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#### Abstract

We extend V. Arnold's work on asymptotic linking for two volume preserving flows on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $S^{3}$ to volume preserving actions of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ on certain domains in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and also to linking of a volume preserving action of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ with a closed oriented singular $\ell$-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $n=k+\ell+1$.
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## 1. Introduction

V.I. Arnold, in his paper "The asymptotic Hopf invariant and its applications" (1) published in 1986 (also see [2, (6) (15) (4), considered a compact domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ or $S^{3}$ with a smooth boundary and trivial homology and two divergence free vector fields $X$ and $Y$ in $\Omega$ tangent to the boundary $\partial \Omega$. He defined an asymptotic linking invariant $\operatorname{lk}(X, Y)$ that measures the average linking of trajectories of $X$ with those of $Y$, and another invariant $I(X, Y)=\int_{\Omega} \alpha \wedge d \beta$, where $d \alpha=i_{X} \omega$ and $d \beta=i_{Y} \omega$ (interior products with the volume form $\omega$ on $\Omega$ ), and showed that $\operatorname{lk}(X, Y)=I(X, Y)$. We extend these results to volume-preserving actions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ on a compact convex domain $\Omega$ with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are tangent to $\partial \Omega$ and $k+\ell=n-1$.

[^0]Arnol'd defines the invariant $\operatorname{lk}(X, Y)$ as follows. For $p \in \Omega$ and $T>0$, let $\vartheta_{X}(p, T)=\left\{\phi_{t}^{X}(p) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ be the segment of orbit beginning at $p$ and continuing for a time $T$, and let $\tilde{\vartheta}_{X}(p, T)$ be this curve closed by adding a short path in $\Omega$ from $\phi_{T}^{X}(p)$ to $p$. Define $\tilde{\vartheta}_{Y}(q, S)$ similarly. The asymptotic linking invariant of $X$ and $Y$ is

$$
\operatorname{lk}(X, Y)=\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \tilde{\mathrm{k}}(p, q)
$$

where

$$
\ln (p, q)=\lim _{S, T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S T} \operatorname{lk}\left(\tilde{\vartheta}_{X}(p, T), \tilde{\vartheta}_{Y}(q, S)\right) .
$$

Then $\operatorname{lk}(X, Y)$ is well-defined, since $\operatorname{lk}\left(\tilde{\vartheta}_{X}(p, T), \tilde{\vartheta}_{Y}(q, S)\right)$ is defined and the limit exists for almost all $(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, and furthermore the function $1 \tilde{\mathrm{k}}(p, q)$ is in $L^{1}(\Omega \times \Omega) 15$.

The way that Arnol'd closes up partial orbits with short curves was used earlier on by Schwartzman to define asymptotic cycles for a continuous flow $\phi$ on a compact polyhedron $X$ [10]. Let $\vartheta_{\phi}(p, T)$ be the partial orbit from $p \in X$ to $\phi_{T}(p)$, let $\tilde{\vartheta}_{\phi}(p, T)$ be a (possibly singular) loop formed by adding a short curve, and let $\left[\tilde{\vartheta}_{\phi}(p, T)\right] \in H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$ be its first real homology class. Then the $p$ asymptotic cycle is the limit

$$
A_{p}=\lim 1 / t\left[\tilde{\vartheta}_{\phi}(p, T)\right] \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})
$$

which exists for almost all points $p \in X$, as described in a geometric interpretation (10], p. 275). Schwartzman's proof is quite different, since he uses homomorphisms from the cohomology to $\mathbb{R}$ to define $A_{p}$. If the short curves are chosen in a measurable fashion for a normalized invariant measure $\mu$, then the $\mu$ asymptotic cycle is defined to be the integral $A_{\mu}=\int_{X} A_{p} d \mu \in H_{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$, the average of the cycles $A_{p}$.

In 11, Schwartzman also defines asymptotic cycles for a smooth action of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ on a compact smooth manifold $M^{n}$. This asymptotic cycle could also be defined by capping off the boundary of a partial orbit by a small (possibly singular) manifold, if that can be done in a measurable way, as in the present paper, though this is not carried out in [11.

In 82 we define an asymptotic linking invariant $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)$ which measures the degree of linking between orbits of the actions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ and another invariant $I(\Phi, \Psi)$ defined in terms of differential forms. Our main result, Theorem 2 (proven in $\$ 11$ ), states that $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)=I(\Phi, \Psi)$. Analogous results are given for the asymptotic linking of the action $\Phi$ with a closed oriented $\ell$-dimensional submanifold $N$ (Theorem 4. proven in $\$ 10$ ).

We use extensions of the gradient, curl, and divergence to multivectors in higher dimensions that are presented in $\$ 4$ and in $\$ 7$ an extension to higher dimensions of the classical Biot-Savart formula that gives an inverse for the curl of a divergencefree vector field on a compact domain $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. A version of the ergodic theorem due to Tempelman [13] that is used in the proofs is given in $\$ 5$.

As an application, we show that our invariant gives a lower bound for the energy of an action in $\$ 12$ Examples in which the invariant is non-trivial are given in the last section, §13

These results are taken from the doctoral thesis [9 of the first author, under the direction of the second author at the Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio). Some similar results were obtained by García-Compéan and Santos-Silva in 5. It would be interesting to extend these results to $S^{n}$ and other

Riemannian manifolds and also to linking of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$-actions with leaves of foliations endowed with an invariant transverse volume form (see [7]).

## 2. Definitions and statements of results

Throughout the paper $M$ is an oriented Riemannian $n$-dimensional manifold and $\Omega \subset M$ is a compact convex domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. In the main results of this paper, $M$ will be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the standard metric, but many of the details are valid more generally. We consider a smooth $\left(C^{\infty}\right)$ action

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega
$$

of the $k$-dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ on $\Omega$. Then $\Phi$ is defined by $k$ vector fields tangent to $\partial \Omega, X^{1}, X^{2}, \ldots, X^{k}$, whose corresponding flows $\phi^{1}, \phi^{2}, \ldots, \phi^{k}$ commute with each other, so that for $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\Phi(t, x)=\phi^{1}\left(t_{1}, \phi^{2}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, \phi^{k}\left(t_{k}, x\right), \ldots\right)\right)
$$

In other words, if we set $\Phi_{t}=\Phi(t, \cdot)$ and $\phi_{t_{i}}^{i}=\phi^{i}\left(t_{i}, \cdot\right)$ for each $i$, then $\Phi_{t}=$ $\phi_{t_{1}}^{1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{t_{k}}^{k}$. As usual, $\phi^{i}$ is related to $X^{i}$ by the identity $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi^{i}(t, x)=X^{i}\left(\phi^{i}(t, x)\right)$ and the commutation of $\phi^{i}$ and $\phi^{j}$ is equivalent to the vanishing of the Lie bracket $\left[X^{i}, X^{j}\right]$.

Definition 1. $A$ (smooth) action $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ on $\Omega$ is conservative if it is volume-preserving (i.e., for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, \Phi_{t}: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ preserves the Riemannian volume form on $M$ ) and the generating vector fields $X^{i}$ are tangent to the boundary $\partial \Omega$.

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be conservative actions on $\Omega$, $k+\ell+1=n$. Let $X=X^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X^{k}$ and $Y=Y^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y^{\ell}$ be the exterior products of the $k$ vector fields that generate the action $\Phi$ and the $\ell$ vector fields that generate $\Psi$, and let $\omega$ be the volume form on $\Omega$. Denote the differential forms of degree $r$ on $\Omega$ (resp., the forms that vanish on $\partial \Omega$ ) by $E^{r}(\Omega)$ (resp., $E^{r}(\Omega, \partial \Omega)$ ). Since $\Omega$ is convex, their deRham cohomology groups $H^{*}(\Omega ; \mathbb{R})$ and $H^{*}(\Omega, \partial \Omega ; \mathbb{R})$ vanish for $0<r<n$. The differential forms $i_{X} \omega \in E^{\ell+1}(\Omega, \partial \Omega)$ and $i_{Y} \omega \in E^{k+1}(\Omega, \partial \Omega)$ given by the interior products with $X$ and $Y$ vanish on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ since $X$ and $Y$ are tangent to the boundary, and these forms are closed since the actions are volume-preserving. Since $\Omega$ is convex, they are exact, so there exist differential forms $\alpha \in E^{\ell}(\Omega, \partial \Omega)$ and $\beta \in E^{k}(\Omega, \partial \Omega)$ of degrees $\ell$ and $k$, respectively, such that $d \alpha=i_{X} \omega$ and $d \beta=i_{Y} \omega$. Then we define the invariant

$$
I(\Phi, \Psi)=\int_{\Omega} \alpha \wedge d \beta
$$

which obviously does not depend on the choice of $\beta$. Since $d(\alpha \wedge \beta)=d \alpha \wedge \beta+$ $(-1)^{\ell} \alpha \wedge d \beta$ and both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ vanish on $\partial \Omega$, Stokes' theorem gives the following result.

Lemma 1. This invariant satisfies

$$
I(\Phi, \Psi)=(-1)^{\ell+1} \int_{\Omega} d \alpha \wedge \beta=(-1)^{(\ell+1)(k+1)} I(\Psi, \Phi)
$$

Hence it depends only on the actions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, and not on the choice of the differential forms $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

We shall define an asymptotic linking number $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)$ that measures the degree of linking between orbits of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$. For sets $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $Y \subset \Omega$ we set $\Phi(T, Y)=$ $\{\Phi(t, y) \mid t \in T, y \in Y\}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ be the set of $k$-rectangles

$$
T=\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[0, T_{k}\right], \quad\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}$ is the space of $k$-tuples of non-negative real numbers, and fix a point $\widetilde{p} \in \Omega$. Then we let $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ be the closed oriented singular $k$-manifold in the domain $\Omega$

$$
\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)=\Phi(T, p) \cup \sigma(p, T)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(p, T)=\Phi(\partial T, p) * \widetilde{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the cone composed of the geodesic segments joining each point of $\Phi(\partial T, p)$ to $\widetilde{p}$. We construct the closed oriented singular $\ell$-manifold $\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)=\Psi(S, q) \cup \sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$ in like manner, replacing $T$ by $S=\left[0, S_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[0, S_{\ell}\right] \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$ for some $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\ell}$, $\Phi$ by $\Psi$, and $\widetilde{p}$ by another point $\widetilde{q} \neq \widetilde{p}$.

For fixed $T$ and $S$, since the sum of the dimensions of $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $\theta_{\Phi}(q, S)$ is $n-1$, the following lemma holds. It will be proved in $\$ 9$.

Lemma 2. Fix $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}$ and $S \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$. Then for almost every pair $(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ the singular manifolds $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $\left.\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)\right)$ are disjoint and therefore $\operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), \theta_{\Psi}(q, S)\right)$ is defined.

The set $D(\Phi, \Psi)=\left\{(p, T, q, S) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{k} \times \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{\ell} \mid \theta_{\Phi}(p, T) \cap \theta_{\Psi}(q, S)=\emptyset\right\}$, where the compact sets $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $\left.\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)\right)$ are disjoint, is clearly open, and since it has full measure, it must be dense, so we have:

Corollary 1. $D(\Phi, \Psi)$ is an open dense set in $\Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{k} \times \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$.
It follows from the Lemma that the function

$$
\mathrm{lk}_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~S}}(p, q):=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T) \lambda_{\ell}(S)} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), \theta_{\Psi}(q, S)\right)
$$

is defined for almost all pairs $(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, where $\lambda_{k}(T)=T_{1} \cdots T_{k}$ and $\lambda_{\ell}(S)=$ $S_{1} \cdots S_{\ell}$ are the Lebesgue measures on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$. The following theorem, proved in $\S 11$ affirms that this function is in $L_{1}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and permits us to define the linking index for the orbits of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$. We write $T, S \rightarrow \infty$ to signify that $\min \left\{\mathrm{T}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\ell}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\Omega$ is a compact convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow$ $\Omega$ and $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be conservative actions with $k+\ell+1=n$. Then

1. The limit function $\lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{lk}_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{S}}$ exists as a function in $L^{1}(\Omega \times \Omega)$, i.e., there is an integrable function $\widetilde{\mathrm{l}}_{\Phi, \Psi}: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined almost everywhere such that

$$
\lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega}\left|\mathrm{lk}_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~S}}(p, q)-\widetilde{\mathrm{l}}_{\Phi, \Psi}(p, q)\right| d p d q=0
$$

2. The integral $\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, \Psi}(p, q) d p d q$ is independent of the choice of the distinct points $\widetilde{p}$ and $\widetilde{q}$.

Then the asymptotic linking number of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi):=\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\operatorname{lk}}_{\Phi, \Psi}(p, q) d p d q
$$

Our main theorem is the following.
Theorem 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the asymptotic linking number and the invariant $I(\Phi, \Psi)$ coincide, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)=I(\Phi, \Psi)
$$

Linking of an action with a submanifold. There is a similar theory for asymptotic linking between a (smooth) conservative action $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and a closed oriented singular $\ell$-submanifold $N \subset \Omega$, where as above $\Omega$ is a compact convex domain in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space and $n=k+\ell+1$. As before, let $\alpha$ be an $\ell$-form on $\Omega$ satisfying $d \alpha=i_{X} \omega$ where the vector fields $X^{1}, X^{2}, \ldots, X^{k}$ generate the action $\Phi, X=X^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X^{k}$ and let $\omega$ be the volume form on $\Omega$. Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\Phi, N)=\int_{N} \alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analogy to the previous case of two actions, we can also define an asymptotic linking number between the action $\Phi$ and $N$. As before, let $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)=\Phi(T, p) \cup$ $\sigma(p, T)$ with the apex of the cone at $\widetilde{p} \in \Omega \backslash N$. The proof of the following Lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 2 and will also be given in $\$ 9$,

Lemma 3. Fix $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}$ and let $N^{\prime}$ be a compact oriented singular $\ell$-submanifold $N^{\prime} \subset \Omega$, possibly with boundary. Then for almost every point $p \in \Omega, \theta_{\Phi}(p, T) \cap N^{\prime}=$ $\emptyset$.
Hence when $N^{\prime}=N, \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T)} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)$ is defined for almost all $p \in \Omega$. Furthermore, the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ exists in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, and the integral is well-defined:

Theorem 3. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a conservative action on a compact convex domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $N \subset \Omega$ be a smooth closed oriented $\ell$-manifold, with $k+\ell+1=n$. Then

1. The limit function $\operatorname{lk}_{\Phi, N}(p):=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T)} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)$ exists as a function in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, i.e., there is an integrable function $\widetilde{\mathrm{lk}}_{\Phi, N}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined almost everywhere such that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T)} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)-\widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, N}(p)\right| d p=0
$$

2. The integral $\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, N}(p) d p$ is independent of the choice of the point $\widetilde{p}$.

Then we define the asymptotic linking number of $\Phi$ and $N$ to be

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N):=\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\operatorname{lk}}_{\Phi, N}(p) d p
$$

Theorem 4. Under the hypotheses if Theorem 3, the asymptotic linking number and the invariant $I(\Phi, N)$ coincide, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N)=I(\Phi, N)
$$

Theorem 11 follows from Proposition 9 in 811 , the proof of Theorem 2 is given at the end of $\$ 11$ Theorem 3 follows from Proposition 7 in 810 , and the proof of Theorem 4 is given in $\$ 10$

## 3. Higher Dimensional Vector Algebra

We recall vector algebra on an oriented Riemannian $n$-dimensional manifold with metric $g$. Let $E_{x, r}=\wedge_{r} T_{x} M$ be the $r$ th exterior power of the tangent space $T_{x} M$ at $x \in M$, with exterior multiplication $\wedge: E_{x, r} \times E_{x, s} \rightarrow E_{x, r+s}$. The elements of $E_{x, r}$ are called $r$-vectors or multivectors. Recall that the Hodge operator $*: E_{x, r} \rightarrow E_{x, n-r}$ is defined for any positive orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $T_{x} M=E_{x, 1}$ by setting

$$
*\left(e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{r}}\right)=e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{n-r}}
$$

if $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n-r}\right)$ is a positive permutation of $(1, \ldots, n)$, and extending over $E_{x, r}$ by linearity and antisymmetry. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
* \circ *=(-1)^{r(n-r)} \mathrm{id}: E_{r} \rightarrow E_{r} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product given by the Riemannian metric $<,>$ on $T_{x} M$ defines an inner product on $E_{x, r}$; for decomposable multivectors $u=u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{r}$ and $v_{1} \wedge$ $\left.\cdots \wedge v_{r}, u \cdot v=\operatorname{det}\left(<u_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right)$. This inner product extends to an $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot: E_{x, r} \times E_{x, s} \rightarrow E_{x, s-r}, \quad(u, v) \mapsto u \cdot v=*(u \wedge * v) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is also a generalization to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the classical cross product on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times: E_{x, r} \times E_{x, s} \rightarrow E_{x, n-r-s}, \quad(u, v) \mapsto u \times v=*(u \wedge v) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $u \cdot v=u \times * v$.
Proposition 1. Let $u \in E_{x, r}, v \in E_{x, s}$, and $w \in E_{x, m}$ be multivectors.
(1) $u \times(v \times w)=u \cdot(v \wedge w)$.
(2) If $r+s+m=n$, then

$$
(u \times v) \cdot w=*(u \wedge v \wedge w)
$$

Proof. 1. $u \cdot(v \wedge w)=*(u \wedge *(v \wedge w))=*(u \wedge(v \times w))=u \times(v \times w)$.
2. Note that $u \times v$ and $w$ are both in $E_{x, m}$, so $(u \times v) \cdot w \in E_{x, 0}=\mathbb{R}$ and $(u \times v) \cdot w=w \cdot(u \times v)$. Now $w \cdot(u \times v)=*(w \wedge * *(u \wedge v))=(-1)^{m(r+s)} *(w \wedge u \wedge v)=$ $*(u \wedge v \wedge w)$.

It follows from item 2 of the preceding Proposition that if the vectors $u, v$, and $w$ are decomposable, say $u=v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{r}, v=v_{s+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{r+s}$, and $w=v_{r+s+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}$ with $v_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} e_{j}$ for a positive orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u \times v) \cdot w=\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1. As usual, a multi-index $I$ is an ordered subset $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}$, and we set $e_{I}=e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}$ and $|I|=k$.
(1) For (ordered) multi-indices I and $J$ we have

$$
e_{I} \times e_{J}=e_{K}
$$

if $I \cap J=\emptyset, K=\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash(I \cup J)$, and the ordered union $I \cup J \cup K$ is a positive permutation of $(1, \ldots, n)$; but $e_{I} \times e_{J}=0$ if $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$.
(2) In addition,

$$
e_{I} \cdot e_{J}=(-1)^{|K|(n-|J|)} e_{K}
$$

if $I \subset J, K=J \backslash I$, and the ordered union $I \cup K$ is a positive permutation of $J$; furthermore, $e_{I} \cdot e_{J}$ vanishes if $I \not \subset J$.

Proposition 2. For vectors $u, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \in T_{x} M^{n}$, we have

$$
u \cdot\left(v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}\right)=(-1)^{(k-1)(n-k)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i-1}\left(u \cdot v_{i}\right) v_{1} \wedge \ldots \widehat{v}_{i} \cdots \wedge v_{k}
$$

Proof. Using (2) of Example 1 with $e_{I}=e_{j_{i}}$ and $e_{J}=e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{k}}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $|K|=k-1$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j_{i}} \cdot\left(e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{k}}\right)=(-1)^{(k-1)(n-k)+i-1} e_{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \widehat{e_{j_{i}}} \cdots \wedge e_{j_{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that expanding $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} e_{i}$ and $v=v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}$ with $v_{i}=\sum_{j_{i}=1}^{n} v_{i j_{i}} e_{j_{i}}$ we obtain

$$
u \cdot v=\sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{j_{i}}\left(v_{1 j_{1}} \ldots v_{k j_{k}}\right)\left(e_{j_{i}} \cdot\left(e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{k}}\right)\right) .
$$

so the desired formula follows by substituting (7) and reassembling the terms $u$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$.

Example 2. For vectors $u, v, w$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, by the definition of the product $\times$ and Proposition 囩, $u \times(v \times w)=u \cdot(v \wedge w)=(-1)^{n}[(u \cdot v) w-(u \cdot w) v]$. In particular, in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we have the well-known formula $u \times(v \times w)=(u \cdot w) v-(u \cdot v) w$.

## 4. Extensions of Gradient, Curl, and Divergence.

Let $E_{k}=E_{k}(M)$ be the space of smooth $k$-vector fields on a Riemannian manifold $M$, and let $E^{k}=E^{k}(M)$ be the dual space of differential $k$-forms. The inner product $(U, V) \mapsto U \cdot V$ on $E_{k}$ determines an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
j: E_{k} \rightarrow E^{k}, \quad j(U)(V)=U \cdot V \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interior product $i: E_{k} \times E^{r} \rightarrow E^{r-k},(X, \alpha) \mapsto i_{X} \alpha$, is defined $i_{X} \alpha(Y)=$ $\alpha(X \wedge Y)$ for $Y \in E_{r-k}$.
Lemma 4. Let $\omega$ be the positive unit volume form on $M$. Then $i_{X} \omega=j(* X)$.
Proof. Consider $X=e_{I}$ where $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ and $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right)$ are ordered multiindices such that $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right)$ is a positive permutation of $(1, \ldots, n)$, and let $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ be the basis dual to a local positive orthonormal basis $e_{1} \ldots, e_{n}$. Then

$$
i_{e_{I}} \omega=\eta_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_{j_{\ell}}=j\left(e_{J}\right)=j\left(* e_{I}\right)
$$

since $e_{J}=* e_{I}$. The lemma follows since every $X \in E_{k}$ is a linear combination of the elements $e_{I}$.

The duality between $E_{k}$ e $E^{k}$ will be expressed using the isomorphism $j$. For example, the gradient operator $\nabla$, defined $\nabla f=j^{-1}(d f)$ for a smooth function $f$ on $M$, can be extended to a linear operator $\nabla: E_{k} \rightarrow E_{k+1}, \nabla X=j^{-1} d j(X)$.
We can also extend the curl and divergence to operators rot : $E_{k} \rightarrow E_{\ell}$ and div : $E_{k} \rightarrow E_{k-1}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rot}(X)=(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} *(\nabla X) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(X)=(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} * \nabla(* X) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we always set $\ell=n-k-1$. On $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ these definitions coincide with the classical definitions of curl and divergence for vector fields.

For the rest of this section we suppose that $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the canonical basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and the dual basis $\left\{d x_{1}, \ldots d x_{n}\right\}$. For a $k$-vector field of the form $X=f e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}$ where $f$ is a smooth function it is easy to check that

$$
j\left(f e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}\right)=f d x_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{i_{k}}, \quad \nabla X=(\nabla f) \wedge e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots e_{i_{k}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}(X)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i_{s}}} e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \widehat{e}_{i_{s}} \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that a vector field $U=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} e_{i}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ acts on a function $f$ by setting $U(f)=<U, \nabla f>=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}$. The action of $U$ on a vector field $V=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} e_{i}$ is defined by setting

$$
U(V)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} U\left(v_{i}\right) e_{i}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{j} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} e_{i}
$$

so the Lie bracket can be written $[U, V]=U(V)-V(U)$.
Proposition 3. Let $V=V^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{k}$ be the exterior product of vector fields $V^{1}, \ldots, V^{k}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{div}(V)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{div}\left(V^{i}\right) V^{1} \wedge \cdots \widehat{V}^{i} \cdots \wedge V^{k} \\
+(-1)^{k} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k}(-1)^{i+j}\left[V^{i}, V^{j}\right] \wedge V^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{V}^{i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{V}^{j} \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{k}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left[V^{i}, V^{j}\right]$ is the Lie bracket.
Proof. Note that this is a dual version of the well-known formula for the exterior derivative of a product of 1 -forms evaluated on vector fields. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{i}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} v_{\ell}^{i} e_{\ell} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i$, so expanding $V$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n} v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k} e_{\ell_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\ell_{k}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(V) & =(-1)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} \frac{\partial\left(v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k}\right)}{\partial x_{\ell_{i}}} e_{\ell_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{\ell_{i}} \wedge \ldots e_{\ell_{k}} \\
& =(-1)^{k} \sum_{i, j=1}^{k} \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} \frac{\partial v_{\ell_{j}}^{j}}{\partial x_{\ell_{i}}} v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots \widehat{v_{\ell_{j}}^{j}} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k} e_{\ell_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e}_{\ell_{i}} \wedge \ldots e_{\ell_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{div}\left(V^{i}\right)=\sum_{\ell_{i}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v_{j}^{i}}{\partial x_{\ell_{i}}}$, the terms with $i=j$ give

$$
(-1)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{div}\left(V^{i}\right) V^{1} \wedge \cdots \widehat{V}^{i} \cdots \wedge V^{k}
$$

while the remaining terms give the second sum in the proposition; in fact, if $I_{a b}$ with $a<b$ is the sum of the terms with $(i, j)=(a, b)$ and $(i, j)=(b, a)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{a b}= & (-1)^{k} \sum_{\ell_{a}, \ell_{b}=1}^{n}(-1)^{a+b}\left(v_{\ell_{a}}^{a} \frac{\partial v_{\ell_{b}}^{b}}{\partial x_{\ell_{a}}} e_{\ell_{b}}-v_{\ell_{b}}^{b} \frac{\partial v_{\ell_{a}}^{a}}{\partial x_{\ell_{b}}} e_{\ell_{a}}\right) \wedge \\
& \wedge\left(v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots \widehat{v_{\ell_{a}}^{a}} \ldots \widehat{v_{\ell_{b}}^{b}} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k}\right) e_{\ell_{1}} \wedge \ldots \widehat{\ell_{\ell_{a}}} \ldots \widehat{e_{\ell_{b}}} \cdots \wedge e_{\ell_{k}} \\
= & (-1)^{k+a+b}\left[V^{a}, V^{b}\right] \wedge V^{1} \wedge \ldots \widehat{V^{a}} \ldots \widehat{V^{b}} \ldots \wedge V^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\sum_{\ell_{a}, \ell_{b}=1}^{n}\left(v_{\ell_{a}}^{a} \frac{\partial v_{\ell_{b}}^{b}}{\partial x_{\ell_{a}}} e_{\ell_{b}}-v_{\ell_{b}}^{b} \frac{\partial v_{\ell_{a}}^{a}}{\partial x_{\ell_{b}}} e_{\ell_{a}}\right)=\left[V^{a}, V^{b}\right] .
$$

Example 3. If $U$ and $V$ are vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then by Proposition 3 and the definitions of rot and $\times$,

$$
\operatorname{rot}(U \times V)=\operatorname{div}(U \wedge V)=(\operatorname{div}(V)) U-(\operatorname{div}(U)) V-[U, V]
$$

Proposition 4. Let $\omega$ be the positive unit volume form. Given a $k$-vector field $U \in E_{k}(\Omega)$ and a $k$-form $\alpha \in E^{k}(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(U) \omega & =\alpha \wedge i_{U} \omega  \tag{14}\\
d j(U) & =i_{\operatorname{rot}(U)} \omega \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. If $U=e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}$ with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$ and $\alpha=d x_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{j_{k}}$ with $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k}$, then $\alpha(U) \neq 0$ if and only if the sequences $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ and $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)$ coincide, and then $\alpha(U) \omega=\omega=\alpha \wedge i_{U} \omega$. If the two sequences do not coincide, then both sides vanish. By expanding any $U$ and $\alpha$ and using linearity, we conclude that the equation (14) holds in general.

Next, $\operatorname{dj}(U)=j(\nabla U)=j\left((-1)^{(k+1)(n-k)} * * \nabla U\right)=j(* \operatorname{rot}(U))$ which is equal to $i_{\text {rot }(U)} \omega$ by Lemma 4, thus proving (15).

## 5. The Ergodic Theorem for actions of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$

In this section we present Theorem [5] a special case of Tempelman's version of the Ergodic Theorem [13] (also see [14]), for volume-preserving actions of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. This result is an essential step in showing that the asymptotic linking invariant is well-defined.

Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold (possibly with boundary) with Riemannian volume form $\mu$ and let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times M \rightarrow M$ be a conservative action of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ on $M$. Let $L^{1}(M)$ denote the space of measurable real functions $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{M}|f| d \mu<\infty$. Consider a sequence of $k$-rectangles

$$
T_{n}:=\left[0, T_{n}^{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[0, T_{n}^{k}\right], n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

with each $T_{n}^{i}>0$, such that for each $i(1 \leq i \leq k) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}^{i}=\infty$. For a function $f \in L^{1}(M)$, define a sequence of means $f_{n} \in \bar{L}^{1}(M), n \in \mathbb{N}$, by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(p) & :=\frac{1}{\lambda\left(T_{n}\right)} \int_{t \in T_{n}} f\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right) d \lambda(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{T_{n}^{1} T_{n}^{2} \ldots T_{n}^{k}} \int_{0}^{T_{n}^{k}} \int_{0}^{T_{n}^{k-1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{T_{n}^{1}} f\left(\Phi_{\left(t_{1}, \ldots t_{k}\right)}(p)\right) d t_{1} d t_{2} \ldots d t_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots t_{k}\right)$. The following theorem is a special case of Theorem 6.2 of Tempelman [13] and also of Theorem 3.3 of Lindenstrauss [8].
Theorem 5. (Ergodic Mean Theorem) There is a unique function $\tilde{f}$ in $L^{1}(M)$ to which the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges almost everywhere, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M}\left|f_{n}-\widetilde{f}\right| d \mu=0
$$

Furthermore, $\widetilde{f}$ is independent of the choice of the sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and satisfies

$$
\int_{M} \widetilde{f} d \mu=\int_{M} f d \mu
$$

Of course, uniqueness of $\widetilde{f}$ is understood in the sense of $L^{1}$, i.e., two such functions $\tilde{f}$ agree outside of a set of measure zero.

Lindenstrauss' Theorem 3.3 implies this theorem since $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ is an amenable group and $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a tempered $\mathrm{F} ø$ lner sequence.

Outline of the Proof. First we observe that for a fixed sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ of $k$ rectangles the set of $f \in L^{1}(M)$ for which the Theorem holds is a closed vector subspace of $L^{1}(M)$. Then the essential idea is Tempelman's decomposition of $L^{1}(M)$ into invariant functions and functions with zero mean (Theorem 5.1 of [13]). Let $W$ be the vector subspace of $L^{1}(M)$ generated by functions $h-h \circ \Phi_{t}$ where $h=\chi_{A}$ is the characteristic function of a measurable set $A$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$, and let $\bar{W}$ be its closure in $L^{1}(M)$. One shows that the conclusions of the Theorem hold for $f=h-h \circ \Phi_{t}$, if $h$ is the characteristic function of a measurable set $A$ in $\Omega$, and consequently for every $f \in W$. By approximation, the same is true for all $f \in \bar{W}$.

On the other hand, let $I \subset L_{1}(M)$ be the set of invariant functions where $f \in L_{1}(M)$ is invariant if there exists a measurable set $A$ with $\mu(M \backslash A)=0$ such
that for every $x \in A$ and $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ we have $f\left(\Phi_{t}(x)\right)=f(x)$. For every invariant function $f$ it is clear that $f_{n}=f$, so it is easy to see that the conclusions of the Theorem hold for every $f \in I$ by setting $\tilde{f}=f$. Since by Theorem 5.1 of [13] every function $f \in L^{1}(M)$ can be uniquely represented as a sum $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ with $f_{1} \in I$ and $f_{2} \in \bar{W}$, the Theorem holds for every $f \in L^{1}(M)$.

## 6. The Generalized Gauss Divergence Theorem for a Multivector Field

In this section, $\Omega$ is a compact domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the integral of a $k$-vector field $X=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} f_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}} \in E_{k}(\Omega)$ to be the $k$-vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} X \omega:=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n}\left(\int_{\Omega} f_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \omega\right) e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}} \in E_{k}(\Omega) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the unit volume form. Using this definition of the integral, we can extend the Gauss divergence theorem to $k$-vector fields on $\Omega$ with $k>1$.

Theorem 6. (Generalized Gauss Divergence Theorem for a Multivector Field) If $V \in E_{k}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(V) \omega=(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} \int_{\partial \Omega} N \cdot V d A
$$

where $N$ is the unit normal vector field pointing outwards along $\partial \Omega, N \cdot V$ is the extended dot product (4), $\omega$ and $d A$ are the positive unit volume forms on $\Omega$ and $\partial \Omega$, and $\ell=n-k-1$.

Proof. Since every element of $E_{k}(\Omega)$ is a sum of decomposable ones, it suffices to prove the proposition for a decomposable $k$-vector $V=V^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{k}$ where $V^{i}$ is given by (12). Then from (13) and (11) we get

$$
\operatorname{div}(V)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots \widehat{\ell}_{i} \ldots, \ell_{k}=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{div}\left(v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots \widehat{v}_{\ell_{i}}^{i} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k} V^{i}\right) e_{\ell_{1}} \wedge \ldots \widehat{e}_{\ell_{i}} \cdots \wedge e_{\ell_{k}}
$$

since

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots \widehat{v}_{\ell_{i}}^{i} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k} V^{i}\right)=\sum_{\ell_{i}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k}\right)}{\partial x_{\ell_{i}}}
$$

By Stokes' Theorem we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots \widehat{v}_{\ell_{i}}^{i} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k} V^{i}\right) \omega=\int_{\partial \Omega} v_{\ell_{1}}^{1} \ldots \widehat{v}_{\ell_{i}}^{i} \ldots v_{\ell_{k}}^{k}<N, V^{i}>d A
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(V) \omega & =(-1)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i} \int_{\partial \Omega}<N, V^{i}>V^{1} \wedge \ldots \widehat{V}^{i} \cdots \wedge V^{k} d A \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega}\left((-1)^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i}<N, V^{i}>V^{1} \wedge \ldots \widehat{V}^{i} \cdots \wedge V^{k}\right) d A \\
& =(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} \int_{\partial \Omega} N \cdot\left(V^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{k}\right) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

using $V^{j}=\sum_{\ell_{j}=1}^{n} v_{\ell_{j}}^{j} e_{\ell_{j}}$ and Proposition 2.

Corollary 2. Set $\Omega-x=\left\{u-x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid u \in \Omega\right\}$. For a $k$-vector field $V(x, u)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{div}_{x} \int_{\Omega-x} V(x, u) d u=-(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} \int_{\partial \Omega-x}^{N \cdot V}(x, u) d A(u)+\int_{\Omega-x} \operatorname{div}_{x} V(x, u) d u
$$

Proof. By the change of variables $v=u+x$

$$
\int_{\Omega-x} V(x, u) d u=\int_{\Omega} V(x, v-x) d v
$$

so

$$
\operatorname{div}_{x} \int_{\Omega-x} V(x, u) d u=\operatorname{div}_{1, x} \int_{\Omega} V(x, v-x) d v+\operatorname{div}_{2, x} \int_{\Omega} V(x, v-x) d v
$$

where the notation indicates that the divergence is calculated with respect to the first or second occurrence of the variable $x$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_{1, x} \int_{\Omega} V(x, v-x) d v & =\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{1, x} V(x, v-x) d v \\
& =\int_{\Omega-x} \operatorname{div}_{x} V(x, u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

by reversing the change of variables. On the other hand, if we introduce a new variable $z=x$ to separate the two arguments of $V$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_{2, x} \int_{\Omega} V(x, v-x) d v & =\operatorname{div}_{x} \int_{\Omega} V(z, v-x) d v \\
& =-\operatorname{div}_{v} \int_{\Omega} V(z, v-x) d v \\
& =-(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} \int_{\partial \Omega} N \cdot V(z, v-x) d A(v) \\
& =-(-1)^{(k+1) \ell} \int_{\partial \Omega-x} N \cdot V(x, u) d A(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 6 reversing the change of variables. Adding the last two expressions gives the desired result.

## 7. Extension of the Biot-Savart Formula

We now give an extension of the Biot-Savart formula to higher dimensions. For a smooth divergence-free vector field $V$ that is tangent to the boundary on a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, it is well known that the Biot-Savart formula

$$
B S(V)(x)=\frac{-1}{4 \pi} \int \frac{(x-y) \times V(y)}{\|x-y\|^{3}} d y
$$

gives a right inverse for the curl, i.e., $\operatorname{rot}(B S(V))=V$ (e.g., see 3 §5). We generalize this result to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ since it will be used in our proofs.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and consider $k$ commuting vector fields $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ on $\Omega$ that are divergence-free and tangent to $\partial \Omega, 1 \leq k<n$, with $\ell=n-k-1$. They generate an action of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ on $\Omega$. Let $V=V_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V_{k}$ be the exterior product of the vector fields $V_{i}$.

Theorem 7. For $x \in \Omega$, the $\ell$-vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
B S(V)(x)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x-y)}{\|x-y\|^{n}} \times V(y) d y \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}$ is the $(n-1)$-volume of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and we use the standard Lebesgue measure dy on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, satisfies

$$
\operatorname{rot}(B S(V))(x)=V(x)
$$

Proof. Note that the integral is well defined since the pole along the singular set has order $n-1$. We prove the theorem for $x \in \Omega$, to avoid the problem of a singularity of order $n-1$ when we integrate along $\partial \Omega$. It will follow by continuity that the theorem holds for every $x \in \Omega$.

By the change of variables $u=y-x$ on $\Omega-x$, we have

$$
B S(V)(x)=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{a_{n}} \int_{\Omega-x} \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}} \times V(u+x) d u
$$

Since $\operatorname{rot}(u \times v)=\operatorname{div}(u \wedge v)$, from Corollary 2 we get

$$
I:=\operatorname{rot}(B S(V))(x)=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{a_{n}} \operatorname{div}_{x} \int_{\Omega-x} \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}} \wedge V(u+x) d u=I_{1}+I_{2}
$$

where

$$
I_{1}=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{a_{n}} \int_{\Omega-x} \operatorname{div}_{x}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}} \wedge V(u+x)\right) d u
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=-\frac{(-1)^{k+1+k(\ell+1)}}{a_{n}} \int_{\partial \Omega-x} N \cdot\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}} \wedge V(u+x)\right) d A(u)
$$

Applying Proposition 3 and the facts that $\operatorname{div}\left(V^{i}\right)=0,\left[V^{i}, V^{j}\right]=0$, and $\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}$ does not depend on the variable $x$, we have

$$
I_{1}=\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{\Omega-x} \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}\right)_{x}\left(V^{i}(u+x)\right) V^{1} \wedge \ldots \widehat{V}^{i} \ldots \wedge V^{k}(u+x) d u
$$

where $\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}\right)_{x}\left(V^{i}\right)$ is the action of the vector field $\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}$ on $V_{i}(u+x)$ with derivatives in the variable $x$. Expanding the $V_{i}$ 's by (12), using the definition of the integral (16), and avoiding the singularity at $u=0$, we can write

$$
I_{1}=\frac{-1}{a_{n}} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left\langle\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}, \nabla_{x}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)(u+x)\right\rangle d u e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{k}}
$$

where $\Omega^{\prime}=(\Omega-x) \backslash\{\|u\| \leq \epsilon\}$ and $e_{j_{i}} \wedge e_{j_{1}} \ldots \widehat{e_{j_{i}}} \ldots e_{j_{k}}=(-1)^{i-1} e_{j_{1}} \ldots e_{j_{k}}$. Now

$$
\nabla_{x}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)(u+x)=\nabla_{u}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)(u+x)
$$

so, for $\epsilon>0$ so small that $\{\|u\| \leq \epsilon\} \subset \Omega$, the integral

$$
I(\epsilon):=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left\langle\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}, \nabla_{x}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)(u+x)\right\rangle d u
$$

can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\epsilon)= & \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left\langle\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}, \nabla_{u}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)(u+x)\right\rangle d u \\
= & \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{u}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k} \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}\right)-v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k} \operatorname{div}_{u}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}\right)\right) d u \\
= & \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} \operatorname{div}_{u}\left(v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k} \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}\right) d u \\
= & \int_{\partial \Omega-x}<N, \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}>v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k} d A(u) \\
& \quad-\int_{\{\|u\|=\epsilon\}} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n-1}} v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}(u+x) d A(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 6, since $\operatorname{div}_{u}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}\right)=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I(\epsilon)=\int_{\partial \Omega-x}<N, \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}>v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k} d A(u)-a_{n} v_{j_{1}}^{1} \ldots v_{j_{k}}^{k}(x)
$$

so
(18) $I_{1}=-\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{\partial \Omega-x}<N, \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}>V^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{k}(u+x) d A(u)+V^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{k}(x)$.

Next, returning to (18), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\frac{(-1)^{k \ell}}{a_{n}} \int_{\partial \Omega-x} N \cdot\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}} \wedge V(u+x)\right) d A(u) \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{\partial \Omega-x}<N, \frac{u}{\|u\|^{n}}>V(u+x)\right) d A(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 2, since the $V_{i}$ 's are tangent to $\partial \Omega-x$. Adding the last result to (18) we obtain the desired conclusion, $I=\operatorname{rot}(B S(V))(x)=I_{1}+I_{2}=V(x)$.

Corollary 3. Let $\Omega$ be convex with unit volume form $\omega$ and let $V \in E_{k}(\Omega)$ be as above. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
d j(B S(V)) & =i_{V} \omega  \tag{19}\\
I(\Phi, \Psi) & =\int j(B S(X)) \wedge d \beta \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (15) and Theorem 7

$$
d j B S(V)=i_{\operatorname{rot}(B S(V))} \omega=i_{V} \omega
$$

proving (19). By Lemma 1

$$
I(\Phi, \Psi)=\int_{\Omega} \alpha \wedge d \beta
$$

is independent of $\alpha$, provided that $d \alpha=i_{X} \omega$. Then by (19) with $V=X$

$$
I(\Phi, \Psi)=\int j(B S(X)) \wedge d \beta
$$

## 8. Linking of Submanifolds

In order to study the asymptotic linking invariant we recall the linking of singular submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ be closed, oriented, possibly singular, disjoint submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of dimensions $k$ and $\ell$, where we always suppose that $n=$ $k+\ell+1$. Then the linking number $\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)$ of $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ can be defined as follows. Let $C$ be a compact oriented singular $k+1$-dimensional manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\partial C=N$. By a small deformation of $C$, if necessary, we may suppose that $C$ is transverse to $N^{\prime}$ and only intersects it in non-singular points of $N^{\prime}$. Then the linking number of $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{p} \varepsilon_{p}
$$

where the sum is taken over all points $p \in C \cap N^{\prime}$, with $\varepsilon_{p}=+1$ if the orientation of $C \times N^{\prime}$ coincides with that of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or -1 if the orientations are opposite. It is well known that this linking number is symmetric, does not depend on the choice of $C$, and can also be calculated as

$$
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f: N \times N^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{n-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
f(p, q):=\frac{q-p}{\|q-p\|}
$$

is the normalized vector pointing from $p \in N$ to $q \in N^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ is the degree of the mapping $f$ relative to the orientations of $N, N^{\prime}$, and $S^{n-1}$. If $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are disjoint images of smooth maps $g: \bar{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g^{\prime}: \bar{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then the linking number can be calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{\bar{N} \times \bar{N}^{\prime}} \bar{f}^{*}(\sigma) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{f}=f \circ\left(g \times g^{\prime}\right)$ and $a_{n}=\int_{S^{n-1}} \sigma$ is the volume form on $S^{n-1}$.
In order to prove the next proposition, we observe that if $\left(t_{1},, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ are local coordinates in $N$, then the volume form $d \eta$ on $N$ can be written in these coordinates as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \eta=\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}\right\| d t_{1} d t_{2} \ldots d t_{k} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for the volume form $d \eta^{\prime}$ on $N^{\prime}$ with local coordinates $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}$.
Proposition 5. If $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are disjoint immersed closed oriented submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then the linking number $\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)$ can be calculated by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{p \in N} \int_{q \in N^{\prime}} \frac{((q-p) \times U(p)) \cdot U^{\prime}(q)}{\|q-p\|^{n}} d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(p)$ is a unit $k$-vector on $N$ at $p$ and $U^{\prime}(q)$ is a unit $\ell$-vector on $N^{\prime}$ at $q$ and $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are the volume measures in $N$ and $N^{\prime}$.

Furthermore, this formula holds if $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are the disjoint images of smooth manifolds $\bar{N}$ and $\bar{N}^{\prime}$ under smooth singular maps $g: \bar{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g^{\prime}: \bar{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, since the images of the singular sets (where $U(p)=0$ or $U^{\prime}(q)=0$ ) have measure zero on $N$ and $N^{\prime}$, by Sard's Theorem.

Proof. Note that the volume form $\sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} x_{i} d x_{1} \ldots \widehat{d x}_{i} \ldots d x_{n}$ on $S^{n-1}$ can be written $\sigma=i_{Y} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}$, where $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} e_{i}$ is the position vector in $S^{n-1}$. Then, since $d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}(Z)=* Z$ for any $Z \in \Lambda_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma\left(v_{2} \wedge v_{3} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}\right) & \left.=i_{Y} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}\right)\left(v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}\right) \\
& =d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}\left(Y \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}\right) \\
& =*\left(Y \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using local coordinates $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right)$ in $N \times N^{\prime}$, since $f(p, q)=\frac{q-p}{\| q-p \mid T}$ and $\bar{f}=f \circ\left(g \times g^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t_{i}}(p, q) & =\frac{-1}{\|q-p\|} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}}(p)+\left[\frac{1}{\|q-p\|}\right]_{t_{i}}(q-p), \\
\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial s_{j}}(p, q) & =\frac{1}{\|q-p\|} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{j}}(q)+\left[\frac{1}{\|q-p\|}\right]_{s_{j}}(q-p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{\ell}}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t} \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial s} & =\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t_{k}} \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial s_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial s_{\ell}} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{k+\ell}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+W \wedge(q-p) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W$ is a $(k+\ell-1)$-vector. Thus, at the point $(p, q)$ in $N \times N^{\prime}$ that corresponds to the point $\frac{q-p}{\|q-p\|} \in S^{n-1}$, using local coordinates and $k+\ell+1=n$ we get

$$
\bar{f}^{*}(\sigma)(p, q)=\sigma\left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t} \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial s}\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}\left(\frac{q-p}{\|q-p\|} \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t} \wedge \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial s}\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}\left((q-p) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell} \quad \mathrm{b} y \text { (25) } \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} *\left((q-p) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell} \quad \text { by (24) } \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} *\left((q-p) \wedge\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\| U\right) \wedge\left(\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right\| U^{\prime}\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} *\left((q-p) \wedge U(p) \wedge U^{\prime}(q)\right) d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} *\left((-1)^{(k+1) \ell} U^{\prime}(q) \wedge(q-p) \wedge U(p)\right) d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} *\left(U^{\prime}(q) \wedge * *[(q-p) \wedge U(p)]\right) d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} *\left(U^{\prime}(q) \wedge *[(q-p) \times U(p)]\right) d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}} U^{\prime}(q) \cdot((q-p) \times U(p)) d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\|q-p\|^{n}}((q-p) \times U(p)) \cdot U^{\prime}(q) d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $U^{\prime}(q)$ and $(q-p) \times U(p)$ are in the same dimension $\ell$ so the dot product commutes. Thus by (21)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{p \in N} \int_{q \in N^{\prime}} \bar{f}^{*}(\sigma)(p, q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{p \in N} \int_{q \in N^{\prime}} \frac{((q-p) \times U(p)) \cdot U^{\prime}(q)}{\|q-p\|^{n}} d \eta(p) d \eta^{\prime}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. (See, e.g., 3]) In dimension 3, when $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are curves parametrized by arclength by $\alpha:\left[0, t_{0}\right] \rightarrow N$ and $\alpha^{\prime}:\left[0, s_{0}\right] \rightarrow N^{\prime}$, the formula (23) becomes the well-known Gauss linking number formula

$$
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)=\frac{-1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \frac{\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)-\alpha(t)\right) \times \dot{\alpha}(t)\right) \cdot \dot{\alpha}^{\prime}(s)}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}(s)-\alpha(t)\right\|^{3}} d t d s
$$

A double differential form $L(x, y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of bidegree $(k, \ell), k+\ell=n-1$, is called a linking form if whenever $N=g(\bar{N})$ and $N^{\prime}=g^{\prime}\left(\bar{N}^{\prime}\right)$ are disjoint images of smooth singular maps $g: \bar{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g^{\prime}: \bar{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\bar{N}$ and $\bar{N}^{\prime}$ are closed oriented manifolds of dimensions $k$ and $\ell$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{lk}\left(N, N^{\prime}\right)=\int_{N} \int_{N^{\prime}} L
$$

## Corollary 4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L(x, y)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \frac{((y-x) \times U(x)) \cdot U^{\prime}(y)}{\|y-x\|^{n}} d \eta(x) d \eta^{\prime}(y) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a linking form on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $U(x)$ is a unit $k$-vector on $N$ at $x, U^{\prime}(y)$ is a unit $\ell$-vector on $N^{\prime}$ at $y$, and $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are the volume measures in $N$ and $N^{\prime}$.

This is evident from Proposition 5

## 9. Proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3

As in §2, consider two volume-preserving actions $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ and $\Psi$ : $\mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ on a compact convex domain $\Omega$ in a Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$ tangent to the (smooth) boundary $\partial \Omega, n=k+\ell+1$. Recall that $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ is the set of $k$-rectangles $T=\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[0, T_{k}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$ for $\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}$. Fix points $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \Omega, \tilde{p} \neq \tilde{q}$, and consider the geodesic cones $\sigma(p, T),(p, T) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{k}$, and $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S),(q, S) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$, with apices $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$, as defined in (1). We now prove Lemma 2

Proof of Lemma 2. We must show that for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}$ and $S \in \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$ the set

$$
X=\left\{(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega \mid \theta_{\Phi}(p, T) \cap \theta_{\Phi}(q, S) \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

has measure zero in $\Omega \times \Omega$. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{q}=\Phi(-T, \Psi(S, q)), \quad B_{q}=\Phi\left(-T, \sigma^{\prime}(q, S)\right) \\
B_{p}^{\prime}=\Psi(-S, \sigma(p, T)), \quad \text { and } \quad C_{p}=\left\{q \in \Omega \mid \sigma(p, T) \cap \sigma^{\prime}(q, S) \neq \emptyset\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that for any set $K \subset \Omega$ and $p \in \Omega, p \in \Phi(-T, K) \Longleftrightarrow \Phi(T, p) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Consequently

$$
\begin{gathered}
p \in A_{q} \Longleftrightarrow \Phi(T, p) \cap \Psi(S, q) \neq \emptyset \\
p \in B_{q} \Longleftrightarrow \Phi(T, p) \cap \sigma^{\prime}(q, S) \neq \emptyset, \text { and } \\
q \in B_{p}^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \Psi(S, q) \cap \sigma(p, T) \neq \sigma(p, T) \emptyset
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)=\Phi(T, p) \cup \sigma(p, T)$ and similarly for $\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)$, it follows that

$$
X=\bigcup_{q \in \Omega}\left(\left(A_{q} \cup B_{q}\right) \times\{q\}\right) \cup \bigcup_{p \in \Omega}\left(\{p\} \times\left(B_{q}^{\prime} \cup C_{p}\right)\right)
$$

Each of the sets $A_{p}, B_{p}$, and $B_{q}^{\prime}$ is a singular compact $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifold with open dense complement in $\Omega$, and therefore has measure zero in $\Omega$.

Next we shall show that if $p \neq \widetilde{q}$ the set $C_{p}$ has measure zero in $\Omega$. Let $\widetilde{N}$ be the cone consisting of straight segments beginning at $\widetilde{q}$, passing through a point of $\sigma(p, T)$, and ending at a point of $\partial \Omega$. Let $N$ be the closure of the component of $\widetilde{N} \backslash \sigma(p, T)$ that does not contain the point $\widetilde{q}$. Now $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$ meets $\sigma(p, T)$ if and only if $\Psi(\partial S, q)$ meets $N$. Thus $C_{p}=\Psi(-\partial S, N)$, which is a compact singular manifold (the product of the image of the union of the $2 \ell$ faces of $S$ with $N$ ) of dimension $(\ell-1)+(k+1)=n-1$, so it has measure zero.

Note that each of the sets

$$
\cup_{q}\left(A_{q} \times\{q\}\right), \cup_{q}\left(B_{q} \times\{q\}\right), \cup_{p}\left(\{p\} \times B_{p}^{\prime}\right), \text { and } \cup_{p}\left(\{p\} \times C_{p}\right)
$$

is closed and therefore measurable in $\Omega \times \Omega$. Hence the function $f: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow\{0,1\}$, defined by setting $f(p, q)=1$ if $p \in A_{q}$ and 0 otherwise, is measurable. Since $A_{q}$ has measure zero in $\Omega$ for almost all $q \in \Omega$, and therefore $\int_{\Omega} f(p, q) d p=0$ for almost all $q$, Fubini's theorem shows that $\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(p, q) d p d q=0$, which means that the set $\cup_{q}\left(A_{q} \times\{q\}\right)$ has measure zero in $\Omega \times \Omega$. Parallel arguments show that the
sets $\cup_{q}\left(B_{q} \times\{q\}\right), \cup_{p}\left(\{p\} \times B_{p}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\cup_{p}\left(\{p\} \times C_{q}\right)$ also have measure zero, so their union $X$ has measure zero in $\Omega \times \Omega$, as claimed.
Proof of Lemma 3. The proof is similar to the last proof. We must show that for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}$ the set $Y=\left\{p \in \Omega \mid \theta_{\Phi}(p, T) \cap N^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\right\}$ has measure zero in $\Omega$. Observe that $Y=A \cup C$ where $A=\Phi\left(T^{-1}, N^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left.C=\left\{p \in \Omega \mid \sigma(p, T) \cap N^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Let $\widetilde{B}$ be the cone consisting of segments beginning at $\widetilde{p}$, passing through a point of $N^{\prime}$, and ending at a point of $\partial \Omega$. Let $B$ be the closure of the component of $\widetilde{B} \backslash N^{\prime}$ that does not contain the point $\widetilde{p}$. As in the previous proof, we find that $C=\Psi\left(-\partial T, N^{\prime}\right)$, and then $A, C$, and their union $Y$ have measure zero in $\Omega$.

## 10. Asymptotic linking of an action and a submanifold

Consider a volume-preserving action $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ tangent to the boundary on a compact convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary and let $N \subset \Omega$ be a closed singular $\ell$-dimensional oriented submanifold of $\Omega$, with $k+\ell=n-1$. As before, $\mathcal{T}_{k}$ is the set of $k$-rectangles $T=\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[0, T_{k}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$ for $\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}$, $\tilde{p} \in \Omega \backslash N$ is fixed, and $X=X_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{k}$ generates $\Phi$. According to Lemma 3, for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}$ the sets $\sigma(p, T)$ defined in (1) are disjoint from $N$ for almost all $p \in \Omega$. The invariant $I(\Phi, N)=\int_{N} \alpha$ with $d \alpha=i_{X} \omega$ was defined in (2).

Lemma 5. This invariant satisfies $I(\Phi, N)=\int_{N} j B S(X)$ and does not depend on the choice of $\alpha$.

Proof. By (19) $d j B S(X)=i_{X} \omega=d \alpha$ so $d(\alpha-j B S(X))=0$. Since $\Omega$ is convex, $\alpha-j B S(X)$ is exact and there exists a form $\theta$ such that $d \theta=\alpha-j B S(X)$. Then $I(\Phi, N)-\int_{N} j B S(X)=\int_{N} \alpha-\int_{N} j B S(X)=\int_{N} d \theta=\int_{\partial N} \theta=0$ since $\partial N=\emptyset$. Clearly $\int_{N} j B S(X)$ does not depend on $\alpha$.

Proposition 6. The following conditions are satisfied:
(1) The sets $\sigma(p, T)$ vary measurably in the sense that for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_{k}$ there is a function $h_{T}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
h_{T}(p)=\frac{1}{T_{1} \ldots T_{k}} \int_{x \in \sigma(p, T)} \int_{y \in N} L(x, y)
$$

and $h_{T} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, i.e., $\int_{\Omega}\left|h_{T}(p)\right| d \eta(p)<\infty$.
(2) The family of functions $\left\{h_{T}\right\}$ converges to zero in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|h_{T}(p)\right| d \eta(p)=0
$$

Proof. To prove (1), let $Y_{T}:=\{p \in \Omega \mid \sigma(p, T) \cap N \neq \emptyset\}$ and note that $h_{T}(p)=$ $\left(T_{1} \cdots T_{k}\right)^{-1} \int_{\sigma(p, T)} \int_{N} L(x, y)$ is defined and varies continuously on the dense open set $\Omega \backslash Y_{T}$, where the compact sets $\sigma(p, T)$ and $N$ are disjoint. Then since $Y_{T}$ has measure zero, $h_{T}$ is measurable in $\Omega$.

To show that $h_{T}$ is integrable and that the limit converges to zero, we parametrize $\sigma(p, T)$ by setting

$$
T^{i}=\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times \cdots \widehat{\left[0, T_{i}\right]} \cdots \times\left[0, T_{k}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i \delta} T=\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left\{t_{i \delta}\right\} \times \cdots \times\left[0, T_{k}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{i 0}=0$ and $t_{i 1}=T_{i}$ are the extremities of the interval $\left[0, T_{i}\right]$. Then $\partial T=$ $\cup_{i=1}^{k} \cup_{\delta=0}^{1} \partial_{i \delta} T$ and

$$
\Phi(\partial T, p)=\cup_{i=1}^{k} \cup_{\delta=0}^{1} \Phi\left(\partial_{i \delta} T, p\right)
$$

so

$$
\sigma(p, T)=\cup_{i=1}^{k} \cup_{\delta=0}^{1} \sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)
$$

where $\sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)$ is the cone with base $\Phi\left(\partial_{i \delta} T, p\right)$ and apex $\widetilde{p}$. It suffices to prove the proposition using each $\sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)$ in place of their union $\sigma(p, T)$.

Parametrize $\sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)=(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}, \quad\left(r, t^{i}\right) \in[0,1] \times \partial_{i \delta} T \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t^{i}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ and $t^{i \delta}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i \delta}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$. Then $\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r}\left(r, t^{i}\right)=\widetilde{p}-\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)$ and

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(r, t^{i}\right)=(1-r) X^{i}\left(\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)\right)
$$

where $X^{i}=X_{1} \wedge \cdots \widehat{X_{i}} \cdots \wedge X_{k}$.
Hence, setting $|T|=T_{1} \cdots T_{k}$ and $h_{T}^{i \delta}(p)=\frac{1}{|T|} \int_{x \in \sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)} \int_{y \in N} L(x, y)$, where $L(x, y)$ is the linking form (26), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|h_{T}^{i \delta}(p)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{x \in \sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)} \int_{y \in N}|L(x, y)| \\
& =\frac{1}{|T|} \int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{t^{i} \in T^{i}} \int_{y \in N} \widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) d r d t^{i} d \eta(y) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right)=\frac{\left|\left[\left(y-\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)\right) \times \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r \partial t^{i}}\left(r, t^{i}\right)\right] \cdot U(y)\right|}{\left\|y-\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)\right\|^{n}}  \tag{30}\\
\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r \partial t^{i}}\left(r, t^{i}\right)=\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r} \wedge \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial t_{1}} \wedge \cdots \frac{\widehat{\sigma_{p}}}{\partial t_{i}} \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial t_{k}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$U(y)$ is the unit $\ell$-vector in $\wedge_{\ell}\left(T_{y}(N)\right)$, and $d \eta(y)$ is the volume measure on $N$.
Lemma 6. There exists a constant $W_{i}>0$ such that for all $t^{i} \in T^{i}$ and $y \in N$

$$
\int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) d r d \lambda(p) \leq W_{i}
$$

where $d \lambda(p)$ is the euclidean measure on $\Omega$.
This lemma will be proven at the end of this section. We use it now to show that $h_{T}^{i \delta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. In fact, by (29),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{p \in \Omega}\left|h_{T}^{i \delta}(p)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{p \in \Omega}\left[\int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{t^{i} \in T^{i}} \int_{y \in N} \widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) d r d t^{i} d \eta(y)\right] d \lambda(p) \\
& =\frac{1}{|T|} \int_{t^{i} \in T^{i}} \int_{y \in N}\left[\int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) d r d \lambda(p)\right] d t^{i} d \eta(y) \\
& \leq \frac{W_{i}}{|T|}\left[\int_{t^{i} \in T^{i}} d t^{i}\right]\left[\int_{y \in N} d \eta(y)\right] \\
& =\frac{W_{i} \operatorname{Vol}(N) T_{1} \cdots \widehat{T}_{i} \cdots T_{k}}{|T|}=\frac{W_{i} \operatorname{Vol}(N)}{T_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $h_{T}^{i \delta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{p \in \Omega}\left|h_{T}(p)\right| d \lambda(p)=0$.
Proof of Lemma 6. Using $\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)$ and its derivatives,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r \partial t^{i}}\left(r, t^{i}\right)=\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r} \wedge \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial t_{1}} \wedge \cdots \frac{\widehat{\partial \sigma_{p}}}{\partial t_{i}} \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial t_{k}} \\
\left.=(1-r)^{k-1}\left[\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)-\widetilde{p}\right] \wedge X_{1}\left(\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)\right) \wedge \cdots X_{i}\left(\widehat{\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}\right.}, p\right)\right) \cdots \wedge X_{k}\left(\Phi\left(t_{i \delta}, p\right)\right) \\
=(1-r)^{k-1}\left[\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)-\widetilde{p}\right] \wedge X^{i}\left(\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $X^{i}=X_{1} \wedge \cdots \widehat{X_{i}} \cdots \wedge X_{k}$. Note that $(1-r)^{k-1} \leq 1,\left|\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)-\widetilde{p}\right|$ is less than or equal to the diameter $D$ of $\Omega$, there is a constant $B$ such that $\left\|X^{i}(p)\right\| \leq B$ for all $p \in \Omega$, and $\|U(y)\|=1$, so by (30) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) & \leq \frac{\left\|\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}}{\partial r \partial t^{i}}\left(r, t^{i}\right)\right\|\|U(y)\|}{\left\|\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)-y\right\|^{n-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{\left.(1-r)^{k-1}\left\|\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)-\widetilde{p}\right\|\left\|X^{i}\left(\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)\right)\right\|\|U(y)\|\right)}{\left\|\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)-y\right\|^{n-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{D B}{\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\|^{n-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) d r d \lambda(p) \\
\leq \int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda(p) \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now for $\widetilde{p} \notin N$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that for all $y \in N$ and $r \in[1-\epsilon, 1]$

$$
\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\| \geq d / 2
$$

where $d$ is the distance from $\widetilde{p}$ to $N$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r \in[1-\epsilon, 1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda(p) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{r \in[1-\epsilon, 1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{(d / 2)^{n-1}} d r d \lambda(p)=\frac{2^{n-1} D B \epsilon}{d^{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for $r \in[0,1-\epsilon], \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, \cdot\right)=\Phi_{t^{i \delta}}$ is a volume-preserving diffeomorphism of $\Omega$, so we can make the substitution $p^{\prime}=\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)$ and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda(p) \\
&=\int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \int_{p^{\prime} \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{\left\|(1-r) p^{\prime}+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for each $r$ we let $p_{r}=(1-r) p^{\prime}+r \widetilde{p}$. Then $d \lambda\left(p_{r}\right)=(1-r)^{n} d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Omega$ is replaced by by $\Omega_{r} \subset \Omega$ (a contraction moving towards $\widetilde{p}$ ), so

$$
\int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \int_{p^{\prime} \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{\left\|(1-r) p^{\prime}+r \widetilde{p}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{n}} \int_{p_{r} \in \Omega_{r}} \frac{D B}{\left\|p_{r}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda\left(p_{r}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n}} \int_{p_{r} \in \Omega} \frac{D B}{\left\|p_{r}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda\left(p_{r}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \frac{D B \Gamma}{\epsilon^{n}} d r=\frac{D B \Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $1-r \geq \epsilon$ and $\Omega_{r} \subset \Omega$, by the following lemma, which holds since the singularity at $q$ has order $n-1$, and that is less than the dimension $n$.
Lemma 7. There is a constant $\Gamma$ such that the function

$$
g(q)=\int_{\Omega \backslash\{q\}} \frac{1}{\|p-q\|^{n-1}} d \lambda(p)
$$

satisfies $|g(q)| \leq \Gamma$ for all $q \in \Omega$.

Combining the last two results with (31), we get

$$
\int_{r \in[0,1]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \widetilde{L}\left(r, t^{i}, y, p\right) d r d \lambda(p) \leq \frac{2^{n-1} D B \epsilon}{d^{n-1}}+\frac{D B \Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{n}}=: W_{i}
$$

Since $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $N$ are disjoint for almost all $(p, T) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{k}$, the linking number $\operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)$ is defined on an open dense set. Then we have

Proposition 7. The limit

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, N}(p)=\lim _{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \ldots T_{k}} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)
$$

exists as an integrable $L^{1}$-function on $\Omega$ and does not depend on the choice of the point $\tilde{p} \in \Omega \backslash N$.

## Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)=\int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{N} L+\int_{\sigma(p, T)} \int_{N} L \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}} \int_{\sigma(p, T)} \int_{N} L=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
g(p)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{y \in N} \frac{(y-p) \times X(p) \cdot U(y)}{\|y-p\|^{n}} d \eta(y)
$$

where $U$ is the positive unit $\ell$-form on $N$. The function $g$ is smooth on $\Omega \backslash N$. Then

$$
|g(p)| \leq \frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{y \in N} \frac{\|y-p\|\|X(p)\|\|U(y)\|}{\|y-p\|^{n}} d \eta(y)
$$

Let $K$ be an upper bound for $\|X(p)\|, p \in \Omega$. Since $\|U(y)\|=1$,

$$
|g(p)| \leq \frac{K}{a_{n}} \int_{y \in N} \frac{1}{\|y-p\|^{n-1}} d \eta(y)
$$

By Fubini's Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{p \in \Omega}|g(p)| d \lambda(p) & \leq \frac{K}{a_{n}} \int_{y \in N} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\|y-p\|^{n-1}} d \lambda(p) d \eta(y) \\
& \leq \frac{K \Gamma}{a_{n}} \int_{N} d \eta=\frac{K \Gamma \operatorname{Vol}(N)}{a_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{x \in \Phi(T, p)} \int_{y \in N} L(x, y)= \\
=\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{T_{k}} \int_{y \in N} \frac{(y-\Phi(t, p)) \times X(\Phi(t, p)) \cdot U(y)}{\|y-\Phi(t, p)\|^{n}} d \eta(y) d t \\
=\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{T_{k}} g(\Phi(t, p)) d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, by (32), (33), and the Ergodic Theorem, since $g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, the limit

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), N\right)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{T_{k}} g(\Phi(t, p)) d t
$$

exists and defines an $L^{1}$ function $\widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, N}(p)$ on $\Omega$ that satisfies

$$
\int_{p \in \Omega} \widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, N}(p) d \lambda(p)=\int_{p \in \Omega} g(p) d \lambda(p)
$$

and does not depend on the choice of $\widetilde{p}$.
Then we define the asymptotic linking invariant to be $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N)=\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\mathrm{k}}_{\Phi, N}(p) d \eta$ and prove Theorem [4 which states that $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N)=I(\Phi, N)$.

## Proof of Theorem 4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N) & =\int_{p \in \Omega} \widetilde{\mathrm{lk}}_{\Phi, N}(p) d \lambda(p)=\int_{p \in \Omega} g(p) d \lambda(p) \\
& =\int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{y \in N} \frac{(y-p) \times X(p) \cdot U(y)}{\|y-p\|^{n}} d \eta(y) d \lambda(p) \\
& =\int_{y \in N}\left[\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{(y-p) \times X(p)}{\|y-p\|^{n}} d \lambda(p)\right] \cdot U(y) d \eta(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Fubini's Theorem, so by (17) and the definition of the isomorphism $j$

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N)=\int_{N} B S(X) \cdot U d \eta=\int_{N} j B S(X)(U) d \eta
$$

Then since $U$ is a unit $\ell$-vector and $d \eta$ is a unit $\ell$-form, Lemma 5hows that

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N)=\int_{N} j B S(X)=I(\Phi, N)
$$

## 11. Asymptotic linking of two actions

In this section, we assume that $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so $\Omega$ is a compact convex region with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and consider volume-preserving actions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ that are tangent to the boundary on $\Omega, k+\ell=n-1$, as in 82 Recall that $D(\Phi, \Psi) \subset \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{k} \times \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{\ell}$ is the dense open set of points $(p, T, q, S)$ for which $\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $\left.\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)\right)$ are disjoint.

Proposition 8. The following conditions are satisfied:
(1) The functions $(p, T) \mapsto \theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $(q, S) \mapsto \theta_{\Psi}(q, S)$ are continuous functions on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Furthermore, the function $\int_{\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)} \int_{\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)} L(p, q)$ is continuous on $D(\Phi, \Psi)$ and therefore measurable.
(2) The limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T) \lambda_{\ell}(S)} \int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left\{\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B_{q}} L(p, q)\right\} d p d q=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $\left(A_{p}, B_{q}\right)$ equal to $\left(\Phi(T, p), \sigma^{\prime}(q, S)\right),(\sigma(p, T), \Psi(S, q))$, and $\left(\sigma(p, T), \sigma^{\prime}(q, S)\right)$, exist, and all three limits are zero.

Proof. (1) Since the actions are continuous and line segments depend continuously on their extremities, it is clear that the functions $(p, T) \mapsto \theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $(q, S) \mapsto$ $\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)$ are continuous, and so the function $\int_{\theta_{\Phi}(p, T)} \int_{\theta_{\Psi}(q, S)} L(p, q)$ is continuous and measurable on the dense open set $D(\Phi, \Psi)$.

Proof of (2). As before, $T, S \rightarrow \infty$ means that $\min \left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow$ $\infty$. When the compact sets $A_{p}$ and $B_{q}$ are disjoint, it is clear that the integral $\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B_{q}} L(p, q)$ converges, but it is not evident that the integral in (34) converges, although the integrand is measurable. First, consider $A_{p}=\Phi(T, p)$ and $B_{q}=\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$. We decompose $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)=\cup \sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)$ analogous to the decomposition (27) of $\sigma(p, T)$ with the parametrization (28). Let $s_{j 0}=0$ and $s_{j 1}=S_{j}$ be the extremities of the interval $\left[0, S_{j}\right]$. Note that $\Psi(\partial S, q)$ is the union of $2 \ell$ sets, $\Psi(\partial S, q)=\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} \cup_{\varepsilon=0}^{1} \Psi\left(\partial_{j \varepsilon} S, q\right), \varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$, where

$$
\partial_{j \varepsilon} S=\left[0, S_{1}\right], \times \cdots \times\left\{s_{j \varepsilon}\right\} \times \cdots \times\left[0, S_{\ell}\right]
$$

so the singular submanifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)=\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} \cup_{\varepsilon=0}^{1} \sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)$ is the cone joining $\Psi\left(\partial_{j \varepsilon} S, q\right)$ to the vertex $\widetilde{q}$. We shall prove the Proposition for $B=\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)$ instead of $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$; then the same proof works for the other components of $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$.

Let $S^{j}=\left[0, S_{1}\right], \times \ldots \widehat{\left[0, S_{j}\right]} \cdots \times\left[0, S_{\ell}\right]$. To each point

$$
s^{j}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{j-1}, s_{j+1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right) \in S^{j}
$$

we naturally associate the point $s^{j \varepsilon}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{j-1}, s_{j \varepsilon}, s_{j+1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}\right) \in \partial_{j \varepsilon} S$. We use the parametrizations $x_{p}(t)=\Phi(t, p), t \in T$, of $\Phi(T, p)$ and

$$
y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)=(1-u) \Psi\left(s^{j \varepsilon}, q\right)+u \widetilde{q}, \quad\left(u, s^{j}\right) \in[0,1] \times S^{j}
$$

of $\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)$. Note that $\frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t_{i}}=X_{i}, \frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s^{j}}=(1-u) Y_{j}$ and $\frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial u}=\widetilde{q}-\Psi\left(s^{j \varepsilon}, q\right)$. Since $\Omega$ is compact, there is a constant $C$ that is a common upper bound for $\|X(p)\|=$
$\left\|X_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{k}(p)\right\|, \mid Y^{j}(q)\|=\| Y_{1} \wedge \ldots \widehat{Y_{j}} \cdots \wedge Y_{\ell}(q) \|$ and for $\left|\widehat{q}-\Psi\left(s^{j \varepsilon}, q\right)\right|, p, q \in \Omega$. Recall that for multivectors $\|(u \times v) \cdot w\| \leq\|u\|\|v\|\|w\|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B} L(p, q)\right| & =\left|\int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)} L\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)}|L|
\end{aligned}
$$

but using (26), $d \eta(x)=d \lambda(t)$, and $d \eta^{\prime}(y)=\left(\widetilde{q}-\Psi\left(s^{j \varepsilon}, q\right)\right) d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|L| & \leq \frac{1}{a_{n}} \frac{\left\|x_{p}(t)-y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right\| \| X\left(\Phi(t, p)\| \| Y^{j}\left(y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right) \|\right.}{\left\|x_{p}(t)-y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right\|^{n}} d \eta^{\prime}(y) d \eta(x) \\
& \leq C^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\left\|x_{p}(t)-y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C^{\prime}=C^{3} / a_{n}$, so

$$
\left|\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B} L\right| \leq C^{\prime} \int_{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{S^{j}} \frac{1}{\left\|x_{p}(t)-y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t)
$$

Integrating $\left|\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B} L\right|$ on $\Omega \times \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left|\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B} L\right| d \lambda(p) d \lambda(q) \\
\leq & C^{\prime} \int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega} \int_{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{S_{j}} \frac{1}{\left\|x_{p}(t)-y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t) d \lambda(p) d \lambda(q) \\
\leq & C^{\prime} \int_{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{S_{j}} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left[\int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\left\|\Phi(t, p)-y_{q}\left(h, s^{j}\right)\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda(p)\right] d \lambda(q) d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Fubini's Theorem, since we shall see that the last integral converges.
Since the action $\Phi_{t}$ preserves the volume, if we set $\Phi(t, p)=p^{\prime}$, the measure $d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ coincides with $d \lambda(p)$, and the last integral becomes
(36) $C^{\prime} \int_{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{S_{j}} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left[\int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\left\|p^{\prime}-y_{q}\left(u, s^{j}\right)\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right] d \lambda(q) d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t)$.

Lemma 7 shows that this integral coverges. Then, working backwards, it follows that all the previous integrals in this proof also converge. The integral (36) is less than or equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{\prime} \int_{T} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{S^{j}} \int_{q \in \Omega} \Gamma d \lambda(q) d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t) & \leq C^{\prime} \Gamma \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega) \operatorname{Vol}(T) \operatorname{Vol}([0,1]) \operatorname{Vol}\left(S^{j}\right) \\
& =C^{\prime} \Gamma \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega) T_{1} \ldots T_{k} S_{1} \ldots \widehat{S_{j}} \ldots S_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the limit we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \left.\leq \lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \ldots T_{k} S_{1} \ldots S_{\ell}} \int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left|\int_{A_{p}} \int_{B} L\right| \right\rvert\, d \lambda(p) d \lambda(q) \\
& \leq \lim _{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C^{\prime} \Gamma \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)}{S_{j}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so (34) holds for $A_{p}=\Phi(T, p)$ and $B_{q}=B=\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)$. Thus the limit vanishes for $\Phi(T, p)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$ and similarly for the case $A_{p}=\sigma(p, T)$ and $B_{q}=\Psi(S, q)$.

For the case when $A_{p}=\sigma(p, T)$ and $B_{q}=\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$, we use the decompositions (27) of $\sigma(p, T)$ and (35) of $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$ and the parametrizations

$$
x_{p}=\sigma_{p}\left(r, t^{i}\right)=(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}, \quad\left(r, t^{i}\right) \in[0,1] \times T
$$

and

$$
y_{q}=\sigma_{q}^{\prime}\left(u, s^{j}\right)=(1-u) \Phi\left(t^{j \varepsilon}, q\right)+u \widetilde{q}, \quad\left(u, s^{j}\right) \in[0,1] \times T
$$

of $\sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)$ and $\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)$, with $t^{i}, t^{i \delta}, s^{j}$ and $s^{j \varepsilon}$ as before. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L\left(x_{p}, y_{q}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{a_{n}} \frac{\left\|x_{p}-y_{q}\right\|\left\|X\left(x_{p}\right)\right\|\left\|Y^{j}\left(y_{q}\right)\right\|}{\left\|x_{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n}} d \eta^{\prime}\left(y_{q}\right) d \eta\left(x_{p}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\left\|x_{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C a_{n}$ is an upper bound for $|X(p)||Y(q)|$.
It suffices to show that the limit of

$$
L=\frac{1}{|S||T|} \int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega} \int_{x_{p} \in \sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)} \int_{y_{q} \in \sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)} \frac{C}{\left\|x_{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} d \lambda\left(s^{j}\right) d u d \lambda(t) d p d q
$$

converges to zero as $S, T \rightarrow \infty$. We shall do this in three cases.
Case 1. $r, u \in[1-\epsilon, 1]$, where $\epsilon>0$ is such that $\left\|y_{q}-x_{p}\right\| \geq d / 2$ when $u, r \in[1-\epsilon, 1]$ and $d$ is the distance from $\widetilde{p}$ to $\widetilde{q}$. Such an $\epsilon$ exists since $x_{p} \rightarrow \widetilde{p}$ and $y_{q} \rightarrow \widetilde{q}$ as $r, u \rightarrow 1$. In this case

$$
\frac{C}{\left\|x_{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} \leq\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{n-1}
$$

the volume $D$ of $\Omega$ is finite, $|T|^{-1} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)\right) \leq 1 / T_{i}$, and $|S|^{-1} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)\right) \leq$ $1 / S_{j}$ so the limit of $L$ is zero.

Case 2. $r \in[0,1-\epsilon]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime} & =\int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega} \int_{y_{q} \in \sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)} \int_{x_{p} \in \sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)} \frac{1}{\left\|x_{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} d y_{q} d r d t^{i} d p d q \\
& =\iiint \int_{t^{i} \in T^{i}} \int_{r=0}^{1-\epsilon} \frac{1}{\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} d y_{q} d u d t^{i} d p d q
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, \cdot\right)=\Phi_{t^{i \delta}}$ is a volume-preserving diffeomorphism of $\Omega$, so we can make the substitution $p^{\prime}=\Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)$ and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime} & =\iiint \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\left\|(1-r) \Phi\left(t^{i \delta}, p\right)+r \widetilde{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} d y_{q} d t^{i} d \lambda(p) d q d r d t^{i} \\
& =\iiint \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \int_{p^{\prime} \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\left\|(1-r) p^{\prime}+r \widetilde{p}-y_{q}\right\|^{n-1}} d y_{q} d t^{i} d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right) d q d r d t^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $r$ we let $p_{r}=(1-r) p^{\prime}+r \widetilde{p}$. Then $d \lambda\left(p_{r}\right)=(1-r)^{n} d \lambda\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Omega$ is replaced by by $\Omega_{r} \subset \Omega$ (a contraction moving towards $\widetilde{p}$ ), so

$$
L^{\prime}=\iiint \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{n}} \int_{p_{r} \in \Omega_{r}} \frac{1}{\left\|p_{r}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda\left(p_{r}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n}} \int_{p_{r} \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\left\|p_{r}-y\right\|^{n-1}} d r d \lambda\left(p_{r}\right) \\
\quad \leq \int_{r \in[0,1-\epsilon]} \frac{\Gamma}{\epsilon^{n}} d r=\frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

by Lemma 7. since $1-r \geq \epsilon$ and $\Omega_{r} \subset \Omega$. Now the volume of $\Omega$ is finite, $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma_{i \delta}(p, T)\right) \leq|T| / T^{i}$, and $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\sigma_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}(q, S)\right) \leq|S| / S^{j}$, so it follows that $\lim _{S, T \rightarrow \infty} L=$ 0.

Case 3. $u \in[0,1-\epsilon]$. This case is exactly parallel to Case 2 , with $p$ and $q$ interchanged, so it is omitted. There is an overlap in the three cases, but all values of $(r, u) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$ are covered.

Then for almost all $(p, T) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{k}$ and $(q, S) \in \Omega \times \mathcal{T}_{\ell}, \theta_{\Phi}(p, T)$ and $\theta_{\Psi}^{\prime}(q, S)$ are disjoint and the linking number $\operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), \theta_{\Psi}^{\prime}(q, S)\right)$ is defined.

Proposition 9. The limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{lk}}(p, q)=\lim _{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \ldots T_{k} S_{1} \ldots S_{\ell}} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), \theta_{\Psi}^{\prime}(q, S)\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists as an integrable $L^{1}$-function on $\Omega \times \Omega$ and does not depend on the choice of the points $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$.

Proof. Calculating the linking number using the linking form (26), it suffices to integrate over the sets $\Phi(p, T)$ and $\Psi(q, S)$, since by Proposition 8 the limits of the integrals over the other three sets vanish, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T) \lambda_{\ell}(S)} \operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(p, T), \theta_{\Psi}^{\prime}(q, S)\right)= \\
& \lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(T) \lambda_{\ell}(S)} \int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\Psi(S, q))} L \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, $X=X_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{k}$ and $Y=Y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{\ell}$ are the exterior products of the vector fields that generate the actions of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, respectively. Define the function $f: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p, q):=\frac{(-1)^{k}[(q-p) \times X(p)] \cdot Y(q)}{a_{n}\|q-p\|^{n}} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $(p, q)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(p, q)| \leq \frac{\|X(p)\|\|Y(q)\|}{a_{n}\|q-p\|^{n-1}} \leq \frac{K}{a_{n}\|q-p\|^{n-1}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is an upper bound for $\|X(p)\|\|Y(q)\|, p, q \in \Omega$. Now, by Lemma 8 $g(p, q)=1 /\|q-p\|^{n-1}$ is an integrable function in $\Omega \times \Omega$, since

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\iint_{(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega} g(p, q) d \lambda(p) d \lambda(q)=\int_{p \in \Omega}\left[\int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\|q-p\|^{n-1}} d \lambda(q)\right] d \lambda(p) \\
\leq \int_{p \in \Omega} \Gamma d \lambda(p)=\Gamma \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)
\end{array}
$$

Then by (40) we get

$$
\iint_{(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega}|f(p, q)| d \lambda(p) d \lambda(q) \leq \frac{\Gamma K \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)}{a_{n}}
$$

so $f \in L^{1}(\Omega \times \Omega)$.
To calculate $\int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\Psi(S, q))} L$ we use the natural parametrizations $\bar{p}=x_{p}(t)=$ $\Phi_{t}(p)=\Phi(t, p)$ and $\bar{q}=y_{q}(s)=\Psi_{s}(q)=\Psi(s, q)$ induced by the actions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ on $\Phi(T, p)$ and $\Psi(S, q)$. Then $\frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t_{i}}(t)=X_{i}\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, and $\frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s_{j}}(s)=$ $Y_{j}\left(\Psi_{s}(q)\right), j=1, \ldots, \ell$. Let $\frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t_{k}}(t)$ and $\frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s}(s)=\frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s_{\ell}}(s)$, so

$$
\frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t}(t)=X_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{k}\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right)=X\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s}(s)=Y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_{\ell}\left(\Psi_{s}(q)=Y\left(\Psi_{s}(q)\right)\right.
$$

Let $U(\bar{p})$ and $U^{\prime}(\bar{q})$ denote the unit $k$ - and $\ell$-vectors at $\bar{p} \in \Phi(T, p)$ and $\bar{q} \in \Psi(S, q)$, respectively. Then by (26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{k} a_{n} \int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\Psi(S, q))} L=\int_{\bar{p} \in \Phi(T, p)} \int_{\bar{q} \in \Psi(S, q)} \frac{[(\bar{q}-\bar{p}) \times U(\bar{p})] \cdot U^{\prime}(\bar{q})}{\|\bar{q}-\bar{p}\|^{n}} d \eta(\bar{p}) d \eta(\bar{q}) \\
&=\int_{t \in T}\left(\int_{s \in S} \frac{\left[\left(y_{q}(s)-x_{p}(t)\right) \times U\left(x_{p}(t)\right)\right] \cdot U^{\prime}\left(y_{q}(s)\right)}{\left\|y_{q}(s)-x_{p}(t)\right\|^{n}}\left\|\frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial s}(s)\right\| d s\right)\left\|\frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial t}(t)\right\| d t \\
&=\int_{T} \int_{S} \frac{\left[\left(\Psi_{s}(q)-\Phi_{t}(p)\right) \times\left(\left\|X\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right)\right\| U\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right)\right] \cdot\left(\left\|Y\left(\Psi_{s}(q)\right)\right\| U^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{s}(q)\right)\right.\right.}{\left\|\Psi_{s}(q)-\Phi_{t}(p)\right\|^{n}} d s d t \\
&=\int_{t \in T} \int_{s \in S} \frac{\left[\left(\Psi_{s}(q)-\Phi_{t}(p)\right) \times X\left(\Phi_{t}(p)\right)\right] \cdot Y\left(\Psi_{s}(q)\right)}{\left\|\Psi_{s}(q)-\Phi_{t}(p)\right\|^{n}} d s d t \\
&=\int_{t \in T} \int_{s \in S} f\left(\Phi_{t}(p), \Psi_{s}(q)\right) d s d t \\
&=\int_{t \in T} \int_{s \in S} f\left(\Theta_{(t, s)}(p, q)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell} d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} \\
&=\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \ldots \int_{1}^{T_{k}} \int_{0}^{S_{1}} \ldots \int_{0}^{S_{1}} \ldots \int_{0}^{S_{\ell}} f\left(\Theta_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}}(p, q)\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell} d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Theta=\Phi \times \Psi$ is the product action of $\mathbb{R}^{k+\ell}$ in $\Omega \times \Omega$ defined by setting $\Theta_{(t, s)}(p, q)=\left(\Phi_{t}(p), \Psi_{s}(q)\right)$. Then the Ergodic Theorem, Theorem 5, applied to the action $\Theta$, shows that the limit

$$
\lim _{T_{1}, \ldots T_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots S_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \ldots T_{k} S_{1} \ldots S_{\ell}} \int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\Psi(S, q))} L
$$

converges and defines a function $\widetilde{\mathrm{kk}} \in L^{1}(\Omega \times \Omega)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\operatorname{lk}}(p, q)=\lim _{T_{1}, \ldots T_{k}, S_{1}, \ldots S_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_{1} \ldots T_{k} S_{1} \ldots S_{\ell}} \int_{\Phi(T, p)} \int_{\Psi(S, q))} L= \\
\lim _{T, S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(T) \lambda(S)} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \cdots \int_{1}^{T_{k}} \int_{0}^{S_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{S_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{S_{\ell}} f\left(\Theta_{(t, s)}(p, q)\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{k} d s_{1} \ldots d s_{\ell}
\end{gathered}
$$

so we get

$$
\iint_{(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega} \widetilde{\operatorname{lk}}(p, q) d p \times d q=\iint_{(p, q) \in \Omega \times \Omega} f(p, q) d p \times d q
$$

Then (38) shows that this function satisfies (37). Clearly it does not depend on the choices of $\widetilde{p}$ and $\widetilde{q}$.

As a consequence of this Proposition, we can define the asymptotic linking invariant to be

$$
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)=\int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega} \widetilde{\operatorname{lk}}(p, q) d \eta(p) d \eta(q)
$$

and then Theorem 2 states that $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)=I(\Phi, \Psi)$.

Proof of Theorem 2, With the volume forms $\omega, d \eta(p)$, and $d \eta(q)$ on $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi) & =\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \widetilde{\operatorname{lk}}(p, q) d \eta(p) d \eta(q)=\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} f(p, q) d \eta(p) d \eta(q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{p \in \Omega} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left[\frac{q-p}{\|q-p\|^{n}} \times X(p)\right] \cdot Y(q) d \eta(p) d \eta(q) \quad \text { by (339) } \\
& =\int_{q \in \Omega}\left[\frac{(-1)^{k}}{a_{n}} \int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{q-p}{\|q-p\|^{n}} \times X(p) d \eta(p)\right] \cdot Y(q) d \eta(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Fubini's Theorem, and then, by the Biot-Savart formula (17), the definition of $j$, (14), and Corollary 3 this is equal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}(B S(X) \cdot Y) \omega=\int_{\Omega} j B S(X)(Y) \omega \\
=\int_{\Omega} j B S(X) \wedge i_{Y} \omega=\int_{\Omega} j B S(X) \wedge d \beta=I(\Phi, \Psi) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 12. A LOWER BOUND FOR THE ENERGY OF AN ACTION

We remark that in the case when $\Phi=\Psi$ and $n=2 k+1$, the invariant $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Phi)=$ $I(\Phi, \Phi)$ is a lower bound for the energy of the generating $k$-vector $X$.

Definition 2. Let $\Phi$ be a conservative $k$-action on $\Omega$ and let $X$ be the $k$-vector field that generates $\Phi$. The energy of the $k$-action $\Phi$ is defined to be the value of the integral

$$
E(\Phi)=\|X\|^{2}=\int_{p \in \Omega} X(p) \cdot X(p) d \lambda(p)=\int_{p \in \Omega}\|X(p)\|^{2} d \lambda(p)
$$

Note that we can decrease the energy of $\Phi$ by conjugating $\Phi$ by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. Can we make it arbitrarily close to zero? The following result gives a negative answer to this question.

Theorem 8. There exists a constant $C>0$ depending only on $\Omega$ such that

$$
C^{-1}|\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Phi)| \leq E(\Phi)
$$

Proof. By Corollary 2, (7), and the definition of $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Phi) & =\int_{\Omega} j B S(X) \wedge d \alpha=\int_{\Omega} j B S(X) \wedge i_{X} d \lambda \\
& =\int_{\Omega} j B S(X)(X) d \alpha=\int_{\Omega} B S(X) \cdot X d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Phi)|=|<B S(X), X>| \leq\|B S(X)\|\|X\| \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
B S(X)(p)=\int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{(p-q) \times X(q)}{\|p-q\|^{2 k+1}} d \lambda(q)
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B S(X)(p)\| & \leq \int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{\|(p-q) \times X(q)\|}{\|p-q\|^{2 k+1}} d \lambda(q) \\
& \left.\leq \int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{(\|X(q)\|}{\|p-q\|^{2 k}} d \lambda(q)\right) \\
& \left.=\int_{q \in \Omega}\left[\frac{\|X(q)\|}{\|p-q\|^{k}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\|p-q\|^{k}}\right] d \lambda(q)\right) \\
& \leq\left[\int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{\|X(q)\|^{2}}{\|p-q\|^{2 k}} d \lambda(q)\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\|p-q\|^{2 k}} \lambda(q)\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Holder inequality. Then by Lemma 7 with $n=2 k+1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B S(X)(p)\| \leq \Gamma^{1 / 2} \int_{q \in \Omega}\left[\frac{\|X(q)\|^{2}}{\|p-q\|^{2 k}} d \lambda(q)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B S(X)\|^{2} & =\int_{p \in \Omega} B S(X)(p) \cdot B S(X)(p) d \lambda(p) \\
& =\int_{p \in \Omega}\|B S(X)(p)\|^{2} d \lambda(p) \\
& \leq \Gamma \int_{p \in \Omega}\left[\int_{q \in \Omega} \frac{\|X(q)\|^{2}}{\|p-q\|^{2}} d \lambda(q)\right] d \lambda(p) \quad \text { by (42) } \\
& =\Gamma \int_{q \in \Omega}\|X(q)\|^{2}\left[\int_{p \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\|p-q\|^{2}} d \lambda(p)\right] d \lambda(q) \quad \text { by Fubini's Theorem } \\
& \leq \Gamma^{2} \int_{q \in \Omega}\|X(q)\|^{2} d \lambda(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 7 Thus

$$
\left.\left.\|B S(X)\| \leq\left(\Gamma^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \int_{q \in \Omega}\|X(q)\|^{2} d \lambda(q)\right)^{1 / 2}=\Gamma\|X\|
$$

Substituting this inequality in (41) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{lk}(\Phi, \Phi)| \leq\|B S(X)\|\|X\| \leq \Gamma\|X\|^{2} \mid=\Gamma E(\Phi) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can decrease the energy of $\Phi$ by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, but these diffeomorphisms do not change the value of the asymptotic linking number $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Phi)$, so by (43) $\Gamma^{-1} \operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Phi)$ is the desired lower bound for the energy of $\Phi$.

## 13. Examples

Example 4. For every pair of integers $k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell+1=n$, and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there are conservative actions $\Phi$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\Psi$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ on the unit closed ball $D^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)=I(\Phi, \Psi)=t$.

The construction uses several lemmas.
Lemma 8. Given disjoint smooth embeddings of closed oriented manifolds $M, N$, of dimensions $k$ and $\ell$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exist disjoint smooth embeddings $M \times S^{1}, N \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $\operatorname{lk}\left(M \times S^{1}, N\right)=\operatorname{lk}(M, N)$. The same holds if $N$ is an affine $\ell$-space disjoint from $M$.

Proof. Given $M$ and $N$, by a translation we may assume that their images lie in the positive half space $x_{1}>0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let $P$ be the $(n-1)$-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ perpendicular to the $x_{1}$-axis, and rotate $M$ around $P$ to get $M \times S^{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Clearly $M \times S^{1}$ is disjoint from $N$. If we let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a compact singular $(k+1)$-manifold tranverse to $N$ such that $\partial \Sigma=M$, then $\operatorname{lk}(M, N)=\operatorname{Int}(\Sigma, N)$. By rotating $\Sigma$ around $P$ we obtain $\Sigma \times S^{1}$, whose boundary is $M \times S^{1}$. Then $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Sigma \times S^{1}, N\right)=\operatorname{Int}(\Sigma, N)$, and therefore the linking number is the same.

In case $N$ is an affine $\ell$-plane a similar argument works, taking $P$ to be an affine plane parallel to $N$.
Lemma 9. There exist disjoint embeddings of $T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}$ and $T^{\ell} \times D^{k+1}$ in $D^{n}$, where $T^{k}$ and $T^{\ell}$ are tori of dimensions $k$ and $\ell$, such that $1 \mathrm{k}\left(T^{\mathrm{k}} \times 0, \mathrm{~T}^{\ell} \times 0\right)=1$.

Proof. Begin with disjoint smooth embeddings of two circles $M$ and $N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{lk}(M, N)=1$. Applying Lemma 8 repeatedly, switching the roles of $M$ and $N$, gives disjoint embeddings of $T^{k}$ and $T^{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with intersection number 1 . Since the normal bundles are trivial we can extend the embeddings to disjoint embeddings of $T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}$ and $T^{\ell} \times k+1$. then a homothety will move these sets into $D^{n}$.

Lemma 10. Let $T^{r}$ act on $T^{r} \times D^{s}$ by the product action on the first factor and identity on the second factor. For any smooth volume form $\omega$ on $T^{r} \times D^{s}$ there is a smooth isotopy $h_{t}$ of $T^{r} \times D^{s}$ taking each factor $T^{r} \times\{y\}$ to itself such that $h_{0}=\mathrm{id}$ and $h_{1}^{*}(\omega)$ is $T^{r}$-invariant.

Proof. Here we need a slightly modified form of Moser's Theorem [12] acting on each orbit. We use the standard coordinates $(x, y)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right)$ and the standard Euclidean volume form $\omega^{*}=d x \wedge d y$ on $T^{r} \times D^{s}$ to simplify the notation. Let $f_{0}: T^{r} \times D^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the (unique) non-vanishing smooth function such that $\omega=f_{0} \omega^{*}$ and define $f_{1}: D^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $f_{1}(y)=\int_{T^{k} \times\{y\}} f_{0}(x, y) d x$. Note that $\alpha_{y}^{*}=f_{0}(y) d x$ is $T^{k}$-invariant. Now the volume forms $\alpha_{y}=f_{1}(x, y) d x$ and $\alpha_{y}^{*}$ have the same integral $\int_{T^{k}} \alpha_{y} d x=\int_{T^{k}} \alpha_{y}^{*} d x$, so there exists a smooth function $f: T^{r} \times D^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha=f \alpha^{*}$ and we can apply Moser's proof [12] on each factor $T^{k} \times\{y\}$. Following Moser, we may suppose that there is a positive $\epsilon$ such that $|f(y)-1|<\epsilon$ for every $y$ by expressing any positive function $f$ as a sum of functions close to 1 . We use the same cover of $T^{k}$ by open cubes $U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{m}$ and the same functions $\eta_{i}(k=1, \ldots, m)$, independent of $y$. Then it is straightorward to
check that Moser's isotopies of each $T^{k} \times\{y\}$ fit together to give a smooth isotopy of $T^{r} \times D^{s}$ transforming each $\alpha_{y}$ into $\alpha_{y}^{*}$. This isotopy also transforms $\omega$ into $f_{1} \omega_{*}$, which is invariant under the action of $T^{k}$.

Construction of the Example. Take $W=T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1} \sqcup T^{\ell} \times D^{k+1}$ embedded in $D^{n}$ by Lemma 9, where $k+\ell+1=n$. The compact Lie groups $T^{k}$ and $T^{\ell}$ act on $W, T^{k}$ acting on $T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}$ by multiplication on the first factor and trivially on $T^{\ell} \times D^{k+1}$, and analogously for the action of $T^{\ell}$.

By Lemma 10, we may conjugate the action of $T^{k}$ on $T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}$ by a diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity so that it preserves the Euclidean volume form, and similarly for the action of $T^{\ell}$. Lift the actions of $T^{k}$ and $T^{\ell}$ to volume preserving actions $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \times\left(T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}\right) \rightarrow T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times\left(T^{\ell} \times D^{k+1}\right) \rightarrow T^{\ell} \times D^{k+1}$.

Let $W_{\epsilon}=T^{k} \times D_{0}^{\ell+1} \cup T^{\ell} \times D_{0}^{k+1}$ be a smaller invariant neighborhood of $T^{k} \cup T^{\ell}$ and let $\lambda: W \rightarrow[0,1]$ be constant on the orbits with the values 1 on $W_{\epsilon}$ and 0 on $D^{n} \backslash W$. Then let $\Phi(t, z)=\phi(\lambda(z) t, z)$ for $z$ in the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $T^{k} \times D^{\ell+1}$ and identity elsewhere, while $\Psi(t, z)=\psi(\lambda(z) t, z)$ on the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $T^{\ell} \times D^{k+1}$ and identity elsewhere. Thus $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are commuting conservative actions of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ on $D^{n}$. The linking number of the orbits $T^{k} \times\{y\}$ and $T^{\ell} \times\{z\}$ are $\operatorname{lk}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{k}} \times \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{T}^{\ell} \times \mathrm{z}\right)=1$ for $y \in D_{0}^{\ell+1}$ and $z \in D_{0}^{k+1}$.

Now it is easy to check that the linking number $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)>0$ since for points $p \in D_{0}^{\ell+1}$ and $q \in D_{0}^{k+1}$ and for $T=[0,2 r \pi]^{k}$ and $S=[0,2 s \pi]^{\ell}$,

$$
\operatorname{lk}\left(\theta_{\Phi}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~T}), \theta_{\Psi}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~s}^{\ell}\right.
$$

since for these rectangles $T$ and $S$ the cones $\sigma(p, T)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(q, S)$ are empty. When we normalize by dividing by $(2 r \pi)^{k} \cdot(2 s \pi)^{\ell}$ we get the constant $(2 \pi)^{-(k+\ell)}$, which is therefore the value of the limit for orbits in $W_{\epsilon}$ as $r, s \rightarrow \infty$. Other points $p, q$ contribute positively, so we get $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)>0$. To get a negative value it suffices to change one of the orientations. Finally by multiplying $t \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ by $s$ we multiply the asymptotic linking number by $s^{k}$ and thus we can obtain all real numbers as values of $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, \Psi)$.

Example 5. Given a closed connected oriented submanifold $N^{\ell}$ embedded in $D^{n}$ and a real number $t$, by a similar construction we can find a conservative action $\Phi$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ on $D^{n}, k=n-\ell-1$, such that $\operatorname{lk}(\Phi, N)=\mathrm{t}$.

Here the construction is similar to the previous example. By applying Lemma 8 repeatedly we can obtain $T^{k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash P$, where $P$ is an affine $\ell$-plane, such that the linking number is $\mathrm{lk}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{P}\right)=1$. Now locally the smooth embedding of $N$ in $D^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to the embedding of $P$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so we can find a small torus $T^{k} \subset D^{k} \backslash N$ such that $\operatorname{lk}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{N}\right)=1$. The rest of the construction proceeds as in Example 4.
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