (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\Gamma(a_j + n + 1)}{\Gamma(a_j + 1)} {}_1F_M \left(\begin{matrix} -n \\ a_1 + 1, \dots, a_M + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| x \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with ${}_1F_M$ the notation for the particular hypergeometric series, and

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(x) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_j + n + 1)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + s + 1)}{\Gamma(s + 1)} \prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(a_j - s) x^s ds \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\Gamma(a_j + n + 1)} G_{1,M+1}^{M,1} \left(\begin{matrix} -n \\ a_1, \dots, a_M, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

According to (2.16) and (2.13), $K_N(x, y)$ is fully determined by $p_{N-1}(x)$ and $q_N(y)$. Since our aim is to expand $K_N(x, y)$ for large N with hard edge scaled variables, it suffices then to compute the hard edge expansion of these particular biorthogonal functions.

Proposition 3.1. *Denote*

$${}_0F_M \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ a_1 + 1, \dots, a_M + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -x \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^j}{j! \prod_{s=1}^M (a_s + 1)_j}, \quad (3.6)$$

as conforms with standard notation in the theory of hypergeometric functions. We have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1F_M \left(\begin{matrix} -N + 1 \\ a_1 + 1, \dots, a_M + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x}{N} \right) \\ = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \right) \right) {}_0F_M \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ a_1 + 1, \dots, a_M + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -x \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N!} G_{1,M+1}^{M,1} \left(\begin{matrix} -N \\ a_1, \dots, a_M, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x}{N} \right) \\ = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \right) \right) G_{1,M+1}^{M,0} \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ a_1, \dots, a_M, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| x \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In both (3.7) and (3.8) the bound on the remainder holds uniformly for $x \in [0, s]$, for any fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. In the summation (3.4) defining the LHS of (3.7) the only N dependence is the factor

$$\frac{(-N+1)_j}{N^j} = (-1)^j \left(1 - \frac{j(j+1)}{2N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right),$$

where the expansion follows from (2.22). This result, valid for fixed j , can nonetheless be substituted in the summation since the factor in the summand $(-N+1)_j/j!N^j$ is a rapidly decaying function of j . Doing this shows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^j}{j! \prod_{s=1}^M (a_s + 1)_j} \left(1 - \frac{j(j+1)}{2N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right) \\ = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \right) \right) {}_0F_M \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ a_1 + 1, \dots, a_M + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -x \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

with the bound on the RHS uniform for $x \in [0, s]$.

In relation to (3.8), after multiplying through the prefactor $1/N!$ inside the integrand of the integral (3.5) defining the LHS, we see the only dependence on N is the factor

$$\frac{\Gamma(N+s+1)}{N^s \Gamma(N+1)} = 1 + \frac{s(s+1)}{2N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right),$$

where the expansion follows from (2.22). The result (3.8) now follows by noting

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(a_j - s) \left(1 + \frac{s(s+1)}{2N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right) x^s ds \\ = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \right) \right) G_{1,M+1}^{M,0} \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ a_1, \dots, a_M, 0 \end{matrix} \middle| x \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and arguing in relation to the error term as above. \square

Substituting the results of Proposition 3.1 in (3.4) with $n = N - 1$ and in (3.5) with $n = N$, then substituting in (2.13) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} K_N(x/N, y/N) \\ = \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \right) \right) F(xt) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2N} \left(y \frac{d}{dy} + \left(y \frac{d}{dy} \right)^2 \right) \right) G(yt) dt + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where F denotes the function ${}_0F_M$ in (3.7) and G denotes the function $G_{1,M+1}^{M,0}$ in (3.8). Note that the error bound from asymptotic forms in Proposition 3.1 persist because the error bounds therein are uniform with respect to x, y when these variables are restricted to a compact set; see [8] on this point in relation to (1.9).

Independent of the details of these functions, the structure (3.9) permits simplification.

Proposition 3.2. *The expression (3.9) has the simpler form*

$$\frac{1}{N} K_N(x/N, y/N) = \int_0^1 F(xt) G(yt) dt - \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F(x) G(y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. At order $1/N$ the RHS of (3.9) reads

$$-\frac{1}{2N} \int_0^1 G(yt) \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \right) F(xt) dt + \frac{1}{2N} \int_0^1 F(xt) \left(y \frac{d}{dy} + \left(y \frac{d}{dy} \right)^2 \right) G(yt) dt.$$

In this expression, both the derivatives with respect to x , and the derivatives with respect to y can be replaced by derivatives with respect to t . Performing one integration by parts for each of the terms involving the second derivative, (3.10) results. \square

Recalling (1.6), we see from (3.10) that in general for products of Laguerre unitary ensembles, the pointwise rate of convergence to the hard edge limiting k -point correlation is $O(1/N)$. On the other hand, as noted in the text around (1.11), earlier works [8, 11, 23, 27, 43] have demonstrated that for the Laguerre unitary ensemble itself (the case $M = 1$), with the hard edge scaling variables as used in (3.10), and with the Laguerre parameter $a = 0$, the convergence rate is actually $O(1/N^2)$. Moreover, these same references found that the $O(1/N^2)$ rate holds for general Laguerre parameter $a > -1$ if each N on the LHS of (3.10) is replaced by $N + a/2$.

From the viewpoint of (3.10), the special feature of the case $M = 1$ is that then F and G are related by

$$G(x) = x^a F(x), \quad (3.11)$$

as follows from the final paragraph of Section 2.1. The term $O(1/N)$ in (3.10) can therefore be written to involve only F ,

$$-\frac{1}{2N} y^a \left(-aF(x)F(y) + \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F(x)F(y) \right) \Big|_{M=1}. \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting in (3.10), then substituting the result in (1.6), we factor x_l from each column to effectively remove y^a from (3.12). The term involving partial derivatives in the latter is then antisymmetric, and so does not contribute to an expansion of the determinant at order $1/N$, telling us that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^k} \rho^{(k)} \left(\frac{x_1}{N}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{N} \right) \Big|_{M=1} \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^k x_l^a \det \left[\left(\int_0^1 t^a F(x_j t) F(x_l t) dt + \frac{a}{2N} F(x_j) F(x_l) \right) \Big|_{M=1} \right]_{j,l=1}^k + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \\ &= \det \left[\left(\int_0^1 \tilde{F}(x_j t) \tilde{F}(x_l t) dt + \frac{a}{2N} \tilde{F}(x_j) \tilde{F}(x_l) \right) \Big|_{M=1} \right]_{j,l=1}^k + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tilde{F}(x) = x^{a/2} F(x)$, and the second equality follows from the first by multiplying each row j by $x_j^{a/2}$ and each column k by $x_k^{a/2}$. In this latter form the kernel is symmetric. Comparison with (1.3) and (1.4) then shows

$$\tilde{F}(x) \Big|_{M=1} = J_a(\sqrt{4x}), \quad \int_0^1 \tilde{F}(xt) \tilde{F}(yt) dt \Big|_{M=1} = 4K^{\text{hard}}(4x, 4y)$$

(the reason for the factors of 4 comes from the choice of hard edge scaling $x \mapsto x/4N$ in (1.3), (1.4) rather than $x \mapsto x/N$ as in (3.13)). This is in agreement with the references cited above relating to the hard edge expansion of the Laguerre unitary ensemble correlation kernel up to and including the $O(1/N)$ term, and so has the property that upon replacing N by $N + a/2$ on the LHS, the convergence has the optimal rate of $O(1/N^2)$.

3.2. Laguerre Muttalib-Borodin model. The Laguerre Muttalib-Borodin model [9, 24, 39, 46], defined as the eigenvalue PDF proportional to

$$\prod_{l=1}^N x_l^a e^{-x_l} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (x_j - x_k)(x_j^\theta - x_k^\theta), \quad (3.14)$$

with each x_l positive is, with $\theta = M$ and upon the change of variables $x_l \mapsto x_l^{1/\theta}$, known to be closely related to the product of M matrices from the LUE. Specifically, there is a choice of the Laguerre parameters a_l for which the joint PDF of the latter reduces to this transformation of (3.14) [33]. In particular, it follows that in the case $\theta = M$ at least, (3.14) corresponds to a Pólya ensemble. In fact it is known from [29] that (3.14) is an example of a Pólya ensemble for general $\theta > 0$. We can thus make use of the theory of Section 2.2 to study the hard edge expansion of the correlation kernel.

The normalised weight function corresponding to (3.14) after the stated change of variables is

$$w^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = \frac{1}{\theta \Gamma(a+1)} x^{-1+(a+1)/\theta} e^{-x^{1/\theta}}, \quad (3.15)$$

which has Mellin transform

$$\mathcal{M}[w^{(\text{MB},L)}](s) = \frac{\Gamma(\theta(s-1) + a+1)}{\Gamma(a+1)}. \quad (3.16)$$

Hence the polynomials $p_n(x)$ in (2.11) read

$$p_n^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = (-1)^n \Gamma(\theta n + a+1) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-n)_j x^j}{j! \Gamma(\theta j + a+1)}, \quad (3.17)$$

first identified in the work of Konhauser [32].

Taking the inverse Mellin transform of (3.16) gives the integral form of the weight,

$$w^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\theta}^{c+i\theta} \Gamma(-\theta(s+1) + a+1) x^s ds,$$

valid for $c > 0$. Using this in (2.12) shows

$$q_n^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \Gamma(\theta n + a+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\theta}^{c+i\theta} \frac{\Gamma(s+n+1)}{\Gamma(s)} \Gamma(-\theta(s+1) + a+1) x^s ds. \quad (3.18)$$

The dependence on n in the summand of (3.17) and integrand of (3.18) is precisely the same as in (3.4) and (3.5) respectively. Applying the working of Proposition 3.1 then gives hard edge asymptotics that is structurally identical to $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ for products of Laguerre ensembles. From this we conclude a formula structurally identical to (3.10) for the hard edge asymptotics of the kernel.

Proposition 3.3. *Define*

$$\tilde{p}_n^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\theta n + a+1)} p_n^{(\text{MB},L)}(x), \quad \tilde{q}_n^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = (-1)^n \Gamma(\theta n + a+1) q_n^{(\text{MB},L)}(x).$$

Also define

$$F^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j! \Gamma(\theta j + a+1)}, \quad G^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\theta}^{c+i\theta} \frac{\Gamma(-\theta(s+1) + a+1)}{\Gamma(s)} x^s ds.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{N-1}^{(\text{MB},L)}(x/N) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right)\right) F^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \\ \tilde{q}_N^{(\text{MB},L)}(x/N) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right)\right) G^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N} K_N^{(\text{MB},L)}(x/N, y/N) \\ &= \int_0^1 F^{(\text{MB},L)}(xt) G^{(\text{MB},L)}(yt) dt - \frac{1}{2N} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F^{(\text{MB},L)}(x) G^{(\text{MB},L)}(y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As in the discussion following Proposition 3.1, this tells us that the rate of convergence to the hard edge scaled limit of the k -point correlation is $O(1/N)$, with the case $\theta = 1$ (corresponding to the LUE) an exception, where by appropriate choice of scaling variables, the rate is $O(1/N^2)$.

3.3. Products of Laguerre ensembles and inverse Laguerre ensembles. In the guise of the square singular values for the product of complex Gaussian matrices, times the inverse of a further product of complex Gaussian matrices, the study of the eigenvalues of a product of Laguerre ensembles and inverses was initiated in [14]. This was put in the context of Pólya ensembles in [33]. Moreover, in the case that there are equal numbers of matrices and inverse matrices, such product ensembles can be related to a single weight function, as we will now demonstrate. The essential point is that the eigenvalues of $X_{b_1}^{-1} X_{a_1}$, where X_{a_1} , X_{b_1} has eigenvalues from the Laguerre unitary ensemble has eigenvalue PDF proportional to (see e.g. [12, Exercises 3.6 q.3])

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \frac{x_l^{a_1}}{(1+x_l)^{b_1+a_1+2N}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (x_k - x_j)^2 \quad (3.19)$$

and that this in turn is an example of a Pólya ensemble (2.3) with

$$w^{(\text{I})}(x) = \frac{x^{a_1}}{(1+x)^{b_1+a_1+N+1}} \chi_{x>0} \quad (3.20)$$

(here the superscript (I) indicates ‘inverse’). Structurally, a key distinguishing feature relative to the weight (1.2) is that (3.20) depends on N . After normalising (3.20), proceeding as in the derivation of (3.1) shows the weight function for the Pólya ensemble of the corresponding product ensemble is

$$\mathcal{M}[w^{(\text{I},M)}](s) = \prod_{l=1}^M \frac{\Gamma(a_l + s) \Gamma(b_l + N + 1 - s)}{\Gamma(a_l + 1) \Gamma(b_l + N)}. \quad (3.21)$$

Use of (3.21) in (2.11) shows

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{l=1}^M \Gamma(a_l + n + 1) \Gamma(b_l + N - n)} p_n^{(\text{I},M)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j}{j!} \frac{x^j}{\prod_{l=1}^M \Gamma(a_l + j + 1) \Gamma(b_l + N - j)}. \quad (3.22)$$

Further, using (3.21) to write $w^{(\text{I})}(x)$ as an inverse Mellin transform shows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{l=1}^M \Gamma(a_l + n + 1) \Gamma(b_l + N - n)} q_n^{(\text{I},M)}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{c-i\theta}^{c+i\theta} \frac{\Gamma(s+n)}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\prod_{l=1}^M \Gamma(a_l - s) \Gamma(b_l + N + 1 + s) \right) x^s ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Proceeding as in the derivation of Proposition 3.1, and making use in particular of the asymptotic formula (2.21) for the ratio of two gamma functions, the large N forms of (3.22) and (3.23) as relevant to (2.13) can be deduced. This allows for the analogue of (3.9) to be deduced, which then proceeding as in the derivation of Proposition 3.2 gives the analogue of (3.10).

Proposition 3.4. Denote the LHS of (3.22) with $n = N - 1$, and multiplied by $\prod_{l=1}^M \Gamma(N + b_l)$, by $\tilde{p}_{N-1}^{(I,M)}(x)$, and let F be specified as below (3.9). Also, denote the LHS of (3.23) with $n = N$, and divided by $\prod_{l=1}^M \Gamma(N + b_l)$, by $\tilde{q}_N^{(I,M)}(x)$, and let G be as specified below (3.9). We have

$$p_{N-1}^{(I,M)}\left(\frac{x}{N^{M+1}}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N} \left(\left(1 + M - 2 \sum_{l=1}^M b_l\right) x \frac{d}{dx} + (1 + M) \left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right)\right) F(x), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^M} q_N^{(I,M)}\left(\frac{x}{N^{M+1}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^M b_l + \frac{1}{2N} \left(\left(1 + M + 2 \sum_{l=1}^M b_l\right) x \frac{d}{dx} + (1 + M) \left(x \frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right)\right) G(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^{M+1}} K_N\left(\frac{x}{N^{M+1}}, \frac{y}{N^{M+1}}\right) = \int_0^1 F(xt) G(yt) dt \\ & - \frac{1}{2N} (1 + M) \left(G(y) x \frac{d}{dx} F(x) - F(x) y \frac{d}{dy} G(y) \right) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{l=1}^M b_l \right) F(x) G(y) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

The expansion (3.26) shows that in general the leading correction to the hard edge scaled limit of the k -point correlation in the case of M products of random matrices formed from the multiplication of a Laguerre unitary ensemble and inverse Laguerre unitary ensemble is $O(1/N)$. However, as for products studied in Section 3.1, the case $M = 1$ is special, as then the relation (3.11) between F and G holds. The $O(1/N)$ term in (3.26) then simplifies to read

$$\frac{1}{N} y^a \left((a_1 + b_1) F(x) F(y) - \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) F(x) F(y) \right) \Big|_{M=1} \quad (3.27)$$

Proceeding now as in the derivation of (3.13), and with the same meaning of \tilde{F} used therein, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^{2k}} \rho^{(k)}\left(\frac{x_1}{N^2}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{N^2}\right) \Big|_{M=1} \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^k x_l^{a_1} \det \left[\left(\int_0^1 t^{a_1} F(x_j t) F(x_l t) dt + \frac{a_1 + b_1}{N} F(x_j) F(x_l) \right) \Big|_{M=1} \right]_{j,l=1}^k + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \\ &= \det \left[\left(\int_0^1 \tilde{F}(x_j t) \tilde{F}(x_l t) dt + \frac{a_1 + b_1}{N} \tilde{F}(x_j) \tilde{F}(x_l) \right) \Big|_{M=1} \right]_{j,l=1}^k + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

As in the discussion below (3.13), it follows that if on the LHS N is replaced by $N + (a_1 + b_1)/2$, the convergence to the hard edge limit has the optimal rate of $O(1/N^2)$.

Remark 3.5. 1. Changing variables $x_l = y_l/(1 - y_l)$, $0 < y_l < 1$ in (3.19) gives the functional form

$$\prod_{l=1}^N y_l^{a_1} (1 - y_l)^{b_1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (y_k - y_j)^2, \quad (3.29)$$

which up to proportionality is the eigenvalue PDF for the Jacobi unitary ensemble. In the recent work [38] the corrections to the hard edge scaled limit of the distribution of the smallest eigenvalue have been analysed, with results obtained consistent with (3.11). In Appendix A we present a large N analysis of this distribution for the Jacobi β -ensemble (the Jacobi unitary ensemble is the case $\beta = 2$) for general even β .

2. The case $b_1 = 0$ of the Jacobi unitary ensemble is closely related to the Cauchy two-matrix model [7]. The latter is determinantal, but since the PDF consists of two-components, the determinant has a block structure. Nonetheless, each block can be expressed in terms of just a single correlation kernel. The hard edge scaling of the latter has been undertaken in [7], with a result analogous to (3.28) with $b_1 = 0$ obtained. Closely related to the Cauchy two-matrix model is the Bures ensemble, as first observed in [6], and further developed in [18], with a Muttalib-Borodin type extension given in [21]. Since the elements of the correlation kernel for the Bures ensemble (which is a Pfaffian point process) are given in terms of the correlation kernel for the Cauchy two-matrix model, it follows that by tuning the scaling variables at the hard edge, an optimal convergence rate of $O(1/N^2)$ can be achieved.

3. A Muttalib-Borodin type generalisation of (3.19) is known [17, Jacobi prime case]. Working analogous to that of Section 3.2 could be undertaken, although we refrain from doing that here. It would similarly be possible to obtain the analogue of Proposition 3.4 for the singular values of products of truncations of unitary ensembles [31], which we know from [29] can be cast in a Pólya ensemble framework as products of Jacobi unitary ensembles.

Acknowledgements. This research is part of the program of study supported by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence ACEMS. We thank Mario Kieburg for feedback on a draft of this work.

APPENDIX A

In random matrix theory there is special importance associated with the β generalisation of (1.1), specified by the class of PDFs proportional to

$$\prod_{l=1}^N w(x_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_k - x_j|^\beta. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The parameter β is referred to as the Dyson index [10], and in classical random matrix theory corresponds to the matrix ensemble being invariant with respect to conjugation by real orthogonal ($\beta = 1$), complex unitary ($\beta = 2$) and unitary symplectic matrices ($\beta = 4$). For general $\beta > 0$, (A.1) has the interpretation as the Boltzmann factor of a classical statistical mechanical system with particles repelling via the pair potential $-\log|x - y|$, confined by a one-body potential with Boltzmann factor $w(x)$, and interacting at the inverse temperature β . Also, with $w(x)$ one of the classical weights — Gaussian, Laguerre or Jacobi — (A.1) for general $\beta > 0$ is the exact ground state wave function for particular quantum many body systems of Calogero-Sutherland type (this requires a change of variables in the Laguerre and Jacobi cases; see [5]).

Our interest is in (A.1) with the Jacobi weight (1.13). Details of various realisations of (A.1) as an eigenvalue PDF in this case can be found in [20, §1.1]. While there are no tractable formulas for the k -point correlation functions for general $\beta > 0$, it turns out that for a particular class of Jacobi gap probabilities $E_{N,\beta}(0; J; w(x))$ — this denoting the probability that there are no eigenvalues in the interval J for the ensemble specified by the eigenvalue PDF (A.1) — evaluations are available in terms of particular multivariate hypergeometric functions; see [12, Ch. 12 & 13], which are well suited to the analysis of the rate of convergence to the hard edge limit. This circumstance similarly holds true for the Laguerre case of (A.1), for which an analysis of the rate of convergence has recently been carried out in [23].

The starting point is the fact that for $J = (s, 1)$, and for the parameter $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, a simple change of variables in the multi-dimensional integral defining $E_{N,\beta}(0; J; w(x))$ shows that as function of s it is a power function times a polynomial (see [20, §1.3] for details),

$$E_{N,\beta}(0; (s, 1); x^a(1-x)^b) = s^{N(a+1)+\beta N(N-1)/2} \sum_{p=0}^{bN} \gamma_p s^p, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for some coefficients γ_p . Moreover, we know from [12, Eq. (13.7) and Prop. 13.1.7] that this polynomial can be identified as a particular multivariate hypergeometric function, generalising the Gauss hypergeometric function

$$E_N(0; (s, 1); x^a(1-x)^b) = s^{N(a+1)+\beta N(N-1)/2} {}_2F_1^{(\beta/2)}(-N, -(N-1) - 2(a+1)/\beta; 2b/\beta; (1-s)^b). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In the last argument, the notation $(1-s)^b$ refers to $1-s$ repeated b times. In the case $b = 1$, ${}_2F_1^{(\beta/2)}$ coincides with the Gauss hypergeometric function independent of β .

For general positive integer b we will make use of the b -dimensional integral representation [12, Eq. (13.11)]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1^{(\beta/2)}(r, -\tilde{b}, \frac{2(b-1)}{\beta} + \tilde{a} + 1; (u)^b) &= \frac{1}{M_b(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 2/\beta)} \\ &\times \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dx_1 \cdots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dx_b \prod_{l=1}^b e^{\pi i x_l (\tilde{a} - \tilde{b})} |1 + e^{2\pi i x_l}|^{\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}} (1 + u e^{2\pi i x_l})^{-r} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq b} |e^{2\pi i x_k} - e^{2\pi i x_j}|^{4/\beta} \\ &= \frac{N^{b\tilde{a}}}{M_b(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 2/\beta)} \int_{\mathcal{C}^b} dx_1 \cdots dx_b \prod_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i x_l \tilde{a}} (1 + N^{-1} e^{-2\pi i x_l})^{\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}} (1 + u N e^{2\pi i x_l})^{-r} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq b} |e^{2\pi i x_k} - e^{2\pi i x_j}|^{4/\beta} \quad (\text{A.4}) \end{aligned}$$

for the parameters $r = -N$, $\tilde{b} = (N-1) + (2/\beta)(a+1)$, $\tilde{a} = 2/\beta - 1$. Here the normalisation $M_b(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 2/\beta)$ is the Morris integral, with gamma function evaluation (see e.g. [25, Eq. (1.18)])

$$M_b(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 2/\beta) = \prod_{j=0}^{b-1} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + 2j/\beta) \Gamma(1 + 2(j+1)/\beta)}{\Gamma(1 + \tilde{a} + 2j/\beta) \Gamma(1 + \tilde{b} + 2j/\beta) \Gamma(1 + 2/\beta)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The second equality follows by manipulating the integrand so that it is an analytic function of $z_l = e^{2\pi i x_l}$, then changing variables $z_l \mapsto z_l N$, and finally deforming each circle contour to a contour \mathcal{C}_z , as detailed in [13, Prop. 2], and to be described next. It starting at the origin in the complex z -plane, running along the negative real axis in the bottom half plane to $z = -1 - 0i$, then along a counter clockwise circle to $z = -1 + 0i$, and finally back to the origin along the negative real axis in the upper half plane. The contour \mathcal{C} is the image of \mathcal{C}_z in the complex x -plane under the mapping $z = e^{2\pi i x}$. With an appropriate scaling of u , this second multidimensional integral is well suited to an asymptotic analysis, enabling an asymptotic analysis of the hard edge limit in (A.3).

To identify a structured form in the resulting expression, we have need for knowledge of inter-relations satisfied by the multiple integrals

$$I_b(s)[f] := \int_{C^b} dx_1 \cdots dx_b f(x_1, \dots, x_b) \prod_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i x_l(2/\beta-1)} e^{e^{-2\pi i x_l + (s/4)e^{2\pi i x_l}}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq b} |e^{2\pi i x_k} - e^{2\pi i x_j}|^{4/\beta} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

for $f = f_q := \sum_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i q x_l}$, $q = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. The simplest, which follows immediately from the definitions, is that

$$\frac{1}{b} \frac{d}{ds} I_b(s)[f_0] = \frac{1}{4} I_b(s)[f_1]. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Integration by parts techniques, well known in the theory of the Selberg integral [4], [12, §4.6], reveals further relations.

Proposition A.6. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s}{16} I_b(s)[f_2] &= -\frac{2}{\beta} \frac{d}{ds} I_b(s)[f_0] + \frac{1}{4} I_b(s)[f_0] \\ I_b(s)[f_{-2}] &= \frac{s}{4} I_b(s)[f_0] + 2 \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1 - \frac{b}{\beta} \right) \left(\frac{s}{b} \frac{d}{ds} I_b(s)[f_0] + \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1 \right) I_b(s)[f_0] \right) \\ I_b(s)[f_{-1}] &= \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1 \right) I_b(s)[f_0] + \frac{s}{b} I_b(s)[f_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$I_b(s) \left[\sum_{l=1}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} e^{2\pi i x_l} \right] = 0.$$

Performing the differentiations on the LHS, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{2}{\beta} I_b(s)[f_1] - I_b(s)[f_0] + \frac{s}{4} I_b(s)[f_2] \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\beta} I_b(s) \left[\sum_{l \neq k}^b e^{2\pi i x_l} \left(\frac{e^{2\pi i x_l}}{e^{2\pi i x_l} - e^{2\pi i x_k}} + \frac{e^{-2\pi i x_l}}{e^{2\pi i x_l} - e^{2\pi i x_k}} \right) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrising the integrand in the final average reduces this to

$$\frac{2b}{\beta} I_b(s)[f_1] - I_b(s)[f_0] + \frac{s}{4} I_b(s)[f_2] = 0.$$

Recalling now (A.7) gives the first of the stated relations.

The other two follow by similar working. In fact they have been derived previously; see [23, §3.2]. \square

Proposition A.7. *Define*

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\text{hard}}(s; b) &= \frac{e^{-\beta s/8} b!}{(\Gamma(2/\beta))^b} \\ &\quad \times \int_{C^b} dx_1 \cdots dx_b \prod_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i x_l(2/\beta-1)} e^{e^{-2\pi i x_l + (s/4)e^{2\pi i x_l}}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq b} |e^{2\pi i x_k} - e^{2\pi i x_j}|^{4/\beta}. \quad (\text{A.8}) \end{aligned}$$

For general $\beta > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$E_N(0; (1 - s/4N^2, 1); x^a(1-x)^b) = E^{\text{hard}}(s; b) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2(1+a+b)}{\beta} - 1 \right) s \frac{d}{ds} E^{\text{hard}}(s; b) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Proof. According to (A.3), the analysis of $E_N(0; (1 - s/4N^2, 1); x^a(1 - x)^b)$ requires replacing u by $s/4N^2$ in (A.4). With this done, we see there is a dependency on N both outside and inside the integral. For both, the large N form can readily be computed. The factor outside the integral involves the Morris integral, which has the evaluation (A.5). Recalling the values of \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} , and use of the ratio of gamma function asymptotic formula (2.21) shows

$$\frac{N^{\tilde{b}\tilde{a}}}{M_b(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 2/\beta)} = \frac{(\Gamma(2/\beta))^b}{b!} \left(1 - \frac{(2/\beta - 1)^b}{N} \left(\frac{2a + b + 1}{\beta} - 1 \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right).$$

For the N dependent factors in the integrand, a simple power series expansion shows

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i x_l \tilde{a}} (1 + N^{-1} e^{-2\pi i x_l})^{\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}} (1 + (s/4N) e^{2\pi i x_l})^{-r} &= \left(\prod_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i x_l \tilde{a}} e^{e^{-2\pi i x_l} + (s/4) e^{2\pi i x_l}} \right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(-2 + \frac{2}{\beta}(a + 2) \right) \sum_{l=1}^b e^{-2\pi i x_l} - \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{l=1}^b e^{-4\pi i x_l} - \frac{s^2}{32N} \sum_{l=1}^b e^{4\pi i x_l} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expansions in (A.4), we see from (A.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_N(0; (1 - s/4N^2, 1); x^a(1 - x)^b) &= \frac{e^{-\beta s/8} b!}{(\Gamma(2/\beta))^b} \\ &\times \int_{c^b} dx_1 \cdots dx_b \prod_{l=1}^b e^{2\pi i x_l (2/\beta - 1)} e^{e^{-2\pi i x_l} + (s/4) e^{2\pi i x_l}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq b} |e^{2\pi i x_k} - e^{2\pi i x_j}|^{4/\beta} \\ &\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{s\beta}{8} \left(1 - \frac{2(a+1)}{\beta} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1 \right) b \left(\frac{2a + b + 1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \left(-2 + \frac{2}{\beta}(a + 2) \right) \sum_{l=1}^b e^{-2\pi i x_l} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^b e^{-4\pi i x_l} - \frac{s^2}{16} \sum_{l=1}^b e^{4\pi i x_l} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \right\}. \quad (\text{A.10}) \end{aligned}$$

At $O(1/N)$ the multidimensional integral in this expression can be written in terms of the notation (A.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{s\beta}{8} \left(1 - \frac{2(a+1)}{\beta} \right) - \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1 \right) b \left(\frac{2a + b + 1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \right] \frac{1}{b} I_b[s][f_0] \\ &+ \left(-2 + \frac{2}{\beta}(a + 2) \right) I_b[s][f_{-1}] - \frac{1}{2} I_b[s][f_{-2}] - \frac{s^2}{16} I_b[s][f_2]. \end{aligned}$$

After simplification using Proposition A.6, and substitution back in (A.10), an expansion equivalent to (A.9) results. \square

APPENDIX B

The application given to (2.18) in the main text is to derive the integral form of the kernel (2.13). Another application relates to the moments of the spectral density, since setting $x = y = 1$, multiplying both sides by t^p , and integrating both sides from 0 to ∞ using integration by parts on the LHS shows

$$k \int_0^\infty t^k K_N(t, t) dt = N \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](N+1)}{\mathcal{M}[w](N)} \int_0^\infty t^k p_{N-1}(t) q_N(t) dt. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

And since the Pólya ensembles are determinantal, $K_N(t, t) = \rho_{(1)}(t)$, so the LHS is k times the k -th moment of the spectral density.

Suppose now for some fixed $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and any fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$tp_{N-i}(t) = \sum_{s=-r}^1 \alpha_{N-i,s} p_{N-i+s}(t). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Moreover, suppose that the coefficients $\alpha_{N-1,s}$ have the large N form $\alpha_{N-i,s}/N^{\hat{r}} \rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_s$ for some \hat{r} , and so

$$tp_{N-i}(t) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} N^{\hat{r}} \sum_{s=-r}^1 \hat{\alpha}_s p_{N-i+s}(t). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

We begin by substituting for $tp_{N-1}(t)$ in (B.1) using (B.3) with $i = 1$. In the case $k = 1$ only the term $s = 1$ contributes due to the orthogonality (2.8), so the integral in (B.1) has the large N evaluation $N^{\hat{r}} \hat{\alpha}_1$.

For $k \geq 2$ we next use (B.3) to expand $tp_{N-i+s}(t)$, and in so doing reducing the exponent in the integrand down to $k - 2$. In the case $k = 2$ the orthogonality (2.8) implies the integral in (B.1) has the large N evaluation $2N^{2\hat{r}} \hat{\alpha}_0 \hat{\alpha}_1$. For $k \geq 3$ we continue by a further use (B.3), reducing the power in (B.1) down to t^{k-3} , and repeat so after a total of k applications of (B.3) the integrand is a linear combination of $\{p_l(t)\}$ times $q_N(t)$. By the orthogonality (2.8), only the coefficient of $p_N(t)$ in the linear combination contributes to the integral in (B.1). Each term in the linear combination can be related to a weighted lattice path, consisting of k steps, which at each step and for some $s = 1, 0, \dots, -r$ changes height by s units. Only those paths which change height by a total of exactly one unit make up the coefficient of $p_N(t)$, showing that

$$k \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^{k\hat{r}+1}} \frac{\mathcal{M}[w](N)}{\mathcal{M}[w](N+1)} \int_0^\infty t^k K_N(t, t) dt = \sum_R \binom{k}{a_1, a_0, \dots, a_{-r}} \prod_{s=-r}^1 \hat{\alpha}_s^{a_s}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where the restriction R on the non-negative integers a_1, \dots, a_{-r} is specified by

$$R: \quad \sum_{s=-r}^1 a_s = k, \quad \sum_{s=-r}^1 s a_s = 1 \quad (\text{B.5})$$

(cf. [28, Prop. 2.6]). Furthermore, we observe that with $[u]f(u)$ denoting the coefficient of u in the power series expansion of $f(u)$ the sum in (B.4) can be expressed in terms of a generating function according to

$$\sum_R \binom{k}{a_1, a_0, \dots, a_{-r}} \prod_{s=-r}^1 \hat{\alpha}_s^{a_s} = [u] \left(u \hat{\alpha}_1 + \hat{\alpha}_0 + \dots + u^{-r} \hat{\alpha}_r \right)^k. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Let us specialise now to the product of M Laguerre ensembles as in Section 3.1. For convenience, with $p_n(x)$ given by (3.4), introduce the rescaled polynomial

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{c_n} p_n(x), \quad c_n = n! \mathcal{M}[w](n+1). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

The advantage of this normalisation is that the recurrences corresponding to (B.2) and its large N asymptotics (B.3) have been computed by Lambert [36, Props. 4.3 & 4.10], with the latter reading

$$tP_{N-i}(t) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} N^M \sum_{s=-M}^1 N^s \hat{\beta}_s P_{N-i+s}(t), \quad \hat{\beta}_s = \binom{M+1}{-s+1}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Proceeding as in the derivation of (B.4), and making use too of (B.6), we see that for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} k \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^{kM+1}} \int_0^\infty t^k K_N(t, t) dt &= [u] \left(u \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_0 + \cdots + u^{-M} \hat{\beta}_M \right)^k \\ &= [u^{k+1}] (1 + 1/u)^{k(M+1)} = \binom{k(M+1)}{k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where the second equality follows by recognising the series, with the $\hat{\beta}_s$ as in (B.8), as a binomial expansion, so it can be summed, while the third equality follows by applying the binomial expansion to power series expand the resulting expression. Here we recognise

$$\frac{1}{k} \binom{k(M+1)}{k+1} = \frac{1}{kM+1} \binom{k(M+1)}{k} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

as the k -th Fuss-Catalan number, indexed by M , with the Catalan numbers the case $M = 1$. This combinatorial sequence is well known to give the scaled moments of the spectral density for the product of M Laguerre ensembles (or equivalently the scaled moments of the squared singular values of the product of M standard complex Gaussian matrices); see [22, 41].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Akemann, J. R. Ipsen, and M. Kieburg, *Products of rectangular random matrices: singular values and progressive scattering*. Phys. Rev. E **88**, (2013) 052118.
- [2] G. Akemann, M. Kieburg, and L. Wei, *Singular value correlation functions for products of Wishart random matrices*. J. Phys. A **46**, (2013) 275205.
- [3] G. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy. *Special functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] K. Aomoto, *Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg's integral*, SIAM J. Math. Analysis **18**, (1987) 545–549.
- [5] T.H. Baker and P.J. Forrester, *The Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized classical polynomials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **188**, (1997) 175–216.
- [6] M. Bertola, M. Gekhtman, and J. Szmigielski, *The Cauchy two-matrix model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **287**, (2009) 983–1014.
- [7] M. Bertola, M. Gekhtman and J. Szmigielski. *Cauchy-Laguerre two-matrix model and the Meijer G-random point field*. Commun. Math. Phys., **326**, (2014) 111–144.
- [8] F. Bornemann, *A note on the expansion of the smallest eigenvalue distribution of the LUE at the hard edge*, The Annals of Applied Probability, **26**, (2016) 1942–1946.
- [9] A. Borodin, *Biorthogonal ensembles*. Nucl. Phys. B **536**, (1998) 704–732.
- [10] F.J. Dyson, *Statistical theory of energy levels of complex systems I*, J. Math. Phys. **3**, (1962) 140–156.
- [11] A. Edelman, A. Guionnet and S. Péché, *Beyond universality in random matrix theory*, The Annals of Applied Probability, **26**, (2016) 1659–1697.
- [12] P.J. Forrester, *Log-gases and random matrices*, LMS-34, Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [13] P.J. Forrester, *Asymptotics of spacing distributions at the hard edge for β -ensembles*, Random Matrices: Th. Appl. **2** (2013), 1350002.
- [14] P.J. Forrester, *Eigenvalue statistics for product complex Wishart matrices*, J. Phys. A **47**, (2014) 345202.
- [15] P.J. Forrester, *Differential identities for the structure function of some random matrix ensembles*, arXiv:2006.00668
- [16] P.J. Forrester, *Quantifying dip-ramp-plateau for the Laguerre unitary ensemble structure function*, arXiv:2007.07473

- [17] P.J. Forrester and J.R. Ipsen, *Selberg integral theory and Muttalib–Borodin ensembles*, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **95**, 152–176 (2018).
- [18] P.J. Forrester and M. Kieburg, *Relating the Bures measure to the Cauchy two-matrix model*, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **342** (2016), 151–187.
- [19] P.J. Forrester and S. Kumar, *Recursion scheme for the largest β -Wishart-Laguerre eigenvalue and Landauer conductance in quantum transport*, *J. Phys. A* **52** (2019), 42LT02.
- [20] P.J. Forrester and S. Kumar, *Computable structural formulas for the distribution of the β -Jacobi eigenvalues*, arXiv:2006.02238.
- [21] P. Forrester and S.-H. Li, *Fox H-kernel and θ -deformation of the Cauchy two-matrix model and Bures ensemble*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2019) rnz028.
- [22] P. J. Forrester, and D.-Z. Liu, *Raney distributions and random matrix theory*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **158** (2015) 1051.
- [23] P. J. Forrester and A. K. Trinh, *Finite size corrections at the hard edge for the Laguerre β ensemble*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **143**, (2019) 315–336.
- [24] P. J. Forrester and D. Wang, *Muttalib–Borodin ensembles in random matrix theory — realisations and correlation functions*, *Elec. J. Probab.* **22**, (2017) 54 (43 pages).
- [25] P.J. Forrester and S.O. Warnaar, *The importance of the Selberg integral*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45**, (2008) 489–534.
- [26] Y.-P. Föster, M. Kieburg, and H. Kösters, *Polynomial ensembles and Pólya frequency functions*, arXiv:1710.08794.
- [27] W. Hachem, A. Hardy and J. Najim, *Large complex correlated Wishart matrices: the Pearcey kernel and expansion at the hard edge*, *Elec. J. Probab.* **21**, (2016) 1–36.
- [28] A. Hardy, *Polynomial ensembles and recurrence coefficients*, *Constr. Approx.* **48**, (2018) 137–162.
- [29] M. Kieburg and H. Kösters, *Exact relation between singular value and eigenvalue statistics*, *Random Matrices Theory Appl.* **5** (2016), 1650015 (57 pages).
- [30] M. Kieburg and H. Kösters, *Products of random matrices from polynomial ensembles*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Probab. Statist.* **55** (2019), 98–126.
- [31] M. Kieburg, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, and D. Stivigny, *Singular value statistics of matrix products with truncated unitary matrices*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2016**, (2016) 3392.
- [32] J.D.E. Konhauser, *Biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials*, *Pacific J. Math.* **21**, (1967) 303–314.
- [33] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, and D. Stivigny, *Singular values of products of random matrices and polynomial ensembles*, *Random Matrices: Theor. Appl.* **3**, (2014) 1450011.
- [34] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, and L. Zhang, *Singular values of products of Ginibre random matrices, multiple orthogonal polynomials and hard edge scaling limits*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **332**, (2014) 759–781.
- [35] S. Kumar, *Recursion for the Smallest Eigenvalue Density of beta-Wishart-Laguerre Ensemble*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **175**, (2019) 126–149.
- [36] G. Lambert, *Limit theorems for biorthogonal ensembles and related combinatorial identities*, *Adv. Math.* **329**, (2018) 590–648.
- [37] A. M. Mathai, R. K. Saxena, and H. J. Haubold *The H-function: theory and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [38] L. Moreno-Pozas, D. Morales-Jimenez and M.R. McKay, *Extreme eigenvalue distributions of Jacobi ensembles: new exact representations, asymptotics and finite size corrections*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **947**, (2019) 114724.
- [39] K. A. Muttalib, *Random matrix models with additional interactions*, *J. Phys. A* **28**, (1995) L159.
- [40] L. Pastur and M. Shcherbina, *Eigenvalue distribution of large random matrices*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

- [41] K. A. Penson, and K. Zyczkowski, *Product of Ginibre matrices: Fuss–Catalan and Raney distributions*. Phys. Rev. E **83** (2011) 061118.
- [42] K. Pearson, *Historical note on the origin of the normal curve of errors*, Biometrika **16** (1924), 402–404.
- [43] A. Perret and G. Schehr, *Finite N corrections to the limiting distribution of the smallest eigenvalue of Wishart complex matrices*, Random Matrices: Theory and Applications, **5** (2016), 1650001.
- [44] F. Tricomi and A. Erdélyi. *The asymptotic expansion of a ratio of Gamma functions*. Pacific J. Math., **1**, (1951) 133-142.
- [45] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1965.
- [46] L. Zhang, *Local universality in biorthogonal Laguerre ensembles*. J. Stat. Phys. **161**, (2015) 688–711.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICS, ARC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE FOR MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL FRONTIERS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `pjforr@unimelb.edu.au`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICS, ARC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE FOR MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL FRONTIERS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `lishihao@lsec.cc.ac.cn`