

Chaos of Particle Motion near the Black Hole with Quasi-topological Electromagnetism

Yu-Qi Lei¹, Xian-Hui Ge^{1,2}, Cheng Ran¹,

¹*Department of Physics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

²*Center for Gravitation and Cosmology, College of Physical Science and Technology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, China*

Abstract

We consider a black hole with quasi-topological electromagnetism to explore the chaos behavior of particle motion near the black hole. We first study the static equilibrium of charged particle near the horizon to verify the chaos bound. The chaos bound could be violated in the higher order expansion of metric function and electric potential function. Then we study the relationship between the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s defined by static equilibrium and the Lyapunov exponent of the particle geodesic motion near the Reissner-Nordström(RN) black hole and the black hole with quasi-topological electromagnetism. We find an interesting relationship between the Lyapunov exponent λ_{ph} of photon’s radial falling into the black hole and the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s . For the black hole whose metric function increases monotonically with radius outside horizon, they satisfy the relation $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$.

* Corresponding author. gexh@shu.edu.cn

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	A special dyonic black hole	4
3	Static equilibrium of charged particles outside the black hole	8
3.1	Chaos on the horizon	10
3.2	More discussion on the expansion	12
4	Geodesic motion of neutral particles	13
4.1	Circular geodesic motion of neutral particles	14
4.1.1	Circular time-like geodesic	17
4.1.2	Circular null geodesic and stable photon sphere	21
4.2	Radial falling	22
5	Conclusion and discussions	26
A	V_{eff} and the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s	27
B	Static equilibrium with only gravity	28

1 Introduction

Chaos of the particle motion near the black hole has been studied for a long time [1, 2, 3, 4]. For example, some authors have studied the stability of the particle geodesic motion near black holes [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] and the quasinormal modes of black hole [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] from the calculation of the Lyapunov exponent of particle motion orbits. In recent years, due to the proposal of AdS/CFT duality, a method for studying more complex quantum chaos by analyzing relatively simple black hole dynamics has been given, which has aroused more attention to chaos near black holes.

In 2015, Maldacena, Shenker and Stanford pointed out in quantum field theory that for a quantum system like a black hole, its Lyapunov exponent λ (used to indicate the intensity of chaos) should have an upper bound [22]

$$\lambda \leq 2\pi T, \quad (1)$$

where T is the Hawking temperature of the black hole, and we take the natural unit $\hbar = 1$. When we consider the black hole thermodynamics, the Hawking temperature T and surface gravity κ satisfy $2\pi T = \kappa$. So we know that for the black hole, the chaos bound Eq.(1) has an equivalent form

$$\lambda \leq \kappa. \quad (2)$$

To study the universality of this bound, Hashimoto and Tanahashi calculated the Lyapunov exponent λ of a test particle near the horizon to verify the establishment of chaos bound [23], where external forces were included. In [24], the authors specifically considered the situation when charged particle near a charged black hole maintained a static equilibrium on the horizon, and investigated the expansion near the horizon. They pointed out that when the higher-order expansion term is included, the Lyapunov exponent of the unstable particle at the equilibrium position r_0 near the outer horizon of the charged black hole r_+ will satisfy

$$\lambda^2 = \kappa^2 + \gamma(r_0 - r_+) + \mathcal{O}((r_0 - r_+)^2), \quad (3)$$

where the γ is a parameter which is decided by the black hole. As we show, when γ is positive, the chaos bound Eq.(2) is violated. This means that by looking for an appropriate

black hole solution, an example would show that the chaos bound can be violated near the horizon. Despite Schwarzschild black holes, RN black holes, and their corresponding AdS solutions have been studied extensively, and we still wish to deepen our understanding of chaos by studying some special black holes. A dyonic black hole solution with quasi-topological electromagnetism was obtained in [25]. We would like to study the geodesic behavior of this black hole solution and try to find some interesting phenomena.

Susskind proposed that when a particle radially falls into a black hole, the exponentially increasing of its momentum is consistent with the growth of the operator in the quantum chaotic system [26], then the connections between momentum and quantum complexity were constantly being explored [27, 28, 29, 30], where the quantum complexity is a very meaningful concept that can be related to many physics topics such as the black hole’s action [31, 32, 33], the shock wave geometry [34], the accelerated expansion of the universe [35] and the partition function [36]. Some authors conducted the research work about the increasing of momentum when a particle falls into black holes. For example, the momentum growth of particles when they fall into a black hole with the repulsive potential was discussed [37, 38, 39] and the case in an acoustic black hole was recently discussed in [40]. Hereafter, we pay more attention to the behavior of particle’s radial motion as it falls into a black hole in the radial direction and wish to connect it to the chaos bound.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we provide some information about the black hole solution with quasi-topological electromagnetism [25]. In section 3, we discuss the static equilibrium of charged particles near some special dyonic black holes to check whether the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$ will be violated. In section 4, we study the geodesic motion of neutral particles, including circular geodesic motion and radially falling. In section 5, we briefly summarize the paper. In appendix A, we consider some details about the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s outside these black holes. In appendix B, we discussed a special case about static equilibrium with only gravity.

2 A special dyonic black hole

Let us consider a black hole with quasi-topological electromagnetism proposed by [25]. In [25], the authors considered a 4-dimensional gravitational theory including the pure cosmo-

logical constant Λ_0 and the minimum coupling electromagnetic interaction. Its Lagrangian is given [25]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left[R - 2\Lambda_0 - \alpha_1 F^2 - \alpha_2 \left((F^2)^2 - 2F^{(4)} \right) \right], \quad (4)$$

where α_1 and α_2 are coupling constants and $F^2 = F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$, $F^{(2)} = F_\nu^{mu} F_\mu^{nu}$, $F^{(4)} = F_\nu^\mu F_\rho^\nu F_\sigma^\rho F_\mu^\sigma$. The corresponding Maxwell's field equation is

$$\nabla_\mu \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = 4\alpha_1 F^{\mu\nu} + 8\alpha_2 (F^2 F_{\mu\nu} - 2F^{\mu\rho} F_\rho^\sigma F_\sigma^\nu)$. The Einstein's field equation can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_0 g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (6)$$

This theory yields a static dyonic black hole solution

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_{2,\epsilon}^2, \quad (7)$$

where the metric $d\Omega_{2,\epsilon}^2$ corresponds to a two-dimensional hyperbolic, torus and sphere respectively with ϵ takes values -1, 0, 1. The metric function can be expressed as [25]

$$f(r) = -\frac{1}{3}\Lambda_0 r^2 + \epsilon - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{\alpha_1 p^2}{r^2} + \frac{q^2}{\alpha_1 r^2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{4p^2\alpha_2}{r^4\alpha_1}\right), \quad (8)$$

where M is the mass of black holes, Λ_0 is the cosmological constant, q is the electric charge, p is the pressure from energy-momentum tensor and ${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{4p^2\alpha_2}{r^4\alpha_1}\right)$ is a hypergeometric function.

The electric and magnetic charges can be obtained from electromagnetic tensor $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ which is defined by Maxwell's field equation Eq.(5)

$$Q_e = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \tilde{F}^{0r} = q, \quad Q_m = \frac{1}{4\alpha_1\pi} \int F = \frac{p}{\alpha_1}. \quad (9)$$

So the electric and magnetic potential functions are given by [25]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_e(r) &= \frac{q {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{4p^2\alpha_2}{r^4\alpha_1}\right)}{\alpha_1 r}, \\ \Phi_m(r) &= -\frac{q^2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{4p^2\alpha_2}{r^4\alpha_1}\right)}{4pr} + \frac{\alpha_1 q^2 r^3}{4p(4\alpha_2 p^2 + \alpha_1 r^4)} + \frac{\alpha_1^2 p}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

When the constant parameters $(M, p, q, \Lambda_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ take the proper value, different types of black hole solutions can be obtained.

Set $\alpha_1 = 1, \Lambda_0 = 0, \epsilon = 1$, two types of dyonic black holes can be obtained as the constants (α_2, q, p) in the general solution Eq.(8) take appropriate values. Note that in these black hole solutions, as M takes different values, the black hole will also have different behaviors.

• **Case 1: black holes with at most two horizons**

Consider a special condition of Eq.(8) with the parameters

$$(q^2, p^2, \alpha_2) = \left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 2\right).$$

The corresponding metric function and electric potential function become

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \left(1 + {}_5F_1\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{4}{r^4}\right]\right), \\ \Phi_e(r) &= \frac{1}{2r} \left(1 + {}_5F_1\left[\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{4}{r^4}\right]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

It is one of the first type black hole solutions with a constant M_0 (its value is related to the value of q, p, α_2 and the specific definition of M_0 can be found in [25]). When the black hole mass M takes different values, different situations can exist :

$$\begin{cases} M > M_0 & \text{black holes with two horizons;} \\ M = M_0 & \text{extreme black hole;} \\ M < M_0 & \text{there is a naked singularity at } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

For Eq.(11), the corresponding constant $M_0 = 1.6372384$. In the subsequent calculation process, for the first type of black hole solutions, we will consider an example with two horizons:

Specifically taking $M = 1.996$, the corresponding two horizons locate at

$$r_- = 0.28617, \quad r_+ = 3.00002.$$

For this black hole, the metric function $f(r)$ is monotonically increasing outside the outer horizon and the increase rate $\frac{df(r)}{dr}$ is monotonically decreasing.

• **Case 2: black holes with at most four horizons**

There is another special case for Eq.(8) with parameters:

$$(q^2, p^2, \alpha_2) = \left(\frac{20868}{443}, \frac{396}{443}, \frac{196249}{1584} \right).$$

The corresponding metric function and electric potential function can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{12}{443r^2} \left(33 + 1739 {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{443}{r^4} \right] \right), \\ \Phi_e(r) &= \frac{12}{443r} \left(33 + 1739 {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{4}, 1; \frac{5}{4}; -\frac{443}{r^4} \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The second type black hole solutions with three constants: M_-, M_+, M_0 (their values depend on the values of q, p, α_2 and the specific definition of them can be found in [25]). Similarly, different situations are depending on the value of M :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} M < M_- & \text{There is no horizon here but a naked singularity at } r = 0; \\ M_- < M < M_+ & \text{black holes with two horizons and the equilibrium of Newton's potential;} \\ M_+ < M < M_0 & \text{black holes with four horizons;} \\ M > M_0 & \text{black holes with two horizons,} \end{array} \right.$$

where the corresponding M_-, M_+ , and M_0 are given by

$$M_- = 6.6316, \quad M_+ = 6.7730, \quad M_0 = 6.9135.$$

In the subsequent calculations, we will take the values of $M = 6.7, 6.8, 7.0$ as concrete examples. The following are the corresponding horizon radii:

Case 2-1: Black hole with Newtonian potential equilibrium

$$M = 6.7, \quad r_- = 0.66823, \quad r_+ = 1.54979.$$

For this black hole, the metric function $f(r)$ is not monotonically increasing outside the outer horizon, and the Newton potential has equilibriums at $r = 2.8480$ and $r = 6.1421$. So it is possible for particles to maintain a static equilibrium at these positions without any external forces.

Case 2-2: Black hole with cosmological horizons

$$M = 6.8, \quad r_- = 0.53736, \quad r_+ = 2.07702, \quad r_1 = 5.16615, \quad r_2 = 6.79477,$$

where r_- , r_+ are the two horizons of this black hole, and r_1 , r_2 are the so-called cosmological horizons. The metric function $f(r)$ monotonically increases first and then monotonically decreases between the outer event horizon and the inner cosmology horizon. This black hole also has an equilibrium of the Newton potential at $r = 3.06723$.

Case 2-3: Black hole with two horizons and non-monotonic metric function increase rate

$$M = 7.0, \quad r_- = 0.40827, \quad r_+ = 8.34842.$$

For this black hole, the metric function $f(r)$ is monotonically increasing outside the outer horizon, but the increase rate $\frac{df(r)}{dr}$ increases first and then decreases outside the outer horizon.

3 Static equilibrium of charged particles outside the black hole

In this section, we consider general discussion of charged particles outside the black hole and derive the Lyapunov exponent. We consider a 4-dimensional static spherically symmetric black hole

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + d\Omega^2. \quad (13)$$

At the horizon, the surface gravity κ is

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}}} \frac{d\sqrt{g_{tt}}}{dr} \Big|_{horizon} = \frac{1}{2} f'(r) \Big|_{horizon}, \quad (14)$$

where the prime “ ’ ” means derivative with respect to r .

The Lagrangian of a particle which is near this black hole can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = -m \left(\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu} + V(r) \right), \quad (15)$$

where m is the mass of the particle and $V(r)$ is the external potential in the radial direction. When $V'(r) < 0$, the potential $V(r)$ can provide the particle with a repulsive force away

from the black hole, so that the particle may not fall into the black hole and maintain static equilibrium near the horizon. In this section, we take the static gauge $\tau = t$, so the dot “ $\dot{}$ ” denotes derivative with respect to the proper time “ t ” in this section.

When the particle maintains static equilibrium near the horizon, the particle’s Lagrangian Eq.(15) describing its radial motion can be reduced to

$$\mathcal{L} = -m \left(\sqrt{f(r) - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f(r)}} + V(r) \right). \quad (16)$$

Note that when the particle maintains static equilibrium, we have $\dot{r} \ll 1$. After expanding the Lagrangian in terms of \dot{r} , we can obtain the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{\dot{r}^2}{2f(r)\sqrt{f(r)}} - V_{eff}(r), \quad V_{eff}(r) = \sqrt{f(r)} + V(r), \quad (17)$$

where V_{eff} is effective potential and at the particle’s equilibrium position $V'_{eff} = 0$. After expanding V_{eff} at the particle’s static equilibrium position $r = r_0$, we have the effective Lagrangian satisfying the relation

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} \sim \frac{\dot{r}^2}{2f(r)\sqrt{f(r)}} [\dot{r}^2 + \lambda^2 (r - r_0)^2], \quad (18)$$

where λ is Lyapunov exponent, which obeys

$$\lambda^2 = -f(r)\sqrt{f(r)} \left[\left(\sqrt{f(r)} \right)'' + V''(r) \right]. \quad (19)$$

In the equilibrium position $r = r_0$, $V'_{eff}=0$, if V_{eff} has the maximum $V''_{eff} < 0$, the static equilibrium will be unstable and $\lambda^2 > 0$. If V_{eff} has the minimum $V''_{eff} > 0$, the static equilibrium is stable and $\lambda^2 < 0$.

From the effective Lagrangian Eq.(18), we can see that the particle should follow the equation of motion at the equilibrium position

$$\ddot{r} = \lambda^2 (r - r_0). \quad (20)$$

This equation of motion tells us the particle’s trajectory in the radial direction, that is to say

$$r = r_0 + Ae^{\lambda t} + Be^{-\lambda t}. \quad (21)$$

If λ is real, then this exponential increase in particle motion may imply the existence of chaos. Actually, when there is no perturbation in other directions, the position $r = r_0$ (where V_{eff} has the maximum) will be a separatrix of the phase space, which can provide a “maximal” Lyapunov exponent here [41, 42, 43, 23]. When the external potential is strong enough, the position where V_{eff} has the maximum can be found near the horizon.

3.1 Chaos on the horizon

Returning to the black hole solution Eq.(8), we calculate the Lyapunov exponent when a charged particle maintains its static equilibrium near the horizon of these black holes and further verify the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$. Here, the external potential we are considering is provided by the electric field, and its form is

$$V(r) = \frac{e}{m} \Phi_e(r), \quad (22)$$

where e and m are the charge and mass of the particle, $\Phi_e(r)$ is the electric potential function.

For the particle’s static equilibrium at $r = r_0$, the Lyapunov exponent λ satisfies the expression [24]

$$\lambda^2 = f(r) \sqrt{f(r)} \left(\frac{\Phi_e''(r)}{\Phi_e'(r)} \left(\sqrt{f(r)} \right)' - \left(\sqrt{f(r)} \right)'' \right) \Big|_{r=r_0}. \quad (23)$$

When the static equilibrium position is infinitely close to the black hole outer horizon $r = r_+$, the Lyapunov exponent λ should have an upper bound, that is, the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$. The content of this section is mainly to calculate the Lyapunov exponent λ of the charged particle near the outer horizon of these black holes and to check whether they satisfy the chaos bound.

Some interesting phenomena would occur when we pay attention to the near-horizon behavior shown in [24]. The metric function and potential function of the black hole can be expanded to the second-order on the black hole event horizon $r = r_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= f_1(r - r_+) + f_2(r - r_+)^2 \dots \\ \Phi_e(r) &= \Phi_{e0} + \Phi_{e1}(r - r_+) + \Phi_{e2}(r - r_+)^2 \dots \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Then, take Eq.(24) in Eq.(14) and Eq.(23)

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa &= \frac{1}{2}f_1, \\ \lambda^2 &= \frac{12f_1f_2(r-r_+)^2\Phi_{e2} + 8f_2^2(r-r_+)^3\Phi_{e2} + f_1^2(\Phi_{e1} + 6(r-r_+)\Phi_{e2})}{4(\Phi_{e1} + 2(r-r_+)\Phi_{e2})} \Big|_{r=r_0}.\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

Expanding λ^2 in Eq.(25) at the horizon $r = r_+$, we can obtain

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{f_1^2}{4} + \frac{f_1^2\Phi_{e2}}{\Phi_{e1}}(r_0 - r_+) + \mathcal{O}((r_0 - r_+)^2). \quad (26)$$

So Eq.(26) can be rewritten as [24]

$$\lambda^2 = \kappa^2 + \gamma(r_0 - r_+) + \mathcal{O}((r_0 - r_+)^2), \quad \gamma = 4\kappa^2 \frac{\Phi_{e2}}{\Phi_{e1}}. \quad (27)$$

From Eq.(27), it can be seen that when $\gamma > 0$, the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$ will be violated.

The main reason we consider this dyonic black hole as our research object is that it can provide some special black hole solutions. Since the calculation process is very complicated, here we only show the main results of the calculations in the tabular form.

• **Case 1**

We consider the black hole solution given in Eq.(11) and take it into Eq.(14) and Eq.(23) to calculate the surface gravity of the particles at the black hole horizon and the Lyapunov exponent λ . Then, the parameter γ was calculated through Eq.(27). The calculation results are shown in the following table.

Black hole	M	Horizon	λ^2	κ^2	γ
Case 1	1.996	$r_- = 0.28617$ $r_+ = 3.00002$	0.01283	0.01283	-0.03156

Table 1: The parameters λ^2 , κ^2 and γ of **Case 1** at the outer horizon r_+ . As we show, there are $\lambda = \kappa$ and $\gamma < 0$, which means the chaos bound is satisfied.

- **Case 2**

Similarly, we also calculate the black hole solution given in Eq.(12)

Black hole	M	Horizon	λ^2	κ^2	γ
Case 2-1	6.7	$r_- = 0.66823, r_+ = 1.54979$	0.01469	0.01469	-0.01537
Case 2-2	6.8	$r_- = 0.53736, r_+ = 2.07702$ $r_1 = 5.16615, r_2 = 6.79477$	0.00720	0.00720	0.00846
Case 2-3	7.0	$r_- = 0.40827, r_+ = 8.34842$	0.00049	0.00049	-0.00039

Table 2: The parameters λ^2 , κ^2 and γ of three black hole solutions in **Case 2** at their outer horizon r_+ . There is always $\lambda = \kappa$ at the outer horizon r_+ for ever cases. But as we see, γ is positive for the black hole in **Case 2-2**, which means that there may be a violation of the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$.

The above is the result of all our calculations about λ , κ and γ for these dyonic black holes in Eq.(11) and Eq.(12). These calculations show that $\lambda = \kappa$ is universally established on the black hole outside horizon, ignoring certain errors, which means that the chaos bound of $\lambda \leq \kappa$ is satisfied.

However, when considering the near-horizon behavior of the black hole and expanding the correlation function to the second order, we find the case of $\gamma > 0$, which indicates that there is a violation of the chaos bound. In order to examine this situation, we need do more general study about the second-order expansion of these black holes.

3.2 More discussion on the expansion

To more clearly show the anomalous situation of γ in the second-order expansion, we will discuss the two sets of metric functions and their corresponding potential functions above (Eq.(11) and Eq.(12)), and then plot γ as a function of M .

As shown in Figure.1, in the range $M \in (6.73442, 6.9135)$, the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$ can be violated in the near horizon region.




Figure 1: The parameter γ as a function of the black hole mass M . (a) shows no causality violation for M in **Case 1**. In (b), γ can be positive in the range $M \in (6.73442, 6.9135)$, which implies that the chaos bound $\lambda \leq \kappa$ is violated in **Case 2**.

4 Geodesic motion of neutral particles

In this section, we study the Lyapunov exponent of the geodesic motion of neutral particles near the black hole, including circular geodesic motion and radial falling. We extend the static equilibrium of charged particles in section 3 beyond the horizon, and take the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent as λ_s which can be obtained from the static equilibrium. From Eq.(23), we obtain the formula of λ_s for charged black holes

$$\lambda_s = \sqrt{f(r) \sqrt{f(r)} \left(\frac{\Phi_e''(r)}{\Phi_e'(r)} \left(\sqrt{f(r)} \right)' - \left(\sqrt{f(r)} \right)'' \right)}. \quad (28)$$

Arbitrary location outside the horizon where the effective potential V_{eff} has a maximum, the Lyapunov exponent λ_s obtained from static equilibrium is at its maximal value. When we consider λ_s at the horizon, the value of λ_s will return to the surface gravity κ . We propose a hypothesis here: the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s is an inherent property determined by the nature of the black hole¹. It is worthwhile to explore the connection between the

¹Note that this is our assumption that Eq.(28) is maximal at any position outside the black hole. In other words, we assume there is a renormalization group flow of λ_s outside the black hole. It can flow to $\lambda_s = \kappa$ at the event horizon. We will compare Eq.(28) with the Lyapunov exponents obtained from particle circular geodesic motion [16, 18, 21] and radial falling.

“maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s with the Lyapunov exponent of particle geodesic motion.

4.1 Circular geodesic motion of neutral particles

A 4-dimensional spherically symmetric black hole is considered here. Its metric is

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + d\Omega_2^2. \quad (29)$$

When we focus on a neutral test particle moving on the equatorial plane of this black hole ($\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \dot{\theta} = 0$), its Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[g_{tt} \dot{t}^2 + g_{rr} \dot{r}^2 + g_{\phi\phi} \dot{\phi}^2 \right], \quad (30)$$

where the dot “ . ” denotes derivative with respect to the proper time “ τ ”.

According to the generalized momentum expression $p_q = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}}$, we can get its generalized momentum as

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= -f(r) \dot{t} = -E = \text{Const}, \\ p_\phi &= r^2 \dot{\phi} = L = \text{Const}, \\ p_r &= \frac{\dot{r}}{f(r)}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where E is the particle’s energy which is relative to the infinity and L is the angular momentum of the particle. Using normalization with four velocity $g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu = \eta$

$$-E\dot{t} + L\dot{\phi} + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f(r)} = \eta, \quad (32)$$

we can obtain

$$\dot{r}^2 = E^2 - \left(\frac{L^2}{r^2} - \eta \right) f(r). \quad (33)$$

Note that $\eta = \pm 1, 0$ corresponds to space-like, time-like and null geodesics respectively.

For a trajectory $X_i(t=0) = X_{i0}$ in a n -dimensional autonomous system, its equation of motion satisfies

$$\frac{dX_i}{dt} = H_i(X_j), \quad (34)$$

where X_i is a vector of n-dimensional states, and $H_i(X_j)$ describes the non-linear evolution of the dynamical system. To analyze the stability of the trajectory $X_i(t = 0) = X_{i0}$, we linearize the motion equation

$$\frac{d\delta X_i(t)}{dt} = K_{ij}\delta X_j(t), \quad (35)$$

where K_{ij} is the Jacobian matrix, and its formula is

$$K_{ij} = \left. \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial X_j} \right|_{X_{i0}}. \quad (36)$$

The eigenvalue of K_{ij} is the Lyapunov exponent which indicates the stability of the trajectory $X_i(t = 0) = X_{i0}$.

For the circular geodesic motion of neutral particles, we have the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{dp_q}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q}. \quad (37)$$

After setting the phase space variables $X_i(t) = (p_r, r)$ and considering the particles moving in a circular orbit with a radius of $r = r_0$, we have two equations

$$\frac{dp_r}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \frac{p_r}{g_{rr}}. \quad (38)$$

Then, Eq.(36) can be rewritten as

$$K_{ij} = \left. \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} \right) \\ \frac{1}{g_{rr}} & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right|_{r=r_0}. \quad (39)$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix can give the expression of the proper time Lyapunov exponent of circular geodesic motion λ_p , and we observe that λ_p satisfies [18]

$$\lambda_p^2 = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} \right) \Big|_{r=r_0}. \quad (40)$$

From the Lagrange's equation of geodesic motion

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} = 0, \quad (41)$$

and the formula

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} \right) = \frac{d}{d\tau} (-g_{rr}\dot{r}) = -\dot{r} \frac{d}{dr} (g_{rr}\dot{r}) = -\frac{1}{2g_{rr}} \frac{d}{dr} (g_{rr}^2 \dot{r}^2), \quad (42)$$

the expression of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r}$ can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{2g_{rr}} \frac{d}{dr} (g_{rr}^2 \dot{r}^2). \quad (43)$$

For the circular geodesic motion, we have the circular geodesic condition [44]

$$\dot{r}^2 = (\dot{r}^2)' = 0. \quad (44)$$

Under this condition, we take Eq.(43) in Eq.(40), then the proper time Lyapunov exponent λ_p in Eq.(40) can be reduced to

$$\lambda_p = \sqrt{\frac{(\dot{r}^2)''}{2}}. \quad (45)$$

If we consider an alternative form of Eq.(37)

$$\frac{dP_q}{dt} = \frac{d\tau}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q}, \quad (46)$$

we can express the Lyapunov exponent λ_c with coordinate time [16]

$$\lambda_c = \sqrt{\frac{(\dot{r}^2)''}{2\dot{t}^2}}. \quad (47)$$

In Eq.(31), $\dot{t} = \frac{E}{f(r)}$, so we obtain the relation from Eq.(45) and Eq.(47)

$$\lambda_c = \frac{f(r)}{E} \lambda_p. \quad (48)$$

Both $f(r)$ and E are real, so the property of λ_p and λ_c is closely related. It is evident that the Lyapunov exponents λ_p and λ_c are the most important parameters directly verifying the stability of the motion. Only when λ_p and λ_c are both real, it results in the unstable geodesic motion. In contrast, when one of the λ_p and λ_c is imaginary, the circular geodesic motion is stable; when $\lambda_p = 0$ or $\lambda_c = 0$, the circular geodesic motion is marginal, which means the circular geodesic motion can be easily broken.

The Lyapunov exponent is a measure of the deviation in time evolution of two adjacent trajectories in phase space, obviously it depends on the time coordinate. In the following, we will study the coordinate time Lyapunov exponent λ_c of the neutral particle's geodesic motion.

4.1.1 Circular time-like geodesic

For time-like geodesic with $\eta = -1$

$$\dot{r}^2 = E^2 - \left(\frac{L^2}{r^2} + 1 \right) f(r). \quad (49)$$

The circular geodesic condition $\dot{r}^2 = (\dot{r}^2)' = 0$ yields [16]

$$E^2 = \frac{2f^2(r)}{2f(r) - rf'(r)}, \quad L^2 = \frac{r^3 f'(r)}{2f(r) - rf'(r)}. \quad (50)$$

After taking the metric functions of the four black holes given by Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) into Eq.(50) and Eq.(49), the coordinate time Lyapunov exponent λ_c of these circular time-like geodesic motion can be given by Eq.(47).

To have a clear picture, we compare the circular geodesic motion of RN black hole with the black hole solutions given by Eq.(11) and Eq.(12). The metric function and the electrical function of RN black hole are

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}, \\ \Phi_e(r) &= \frac{2Q}{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$


where M is the mass of black hole, and Q is the charge. For RN black hole, λ_c^2 and λ_s^2 can be calculated by Eq.(47) and Eq.(28)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_c^2 &= \frac{-Mr^3 + 6M^2r^2 - 9MQ^2r + 4Q^2}{r^6} \\ \lambda_s^2 &= \frac{M^2 - Q^2}{r^4} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We want to explore the relationship between the circular time-like geodesic motion's Lyapunov exponent λ_c and "maximal" Lyapunov exponent λ_s defined from a static equilibrium, so we plot figures of λ_c^2 and λ_s^2 in the region where the circular geodesic motion exists.

For the RN black hole, as we show in Figure.2, λ_c^2 decreases monotonically from the innermost circular orbit until it becomes 0 at the innermost stable circular orbit, the circular time-like geodesic motion can only exist beyond the innermost stable circular orbit. Compared with RN black hole, the black hole given by **Case 2-1** has the similar evolution trend of λ_c^2 in Figure.4(c) with $r > 12.4354$, but in the Figure.4(b), there is $\lambda_c^2 < 0$

corresponding to the existence of the stable circular time-like geodesic orbit in the range of $6.14209 < r < 6.44301$ where is closer to the horizon than in Figure.4(c). We guess that this special property of **Case 2-1** having a Newtonian potential equilibrium position allows it to have a stable circular time-like geodesic closer to horizon.



(a) $2 + \sqrt{3} < r < 16$

(b) $8 < r < 36$

Figure 2: λ_c and λ_s are defined by Eq.(47) and Eq.(28) as functions of radial coordinate r . For the RN black hole with $M = 2$ and $Q = 1$, λ_c^2 decreases from the radius of the innermost circular orbit (the beginning of the coordinate axis). λ_s^2 decreases too, but λ_s^2 is always positive in contrast to λ_c^2 . Near the innermost orbital radius, we have $\lambda_c^2 > \lambda_s^2$. Away from the black hole, λ_c^2 is negative, which means the circular geodesic motion is stable.

In [23], the authors regarded the innermost circular geodesic motion as a equilibrium with a repulsive potential given by particle circular motion. But as shown in Figure.2, Figure.3 and Figure.6, we can see that when the circular time-like geodesic motion approach the innermost circular orbit, λ_s will not constraint λ_c any more. The “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s seems to constraint λ_c in Figure.4(a) and Figure.5 with $\lambda_s = \lambda_c$ at the position where the Newton potential has a equilibrium. We think λ_s restricts λ_c in Figure.4(a) and Figure.5 because the particle is close to the equilibrium position of the Newtonian potential where particle motion is slow. In the other word, we guess the main reason of $\lambda_c > \lambda_s$ around the innermost circular orbit in Figure.2, Figure.3 and Figure.6 may be when the particle approaches the innermost circular orbit its speed is close to the speed of light. There may be another reason is the movement in other directions makes Lyapunov exponent growth. To verify our conjecture, we discuss the particle’s radial falling in Section 4.2.





Figure 3: For the **Case 1**, the black hole defined by Eq.(11) with $M = 1.996$, λ_c^2 and λ_s^2 are analogous in the RN black hole.



(a) The range $2.19387 < r < 2.84801$. (b) The range $6.14209 < r < 6.44301$. (c) The range $r > 12.4354$. λ_s is not shown, because of $\lambda_s^2 < 0$ in this range. λ_s is not shown, because of $\lambda_s^2 < 0$ in this range.

Figure 4: For the **Case 2-1**, the black hole defined by Eq.(12) with $M = 6.7$, the circular time-like geodesic motion exists in three discontinuous range. As shown in (b), there is $\lambda_c^2 < 0$ in the range $r \in (6.14209, 6.44301)$ near the horizon, which means that in this range there can be a stable time-like circular geodesic motion. In (c), λ_c^2 has a RN-like behavior.




Figure 5: For the **Case 2-2**, the black hole defined by Eq.(12) with $M = 6.8$, there is always $\lambda_s^2 > \lambda_c^2$ in the range $2.68843 < r < 3.06723$.




Figure 6: For the **Case 2-3**, the black hole defined by Eq.(12) with $M = 7$, the behavior of λ_c^2 and λ_s^2 is similar to that of RN black hole.

4.1.2 Circular null geodesic and stable photon sphere

To study the motion of the photon outside the black hole, we set $\eta = 0$ in Eq.(33). Then, Eq.(33) becomes

$$\dot{r}^2 = E^2 - \frac{L^2}{r^2} f(r). \quad (53)$$

From the circular geodesic condition $\dot{r}^2 = (\dot{r}^2)' = 0$, we have

$$2f(r_{cn}) = r_{cn} f'(r_{cn}), \quad (54a)$$

$$\frac{E^2}{L^2} = \frac{f(r_{cn})}{r_{cn}^2}, \quad (54b)$$

where r_{cn} is the radius of the circular null geodesic, which is defined by Eq.(54a). After taking the black hole solutions we consider Eq.(54a) to obtain r_{cn} , we substitute the radius r_{cn} , Eq.(54b) and Eq.(53) into Eq.(45) and Eq.(47) to calculate the Lyapunov exponent. From the calculation results of the Lyapunov exponent as shown in Table.3, we can judge the stability of the circular null geodesics and verify the existence of stable photon spheres.

Using the properties of Lyapunov exponent λ , it can be tested that for **Case 2-1**, a stable photon sphere does exist at $r = 6.44301$ which agrees with the result in [25].

Black hole	Photon sphere radius	λ^2	Stability
Case 1	r=4.72028	$\lambda^2 > 0$	unstable
Case 2-1	r=2.19387	$\lambda^2 > 0$	unstable
	r=6.44301	$\lambda^2 < 0$	stable
	r=12.4354	$\lambda^2 > 0$	unstable
Case 2-2	r=2.68843	$\lambda^2 > 0$	unstable
Case 2-3	r=14.3266	$\lambda^2 > 0$	unstable

Table 3: Photon sphere radius and stability analysis. For the black hole of **Case 2-1**, there is $\lambda^2 < 0$ at $r = 6.44301$, which means the photon sphere is stable there.

4.2 Radial falling

In this subsection, we study the geodesic motion of particles falling radially into the black hole. The metric of a 4-dimensional spherically black hole can be written as Eq.(29). When considering that the particle fall freely towards the black hole in the radial direction, Eq.(33) can be reduced as

$$\dot{r}^2 = E^2 + \eta f, \quad (55)$$

then we can obtain

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \sqrt{f^2 - \eta \frac{f^3}{E^2}}. \quad (56)$$

When we expand around each point on the particle trajectory (denoted as r_f), there is

$$\frac{dr}{dt} \sim \frac{2f' + \frac{3\eta}{E^2} f f'}{2\sqrt{1 + \eta \frac{f}{E^2}}} (r - r_f). \quad (57)$$

Similarly, we can get an exponential growth form of the coordinate r . Here, we discuss in two cases:

mass particle

For the mass particle falling from infinity, there is time-like geodesic. Setting $\eta = -1, E = 1$, we can obtain the Lyapunov exponent λ_{mp} from Eq.(57)

$$\lambda_{mp} = \frac{2f' - 3ff'}{2\sqrt{1 - f}}. \quad (58)$$

photon

For the photon, there is null geodesic. With $\eta = 0$, we have the Lyapunov exponent λ_{ph} from Eq.(57)

$$\lambda_{ph} = f'. \quad (59)$$

We can see that there is $\lambda_{mp} \approx \lambda_{ph} = 2\kappa$ near the horizon². We study the relationship between these two exponents outside the horizon and the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s

²Near the horizon r_+ , we have $f = 0$ for Eq.(57), then Eq.(57) can be rewritten as $\frac{dr}{dt} \sim f' (r - r_+)$. Because of the surface gravity $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}f' \Big|_{r=r_+}$, we can obtain $r - r_+ = e^{2\kappa t}$ at the horizon agreeing with [39, 40]

(λ_s can be calculated via Eq.(23)).

For simplicity, we first study the RN black hole in Eq.(51), then Eq.(58), Eq.(59) and Eq.(23) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{mp} &= \frac{(Q^2 - Mr)(3Q^2 - 6Mr + r^2)}{r^4 \sqrt{2Mr - Q^2}}, \\ \lambda_{ph} &= -\frac{2Q^2}{r^3} + \frac{2M}{r^2}, \\ \lambda_s &= \sqrt{\frac{M^2 - Q^2}{r^4}},\end{aligned}\tag{60}$$




Figure 7: λ_{mp} , λ_{ph} and λ_s are the function of r . For the RN black hole with $M = 2, Q = 1$, we can see from (a) that there is $\lambda_{ph} > \lambda_{mp} > \lambda_s$ near the horizon, and λ_{mp}^2 approaches λ_{ph}^2 . From (b), there is always $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$.

As shown in Figure.7, there are some interesting phenomena. For massive particles, in the region near the event horizon, the closer to the horizon, the closer λ_{mp} approaches λ_{ph} . The reason maybe because the speed of the massive particle approaches the speed of light. For photons outside the event horizon, there is always

$$\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s.\tag{61}$$

This concides with $\lambda_{OTOC} \geq 2\lambda_{chaos}$ [45] similar as the formula about chaos. Considering the relationship between null geodesic and shock wave, we speculate that there may be some

relationship between these two formulas, or even equivalent.

To study the property of Eq.(61), we perform the same calculation for the black holes given by Eq.(11) and Eq.(12). From Figure.8 and Figure.11, we can see that $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$. But we can see that $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ from Figure.9 and Figure.10. We think the reason is that the metric function $f(r)$ is not monotonically increasing outside the horizon, and we could understand the potential relationship between $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ and $\lambda_{OTOC} \geq 2\lambda_{chaos}$ by studying more examples.




Figure 8: For the black hole in **Case 1**, we can see from (a) that λ_{mp}^2 approaches λ_{ph}^2 near the horizon. From (b), there is always $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ outside the horizon. In(c), the metric function $f(r)$ increases monotonically.




Figure 9: For the black hole in **Case 2-1**, λ_{mp}^2 approaches λ_{ph}^2 near the horizon in (a). But there is not always $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ as shown in (b). Then we observe from (c) that the metric function $f(r)$ doesn't increase monotonically outside the horizon.




Figure 10: For the black hole in **Case 2-2**, there is not always $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ in (b). At the same time, the metric function $f(r)$ doesn't increase monotonically outside the horizon.




Figure 11: From the black hole in **Case 2-3**, we can see from (a) that λ_{mp}^2 approaches λ_{ph}^2 near the horizon. $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ always exists in (b). Finally, the metric function $f(r)$ increases monotonically outside the horizon.

5 Conclusion and discussions

Chaos near black holes is an important subject in contemporary physics research. As far as we know, the nature of the chaos near the black hole will depend on the black hole. Therefore, studying as many different black holes as possible may help us understand chaos more clearly.

In this paper, we mainly perform relevant calculations on the black hole solutions given in [25]. First, we review the definition of λ_s which is the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent obtained from static equilibrium [23]. We calculate the Lyapunov exponent when the charged particle remains static equilibrium near the horizon of these black holes given in Section 2. The results show that the chaos bound does not seem to be universally satisfied. When considering the higher-order expansion term, for some black holes with specific parameters, the chaos bound is violated.

After studying the static equilibrium of the particles, we turn to the geodesic motion of the particles. We study two types of geodesic motion: circular geodesic motion and radial falling. For circular geodesic motion, we obtain the coordinate time Lyapunov exponent λ_c by the Jacobian matrix and there are some stable circular orbits. At the same time, we find that these Lyapunov exponents λ_c of circular geodesic motion do not seem to be constrained by the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s defined from static equilibrium. The reason is that the particle speed approaches the speed of light. For neutral particles, the circular geodesic motion on the horizon does not exist, because it will lead to superluminal propagation of particles, so λ_c cannot be defined on the horizon.

Then we study the particle’s radial falling, and find that the Lyapunov exponent λ_{mp} of the mass particle will approach the Lyapunov exponent λ_{ph} of the photon in the region near the horizon. We speculate the reason may be that the falling speed of the mass particle is close to the speed of light. Note that the discussions are carried out in the geodesic dynamics. It would be interesting to include the backreaction of the particle motion to the background spacetime and study many-body effect of the chaos behavior. We found that for RN-like black holes or black hole examples where the metric function $f(r)$ increases monotonically outside the horizon, the relation $\lambda_{ph} \geq 2\lambda_s$ is established.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Hong Lü, Shu Lin and Qing-Bing Wang for helpful discussions. The study was partially supported by NSFC, China (grant No.11875184).

Appendix

A V_{eff} and the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s

In studying the static equilibrium of particles to obtain the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s , the most important point is to ensure that the static equilibrium position is just at the maximum value of the effective potential V_{eff} .

For the static equilibrium of charged particles, there is

$$V_{eff} = \sqrt{f(r)} + \frac{e}{m}\Phi_e(r), \quad (62)$$


$V'_{eff} = 0$ can be used to determine the static equilibrium position, and on the the static equilibrium position,there is

$$\frac{e}{m} = -\frac{\left(\sqrt{f(r)}\right)'}{\Phi'_e(r)}. \quad (63)$$


When we consider Eq.(63), we can obtain

$$V''_{eff} = \left(\sqrt{f(r)}\right)'' - \left(\sqrt{f(r)}\right)' \frac{\Phi''_e(r)}{\Phi'_e(r)}. \quad (64)$$


Only when Eq.(64) is negative, the effective potential V_{eff} will have a maximum value at the static equilibrium position, which corresponds to the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s . As we shown in Figure.64, for the black hole represented by **Case 2-1**, within a certain range, static equilibrium always only has a minimum value of effective potential, which will not be discussed in this article.




(a) Case 1



(b) Case 2-1



(c) Case 2-2



(d) Case 2-3

Figure 12: V''_{eff} as a function of r corresponds to Eq.(11) and Eq.(12)

B Static equilibrium with only gravity

Since the metric functions of most black holes are monotonically increasing outside the horizon, it is extremely difficult for us to study the situation where particles maintain static equilibrium only under the action of gravitational potential. Fortunately, **Case 2-1** has an equilibrium position that allows particle to maintain static equilibrium with only gravity.

When only gravitational potential is considered, the effective potential is

$$V_{eff}(r) = \sqrt{f(r)}, \quad (65)$$

and (19) reduces to

$$\lambda = \sqrt{-\frac{f(r)f''(r)}{2}}. \quad (66)$$

From the analysis of the effective potential curve, we can know that V_{eff} has maximum and minimum values. We will study λ at the maximum position of V_{eff} . Since this position is far from the black hole horizon, the “maximal” Lyapunov exponent λ_s should not be given by surface gravity κ .

Near the black hole given by **Case 2-1**, V_{eff} has a maximum at $r = 2.84801$. At this position, from Eq.(66), we can obtain the Lyapunov exponent of static equilibrium of neutral particles considering only radial direction




Figure 13: When only the gravity is considered, for **Case 2-1**, V_{eff} has two extreme points, namely the maximum point $r = 2.84801$ and the minimum point $r = 6.14209$.

References

- [1] C. Dettmann and N. Frankel and N. Cornish, “*Chaos and Fractals around Black Holes*,” [arXiv:9502014[gr-qc]].
- [2] Suzuki, Shingo and Maeda, Kei-ichi, “*Chaos in Schwarzschild spacetime: The motion of a spinning particle*,” [arXiv:9604020[gr-qc]].
- [3] P. S. Letelier and W. M. Vieira, “*Chaos and Rotating Black Holes with Halos*,” [arXiv:9712008[gr-qc]].
- [4] Alessandro P. S. de Moura and Patricio S. Letelier, “*Chaos and Fractals in Geodesic Motions Around a Non-Rotating Black-Hole with an External Halo*,” [arXiv:chaodyn/9910035].
- [5] Neil J. Cornish and Janna Levin, “*Lyapunov timescales and black hole binaries*,” [arXiv:0304056[gr-qc]].
- [6] Partha Pratim Pradhan, “*Lyapunov Exponent and Charged Myers Perry Spacetimes*,” [arXiv:1302.2536[gr-qc]].
- [7] M. Shahzadi and Z. Yousaf and Saeed Ullah Khan, “*Circular Motion and Energy Extraction in a Rotating Black Hole*,” [arXiv:1812.11036[gr-qc]].
- [8] Surojit Dalui and Bibhas Ranjan Majhi and Pankaj Kumar Mishra, “*Presence of horizon makes particle motion chaotic*,” [arXiv:1803.06527[gr-qc]].
- [9] Surojit Dalui and Bibhas Ranjan Majhi and Pankaj Mishra, “*Induction of chaotic fluctuations in particle dynamics in a uniformly accelerated frame*,” [arXiv:1904.11760[gr-qc]].
- [10] Surojit Dalui and Bibhas Ranjan Majhi and Pankaj Mishra, “*Horizon induces instability locally and creates quantum thermality*,” [arXiv:1910.07989[gr-qc]].
- [11] Surojit Dalui and Bibhas Ranjan Majhi, “*Near horizon local instability and quantum thermality*,” [arXiv:2007.14312[gr-qc]].

- [12] E. S. C. Ching and P. T. Leung and W. M. Suen and K. Young, “*Quasi-Normal Mode Expansion for Linearized Waves in Gravitational Systems*,” [arXiv:9408043[gr-qc]].
- [13] E. S. C. Ching and P. T. Leung and W. M. Suen and K. Young, “*Wave Propagation in Gravitational Systems: Completeness of Quasinormal Modes*,” [arXiv:9507034[gr-qc]].
- [14] Hans-Peter Nollert, “*About the Significance of Quasinormal Modes of Black Holes*,” [arXiv:9602032[gr-qc]].
- [15] Gary T. Horowitz and Veronika E. Hubeny, “*Quasinormal Modes of AdS Black Holes and the Approach to Thermal Equilibrium*,” [arXiv:9909056[gr-qc]].
- [16] Vitor Cardoso and Alex S. Miranda and Emanuele Berti and Helvi Wittek and Vilson T. Zanchin, “*Geodesic stability, Lyapunov exponents and quasinormal modes*,” [arXiv:0812.1806[hep-th]].
- [17] Sam R. Dolan and Adrian C. Ottewill, “*On an Expansion Method for Black Hole Quasinormal Modes and Regge Poles*,” [arXiv:0908.0329[gr-qc]].
- [18] Parthapratim Pradhan, “*Stability analysis and quasinormal modes of Reissner Nordström Space-time via Lyapunov exponent*,” [arXiv:1205.5656[gr-qc]].
- [19] Huan Yang and David A. Nichols and Fan Zhang and Aaron Zimmerman and Zhongyang Zhang and Yanbei Chen, “*Quasinormal-mode spectrum of Kerr black holes and its geometric interpretation*,” [arXiv:1207.4253[gr-qc]].
- [20] Partha Pratim Pradhan, “*ISCO, Lyapunov exponent and Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy for Kerr-Newman Black hole*,” [arXiv:1212.5758[gr-qc]].
- [21] Parthapratim Pradhan, “*Circular Geodesics in Tidal Charged Black Hole*,” [arXiv:1412.8123[gr-qc]].
- [22] Juan Martin Maldacena and Stephen H. Shenker and Douglas Stanford, “*A bound on chaos*,” [arXiv:1503.01409[hep-th]].
- [23] Koji Hashimoto and Norihiro Tanahashi, “*Universality in Chaos of Particle Motion near Black Hole Horizon*,” [arXiv:1610.06070[hep-th]].

- [24] Qing-Qing Zhao and Yuezhou Li and Hong Lü, “*Static Equilibria of Charged Particles Around Charged Black Holes: Chaos Bound and Its Violations*,” [arXiv:1809.04616v1[gr-qc]].
- [25] Hai-Shan Liu and Zhan-Feng Mai and Yuezhou Li and Hong Lü, “*Quasi-topological Electromagnetism: Dark Energy, Dyonic Black Holes, Stable Photon Spheres and Hidden Electromagnetic Duality*,” [arXiv:1907.10876[hep-th]].
- [26] Leonard Susskind, “*Why do Things Fall*,” [arXiv:1802.01198[hep-th]].
- [27] Leonard Susskind, “*Complexity and Newton’s Laws*,” [arXiv:1904.12819[hep-th]].
- [28] Shrohan Mohapatra and Sandip Mahish and Chandrasekhar Bhamidipati, “*Size-Momentum Correspondence and Chaos*,” [arXiv:1906.11127[hep-th]].
- [29] José L. F. Barbon and Javier Martín-García and Martin Sasieta, “*Momentum/Complexity Duality and the Black Hole Interior*,” [arXiv:1912.05996[hep-th]].
- [30] P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio and N. Losacco, “*Chaos in a $Q\bar{Q}$ system at finite temperature and baryon density*,” [arXiv:2007.06980[hep-th]].
- [31] Leonard Susskind, “*Addendum to Computational Complexity and Black Hole Horizons*,” [arXiv:1403.5695[hep-th]].
- [32] Adam R. Brown and Daniel A. Roberts and Leonard Susskind and Brian Swingle and Ying Zhao, “*Complexity Equals Action*,” [arXiv:1509.07876[hep-th]].
- [33] Adam R. Brown and Daniel A. Roberts and Leonard Susskind and Brian Swingle and Ying Zhao, “*Complexity, action, and black holes*,” [arXiv:1512.04993[hep-th]].
- [34] Douglas Stanford and Leonard Susskind, “*Complexity and Shock Wave Geometries*,” [arXiv:1406.2678[hep-th]].
- [35] Xian-Hui Ge and Bin Wang, “*Quantum computational complexity, Einstein’s equations and accelerated expansion of the Universe*,” [arXiv:1708.06811[hep-th]].

- [36] Wei Sun and Xian-Hui Ge, “*Complexity growth rate, grand potential and partition function,*” [arXiv:1912.00153[hep-th]].
- [37] Adam R. Brown and Hrant Gharibyan and Alexandre Streicher and Leonard Susskind and Larus Thorlacius and Ying Zhao, “*Falling Toward Charged Black Holes,*” [arXiv:1804.04156[hep-th]].
- [38] Dmitry S. Ageev and Irina Ya. Aref’eva, “*When things stop falling, chaos is suppressed,*” [arXiv:1806.05574[hep-th]].
- [39] Zhenhua Zhou and J. Wu, “*Particle motion and chaos,*” [arXiv:1807.00850[hep-th]].
- [40] QingBing Wang and Xian-Hui Ge, “*On the geometry outside of acoustic black holes in 2 + 1-dimensional spacetime,*” [arXiv:1912.05285[hep-th]].
- [41] Janna Levin and Gabe Perez-Giz, “*Homoclinic Orbits around Spinning Black Holes I: Exact Solution for the Kerr Separatrix,*” [arXiv:0811.3814[gr-qc]].
- [42] Gabe Perez-Giz and Janna Levin, “*Homoclinic Orbits around Spinning Black Holes II: The Phase Space Portrait,*” [arXiv:0811.3815[gr-qc]].
- [43] E. Hackmann and V. Kagramanova and J. Kunz and C. Lämmerzahl, “*Analytic solutions of the geodesic equation in axially symmetric space-times,*” [arXiv:0911.1634[gr-qc]].
- [44] Chandrasekhar S and Thorne K S, “*The mathematical theory of black holes,*” [J]. American Journal of Physics, 1988, 53(10):1013-1015.
- [45] Tianrui Xu and Thomas Scaffidi and Xiangyu Cao, “*Does Scrambling Equal Chaos?,*” [arXiv:1912.11063[cond-mat.stat-mech]].