

A NEW PROOF OF BENEDICKS' THEOREM FOR THE WEYL TRANSFORM

M. K. VEMURI

ABSTRACT. Benedicks theorem for the Weyl Transform states: If the set of points where a function is nonzero is of finite measure, and its Weyl transform is a finite rank operator, then the function is identically zero. A new, more transparent proof of this theorem is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

That a nonzero function and its Fourier transform cannot both be sharply localized is known as the *Uncertainty Principle* in Harmonic Analysis. There are many different precise formulations of this principle, depending on the way in which localization is quantified. One such is Benedicks' theorem [1]: if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and the sets $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ and $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \hat{f}(\xi) \neq 0\}$ both have finite Lebesgue measure, then $f \equiv 0$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the *Weyl transform* of f is the operator $W(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(W(f)\varphi)(t) = \iint f(x, y) e^{\pi i(xy + 2yt)} \varphi(t + x) dx dy.$$

The following analogue of Benedicks' theorem for the Weyl transform was proved in [7].

Theorem 1.1. *If the set $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(w) \neq 0\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure and $W(f)$ is a finite rank operator, then $f \equiv 0$.*

Recall that the Heisenberg group G is the set of triples

$$\{(x, y, z) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$$

with multiplication defined by

$$(x, y, z)(x', y', z') = (x + x', y + y', zz' e^{\pi i(xy' - yx')}).$$

According to the *Stone-von Neumann Theorem*, there is a unique irreducible unitary representation ρ of G such that

$$\rho(0, 0, z) = zI.$$

Date: August 16, 2018.

Key words and phrases. von Neumann algebra, Heisenberg group, Uncertainty principle.

The standard realization of this representation is on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} by the action

$$(\rho(x, y, z)\varphi)(t) = ze^{\pi i(xy+2yt)}\varphi(t+x).$$

Thus, the Weyl transform may be expressed as

$$W(f) = \iint f(x, y)\rho(x, y, 1) dx dy, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

If X is a trace class operator on \mathcal{H} , the modified Fourier-Wigner transform of X is the function $\alpha(X) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\alpha(X)(x, y) = \text{tr}(X\rho(x, y, 1)^*).$$

It is well known (see e.g. [3]) that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $W(f)$ is a trace class operator then $\alpha(W(f)) = f$, and that if X is a trace class operator on \mathcal{H} and $\alpha(X) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ then $W(\alpha(X)) = X$. Thus we may reformulate Theorem 1.1 as

Theorem 1.2. *If X is a finite rank operator on \mathcal{H} and the set $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \alpha(X)(w) \neq 0\}$ has finite measure, then $X = 0$.*

Theorem 1.2 was proved in [7]. In spirit, the proof in [7] was similar to that of Benedicks [1]. However, the definition of the periodization map was opaque, and embedded in messy representation theory. In this work, we define the periodization map in a more transparent way, and give a proof of Theorem 1.2 that is even closer to that of Benedicks [1]. The price for this is the use of somewhat more sophisticated ideas from the theory of von Neumann algebras. However, this is a small price to pay, because ultimately, Theorem 1.2 depends on Linnell's theorem [4], which uses, in an essential way, very deep ideas from the theory of von Neumann algebras.

2. PERIODIC OPERATORS

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the projection $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$. Then π is a homomorphism and $\ker(\pi) = Z(G)$, the center of G . Let $U(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $s(x, y) = (x, y, 1)$. Then s is a section of π , i.e. $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, and

$$s(x, y)s(x', y') = (x + x', y + y', e^{\pi i(xy' - yx')}) = \psi((x, y), (x', y'))s(x + x', y + y'),$$

where $\psi((x, y), (x', y')) \in Z(G)$ is defined by

$$\psi((x, y), (x', y')) = (0, 0, e^{\pi i(xy' - yx')}).$$

Define $e : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow U(1)$ by

$$e((x, y), (x', y')) = e^{2\pi i(xy' - yx')}.$$

Note that if $g, g' \in G$ then

$$gg'g^{-1}g'^{-1} = (0, 0, e(\pi(g), \pi(g'))).$$

The pairing e is an alternating bicharacter, and it is perfect in the sense that it establishes the Pontryagin duality $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^2} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a lattice (necessarily cocompact). Let $N^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid e(n, w) = 1 \quad \forall n \in N\}$.

Definition 2.1. A closed, densely defined operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be N -periodic if for all $n \in N$, we have

$$\rho(n, 1)T\rho(n, 1)^{-1} = T.$$

The set $\mathcal{A}_N = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T \text{ is } N\text{-periodic}\}$ is a von Neumann algebra (it is the commutant of the self-adjoint set $\{\rho(n, 1) \mid n \in N\}$). Observe that a closed densely defined operator T is N -periodic iff T is affiliated to \mathcal{A}_N .

Observe that for each $n' \in N^\perp$, the operator $\rho(n', 1)$ is N -periodic, hence the weak closure of $\text{span}\{\rho(n', 1) \mid n' \in N^\perp\}$ is contained in \mathcal{A}_N . The following theorem is a direct consequence of [6, Theorem 5.7].

Theorem 2.2. \mathcal{A}_N is the weak closure of $\text{span}\{\rho(n', 1) \mid n' \in N^\perp\}$.

In [4], Linnell constructed a faithful finite weakly continuous tracial state τ on \mathcal{A}_N with the property that

$$(1) \quad \tau(\rho(n', 1)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n' = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n' \in N^\perp \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

thus proving the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. \mathcal{A}_N is a finite von Neumann algebra.

Let $L^p(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$ denote the Dixmier-Segal “non-commutative” L^p -space of \mathcal{A}_N with respect to the trace τ (see [5]). Note that $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$ is a Hilbert space under the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = \tau(AB^*)$, and we have the duality $\mathcal{A}_N = L^\infty(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau) \cong L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)^*$ (under the same pairing). Moreover, since \mathcal{A}_N is finite, we have $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau) \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$.

Definition 2.4. If $T \in L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$ and $n' \in N^\perp$, we define the Fourier-Wigner coefficients of T by

$$(\alpha(T))(n') = \tau(T\rho(n', 1)^*) \quad n' \in N^\perp.$$

Proposition 2.5. If $T \in L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$ and $\alpha(T)(n') = 0$ for all $n' \in N^\perp$, then $T = 0$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 and the duality $\mathcal{A}_N \cong L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)^*$. \square

Proposition 2.6. $\{\rho(n', 1)\}_{n' \in N^\perp}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from equation (1) and Theorem 2.5. \square

3. THE ZAK TRANSFORM

As the Zak (Weil-Brezin) transform is used in several proofs in Section 4, we review some of its properties; they are well known (see e.g. [2]).

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is continuous and compactly supported, we define its Zak transform $Z\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(\sigma - l) e^{2\pi i l \theta}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (Z\varphi)(\theta + 1, \sigma) &= (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \quad \text{and} \\ (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma + 1) &= e^{2\pi i \theta} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

so $(Z\varphi)$ is determined, by its values on $\Omega = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$. Also, if $e_{mn}(t) = \chi_{[0,1)}(t-n) e^{2\pi i m t}$, then

$$(Ze_{mn})(\theta, \sigma) = e^{-2\pi i n \theta} e^{2\pi i m \sigma}, \quad (\theta, \sigma) \in \Omega$$

so Z extends to a unitary map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$. Also, if $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, then

$$(Z^{-1}F)(t) = \int_0^1 F(\theta, t) d\theta.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (Z\rho(x, y, z)\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} (\rho(x, y, z)\varphi)(\sigma - l) e^{2\pi i l \theta} \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} z e^{\pi i (xy + 2y(\sigma - l))} \varphi(\sigma - l + x) e^{2\pi i l \theta} \\ &= z e^{\pi i (xy + 2y\sigma)} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i l (\theta - y)} \varphi(\sigma + x - l) \\ &= z e^{\pi i (xy + 2y\sigma)} (Z\varphi)(\theta - y, \sigma + x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = \mathbb{Z}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Then M is a maximal isotropic subgroup. If $(m_1, m_2) \in M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (Z\rho(m_1, m_2, e^{\pi i m_1 m_2})\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) &= e^{\pi i m_1 m_2} e^{\pi i (m_1 m_2 + 2m_2 \sigma)} (Z\varphi)(\theta - m_2, \sigma + m_1) \\ &= e^{2\pi i m_2 \sigma} e^{2\pi i m_1 \theta} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

4. PERIODIZATION

Although most of the results in this section may be formulated and proved for arbitrary *isotropic* lattices, we will henceforth assume that $N = \mathbb{Z} \times a\mathbb{Z}$, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. The reason for this is that it suffices for our main goal, namely the proof of Theorem 1.2 and that Linnell's trace τ has a nice formula in this case.

Observe that $N^\perp = \frac{1}{a}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\chi_h = \chi_{[h/a, (h+1)/a)}$. If $T \in \mathcal{A}_N$, then ([4, p3274])

$$\tau(T) = \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \langle T\chi_h, \chi_h \rangle = \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_{h/a}^{(h+1)/a} T\chi_h.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $g \in L^1(\Omega)$. Let $\mathcal{D}_g = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid gZ\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)\}$. Define $M_g : \mathcal{D}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by*

$$M_g(\varphi) = Z^{-1}(gZ\varphi).$$

Then \mathcal{D}_g is dense in \mathcal{H} , and M_g is closed. Moreover $M_g \in L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$.

Proof. An elementary measure theory argument shows that \mathcal{D}_g is dense in \mathcal{H} . Observe that $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{g}} = \mathcal{D}_g$. Suppose $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}_g$, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, and $M_g\varphi_n \rightarrow \psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then for all $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{g}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \mu \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_g\varphi_n, \mu \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_n, M_{\bar{g}}\mu \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, M_{\bar{g}}\mu \rangle \\ &= \langle M_g\varphi, \mu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{g}}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , it follows that $M_g\varphi = \psi$. This proves that M_g is closed.

Extend g to \mathbb{R}^2 by N -periodicity. Then $gZ\varphi$ has the same periodicity properties as $Z\varphi$.

We claim that M_g is N -periodic. Indeed, if $n = (n_1, n_2) \in N$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_g$, then $Z\rho(n, 1)\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_g$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(n, 1)^{-1}M_g\rho(n, 1)\varphi)(t) &= (\rho(-n, 1)Z^{-1}(gZ\rho(n, 1)\varphi))(t) \\ &= e^{\pi i(n_1n_2 - 2n_2t)}(Z^{-1}(gZ\rho(n, 1)\varphi))(t - n_1) \\ &= e^{\pi i(n_1n_2 - 2n_2t)} \int_0^1 (gZ\rho(n, 1)\varphi)(\theta, t - n_1) d\theta \\ &= e^{\pi i(n_1n_2 - 2n_2t)} \int_0^1 g(\theta, t - n_1)(Z\rho(n, 1)\varphi)(\theta, t - n_1) d\theta \\ &= e^{\pi i(n_1n_2 - 2n_2t)} \int_0^1 g(\theta, t - n_1)e^{\pi i(n_1n_2 + 2n_2(t - n_1))}(Z\varphi)(\theta - n_2, t) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 g(\theta, t - n_1)(Z\varphi)(\theta - n_2, t) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 g(\theta, t)(Z\varphi)(\theta, t) d\theta \\ &= Z^{-1}(gZ\varphi) \\ &= M_g(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{A}_N is finite, M_g is automatically measurable. Let $\lambda > 0$. Observe that $|M_g| = M_{|g|}$, and $\chi_{(\lambda, \infty)}(|M_g|) = M_{g_\lambda}$, where

$$g_\lambda(\theta, \sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |g(\theta, \sigma)| > \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\chi_{(\lambda, \infty)}(|M_g|)) &= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_{h/a}^{(h+1)/a} \chi_{(\lambda, \infty)}(|M_g|) \chi_h \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_{h/a}^{(h+1)/a} Z^{-1}(g_\lambda Z \chi_h) \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_0^1 g_\lambda(\theta, \sigma) \chi_h(\sigma) d\theta d\sigma \\
&= \int_\Omega g_\lambda(\theta, \sigma) d\theta d\sigma \\
&= m(\{(\theta, \sigma) \mid |g(\theta, \sigma)| > \lambda\}),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the distribution function of M_g is equal to the distribution function of g . Since $g \in L^1(\Omega)$, it follows that $M_g \in L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$. □

Let $X = \varphi \otimes \overline{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a rank-one operator. Define

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} M_{g_j} \rho(-j/a, 0, 1),$$

where

$$g_j(\theta, \sigma) = (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\psi)(\theta, \sigma - j/a)}.$$

Then $\tilde{X} \in \widetilde{L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)}$ by the non-commutative Hölder inequality. Moreover, the map $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \widetilde{\varphi \otimes \overline{\psi}}$ is sesquilinear. If X is a finite rank operator, then there exist rank-one operators X_1, \dots, X_n such that $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Define $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_1 + \dots + \tilde{X}_n$. This is independent of the expression of X in terms of rank-one operators, by the aforementioned sesquilinearity, and $\tilde{X} \in L^1(\mathcal{A}_N, \tau)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be of the form $X = \varphi \otimes \overline{\psi}$ where φ, ψ are smooth compactly supported functions. If f is a smooth compactly supported function, then*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho(n, 1) X \rho(n, 1)^{-1} f = a^{-1} \tilde{X} f,$$

in the sense that the square partial sums of the left hand side converge in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to the right hand side.

Proof. For $L \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$X_L = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L \rho(k, la, 1) X \rho(k, la, 1)^{-1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(ZX_L f)(\theta, \sigma) &= (ZX_L Z^{-1} Zf)(\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L \left((Z\rho(k, la, 1)\varphi \otimes \overline{Z\rho(k, la, 1)\psi}) (Zf) \right) (\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L \langle Zf, Z\rho(k, la, 1)\psi \rangle (Z\rho(k, la, 1)\varphi) (\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L e^{2\pi i(la(\sigma-\sigma') + k(\theta-\theta'))} \iint_{\Omega} (Zf)(\theta', \sigma') \overline{Z\psi(\theta', \sigma')} d\theta' d\sigma' (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \iint_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L e^{2\pi i(la(\sigma-\sigma') + k(\theta-\theta'))} \right) (Zf)(\theta', \sigma') \overline{Z\psi(\theta', \sigma')} d\theta' d\sigma' (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \iint_{\Omega} D_L(a(\sigma - \sigma')) D_L(\theta - \theta') (Zf)(\theta', \sigma') \overline{Z\psi(\theta', \sigma')} d\theta' d\sigma' (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^a D_L(a\sigma') D_L(\theta') (Zf)(\theta - \theta', \sigma - \sigma') \overline{Z\psi(\theta - \theta', \sigma - \sigma')} d\theta' d\sigma' (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \int_0^1 \int_0^a D_L(\sigma') D_L(\theta') (Zf)(\theta - \theta', \sigma - \sigma'/a) \overline{Z\psi(\theta - \theta', \sigma - \sigma'/a)} d\theta' d\sigma' (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 D_L(\sigma') D_L(\theta') (Zf)(\theta - \theta', \sigma - (\sigma' + j)/a) \overline{Z\psi(\theta - \theta', \sigma - (\sigma' + j)/a)} d\theta' d\sigma' \\
&\quad \times (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

Since Zf and $Z\psi$ are smooth, it follows that

$$(ZX_L f)(\theta, \sigma) \rightarrow \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} (Zf)(\theta, \sigma - j/a) \overline{Z\psi(\theta, \sigma - j/a)} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) = \frac{1}{a} Z\tilde{X}f$$

uniformly, and so in $L^2(\Omega)$. □

Lemma 4.3. *If $\text{rank}(X) < a$ then \tilde{X} is not injective.*

We will need the following measure theoretic lemma to prove Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Σ be a measurable space, $m < n$, and $e_1, \dots, e_m : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be measurable. Then there exists $e : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ measurable such that $\|e(x)\| = 1$, and $\langle e_j(x), e(x) \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $b = \text{rank}(X)$, and assume $b < a$. Then there exist $\varphi_j, \psi_j \in \mathcal{H}$, $j = 1, \dots, b$, such that $X = \varphi_1 \otimes \overline{\psi_1} + \dots + \varphi_b \otimes \overline{\psi_b}$. Let $\Sigma = [0, 1) \times [0, 1/a)$, and for

$j = 1, \dots, b$, define $e_j : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^a$ by

$$e_j(\theta, \sigma) = (Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma), Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + 1/a), \dots, Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + (a-1)/a)).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.4, there exists $e : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^a$ such that $\|e(\theta, \sigma)\| = 1$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^a \overline{Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + (i-1)/a)} (e(\theta, \sigma))_i = 0, \quad (\theta, \sigma) \in \Sigma, j = 1, \dots, b,$$

where $(\cdot)_i$ denotes the i^{th} component.

Define $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $F(\theta, \sigma) = (e(\theta, \sigma - (i-1)/a))_i$ if $\sigma \in [(i-1)/a, i/a)$, $i = 1, \dots, a$, where $(\cdot)_i$ denotes the i^{th} component. Clearly $F \in L^2(\Omega)$. Put $f = Z^{-1}F$. Then $f \neq 0$. Let $g_{ji}(\theta, \sigma) = (Z\varphi_j)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + i/a)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((Z\tilde{X})f)(\theta, \sigma) &= \sum_{j=1}^b \left(Z \left(\widetilde{\varphi_j \otimes \overline{\psi_j}} \right) f \right) (\theta, \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^b Z \left(\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} M_{g_{ji}} \rho(i/a, 0, 1) f \right) (\theta, \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} (Z\varphi_j)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + i/a)} F(\theta, \sigma + i/a) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^b (Z\varphi_j)(\theta, \sigma) \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \overline{Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + i/a)} F(\theta, \sigma + i/a) \\ &= 0, \quad (\theta, \sigma) \in \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} \overline{Z\psi_j(\theta, \sigma + i/a)} F(\theta, \sigma + i/a)$ is $(1/a)$ -periodic in σ , it follows that $Z\tilde{X}f \equiv 0$, and hence $\tilde{X}f = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\alpha(M_g)(k/a, l) = \begin{cases} e^{\pi i l k / a} \int_{\Omega} g(\theta, \sigma) e^{-2\pi i (l\sigma + k\theta/a)} d\theta d\sigma, & \text{if } k \in a\mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^1(\Omega)$, it suffices to check this for $g \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(M_g)(k/a, l) &= \tau(M_g \rho(-k/a, -l, 1)) \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \langle M_g \rho(-k/a, -l, 1) \chi_h, \chi_h \rangle \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \langle Z^{-1}(g Z \rho(-k/a, -l, 1) \chi_h), \chi_h \rangle \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \langle g Z \rho(-k/a, -l, 1) \chi_h, Z \chi_h \rangle \\
&= \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_{\Omega} g(\theta, \sigma) (Z \rho(-k/a, -l, 1) \chi_h)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z \chi_h)(\theta, \sigma)} d\sigma d\theta \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_{\Omega} g(\theta, \sigma) e^{\pi i(lk/a - 2l\sigma)} (Z \chi_h)(\theta + l, \sigma - k/a) \overline{(Z \chi_h)(\theta, \sigma)}, & \text{if } k \in a\mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{h=0}^{a-1} \int_{\Omega} g(\theta, \sigma) e^{\pi i(lk/a - 2l\sigma - 2k\theta/a)} (Z \chi_h)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z \chi_h)(\theta, \sigma)}, & \text{if } k \in a\mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} g(\theta, \sigma) e^{\pi i(lk/a - 2l\sigma - 2k\theta/a)}, & \text{if } k \in a\mathbb{Z} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.6. *If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a finite rank operator, then*

$$\alpha(\tilde{X})(n') = \alpha(X)(n')$$

for all $n' \in N^{\perp}$.

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to check this assuming X has rank one. Let $n' \in N^{\perp}$. Then $n' = (k/a, l)$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exists a unique $k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}$ such that

$k + k' \in a\mathbb{Z}$. Write $X = \varphi \otimes \bar{\psi}$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\tilde{X})(n') &= \tau \left(\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} M_{g_j} \rho(-j/a, 0, 1) \rho(-k/a, -l, 1) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \tau(M_{g_j} \rho(-(j+k)/a, -l, e^{\pi i j l/a})) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} e^{\pi i j l/a} \alpha(M_{g_j})((j+k)/a, l) \\
&= e^{\pi i k' l/a} \alpha(M_{g_{k'}}((k'+k)/a, l) \\
&= e^{\pi i k' l/a} e^{\pi i l(k'+k)/a} \int_{\Omega} g_{k'}(\theta, \sigma) e^{-2\pi i(l\sigma + (k'+k)\theta/a)} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= e^{\pi i l(2k'+k)/a} \int_{\Omega} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\psi)(\theta, \sigma - k'/a)} e^{-2\pi i(l\sigma + (k'+k)\theta/a)} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= e^{\pi i l(k'+k)/a} \int_{\Omega} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\psi)(\theta - l, \sigma - k'/a) e^{\pi i(-lk'/a + 2l\sigma + 2(k'+k)\theta/a)}} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= e^{\pi i l(k'+k)/a} \int_{\Omega} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\rho(-k'/a, l, 1)\psi)(\theta, \sigma) e^{2\pi i(k'+k)\theta/a}} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= e^{\pi i l(k'+k)/a} \int_{\Omega} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\rho(-k'/a, l, 1)\psi)(\theta, \sigma + (k'+k)/a)} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\rho((k'+k)/a, 0, e^{-\pi i l(k'+k)/a})\rho(-k'/a, l, 1)\psi)(\theta, \sigma)} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (Z\varphi)(\theta, \sigma) \overline{(Z\rho(k/a, l, 1)\psi)(\theta, \sigma)} d\theta d\sigma \\
&= \langle \varphi, \rho(k/a, l, 1)\psi \rangle \\
&= \text{tr}((\varphi \otimes \bar{\psi})\rho(k/a, l, 1)^*) \\
&= \alpha(X)(n')
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. THE BENEDICKS ARGUMENT

The proof of Theorem 1.2 proceeds as in [1, 7]. Assume X is a finite rank operator on \mathcal{H} . Let $B = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \alpha(X)(w) \neq 0\}$ and assume that B has finite measure. Choose an integer a greater than the rank of X and let $N = \mathbb{Z} \times a\mathbb{Z}$. Then \tilde{X} is not injective by Lemma 4.3. Also $N_v = (B - v) \cap N^\perp$ is a finite set for almost every $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For such v , let $X^v = X\rho(s(v))^*$. Since $\alpha(X^v)(w) = \overline{\psi(w, v)}\alpha(X)(w + v)$, it follows that $\alpha(X^v)(w) = 0$ if $w \notin B - v$. Therefore by Theorem 4.6, $\alpha(\tilde{X}^v)(n') = \alpha(X^v)(n') = 0$ if $n' \in N^\perp \setminus N_v$.

Therefore, by Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6,

$$\widetilde{X}^v = \sum_{n' \in N_v} \alpha(X^v)(n) \rho(n, 1).$$

Since the right hand side is a finite sum, and \widetilde{X}^v is not injective, it follows that $\widetilde{X}^v = 0$ by [4, Theorem 1.2]. Therefore $\alpha(X)(n' + v) = 0$ for all $n' \in N^\perp$ and almost every $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore $\alpha(X) = 0$ almost everywhere, and so $X = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. Michael Benedicks, *On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **106** (1985), no. 1, 180–183.
2. Ingrid Daubechies, *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **36** (1990), no. 5, 961–1005.
3. Gerald B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 122, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
4. Peter A. Linnell, *von Neumann algebras and linear independence of translates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 11, 3269–3277.
5. Gilles Pisier and Quanhua Xu, *Non-commutative L^p -spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, pp. 1459–1517.
6. M. K. Vemuri, *Realizations of the canonical representation*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **118** (2008), no. 1, 115–131.
7. ———, *Benedicks' theorem for the Weyl transform*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **452** (2017), no. 1, 209–217.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT (BHU), VARANASI 221 005

E-mail address: mkvemuri@gmail.com