

Light Perturbed by Gravitational Waves

Dong-Hoon Kim*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

Chan Park†

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Daejeon 34047, Republic of Korea

(Dated: September 3, 2020)

Light undergoes perturbation as gravitational waves (GWs) pass by. This can be shown by solving Maxwell's equations in a spacetime perturbed by GWs. It can be further shown that a perturbation of light due to GWs leads to a delay of the photon transit time. A simple application of this principle is presented with regard to the detection of GWs via a pulsar timing array (PTA).

I. INTRODUCTION

Light is the most common and important tool in astronomy, due to its property of carrying energy and information about its sources: it can reach an observer even at quite a distance, providing clues about astronomical sources responsible for its creation. Artificially created light is also in use in astronomy; e.g., laser light being commonly used for interferometry. Laser interferometers exploit another prominent and interesting property of light to detect gravitational waves (GWs): its interaction with other waves – GWs. However, from a perspective based on general relativity, this interaction can be viewed as a perturbation of light due to GWs; that is, light is perturbed as GWs pass through space in which it travels.

In this paper, we address the issue how light is perturbed in the presence of GWs from a general relativistic perspective. Primarily, our analysis focuses on: (i) Solving Maxwell's equations in a spacetime perturbed by GWs, (ii) Identifying a perturbation of light with a delay of the photon transit time, (iii) Applying the principle from (ii) to the detection of GWs – a pulsar timing array (PTA).

II. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

A. Solving Maxwell's equations for light perturbed by GWs

What happens to light when GWs pass through space in which it propagates? This can be answered by solving Maxwell's equations defined in a spacetime perturbed by GWs. For example, an electromagnetic field as a solution to the Maxwell's equations can describe a light ray from a star or a laser beam in an interferometer being perturbed by GWs. For simplicity, we consider a case of a *monochromatic* electromagnetic wave (EMW) perturbed by *monochromatic* GWs. However, for the sake

of generality of the configuration, we assume that both light and GWs propagate in arbitrary directions. Our analysis follows.

Suppose that GWs propagate along the z' -axis while being polarized in the $x'y'$ -plane in a quadrupole manner:

$$h_{ij}^+ = h_+ \left(e_i^{x'} \otimes e_j^{x'} - e_i^{y'} \otimes e_j^{y'} \right) e^{i(kz' - \omega_g t)}, \quad (1)$$

$$h_{ij}^\times = h_\times \left(e_i^{x'} \otimes e_j^{y'} + e_i^{y'} \otimes e_j^{x'} \right) e^{i(kz' - \omega_g t - \pi/2)}, \quad (2)$$

where i, j refer to the coordinates (x', y', z') , and h_+ and h_\times represent the strain amplitude for + and \times polarization states, respectively, and ω_g denotes the GW frequency; $\omega_g = ck$ with c being the speed of light and k being the wavenumber for GW. Then the spacetime geometry reads in the coordinates (t, x', y', z') :

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + \left[1 + \Re \left(h_+ e^{i(kz' - \omega_g t)} \right) \right] dx'^2 + 2\Re \left(h_\times e^{i(kz' - \omega_g t - \pi/2)} \right) dx' dy' + \left[1 - \Re \left(h_+ e^{i(kz' - \omega_g t)} \right) \right] dy'^2 + dz'^2. \quad (3)$$

However, one can consider the frame $\mathbf{x}' \equiv (x', y', z')$ as rotated from another frame $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x, y, z)$ through Euler angles $\{\phi, \theta, \psi\}$ [1, 2]:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{R}(\phi, \theta, \psi) \mathbf{x}, \quad (4)$$

where we let \mathbf{x}' refer to the *GW* frame and \mathbf{x} refer to the *detector* frame, and

$$\mathbf{R}(\phi, \theta, \psi) = \mathbf{R}_3(\psi) \mathbf{R}_2(\theta) \mathbf{R}_1(\phi), \quad (5)$$

with

$$\mathbf{R}_1 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R}_2 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_3 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi & \sin \psi & 0 \\ -\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\{\phi, \theta\}$ denote the direction angles in spherical coordinates, defined with respect to the coordinates (x, y, z) ,

* ki13130@gmail.com

† iamarkchan@gmail.com

and ψ denotes the polarization-ellipse angle [3]. Resulting from these rotations, the spacetime geometry in the frame (t, x', y', z') given by (3) is now rewritten in the frame (t, x, y, z) :

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + \sum_{i,j=1,2,3} [\delta_{ij} + \alpha_{ij}(\phi, \theta, \psi) H_+ + \beta_{ij}(\phi, \theta, \psi) H_\times] dx_i dx_j, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \cos(2\psi) (\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi) \\ &\quad - 2 \sin(2\psi) \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \phi, \\ \alpha_{12}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \cos(2\psi) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \cos \phi \sin \phi \\ &\quad + \sin(2\psi) \cos \theta (2 \cos^2 \phi - 1), \\ \alpha_{13}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= -\cos(2\psi) \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ &\quad + \sin(2\psi) \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\ \alpha_{21}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \alpha_{12}(\phi, \theta, \psi), \\ \alpha_{22}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \cos(2\psi) (\cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi - \cos^2 \phi) \\ &\quad + 2 \sin(2\psi) \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \phi, \\ \alpha_{23}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= -\cos(2\psi) \cos \theta \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ &\quad - \sin(2\psi) \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\ \alpha_{31}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \alpha_{13}(\phi, \theta, \psi), \\ \alpha_{32}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \alpha_{23}(\phi, \theta, \psi), \\ \alpha_{33}(\phi, \theta, \psi) &= \cos(2\psi) \sin^2 \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\beta_{ij}(\phi, \theta, \psi) = \alpha_{ij}(\phi, \theta, \psi + \pi/4), \quad (9)$$

and

$$H_+ \equiv \Re(h_+ \exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_g t)]), \quad (10)$$

$$H_\times \equiv \Re(h_+ \exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_g t - \pi/2)]), \quad (11)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} &= (k_x, k_y, k_z) \\ &= (k \sin \theta \cos \phi, k \sin \theta \sin \phi, k \cos \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here one should note the following important property: the dependence on the polarization angle ψ in (8) and (9) exhibits the spin-2 tensor modes of the + and \times polarizations.

Our light perturbed by GWs can then be described by Maxwell's equations defined in curved (perturbed) spacetime as given by Eq. (7):

$$\square A^\mu - R^\mu{}_\nu A^\nu = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $\square A^\mu \equiv g^{\nu\rho} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\rho A^\mu$ means the d'Alembertian on a vector potential, and $R_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the Ricci tensor. However, by direct computation using Eqs. (7)-(11), it turns out that

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{O}(h^2). \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the spatial part of Eq. (13) can now be reduced [11]:

$$\square A^i = \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad (15)$$

where i refers to the coordinates (x, y, z) . This can be regarded as a homogeneous vector wave equation to first order in h .

Now, we aim to obtain a decomposition solution for Eq. (15) via perturbation in h :

$$A^i = A_o^i + \delta A_{[h]}^i + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad (16)$$

where A_o^i denotes the zeroth-order, unperturbed solution and $\delta A_{[h]}^i$ denotes the first-order perturbation solution. Then one may recast the left-hand side of Eq. (15) as

$$\square A^i = \square_o A_o^i + \square_o \delta A_{[h]}^i + \square_{[h]} A_o^i + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad (17)$$

where $\square_o \equiv -c^{-2} \partial^2 / \partial t^2 + \partial^2 / \partial x^2 + \partial^2 / \partial y^2 + \partial^2 / \partial z^2$ denotes the flat d'Alembertian and $\square_{[h]} A_o^i$ means the $\mathcal{O}(h)$ piece remaining from $\square A_o^i - \square_o A_o^i$. Rearranging the terms in Eq. (17) for order-by-order perturbation, we obtain

$$\square_o A_o^i = 0 \text{ (unperturbed)}, \quad (18)$$

$$\square_o \delta A_{[h]}^i = -\square_{[h]} A_o^i \text{ (first order in } h), \quad (19)$$

where the first equation implies that A_o^i is a solution for the *unperturbed* homogeneous wave equation defined in flat spacetime, and the second equation implies that $\delta A_{[h]}^i$ is a solution for the *first-order perturbed* inhomogeneous wave equation defined in flat spacetime with a source term $-\square_{[h]} A_o^i$, which is also first-order perturbed. It should be noted here that $\delta A_{[h]}^i$ can be obtained only after A_o^i is known: the source term for the first-order perturbed equation requires knowledge of A_o^i .

To first order in h , the total solution as given from (16) is

$$A_{\text{total}}^i(t, \mathbf{x}) = A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x}) + \delta A_{[h]}^i(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (20)$$

Suppose that the initial unperturbed light is linearly polarized and propagates along the direction of the wave vector $\mathbf{K} = (K_x, K_y, K_z)$. Then one can write down a solution to satisfy Eq. (18):

$$\begin{aligned} A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \left(-\frac{K_y}{\sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}} \delta_x^i + \frac{K_x}{\sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}} \delta_y^i \right) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{A} \exp[i(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_e t)], \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where \mathcal{A} represents the amplitude of EMW, and ω_e denotes the EMW frequency; $\omega_e = cK$ with c being the speed of light and $K = \sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2 + K_z^2}$ being the wavenumber for EMW. Note here that the direction of polarization is set perpendicular to \mathbf{K} . Now, using Eq. (21) for Eq. (19), and by straightforward

but tedious computation, we obtain a perturbation solution $\delta A_{[h]}^i(t, \mathbf{x})$. To the full, it turns out that $\delta A_{[h]}^i \sim \mathcal{O}(h) + (\omega_e/\omega_g)\mathcal{O}(h)$. However, practically, $\omega_e \gg \omega_g$ (e.g., $\omega_e/\omega_g \sim 10^9$ to 10^{14} for LIGO, 10^{12} to 10^{19} for LISA, 10^{14} to 10^{17} for PTA etc.), and therefore the part $(\omega_e/\omega_g)\mathcal{O}(h)$ would be the only meaningful piece to take for our analysis. This finally enables us to express the solution:

$$\delta A_{[h]}^i(t, \mathbf{x}) = 2(\omega_e/\omega_g)A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x})\mathcal{H}(t, \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k}), \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(t, \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k}) &\equiv h_+ \mathcal{C}(\phi, \theta, \psi; \mathbf{K}) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_g t) \\ &\quad - h_\times \mathcal{S}(\phi, \theta, \psi; \mathbf{K}) \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega_g t), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\phi, \theta, \psi; \mathbf{K}) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\mathcal{D}} \left(1 + \frac{K_x \sin \theta \cos \phi + K_y \sin \theta \sin \phi + K_z \cos \theta}{K} \right) \\ &\times \left\{ [K_x^2 (-\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi) \right. \\ &\quad - K_x K_y (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \sin(2\phi) \\ &\quad + K_x K_z \sin(2\theta) \cos \phi + K_y^2 (-\cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi) \\ &\quad + K_y K_z \sin(2\theta) \sin \phi - K_z^2 \sin^2 \theta] \cos(2\psi) \\ &\quad + [K_x^2 \cos \theta \sin(2\phi) - 2K_x K_y \cos \theta \cos(2\phi) \\ &\quad - 2K_x K_z \sin \theta \sin \phi - K_y^2 \cos \theta \sin(2\phi) \\ &\quad \left. + 2K_y K_z \sin \theta \cos \phi] \sin(2\psi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi, \theta, \psi; \mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{C}(\phi, \theta, \psi - \pi/4; \mathbf{K}), \quad (25)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &\equiv K_x^2 (1 - \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi) - K_x K_y \sin^2 \theta \sin(2\phi) \\ &\quad - K_x K_z \sin(2\theta) \cos \phi + K_y^2 (1 - \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi) \\ &\quad - K_y K_z \sin(2\theta) \sin \phi + K_z^2 \sin^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

It should be noted again here that the dependence on the polarization angle ψ in (24) and (25) exhibits the spin-2 tensor modes of the + and \times polarizations [12]. In Appendix A we show how one can obtain the solution given by (20)-(22) in a computationally tractable manner by means of coordinate transformations.

B. Perturbed light and delay of photon transit time

Above we have described how light is perturbed when it propagates in a spacetime with GWs, by solving Maxwell's equations in that spacetime. Suppose that light propagates along the direction of $\mathbf{K} = (K_x, K_y, K_z) = (0, 0, -K)$, as in the example of a PTA to be discussed later. As $K_z = -K < 0$, our light

propagates along $-z$ direction; i.e., from the sky towards the earth. Then it can be expressed by the electric field $E_{\text{total}}^i(t, 0, 0, z) = -c^{-1}(\partial/\partial t)A_{\text{total}}^i(t, 0, 0, z)$, out of Eqs. (20)-(22). Starting at $(t, z) = (t_0, 0)$, the propagation path can be written as $z = -c(t - t_0)$. Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\delta E_{[h]}^i}{E_o^i} \Big|_{z=-L} - \frac{\delta E_{[h]}^i}{E_o^i} \Big|_{z=0} \\ &= \frac{\omega_e (h_+ F_+ + i h_\times F_\times) \{1 - \exp[-ikL(1 + \cos \theta)]\}}{\omega_g} \\ &\quad \times \exp(-i\omega_g t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $E_o^i = -c^{-1}(\partial/\partial t)A_o^i$, $\delta E_{[h]}^i = -c^{-1}(\partial/\partial t)\delta A_{[h]}^i$, and the right-hand side is expressed in the complex representation for analytical convenience, and

$$F_+ \equiv \sin^2(\theta/2) \cos(2\psi), \quad (28)$$

$$F_\times \equiv \sin^2(\theta/2) \sin(2\psi), \quad (29)$$

are antenna patterns for + and \times polarization states, respectively [13].

On the other hand, when a photon propagates in a spacetime with GWs, its trajectory will be perturbed, resulting in the delay of its transit time. The propagation takes place along the null geodesic, i.e., $ds^2 = 0$ in Eq. (7), and hence one can express the delay for a photon propagating by a distance $L = cT$ along $-z$ direction, starting at $(t, z) = (t_0, 0)$ [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta T_{[h]}}{T} &= \frac{1}{2cT} \int_0^{-L} h_{zz}(t_0, 0, 0, z) dz + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \\ &= -i \frac{(h_+ F_+ + i h_\times F_\times) \{1 - \exp[-ikL(1 + \cos \theta)]\}}{kL} \\ &\quad \times \exp(-i\omega_g t_0) + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\delta T_{[h]}$ means the deviation of the transit time from T , and h_{zz} is read off from Eq. (7) and expressed in the complex representation for analytical convenience.

Comparing Eqs. (27) and (30), we establish a relation between the delay of the photon transit time and the perturbation of light due to GWs:

$$\frac{\delta T_{[h]}}{T} \simeq \mathcal{N} \left(\frac{\delta E_{[h]}^i}{E_o^i} \Big|_{z=-L} - \frac{\delta E_{[h]}^i}{E_o^i} \Big|_{z=0} \right), \quad (31)$$

where $\mathcal{N} = (i\omega_e T)^{-1} = (iKL)^{-1}$. Here one can give a physical interpretation of this relation: light perturbed by GWs, being described by Maxwell's equations (13), leads to a delay of the photon transit time, being described by the null geodesic equation, $ds^2 = 0$ in (7). This is true for a general configuration in which both light and GWs are assumed to propagate in arbitrary directions. Thus, by means of Eq. (31), one can equivalently compute a delay of the photon transit time along an arbitrary direction, using the solutions of Maxwell's equations given by Eqs. (20)-(22).

C. Application - pulsar timing array (PTA)

The property of the perturbed light as given by Eq. (31) can be applied to GW detectors, and for the simplest application, we consider a PTA. One can arrange a detector (e.g., a radio telescope) to receive photons emitted from a pulsar to measure pulse arrival time. A pulsar can serve as an astronomical clock of excellent precision, with the constancy of the measured pulse frequency ν_o . However, with GWs passing through our space, the measured frequency $\nu(t)$ will vary slightly. Then the effects of GWs can be determined from the variation of the frequency (or from the variation of the pulse period) $[\nu_o - \nu(t)]/\nu_o \simeq [\tau(t) - \tau_o]/\tau_o$, where $\tau(t) = \nu^{-1}(t)$ is the measured pulse period and $\tau_o = \nu_o^{-1}$ is the constancy of the measured pulse period.

For the cumulative variation, we define a “residual” [8], which can be expressed using Eq. (30) with $T = \tau_o = L/c$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(t) &\equiv \int_0^t \frac{\nu_o - \nu(t')}{\nu_o} dt' \simeq \int_0^t \frac{\tau(t') - \tau_o}{\tau_o} dt' \\ &\sim \frac{h_+ G_+ + i h_\times G_\times}{f} \exp(-2i\pi f t), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $t' \leftarrow t_0$ from Eq. (30), and $f = \omega_g/(2\pi)$, and

$$G_+ \equiv \frac{\sin^2(\theta/2) \cos(2\psi) \{1 - \exp[-2i\pi f \tau_o (1 + \cos\theta)]\}}{4\pi^2 f \tau_o}, \quad (33)$$

$$G_\times \equiv \frac{\sin^2(\theta/2) \sin(2\psi) \{1 - \exp[-2i\pi f \tau_o (1 + \cos\theta)]\}}{4\pi^2 f \tau_o}, \quad (34)$$

are *exact* detector responses [14], and the integration constant term has been disregarded in the second line. Out of this, one can express

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{r}^2(t) \rangle_{\text{time}} &\sim f^2 \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(f) \tilde{\mathbf{r}}^*(f) \\ &\simeq |G_+(f)|^2 |\tilde{h}_+(f)|^2 + |G_\times(f)|^2 |\tilde{h}_\times(f)|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(f)$, $\tilde{h}_+(f)$ and $\tilde{h}_\times(f)$ denote the Fourier transforms of $\mathbf{r}(t)$, $h_+(t) \equiv h_+ \exp(-2i\pi f t)$ and $h_\times(t) \equiv h_\times \exp(-2i\pi f t)$, respectively.

The detector response function can be computed by taking a *sky average* of $G_+ G_+^* + G_\times G_\times^*$ over (ϕ, θ, ψ) [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(f) &\equiv \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\pi d\psi \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta \\ &\quad [G_+(f) G_+^*(f) + G_\times(f) G_\times^*(f)] \\ &= \frac{32\pi^3 f^3 \tau_o^3 - 12\pi f \tau_o + 3 \sin(4\pi f \tau_o)}{768\pi^7 f^5 \tau_o^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

For example, for a millisecond pulsar with $\tau_o \sim 10$ ms, antenna patterns of the exact detector responses $G_{+,\times}(f)$




FIG. 1. Antenna patterns of the exact detector responses $G_{+,\times}(f)$ at different frequencies for a millisecond pulsar with $\tau_o \sim 10$ ms; plotted out of $\lim_{f \rightarrow 0 \text{ Hz}} |G_{+,\times}(f)|$ (Left) and $|G_{+,\times}(f)|_{f=100 \text{ Hz}}$ (Right). These 3D plots are drawn in a Cartesian coordinate frame via $(X, Y, Z) = (\sin\theta \cos\psi, \sin\theta \sin\psi, \cos\theta)$. Note that the patterns for $G_+(f)$ and $G_\times(f)$ are identical except for the rotational phase difference $\pi/4$ as $G_+(\theta, \psi; f) = G_\times(\theta, \psi + \pi/4; f)$.




FIG. 2. A plot of $\mathcal{R}(f)$ for a millisecond pulsar with $\tau_o \sim 10$ ms.

given by (33) and (34) at different frequencies are illustrated out of $\lim_{f \rightarrow 0 \text{ Hz}} |G_{+,\times}(f)|$ and $|G_{+,\times}(f)|_{f=100 \text{ Hz}}$ in Fig. 1, and the detector response function $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is plotted in Fig. 2. In view of Eqs. (35) and (36), one can determine the detector “sensitivity”:

$$h(f) \equiv f \tilde{h}(f) \sim \sqrt{\frac{f^2 \langle \mathbf{r}^2(t) \rangle_{\text{time}}}{\mathcal{R}(f)}}. \quad (37)$$

Following Ref. [8], for a periodic GW source, we consider two supermassive black holes of mass M in a circular orbit of radius R_o , with the distance r from us. Then one can estimate

$$\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{r}^2(t) \rangle} \sim \omega_g^{-1} h_{\text{max}}, \quad (38)$$

with the maximum strain amplitude and the GW fre-




FIG. 3. A plot of $h(f)$ (red solid line) for GWs from a source with $M \sim 10^9 M_\odot$, $R_o \sim 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ and $r \sim 10^{10}$ ly, detected by means of a millisecond pulsar with $\tau_o \sim 10$ ms. It is compared with the sensitivity curves for EPTA (black dotted line), IPTA (black dashed line) and SKA (black dash-dotted line) (taken from Ref. [10]).

quency being estimated respectively as,

$$h_{\max} \sim 5 \times 10^{-14} \left(\frac{200M}{R_o} \right) \left(\frac{M}{10^{10} M_\odot} \right) \left(\frac{10^{10} \text{ly}}{r} \right), \quad (39)$$

$$\omega_g \sim 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{s}^{-1} \left(\frac{200M}{R_o} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{10^{10} M_\odot}{M} \right). \quad (40)$$

For example, for GWs from a source with $M \sim 10^9 M_\odot$, $R_o \sim 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ and $r \sim 10^{10}$ ly, to be detected using a millisecond pulsar with $\tau_o \sim 10$ ms, we have the detector response being reduced to $\mathcal{R}(f) \approx 1/(30\pi^2) + \mathcal{O}(f^2\tau_o^2)$ from (36) for $f\tau_o \sim 10^{-11} \ll 1$, and obtain a curve for $h(f)$ by means of Eqs. (37)-(40), as given by Fig. 2.

III. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

From a general relativistic perspective, the interaction of light with GWs can be viewed as equivalent to a perturbation of light due to GWs. We have solved Maxwell's equations in a spacetime perturbed by GWs and obtained a solution for the general case as given by Eq. (20), where both light and GWs are assumed to propagate in arbitrary directions. Based on this solution, it has been shown that a perturbation of light due to GWs leads to a delay of the photon transit time, as given by Eq. (31). Applying this principle to a PTA, we have worked out the detector response function and the curve for $h(f)$: our results are given by Eq. (36) and Fig. 1, and Eq. (37) and Fig. 2, respectively, which show good agreement with the literature.

Our analysis can be extended to more complex arrays for GW detection than a PTA. For interferometers such as LIGO and LISA, we require a description of light rays

in more complicated configurations based on Eqs. (20)-(22). We leave further discussion of this to a follow-up study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

D.-H. Kim was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2018R1D1A1B07051276). C. Park was supported in part by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2018R1D1A1B07041004), and by the National Institute for Mathematical Sciences (NIMS) funded by Ministry of Science and ICT (B20710000).

Appendix A: Solutions to Maxwell's equations via coordinate transformations

The total decomposition solution (20) can be obtained in the easiest manner for a particular case, in which A_o^i takes the simplest (but not trivial) form and so does $\delta A_{[h]}^i$ as obtained from Eq. (19). In a particular frame $\mathbf{x}'' \equiv (x'', y'', z'')$, one can prescribe the simplest solution to satisfy Eq. (18):

$$A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x}'') = \delta_{y''}^i \mathcal{A} \exp[i(Kz'' - \omega_e t)], \quad (A1)$$

where we let \mathbf{x}'' refer to the *EMW* frame. Then using this for Eq. (19), by straightforward computation, we obtain the simplest perturbation solution $\delta A_{[h]}^i \sim (\omega_e/\omega_g) \mathcal{O}(h)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_{[h]}^i(t, \mathbf{x}'') &= 2(\omega_e/\omega_g) A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x}'') \\ &\times [h_+ \cos^2(\theta''/2) \cos(2\psi'') \cos(\mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{x}'' - \omega_g t) \\ &- h_\times \cos^2(\theta''/2) \sin(2\psi'') \sin(\mathbf{k}'' \cdot \mathbf{x}'' - \omega_g t)], \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{k}'' \equiv (k \sin \theta'' \cos \phi'', k \sin \theta'' \sin \phi'', k \cos \theta''), \quad (A3)$$

and the angles $\{\phi'', \theta'', \psi''\}$ refer to the Euler rotations between the GW frame \mathbf{x}' and the EMW frame \mathbf{x}'' ,

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{R}(\phi'', \theta'', \psi'') \mathbf{x}''. \quad (A4)$$

The above results can be extended to obtain the solutions for a general case, in which light propagates along an arbitrary direction; rather than along a single axis, e.g., the z'' -axis. For this purpose, one can consider the EMW frame $\mathbf{x}'' = (x'', y'', z'')$ as rotated from the detector frame $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$, in which light is seen to propagate along an arbitrary direction, as resulted from the rotations of the z'' -axis. The relation between the two frames can be expressed by Euler angle rotations [1, 2]; but only

with the direction angles $\{\Phi, \Theta\}$ in spherical coordinates, without the polarization-ellipse angle:

$$\mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{R}(\Phi, \Theta) \mathbf{x}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}(\Phi, \Theta) = \mathbf{R}_{\text{II}}(\Theta) \mathbf{R}_{\text{I}}(\Phi), \quad (\text{A6})$$

with

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{I}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Phi & \sin \Phi & 0 \\ -\sin \Phi & \cos \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{R}_{\text{II}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Theta & 0 & -\sin \Theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \Theta & 0 & \cos \Theta \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Now, by means of Eq. (A5) and the invariance relation

$$\mathbf{K}'' \cdot \mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow K z'' = K_x x + K_y y + K_z z, \quad (\text{A8})$$

where $\mathbf{K}'' = (0, 0, K)$ and $\mathbf{K} = (K_x, K_y, K_z)$ with $K = \sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2 + K_z^2} = \omega_e/c$, one can express Φ, Θ in terms of K_x, K_y, K_z :

$$\sin \Theta \cos \Phi = \frac{K_x}{K}, \sin \Theta \sin \Phi = \frac{K_y}{K}, \cos \Theta = \frac{K_z}{K}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Based on these, one can convert $\mathbf{R}(\Phi, \Theta) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}(K_x, K_y, K_z)$ and rewrite Eq. (A5) as

$$\mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{T}(K_x, K_y, K_z) \mathbf{x}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{K_x K_z}{K \sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}} & \frac{K_y K_z}{K \sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}} & -\frac{\sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}}{K} \\ -\frac{K_y}{\sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}} & \frac{K_x}{\sqrt{K_x^2 + K_y^2}} & 0 \\ \frac{K_x}{K} & \frac{K_y}{K} & \frac{K_z}{K} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A11})$$

The inverse transformation of (A10) is expressed by

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{T}^{-1}(K_x, K_y, K_z) \mathbf{x}'', \quad (\text{A12})$$

where \mathbf{T}^{-1} is given by \mathbf{T}^T , the transpose of \mathbf{T} .

As seen in Section II A, one can consider the GW frame \mathbf{x}' as rotated from the detector frame \mathbf{x} through the Euler angles $\{\phi, \theta, \psi\}$. Thus, combining Eq. (4) with Eq. (A12), and then comparing this with Eq. (A4), we find the relation:

$$\mathbf{R}(\phi'', \theta'', \psi'') = \mathbf{R}(\phi, \theta, \psi) \mathbf{T}^{-1}(K_x, K_y, K_z). \quad (\text{A13})$$

That is, using Eqs. (5), (6) and (A11), one can express ϕ'', θ'', ψ'' in terms of ϕ, θ, ψ and K_x, K_y, K_z .

By means of Eqs. (A10), (A12) and (A13), one can transform the solutions $A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x}'')$ and $\delta A_{[h]}^i(t, \mathbf{x}'')$ in the EMW frame $\mathbf{x}'' = (x'', y'', z'')$, in which light propagates along the z'' -axis, as given by Eqs. (A1) and (A2) respectively, to the solutions for a general case, $A_o^i(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\delta A_{[h]}^i(t, \mathbf{x})$ in the detector frame $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$, in which light propagates along an arbitrary direction of $\mathbf{K} = (K_x, K_y, K_z)$, as given by Eqs. (21) and (22) respectively.

- [1] H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics*, 2nd edn. (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., USA, 1980).
- [2] M. Rakhmanov, *Response of LIGO to Gravitational Waves at High Frequencies and in the Vicinity of the FSR (37.5 kHz)*, Tech. Rep. LIGO-T060237 (LIGO Laboratory, 2005).
- [3] A. Pai, S. Dhurandhar, and S. Bose, *Phys. Rev. D*, **64**, 042004 (2001).
- [4] K. Lee, F. A. Jenet, and R. H. Price, *Astrophys. J.* **685**, 1304 (2008).
- [5] M. E. S. Alves and M. Tinto, *Phys. Rev. D*, **83**, 123529 (2011).
- [6] S. J. Chamberlin and X. Siemens, *Phys. Rev. D*, **85**, 082001 (2012).
- [7] N. Yunes and X. Siemens, *Living Rev. Relativity* **16**, 9 (2013).
- [8] S. Detweiler, *Astrophys. J.* **234**, 1100 (1979).

- [9] J. S. Hazboun, J. D. Romano, and T. L. Smith, *Phys. Rev. D*, **100**, 104028 (2019).
- [10] C. J. Moore, R. H. Cole, and C. P. L. Berry, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **32**, 015014 (2015).
- [11] The temporal part can be handled trivially in the radiation gauge, in which one can disregard the scalar potential $\Phi \equiv A^0$, as it vanishes in the charge-free region.
- [12] It can be checked that for $(K_x, K_y, K_z) = (0, 0, -K)$, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{S} reduce to F_+ and F_\times in (28) and (29), respectively, which also exhibit the spin-2 tensor modes.
- [13] Our expressions of antenna patterns are in agreement with Refs. [4–7].
- [14] Apart from a factor, our G_+ and G_\times are identical to the “timing residual response functions”, as defined in Ref. [9].
- [15] Note that our $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is obtained as a closed-form expression.