

# Singular extinction profiles of solutions to some fast diffusion equations

Tianling Jin\*, Jingang Xiong†

August 5, 2020

## Abstract

We study extinction profiles of solutions to fast diffusion equations with some initial data in the Marcinkiewicz space. The extinction profiles will be the singular solutions of their stationary equations.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the non-negative solution of the fast diffusion equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u^m \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(\cdot, 0) &= u_0 \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $0 < m < 1$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $u_0$  is assumed to be non-negative and locally integrable. It is well known that this problem is well-posed for such  $u_0$ ; see Herrero-Pierre [20]. It is also known that when  $m > \frac{n-2}{n}$ , the solution is positive and smooth at all positive times. However, when  $m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ , locally integrable initial data may not produce locally bounded solutions, and the solution may be extinct after a finite time, i.e., there exists  $T^* > 0$  such that  $u(x, t) > 0$  for all  $0 < t < T^*$  and  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  for all  $t \geq T^*$ . An explicit example for such solutions to (1) is

$$U(x, t; T^*) = \left( \frac{2m(n-2-nm)}{1-m} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \left( \frac{T^* - t}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \tag{2}$$

with arbitrary  $T^* > 0$ .

There have been many interests in analyzing the exact behavior of the solutions near the vanishing time. In [24], King first formally provided vanishing profiles in the radially symmetric case, and later Galaktionov-Peletier [17] proved rigorously some of the conjectures raised by King. When  $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ , del Pino-Sáez [15] obtained the extinction profile for any fast-decay initial condition without any radial symmetry assumption. This case is of special interest, since it is the

---

\*T. Jin is partially supported by Hong Kong RGC grants GRF 16302217 and GRF 16306320.

†J. Xiong is partially supported by NSFC 11922104 and 11631002.

so-called Yamabe flow, and the equation is conformally invariant. Blanchet-Bonforte-Dolbeault-Grillo-Vázquez [6], Bonforte-Grillo-Vázquez [9] and Daskalopoulos-Sesum [14] showed that if the initial value  $u_0$  is bounded from below and above by two Barenblatt solutions (which are self-similar solution to (1) and decay at the rate of  $|x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}$  at infinity) and approaching to a Barenblatt solution near the infinity in some sense, then the asymptotic behavior of  $u$  at the vanishing time is given by a Barenblatt solution. Further results on the convergence to other similar solutions can be found in Daskalopoulos-King-Sesum [13]. See also Fila-Winkler [16] for a result when the initial data are close to a radial stationary (after rescaling the time) solution of (1). Asymptotic behavior of singular solution of some fast diffusion equation in the punctured Euclidean space was recently studied in Hui-Park [21].

Here, we would like to study the extinction profiles of solutions  $u$  to (1) when the initial data are in the Marcinkiewicz space. Recall that the Marcinkiewicz space  $\mathcal{M}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as the set of functions  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\int_K |f(x)| dx \leq C|K|^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \quad (3)$$

for all subsets  $K$  of finite measure, where  $|K|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $K$ . The minimum  $C$  in (3) gives a norm in this space, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup\{|K|^{-\frac{q-1}{q}} \int_K |f(x)| dx : K \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |K| < \infty\}.$$

One can refer to the appendix of Bénilan-Brezis-Crandall [2] on more properties of Marcinkiewicz spaces. Note that  $U(x, 0; T)$  defined in (2) belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$q^* = \frac{n(1-m)}{2}.$$

When  $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ , the Marcinkiewicz space has been characterized as a natural space for regularity and extinction of solutions to (1), by the results in Chapter 5 of the lecture notes [30] of Vázquez. If  $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $q > q^*$ , then the solution is uniformly bounded. The function  $U$  in (2) shows that if  $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the solution may not be bounded. On the other hand, if  $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the solution will be extinct after a finite time, and one has an estimate for the extinction time:  $T^* \leq C(m, n)\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-m}$ . Conversely, if the solution vanishes after a finite time  $T$ , then it is necessary that

$$|B_R|^{-\frac{q^*-1}{q^*}} \int_{B_R(x_0)} u_0(x) dx \leq C(m, n)T^{\frac{1}{1-m}},$$

for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $R > 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is almost the correct space for extinction of non-negative solutions.

We are interested in studying the extinction profiles of solutions to (1) when the initial data  $u_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The most typical function in  $\mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $|x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}$ . In this paper, we will suppose that the initial datum  $u_0$  is of the form

$$u_0(x) = |x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} f(x), \text{ where } f \text{ is positive and bounded away from } 0 \text{ and } \infty. \quad (4)$$

Such  $u_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^{q^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It decays at the same rate  $|x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}$  as Barenblatt solutions, and it also blows up at the origin at the rate of  $|x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}}$ .

## 1.1 Cylindrical coordinates

We will write the equation (1) in cylindrical coordinates for the function  $u^m$ . Let  $p = 1/m$ ,  $r = |x|$ ,  $\theta = \frac{x}{|x|}$ ,  $\rho = \ln r$ , and

$$w(\rho, \theta, t) = r^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u^m(r\theta, t). \quad (5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w^p &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} w + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} w + a \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} w + bw \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times (0, \infty), \\ w(\rho, \theta, 0) &= f(e^\rho \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}} := w_0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Sigma = (-\infty, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  is the Beltrami-Laplace operator on the standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and

$$a = \frac{n-2}{p-1} \left( p - \frac{n+2}{n-2} \right), \quad b = \frac{2(n-2)}{(p-1)^2} \left( \frac{n}{n-2} - p \right). \quad (7)$$

We scale the extinction time  $T^*$  of  $u$  to infinity by letting

$$v(\rho, \theta, t) = \left( \frac{T^*}{T^* - \tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} w(\rho, \theta, \tau), \quad t = T^* \ln \left( \frac{T^*}{T^* - \tau} \right). \quad (8)$$

Then the fast diffusion equation in (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^p &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} v + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v + a \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} v + bv + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} v^p \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times (0, \infty), \\ v(\rho, \theta, 0) &= f(e^\rho \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, the extinction profiles of the solution  $u$  of (1) as  $\tau \rightarrow T^*$  can be deduced from the asymptotic profiles of the solution  $v$  of (9) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which are expected to be the solutions of the stationary equation.

## 1.2 Singular stationary solutions

The stationary equation of (9) is

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} v + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v + a \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} v + bv + \frac{p}{p-1} v^p = 0 \quad \text{on } (-\infty, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (10)$$

We suppose that  $n \geq 3$ . The following results are known.

- (i). If  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , and  $v$  is uniformly bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$ , then  $v$  has to be a constant function, that is,

$$v \equiv \left( \frac{2((n-2)p - n)}{p(p-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \quad (11)$$

This result was proved by Gidas-Spruck [18].

(ii). Suppose that  $v$  does not depend on the  $\rho$ -variable,  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+1}{n-3}$  if  $n > 3$  and  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \infty$  if  $n = 3$ . Then  $v$  has to be the constant function given in (11). This was proved by Bidaut-Véron-Véron [5].

(iii). Suppose that  $v$  does not depend on the  $\rho$ -variable,  $n > 3$  and  $p = \frac{n+1}{n-3}$ , then

$$v(\theta) = v_{\theta_0, \lambda}(\theta) := \left( \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{4}} \cdot \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}{\lambda - \cos(\text{dist}(\theta, \theta_0))} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \quad (12)$$

for some  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\lambda > 1$ , where  $\text{dist}(\theta, \theta_0)$  is the geodesic distance between  $\theta$  and  $\theta_0$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . This result was proved by Obata [28].

(iv). If  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and  $\liminf_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v(\rho, \theta) > 0$ , then  $v$  depends only on the  $\rho$ -variable. Moreover, either  $v$  is a constant function, or  $v$  is periodic in the  $\rho$ -variable with period  $\ell > \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{n-2}}$ . These are usually called the Fowler solutions. For  $\ell > \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{n-2}}$ , there is only one Fowler solution with (minimal) period  $\ell$ . These results can be found in Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck [12], and also in Mazzeo-Pacard [27].

We will use the above results (ii), (iii) and (iv) in our theorems.

### 1.3 Main results

Recall that we will assume the initial data of (1) are of the form (4). In the cylindrical coordinate system  $\theta = x/|x| \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x| = e^\rho$ , if we write

$$f_0(\rho, \theta) = f(e^\rho \theta),$$

then

$$u_0(x) = |x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} f_0 \left( \log |x|, \frac{x}{|x|} \right) = |x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} f_0(\rho, \theta). \quad (13)$$

We obtain the following asymptotic behavior of solutions to (1) near the vanishing time  $T^*$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ . Suppose  $f_0(\rho, \theta)$  is a smooth positive function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and is periodic in the  $\rho$ -variable with period  $\ell > 0$ . Let  $u$  be the solution of (1) with initial data (13). Let  $T^*$  be its extinction time. Then*

$$\left( \frac{1}{T^* - t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} u(x, t) \rightarrow \bar{v}^{\frac{1}{m}} (\log |x|) \cdot |x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow T^*.$$

where  $\bar{v}$  is a Fowler solution of (10). Moreover, if  $\ell \leq 2\pi/\sqrt{n-2}$ , then  $\bar{v} = \left( \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n+2}} \right)^{(n-2)/4}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $n > 3$  and  $m = \frac{n-3}{n+1}$ . Suppose  $f_0(\rho, \theta)$  is independent of the  $\rho$ -variable, and is a smooth positive function in the  $\theta$ -variable. Let  $u$  be the solution of (1) with initial data (13). Let  $T^*$  be its extinction time. Then there exist  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and  $\lambda > 1$  such that*

$$\left( \frac{1}{T^* - t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} u(x, t) \rightarrow v_{\theta_0, \lambda}^{\frac{1}{m}} \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \cdot |x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow T^*,$$

where  $v_{\theta_0, \lambda}$  is given in (12)

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $\frac{n-3}{n+1} < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ . Suppose  $f_0(\rho, \theta)$  is independent of the  $\rho$ -variable, and is a smooth positive function in the  $\theta$ -variable. Let  $u$  be the solution of (1) with initial data (13). Let  $T^*$  be its extinction time. Then*

$$\left(\frac{1}{T^* - t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} u(x, t) \rightarrow \left(\frac{2m(n-2-nm)}{1-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \cdot |x|^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} \text{ in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow T^*.$$

**Remark 1.4.** *Because we assume in the above three theorems that  $v_0$  is either independent of the  $\rho$ -variable, or is periodic in the  $\rho$ -variable, the  $C_{loc}^2$  convergence in these three theorems are sufficient to capture the global convergence.*

**Remark 1.5.** *The convergence rates in all of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 will be at least  $|\ln(T^* - t)|^{-\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ . See Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.*

Our above theorems essentially follow from the observation that if we rewrite the equation (1) under cylindrical coordinates (5), then they will be reduced to obtaining the vanishing behavior of fast diffusion equations (6) on compact manifolds without boundary. Much of the analysis for our fast diffusion equations on compact manifolds will be similar to those in bounded domains in the Euclidean space, where we will use arguments from literatures including Berryman-Holland [3], Bonforte-Vázquez [11], del Pino-Sáez [15] and Simon [29]. We also refer to Bonforte-Grillo-Vázquez [10], Akagi [1], Bonforte-Figalli [7], Jin-Xiong [22, 23] and the references therein for more study on the extinction profiles of fast diffusion equations on bounded domains.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will rewrite the equations in cylindrical coordinates and formulate some equivalent problems. In Section 3, we obtain the energy estimates. In Sections 4, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Sections 5, we prove Theorems 1.3.

**Acknowledgement:** Part of this work was completed while the second named author was visiting the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology and Rutgers University, to which he is grateful for providing the very stimulating research environments and supports. Both authors would like to thank Professor Yan Yan Li for his interests and constant encouragement.

## 2 Reformulations on product manifolds

We have noted that finding the extinction profiles of solutions to (1) is reduced to describing the asymptotic behavior of solutions to (9) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We will show that the solutions of (9) will converge to their stationary solutions under the assumptions in our theorems on the initial data and proper range of the exponent  $p$ .

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we know for (6) that  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and  $w_0$  is periodic in  $\rho$  with period  $\ell$ . Therefore,  $a = 0$ , and due to the well-posedness of (1),  $w$  defined by (5) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w^p &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} w + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} w + bw \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\ell \times (0, T^*), \\ w(\rho, \theta, 0) &= f_0(\rho, \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $f_0(\rho, \theta) = f(e^\rho \theta)$ ,  $b$  is given in (7), and

$$\Sigma_\ell = (\mathbb{R}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Note that for the choice of  $b$  in (7),  $b < 0$  if  $p > \frac{n}{n-2}$ . The rescaled solution  $v$  defined by (8) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^p &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} v + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v + bv + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} v^p \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\ell \times (0, \infty), \\ v(\rho, \theta, 0) &= f_0(\rho, \theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We have

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Suppose  $f_0(\rho, \theta)$  is a smooth positive function on  $\Sigma_\ell$ . Let  $v$  be the rescaled solution defined in (8) that satisfies (15). Then  $v(\cdot, t)$  converges smoothly to a stationary solution  $\bar{v}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\ell \leq 2\pi/\sqrt{n-2}$ , then  $\bar{v} = \left(\frac{T^*(n-2)^2}{n+2}\right)^{(n-2)/4}$ . Moreover, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq Ct^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } t > 1.$$

The Fowler solutions in Theorem 2.1 were presented earlier in Section 1.2 (iv).

Under the assumptions in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we know for (6) that  $w_0$  is independent of  $\rho$ . Therefore,  $w$  defined in (5) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w^p &= \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} w + bw \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, T^*), \\ w(\rho, \theta, 0) &= f_0(\theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $f_0(\theta) = f_0(1, \theta)$ ,  $b$  is given in (7), and the rescaled solution  $v$  defined in (8) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^p &= \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} v + bv + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} v^p \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty), \\ v(\theta, 0) &= f_0(\theta)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

If  $p = \frac{n+1}{n-3}$  with  $n > 3$ , then (17) is a variant of the Yamabe flow on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  which does not preserving the volume. It has been proved by del Pino-Sáez [15] that

**Theorem 2.2** (del Pino-Sáez [15]). *Let  $n > 3$  and  $p = \frac{n+1}{n-3}$ . Suppose  $f_0(\theta)$  is a smooth positive function on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Let  $v$  be the rescaled solution defined in (8) that satisfies (17). Then  $v(\cdot, t)$  converges smoothly to  $(T^*)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \bar{v}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\bar{v}$  is given in (12). Moreover, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - (T^*)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \bar{v}\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq Ct^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } t > 1.$$

Although the decay rate in Theorem 2.2 is not stated in [15], it can be obtained in a similar way to that of Theorem 2.1.

For subcritical exponents, we have

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+1}{n-3}$  if  $n > 3$ , and  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \infty$  if  $n = 3$ . Suppose  $f_0(\theta)$  is a smooth positive function on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Let  $v$  be the rescaled solution defined in (8) that satisfies (17). Then  $v(\cdot, t)$  converges smoothly to the constant  $\left(\frac{2T^*(p(n-2)-n)}{p(p-1)}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\left\| v(\cdot, t) - \left(\frac{2T^*(p(n-2)-n)}{p(p-1)}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \right\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq Ct^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } t > 1.$$

Due to the well-posedness of (1), Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 follows from Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively.

Note that the differential operator  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  is the Beltrami-Laplace operator of the product manifold  $(\mathbb{R}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, the equations (14) and (16) can be written in a unified form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w^p &= \Delta_g w + bw \quad \text{on } M \times (0, \infty), \\ w(\cdot, 0) &= w_0, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where  $(M, g)$  is a compact manifold without boundary,  $\Delta_g$  is its Beltrami-Laplace operator, and  $b$  is a smooth function on  $M$  such that the operator  $-\Delta_g - b$  is coercive, that is, there exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_M (|\nabla_g u|^2 - bu^2) \, d\text{vol}_g \geq c_0 \int_M u^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \quad \text{for all } u \in C^\infty(M). \tag{19}$$

Then by the Sobolev inequality, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_M w(\cdot, t)^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} \leq -C$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Therefore, solutions of (18) extinct after a fine time  $T^*$ . Under the change of variables in (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^p &= \Delta_g v + bv + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} v^p \quad \text{on } M \times (0, \infty), \\ v(\cdot, 0) &= w_0. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Theorem 2.3 can be slightly generalized to

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional smooth compact manifold without boundary. Let  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  if  $n \geq 3$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$  if  $n = 1, 2$ . Suppose  $w_0$  is a smooth positive function on  $M$ , and  $b$  is a smooth function on  $M$  such that (19) holds. Let  $w$  be the solution of (18) with initial data  $w_0$ ,  $T^*$  be its extinction time, and  $v$  be defined by (8). Then  $v(\cdot, t)$  converges smoothly to a stationary solution  $\bar{v}$  of (20) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^2(M)} \leq Ct^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } t > 1.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 4. The proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are given in Section 5.

Fast diffusion equations on general noncompact manifolds have been studied in Bonforte-Grillo-Vázquez [8, 9], Bianchi-Setti [4], Grillo-Muratori-Punzo [19], and etc.

### 3 Some integral bounds

In this section, we first follow the arguments of Berryman-Holland [3] to obtain certain integral bounds for the solutions of (18). We assume  $1 < p \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  if  $n > 3$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$  if  $n = 1, 2$ . Denote

$$L = -(\Delta_g + b),$$

and we assume  $b$  is a smooth function satisfying (19). Let

$$H(t) = H(w(\cdot, t)) = \frac{\int_M wLw \, d\text{vol}_g}{\left(\int_M w^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g\right)^{2/(p+1)}}. \quad (21)$$

Let  $T^*$  be the extinction time of the solution  $w$  to (18). We have the following integral estimates of  $w$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, p, M, g$  and the  $c_0$  in (19) such that for all  $0 \leq t \leq T^*$ , we have*

$$C(T^* - t)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \leq \int_M w(\cdot, t)^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g \leq (1 - t/T^*)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \int_M w(\cdot, 0)^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

*Proof.* The proof is identical to those in [3] in Euclidean spaces. We include them here for completeness. Since  $M$  is compact and  $w_0$  is smooth and positive, we know that  $w$  is smooth and positive before its extinction time. By the equation of  $w$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M wLw &= -\frac{2}{p} \int_M \frac{(Lw)^2}{w^{p-1}}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int_M w^{p+1} &= -\frac{p+1}{p} \int_M wLw. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(t) = \frac{2}{p} H(w(\cdot, t)) \left( \frac{\int_M wLw}{\int_M w^{p+1}} - \frac{\int_M \frac{(Lw)^2}{w^{p-1}}}{\int_M wLw} \right) \leq 0,$$

where in the last inequality we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\left( \int_M wLw \right)^2 \leq \int_M w^{p+1} \int_M \frac{(Lw)^2}{w^{p-1}}.$$

Let

$$\zeta(t) = \left( \int_M w(\cdot, t)^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}.$$

Then one can verify that

$$\zeta'(t) = -\frac{p-1}{p} H(t). \quad (22)$$

Hence,  $\zeta''(t) = -\frac{p-1}{p} H'(t) \geq 0$ , and thus,  $\zeta$  is convex. It follows that

$$\zeta(t) \leq \zeta(T) + \left( \frac{\zeta(s) - \zeta(T)}{T - s} \right) (T - t)$$

for all  $s \leq t \leq T$ . Setting  $s = 0$  and  $T = T^*$ , we obtain the second inequality in this lemma.

Since  $b$  satisfies (19),  $1 < p \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  if  $n > 3$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$  if  $n = 1, 2$ , by the Sobolev inequality and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$H(t) \geq C > 0$$



for some positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, p, M, g$  and the  $c_0$  in (19). Therefore, it follows from (22) that

$$\zeta'(t) \leq -C$$

Integrating the above inequality, we have

$$\left( \int_M w(\cdot, t')^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} - \left( \int_M w(\cdot, t)^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} \leq -C(t' - t)$$

for  $T^* > t' \geq t$ . The first inequality of this lemma follows immediately from sending  $t' \rightarrow T^*$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that the function  $v$  in each of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 satisfies

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p+1}} \leq C \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty) \quad (23)$$

for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $t$ .

## 4 Critical cases

In this section, we will prove Theorems 2.1.

### 4.1 Uniform bounds

For  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , the equation (9) has conformal invariance. We will make use of this invariance to obtain a Harnack inequality, and consequently, uniform estimates.

Denote

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{n-2} u \left( x + \frac{\lambda^2(y-x)}{|y-x|^2} \right)$$

as the Kelvin transform of  $u$  with respect to the sphere  $\partial B_\lambda(x)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $u \in C^0(B_r)$ ,  $r > 0$ , be a positive function. Assume that*

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y) \leq u(y) \quad \text{for any } B_\lambda(x) \subset B_r \text{ and } y \in B_r \setminus B_\lambda(x).$$

*Then  $\ln u$  is locally Lipschitz in  $B_r$  and*

$$|\nabla \ln u| \leq \frac{n-2}{r-|x|} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in B_r.$$

The above lemma was first proved in Lemma A.2 of Li-Li [25] assuming that  $w$  is  $C^1$ . This condition was weakened to be  $C^0$  in Lemma 2 of Li-Nguyen [26].

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $u \in C^2((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, T])$  be a positive solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} &= \Delta u(x, t) + b(t) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b(t) \in C([0, T])$ . Suppose that for all  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$\inf_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u(x, t) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u(x, t) < \infty. \quad (24)$$

Let  $A > 0$  be such that

$$\inf_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_0(x) \geq \frac{1}{A}, \quad \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_0(x) \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |x| \cdot |\nabla_x \ln u_0(x)| \leq A. \quad (25)$$

Then there exists  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n$  and  $A$  such that

$$|\nabla_x \ln u(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } 0 < t < T.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u^\mu(x, t) = \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u(\mu x, t)$  with  $\mu > 0$ . Then  $u^\mu$  satisfies all the assumptions of the proposition. Therefore, we only need to prove the proposition for  $|x| = 1$ .

Arbitrarily fix  $|x_0| = 1$ . We are going to show that there exists  $r \in (0, 1/4)$ , depending only on  $n, \sigma$  and  $A$ , but independent of the choice of  $x_0$ , such that for each  $t \in [0, T)$  there holds

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y, t) \leq u(y, t) \quad \text{for any } B_\lambda(x) \subset B_r(x_0) \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(x), y \neq 0. \quad (26)$$

Then the proposition will follow immediately from Lemma 4.1.

Define

$$r_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{n-2}{9A}, \frac{1}{4} \right\}.$$

Then for every  $x \in B_{1/2}(x_0)$ ,  $0 < r < r_0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we have  $|x + r\theta| \geq 1/4$ , and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} (r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_0(x + r\theta)) &\geq r^{\frac{n-4}{2}} u_0(x + r\theta) \left( \frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{r |\nabla u_0(x + r\theta)|}{u_0(x + r\theta)} \right) \\ &\geq r^{\frac{n-4}{2}} u_0(x + r\theta) \left( \frac{n-2}{2} - 4Ar \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(u_0)_{x,\lambda}(y) \leq u_0(y) \quad \text{for any } 0 < \lambda < |y - x| < r_0.$$

It is elementary to verify that there exists  $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}(A, r_0) \in (0, 1/4)$  such that

$$|y| \bar{\lambda}^2 \leq |x - y|^2 A^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \bar{\lambda} \right) \quad \text{for all } |y - x| \geq r_0.$$

Then for every  $0 < \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda}$  and  $|y - x| \geq r_0$ , we have

$$|y| \lambda^2 \leq |x - y|^2 A^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} \left| x + \frac{\lambda^2 (y - x)}{|y - x|^2} \right|,$$

from which, together the first two conditions in (25), it follows that

$$(u_0)_{x,\lambda}(y) \leq u_0(y) \quad \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad |y - x| \geq r_0, y \neq 0.$$

Hence,

$$(u_0)_{x,\lambda}(y) \leq u_0(y) \quad \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad |y - x| \geq \lambda, \quad y \neq 0. \quad (27)$$

We are going to use the maximum principle to show that (27) holds for all  $u(\cdot, t)$ .

Notice that  $u_{x,\lambda}$  satisfies the same equation as  $u$ . Moreover, because of the first two conditions in (25), it is elementary to see that for all  $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ , we have

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y, t) \leq u(y, t) \quad \text{when } |y| = \varepsilon \text{ or } |y| = R$$

if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small and  $R$  is sufficiently large. Now consider the equation of  $u$  and  $u_{x,\lambda}$  in the region  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\{0\} \cup B_\lambda(x))$  and apply the comparison principle, we have

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y, t) \leq u(y, t) \quad \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad |y - x| \geq \lambda, \quad y \neq 0,$$

from which (26) follows with  $r = \bar{\lambda}$ . □

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $v$  be as in Theorem 2.1. There exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq v(x, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } x \in \Sigma_\ell, \quad t > 0.$$

*Proof.* Make the change of variables  $r = |x|$ ,  $\theta = \frac{x}{|x|}$ ,  $\rho = \ln r$  and

$$v(\rho, \theta, t) = r^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(r\theta, t).$$

We know that  $u$  satisfies Proposition 4.2. Then the conclusion of Proposition 4.2 implies that  $v$  satisfies the Harnack inequality on  $\Sigma_\ell$ , that is, there exists  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n, v_0$  such that

$$\sup_{\Sigma_\ell} v(\cdot, t) \leq C \inf_{\Sigma_\ell} v(\cdot, t).$$

The conclusion follows from the above Harnack inequality and the integral estimate (23). □

## 4.2 Convergence

The right-hand side of (15) is the negative gradient of the following functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |\nabla_g v|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} v^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \frac{p}{(p^2 - 1)T^*} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} v^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g. \quad (28)$$

Once we have the uniform lower and upper bound of the solution, the convergence will essentially follow from the arguments in del Pino-Sáez [15] and Simon [29].

First of all, we have that, denoting  $J(t) = J(v(\cdot, t))$  with  $v$  as in Theorem 2.1,

$$\frac{d}{dt} J(t) = -\frac{4p}{(p+1)^2} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} \left( (v^{\frac{p+1}{2}})_t \right)^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \leq 0. \quad (29)$$

Secondly, we show that

**Lemma 4.4.**  *$J(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $J(t_0) < 0$  for some  $t_0 > 0$ . Then  $J(t) < 0$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Let

$$F(t) = \int_{\Sigma_\ell} v(\cdot, t)^{p+1} \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

Then using the equation of  $v$  and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p+1}{p} \frac{dF}{dt}(t) &= - \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |\nabla v|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g + \int_{\Sigma_\ell} v^2 \, d\text{vol}_g + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &= -2J(t) + \frac{p+1}{pT^*} F(t) \\ &\geq \frac{p+1}{pT^*} F(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$F(t) \geq F(t_0) e^{\frac{t-t_0}{T^*}}.$$

This contradicts the integral estimate (23) if  $t$  is sufficiently large.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1:* By Proposition 4.3 and regularity theory,  $v$  is bounded in all  $C^k$  norms. Then there exists a sequence of time  $\{t_j\}$  going to infinity such that  $v(\cdot, t_j) \rightarrow \bar{v}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . We will show that  $\bar{v}$  is a stationary solution to (15) and  $v(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \bar{v}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Integrating (29) from  $t_j$  to  $t_j + \tau$ , and making use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_\ell} |v(\cdot, t_j + \tau)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - v(\cdot, t_j)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \leq \frac{(p+1)^2 \tau}{4p} (J(t_j + \tau) - J(t_j)).$$

Since  $J(\cdot)$  is decreasing and nonnegative,  $J(t)$  converges as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, for  $\tau$  in bounded intervals,  $v(\cdot, t_j + \tau) \rightarrow \bar{v}$  in  $L^{p+1}$  uniformly in  $\tau$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $v(\cdot, t_j + \tau) \rightarrow \bar{v}$  in  $C^2$  uniformly in  $\tau$ . Then we integrate the equation (9) from  $t_n$  to  $t_n + 1$ , and obtain for every  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma_\ell)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_\ell} (v(\cdot, t_j + 1)^p - v(\cdot, t_j)^p) \varphi \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma_\ell} \left( \Delta_g v(\cdot, t_j + \tau) + b v(\cdot, t_j + \tau) + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} v(\cdot, t_j + \tau)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \right) \varphi \, d\text{vol}_g \, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Sending  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_\ell} \left( \Delta_g \bar{v} + b \bar{v} + \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*} \bar{v}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \right) \varphi \, d\text{vol}_g = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\bar{v}$  is a stationary solution of (9).

Next, we show that  $v(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \bar{v}$  in  $C^2(\Sigma_\ell)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (not just along a subsequence). This is a consequence of the uniqueness result of Simon [29] for negative gradient flows. Denote

$$\nabla J(v) = \Delta_g v - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} v + \frac{n+2}{4T^*} v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}.$$

Then it follows from Theorem 3 in [29] that there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that for every  $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)$  with  $\|v - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < r_0$ , there holds

$$\|\nabla J(v)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \geq |J(v) - J(\bar{v})|^{1-\theta}. \quad (30)$$

This is an infinite dimensional generalization of the Lojasiewicz inequality. Also, from the equality in (29) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, there  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$-\frac{d}{dt}J(v(\cdot, t)) \geq c_0 \|v_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \|\nabla J(v(\cdot, t))\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)}. \quad (31)$$

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  (suppose  $\varepsilon < r_0$ ), we are going to find  $\bar{t} = \bar{t}(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $t > \bar{t}$ . From Proposition 4.3, we know that  $v$  satisfies a uniformly parabolic equation. Fix  $\tau_0 > 0$ . By considering the parabolic equation of  $v - \bar{v}$ , we know that there exists  $\delta_1(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\|v(\cdot, s) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} < \delta_1(\varepsilon)$ , then

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [s, s + \tau_0].$$

Also, by the regularity estimate, there exists  $\delta_2(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\|v(\cdot, s) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} < \delta_2(\varepsilon)$ , then

$$\|v(\cdot, s + \tau_0) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon.$$

From the continuity of  $J$ , there exists  $\delta_3(\varepsilon)$  such that if  $\|v - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \delta_3(\varepsilon)$  then

$$|J(v) - J(\bar{v})| < \varepsilon.$$

Let

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_2(\varepsilon) \right), \delta_2 \left( \delta_3 \left( \left( \frac{c_0 \theta \delta_2(\varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \right) \right) \right\}.$$

Choose  $t_0$  sufficiently large such that  $\|v(\cdot, t_0) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} < \delta$ . This implies that

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} < \frac{1}{2} \delta_2(\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_0],$$

and consequently

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0 + \tau_0, t_0 + 2\tau_0].$$

Define

$$T = \sup\{t : \|v(\cdot, s) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } s \in [t_0 + \tau_0, t]\}.$$

Then we know that  $T \geq t_0 + 2\tau_0$ .

We claim that  $T = \infty$ . If not, then by (30) and (31), it follows that for all  $t \in [t_0 + \tau_0, T]$ , we have

$$-\frac{d}{dt} (J(v(\cdot, t)) - J(\bar{v}))^\theta = -\theta (J(v(\cdot, t)) - J(\bar{v}))^{\theta-1} \frac{d}{dt} J(v(\cdot, t)) \geq c_0 \theta \|v_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)}.$$

By integrating the above inequality and Minkowski's integral inequality, we obtain

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq \|v(\cdot, t_0 + \tau_0) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} + \frac{1}{c_0 \theta} (J(v(\cdot, t_0 + \tau_0)) - J(\bar{v}))^\theta$$

for all  $t \in [t_0 + \tau_0, T]$ . Again, since  $\|v(\cdot, t_0) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} < \delta$ , we have

$$\|v(\cdot, t_0 + \tau_0) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \delta_3 \left( \left( \frac{c_0 \theta \delta_2(\varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \right),$$

and consequently,

$$|J(v(\cdot, t_0 + \tau_0)) - J(\bar{v})| < \left( \frac{c_0 \theta \delta_2(\varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

Hence, for all  $t \in [t_0 + \tau_0, T]$ , we have

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq \|v(\cdot, t_0 + \tau_0) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_2(\varepsilon) \leq \delta_2(\varepsilon).$$

This implies that

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0 + \tau_0, T + \tau_0].$$

This contradicts the maximality of  $T$ . Therefore,  $T = \infty$ , and thus,

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 + \tau_0.$$

This proves that  $v(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \bar{v}$  in  $C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_\ell)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, for all  $t$  large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (J(v(\cdot, t)) - J(\bar{v})) &= - \int_{\Sigma_\ell} (v^p)_t v_t \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\leq -C \int_{\Sigma_\ell} (v^p)_t (v^p)_t \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\leq -C \|\nabla J(v(\cdot, t))\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)}^2 \\ &\leq -C |J(v(\cdot, t)) - J(\bar{v})|^{2-2\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 4.3 in the first inequality, and (30) in the last inequality. Since  $0 < \theta < 1/2$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (J(v(\cdot, t)) - J(\bar{v}))^{2\theta-1} \geq (1 - 2\theta)C > 0,$$

and thus,

$$J(v(\cdot, t)) - J(\bar{v}) \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2\theta-1}}$$

for all  $t$  large. Then for  $T$  large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_T^{2T} \left( \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |v_t|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{1/2} dt \right)^2 &\leq T \int_T^{2T} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |v_t|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g dt \\ &\leq CT \int_T^{2T} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} v^{p-1} |v_t|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g dt \\ &= CT (J(v(\cdot, T)) - J(v(\cdot, 2T))) \\ &\leq CT (J(v(\cdot, T)) - J(\bar{v})) \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_T^{2T} \left( \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |v_t|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{1/2} dt \leq CT^{\frac{\theta}{2\theta-1}},$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^\infty \left( \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |v_t|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{1/2} dt &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{2^k T}^{2^{k+1} T} \left( \int_{\Sigma_\ell} |v_t|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{\theta}{2\theta-1}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{\frac{\theta k}{2\theta-1}} \\ &\leq CT^{\frac{\theta}{2\theta-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $b > a \gg 1$ , we have

$$\|v(\cdot, b) - v(\cdot, a)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq \left\| \int_a^b v_t(\cdot, t) \, dt \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq \int_a^b \|v_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \, dt \leq Ca^{\frac{\theta}{2\theta-1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq Ct^{-\gamma},$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{\theta}{1-2\theta} > 0$ . Since  $v$  is bounded in all  $C^k$  norms, by interpolation inequalities, we have

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \bar{v}\|_{C^2(\Sigma_\ell)} \leq Ct^{-\gamma}.$$

This finishes the proof of the decay rate. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1:* It follows from Theorem 2.1. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2:* It follows from Theorem 2.2. □

## 5 Subcritical cases

In this section, we will prove Theorems 2.3 and 2.4. We will prove Theorem 2.4 first, and Theorem 2.3 would follow.

### 5.1 Uniform bounds

We assume that  $b$  is a smooth function,  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  if  $n > 3$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$  if  $n = 1, 2$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose  $v$  is a positive smooth solution of (20) satisfying (23). Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$v(x, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } x \in M, t > 1.$$

*Proof.* We only do the  $n \geq 3$  case, since the other one is similar. We will use Moser's iteration and adapt that in Bonforte-Vázquez [11] for the fast diffusion equations.

Let  $0 < T_2 < T_1 < T_0$  be such that  $|T_1 - T_2| \leq 1$ ,  $\eta(t)$  be a smooth cut-off function so that  $\eta(t) = 0$  for all  $t < T_2$ ,  $0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1$  for  $t \in [T_2, T_1]$ ,  $\eta(t) = 1$  for all  $t > T_1$ , and  $|\eta'(t)| \leq \frac{2}{T_1 - T_2}$ . Denote

$$Q_1 = M \times [T_1, T_0], \quad Q_2 = M \times [T_2, T_0].$$

For  $m > 0$ , define

$$v_m = \min(v, m).$$

Let  $\beta \geq 0$ . In the following,  $C$  will be denoted as various constants that may change from lines to lines, but it will be *independent* of  $\beta, m, T_0, T_1, T_2$ .

We multiple  $\eta^2 v_m^\beta v$  on both sides of the equation (20) and integrate over  $Q_2$ . Then by integration by parts, we have that

$$\iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 v_m^\beta v \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^p + \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 \nabla_g v \nabla_g (v v_m^\beta) \leq \frac{p}{T^*(p-1)} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 v_m^\beta v^{p+1} + \iint_{Q_2} b \eta^2 v_m^\beta v^2.$$

For the first term on the left-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 v_m^\beta v \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^p &= \frac{p}{p+1} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 v_m^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v^{p+1} \\ &= \frac{p}{p+1} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v_m^\beta v^{p+1}) - \eta^2 v^{p+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_m^\beta \\ &= \frac{p}{p+1} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v_m^\beta v^{p+1}) - \eta^2 v_m^{p+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_m^\beta \\ &= \frac{p}{p+1} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v_m^\beta v^{p+1}) - \frac{\beta}{p+1+\beta} \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_m^{p+1+\beta} \\ &= \frac{p}{p+1} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(T) - \frac{\beta}{p+1+\beta} (v_m^{p+1+\beta})(T) \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{p+1} \iint_{Q_2} 2\eta\eta_t (v_m^\beta v^{p+1}) - \frac{\beta}{p+1+\beta} 2\eta\eta_t v_m^{p+1+\beta} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{p+1+\beta} \int_M (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(T) - \frac{C}{T_1 - T_2} \iint_{Q_2} v_m^\beta v^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the left-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 \nabla_g v \nabla_g (v v_m^\beta) &= \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 (\nabla_g v \nabla_g v v_m^\beta + \beta \nabla_g v \nabla_g v_m v v_m^{\beta-1}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(1+\beta)} \iint_{Q_2} \eta^2 |\nabla_g (v v_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combine all the estimates together, we have

$$\int_M (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(T) + \iint_{Q_1} |\nabla_g (v v_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})|^2 \leq \frac{C(1+\beta)}{T_1 - T_2} \iint_{Q_2} v_m^\beta (v^{p+1} + v^2). \quad (32)$$

Choose  $s_0 \in [T_1, T]$  such that

$$\int_M (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(s_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \in [T_1, T]} \int_{\Sigma_\ell} (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(t).$$



Then we can replace  $T$  by  $s_0$  in (32), and obtain that

$$\sup_{t \in [T_1, T]} \int_M (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(t) + \iint_{Q_1} |\nabla_g(vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})|^2 \leq \frac{C(1+\beta)}{T_1 - T_2} \iint_{Q_2} v_m^\beta (v^{p+1} + v^2). \quad (33)$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{t \in [T_1, T]} \int_M (v_m^\beta v^{p+1})(t) \leq \frac{C(1+\beta)}{T_1 - T_2} \iint_{Q_2} v_m^\beta (v^{p+1} + v^2). \quad (34)$$

Using (23) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \int_M v^{p+1} \leq \left( \int_M v^{p+1+\beta} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+1+\beta}} \text{vol}(M)^{\frac{\beta}{p+1+\beta}} \leq C \left( \int_M v^{p+1+\beta} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+1+\beta}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left( \int_M v^{p+1+\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1+\beta}} \geq \frac{1}{C},$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\beta$ . Then, since  $p > 1$ , we have

$$\int_M v^2 v_m^\beta \leq \int_M v^{\beta+2} \leq \left( \int_M v^{p+1+\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{p+1+\beta}} \text{vol}(M)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1+\beta}} \leq C \int_M v^{p+1+\beta}. \quad (35)$$

By sending  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (34), we have

$$\sup_{t \in [T_1, T]} \int_M (v^{p+1+\beta})(t) \leq \frac{C(1+\beta)}{T_1 - T_2} \iint_{Q_2} v^{p+1+\beta}. \quad (36)$$

On the other hand, using the Sobolev inequality, we have that for each  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{n}{n-2})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^{2\sigma} &= \int_M (vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^2 (v^2 v_m^\beta)^{\sigma-1} \\ &\leq \left( \int_M (vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \left( \int_M (v^2 v_m^\beta)^{\frac{(\sigma-1)n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_M (|\nabla_g(vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})|^2 + v^2 v_m^\beta) \right) \left( \int_M v^{\frac{(\beta+2)(\sigma-1)n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_M (|\nabla_g(vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})|^2 + v^{p+1+\beta}) \right) \left( \int_M v^{\frac{(\beta+2)(\sigma-1)n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (35) in the last inequality. Integrating from  $T_1$  to  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_1} (vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^{2\sigma} &\leq C \left( \iint_{Q_1} (|\nabla_g(vv_m^{\frac{\beta}{2}})|^2 + v^{p+1+\beta}) \right) \left( \sup_{t \in [T_1, T]} \int_M v^{\frac{(\beta+2)(\sigma-1)n}{2}}(t) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(1+\beta)}{T_1 - T_2} \left( \iint_{Q_2} v^{p+1+\beta} \right) \left( \sup_{t \in [T_1, T]} \int_M v^{\frac{(\beta+2)(\sigma-1)n}{2}}(t) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where we used (33) and (35). Choose

$$\frac{(\beta + 2)(\sigma - 1)n}{2} = p + 1 + \beta, \quad \text{that is} \quad \sigma = 1 + \frac{2(p + 1 + \beta)}{n(\beta + 2)}.$$

One can verify that (recall  $\beta \geq 0$ )

$$1 < \sigma < \frac{n}{n-2} \quad \text{because} \quad p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}.$$

Hence, from (37) and (36), we obtain, by sending  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the end,

$$\iint_{Q_1} v^{(\beta+2)\sigma} \leq C \left( \frac{1+\beta}{T_1-T_2} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} \left( \iint_{Q_2} v^{p+1+\beta} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{n}}. \quad (38)$$

For  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we define  $\beta_0 = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &= \sigma = 1 + \frac{2(p+1+\beta_k)}{n(\beta_k+2)}, \\ \beta_{k+1} &= (\beta_k+2)\sigma_k - p - 1 = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \beta_k + \frac{2(p+1)}{n} + 1 - p, \\ q_k &= \beta_k + p + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $q_0 = p + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{k+1} &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) q_k + 1 - p = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{k+1} \left(p + 1 - \frac{(p-1)n}{2}\right) + \frac{(p-1)n}{2} \\ \beta_{k+1} &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) q_k + 1 - p = \left( \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{k+1} - 1 \right) \left(p + 1 - \frac{(p-1)n}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , we have  $p_k$  is strictly increasing, and  $p_k \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Choose  $t_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $t_1 = c_0$ , and  $t_{k+1} - t_k = c_0 k^{-4}$  where  $c_0 = (2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-4})^{-1} > \frac{3}{8}$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \frac{1}{2}$ . Denote  $Q_k = \Sigma_\ell \times [t_k, 1]$ . Then using (38), we have

$$\iint_{Q_{k+1}} v^{q_{k+1}} \leq C ((1 + \beta_k)k^4)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} \left( \iint_{Q_k} v^{q_k} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \iint_{Q_{k+1}} v^{q_{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_{k+1}}} &\leq C^{\frac{1}{q_{k+1}}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{2k(n+2)}{nq_{k+1}}} \left( \iint_{Q_k} v^{q_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_k} \frac{2+n}{n} \frac{q_k}{q_{k+1}}} \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{n+2}{n}\right)^j} B^{\frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \sum_{j=0}^k (k-j) \left(\frac{n+2}{n}\right)^j} \left( \iint_{Q_0} v^{q_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \left(\frac{2+n}{n}\right)^{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n}}$ . Sending  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(M \times [1/2, 1])} \leq C \left( \iint_{Q_0} v^{p+1} \right)^{p+1 - \frac{(p-1)n}{2}} \leq C.$$

This finishes the proof, since the equation is translation invariant in the time variable.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $v$  be as in Proposition 5.1. Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$v(x, t) \geq \frac{1}{C} \quad \text{for } x \in M, t \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $L = -\Delta_g - b$ ,  $Q = v^{-p}Lv$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{p}{(p-1)T^*}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q &= -pv^{-p-1}\partial_t vLv + v^{-p}L(\partial_t v) \\ &= -v^{-2p}(-Lv + \alpha v^p)Lv + \frac{1}{p}v^{-p}L(v^{-p+1}(-Lv + \alpha v^p)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{p}v^{-p}L(vQ) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\alpha Q + Q^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{p}v^{-p}\left((Lv)Q - v\Delta_g Q - 2\langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g Q \rangle_g\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\alpha Q + Q^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{p}v^{-p+1}\Delta_g Q + \frac{2}{p}v^{-p}\langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g Q \rangle_g + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)Q^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\alpha Q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p}v^{-p+1}\Delta_g Q + \frac{2}{p}v^{-p}\langle \nabla_g v, \nabla_g Q \rangle_g - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\alpha Q. \end{aligned}$$

By the comparison principle, we have  $Q \geq c_1 := \min\{\min_M Q(\cdot, 0), 0\}$ . Thus,

$$Lv \geq c_1 v^p.$$

By Proposition 5.1, the standard local Harnack inequality and (23), we have

$$\inf_M v(\cdot, t) \geq \frac{1}{C} \left( \int_M v^\delta(\cdot, t) \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{1/\delta} \geq \frac{1}{C} \left( \int_M v^{p+1}(\cdot, t) \, d\text{vol}_g \right)^{1/\delta} \geq \frac{1}{C},$$

for some  $C > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ . □

## 5.2 Convergence

*Proof of Theorem 2.4:* By Proposition 5.1, Proposition 5.2, and standard parabolic regularity theory,  $v$  is uniformly bounded in  $C^k(M)$  for every  $k > 0$ . The proof of the convergence and the decay rate will be the same as that of Theorem 2.1. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* It follows from Theorem 2.4 and the result of Bidaut-Véron-Véron [5] on the classification of solutions to the stationary equation of (17) under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3:* It follows from Theorem 2.3. □

## References

- [1] G. Akagi, *Stability of non-isolated asymptotic profiles for fast diffusion*. Comm. Math. Phys. **345** (2016), no. 1, 77–100.

- [2] P. Bénilan, H. Brezis and M. G. Crandall, *A Semilinear Equation in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$* . Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **2** (1975), 523–555.
- [3] J. B. Berryman and C. J. Holland, *Stability of the separable solution for fast diffusion*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **74** (1980), 379–388.
- [4] D. Bianchi and A. Setti, *Laplacian cut-offs, porous and fast diffusion on manifolds and other applications*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), Art. 4, 33 pp.
- [5] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron and L. Véron, *Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics of Emden equations*. Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 3, 489–539.
- [6] A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo and J.L. Vázquez, *Asymptotics of the fast diffusion equation via entropy estimates*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **191** (2009), no. 2, 347–385.
- [7] M. Bonforte and A. Figalli, *Sharp extinction rates for fast diffusion equations on generic bounded domains*, Preprint, arXiv:1902.03189.
- [8] M. Bonforte, G. Grillo and J.L. Vázquez, *Fast diffusion flow on manifolds of nonpositive curvature*. J. Evol. Equ. **8** (2008), no. 1, 99–128.
- [9] M. Bonforte, G. Grillo and J.L. Vázquez, *Special fast diffusion with slow asymptotics: entropy method and flow on a Riemann manifold*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **196** (2010), no. 2, 631–680.
- [10] M. Bonforte, G. Grillo and J.L. Vázquez, *Behaviour near extinction for the Fast Diffusion Equation on bounded domains*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **97** (2012), no. 1, 1–38.
- [11] M. Bonforte and J.L. Vázquez, *Positivity, local smoothing, and Harnack inequalities for very fast diffusion equations*. Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 2, 529–578.
- [12] L.A. Caffarelli, B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), no. 3, 271–297.
- [13] P. Daskalopoulos, J. King and N. Sesum, *Extinction profile of complete non-compact solutions to the Yamabe flow*. Preprint. arXiv: 1306.0859.
- [14] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *On the extinction profile of solutions to fast diffusion*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **622** (2008), 95–119.
- [15] M. del Pino and M. Sáez, *On the extinction profile for solutions of  $u_t = \Delta u^{(n-2)/(n+2)}$* . Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 1, 611–628.
- [16] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Rate of convergence to separable solutions of the fast diffusion equation*. Israel J. Math. **213** (2016), no. 1, 1–32.
- [17] V.A. Galaktionov and L. Peletier, *Asymptotic behaviour near finite-time extinction for the fast diffusion equation*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **139** (1997), no. 1, 83–98.
- [18] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), no. 4, 525–598.
- [19] G. Grillo, M. Muratori and F. Punzo *Fast diffusion on noncompact manifolds: well-posedness theory and connections with semilinear elliptic equations*. arXiv:2003.12446.
- [20] M. Herrero and M. Pierre, *The Cauchy problem for  $u_t = \Delta u^m$  when  $0 < m < 1$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), no. 1, 145–158.
- [21] K. M. Hui and J. Park, *Asymptotic behaviour of singular solution of the fast diffusion equation in the punctured Euclidean space*, arXiv:2007.06830.
- [22] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Optimal boundary regularity for fast diffusion equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1910.05160, 2019.
- [23] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Bubbling and extinction for some fast diffusion equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:2008.01311, 2020.
- [24] J.R. King, *Self-similar behaviour for the equation of fast nonlinear diffusion*. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London. Sec. A **343** (1993), 337–375.
- [25] A. Li and Y.Y. Li, *On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations. II. Liouville, Harnack and Yamabe*. Acta Math. **195** (2005), 117–154.
- [26] Y.Y. Li and L. Nguyen, *A fully nonlinear version of the Yamabe problem on locally conformally flat manifolds with umbilic boundary*. arXiv:0911.3366.
- [27] R. Mazzeo and F. Pacard, *Constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities*. Duke Math. J.

- 99** (1999), no. 3, 353–418.
- [28] M. Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*. J. Differential Geometry **6** (1971/72), 247–258.
- [29] L. Simon, *Asymptotics for a class of non-linear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems*. Annals of Math. **118** (1983), 535–571.
- [30] J.L. Vázquez, *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations*. Oxford Lecture Notes in Maths. and its Applications, vol. 33. Oxford University Press, New York, 2006

T. Jin

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology  
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Email: tianlingjin@ust.hk

J. Xiong

School of Mathematical Sciences, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, MOE  
Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Email: jx@bnu.edu.cn