

Convergence of stochastic 2D inviscid Boussinesq equations with transport noise to a deterministic viscous system

Dejun Luo*

August 5, 2020

Abstract

The inviscid 2D Boussinesq system with thermal diffusivity and multiplicative noise of transport type is studied in the L^2 -setting. It is shown that, under a suitable scaling of the noise, weak solutions to the stochastic 2D Boussinesq equations converge weakly to the unique solution of the deterministic viscous Boussinesq system. Consequently, the transport noise asymptotically regularizes the inviscid 2D Boussinesq system and enhances dissipation in the limit.

Keywords: 2D Boussinesq system, vorticity formulation, transport noise, weak convergence

MSC (2010): primary 60H15; secondary 35Q35

1 Introduction

Recently, some scaling limits have been proved for the vorticity form of stochastic two dimensional (2D) Euler equations on the torus perturbed by multiplicative noise of transport type, both in the white noise regime and in the more regular L^2 -regime. On the one hand, it was shown in [17] that, under a suitable scaling of the noise, the white noise solutions of stochastic 2D Euler equations converge weakly to the unique stationary solution of the 2D Navier-Stokes equation driven by space-time white noise; the latter has been studied intensively in the last three decades, cf. [1, 2, 14]. On the other hand, in the more regular L^2 -regime, we proved in [15] that the limit equation is the vorticity form of the deterministic 2D Navier-Stokes equations; see [19] for an earlier work on linear transport equations and [25, 26] for similar scaling limits in both regimes on the stochastic modified Surface Quasi-Geostrophic equations. A common feature in the above limit results is that the uniqueness of solutions to approximating nonlinear equations is unknown, while the limit equations are uniquely solvable in suitable sense. This shows the approximative regularizing effect of transport noises. Moreover, transport noise, which is formally energy-preserving, tends to dissipate energy in the limit process and thus it exhibits mixing property of the fluid. Another point, particularly relevant in the L^2 -regime, is that larger noise intensity leads to greater viscosity coefficient in the deterministic limit equations. This is closely related to the phenomenon of dissipation enhancement, which has been studied extensively in the deterministic setting, see e.g. [11, 23] and the references therein. In the recent paper [18], we have explored this idea to show that transport noise provides a bound on the vorticity for stochastic 3D Navier-Stokes equations with unitary viscosity, yielding long-term existence of solutions for large initial data, with large probability. The above

*Email: luodj@amss.ac.cn. Key Laboratory of RCSDS, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China and School of Mathematical Sciences, University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

limit results remind us of the analog with the theory of stabilization by noise [4, 5], which shows that suitable noises stabilize some finite dimensional linear ODE with a coefficient matrix of negative trace. The purpose of the present work is to establish a similar scaling limit for the 2D Boussinesq system.

1.1 Deterministic 2D Boussinesq system

The 2D Boussinesq system describes the evolution of the velocity field u of an incompressible fluid under a vertical force which is proportional to some scalar field θ (e.g., the temperature), the latter being transported by u . The viscous Boussinesq system with thermal diffusivity reads as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = \kappa \Delta \theta, \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \delta \Delta u + \theta e_2, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\kappa, \delta \geq 0$ are the diffusion coefficient and the kinematic viscosity, respectively; p is the pressure field, $e_2 = (0, 1)$ and thus θe_2 represents the buoyancy force. The interested readers can refer to [28] for geophysical background of the Boussinesq system.

When both κ and δ in (1.1) are positive constants, the existence and uniqueness of finite energy solutions are well known, see e.g. [9, 20]. According to Moffatt's paper [30], it is important to study the regularity problem of Boussinesq system in the cases of zero diffusivity ($\kappa = 0$) and zero viscosity ($\delta = 0$). On one hand, if $\kappa = 0$ and $\delta > 0$, it was proved in [12] that the viscous system also admits a unique global solution for any initial data with finite energy; therefore, in this case, the system (1.1) enjoys similar well posedness results as the 2D Navier-Stokes equations, though the proofs in [12] make use of some new and more technical tools. On the other hand, the theory on the zero viscosity case is less complete. If $\kappa > 0$ and $\delta = 0$, and the initial data (θ_0, u_0) have H^m -regularity for some integer $m > 2$, the global well posedness of (1.1) was proved in [10, Theorem 1.2]. This result was extended in [22] to the case where the initial velocity $u_0 \in B_{p,1}^{1+2/p}$ and the initial temperature $\theta_0 \in L^r$ for some $2 < r \leq p < \infty$.

In the current work we are concerned with the zero viscosity case, namely, the constants $\kappa > 0$ and $\delta = 0$ in (1.1). We restrict ourselves to the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ with periodic boundary condition, and reformulate the velocity equation in vorticity form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = \kappa \Delta \theta, \\ \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_1 \theta, \\ u = K * \omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$, $\omega = \nabla^\perp \cdot u = \partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1$ is the vorticity, K is the Biot-Savart kernel on \mathbb{T}^2 and $*$ the convolution operation. Note that the system preserves the average on \mathbb{T}^2 of solutions θ and ω , thus we shall always assume that θ_0 and ω_0 have zero average on \mathbb{T}^2 . Moreover, if $\theta \equiv 0$ then the system (1.2) reduces to the vorticity form of 2D Euler equations. We will work in the L^2 -regime, namely, the initial data (θ_0, ω_0) are square integrable functions on \mathbb{T}^2 . Similarly to the theory of 2D Euler equations, it is easy to show that (1.2) admits a weak solution for any L^2 -initial data (θ_0, ω_0) , but the uniqueness is open. In [13], Danchin and Paicu proved global well posedness of (1.2) for Yudovich-type initial data, i.e., $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and the initial temperature θ_0 fulfils a natural additional condition; see [31] for related recent results.

1.2 Our model and main result

Let us first give a very rough derivation of the stochastic model studied in the paper, which comes from the separation of scales and approximating small scales by noise, cf. [18, Section 1.2] or [27] for similar discussions. We decompose the initial condition as follows:

$$\theta_0 = \theta_{R,0} + \theta_{L,0}, \quad \omega_0 = \omega_{R,0} + \omega_{L,0},$$

where the subscript R stands for the ‘‘Resolved’’ scale part and S the ‘‘Small’’ scale part. Assume that one can solve the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta_R + u \cdot \nabla \theta_R = \kappa \Delta \theta_R, & \theta_R|_{t=0} = \theta_{R,0}, \\ \partial_t \omega_R + u \cdot \nabla \omega_R = \partial_1 \theta_R, & \omega_R|_{t=0} = \omega_{R,0}, \\ \partial_t \theta_S + u \cdot \nabla \theta_S = \kappa \Delta \theta_S, & \theta_S|_{t=0} = \theta_{S,0}, \\ \partial_t \omega_S + u \cdot \nabla \omega_S = \partial_1 \theta_S, & \omega_S|_{t=0} = \omega_{S,0} \end{cases}$$

with $u = K * (\omega_R + \omega_S)$; then the sums $\theta = \theta_R + \theta_S$ and $\omega = \omega_R + \omega_S$ solve (1.2). Compared to the large scale component (θ_R, ω_R) , in a certain limit, the small scale part (θ_S, ω_S) varies very fast in time and it is reasonable to approximate $u_S = K * \omega_S$ by some noise η which is white in time. Now, the equations for the large scale variables (θ_R, ω_R) have the form of 2D Boussinesq system (1.2) perturbed a multiplicative noise of transport type:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = \kappa \Delta \theta + \eta \cdot \nabla \theta, \\ \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_1 \theta + \eta \cdot \nabla \omega, \\ u = K * \omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where we have changed the variables $(\theta_R, \omega_R, u_R)$ back to (θ, ω, u) . The transport noise can also be motivated by some arguments from variational principles, see [21]. We mention that stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations perturbed by multiplicative transport noise have already been considered in [7, 29].

The noise η considered in this paper has the following form:

$$\eta(t, x) = \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k(x) \dot{W}_t^k,$$

where $\nu > 0$ represents the intensity of noise and $\sigma = \{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ belongs to $\ell^2 := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_0^2)$, the space of square summable real sequences with the norm $\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2} = (\sum_k \sigma_k^2)^{1/2}$; here, $\mathbb{Z}_0^2 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. We shall mainly consider those σ with compact support, namely, there are only finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$ such that $\sigma_k \neq 0$, and assume that σ verifies the symmetry property:

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_l \quad \text{for all } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 \text{ with } |k| = |l|. \quad (1.4)$$

The family $\{W^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ consists of independent standard complex Brownian motions, satisfying

$$[W^k, W^l]_t = 2t \delta_{k, -l}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2, \quad (1.5)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the joint quadratic variation. Finally, the family of divergence free vector fields $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ on \mathbb{T}^2 is given by

$$e_k(x) = e^{2\pi i k \cdot x} \begin{cases} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|}, & k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2, \\ -\frac{k^\perp}{|k|}, & k \in \mathbb{Z}_-^2, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_0^2 = \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \cup \mathbb{Z}_-^2$ is a partition of \mathbb{Z}_0^2 such that $\mathbb{Z}_+^2 = -\mathbb{Z}_-^2$. The noise $\eta(t, x)$ has the covariance matrix (use (1.5))

$$Q(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[\eta(t, x) \otimes \eta(t, y)] = \frac{2\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} e^{2\pi i k \cdot (x-y)},$$

in particular,

$$Q(x, x) = \frac{2\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} = \nu I_2, \quad (1.6)$$

where I_2 is the unit matrix of order 2.

Now, the stochastic equations considered in this paper can be written more explicitly as

$$\begin{cases} d\theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta dt = \kappa \Delta \theta dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k \cdot \nabla \theta \circ dW_t^k, \\ d\omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega dt = \partial_1 \theta dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k \cdot \nabla \omega \circ dW_t^k, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where $u = K * \omega$ and \circ means that the stochastic differential is understood in the Stratonovich sense. When the diffusion coefficient $\kappa = 0$, the local existence of regular solutions to (1.7) was proved in [3] under suitable conditions on the noise. By (1.6), it is not difficult to show that the equations (1.7) have the Itô form below:

$$\begin{cases} d\theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta dt = (\kappa + \nu) \Delta \theta dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k \cdot \nabla \theta dW_t^k, \\ d\omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega dt = (\partial_1 \theta + \nu \Delta \omega) dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k \cdot \nabla \omega dW_t^k, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

with $u = K * \omega$. We remark that, although the Laplacian operator appears in the vorticity equation above, the second equation in (1.8) is not dissipative since it is equivalent to the original Stratonovich equation in (1.7).

Remark 1.1. *It was proved in [13] that (1.2) is globally well posed for Yudovich type initial data, namely, the vorticity ω_0 is bounded. It would be nice to extend this result to the stochastic setting, namely, proving that (1.7) admits a unique global solution for bounded initial vorticity, similarly to [8] which extends Yudovich's result for 2D Euler equations to the stochastic case.*

As in the deterministic case, the system (1.8) with L^2 -initial data has a weak solution (θ, ω) such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s., $\theta \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and $\omega \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$. The solution is weak in both analytic sense and probabilistic sense; see Section 2 for the definition and existence of solutions to (1.8). Motivated by the recent papers [17, 19, 15, 25], we will prove that, for any suitably chosen sequence $\{\sigma^N\}_{N \geq 1} \subset \ell^2$, the martingale part in the stochastic 2D Boussinesq system (1.8) will vanish in a scaling limit and what we obtain is the deterministic system of viscous Boussinesq equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = (\kappa + \nu) \Delta \theta, \\ \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_1 \theta + \nu \Delta \omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where $u = K * \omega$. It is well known that, for any initial data $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, the system (1.9) admits a unique global solution, see e.g. Theorem 4.1 for a proof.

Before stating the main result of this paper, we introduce some notations. In the sequel, $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and, more generally, the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) are assumed to consist of functions with zero average; sometimes they will be simply written as L^2 and H^s . $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ will be the norms in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$), respectively. For $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, define $\|(\theta_0, \omega_0)\|_{L^2} = \|\theta_0\|_{L^2} \vee \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}$; moreover, given $\sigma \in \ell^2$, we denote by

$\mathcal{C}_\sigma(\theta_0, \omega_0) =$ collection of laws of weak solutions (θ, ω) to (1.8) with initial data (θ_0, ω_0) .

Moreover, we define the space

$$\mathcal{X} = (L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2)) \quad (1.10)$$

endowed with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ of product space. Here, $H^-(\mathbb{T}^2) = \cap_{s < 0} H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Finally, we denote by $\Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)$ the unique solution to (1.9) with initial data $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$.

Theorem 1.2 (Scaling limit). *Assume that the family $\{\sigma^N\}_{N \geq 1} \subset \ell^2$ satisfies (1.4) and*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^\infty}}{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^2}} = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^\infty} = \sup_k |\theta_k^N|$. Then, for any $R > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|(\theta_0, \omega_0)\|_{L^2} \leq R} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^N}(\theta_0, \omega_0)} Q(\{\varphi \in \mathcal{X} : \|\varphi - \Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)\|_{\mathcal{X}} > \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

A simple example for the condition (1.11) is given here: for a constant $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, let

$$\sigma_k^N = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\{|k| \leq N\}}, & \text{if } \beta \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\{N \leq |k| \leq 2N\}}, & \text{if } \beta \in (1, \infty), \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2.$$

The reason for the difference in definitions is that $\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} < \infty$ if $\beta > 1$, thus we need $\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which is ensured by setting $\sigma_k^N = 0$ for all $|k| < N$. Theorem 1.2 will be proved in Section 3.

Remark 1.3. (i) *Following [25], one can generalize the result of Theorem 1.2 to Boussinesq type equations:*

$$\begin{cases} d\theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta dt = \kappa \Delta \theta dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k \cdot \nabla \theta \circ dW_t^k, \\ d\omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega dt = \partial_1 \theta dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k e_k \cdot \nabla \omega \circ dW_t^k, \\ u = K_\varepsilon * \omega, \end{cases}$$

where, for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, K_ε is the kernel corresponding to the operator $-\nabla^\perp(-\Delta)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}$, and K_1 coincides with the Biot-Savart kernel. The limit equations will be

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = (\kappa + \nu) \Delta \theta, \\ \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_1 \theta + \nu \Delta \omega, \\ u = K_\varepsilon * \omega. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *On the contrary, it is not clear to the author how to treat the system (1.7) with zero diffusivity, namely, $\kappa = 0$.*

The above limit result has some interesting consequences which have been briefly discussed at the beginning of the introduction; here, we explain in more detail the approximate weak uniqueness of the stochastic 2D Boussinesq system (1.7). To the author's knowledge, for L^2 -initial data, it is still an open question whether the uniqueness of solutions holds for the deterministic 2D Boussinesq system (1.2). Although we cannot prove that transport noises have enough regularizing effect so that the stochastic 2D Boussinesq equations (1.7) admit a unique solution in the L^2 -setting, the above theorem gives us a uniquely solvable system in the limit. As a result, distances between the laws of weak solutions to (1.7) will vanish as $N \rightarrow \infty$. To be more precise, recall that $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma N}(\theta_0, \omega_0)$ is the collection of laws Q_N of weak solutions (θ_N, ω_N) to (1.7) with initial data $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, which can be viewed as probability measures on \mathcal{X} defined in (1.10). Let $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a distance on \mathcal{X} metrizing the weak convergence of probability measures on \mathcal{X} . Then, from Theorem 1.2 it is not difficult to deduce that

$$\sup_{Q_N, Q'_N \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma N}(\theta_0, \omega_0)} \rho(Q_N, Q'_N) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, it is reasonable to say that transport noises approximatively regularize the 2D Boussinesq equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the meaning of weak solutions to (1.8) and provide a relatively sketched proof of existence of solutions. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2, by following the method of [25, Section 3]. Finally, for the reader's convenience, we give in Section 4 a proof of uniqueness of solutions to the deterministic viscous Boussinesq system (1.9).

2 Existence of weak solutions to (1.8)

In this section we show that, for any L^2 -initial data (θ_0, ω_0) , the stochastic 2D Boussinesq equations (1.8) have a weak solution with some desired regularity properties. First, we introduce a few more notations. $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is the space of smooth functions on \mathbb{T}^2 . We write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, we shall write $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(L^q)}$ for the norm in $L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{T}^2))$. Recall that the Biot-Savart kernel K can be viewed as a bounded linear operator from $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ to $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^2)$. In the sequel we use Ξ to denote probability spaces since the notation ω has been reserved for vorticity.

We first explain the meaning of weak solutions to (1.8).

Definition 2.1. *Given $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, we say that the stochastic 2D Boussinesq system (1.8) has a weak solution with initial data (θ_0, ω_0) if there exists a filtered probability $(\Xi, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$, a family of independent (\mathcal{F}_t) -Brownian motions $\{W_t^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$, and a pair of (\mathcal{F}_t) -progressively measurable processes $\{(\theta_t, \omega_t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that*

- (a) *one has $\theta, \omega \in L^2(\Xi, L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)))$;*
- (b) *for any $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$, the following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_t, \phi \rangle &= \langle \theta_0, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t [\langle \theta_s, u_s \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle + (\kappa + \nu) \langle \theta_s, \Delta \phi \rangle] ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \int_0^t \langle \theta_s, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle dW_s^k, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \omega_t, \phi \rangle &= \langle \omega_0, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t [\langle \omega_s, u_s \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle \theta_s, \partial_1 \phi \rangle + \nu \langle \omega_s, \Delta \phi \rangle] ds \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \int_0^t \langle \omega_s, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle dW_s^k,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $u_s = K * \omega_s$, $s \in [0, T]$.

It is clear that, thanks to the properties in (a), all the terms in (2.1) and (2.2) make sense. For instance, by the Itô isometry and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \int_0^t \langle \theta_s, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle dW_s^k \right|^2 \right) &= \frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_k \sigma_k^2 \int_0^t |\langle \theta_s, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle|^2 ds \right) \\
&\leq \frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\theta_s\|_{L^2}^2 \|e_k \cdot \nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&\leq 4\nu \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\theta_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the martingale part in (2.1) is square integrable. Similar result holds for (2.2). The main result of this section gives the existence of weak solutions to (1.8).

Theorem 2.2. *For any $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, the stochastic 2D Boussinesq system (1.8) admits a weak solution (θ, ω) in the sense of Definition 2.1. Moreover, the processes θ and ω have trajectories in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1)$ and in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$, respectively, and*

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \vee \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(L^2)} \leq (1 \vee \kappa^{-1/2}) \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}, \quad \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2} + C_{\kappa, T} \|\omega_0\|_{L^2},$$

where $C_{\kappa, T}$ is some constant depending only on κ and T .

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2. The arguments are by now classical, and make use of the Galerkin approximation and compactness method, see e.g. [16, 15]. First we give some a priori estimates.

Lemma 2.3. *The following estimates hold \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$:*

$$\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|\omega_t\|_{L^2} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + C_{\kappa, T} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2},$$

where $C_{\kappa, T}$ is some constant depending only on κ, T .

Proof. Recall the first equation in (1.8); by the Itô formula and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
d\|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 &= 2\langle \theta, -u \cdot \nabla \theta + (\kappa + \nu)\Delta \theta \rangle dt + \frac{2\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \langle \theta, e_k \cdot \nabla \theta \rangle dW_t^k \\
&\quad + \frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \|e_k \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
&= -2(\kappa + \nu) \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \|e_k \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second step we have used integration by parts and the facts that $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot e_k = 0$. By a similar computation as in (1.6), we have

$$\frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \|e_k \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\nu \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and thus $d\|\theta\|_{L^2}^2 = -2\kappa\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 dt$. This immediately gives us the first estimate.

In the same way, using the second equation in (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 &= 2\langle\omega, -u \cdot \nabla\omega + \partial_1\theta + \nu\Delta\omega\rangle dt + \frac{2\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \langle\omega, e_k \cdot \nabla\omega\rangle dW_t^k \\ &\quad + \frac{4\nu}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \|e_k \cdot \nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &= 2\langle\omega, \partial_1\theta\rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy inequality leads to

$$d\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\|\omega\|_{L^2}\|\partial_1\theta\|_{L^2} dt \leq 2\|\omega\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} dt,$$

and thus $d\|\omega\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} dt$. Therefore,

$$\|\omega_t\|_{L^2} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla\theta_s\|_{L^2} ds \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{T}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2(L^2)},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(L^2)}$ is the norm in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$. Combining this inequality with the first estimate, we complete the proof. \square

Let H_N be the finite dimensional subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ spanned by $e^{2\pi ik \cdot x}$, $0 < |k| \leq N$ and $\Pi_N : L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow H_N$ the orthogonal projection. We consider the Galerkin approximation of the system (1.8):

$$\begin{cases} d\theta^N + \Pi_N(u^N \cdot \nabla\theta^N) dt = (\kappa + \nu)\Delta\theta^N dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \Pi_N(e_k \cdot \nabla\theta^N) dW_t^k, \\ d\omega^N + \Pi_N(u^N \cdot \nabla\omega^N) dt = (\partial_1\theta^N + \nu\Delta\omega^N) dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k \Pi_N(e_k \cdot \nabla\omega^N) dW_t^k \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

with the initial data $\theta_0^N = \Pi_N\theta_0$ and $\omega_0^N = \Pi_N\omega_0$. Here, $u^N = K * \omega^N$. Using Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following uniform bounds: for all $N \geq 1$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\theta_t^N\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla\theta_s^N\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|\omega_t^N\|_{L^2} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + C_{\kappa, T}\|\theta_0\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $C_{\kappa, T}$ is independent of N . It follows that the family $\{(\theta^N, \omega^N)\}_{N \geq 1}$ is bounded in

$$L^\infty(\Xi, L^\infty(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T, H^1(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times L^\infty(\Xi, L^\infty(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))).$$

As a result, we can find a subsequence $\{(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})\}_{i \geq 1}$ which is weakly convergent in the above space. In order to show that the weak limit solves the equations (2.1) and (2.2), we need stronger convergence result.

Let η_N be the joint law of (θ^N, ω^N) , $N \geq 1$; we want to show that the family $\{\eta_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ is tight on the following space

$$(L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2)).$$

Denote by P_N (resp. Q_N) the law of θ^N (resp. ω^N), $N \geq 1$; it is sufficient to show that the two families $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ and $\{Q_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ are tight respectively on $L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and on $C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$. For this purpose, we will apply Simon's compactness theorems (cf.

[32]) which makes use of time fractional Sobolev space. For $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $p > 1$ and a normed linear space $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, the fractional Sobolev space $W^{\gamma,p}(0, T; Y)$ consists of those functions $\varphi \in L^p(0, T; Y)$ such that

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)\|_Y^p}{|t - s|^{1+\gamma p}} dt ds < \infty.$$

In the following we take $Y = H^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 5$, a choice due to the calculations in the proof of Corollary 2.7.

Proposition 2.4. (i) *For any $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, we have the compact embedding:*

$$L^2(0, T; H^1) \cap W^{\gamma,2}(0, T; H^{-\alpha}) \subset L^2(0, T; L^2).$$

(ii) *The following embedding is compact:*

$$L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \cap W^{1/3,4}(0, T; H^{-\alpha}) \subset C([0, T], H^-).$$

Proof. Assertion (i) is a direct consequence of [32, page 86, Corollary 5]. Next, we deduce from [32, page 90, Corollary 9] that, for any fixed $\delta > 0$, the inclusion

$$L^p(0, T; L^2) \cap W^{1/3,4}(0, T; H^{-\alpha}) \subset C([0, T], H^{-\delta})$$

is compact for all p big enough. This implies the second assertion since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary. \square

For $N \geq 1$, let ξ_N be a stochastic process with trajectories in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^1)$ and denote its law by L_N . One can deduce the following results from Proposition 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. (i) *If there is $C > 0$ such that for all $N \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\xi_N(t)\|_{H^1}^2 dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|\xi_N(t) - \xi_N(s)\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^2}{|t - s|^{1+2\gamma}} dt ds \leq C, \quad (2.5)$$

then $\{L_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight on $L^2(0, T; L^2)$.

(ii) *If for any $p > 1$, there is $C_p > 0$ such that for all $N \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\xi_N(t)\|_{L^2}^p dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|\xi_N(t) - \xi_N(s)\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^4}{|t - s|^{7/3}} dt ds \leq C_p, \quad (2.6)$$

then $\{L_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight on $C([0, T], H^-)$.

In order to apply Corollary 2.5 to the laws of the processes $\{\theta^N\}_{N \geq 1}$ and $\{\omega^N\}_{N \geq 1}$, we need to estimate the H^{-4} -norm of the increments $\theta_t^N - \theta_s^N$ and $\omega_t^N - \omega_s^N$, $0 \leq s < t \leq T$. This is done in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Denote by $f_k(x) = e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$. There exists $C = C_{\kappa, \nu, T, \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}, \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}} > 0$, independent of N , such that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, it holds*

$$\mathbb{E}(|\langle \theta_t^N - \theta_s^N, f_k \rangle|^4) \vee \mathbb{E}(|\langle \omega_t^N - \omega_s^N, f_k \rangle|^4) \leq C |k|^8 (t - s)^2.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$ with $|k| \leq N$. By the first equation in (2.3),

$$\langle \theta_t^N - \theta_s^N, f_k \rangle = \int_s^t [\langle \theta_r^N, u_r^N \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle + (\kappa + \nu) \langle \theta_r^N, \Delta f_k \rangle] dr - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_l \sigma_l \int_s^t \langle \theta_r^N, e_l \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle dW_r^l.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|\langle \theta_t^N - \theta_s^N, f_k \rangle|^4) &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t [\langle \theta_r^N, u_r^N \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle + (\kappa + \nu) \langle \theta_r^N, \Delta f_k \rangle] dr \right|^4 \right] \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_l \sigma_l \int_s^t \langle \theta_r^N, e_l \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle dW_r^l \right|^4 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the bounds (2.4) and the facts $|\nabla f_k| = 2\pi|k|$, $|\Delta f_k| = 4\pi^2|k|^2$, it is easy to show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^t [\langle \theta_r^N, u_r^N \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle + (\kappa + \nu) \langle \theta_r^N, \Delta f_k \rangle] dr \right|^4 \right] \leq C_{\kappa, \nu, T, \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}, \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}} |k|^8 (t-s)^4.$$

Next, by the Burkholder-Daves-Gundy inequality and the estimate $\|\theta_r^N\|_{L^2} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_l \sigma_l \int_s^t \langle \theta_r^N, e_l \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle dW_r^l \right|^4 \right] &\leq C \frac{\nu^2}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^4} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_l \sigma_l^2 \int_s^t |\langle \theta_r^N, e_l \cdot \nabla f_k \rangle|^2 dr \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq C \frac{\nu^2}{\|\sigma\|_{\ell^2}^4} \left(\sum_l \sigma_l^2 \int_s^t \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot 4\pi^2 |k|^2 dr \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_{\nu, \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}} |k|^4 (t-s)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these arguments we obtain the estimate on the process θ^N . In the same way, using the second equation in (2.3) we can prove the estimate for ω^N . \square

Recall that P_N (resp. Q_N) is the law of the process θ^N (resp. ω^N), $N \geq 1$. With the above estimates in hand, we can prove the tightness of the laws $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ and $\{Q_N\}_{N \geq 1}$.

Corollary 2.7. *The family of laws $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ is tight on $L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and the family $\{Q_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ is tight on $C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$.*

Proof. We only show the tightness of $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$; the proof of the second assertion is easier. First, to show the tightness of $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ on $L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$, by (2.4) and Corollary 2.5(i), it suffices to consider the second expectation in (2.5). We have, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\theta_t^N - \theta_s^N\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^2) = \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\alpha}} |\langle \theta_t^N - \theta_s^N, f_k \rangle|^2 \right) \leq \sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\alpha}} C |k|^4 |t-s| \leq C_\alpha |t-s|$$

since $\alpha > 5$. Here, the constant C_α is independent of N . Therefore, for any $N \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|\theta_t^N - \theta_s^N\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^2}{|t-s|^{1+2\gamma}} dt ds \leq \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{C_\alpha |t-s|}{|t-s|^{1+2\gamma}} dt ds \leq C'$$

since $\gamma < 1/2$. This implies that $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ is tight on $L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$.

Next, by Cauchy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\|\theta_t^N - \theta_s^N\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^4) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\alpha}} |\langle \theta_t^N - \theta_s^N, f_k \rangle|^2 \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\alpha}} \right) \sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E}(|\langle \theta_t^N - \theta_s^N, f_k \rangle|^4) \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sum_k \frac{1}{|k|^{2\alpha}} C |k|^8 |t-s|^2 \leq C'_\alpha |t-s|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used again the fact that $\alpha > 5$. As a result,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|\theta_t^N - \theta_s^N\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^4}{|t-s|^{7/3}} dt ds \leq \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{C'_\alpha |t-s|^2}{|t-s|^{7/3}} dt ds \leq C''.$$

The assertion (ii) of Corollary 2.5 implies the tightness of $\{P_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ on $C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$. \square

Now recall that η_N is the joint law of the pair of processes (θ^N, ω^N) , $N \geq 1$. We conclude from Corollary 2.7 that the family $\{\eta_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ is tight on

$$\mathcal{X} = (L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2)).$$

The rest of the arguments is quite classical, and thus we only give a sketch here. By the Prohorov theorem ([6, p.59, Theorem 5.1]), we can find a subsequence $\{N_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ such that η_{N_i} converges weakly as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to some probability measure η supported on \mathcal{X} . Next, the Skorokhod representation theorem ([6, p.70, Theorem 6.7]) implies that there exist a new probability space $(\tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, a sequence of stochastic processes $(\tilde{\theta}^{N_i}, \tilde{\omega}^{N_i})$ and a limit process $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\omega})$ defined on $(\tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, such that

- (1) for any $i \geq 1$, the pair $(\tilde{\theta}^{N_i}, \tilde{\omega}^{N_i})$ has the same law η_{N_i} as $(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})$;
- (2) $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s., $(\tilde{\theta}^{N_i}, \tilde{\omega}^{N_i})$ converges in the topology of

$$(L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$$

to the limit process $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\omega})$.

Combining the assertion (1) and the uniform bounds (2.4), we conclude that, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. for all $i \geq 1$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\tilde{\theta}_t^{N_i}\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla \tilde{\theta}_s^{N_i}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|\tilde{\omega}_t^{N_i}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + C_{\kappa, T} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.7)$$

From these bounds, it is not difficult to show that (cf. [15, Lemma 3.5]) the limit processes $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfy

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{\omega}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^2} + C_{\kappa, T} \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Moreover, one can prove that the process $\tilde{\theta}$ is weakly differentiable in the spatial variable and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \|\nabla \tilde{\theta}\|_{L^2(L^2)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore, the pair $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\omega})$ verifies the second assertion in Theorem 2.2.

Next, let $\tilde{u} = K * \tilde{\omega}$ be the corresponding velocity field on the new probability space $(\tilde{\Xi}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$. Thanks to the convergence results in item (2) above, it is standard to show that the processes $\tilde{\theta}$, $\tilde{\omega}$ and \tilde{u} satisfy the equations (2.1) and (2.2). We remark that, for any $i \geq 1$, we need also to find a sequence of independent complex Brownian motions $\{\tilde{W}^{N_i, k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$, as well as a family of limit Brownian motions $\{\tilde{W}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$, such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s., $\tilde{W}^{N_i, k}$ converges to \tilde{W}^k in the topology of $C([0, T], \mathbb{C})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$. To this end, we can consider the law \mathcal{W} of the family $\{W^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ together with η_N , $N \geq 1$. It is easy to show that the family $\{\eta_N \otimes \mathcal{W}\}_{N \geq 1}$ of joint laws is tight on some suitable space, and then we apply the Prohorov theorem and the Skorokhod theorem. We omit the details here, see the discussions above (3.8) in [15].

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we take a sequence $\{\sigma^N\}_{N \geq 1} \subset \ell^2$ such that, for all $N \geq 1$

$$\sigma_k^N = \sigma_l^N \quad \text{for all } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 \text{ with } |k| = |l|,$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^\infty}}{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^2}} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For any $N \geq 1$, we consider the equations

$$\begin{cases} d\theta^N + u^N \cdot \nabla \theta^N dt = (\kappa + \nu) \Delta \theta^N dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^N e_k \cdot \nabla \theta^N dW_t^k, \\ d\omega^N + u^N \cdot \nabla \omega^N dt = (\partial_1 \theta^N + \nu \Delta \omega^N) dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^N e_k \cdot \nabla \omega^N dW_t^k \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

with the initial data $(\theta_0^N, \omega_0^N) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$. Here, $u^N = K * \omega^N$. By Theorem 2.2, the above system admits a weak solution (θ^N, ω^N) in the sense of Definition 2.1, defined on some probability space $(\Xi, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$; furthermore, we have the following assertions:

(a') for any $N \geq 1$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., one has

$$\|\theta^N\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \vee \|\nabla \theta^N\|_{L^2(L^2)} \leq (1 \vee \kappa^{-1/2}) \|\theta_0^N\|_{L^2}, \quad \|\omega^N\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq \|\theta_0^N\|_{L^2} + C_{\kappa, T} \|\omega_0^N\|_{L^2}; \quad (3.3)$$

(b') for any $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_t^N, \phi \rangle &= \langle \theta_0^N, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t [\langle \theta_s^N, u_s^N \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle + (\kappa + \nu) \langle \theta_s^N, \Delta \phi \rangle] ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^N \int_0^t \langle \theta_s^N, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle dW_s^k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_t^N, \phi \rangle &= \langle \omega_0^N, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t [\langle \omega_s^N, u_s^N \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle \theta_s^N, \partial_1 \phi \rangle + \nu \langle \omega_s^N, \Delta \phi \rangle] ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^N\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^N \int_0^t \langle \omega_s^N, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle dW_s^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We remark that the processes (θ^N, ω^N) might be defined on different probability spaces, but for simplicity we do not distinguish the notations $\Xi, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E}$ etc.

We first prove the following intermediate convergence result.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the sequence (θ_0^N, ω_0^N) converges weakly in $(L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$ to some limit (θ_0, ω_0) . Then, the sequence (θ^N, ω^N) of weak solutions converges weakly to the unique solution of the deterministic viscous Boussinesq system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = (\kappa + \nu) \Delta \theta, \\ \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_1 \theta + \nu \Delta \omega \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

with initial data (θ_0, ω_0) .

Proof. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{N \geq 1} (\|\theta_0^N\|_{L^2} \vee \|\omega_0^N\|_{L^2}) \leq C < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Combining this bound with the estimates (3.3), we can repeat the arguments in Section 2 to show that the family $\{\eta^N\}_{N \geq 1}$ of laws of the processes $\{(\theta^N, \omega^N)\}_{N \geq 1}$ is tight on

$$(L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2)).$$

Consequently, applying the Prohorov theorem and the Skorokhod theorem, we can find a subsequence $\{\eta^{N_i}\}_{i \geq 1}$ and a new probability space $(\hat{\Xi}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$, and a sequence of processes $\{(\hat{\theta}^{N_i}, \hat{\omega}^{N_i})\}_{i \geq 1}$ and a limit process $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\omega})$ defined on $(\hat{\Xi}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$, such that

- (1') for any $i \geq 1$, the pair $(\hat{\theta}^{N_i}, \hat{\omega}^{N_i})$ has the same law η^{N_i} as $(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})$;
- (2') $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s., $(\hat{\theta}^{N_i}, \hat{\omega}^{N_i})$ converges in the topology of

$$(L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))) \times C(0, T, H^-(\mathbb{T}^2))$$

to the limit process $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\omega})$.

Combining the assertion (1') with the equations (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that the pair $(\hat{\theta}^{N_i}, \hat{\omega}^{N_i})$ satisfies the same equations. Indeed, similarly to the discussions at the end of Section 2, for any $i \geq 1$, there exists a family of independent Brownian motions $\{\hat{W}_s^{N_i, k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ such that for all $i \geq 1$, for any $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, one has $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\theta}_t^{N_i}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \theta_0^{N_i}, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t [\langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, \hat{u}_s^{N_i} \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle + (\kappa + \nu) \langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, \Delta \phi \rangle] ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^{N_i} \int_0^t \langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle d\hat{W}_s^{N_i, k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\omega}_t^{N_i}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \omega_0^{N_i}, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t [\langle \hat{\omega}_s^{N_i}, \hat{u}_s^{N_i} \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle - \langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, \partial_1 \phi \rangle + \nu \langle \hat{\omega}_s^{N_i}, \Delta \phi \rangle] ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^{N_i} \int_0^t \langle \hat{\omega}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle d\hat{W}_s^{N_i, k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\hat{u}_s^{N_i} = K * \hat{\omega}_s^{N_i}$, $s \in [0, T]$. Furthermore, thanks to the bounds (3.3) and (3.7), we deduce from assertion (1') that, $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.,

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} (\|\hat{\theta}^{N_i}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \vee \|\nabla \hat{\theta}^{N_i}\|_{L^2(L^2)}) \leq C' < \infty, \quad \sup_{i \geq 1} \|\hat{\omega}^{N_i}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq C' < \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Combining the above uniform estimates with assertion (2'), it is easy to show that all the terms in (3.8) and (3.9), except the stochastic integrals, converge as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to the corresponding limits. In the following, we prove that the stochastic integrals vanish in the mean square sense. Indeed, by the Burkholder-Daves-Gundy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^{N_i} \int_0^t \langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle d\hat{W}_s^{N_i, k} \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{2\nu}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}^2} \sum_k (\sigma_k^{N_i})^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle|^2 ds \\ &\leq 2\nu \frac{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^\infty}^2}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}^2} \mathbb{E} \sum_k \int_0^t |\langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the family of vector fields $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ is orthonormal; by the Bessel inequality,

$$\sum_k |\langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle|^2 \leq \|\hat{\theta}_s^{N_i} \nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_\infty^2 \leq C \|\nabla \phi\|_\infty^2,$$

where the last step follows from (3.10). Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}} \sum_k \sigma_k^{N_i} \int_0^t \langle \hat{\theta}_s^{N_i}, e_k \cdot \nabla \phi \rangle d\hat{W}_s^{N_i, k} \right|^2 \right] \leq C\nu T \|\nabla \phi\|_\infty^2 \frac{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^\infty}^2}{\|\sigma^{N_i}\|_{\ell^2}^2}$$

which, by (3.1), tends to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. In the same way, we can show that the martingale part in (3.9) also vanishes as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the limit $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\omega})$ is a weak solution to (3.6). Since the system (3.6) admits a unique solution for any initial data $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, we conclude that the whole sequence $\{(\hat{\theta}^N, \hat{\omega}^N)\}_{N \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\omega})$. This immediately leads to the desired result. \square

Now we can prove the main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We argue by contraction. Suppose that there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|(\theta_0, \omega_0)\|_{L^2} \leq R} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^N}(\theta_0, \omega_0)} Q(\{\varphi \in \mathcal{X} : \|\varphi - \Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)\|_{\mathcal{X}} > \varepsilon_0\}) > 0.$$

Then, there is a subsequence $\{N_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ and $(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i}) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$ with $\sup_{i \geq 1} \|(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})\|_{L^2} \leq R$, and $Q^{N_i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{N_i}}(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$ such that (choose ε_0 even smaller if necessary)

$$Q^{N_i}(\{\varphi \in \mathcal{X} : \|\varphi - \Phi.(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})\|_{\mathcal{X}} > \varepsilon_0\}) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad i \geq 1. \quad (3.11)$$

First, since $\sup_{i \geq 1} \|(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})\|_{L^2} \leq R$, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$ converges weakly in $(L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$ to some (θ_0, ω_0) . For any $i \geq 1$, let $(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})$ be a weak solution to (1.8) in the sense of Definition 2.1, with $\sigma = \sigma^{N_i}$ and distributed as Q^{N_i} ; in particular, it has the initial data $(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$. Using again the boundedness of the family $\{(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})\}_{i \geq 1}$ and by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} \left(\|\theta^{N_i}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \vee \|\nabla \theta^{N_i}\|_{L^2(L^2)} \right) \bigvee \sup_{i \geq 1} \|\theta^{N_i}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq C_0 < \infty;$$

moreover, $(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})$ satisfies stochastic equations of the form (3.4) and (3.5). Therefore, we can repeat the arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.1 to show that, up to a further subsequence, $(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})$ converges weakly to the unique solution $\Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)$ of the deterministic system (3.6) with initial data (θ_0, ω_0) . Since the limit is deterministic, we conclude that $(\theta^{N_i}, \omega^{N_i})$ converges also in probability to $\Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)$. This implies that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Q^{N_i}(\{\varphi \in \mathcal{X} : \|\varphi - \Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)\|_{\mathcal{X}} > \varepsilon_0\}) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Next, for any $i \geq 1$, recall that $\Phi.(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$ is the unique solution to the deterministic system (3.6) with initial data $(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$. Using the equations in (3.6) and the weak convergence of $(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$ to (θ_0, ω_0) , it is easy to see that, up to a subsequence, $\Phi.(\theta_0^{N_i}, \omega_0^{N_i})$ converges in the topology of \mathcal{X} to the limit $\Phi.(\theta_0, \omega_0)$, which is the solution of the system (3.6) with initial data (θ_0, ω_0) . Combining this fact with (3.12), we immediately get a contradiction to (3.11). This completes the proof. \square

4 Appendix: Uniqueness of viscous Boussinesq system with L^2 -initial data

In this section we prove that the viscous system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = \kappa \Delta \theta, \\ \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = \partial_1 \theta + \nu \Delta \omega, \\ u = K * \omega \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

admits a unique global solution for L^2 -initial data (θ_0, ω_0) . Since the precise values of κ and ν are not important in the arguments below, we assume $\kappa = \nu = 1$ for simplicity.

We first give some classical a priori estimates. Since the velocity field u is divergence free, the first equation in (4.1) yields

$$\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds = \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.2)$$

Using the second equation we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = 2 \langle \omega, \Delta \omega + \partial_1 \theta \rangle \leq -2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|\omega\|_{L^2} \|\partial_1 \theta\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, $\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2 \|\omega\|_{L^2} \|\partial_1 \theta\|_{L^2}$, and thus $\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_1 \theta\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_t\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta_s\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{T} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(L^2)} \leq (1 \vee \sqrt{T}) (\|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(L^2)} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))}$ and we have used (4.2) in the last step. Integrating (4.3) in time yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \omega_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\omega_s\|_{L^2} \|\partial_1 \theta_s\|_{L^2} ds \\ &\leq \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \|\omega\|_{L^2(L^2)} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(L^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with (4.2) and (4.4), we arrive at

$$\|\omega_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \omega_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C_T (\|\omega_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (4.5)$$

From the estimates (4.2) and (4.5), an application of the Galerkin approximation yields the existence of solutions to the system (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Given $(\theta_0, \omega_0) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))^2$, the system (4.1) admits a unique solution in*

$$\theta, \omega \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2));$$

moreover, there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that the solution fulfils the bounds

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \vee \|\theta\|_{L^2(H^1)} \vee \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} \vee \|\omega\|_{L^2(H^1)} \leq C_T (\|\omega_0\|_{L^2} + \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}). \quad (4.6)$$

The proof of the uniqueness assertion is similar to that of 2D Navier-Stokes equations. We introduce the following space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{f \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)) : \partial_t f \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^2))\},$$

where the time derivative is understood in the distributional sense. It is a classical result (cf. [24, p. 39, Lemma 2.1.5]) that \mathcal{H} is continuously embedded into $C([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$ and compactly embedded in $L^2([0, T], H^m(\mathbb{T}^2))$ for any $m < -1$. Moreover, by [24, (2.10)], for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_0^t \langle f, \partial_s f \rangle ds = \frac{1}{2} (\|f_t\|_{L^2}^2 - \|f_0\|_{L^2}^2).$$

The above equality implies that $t \mapsto \|f_t\|_{L^2}^2$ is an absolutely continuous function and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|f_t\|_{L^2}^2 = 2 \langle f, \partial_t f \rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

Using the estimates (4.6) and the equations in (4.1), it is not difficult to see that $\theta, \omega \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: uniqueness. Let (θ^i, ω^i) , $i = 1, 2$ be two solutions to the system (4.1) with the same initial condition (θ_0, ω_0) ; denote by $u^i = K * \omega^i$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\bar{\theta} = \theta^1 - \theta^2$, $\bar{\omega} = \omega^1 - \omega^2$ and $\bar{u} = u^1 - u^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{\theta} - \Delta \bar{\theta} &= -(\bar{u} \cdot \nabla \theta^1 + u^2 \cdot \nabla \bar{\theta}), \\ \partial_t \bar{\omega} - \Delta \bar{\omega} &= \partial_1 \bar{\theta} - (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla \omega^1 + u^2 \cdot \nabla \bar{\omega}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.7), we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\theta}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds = - \int_0^t [\langle \bar{u}_s \cdot \nabla \theta_s^1, \bar{\theta}_s \rangle + \langle u_s^2 \cdot \nabla \bar{\theta}_s, \bar{\theta}_s \rangle] ds = - \int_0^t \langle \bar{u}_s \cdot \nabla \theta_s^1, \bar{\theta}_s \rangle ds \quad (4.8)$$

since u_s^2 is divergence free. In the same way, using the second equation yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\omega}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds = - \int_0^t \langle \bar{u}_s \cdot \nabla \omega_s^1, \bar{\omega}_s \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle \partial_1 \bar{\theta}_s, \bar{\omega}_s \rangle ds. \quad (4.9)$$

We first estimate the right-hand side of (4.8): by Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = 1$,

$$|\langle \bar{u}_s \cdot \nabla \theta_s^1, \bar{\theta}_s \rangle| \leq \|\nabla \theta_s^1\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^4} \|\bar{u}_s\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1} \|\bar{\theta}_s\|_{H^1} \|\bar{u}_s\|_{H^1},$$

where we have used the Sobolev embedding inequality ($H^1 \subset H^{1/2} \subset L^4$ in the 2D setting). Since $\|\bar{\theta}_s\|_{H^1} \leq C \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}$, one has

$$|\langle \bar{u}_s \cdot \nabla \theta_s^1, \bar{\theta}_s \rangle| \leq C \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2} \|\bar{u}_s\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1}^2 \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Combining this estimate with (4.8) leads to

$$\|\bar{\theta}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1}^2 \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds. \quad (4.10)$$

Similarly, for the right-hand side of (4.9), one has

$$|\langle \bar{u}_s \cdot \nabla \omega_s^1, \bar{\omega}_s \rangle| \leq \|\nabla \bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\omega_s^1\|_{H^1}^2 \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$|\langle \partial_1 \bar{\theta}_s, \bar{\omega}_s \rangle| \leq \|\partial_1 \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain from (4.9) that

$$\|\bar{\omega}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \int_0^t (1 + \|\omega_s^1\|_{H^1}^2) \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Combining this inequality with (4.10) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\theta}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\omega}_t\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \int_0^t (1 + \|\omega_s^1\|_{H^1}^2) \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1}^2 \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (1 + \|\omega_s^1\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1}^2) \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 + \|\omega_s^1\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\theta_s^1\|_{H^1}^2$ is integrable in $s \in [0, T]$ and $\|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\bar{\theta}_s\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\omega}_s\|_{L^2}^2$, the Gronwall inequality implies that $\|\bar{\theta}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\omega}_t\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, $\theta^1 = \theta^2$ and $\omega^1 = \omega^2$. This completes the proof of uniqueness. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the financial supports of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11688101, 11931004), and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association, CAS (2017003).

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, A. B. Cruzeiro, Global flows with invariant (Gibbs) measures for Euler and Navier–Stokes two-dimensional fluids. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **129** (1990), 431–444.
- [2] S. Albeverio, B. Ferrario, Uniqueness of solutions of the stochastic Navier–Stokes equation with invariant measure given by the enstrophy. *Ann. Probab.* **32** (2004), 1632–1649.
- [3] D. Alonso-Orán, A. B. de León. On the Well-Posedness of Stochastic Boussinesq Equations with Transport Noise. *Journal of Nonlinear Science* **30** (2020), 175–224.
- [4] L. Arnold. Stabilization by noise revisited. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **70** (1990), no. 7, 235–246.
- [5] L. Arnold, H. Crauel, V. Wihstutz. Stabilization of linear systems by noise. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **21** (1983), 451–461.
- [6] P. Billingsley. Convergence of Probability Measures. Second edition. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York*, 1999.
- [7] Z. Brzeźniak, M. Capiński, F. Flandoli. Stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with multiplicative noise. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* **10** (1992), no. 5, 523–532.
- [8] Z. Brzeźniak, F. Flandoli, M. Maurelli. Existence and uniqueness for stochastic 2D Euler flows with bounded vorticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **221** (2016), no. 1, 107–142.
- [9] J. R. Cannon, E. DiBenedetto. The initial value problem for the Boussinesq equations with data in L^p . *Approximation methods for Navier-Stokes problems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Paderborn, Paderborn, 1979)*, pp. 129–144, Lecture Notes in Math., 771, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

- [10] Dongho Chae. Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms. *Adv. Math.* **203** (2006), 497–513.
- [11] P. Constantin, A. Kiselev, L. Ryzhik, A. Zlatoš. Diffusion and mixing in fluid flow. *Ann. of Math.* **168** (2008), 643–674.
- [12] R. Danchin, M. Paicu. Les théorèmes de Leray et de Fujita-Kato pour le système de Boussinesq partiellement visqueux. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **136** (2008), no. 2, 261–309.
- [13] R. Danchin, M. Paicu. Global Well-Posedness Issues for the Inviscid Boussinesq System with Yudovichs Type Data. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **290** (2009), 1–14.
- [14] G. Da Prato, A. Debussche, Two-Dimensional Navier–Stokes Equations Driven by a Space–Time White Noise. *J. Funct. Anal.* **196** (2002), 180–210.
- [15] F. Flandoli, L. Galeati, D. Luo. Scaling limit of stochastic 2D Euler equations with transport noises to the deterministic Navier–Stokes equations. *J. Evol. Equ.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00028-020-00592-z>.
- [16] F. Flandoli, D. Gatarek. Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **102** (1995), 367–391.
- [17] F. Flandoli, D. Luo. Convergence of transport noise to Ornstein-Uhlenbeck for 2D Euler equations under the enstrophy measure. *Ann. Probab.* **48** (2020), no. 1, 264–295.
- [18] F. Flandoli, D. Luo. High mode transport noise improves vorticity blow-up control in 3D Navier-Stokes equations, arXiv:1910.05742.
- [19] L. Galeati. On the convergence of stochastic transport equations to a deterministic parabolic one. *Stoch PDE: Anal Comp* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40072-019-00162-6>.
- [20] B.-L. Guo, Spectral method for solving two-dimensional Newton-Boussinesq equations. *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.)* **5** (1989), no. 3, 208–218.
- [21] D. D. Holm. Variational principles for stochastic fluid dynamics. *Proc. Royal Soc. A* **471** (2015), 20140963.
- [22] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani. On the global well-posedness of the Boussinesq system with zero viscosity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58** (2009), no. 4, 1591–1618.
- [23] G. Iyer, X. Xu, A. Zlatoš. Convection induced singularity suppression in the Keller-Siegel and other Non-linear PDEs, arXiv:1908.01941.
- [24] S. Kuksin, A. Shirikyan. Mathematics of Two-Dimensional Turbulence. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 194. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2012.
- [25] D. Luo, M. Saal. A scaling limit for the stochastic mSQG equations with multiplicative transport noises. *Stoch. Dynam.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219493720400018>.
- [26] D. Luo, R. Zhu. Stochastic mSQG equations with multiplicative transport noises: white noise solutions and scaling limit, arXiv:2004.06927.
- [27] A. J. Majda, I. Timofeyev, E. Vanden-Eijnden. A mathematical framework for stochastic climate models. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **54** (2001), 891–974.

- [28] A. Majda. Introduction to PDEs and waves for the atmosphere and ocean. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 9. *New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2003.
- [29] R. Mikulevicius, B. L. Rozovskii. Global L^2 -solutions of stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Probab.* 33 (2005), no. 1, 137–176.
- [30] H. K. Moffatt. Some remarks on topological fluid mechanics. *An introduction to the geometry and topology of fluid flows (Cambridge, 2000)*, 3–10, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 47, *Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht*, 2001.
- [31] Marius Paicu, Ning Zhu. On the Yudovich’s type solutions for the 2D Boussinesq system with thermal diffusivity. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series A* **40** (2020), 10, 5711–5728.
- [32] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **146** (1987), 65–96.