

Higher regularity and finite time blow-up to nonlocal pseudo-parabolic equation with conical degeneration*

Guangyu Xu[†]

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University,
Chongqing, 401331, P.R.China

Abstract

This paper deals with the initial-boundary value problem to a nonlocal semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with conical degeneration. Firstly, we improve the regularity of weak solution and amend some proofs in [Global well-posedness for a nonlocal semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with conical degeneration, J. Differential Equations, 2020, 269(5): 4566–4597]. Secondly, we study finite time blow-up of the weak solution, our initial condition only depends on Nehari functional and conservative integral and then improves the result in original paper under high initial energy.

Keywords: Cone Sobolev space; Regularity; Global existence; Finite time blow-up; Pseudo-parabolic equation

2010 MSC: 35K61, 35B44, 35K10, 35K55, 35D30, 35R01.

1 Introduction

Let X be a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional closed compact C^∞ -smooth manifold, which is regarded as the local model near the conical points. $\mathbb{B} = [0, 1) \times X$, $\partial\mathbb{B} = \{0\} \times X$,

*This work is supported by graduate scientific research and innovation foundation of Chongqing, China (Grant No. CYB19070).

[†]Corresponding author, e-mail: guangyuswu@126.com

near $\partial\mathbb{B}$ we use the coordinates $(x_1, x') = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for $0 \leq x_1 < 1, x' \in X$. We denote by \mathbb{B}_0 the interior of \mathbb{B} .

In this paper, we consider the following initial-boundary value problem for a nonlocal semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with conical degeneration

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u = |u|^{p-1} u - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx', & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{B}_0, \\ \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u \cdot \nu = 0, & t > 0, x \in \partial\mathbb{B}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0, & x \in \mathbb{B}_0, \end{cases}$$

where u_0 is a nontrivial function and belongs to the weighted Sobolev space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})$. Constant p satisfies

$$2 < p + 1 < +\infty, \text{ if } n = 2, \quad 2 < p + 1 < \frac{2n}{n-2} =: 2^*, \text{ if } n \geq 3,$$

here 2^* is the critical cone Sobolev exponent. The Fuchsian type Laplace operator is defined as

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{B}} = (x_1 \partial_{x_1})^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_n}^2,$$

which is an elliptic operator with conical degeneration on the boundary $x_1 = 0$, and the corresponding gradient operator is $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} = (x_1 \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$, ν is the unit normal vector pointing toward the exterior of \mathbb{B} . The detail research of manifold with conical singularities and the corresponding cone Sobolev spaces can be found in [8, 9, 10, 24, 25].

Pseudo-parabolic equations are characterized by the occurrence of a time derivative appearing in the highest order term and describe a variety of important physical processes, such as the seepage of homogeneous fluids through a fissured rock [2], the heat conduction involving two temperatures [6], the unidirectional propagation of nonlinear, dispersive, long waves [28] (where u is typically the amplitude or velocity) and the aggregation of populations [20] (where u represents the population density). The authors in [26, 29] investigated initial-boundary value problem and Cauchy problem for the linear pseudo-parabolic equation and established the existence and uniqueness of solutions. After those precursory results, there are many papers (for example [3, 4, 15, 16, 17, 22]) studied nonlinear pseudo-parabolic equations, like semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations and even including singular and degenerate pseudo-parabolic equations.

Problem (1.1) has been proposed and studied in [11], the authors considered the weak solution of the problem. For convenience, we give some abbreviations,

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^p \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 + \|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2,$$

and

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} uv \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'.$$

The following definition can be found in [11, Definition 2.3].

Definition 1.1. Function $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}))$ with $u_t \in L^2([0, T]; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}))$ is called a weak solution of problem (1.1), if $u(x, 0) = u_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \{0\}$ and u satisfies (1.1) in the following distribution sense, i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad (u_t, \varphi) + (\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u_t, \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi) + (\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u, \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} \varphi) = \left(|u|^{p-1} u - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx', \varphi \right),$$

for any $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

Roughly speaking, the authors in [11] combined the modified methods of Galerkin approximation, potential well [19, 21, 23] and concavity [18, 30], with the variational method to prove the existence of global solutions and finite time blow-up for problem (1.1) at three different initial energy levels, i.e., subcritical initial energy $J(u_0) < d$, critical initial energy $J(u_0) = d$ and high initial energy $J(u_0) > d$, here $J(u)$ is the potential energy associated with problem (1.1),

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u|^2 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p+1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx',$$

and d is the depth of potential well, which can be defined by

$$d = \inf \left\{ \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} J(\lambda u), u \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}), \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u|^2 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \neq 0 \right\}.$$

The energy functional $J(u)$ plays very important role in the whole analysis process, another key functional is the Nehari functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u|^2 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' - \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p+1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'.$$

The importance of $J(u)$ and $I(u)$ comes from the fact that they have considerable fine characters. In fact, we can infer from [11, (2.8)], i.e.,

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} J(u(t)) = -\|u_t(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2,$$

that the energy functional is nonincreasing with respect to t . Moreover, by [11, (4.17)], i.e.,

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} -|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(t)|^2 + |u(t)|^{p+1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' - \frac{S(u_0)}{|\mathbb{B}|} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^p \\ &= -I(u(t)) - \frac{S(u_0)}{|\mathbb{B}|} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^p, \end{aligned}$$

we know there is a well relation between $\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2$ and $-I(u(t))$, this is very important for the proofs of main results in [11].

However, in order to get (1.3), the authors in [11] replaced φ by u_t in (1.2), similarly, they took φ by u in (1.2) to obtain (1.4). It must be point out that such two treatments only hold formally due to the lack of regularity of weak solution. By the definition of weak solution, for any $t \in (0, T)$, there holds

$$(1.5) \quad u(t) \in L^\infty \left([0, T]; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \right) \text{ and } u_t(t) \in L^2 \left([0, T]; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \right).$$

Then by [5, 13], or as proved in [11, Page 17], making use of Aubin-Lions-Simon lemma (see [27, Corollary 4]) we know

$$(1.6) \quad u(t) \in C \left([0, T]; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \right).$$

Further, by the continuous embedding from $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{B})$ we have

$$(1.7) \quad u(t) \in C([0, T]; C(\mathbb{B})).$$

To the best of our knowledge, the highest regularity of weak solution with respect to t given by [11] are (1.6) and (1.7). Nevertheless, neither of them can not lead to $\|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2$, $J(u(t)) \in C^1((0, T))$. Actually, (1.3) and (1.4) need at least the weak solution of problem (1.1) satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad u(t) \in C^1 \left((0, T); \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \right).$$

Similar error also occurs in [11, (2.6)], that is,

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' = \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' = 0,$$

which is also a significant property to the weak solution of problem (1.1) since it implies that $\int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'$ remains constant in time for all $t \in (0, T)$, namely,

$$(1.10) \quad S(u_0) := \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' = \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_0 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'.$$

Equalities (1.3), (1.4) and (1.9) had been used in [11] widely, so it is necessary to amend the proofs of them.

The first purpose of this paper is to improve the regularity of the weak solution and then reestablish (1.3), (1.4) and (1.9), our result can be stated as follow.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $u = u(x, t)$ is the unique weak solution of problem (1.1), then*

$$u \in C^1 \left((0, T); \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \right) \cap C \left([0, T]; \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \right).$$

Moreover, (1.3), (1.4) and (1.9) hold for any $t \in (0, T)$.

In order to introduce the second goal of the present paper naturally, we first give some notations and definitions used in [11]. Throughout the paper, we define the Nehari manifold by

$$N = \left\{ u \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) : I(u) = 0, \int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u|^2 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \neq 0 \right\},$$

which separates the two regions

$$N_+ = \left\{ u \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) : I(u) > 0 \right\} \cup \{0\},$$

and

$$N_- = \left\{ u \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) : I(u) < 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover, it is well-known [1] that the depth of potential well d can be defined by

$$d = \inf_{u \in N} J(u).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the sublevels of J by

$$J^k := \left\{ u \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \mid J(u) \leq k \right\},$$

and for all $\alpha > d$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} N^\alpha &:= N \cap J^\alpha \\ &= \left\{ u \in N \mid \|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha(p+1)}{p-1}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For such α , we further define

$$(1.11) \quad \Lambda_\alpha = \sup \left\{ \|u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 \mid u \in N^\alpha \right\},$$

the conclusion in [11, Lemma 3.5] tells us $\Lambda_\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ is a constant.

After exploring the properties of potential wells and the invariant sets in cone Sobolev spaces, the authors in [11] considered global existence, exponential decay and blow-up of weak solution to problem (1.1). Moreover, they estimated the blow-up time in some cases. The following result can be found in [11, Corollary 6.2].

Proposition 1.3. *Let $u(x, t)$ be the weak solution of problem (1.1) with $I(u_0) < 0, S(u_0) \leq 0$ and one of the following two conditions hold:*

- (i). $J(u_0) \leq d$;
- (ii). $J(u_0) > d$ and

$$(1.12) \quad \|u_0\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 > \Lambda_{J(u_0)},$$

then $u(x, t)$ blows up in finite time, where $S(u_0)$ and $\Lambda_{J(u_0)}$ given by (1.10) and (1.11) respectively.

For above proposition, one may ask that whether conditions (1.12) can be removed? In other words, can we have

$$(1.13) \quad u_0 \in N_-, S(u_0) \leq 0 \Rightarrow u(x, t) \text{ blows up in finite time?}$$

Analogous question has been came up in [14], the initial-boundary value problem to semilinear parabolic equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = |u|^{p-2}u$$

was studied therein, here Δ is the classical Laplace operator. Dickstein et al. in [12] subsequently proved that the answer is negative and there exist solutions converging to any given steady state, with initial Nehari energy $I(u_0)$ either negative or positive. Contrary to the semilinear parabolic equation, Zhu et al. in [31] got a positive answer to initial-boundary value problem with pseudo-parabolic

$$u_t - \Delta u_t - \Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u.$$

They found a sharp result about the global existence and blow-up in finite time, and proved $u_0 \in N_-$ is a sufficient and necessary condition for finite time blowup of solutions.

The second goal of present paper is to prove that (1.13) holds for the weak solution to problem (1.1). We apply and extend the method used in [31] to the case of nonlocal semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with conical degeneration, then we get rid of the condition (1.12) and improve the blow-up result of [11] with high initial energy $J(u_0) > d$. By (1.4) we can see the sign of initial integral $S(u_0)$ may be essential for the proofs of global existence and finite time blow-up, which is caused by the nonlocal term $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'$. So the use of the idea of [31] is by far nontrivial because the existence of nonlocal term and because more analyses are necessary to overcome some technical points.

Let

$$S^- := \left\{ u_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) : \text{there is a } t_0 \in [0, T) \text{ such that } u(x, t_0) \in N_- \right\},$$

then we have following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $u(t) = u(x, t)$ be the weak solution of problem (1.1) with $S(u_0) \leq 0$, then $u(t)$ blows up at finite time T if and only if $u_0 \in S^-$. Moreover, if $u_0 \in S^-$, then for all $t \in [t_0, T)$, $u(t)$ grows as following sense*

$$\|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 \geq -2I(u(t_0))e^{(p-1)(t-t_0)}(t-t_0) + \|u(t_0)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2.$$

Remark 1.5. *Taking $t_0 = 0$ in S^- , then above conclusion give a positive answer to (1.13).*

Remark 1.6. *It is worthwhile pointing out that our regularity result also can be applied to the weak solution of some related parabolic equations, and Theorem 1.4 has some implications about blow-up solution for pseudo-parabolic with other types of nonlocal sources.*

For reader's convenience, in Section 2, we first introduce some definitions and properties of cone Sobolev spaces. The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 will be given in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

The detail research of manifold with conical singularities and the corresponding cone Sobolev spaces can be found in [8, 9, 10, 24, 25]. In this subsection, we shall introduce some definitions and properties of cone Sobolev spaces briefly, which is enough to make our paper readable.

Let X be a closed, compact, C^∞ manifold, we set $X^\Delta = (\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times X)/(\{0\} \times X)$ as a local model interpreted as a cone with the base X . We denote $X^\nabla = \mathbb{R}_+ \times X$ as the corresponding open stretched cone with X . An n -dimensional manifold B with conical singularities is a topological space with a finite subset $B_0 = \{b_1, \dots, b_M\} \subset B$ of conical singularities. For simplicity, we assume that the manifold B has only one conical point on the boundary. Thus, near the conical point, we have a stretched manifold \mathbb{B} , associated with B .

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{B} = [0, 1) \times X$ be the stretched manifold of the manifold B with conical singularity, then for any cut-off function ω , supported by a collar neighborhood of $(0, 1) \times \partial\mathbb{B}$, the cone Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_p^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{B})$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < +\infty$, is defined as follow*

$$\mathcal{H}_p^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{B}) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{m,p}(\mathbb{B}_0) \mid \omega u \in \mathcal{H}_p^{m,\gamma}(X^\nabla) \right\}.$$

Moreover, the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{p,0}^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{B})$ of $\mathcal{H}_p^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{B})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,0}^{m,\gamma}(\mathbb{B}) = \omega \mathcal{H}_{p,0}^{m,\gamma}(X^\nabla) + (1 - \omega) W_0^{m,p}(\mathbb{B}_0),$$

where $W_0^{m,p}(\mathbb{B}_0)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{B}_0)$ in Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p}(\tilde{X})$, here \tilde{X} is a closed compact C^∞ manifold of dimension n that containing \mathbb{B} as a sub-manifold with boundary.

Definition 2.2. We say $u(x) \in \mathcal{L}_p^\gamma(\mathbb{B})$ with $1 < p < +\infty$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^\gamma(\mathbb{B})}^p = \int_{\mathbb{B}} x_1^n |x_1^{-\gamma} u(x)|^p \frac{dx_1}{x_1} < +\infty.$$

Observe that if $u(x) \in \mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{B})$, $v(x) \in \mathcal{L}_q^{\frac{n}{q}}(\mathbb{B})$ with $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then we have the following Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |u(x)v(x)| \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{B})} \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}_q^{\frac{n}{q}}(\mathbb{B})}.$$

Integral by parts in cone Sobolev spaces is consistent with the one in classical Sobolev spaces, see [11, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.3. Assume that functions $u, v \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} v \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} = - \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} v \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1}.$$

By [7, Propositions 2.2], or see [11, Lemma 2.4] directly, the corresponding cone Sobolev embedding can be stated as follows.

Lemma 2.4. For $1 < p + 1 < \frac{2n}{n-2}$, the embedding $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^{0, \frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B}) = \mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B})$ is continuous.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We begin this section with following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $u = u(x, t)$ be the weak solution of problem (1.1), then

$$u \in C^1((0, T); \mathcal{L}_1^n(\mathbb{B})),$$

and (1.9) holds for all $t \in (0, T)$.

Proof. We prove our conclusion with choosing suitable test function. Let $t_0 \in (0, T)$, $t_1 \in (t_0, T)$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ with $\delta_0 = \min\{t_0, T - t_1\}$. Setting

$$\chi_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in (-\infty, t_0 - \delta) \cup (t_1 + \delta, +\infty), \\ \frac{t - t_0 + \delta}{\delta}, & t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0], \\ 1, & t \in (t_0, t_1), \\ \frac{t_1 - t + \delta}{\delta}, & t \in [t_1, t_1 + \delta], \end{cases}$$

then

$$\chi'_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in (-\infty, t_0 - \delta) \cup (t_1 + \delta, +\infty), \\ \frac{1}{\delta}, & t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0], \\ 0, & t \in (t_0, t_1), \\ -\frac{1}{\delta}, & t \in [t_1, t_1 + \delta]. \end{cases}$$

Taking $\chi_\delta(t)$ as a test function in (1.2) and integrating from 0 to T to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t \chi_\delta(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' + \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u_t \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} \chi_\delta(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' + \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} \chi_\delta(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \chi_\delta(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' - \frac{1}{\mathbb{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \int_{\mathbb{B}} \chi_\delta(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the fact $\chi_\delta(0) = 0, \chi_\delta(T) = 0, \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} \chi'_\delta(t) = 0$ and $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} \chi_\delta(t) = 0$, and using integration by parts with respect to t we arrive at

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{B}} u \chi'_\delta(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' dt = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad -\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' dt + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_1+\delta}^{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' dt = 0.$$

It follows from (1.6) that the left side of above equality converges $\int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t_1) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' - \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t_0) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} (u(t_1) - u(t_0)) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' = 0.$$

Upon division by $t_1 - t_0$ and taking limits $t_1 \rightarrow t_0$, we infer from the arbitrariness of $t_0 \in (0, T)$ that $\int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \in C^1((0, T))$ with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' = 0.$$

□

Now, we prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $u = u(x, t)$ be the weak solution of problem (1.1). We first claim that

$$(3.2) \quad u \in C^1\left((0, T); \mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})\right).$$

Indeed, for all $t, s \in (0, T)$, $s \neq t$, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 - \|u(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2}{t - s} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{t - s} \int_{\mathbb{B}} (u(t) - u(s))(u(t) + u(s)) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}} |u(t) + u(s)| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s \rightarrow t$ in above inequality, then (1.7) and Lemma 3.1 entails (3.2) with

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 \leq 0.$$

Then we can use similar way to get

$$(3.4) \quad u \in C^1\left((0, T); \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1, \frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})\right).$$

In fact, for all $t, s \in (0, T)$, $s \neq t$ again, using integration by parts and the Hölder inequality in cone Sobolev spaces we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 - \|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2}{t - s} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t(t) \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{t - s} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \nabla_{\mathbb{B}}(u(t) - u(s)) \nabla_{\mathbb{B}}(u(t) + u(s)) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' + 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t(t) \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}})(u(t) + u(s)) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' + 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t(t) \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s} - u_t(t) \right) (-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}})(u(t) + u(s)) + u_t(t) (\Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) - \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(s)) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s} - u_t(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \|\Delta_{\mathbb{B}}(u(t) + u(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \\ &\quad + \|u_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \|\Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) - \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since there holds (3.2), then

$$\left\| \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s} - u_t(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow t.$$

Hence, we can let $s \rightarrow t$ to get

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t(t) \Delta_{\mathbb{B}} u(t) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx'.$$

This together with (3.2) asserts (3.4), then using the first equation in (1.1) it is easy to get (1.4). Furthermore, by the continuous embedding from $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B})$ we see

$$u \in C^1\left((0, T); \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})\right) \hookrightarrow C^1\left((0, T); \mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B})\right).$$

Finally, we prove (1.3). We aim to show

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B})}^{p+1} = (p+1) \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t \left(|u|^{p-1} u - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx',$$

because if it holds, then (3.5) and the first equation in (1.1) give (1.3) immediately. By (1.9) we know

$$\begin{aligned} (p+1) \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t \left(|u|^{p-1} u - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \right) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' &= (p+1) \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_t |u|^{p-1} u \frac{dx_1}{x_1} dx' \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B})}^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so we complete our proof. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We first claim that N_- is an invariant set if $S(u_0) \leq 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u(t)$ be the weak solution of problem (1.1) with $u_0 \in S^-, S(u_0) \leq 0$, then for all $t \in [t_0, T)$, $u(t) \in N_-$.*

Proof. By the definitions of $J(u(t))$, $I(u(t))$, (1.3), (1.4) and (3.3) we have that for all $t \in [t_0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}I(u(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left((p+1)J(u(t)) - \frac{p-1}{2} \|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left((p+1)J(u(t)) - \frac{p-1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 + \frac{p-1}{2} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 \right) \\
&\leq -(p+1) \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 + (p-1)I(u(t)) + \frac{(p-1)S(u_0)}{|\mathbb{B}|} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{B})}^p \\
&\leq (p-1)I(u(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from the Gronwall inequality that

$$(4.1) \quad I(u(t)) \leq I(u(t_0))e^{(p-1)(t-t_0)}, \quad \forall t \in (t_0, T).$$

Since $I(u(t_0)) < 0$, then our claim is true. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $u = u(x, t)$ be the weak solution of problem (1.1) with $S(u_0) \leq 0$, $T \in (0, +\infty]$ be the maximal existence time of u , we next prove that u blows up at finite time T under $u_0 \in S^-$. By Lemma 4.1 we know $I(u(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [t_0, T)$, so we can infer from Proposition 1.3(i) that if $J(u(t_0)) < d$, then take t_0 as initial time, u blows up at finite time T . Therefore, to finish the proof of this theorem, we need only consider the case that

$$d \leq J(u(t)) \leq J(u(t_0)), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, T).$$

Arguing with contradiction, suppose that u exists globally, i.e., $T = +\infty$. Above inequalities and (1.4) suggest that $J(u(t))$ is non-increasing and bounded on $[t_0, +\infty)$, so the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J(u(t))$ exists and there holds

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|u_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 dt = J(u(t_0)) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J(u(t)).$$

Let $A := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J(u(t))$, we can infer from the definition of $J(u(t))$ that

$$A = \frac{1}{2}A_1 - \frac{1}{p+1}A_2,$$

here

$$(4.3) \quad A_1 := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 < +\infty, \quad A_2 := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{p+1}}(\mathbb{B})}^{p+1} < +\infty.$$

Moreover, (4.2) yields that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|u_t(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 < +\infty,$$

which further deduces that there exists a diverging sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_t(t_n)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 = 0.$$

By (1.5) we know $u \in L^\infty([t_0, \infty), \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B}))$. Thus, by (1.3) we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |I(u(t_n))| &= \left| (u_t(t_n), u(t_n)) + (\nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u_t(t_n), \nabla_{\mathbb{B}} u(t_n)) + \frac{S(u_0)}{|\mathbb{B}|} \|u(t_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^p \right| \\ &\leq \|u_t(t_n)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \|u(t_n)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} + \frac{|S(u_0)|}{|\mathbb{B}|} \|u(t_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^p \\ &\leq \|u_t(t_n)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} \|u(t_n)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})} + C(|\mathbb{B}|) |S(u_0)| \|u(t_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p+1}^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the Hölder inequality in cone Sobolev spaces and $C(|\mathbb{B}|)$ is a positive constant which depends on $|\mathbb{B}|$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can see

$$|I(u(t_n))| \leq C(|\mathbb{B}|) |S(u_0)| A_2 < +\infty,$$

here A_2 is the constant given by (4.3). However, by (4.1) we know as $n \rightarrow \infty$ there holds that

$$|I(u(t_n))| \geq -I(u(t_0)) e^{(p-1)t_n} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

So a contradiction occurs. Therefore, $T < +\infty$ and u blows up in finite time.

The exponential growth of $u(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, T)$ comes from (1.4) and (4.1), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 &= -2I(u(t)) - \frac{2S(u_0)}{|\mathbb{B}|} \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^p \\ &\geq -2I(u(t_0)) e^{(p-1)(t-t_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

integrating from t_0 to t , we arrive at the desired result.

Finally, we aim to obtain the necessity, i.e.,

$$u(x, t) \text{ blows up at finite time } T \Rightarrow u_0 \in S^-.$$

In this end, we will prove the following equivalent proposition:

$$(4.4) \quad u_0 \notin S^- \Rightarrow T = +\infty.$$

By $u_0 \notin S^-$ we know $I(u(t)) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. If $I(u(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, then we can infer from [11, Theorem 4.1 and 5.1] that $T = +\infty$ under $J(u_0) \leq d$. While when $I(u(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, then $I(u_0) = 0$, this combines $u_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{B}) \setminus \{0\}$ imply that $u_0 \in N$, then $J(u_0) \geq d$. If $J(u_0) = d$, then [11, Theorem 5.1] tells us the weak solution exists globally. Hence, in order to claim (4.4) we need only prove that

$$J(u_0) > d, I(u(t)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, T) \Rightarrow T = +\infty.$$

By the fact that $J(u(t)) \leq J(u_0)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 &\leq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} I(u(t)) \\ &= J(u(t)) \leq J(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|u(t)\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,0}^{1,\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{B})}^2$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T)$, so u exists globally. □

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 14: 349–381, 1973.
- [2] G. Barenblat, I. Zhelotov, I. Kochiva, Basic concepts in the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks. *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, 24(5), 1286–1303, 1960.
- [3] H. Brill, A semilinear Sobolev evolution equation in a Banach space. *J. Differential Equations*, 24(3), 412–425, 1977.
- [4] Y. Cao, J.X. Yin, C.P. Wang, Cauchy problems of semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 246: 4568–4590, 2009.
- [5] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux. *An introduction to semilinear evolution equations*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 13 (Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1998).

- [6] P.J. Chen, M.E. Gurtin. On a theory of heat conduction involving two temperatures. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 19, 614–627, 1968.
- [7] H. Chen, G.W. Liu, Global existence and nonexistence for semilinear parabolic equations with conical degeneration. *J. Pseudo-Diff. Operat. Appl.*, 3(3): 329–349, 2012.
- [8] H. Chen, X.C. Liu, Y.W. Wei, Existence theorem for a class of semilinear totally characteristic elliptic equations with critical cone sobolev exponents. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 39(1): 27–43, 2011.
- [9] H. Chen, X.C. Liu, Y.W. Wei, Cone sobolev inequality and dirichlet problem for nonlinear elliptic equations on a manifold with conical singularities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 43(3): 463–484, 2012.
- [10] S. Coriasco, E. Schrohe, J. Seiler, Realizations of differential operators on conic manifolds with boundary. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 31(3): 223–285, 2007.
- [11] H.F. Di, Y.D. Shang, Global well-posedness for a nonlocal semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with conical degeneration. *J. Differential Equations*, 269(5): 4566–4597, 2020.
- [12] F. Dickstein, N. Mizoguchi, P. Souplet, F. Weissler, Transversality of stable and Nehari manifolds for a semilinear heat equation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 42: 547–562, 2011.
- [13] L.C. Evans, *Partial differential equations, in: Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 19, AMS Providence, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [14] F. Gazzola, T. Weth, Finite time blow-up and global solutions for semilinear parabolic equations with initial data at high energy level. *Diff. Integral Equa.*, 18(9): 961–990, 2005.
- [15] S.M. Ji, J.X. Yin, Y. Cao, Instability of positive periodic solutions for semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations with logarithmic nonlinearity. *J. Differential Equations*, 261: 5446–5464, 2016.
- [16] E.I. Kaikina, P.I. Naumkin, I.A. Shishmarev. The Cauchy problem for a Sobolev type equation with power like nonlinearity. *Izv. Math.*, 69(1), 59–111, 2005.

- [17] G. Karch, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to some pseudoparabolic equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20(3), 271–289, 1997.
- [18] H. A. Levine, Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form $Pu_t = -Au + F(u)$. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 51: 371–386, 1973.
- [19] Y.C. Liu, On potential wells and vacuum isolating of solutions for semilinear wave equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 192(1): 155–169, 2003.
- [20] V. Padron, Effect of aggregation on population recovery modeled by a forward-backward pseudoparabolic equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(7), 2739–2756, 2004.
- [21] L.E. Payne, D.H. Sattinger, Saddle points and instability of nonlinear hyperbolic equations. *Isr. J. Math.*, 22(3-4): 273–303, 1975.
- [22] M. Ptashnyk, Degenerate quasilinear pseudoparabolic equations with memory terms and variational inequalities. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 66(12), 2653–2675, 2007.
- [23] D.H. Sattinger, On global solution of nonlinear hyperbolic equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 30(2): 148–172, 1968.
- [24] E. Schrohe, J. Seiler, Ellipticity and invertibility in the cone algebra on L^p -sobolev spaces. *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory*, 2001, 41(1): 93–114.
- [25] B.W. Schulze, *Boundary value problems and singular pseudo-differential operators*. Wiley, Chichester. 1999.
- [26] R. E. Showalter, T. W. Ting, Pseudoparabolic partial differential equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 88(1):1–26, 1970.
- [27] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 146(1): 65–96, 1986.
- [28] T.W. Ting, Certain non-steady flows of second-order fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 14, 1–26, 1963.
- [29] T.W. Ting, Parabolic and pseudo-parabolic partial differential equations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 21, 440–453, 1969.

- [30] M. Tsutsumi, On solutions of semilinear differential equations in a Hilbert space. *Japonica*, 17: 173–193, 1972.
- [31] X.L. Zhu, F.Y. Li, Y.H. Li, Some sharp results about the global existence and blowup of solutions to a class of pseudo-parabolic equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 147A, 1311–1331, 2017.