arXiv:2008.02065v1 [math.AP] 5 Aug 2020

EXISTENCE OF VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS WITH THE OPTIMAL REGULARITY OF A TWO-PEAKON HAMILTON–JACOBI EQUATION

TOMASZ CIEŚLAK AND JAKUB SIEMIANOWSKI

Abstract. This work, which continues the research begun in [6], [7], is devoted to the studies of a Hamilton–Jacobi equation with a quadratic and degenerate Hamiltonian, which comes from the dynamics of a multipeakon in the Camassa–Holm equation. It is given by a quadratic form with a singular positive semi-definite matrix. We increase the regularity of the value function considered in [6], which is known to be the viscosity solution. We prove that for a two-peakon Hamiltonian such solutions are actually 1/2-Hölder continuous in space and time-Lipschitz continuous. The time-Lipschitz regularity is proven in any dimension $N \geq 1$. Such a regularity is already known in the one-dimensional simplifications (see [7]), moreover it is the best possible, as shown in [6, 7].

1. Introduction

We consider the initial-value problem for the Hamilton–Jacobi equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}E(x)\nabla u(x,t)\cdot\nabla u(x,t) = 0, & x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ t\in(0,T),\\ u(x,0) = g(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $E(x)$ is a symmetric $N\times N$ matrix with entries $E(x)_{i,j} = e^{-|x_i-x_j|}$, $i,j=1,\dots,N$, $x=(x_1,\dots,x_N)\in\mathbb{R}^N$. An initial condition $g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function. Such a problem arises in the investigation of dynamics of a two-peakon special solutions to the Camassa–Holm equation, see for instance [2, 3].

The multipeakon is a solution of the form $u(x,t)=\sum_{i=1}^N p_i(t)e^{-|x-q_i(t)|}$, which satisfies Camassa–Holm equation provided p_i, q_i are trajectories of the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian given by the quadratic form $H(q,p) := 1/2E(q)p\cdot p$, $p=(p_1,p_2,\dots,p_N), q=(q_1,q_2,\dots,q_N)$. The evolution of a multipeakon was an area of many investigations during last years, besides already mentioned [2, 3], see for instance [5, 13], where geometric tools are used to trace the dynamics of multipeakon. Further information can be found in the introductory part of [6]. The Hamilton–Jacobi equation is a natural description of the front propagation of multipeakon trajectories. This is an original source of our interest in (1.1). Our study continues our previous contribution in [6, 7].

Let us mention that (1.1) seems additionally interesting since, due to its degeneracy, it is not covered by the classical theory of unique solvability of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. Neither classical approach of viscosity solutions (see [8, 10]) nor viability theory methods (see [11, 12]) seem directly applicable in this case. The matrix $E(x)$, $x=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_N)$, becomes singular once $x_i=x_j$ for $i\neq j$ but it is positive semi-definite, see [5] for a proof of this fact (when $x_i\neq x_j$ for $i\neq j$, matrix $E(x)$ is even positive definite). Due to the symmetry and positive semi-definiteness of $E(x)$, the square root $\sqrt{E(x)}$ of the matrix $E(x)$ is well-defined. Thus, the multipeakon Hamiltonian may be rewritten as $E(x)\nabla u\cdot\nabla u = |\sqrt{E(x)}\nabla u|^2$. In such a formulation, the Hamilton–Jacobi initial-value problems are studied in [4], see also the extensions in [9]. Again, approach of [4, 9] requires Lipschitz regularity of $\sqrt{E(x)}$ in x. This is not the case, since $\sqrt{E(x)}$ is only 1/2-Hölder continuous in x as we shall see later on.

We show that the value function which is a visocsity solution to the Hamilton–Jacobi equation with the two-peakon Hamiltonian, constructed in [6], is 1/2-Hölder continuous in space and time-Lipschitz continuous. This is the best possible regularity. We know from [7] that even in the one-dimensional simplification higher regularity fails, see also [6, Proposition 5.4] for the explicit example. Last but not least, we show that time-Lipschitz continuity of a solution of (1.1), constructed in [6], holds in any dimension $N \geq 1$, see Theorem 3 in Section 3. It is worth mentioning that the optimal regularity obtained in this paper is still not enough to prove the uniqueness of a viscosity solution. Actually, quite a standard use of a doubling variables method, yields global uniqueness provided solutions are $(1/2+\epsilon)$-Hölder continuous in the space variable. For more information on this issue as well as more sophisticated local-in-time uniqueness, we refer to [6, Section 5].

Our main theorem reads.

Theorem 1. *Let $N = 2$ and g be bounded and Lipschitz continuous. Then a bounded viscosity solution u of (1.1), constructed in [6], admits the following regularity: there is $C > 0$ such that for all (y,t), $(\tilde{y},\tilde{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T]$*

$$|u(y,t) - u(\tilde{y},\tilde{t})| \leq C\left(|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2} + |t-\tilde{t}|\right).$$

Moreover, u is differentiable a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,T]$ and satisfies (1.1) at all points of differentiability.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall necessary lemmas and key steps of our method developed in [6].

We begin with the reformulation of (1.1), which allows us to associate the value function with our Hamilton–Jacobi problem. As mentioned in Introduction, since $E(x)$ is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix, its unique symmetric positive semi-definite square root $\sqrt{E(x)}$ is well-defined. Next, we notice that

$$\frac{1}{2}E(x)\nabla u \cdot \nabla u = \frac{1}{2}|\sqrt{E(x)}\nabla u|^2 = \max_{a\in\mathbb{R}^N}\left(a\cdot\sqrt{E(x)}\nabla u - \frac{1}{2}|a|^2\right), \tag{2.1}$$

by the use of the Legendre transform. It is known that $u(x,t)$ is a viscosity solution of the initial-value problem (1.1) if and only if $w(x,t) := u(x,T-t)$ is a viscosity solution of the following terminal-value problem

$$\begin{cases} w_t(x,t) - \frac{1}{2}E(x)\nabla w(x,t)\cdot\nabla w(x,t) = 0, & x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ t\in(0,T),\\ w(x,T) = g(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Using (2.1), we rewrite the above terminal-value problem as

$$\begin{cases} w_t(x,t) + \min_{a\in\mathbb{R}^N}\left(a\cdot\sqrt{E(x)}\nabla w(x,t) + \frac{1}{2}|a|^2\right) = 0, & x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ t\in(0,T),\\ w(x,T) = g(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We associate with (2.2) the value function $v : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$v(y,t) := \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |\alpha(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + g(x(T))\right\}, \quad y\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ t\in[0,T], \tag{2.3}$$

where the infimum is taken over all measurable controls $\alpha : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and all solutions of

$$\begin{cases} x'(s) = \sqrt{E(x(s))}\alpha(s), & \text{for } t < s < T,\\ x(t) = y. \end{cases} \tag{$P(\alpha,y,t)$}$$

Since $\sqrt{E(\cdot)}$ is only 1/2-Hölder continuous (see (3.21)), solutions $x(s)$ are not unique in general.

Remark 2. (a) Note that the infimum in (2.3) is finite, since $\alpha \equiv 0$ is an admissible control. Furthermore, the infimum in (2.3) does not change if we restrict ourselves to controls $\alpha \in L^2((0,T),\mathbb{R}^N)$. For $\alpha \in L^2((t,T),\mathbb{R}^N)$ there is always an absolutely continuous solution $x : [t,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ of $(P(\alpha,y,t))$. For more details concerning these issues we refer the reader to [6].

(b) For convenience we consider the terminal-value problem (2.2) instead of the initial-value problem (1.1) . Note that the Lipschitz or the Hölder regularity of w and u are the same.

(c) We showed in [6, Theorem 4.4] that v defined by (2.3) is a continuous bounded viscosity solution of (2.2) provided that $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and Lipschitz continuous. Moreover, it was also shown in [6, Section 5] that every continuous viscosity solution of (1.1) is unique and Lipschitz continuous on some time interval $(0,\tau)$, where $\tau > 0$ depends on the Lipschitz constants of g and $E(\cdot)$. In contrast, the results of Section 3 concern the time-Lipschitz continuity and the 1/2-Hölder continuity in space (the latter in dimension $N = 2$ only), but they are global. □

3. Regularity

In this section we show that the value function v defined by (2.3) associated with the two-dimensional terminal-value problem (2.2) is 1/2-Hölder continuous in space and time-Lipschitz continuous. We emphasize that the obtained regularity is the best possible. In fact, we obtain a time-Lipschitz continuity of a value function v in any dimension $N \geq 1$. As a consequence of the regularity estimates, the value function turns to be an almost everywhere differentiable viscosity solution to initial and terminal-value problems.

Let us say a few words concerning the technical aspects. Our proof is based on an optimal control reformulation (2.3) and estimates of the value function. To avoid repeating the similar arguments in slightly different circumstances, an abstract Lemma 4 is proven. This lemma is helpful in showing the full regularity proof in the possibly shortest way. Moreover, since Lemma 4 is formulated in any dimension $N \geq 1$, it can be used in a future work on higher dimensional regularity.

We assume that, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $A(\xi)$ is $N \times N$ real matrix such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{the map} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \ni \xi \mapsto A(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N} \quad \text{is continuous and} \\ |A(\xi)| \leq B|\xi|, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

for some positive constant B. Moreover, we assume that $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|h(y) - h(\tilde{y})| \leq L|y - \tilde{y}|, \qquad y,\, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$u(y,t) := \inf \left\{ \int_t^T |\alpha(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + h(x(T)) \right\}, \tag{3.3}$$

where the infimum is taken over all square integrable controls $\alpha : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and all solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(s) = A(x(s))\alpha(s), \quad s \in (t,T), \\ x(t) = y. \end{cases} \tag{$\mathrm{P}_{\alpha,y,t}$}$$ ([1])

First, we prove the time-Lipschitz regularity of a value function u defined by (3.3). Notice that the solution of (2.2), given by (2.3), satisfies assumptions of the theorem below. Hence, the announced time-Lipschitz regularity of a solution of (1.1) follows.

[1] Note that the right hand-side is a Carathéodory map with a L^1–majorant, so there always exists at least one absolutely continuous solution of this Cauchy problem.

Theorem 3. *Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ satisfy (3.1), $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (3.2) and u be the value function given by (3.3). There is $k > 0$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, t, $\tilde{t} \in [0,T]$*

$$|u(y,t) - u(y,\tilde{t})| \le k|t-\tilde{t}|.$$

Proof. Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and t, $\tilde{t} \in [0,T]$. Without loss of generality we assume that $t \le \tilde{t}$. We find a control α_0 and the solution x_0 of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha,y,\tilde{t}})$ such that

$$u(y,\tilde{t}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\int_{\tilde{t}}^{T} |\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + h(x_0(T)) - \varepsilon.$$

We define

$$x_1(s) := \begin{cases} x_0(\tilde{t}), & s \in [t,\tilde{t}),\\ x_0(s), & s \in [\tilde{t},T], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1(s) := \begin{cases} 0, & s \in [t,\tilde{t}),\\ \alpha_0(s), & s \in [\tilde{t},T]. \end{cases}$$

Then x_1 is a solution of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha_1,y,t})$ so

$$u(y,t) - u(y,\tilde{t}) \le \frac{1}{2}\int_{t}^{T} |\alpha_1(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + h(x_1(T)) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\tilde{t}}^{T} |\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s - h(x_0(T)) + \varepsilon = \varepsilon. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, we find a control α_2 and the solution x_2 of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha_2,y,t})$ such that

$$u(y,t) \ge \frac{1}{2}\int_{t}^{T} |\alpha_2(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + h(x_2(T)) - \varepsilon.$$

We define $x_3(s) := x_2(s-(\tilde{t}-t))$ and $\alpha_3(s) := \alpha_2(s-(\tilde{t}-t))$, for $s \in [\tilde{t},T]$. Then x_3 is a solution of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha_3,y,\tilde{t}})$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(y,\tilde{t}) - u(y,t) &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\tilde{t}}^{T} |\alpha_3(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + h(x_3(T)) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t}^{T} |\alpha_2(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s - h(x_2(T)) + \varepsilon\\ &\le -\frac{1}{2}\int_{T-(\tilde{t}-t)}^{T} |\alpha_2(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + L|x_2(T-(\tilde{t}-t)) - x_2(T)| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where we used (3.2). We estimate the middle term of the right hand-side using (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} L|x_2(T-(\tilde{t}-t)) - x_2(T)| &= L\left|y + \int_t^{T-(\tilde{t}-t)} A(x_2(s))\alpha_2(s)\,\mathrm{d}s - y - \int_t^T A(x_2(s))\alpha_2(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\right|\\ &\le LB\int_{T-(\tilde{t}-t)}^{T} |\alpha_2(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s \le LB(\tilde{t}-t)^{1/2}\left(\int_{T-(\tilde{t}-t)}^{T} |\alpha_2(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le \frac{(LB)^2}{4\eta}(\tilde{t}-t) + \eta\int_{T-(\tilde{t}-t)}^{T} |\alpha_2(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We select $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ and plug it into (3.5) to deduce

$$u(y,\tilde{t}) - u(y,t) \le \frac{(LB)^2}{2}(\tilde{t}-t) + \varepsilon. \tag{3.7}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.7) shows that

$$|u(y,\tilde{t}) - u(y,t)| \le \frac{(LB)^2}{2}|\tilde{t}-t| + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the assertion follows. □

The following technical lemma allows us to provide a shorter proof of regularity estimates.

Lemma 4. *We assume that $A(\cdot)$, h satisfy (3.1), (3.2) (respectively) and u is defined by (3.3). Let y, $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \in [0,T]$ and a, $b > 0$, $\gamma \in (0,1]$ be fixed. We define*

$$\tilde{t} = t + a|y - \tilde{y}|^\gamma. \tag{3.8}$$

If there exists a measurable control $\tilde{\alpha} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and a solution $\tilde{x} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}'(s) = A(\tilde{x}(s))\tilde{\alpha}(s), & s \in (t,\tilde{t}), \\ \tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

such that

$$\int_t^{\tilde{t}} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le b|y - \tilde{y}|^\gamma \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$|y - \tilde{x}(s)| \le |y - \tilde{y}|, \qquad s \in (t,\tilde{t}), \tag{3.11}$$

then

$$|u(y,t) - u(\tilde{y},t)| \le c|y - \tilde{y}|^\gamma,$$

where

$$c := \frac{1}{2}b + L|y - \tilde{y}|^{1-\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}a(LB)^2 \tag{3.12}$$

does not depend on t.

Remark 5. If $\gamma = 1$, then the constant c given by (3.12) is global in a sense that it does not depend on the distance $|y - \tilde{y}|$. Moreover, if we restrict ourselves to $|y - \tilde{y}| \le \eta$, for some $\eta > 0$, then c in (3.12) is of the form

$$c = \frac{1}{2}b + L\eta^{1-\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}a(LB)^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Fix y, $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \in [0,T]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We first estimate the term $u(\tilde{y},t) - u(y,t)$. There are a control $\alpha_0 : [t,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and a solution x_0 of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha_0,y,t})$ satisfying

$$u(y,t) > \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |\alpha_0(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + h(x_0(T)) - \varepsilon.$$

We consider two cases. If $\tilde{t} \le T$, then we define

$$x_1(s) := \begin{cases} \tilde{x}(s), & s \in [t,\tilde{t}), \\ x_0(s - (\tilde{t} - t)), & s \in [\tilde{t},T], \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_1(s) := \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha}(s), & s \in [t,\tilde{t}), \\ \alpha_0(s - (\tilde{t} - t)), & s \in [\tilde{t},T]. \end{cases}$$

Since x_0 is a solution of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha_0,y,t})$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{x}$ satisfy (3.9) (in particular $\tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y}$), we deduce that x_1 is a solution of $(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha_1,\tilde{y},t})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tilde{y},t) - u(y,t) &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |\alpha_1(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + h(x_1(T)) - \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |\alpha_0(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s - h(x_0(T)) + \varepsilon \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_t^{\tilde{t}} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\tilde{t}}^T |\alpha_0(s - (\tilde{t} - t))|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + h(x_0(T - (\tilde{t} - t))) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |\alpha_0(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s - h(x_0(T)) + \varepsilon \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}b|y - \tilde{y}|^\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\int_{T-(\tilde{t}-t)}^T |\alpha_0(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s + L\left|x_0(T) - x_0(T - (\tilde{t} - t))\right| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we incorporated (3.9) and (3.2). We deal with the last term using (3.1) as in (3.6) to obtain

$$L\left|x_0(T)-x_0(T-(\tilde t-t))\right| \le \frac{(LB)^2}{4\eta}(\tilde t-t)+\eta\int_{T-(\tilde t-t)}^{T}|\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Select $\eta=\frac12$ and plug the above inequality into (3.14) and use (3.8)

$$u(\tilde y,t)-u(y,t)\le \frac12 b|y-\tilde y|^\gamma+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}(\tilde t-t)+\varepsilon \le \left(\frac12 b+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}a\right)|y-\tilde y|^\gamma+\varepsilon. \tag{3.15}$$

Let us now consider the other case $\tilde t>T$. Since $\tilde x$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P}_{\tilde\alpha,\tilde y,t})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tilde y,t)-u(y,t) &\le \frac12\int_t^T|\tilde\alpha(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s+h(\tilde x(T))-\frac12\int_t^T|\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s-h(x_0(T))+\varepsilon\\ &\le \frac12 b|y-\tilde y|^\gamma-\frac12\int_t^T|\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s+L\left|\tilde x(T)-x_0(T)\right|+\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

We estimate the last term using (3.1) and (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} L\left|\tilde x(T)-x_0(T)\right| &= L\left|\tilde x(T)-y-\int_t^T A(x_0(s))\alpha_0(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\right| \le L\left|\tilde x(T)-y\right|+LB\int_t^T|\alpha_0(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\le L|y-\tilde y|+LB(T-t)^{1/2}\left(\int_t^T|\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le L|y-\tilde y|+\frac{(LB)^2}{4\eta}(T-t)+\eta\int_t^T|\alpha_0(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Select $\eta=\frac12$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tilde y,t)-u(y,t) &\le \frac12 b|y-\tilde y|^\gamma+L|y-\tilde y|+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}(T-t)+\varepsilon\\ &\le \left(\frac12 b+L|y-\tilde y|^{1-\gamma}+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}a\right)|y-\tilde y|^\gamma+\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

If we combine estimates for both cases (3.15) and (3.18), we obtain in general

$$u(\tilde y,t)-u(y,t)\le \left(\frac12 b+L|y-\tilde y|^{1-\gamma}+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}a\right)|y-\tilde y|^\gamma+\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we finally deduce

$$u(\tilde y,t)-u(y,t)\le \left(\frac12 b+L|y-\tilde y|^{1-\gamma}+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}a\right)|y-\tilde y|^\gamma. \tag{3.19}$$

To estimate the term $u(y,t)-u(\tilde y,t)$, we follow the above proof, but inverse the time in a sense. Namely, instead of functions $\tilde\alpha$, $\tilde x$, we use $\overline{\alpha}$, $\overline{x}$ defined by

$$\overline{\alpha}(s):=-\tilde\alpha(\tilde t-(s-t)) \quad\text{and}\quad \overline{x}(s):=\tilde x(\tilde t-(s-t)),\qquad s\in[t,\tilde t].$$

Then, after similar calculations as above, we obtain exactly the same constant as in (3.19), i.e.,

$$u(y,t)-u(\tilde y,t)\le \left(\frac12 b+L|y-\tilde y|^{1-\gamma}+\frac{(LB)^2}{2}a\right)|y-\tilde y|^\gamma,$$

which completes the proof. □

From now on, we assume that the dimension $N = 2$ and we proceed with the space-regularity of the value function v defined by (2.3). Let us recall that $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there is $L > 0$ such that

$$|g(y) - g(\tilde{y})| \le L|y - \tilde{y}|, \qquad y, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{3.20}$$

The proof is divided into a sequence of lemmas, but we need some preparation first. Let us recall that, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the explicit form of a matrix $\sqrt{E(x)}$ is known,

$$\sqrt{E(x)} := \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} + \sqrt{1-e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} & \sqrt{1+e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} - \sqrt{1-e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} \\ \sqrt{1+e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} - \sqrt{1-e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} & \sqrt{1+e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} + \sqrt{1-e^{-|x_1-x_2|}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.21}$$

so there is $B > 0$ such that

$$|\sqrt{E(x)}\xi| \le B|\xi|. \tag{3.22}$$

Let us denote by D the diagonal in $\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$D := \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y_1 = y_2\}.$$

The inverse $\sqrt{E(x)}^{-1}$ is well-defined whenever $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$ and in this case we have

$$\sqrt{E(x)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\zeta}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\zeta}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\zeta}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\zeta}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\zeta := e^{-|x_1-x_2|}$ for short. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we calculate

$$\sqrt{E(x)}^{-1}\xi = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\zeta}}(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}}(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\zeta}}(\xi_1 + \xi_2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}}(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \end{pmatrix},$$

so using the explicit formula for ζ

$$\left|\sqrt{E(x)}^{-1}\xi\right|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\left(2\frac{1}{1+e^{-|x_1-x_2|}}(\xi_1+\xi_2)^2 + 2\frac{1}{1-e^{-|x_1-x_2|}}(\xi_1-\xi_2)^2\right). \tag{3.23}$$

We use the inequality

$$\frac{1}{1-e^{-s}} \le \frac{1+s}{s}, \qquad s > 0,$$

to obtain the upper bound

$$\left|\sqrt{E(x)}^{-1}\xi\right|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\left((\xi_1+\xi_2)^2 + \frac{1+|x_1-x_2|}{|x_1-x_2|}(\xi_1-\xi_2)^2\right). \tag{3.24}$$

We recall that by v we denote a value function given by (2.3), which is a viscosity solution to (2.2). As explained in Section 2, a solution to (1.1) is obtained from v via an inverse of time.

Lemma 6. *There exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $y \in D$, $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$ and $t \in [0, T]$*

$$|y - \tilde{y}| \le 1 \implies |v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \le c_1|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Take $y \in D$ and $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$ with

$$|y - \tilde{y}| \le 1. \tag{3.25}$$

Our strategy is to use Lemma 4 with $N = 2$, $A(x) = \sqrt{E(x)}$, $h = g$, and then identify $u = v$. Let

$$\tilde{t} := t + 2|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2}. \tag{3.26}$$

We are about to construct $\tilde{\alpha} : [t, \tilde{t}) \to \mathbb{R}^2$([2]) and $\tilde{x} : [t, \tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying (3.9)–(3.11). Let us define

$$\tilde{x}(s) = \sigma(s)\tilde{y} + (1 - \sigma(s))y, \qquad s \in [t, \tilde{t}], \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\sigma(s) = \frac{\left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2}{|y - \tilde{y}|} \in [0, 1], \qquad s \in [t, \tilde{t}]. \tag{3.28}$$

The above formulas imply (3.11) and, taking into account (3.26), yield $\tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y$. Moreover, one can check that $\tilde{x}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{x}'(s) = \frac{1}{|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)}(y - \tilde{x}(s)), \qquad s \in (t, \tilde{t}). \tag{3.29}$$

We use the fact that $y \in D$ and write

$$\rho(s) := |\tilde{x}_1(s) - \tilde{x}_2(s)| = \sigma(s)|\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2| \tag{3.30}$$

for short, where $\tilde{x}(s) = (\tilde{x}_1(s), \tilde{x}_2(s))$. Since $y \in D$ and by (3.28), (3.25) we have

$$\rho(s) \le |\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2| \le |\tilde{y}_1 - y_1| + |y_2 - \tilde{y}_2| \le \sqrt{2}|y - \tilde{y}| \le \sqrt{2}. \tag{3.31}$$

By the above and by the fact that $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D$, $\tilde{x}_1(s) \neq \tilde{x}_2(s)$, for $s \in [t, \tilde{t})$, so we may define

$$\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)} \sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y - \tilde{x}(s)).$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and (3.29), we have

$$\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)}(y - \tilde{x}(s)) = \tilde{x}'(s), \qquad s \in [t, \tilde{t}),$$

so (3.9) holds.

What is left, is to show that (3.10) is satisfied. We use (3.27), (3.24), (3.28), (3.30), (3.31) and the fact that $y \in D$, to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 &= \frac{1}{\left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2} \left|\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y - \tilde{x}(s))\right|^2 \\
&\le \frac{\sigma^2(s)}{\left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2} \left|\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y - \tilde{y})\right|^2 \\
&\le \frac{\left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2}{|y - \tilde{y}|^2} \frac{1}{2}\left((y_1 - \tilde{y}_1 + y_2 - \tilde{y}_2)^2 + \frac{1 + \rho(s)}{\rho(s)}(\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2)^2\right) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2}{|y - \tilde{y}|^2}\left(2|y - \tilde{y}|^2 + \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{\sigma(s)|\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2|}(\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2)^2\right) \\
&\le \left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2 + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})|\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2|}{2|y - \tilde{y}|} \\
&\le \left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2 + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2}|y - \tilde{y}|}{2|y - \tilde{y}|} \\
&= \left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s - t)\right)^2 + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{2}} \le |y - \tilde{y}| + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

[2]We intentionally do not care about the endpoints.

Here we used the fact that the function $f(s) := \left(|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(s-t)\right)^2$, $s \in [t,\tilde{t}]$ attains the maximum at $s = t$. Consequently

$$\int_t^{\tilde{t}} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \leq (\tilde{t}-t)\left(|y-\tilde{y}| + \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \leq \left(4+\sqrt{2}\right)|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2},$$

where we used (3.26) and (3.25). Hence all of the assumptions of Lemma 4 are met. This finishes the proof if we take into account (3.13). □

Lemma 7. *There is $c_2 > 0$ such that for all y, $\tilde{y} \in D$ and $t \in [0,T]$*

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \leq c_2|y-\tilde{y}|.$$

Proof. Again, we intend to make use of Lemma 4. We define

$$\tilde{t} := t + |y-\tilde{y}| = t + \sqrt{2}|y_1 - \tilde{y}_1|, \tag{3.33}$$

where the last equality follows by assumption that y, $\tilde{y} \in D$. We are about to construct a measurable control $\tilde{\alpha} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and a solution $\tilde{x} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}'(s) = \sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}\tilde{\alpha}(s), & s \in [t,\tilde{t}], \\ \tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y, \end{cases} \tag{3.34}$$

such that

$$\int_t^{\tilde{t}} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \leq |y-\tilde{y}|. \tag{3.35}$$

Let $\tilde{x} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}'(s) = \operatorname{sgn}(y_1 - \tilde{y}_1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}, & s \in [t,\tilde{t}], \\ \tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y}. \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

Then

$$\tilde{x}(s) = \tilde{y} + (s-t)\operatorname{sgn}(y_1 - \tilde{y}_1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}, \qquad s \in [t,\tilde{t}],$$

so in particular $\tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y$. Moreover, we have $\tilde{x}_1(s) = \tilde{x}_2(s)$, for $s \in [t,\tilde{t}]$. The last formula yields

$$\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}, \qquad s \in [t,\tilde{t}], \tag{3.37}$$

see (3.21). We define $\tilde{\alpha} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \operatorname{sgn}(y_1 - \tilde{y}_1)\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad s \in [t,\tilde{t}].$$

Then, since $|\tilde{\alpha}(s)| \equiv 1$ and $\tilde{t} - t = |y-\tilde{y}|$, we obtain (3.35). By (3.37), we have

$$\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \operatorname{sgn}(y_1 - \tilde{y}_1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} = \tilde{x}'(s), \qquad s \in [t,\tilde{t}],$$

what completes the proof of (3.34). Now, conditions (3.8)–(3.11) are satisfied. With Lemma 4 in hand (see also Remark 5), we draw the desired conclusion. □

To conclude the general case in which $y, \tilde{y}$ are placed arbitrarily in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we consider three subsets

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1 &:= \left\{(y_1,y_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \mid y_2-y_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\right\},\\ \Omega_2 &:= \left\{(y_1,y_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \mid |y_2-y_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\},\\ \Omega_3 &:= \left\{(y_1,y_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2 \mid y_2-y_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Lemma 8. *There is $c_3>0$ such that for all y, $\tilde{y}\in\Omega_2$ and $t\in[0,T]$*

$$|y-\tilde{y}|\leq\frac{1}{2} \implies |v(y,t)-v(\tilde{y},t)|\leq c_3|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let us fix y, $\tilde{y}\in\Omega_2$ satisfying

$$|y-\tilde{y}|\leq\frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.39}$$

Without loss of generality we assume that neither y nor $\tilde{y}$ is on diagonal D, since we have already proved Lemmas 6 and 7. We consider several cases.

Case I: both y and $\tilde{y}$ are on the same side of the diagonal.

The distance $d(y,D)$ from the point y to the diagonal D is given by $d(y,D)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|y_1-y_2|$.

Subcase I (a): $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\min\{|y_1-y_2|,|\tilde{y}_1-\tilde{y}_2|\}\leq|y-\tilde{y}|$.

Due to the symmetry, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\min\{|y_1-y_2|,|\tilde{y}_1-\tilde{y}_2|\}=|y_1-y_2|.$$

Then there exists exactly one $\xi\in D$ (the projection of y onto D) such that $|y-\xi|=d(y,D)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|y_1-y_2|$. We use the above and the fact that $y\in\Omega_2$ to obtain

$$|y-\xi|\leq\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

Whereas

$$|\tilde{y}-\xi|\leq \underbrace{|\tilde{y}-y|}_{\leq 1/2 \text{ by assumption}} + \underbrace{|y-\xi|}_{\leq 1/2\sqrt{2} \text{ by the above}} \leq 1.$$

In view of Lemma 6

$$|v(y,t)-v(\tilde{y},t)|\leq|v(y,t)-v(\xi,t)|+|v(\xi,t)-v(\tilde{y},t)|\leq c_1|y-\xi|^{1/2}+c_1|\xi-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}.$$

Recall we supposed that

$$|y-\xi|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\min\{|y_1-y_2|,|\tilde{y}_1-\tilde{y}_2|\}\leq|y-\tilde{y}|,$$

so

$$|\xi-\tilde{y}|\leq|\xi-y|+|y-\tilde{y}|\leq 2|y-\tilde{y}|.$$

Hence, we deduce

$$|v(y,t)-v(\tilde{y},t)|\leq\left(c_1+c_1\sqrt{2}\right)|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}. \tag{3.40}$$

Subcase I (b): $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\min\{|y_1-y_2|,|\tilde{y}_1-\tilde{y}_2|\}>|y-\tilde{y}|$.

In that case we proceed via Lemma 4. We define

$$\tilde{t} = t + |y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2} \tag{3.41}$$

and construct a control $\tilde{\alpha} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and a solution $\tilde{x} : [t,\tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}'(s) = \sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}\tilde{\alpha}(s), & s \in [t,\tilde{t}], \\ \tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y, \end{cases} \tag{3.42}$$

such that

$$\int_t^{\tilde{t}} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}} |y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}. \tag{3.43}$$

Let $\tilde{x}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}'(s) = \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}}(y-\tilde{y}), \\ \tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y}, \end{cases}$$

or, explicitly,

$$\tilde{x}(s) = \tilde{y} + \frac{s-t}{|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}}(y-\tilde{y}), \quad s \in [t,\tilde{t}].$$

Obviously, we have $\tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y$. Since both y, $\tilde{y}$ are on the same side of the diagonal and

$$\tilde{x}([t,\tilde{t}]) = \mathrm{conv}\{y,\tilde{y}\}, \tag{3.44}$$

$\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}$ is well-defined for every $s \in [t,\tilde{t}]$. We define

$$\tilde{\alpha}(s) := \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}} \sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y-\tilde{y}), \quad s \in [t,\tilde{t}].$$

Hence, (3.42) is satisfied. We use (3.24) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 &\le \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|} \left| \sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y-\tilde{y}) \right|^2 \\ &\le \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|}\frac{1}{2}\left((y_1-\tilde{y}_1+y_2-\tilde{y}_2)^2 + \frac{1+|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}{|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}(y_1-\tilde{y}_1-(y_2-\tilde{y}_2))^2 \right) \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|}\left(2|y-\tilde{y}|^2 + \frac{1+|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}{|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|} 2|y-\tilde{y}|^2 \right) \\ &= |y-\tilde{y}| + \frac{1+|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}{|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}|y-\tilde{y}|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

where we incorporated the inequality $|y_1-\tilde{y}_1| + |y_2-\tilde{y}_2| \le \sqrt{2}|y-\tilde{y}|$. By (3.44) and by the assumption that y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_2$, we have

$$|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)| \le \max\{|y_1-y_2|, |\tilde{y}_1-\tilde{y}_2|\} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, recalling (3.44) and the fact that we deal with the Subcase I (b)

$$|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)| \ge \min\{|y_1-y_2|, |\tilde{y}_1-\tilde{y}_2|\} > \sqrt{2}|y-\tilde{y}|.$$

The above estimates, in view of (3.45), yield

$$|\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \le |y-\tilde{y}| + \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}$$

what together with (3.39) and (3.41) implies (3.43). By Lemma 4 and (3.13), there is some $c > 0$ independent of y, $\tilde{y}$ and t such that

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \le c|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}. \tag{3.46}$$

Formulas (3.40) and (3.46) prove the assertion of Lemma 8 in the case y and $\tilde{y}$ are on the same side of the diagonal.

Case II: y and $\tilde{y}$ are on both sides of the diagonal.

In this case, there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\xi \in D \cap \operatorname{conv}\{y, \tilde{y}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = (1-\theta)y + \theta\tilde{y}.$$

Then

$$\max\{|y-\xi|, |\tilde{y}-\xi|\} \leq |y-\tilde{y}| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so we use Lemma 6 and get

$$\begin{aligned} |v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| &\leq |v(y,t) - v(\xi,t)| + |v(\xi,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \leq c_1|y-\xi|^{1/2} + c_1|\xi-\tilde{y}|^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2c_1|y-\tilde{y}|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our argument. □

Next, we deal with the case when y and $\tilde{y}$ are both in Ω_1 (or in Ω_3).

Lemma 9. *There is $c_4 > 0$ such that for all y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_1$ (respectively y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_3$) and $t \in [0,T]$*

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \leq c_4|y-\tilde{y}|.$$

Proof. Let y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_1$ (the proof in the case of Ω_3 is analogous). Once again we are about to use Lemma 4. We define

$$\tilde{t} := t + |y-\tilde{y}| \tag{3.47}$$

and construct $\tilde{x} : [t, \tilde{t}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\tilde{x}(s) := \tilde{y} + \frac{s-t}{|y-\tilde{y}|}(y-\tilde{y}), \quad s \in [t, \tilde{t}].$$

Obviously $\tilde{x}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}'(s) = \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|}(y-\tilde{y}), \\ \tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}(\tilde{t}) = y. \end{cases}$$

Since $\tilde{x}([t,\tilde{t}]) \subset \Omega_1$, we have

$$|\tilde{x}_1(s) - \tilde{x}_2(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.48}$$

Thus, we define

$$\tilde{\alpha}(s) := \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|}\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y-\tilde{y}), \quad s \in [t,\tilde{t}],$$

and see that

$$\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|}(y-\tilde{y}) = \tilde{x}'(s), \quad s \in [t,\tilde{t}].$$

So far we have shown that assumptions (3.9) and (3.11) are met. We only need to show that (3.10) holds. We use (3.23) and (3.48) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 &= \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|^2}\left|\sqrt{E(\tilde{x}(s))}^{-1}(y-\tilde{y})\right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|^2}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(y_1-\tilde{y}_1+y_2-\tilde{y}_2)^2}{1+e^{-|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}} + \frac{(y_1-\tilde{y}_1-(y_2-\tilde{y}_2))^2}{1-e^{-|\tilde{x}_1(s)-\tilde{x}_2(s)|}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|y-\tilde{y}|^2}\left(2|y-\tilde{y}|^2 + \frac{2|y-\tilde{y}|^2}{1-e^{-1/2}}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{1-e^{-1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall (3.47) and obtain

$$\int_t^{\tilde{t}} |\tilde{\alpha}(s)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-1/2}}\right) |y - \tilde{y}|.$$

Now Lemma 4 (see also Remark 5) proves the assertion. □

Analyzing the proof of Lemma 9, we conclude the following claim.

Corollary 10. *Fix $\delta > 0$ and consider a region $\Omega(\delta) = \{y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y_1 - y_2| \ge \delta\}$. Then there exists $C(\delta) > 0$ such that, for all y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega(\delta)$ and $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \le C(\delta)|y - \tilde{y}|.$$

We are in a position to conclude the Hölder continuity of a value function v given by (2.3).

Theorem 11. *There is $c_5 > 0$ such that for all y, $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t \in [0, T]$*

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \le c_5 |y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Fix some y, $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Case I: $|y - \tilde{y}| \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

By [6, Lemma 2.3], for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t \in [0, T]$, we have $|v(y,t)| \le \|g\|_{L^\infty}$. Therefore, we get

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \le 2\|g\|_{L^\infty} = 2\|g\|_{L^\infty}\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} \le 2\sqrt{2}\|g\|_{L^\infty}|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2}.$$

Case II: $|y - \tilde{y}| < \frac{1}{2}$.

If y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_3$ the result follows by Lemma 9. If y, $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_2$, then the result follows by Lemma 8. What is left is to consider the case $y \in \Omega_1$ and $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_2$ (the case $y \in \Omega_3$ and $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_2$ is symmetric). In such a situation, we find $\xi \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ of the form

$$\xi = (1 - \theta)y + \theta\tilde{y},$$

for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. We use the inequalities $|y - \xi| \le |y - \tilde{y}|$, $|\xi - \tilde{y}| \le |y - \tilde{y}| < \frac{1}{2}$ and Lemmas 8 and 9 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| &\le |v(y,t) - v(\xi,t)| + |v(\xi,t) - v(\tilde{y},t)| \le c_4|y - \xi| + c_3|\xi - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} \\ &\le c_4|y - \tilde{y}| + c_3|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} \le \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c_4 + c_3\right)|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of the theorem is completed. □

Finally, a full space-time regularity for the value function v associated with (2.2) in the dimension $N = 2$ can be achieved. The Corollary 12 below is a consequence of Remark 2, Theorems 3, 11 and Corollary 10. On the other hand, since regularity results concerning (2.2) translate into regularity of a solution to (1.1), one infers Theorem 1 as a consequence of Corollary 12.

Corollary 12. *Let $N = 2$ and g be bounded and Lipschitz continuous. The value function v defined by (2.3) is a bounded viscosity solution of (2.2) with the following regularity: there is $C > 0$ such that for all (y,t), $(\tilde{y},\tilde{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$*

$$|v(y,t) - v(\tilde{y},\tilde{t})| \le C\left(|y - \tilde{y}|^{1/2} + |t - \tilde{t}|\right).$$

Moreover, v is differentiable a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]$ and v satisfies (2.2) at all points of differentiability.

Proof. The fact that v is a bounded viscosity solution of (2.2) is shown in Theorem 4.4 of [6]. The regularity issues are consequences of Theorems 3, 11 and Corollary 10. It is standard that at points of the differentiability of v, the equation (2.2) is satisfied, see, e.g., [1, §II, Proposition 1.9]. □

Acknowledgement. Both authors were supported by the National Science Center of Poland grant SONATA BIS 7 number UMO-2017/26/E/ST1/00989.

References

[1] M. Bardi, I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta,: *Optimal control and viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
[2] R. Beals, D. Sattinger, J. Szmigielski, *Multipeakons and the classical moment problem*, Adv. Math. **154** (2000), 229–257.
[3] R. Camassa, D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
[4] I. Capuzzo Dolcetta, *Representations of solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Progress in Nonlinear Diff. Equations **54** (2003), 74-90, Birkhäuser Verlag.
[5] T. Cieślak, M. Gaczkowski, M. Kubkowski, M. Małogrosz, *Multipeakons viewed as geodesics*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. **65** (2017), 153–164.
[6] T. Cieślak, J. Siemianowski, A. Święch *Existence of continuous viscosity solutions to a terminal value problem for a Hamilton–Jacobi equation with a degenerate Hamiltonian occurring in two-peakon dynamics*, submitted.
[7] T. Cieślak, H. Wakui, *Existence of solutions to a one-dimensional Hamilton-Jacobi equation with a degenerate Hamiltonian*, to appear Appl. Math. (Warsaw).
[8] M.G. Crandall, L.C. Evans, P.-L. Lions, *Some properties of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1984), 487–502.
[9] A. Cutrì, F. Da Lio, *Comparison and existence results for evolutive non-coercive first-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **13** (2007), no. 3, 484–502.
[10] L.C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
[11] H. Frankowska, S. Plaskacz, T. Rzeżuchowski, *Measure viability theorems and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation*, J. Differential Equations **116** (1995), 265–305.
[12] G.N. Galbraith, *Extended Hamilton-Jacobi characterization of value functions in optimal control*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **39** (2000), 281–305.
[13] W. Kryński, *Dissipative prolongations of the mulitpeakon solutions to the Camassa-Holm equation*, J. Differential Equations **266** (2019), 1832–1850.

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: cieslak@impan.pl, jsiem@mat.umk.pl