

Colliding waves in a model of nonlinear electrodynamics

S. Habib Mazharimousavi* and M. Halilsoy†

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Eastern Mediterranean University, Famagusta, North Cyprus via Mersin 10, Turkey*

(Dated: August 5, 2020)

Bell-Szekeres (BS) solution for colliding electromagnetic waves in Einstein-Maxwell (EM) theory describes also colliding waves in nonlinear electrodynamics (NED) with an emergent cosmological constant. Our NED model covers the first leading orders to the well-known Heisenberg-Euler (HE) type in a particular gauge of pure magnetic field. Prior to the problem of collision we obtain dyonic solution for the considered NED theory in a conformally flat spacetime which has both electric and magnetic fields with constant invariants. Our sole finding is that null currents inevitably arise in the process of collision of plane waves in the HE type NED theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

During 1970s and 1980s collision of plane waves was a popular subject to explore the nonlinear features of interaction in general relativity (GR) [1]. The collision process ended mostly in spacetime singularities and rarely with unstable horizons. It is known that the four experimental tests of GR are all verified through weak field approximations whereas the strong field effects, such as collision of waves remains an effect to be seen. In modified theories and their higher order nonlinear corrections finding exact solutions become challenging enough to push the topic out of fashion. In this study we consider collision of electromagnetic (em) waves in nonlinear electrodynamics (NED). Our model of NED is described by the Heisenberg-Euler (HE) Lagrangian $L(P, Q)$ in its leading order terms where $P = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ and $Q = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ are the Maxwell invariants. The HE effective action, was first derived by W. Heisenberg and H. Euler [2] for constant electromagnetic fields at one-loop order in the fluctuating quantum fields. It provides quantum corrections to the linear Maxwell's theory in terms of nonlinear interactions. Experimentally, for a high intensity field, the HE is a more accurate classical approximation of quantum electrodynamic than linear Maxwell's theory [5, 6]. We note that our notation and equations follow from Ref. [3] (see also [4]).

The action of our model is given by ($G = 1$)

$$I = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{4\pi} + 2\Lambda + L(P, Q) \right) d^4x \quad (1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, Λ is the cosmological constant and

$$L(P, Q) = P + \beta P^2 + \gamma Q^2 \quad (2)$$

in which the positive constants γ and β are related by $\gamma = \frac{7}{4}\beta$. Such a representation may be considered as a post-Maxwellian approach to the HE theory [12]. Let us add that, in a general nonlinear electrodynamics (2) is at the lowest order described by a Lorentz invariant parity conserving Lagrangian correction. We must also add that the action (1) covers more general classes of theories but since our interest in this study is restricted by conformal flatness this will set $R = 0$. The nonlinear Maxwell (NLM) equation reads

$$d(L_P \tilde{\mathbf{F}} + L_Q \mathbf{F}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

in which the field 2-form is chosen to be

$$\mathbf{F} = E(r) dt \wedge dr + B_0 \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi, \quad (4)$$

with its dual $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$, where B_0 is a magnetic constant and $E(r)$ is to be determined. In the sequel our metric ansatz will be conformally flat so that expectedly the scalar curvature will be zero. The gravitational field equations are

$$G_\mu^\nu + \Lambda \delta_\mu^\nu = 8\pi T_\mu^\nu \quad (5)$$

*Electronic address: habib.mazhari@emu.edu.tr

†Electronic address: mustafa.halilsoy@emu.edu.tr

where G_μ^ν is the Einstein tensor and the energy momentum tensor T_μ^ν of NED is given by

$$T_\mu^\nu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (L\delta_\mu^\nu - L_P F_{\mu\lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - QL_Q \delta_\mu^\nu) \quad (6)$$

in which $L_P = \frac{\partial L}{\partial P}$ and $L_Q = \frac{\partial L}{\partial Q}$. In Section II we shall find the general spherically symmetric integral of the theory with a cosmological constant such that both invariants P and Q become constant. The constancy of P and Q is the main cause that we can easily introduce cosmological constant to the problem. In Section III we make a particular choice of gauge to simplify our ansatz as much as possible before we transform our metric into the space of double null coordinates. This will provide us the case with $P \neq 0$ and $Q = 0$ which is the simplest choice as far as the leading terms of the HE theory is concerned.

II. AN INTEGRAL OF THE THEORY WITH CONFORMALLY FLAT ANSATZ

Our conformally flat, static spacetime is described by the line element

$$ds^2 = \Phi^2 (-dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(r)$ is the only unknown metric function to be found. The energy momentum tensor (6) implies

$$T_t^t = T_r^r = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (L - L_P F_{tr} F^{tr} - QL_Q) \quad (8)$$

and

$$T_\theta^\theta = T_\phi^\phi = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (L - L_P F_{\theta\phi} F^{\theta\phi} - QL_Q) \quad (9)$$

which upon (5) and (8) one finds

$$G_t^t = G_r^r \quad (10)$$

and

$$G_\theta^\theta = G_\phi^\phi. \quad (11)$$

The explicit form of the Einstein tensor's components are given by

$$G_t^t = \frac{1}{\Phi^4 r} (2r\Phi\Phi'' + 4\Phi\Phi' - r\Phi'^2), \quad (12)$$

$$G_r^r = \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi^4 r} (4\Phi + 3r\Phi') \quad (13)$$

and

$$G_\theta^\theta = G_\phi^\phi = \frac{1}{\Phi^4 r} (2r\Phi\Phi'' + 2\Phi\Phi' - r\Phi'^2). \quad (14)$$

The constraint (10) on G_t^t and G_r^r implies

$$\Phi\Phi'' = 2\Phi'^2 \quad (15)$$

which amounts to an exact solution for the metric function obtained as

$$\Phi = \frac{\alpha}{r + r_0} \quad (16)$$

in which α and r_0 are two integration constants. Let's comment that in (16) we set $r_0 = 0$ so that the line element (7) becomes the Bertotti-Robinson (BR) spacetime [7]. On the other hand from \mathbf{F} given in (4) the dual of \mathbf{F} follows as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = -\frac{B_0}{r^2} dt \wedge dr + E(r) r^2 \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi \quad (17)$$

so that one obtains

$$P = \frac{1}{2\Phi^4} \left(\frac{B_0^2}{r^4} - E^2 \right) \quad (18)$$

and

$$Q = \frac{EB_0}{r^2\Phi^4}. \quad (19)$$

The NLM equation (3), then, yields

$$L_P E r^2 + L_Q B_0 = q \quad (20)$$

in which $L_P = 1 + 2\beta P$ and $L_Q = 2\gamma Q$ and q is an integration constant. With the help of (16) (with $r_0 = 0$), (18) and (19), the latter equation admits a solution for the electric field given by

$$E = \frac{E_0}{r^2} \quad (21)$$

in which E_0 is a constant satisfying

$$\left(1 + \frac{B_0^2}{\alpha^4} (\beta + 2\gamma) \right) E_0 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha^4} E_0^3 = q. \quad (22)$$

Furthermore, with the exact solution for the metric function $\Phi(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r}$, from (12), (13) and (14) we obtain the Einstein's tensor given by

$$G_\mu^\nu = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \text{diag}[-1, -1, 1, 1] \quad (23)$$

which upon considering the tt and $\theta\theta$ components of the Einstein's field equations (5) we obtain

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{2\gamma E_0^2 B_0^2}{\alpha^8} - \frac{B_0^2 + E_0^2}{\alpha^4} + \beta \frac{(E_0^2 - B_0^2)(B_0^2 + 3E_0^2)}{2\alpha^8} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\alpha^6 - \alpha^4 (E_0^2 + B_0^2) + \beta (E_0^4 - B_0^4) = 0 \quad (25)$$

respectively. We note that, the latter two equations are algebraic equations and solving them simultaneously gives the integration constants α and q (via E_0 and B_0) in terms of our theory constants β and Λ . This completes the spherically symmetric integral of the action in BR spacetime such that we obtain $P = \text{const.}$ and $Q = \text{const.}$ from Eqs. (18) and (19), respectively. To complete this section, we study the energy conditions by considering the energy-momentum tensor given by $T_\mu^\nu = \text{diag}[-\rho, p_r, p_\perp, p_\perp]$ in which $\rho = -T_t^t$ is the energy density, $p_r = T_r^r$ is the radial pressure and $p_\perp = T_\theta^\theta = T_\phi^\phi$ is the lateral pressure. It is straightforward to show that conservation of energy-momentum is held, i.e., $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$. The null energy condition (NEC) implies that $\rho + p_r \geq 0$ and $\rho + p_\perp \geq 0$. From (8) and (9) by imposing (25) we find $\rho + p_r = 0$ and $\rho + p_\perp = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha^2}$, implying that NEC is satisfied. The weak energy condition (WEC), however, requires $\rho \geq 0$ in addition to NEC. The explicit expression for the energy density is found to be

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{B_0^2 + E_0^2}{2\alpha^4} + \frac{\beta (B_0^2 - E_0^2)(B_0^2 + 3E_0^2) - \gamma B_0^2 E_0^2}{4\alpha^8} \right) \quad (26)$$

which upon eliminating β (and consequently $\gamma = \frac{7}{4}\beta$) from (25) when $B_0^2 \neq E_0^2$ it becomes

$$\rho = \frac{1}{16\pi(\xi - 1)\alpha^2} \left(\frac{(\xi^2 + 5\xi + 1)E_0^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\xi^2 - 5\xi - 3}{\xi + 1} \right) \quad (27)$$

where $\xi = \frac{B_0^2}{E_0^2} \neq 1$. In general, from (27) we observe that $\rho \geq 0$ and $\rho < 0$ are both possible implying the WEC is satisfied for fine tuned parameters.

For the specific cases when $\xi = \frac{B_0^2}{E_0^2} = 1$ one finds

$$\alpha^2 = 2E_0^2 \quad (28)$$

and

$$\rho = \frac{4E_0^2 - \gamma}{64\pi E_0^4}. \quad (29)$$

In the Lagrangian (2) β and γ are positive parameters, implying that the latter energy density is definitely non-negative if $E_0^2 = B_0^2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{4}$ and consequently the WEC is satisfied.

Furthermore, for the extreme case when $E_0 = 0$ one finds

$$\rho = \frac{B_0^2 + \alpha^2}{16\pi\alpha^4} \quad (30)$$

which is clearly positive. However, in order to satisfy the condition $\beta = \frac{\alpha^4(\alpha^2 - B_0^2)}{B_0^4} > 0$, one finds $\alpha^2 > B_0^2$. This is of our interest for the next section. Concerning this particular case, one finds

$$p_\perp = \frac{3\alpha^2 - B_0^2}{16\pi\alpha^4} \quad (31)$$

which is positive due to the positive definite of β . Hence, not only NEC and WEC are satisfied but also the strong energy condition (SEC) - which implies the NEC together with $\rho + p_r + 2p_\perp \geq 0$ - is satisfied.

Setting $E_0 = 0$, in the next section we shall transform this particular spherical geometry to the double-null coordinates and interpret the solution obtained as colliding em waves.

III. THE SPACE OF COLLIDING WAVES

The solution obtained in the previous section is too general with both constants $E_0 \neq 0 \neq B_0$. For this reason we shall make a particular choice with $E_0 = 0$, so that the entire energy-momentum will be expressed in terms of the magnetic field B_0 . In this section, we choose the magnetic gauge such that the field 2-form is defined by (from the potential 1-form $\mathbf{A} = -B_0 \cos\theta d\phi$)

$$F = B_0 \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi \quad (32)$$

and its dual

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = -\frac{B_0}{r^2} dt \wedge dr. \quad (33)$$

With this reduction, the invariants are $P = \frac{B_0^2}{2\alpha^4} = \text{const.}$ and $Q = 0$ so that the NLM equation which takes the form

$$d(L_P \tilde{\mathbf{F}}) = 0 \quad (34)$$

is automatically satisfied. The line element obtained in the previous section (with the choice $\alpha = 1$) namely, the BR

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} (-dt^2 + dr^2) + d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \quad (35)$$

and the field 2-form are transformed now by the transformation [1]

$$t + r = \coth\left(\frac{z - y}{2}\right), \quad (36)$$

$$t - r = -\tanh\left(\frac{z + y}{2}\right), \quad (37)$$

$$\theta = u - v + \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (38)$$

and

$$\phi = x \quad (39)$$

where $\frac{1}{\cosh z} = \cos(u + v)$. Upon this transformation we obtain the metric (35) in double-null coordinates form as

$$ds^2 = -4dudv + \cos^2(u - v) dx^2 + \cos^2(u + v) dy^2 \quad (40)$$

with the em potential 1-form, given by

$$\mathbf{A} = B_0 \sin(u - v) dx. \quad (41)$$

We make the shifts now, by replacing $u \rightarrow u\theta(u)$, $v \rightarrow v\theta(v)$ where $\theta(u)$, $\theta(v)$ are Heaviside unit step functions, which give

$$\mathbf{F} = B_0 \cos(u\theta(u) - v\theta(v)) (\theta(u) du - \theta(v) dv) \wedge dx \quad (42)$$

and its dual

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = B_0 \cos(u\theta(u) + v\theta(v)) (\theta(u) du + \theta(v) dv) \wedge dy. \quad (43)$$

It is observed by virtue of the step functions that for $u < 0, v < 0$ the Region I is flat [1]. The incoming regions, Region II (for $u > 0, v < 0$) and Region III (for $u < 0, v > 0$) have null em fields both linearly polarized in the x -direction perpendicular to the (u, v) plane. As a result in the interaction region (Region IV) the em waves are also polarized in the x -direction. By this choice for polarizations we eliminate any birefringence that will arise in the interaction region. For the interaction region, $u > 0, v > 0$ (Region IV) we have the non-zero energy-momentum components

$$T_{uu} = T_{vv} = \frac{B_0^2}{4\pi} (1 + \beta B_0^2) \quad (44)$$

$$T_{uv} = -\beta \frac{B_0^4}{8\pi}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$T_{xx} = \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}\beta B_0^2\right) \cos^2(u - v), T_{yy} = -\frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta B_0^2\right) \cos^2(u + v) \quad (46)$$

and the emergent cosmological constant

$$\Lambda = \beta \frac{B_0^4}{2}. \quad (47)$$

The non-zero Einstein's tensor components for (40) are

$$G_{uu} = G_{vv} = 2, \quad (48)$$

$$G_{xx} = \cos^2(u - v) \quad (49)$$

and

$$G_{yy} = -\cos^2(u + v). \quad (50)$$

These are all obtained from Einstein's equations (5) with the cosmological constant adapted to the metric (40). Let us note that, for the consistency of the field equations we must have the constraint

$$\beta = \frac{1}{B_0^2} \left(\frac{1}{B_0^2} - 1 \right) \quad (51)$$

which can easily be seen from the equality of right and left sides of the Einstein equations. Hence, from (51) and (47) one obtains

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} (1 - B_0^2). \quad (52)$$

For strong magnetic field where $B_0^2 \gg 1$ (52) amounts to $\Lambda \sim -B_0^2$ while $\beta \sim -\frac{1}{B_0^2}$. Using the conversion factor to transform the geometric units into SI units one finds

$$\Lambda \simeq -\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 G}{c^4} B_0^2 \quad (53)$$

in which G , ϵ_0 and c are the gravitational constant, vacuum electric permittivity and speed of light respectively. Considering the typical value of the cosmological constant i.e., $10^{-52} \frac{1}{m^2}$ we estimate the magnitude of B_0 to be of order $\sim 10T$. The NLM equation (3) as adapted to the new spacetime now gives

$$d(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}L_P) = \tilde{\mathbf{J}} \neq 0 \quad (54)$$

with the current 3-form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = \beta B_0^3 (\theta(u) \delta(v) \cos u - \theta(v) \delta(u) \cos v) du \wedge dv \wedge dy \quad (55)$$

in which $\delta(u)$ and $\delta(v)$ are Dirac delta functions which vanish only for $u > 0$ and $v > 0$. It is seen that this null current vanishes only for $\beta = 0$ (for $B_0 = 1$) which amounts to the vanishing of the nonlinear correction to EM theory in the HE Lagrangian (2). We observe that the cosmological constant Λ arises (from (47) and (51)) for $B_0 \neq 1$. The particular choice $B_0 = 1$, sets both $\Lambda = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, which removes also the undesired current 3-form (55). But this is precisely the limit of linear Maxwell theory. In this particular magnetic gauge, in which $B_0 \neq 0$, expectedly Λ , the energy-momenta and $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ are all powered by the magnetic field. It is observed from (41) and (45) that for $B_0 < 1/B_0 > 1$ we have $\Lambda > 0/\Lambda < 0$, so that $B_0 = 1$, i.e., the linear Maxwell limit acts as a turning point in the sign of Λ . Our result is in agreement with the previous works [10, 11], in which the emergent Λ was proportional to the product of amplitudes of colliding waves. Here our amplitudes are same ($\sim B_0$) so that $\Lambda \sim B_0^2$. This amounts in the physical units to $B_0 \sim 10T$ (recall, $1T \sim 10^{-27} m^{-1}$) which is an available strength of magnetic fields in our universe.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is shown that the emergence of null currents is inevitable in the problem of colliding NED waves of HE Lagrangian to the first order terms sourced by a magnetic field. From physics stand point this is not an unexpected result since in the Lagrangian (2) the scale invariance, i.e., the symmetry under $x^\mu \rightarrow \lambda x^\mu$, $A_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} A_\mu$, (for $\lambda = \text{constant}$) is broken, and as a result null currents arise. We may comment that the emergent currents at the boundaries ($u = v = 0$) is the price paid against production of the cosmological constant [9–11]. Let us add that since our incoming waves are both linearly polarized in the direction of x and the resulting wave after collision has the same polarization we don't expect the phenomenon of birefringence. To see birefringence one must change the polarization directions of the incoming waves to be different, which may be the subject of a separate study. The emergence of both $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ on the null boundaries and Λ for ($u > 0, v > 0$) may be interpreted as a redistribution of the overall energy, or distortion of the vacuum [10, 11]. Finally it is needless to state that since our spacetime is still BS, the null singularities of the Weyl tensor remain intact. For more details in this regard one may consult [1]. To the future, however, for $u > 0$ and $v > 0$ the spacetime is free of singularities. It can be anticipated that similar results obtained herein follow also in different NED models other than the one considered in this paper.

-
- [1] J. B. Griffiths, "Colliding Plane Waves in General Relativity", Dover Publications Inc. New York, United States (2016).
 - [2] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936).
 - [3] H. P. de Oliveira, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 1469 (1994).
 - [4] R. Pellicer, R.J. Torrence, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1718 (1969).
 - [5] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, "Quantum Field Theory", Dover Publications Inc., 2006.
 - [6] P. Stehle and P. G. de Baryshe, Phys. Rev. **152**, 1135 (1966).
 - [7] B. Bertotti, Phys. Rev. D **116**, 1331 (1959);
I. Robinson, Bull. Akad. Pol. **7**, 351 (1959).

- [8] P. Bell and P. Szekeres, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **5**, 275 (1974).
- [9] N. Breton, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 7386 (1996).
- [10] C. Barrabes and P. A. Hogan, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46**, 1635 (2014);
C. Barrabes and P. A. Hogan, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 087501 (2013).
- [11] M. Halilsoy, S. H. Mazharimousavi and O. Gurtug, *JCAP* **11**, 010 (2014).
- [12] V. I. Denisov, I. V. Krivchenkov and N. V. Kravtsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 066008 (2004).