

ENTIRE SIGN-CHANGING SOLUTIONS TO THE FRACTIONAL CRITICAL SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

XINGDONG TANG, GUIXIANG XU, CHUNYAN ZHANG, AND JIHUI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the fractional critical Schrödinger equation (FCSE)

$$(-\Delta)^s u - |u|^{2_s^* - 2} u = 0,$$

where $u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 2$, $0 < s < 1$ and $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$. By virtue of the mini-max theory and the concentration compactness principle with the equivariant group action, we obtain the new type of non-radial, sign-changing solutions of (FCSE) in the energy space $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The key component is that we use the equivariant group to partition $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into several connected components, then combine the concentration compactness argument to show the compactness property of Palais-Smale sequences in each component and obtain many solutions of (FCSE) in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Both the solutions and the argument here are different from those by Garrido, Musso in [19] and by Abreu, Barbosa and Ramirez in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the existence of sign-changing solutions to the following fractional critical Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - |u|^{2_s^* - 2} u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $N \geq 2$, $0 < s < 1$, $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, $(-\Delta)^s$ denotes the usual fractional Laplace operator and $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the homogenous Sobolev space of real-valued functions whose energy associated to $(-\Delta)^s$ is finite, i.e.

$$\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} < +\infty\}, \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^2 |(\mathcal{F}u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where $\mathcal{F}u$ denotes the Fourier transform of u :

$$\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

Fractional Schrödinger equations (1.1) arise as models in the fractional quantum mechanics, including path integral over the Lévy flights paths (see for instance [24, 25, 23]), and as Euler-Lagrange equations for the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities (e.g., see [12, 18, 26]).

The problem about the positive solutions to (1.1) has attracted lots of attention. On the one hand, the existence of positive solutions to (1.1) is related to the existence of extremizers to

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 35A15, 35J91; Secondary: 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Action of the Equivariant Group; Concentration-compactness Principle; Fractional Critical Schrödinger Equation; Sign-changing Solution.

the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities. Lieb considered the following Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality in [26]

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}}^2 \leq S(N, s) \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \quad (1.3)$$

and obtained that $\omega_{\mu, \lambda, x_0}$ is the extremizer to (1.3) if and only if

$$\omega_{\mu, \lambda, x_0}(x) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{|x-x_0|^2}{\lambda^2}} \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}, \quad \mu \neq 0, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.4)$$

by the layer cake representation technique. Note that (1.4) also solves (1.1) by taking suitable choices of μ . We can refer to [7, 12, 18], et.al. for more references. On the other hand, up to the symmetries of (1.1), Chen, Li and Ou made use of the moving plane method to show that (1.4) are the only positive (negative) solutions to (1.1) in $L_{\text{loc}}^{2N/(N-2s)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in [9]. Moreover, Dávila, del Pino and Sire obtained the nondegeneracy of the extremizer (1.4) for the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (1.3) in [13].

Sign-changing solutions to (1.1), in the case $s = 1$, has been intensively studied in [10, 11, 15, 16, 22, 29], et al. As far as the authors known, there are two different ways to study sign-changing solutions of (1.1). On the one hand, Ding obtained infinitely many sign-changing solutions by making use of variational methods restricted to the space of group invariant functions in [16]. Clapp showed the multiplicity of sign-changing solutions by making use of minimax argument restricted to the space of group equivariant functions in [10]. We can also refer to [11] for the application in critical Lane-Emden systems. On the other hand, del Pino, Musso, Pacard and Pistoia constructed sign-changing solutions by the LyapunovSchmidt reduction argument in [14, 15, 28]. Recently, Medina and Musso also constructed some kind of sign-changing solutions with maximal rank in [28].

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N = 4n + m$ with $n \geq 1$ and $m \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Then for any $0 < s < 1$, the problem (1.1) has at least n non-radial sign-changing solutions.*

Both the result and the argument in this paper are different from those in [1, 19]. Abreu, Barbosa and Ramirez obtained infinitely many sign-changing solutions of (1.1) by the Ljusternik-Schnirelman type mini-max method and group invariant technique in [1]. Garrido and Musso constructed the sign-changing solutions of (1.1) by the LyapunovSchmidt reduction argument in [19]. The key idea here is that we use the equivariant group to partition $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into several connected components, then combine the concentration compactness argument to show the compactness property of Palais-Smale sequences in each component and obtain many solutions of (1.1) in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where the compactness property of the Palais-Smale sequences is nontrivial for the fractional case $0 < s < 1$, please see Section 3 for more details.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some well-known facts about the fractional Schrödinger equations (1.1), which will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we present some compactness property of the Palais-Smale sequences. In Section 4, we prove the main result Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors have been partially supported by the NSF grant of China (No. 11671046, and No. 11831004).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we begin with some notation that will be useful throughout this paper.

2.1. Notation. There are two ways to define the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s \varphi$ for the real-valued functions $\varphi \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $0 < s < 1$. On the one hand, the fractional Laplacian of φ can be defined by the Fourier transform as

$$\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s \varphi)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi).$$

On the other hand, for $\varphi \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $0 < s < 1$, one can obtain by the fractional heat kernel that

$$(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{C(N, s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$C(N, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos(\eta_1)}{|\eta|^{N+2s}} d\eta. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $O(N)$ be the orthogonal group in \mathbb{R}^N , and G be a closed subgroup of the group $O(N)$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, -1\}$ be the group of 2nd roots of unity, and σ be a continuous group homomorphism from G to \mathbb{Z}_2 .

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, let $G \cdot x$ denote the G -orbit of the point x , and G_x denote the stabilizer subgroup of the group G with respect to the point x , i.e.

$$G \cdot x = \{gx \mid g \in G\}, \quad \text{and} \quad G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}.$$

The domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N is said to be G -invariant, if for each $x \in \Omega$, $G \cdot x \subseteq \Omega$. For any G -invariant domain Ω , we denote

$$\Omega^G := \{x \in \Omega \mid gx = x \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

Any function u on the G -invariant domain Ω is said to be σ -equivariant if

$$u(gx) = \sigma(g) u(x), \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } x \in \Omega.$$

Now for each s with $0 < s < 1$, we can obtain the representation for the norm on $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by the fractional heat kernel (see [27, 30, 32] for instance):

$$\|u\|^2 := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = 2C(N, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |(\mathcal{F}u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \quad (2.3)$$

where $C(N, s)$ is defined by (2.2). Moreover, for $0 < s < \frac{N}{2}$ and any $u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Sobolev embedding inequality in [12, 17, 18, 27], we have $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. More precisely,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2^*_s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*_s}} \leq S(N, s) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$S(N, s) = 2^{-2s} \pi^{-s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-2s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N+2s}{2})} \left(\frac{\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{2s}{N}}. \quad (2.5)$$

For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary, let $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space which is defined as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm which is defined by (2.3). Since $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, we have (see for instance [5, 21, 32])

$$\dot{H}^s(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid u(x) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \right\}.$$

For any closed subgroup G of $O(N)$ and any continuous group homomorphism $\sigma : G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_2$, we define the subspace of $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ which coincides with all σ -equivariant functions under the group G as follows,

$$\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma = \left\{ u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega) \mid u(gx) = \sigma(g)u(x), \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

In what follows, we will always assume that the group homomorphism σ is surjective, and the group G satisfies

- (G1) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, either $\dim(G \cdot x) > 0$ or $G \cdot x = x$.
- (G2) There exists at least one point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\sigma(G_\xi) = \{1\}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([3, page 195]). *Let G be closed subgroup of the group $O(N)$, Ω be a G -invariant domain in \mathbb{R}^N , and $\sigma : G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a continuous group homomorphism. If the group G satisfies (G2), then the space $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ is infinite dimensional.*

2.2. The σ -equivariant solutions of (1.1) vanishing outside a G -invariant domain. Let G be closed subgroup of the group $O(N)$, Ω be a G -invariant domain in \mathbb{R}^N , and $\sigma : G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a continuous group homomorphism. Now, we consider the fractional critical Schrödinger equation,

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u - |u|^{2_s^*-2}u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

By the critical point theory (for instance, see [8, 31, 33]), the function u satisfies (2.6) if and only if $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ is a critical point of the Lagrange functional as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, if u is a nontrivial solution to (2.6), then u also belongs to the *Nehari manifold* $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$,

$$\mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma = \left\{ u \mid u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) = 0 \right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

where the *Nehari functional* $\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy - \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, we can reduce the variational problem for (2.6) to seek the critical points of $\mathcal{E}(\cdot; \Omega)$ restricted to the subspace $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, if $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ satisfies*

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega), \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)_G^\sigma,$$

where

$$C_c^\infty(\Omega)_G^\sigma = \{u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \mid u(gx) = \sigma(g)u(x), \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } x \in \Omega\}, \quad (2.10)$$

then

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega), \tilde{\varphi} \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \tilde{\varphi} \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\varphi} \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)$. Define

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_G \sigma(g) \tilde{\varphi}(gx) d\mu,$$

where μ is the Haar measure on G . Then $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, and note that $\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega), \varphi \rangle = 0$, therefore, by Fubini's theorem and a change of variable, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy - \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(x) \varphi(x) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \int_G \frac{\sigma(g)(u(x) - u(y))(\tilde{\varphi}(gx) - \tilde{\varphi}(gy))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} d\mu dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_{\Omega} \int_G |u(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(x) \sigma(g) \tilde{\varphi}(gx) d\mu dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \int_G \frac{(u(gx) - u(gy))(\tilde{\varphi}(gx) - \tilde{\varphi}(gy))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} d\mu dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_{\Omega} \int_G |u(gx)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(gx) \tilde{\varphi}(gx) d\mu dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_G \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(gx) - u(gy))(\tilde{\varphi}(gx) - \tilde{\varphi}(gy))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy d\mu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_G \int_{\Omega} |u(gx)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(gx) \tilde{\varphi}(gx) dx d\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_G d\mu \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\mu(G)} \int_G d\mu \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(x) \tilde{\varphi}(x) dx \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy - \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(x) \tilde{\varphi}(x) dx \\
&= \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega), \tilde{\varphi} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let the functionals $\mathcal{E}(\cdot; \Omega)$, $\mathcal{N}(\cdot; \Omega)$ be defined by (2.7), (2.9) respectively, and $S(N, s)$ be given by (2.5). Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, the following statements hold:*

- (a) *for any $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\|u\| < S(N, s)^{-\frac{N}{4s}}$ we have $\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) > 0$;*
- (b) *for any $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\|u\| < \left(\frac{2_s^*}{2} S(N, s)^{-\frac{2_s^*}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^* - 2}}$, we have $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) > 0$.*
- (c) *for any $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \leq 0$, we have $\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) < 0$.*

Proof. (a). By (2.4), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \leq S(N, s)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \|u\|^{2_s^*} < \|u\|^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Inserting (2.11) into (2.9), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) > 0.$$

(b). By (2.4), we get

$$\frac{1}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \leq \frac{1}{2_s^*} S(N, s)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \|u\|^{2_s^*} < \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2,$$

which implies that $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) > 0$.

(c). Since for any $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \leq 0$, we have $\frac{2^*}{2}\|u\|^2 \leq \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{2^*} dx$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) \leq \left(1 - \frac{2^*}{2}\right) \|u\|^2 < 0.$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

As a corollary of Lemma 2.3 that under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, for any $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}(u; \Omega) = 0$, we have $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) > 0$, which enables us to minimize the functional $\mathcal{E}(\cdot; \Omega)$ constrained on the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$. More precisely, let us define

$$m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma = \inf \{ \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \mid u \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma \}, \quad (2.12)$$

then $m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma \geq 0$. In fact, the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ is a natural constraint for the minimizer to the functional $\mathcal{E}(\cdot; \Omega)$. More precisely, we have :

Lemma 2.4. *Let $m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma$ be defined by (2.12). Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}(\varphi; \Omega) = m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma$, then*

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(\varphi; \Omega), u \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ is a minimizer of the functional $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega)$ subject to $\mathcal{N}(\varphi; \Omega) = 0$, there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(\varphi; \Omega), u \rangle = \lambda \langle \nabla \mathcal{N}(\varphi; \Omega), u \rangle, \quad \text{for all } u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma. \quad (2.13)$$

By choosing $u = \varphi$ in (2.13), and using the fact that

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(\varphi; \Omega), \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{N}(\varphi; \Omega),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(\varphi; \Omega), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle \nabla \mathcal{N}(\varphi; \Omega), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \lambda \left(2\|\varphi\|^2 - 2_s^* \int_\Omega |\varphi(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

which, together with $\mathcal{N}(\varphi; \Omega) = 0$ and $\varphi \neq 0$, implies that $\lambda = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

The following lemma shows that $m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma$ defined by (2.12) coincides with the critical value which is characterized via the well-known mountain pass theorem.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 hold, and let*

$$m(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma = \inf_{\gamma \in \Theta} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t); \Omega). \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\Theta = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{C} \left([0, 1], \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \right) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) \neq 0, \mathcal{E}(\gamma(1); \Omega) \leq 0 \right\},$$

then we have

$$m(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma = m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma.$$

Proof. Firstly, we show that

$$m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma \geq m(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma.$$

For each $u \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, we define $\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(t) \doteq \left(\frac{2^*}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} t \cdot u$, and $\Theta_{\mathcal{N}} \doteq \{\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u} \mid u \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma\}$. Obviously, we have

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u} \in \mathcal{C}([0,1], \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma) \quad \text{with } \gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(0) = 0, \quad \text{and } \gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(1) \neq 0.$$

On the one hand, a direct computation shows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(1); \Omega) = \left(\frac{2^{*2}}{2^{2^*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} \mathcal{N}(u) = 0,$$

which implies that, $\Theta_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \Theta$. On the other hand, for all $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(t); \Omega) = \left(\frac{2^{*2}}{2^{2^*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} \|u\|^2 (t^2 - t^{2^*}).$$

By the elementary fact that

$$t^2 - t^{2^*} \leq \left(\left(\frac{2}{2^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}\right)^2 - \left(\left(\frac{2}{2^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}\right)^{2^*},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(t); \Omega) &\leq \mathcal{E}\left(\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}\left(\left(\frac{2}{2^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}}\right); \Omega\right) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma &= \inf\{\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \mid u \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma\} \\ &= \inf_{\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u} \in \Theta_{\mathcal{N}}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{E}(\gamma_{\mathcal{N},u}(t); \Omega) \\ &\geq \inf_{\gamma \in \Theta} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t); \Omega) \\ &= m(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Next, we show that

$$m(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma \geq m(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma.$$

Indeed, for each $\gamma \in \Theta$, one has $\gamma(0) = 0$, by (a) of Lemma 2.3, there exists $s_u \in (0,1)$ such that for all $t \in (0, s_u)$,

$$\mathcal{N}(\gamma(t); \Omega) > 0. \tag{2.17}$$

However $\mathcal{E}(\gamma(1); \Omega) \leq 0$, by (c) of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\gamma(1); \Omega) < 0. \tag{2.18}$$

By (2.17) and (2.18), there exists $t_{u,\max} \in (s_u, 1) \subset [0, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{N}(\gamma(t_{u,\max}); \Omega) = 0$, which means that $\gamma(t_{u,\max}) \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Theta} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t); \Omega) \\ &\geq \inf_{\gamma \in \Theta} \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t_{u,\max}); \Omega) \\ &\geq \inf \{ \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) \mid u \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma \} \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.16) with (2.19), we hence complete the proof. \square

In view of the above result, we will denote for short that

$$\mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma := \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_{\text{MP},G}^\sigma = \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_{\mathcal{N},G}^\sigma. \tag{2.20}$$

Lemma 2.6. *If Ω is a G -invariant domain in \mathbb{R}^N and $\Omega^G \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$\mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma = \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma.$$

Proof. On one hand, by the embedding that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma \geq \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma.$$

On the other hand, we fix $x_0 \in \Omega^G$ and choose a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma \cap C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_n; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$. Since φ_n has compact support, we may choose $\lambda_n > 0$ such that

$$\text{supp} \widetilde{\varphi}_n = \text{supp} \lambda_n^{-\frac{(N-2s)}{2}} \varphi_n \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\lambda_n} \right) \subset \Omega.$$

As x_0 is a G -fixed point, $\widetilde{\varphi}_n$ is σ -equivariant. Using the fact that

$$\|\varphi_n\|^2 = \|\widetilde{\varphi}_n\|^2$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\varphi_n|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\widetilde{\varphi}_n|^{2^*} dx.$$

We have $\widetilde{\varphi}_n \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, hence

$$\mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma \leq \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{\varphi}_n; \Omega) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi_n; \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma,$$

hence $\mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma \leq \mathfrak{m}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$, which implies the result. \square

2.3. Some useful estimates.

Lemma 2.7 ([6, Proposition 2.9]). *Let $f \in \left(\dot{H}^s(\Omega)\right)'$, and if $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ satisfies that*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \langle f, \varphi \rangle, \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega),$$

then for any open subset $\tilde{\Omega}$ of \mathbb{R}^N with $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ and any nonnegative function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\tilde{\Omega} \times \tilde{\Omega}} \frac{|u(x)\phi(x) - u(y)\phi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \leq C_0 \iint_{\tilde{\Omega} \times \tilde{\Omega}} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} (|u(x)|^2 + |u(y)|^2) dx dy \\ & \quad + C_0 \left(\sup_{y \in \text{supp}(\phi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} \frac{|u(x)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx \right) \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |u(x)| (\phi(x))^2 dx + C_0 |\langle f, u\phi^2 \rangle|, \end{aligned}$$

where C_0 is an absolute constant.

Proposition 2.8 ([4, Proposition 2.3]). *Let $0 < r < R$. If $u \in \dot{H}^s(B(0, r))$, then there exists a positive constant $C(N, s, \frac{R}{r})$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{B(0, r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \leq C \left(N, s, \frac{R}{r} \right) \iint_{B(0, R) \times B(0, R)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy,$$

where the constant $C(N, s, \frac{R}{r})$ goes to ∞ as R goes to r .

In order to describe the behaviour of PalaisSmale sequences for the variational problem in (2.15), we define Lévy's concentration function for any $u \in L^{2_s^*}(\Omega)$ as follows,

$$\mathcal{Q}_u(r) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(z, r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx.$$

We collect here some facts about Lévy's concentration function.

Proposition 2.9. *Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N . If $u \in L^{2_s^*}(\Omega)$ with $u(x) = 0$ a.e. for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, then the following statements hold.*

(i) *For any δ with $0 < \delta < \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx$, there exists $r > 0$, $z_{\max} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that*

$$\int_{B(z_{\max}, r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx = \delta,$$

where $\text{dist}(z_{\max}, \Omega) \leq r$.

(ii) *For any $r > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{u_{r, \xi}}(1) = \mathcal{Q}_u(r),$$

where $u_{r, \xi}(x) = r^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} u(rx + \xi)$.

(iii) *For any $0 < r < R$, we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}_u(R) \leq \lfloor (N+1) \frac{R}{r} \rfloor \mathcal{Q}_u(r). \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. (i). The proof is standard, please refer to [6, Lemma 3.1] for the details.

(ii). For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have by the change of variables that

$$\int_{B(z, 1)} |u_{r, \xi}(x)|^{2_s^*} dx = \int_{B(rz + \xi, r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx. \quad (2.22)$$

Taking the supremum over \mathbb{R}^N on both sides of (2.22), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{u_{r,\xi}}(1) &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(z,1)} |u_{r,\xi}(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(rz+\xi,r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(z,r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_u(r). \end{aligned}$$

(iii). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R > 0$, there exists $\lfloor (N+1)\frac{R}{r} \rfloor$ balls $B(z_1, r)$, $B(z_2, r)$, \dots , $B(z_{\lfloor (N+1)\frac{R}{r} \rfloor}, r)$ in \mathbb{R}^N such that their union cover $B(z, R)$ (see for instance [20, Corollary 1.3]), therefore

$$\int_{B(z,R)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor (N+1)\frac{R}{r} \rfloor} \int_{B(z_j,r)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{B(z,R)} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \leq \lfloor (N+1)\frac{R}{r} \rfloor \mathcal{Q}_u(r). \quad (2.23)$$

Taking the supremum to the left hand side of (2.23), we can obtain (2.21).

This ends the proof of Proposition 2.9. \square

3. PALAIS-SMALE SEQUENCES

As a consequence of a general minimax method, using Ekeland's ε -variational principle (see, for instance [2, 33]), we have the following well-known result.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 hold. Let $m(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ be defined by (2.20). Then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ such that*

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow m(\Omega)_G^\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \left(\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma\right)', \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. By Ekeland's ε -variational principle, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow m(\Omega)_{MP,G}^\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \left(\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma\right)', \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (2.20), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow m(\Omega)_G^\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \left(\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma\right)', \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

By the change of variables, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, for any positive number λ and any point ξ in \mathbb{R}^N satisfying $G \cdot \xi = \{\xi\}$, let us define the domain*

$$\Omega_{\xi,\lambda} \doteq \left\{ x \mid x \in \frac{1}{\lambda}(\Omega - \xi) \right\},$$

and the function

$$u_{\xi,\lambda}(x) \doteq \lambda^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} u(\lambda x + \xi).$$

Then the following statements hold :

(I) $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ if and only if $u_{\xi,\lambda} \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega_{\xi,\lambda})_G^\sigma$, moreover, we have

$$\|u\| = \|u_{\xi,\lambda}\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx = \int_{\Omega_{\xi,\lambda}} |u_{\xi,\lambda}(x)|^{2_s^*} dx.$$

(II) if $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, then we have

$$\sup_{\substack{\phi \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \\ \|\phi\|=1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u; \Omega), \phi \rangle = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega_{\xi,\lambda})_G^\sigma \\ \|\psi\|=1}} \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_{\xi,\lambda}; \Omega_{\xi,\lambda}), \psi \rangle.$$

The following geometrical lemma will be used to show Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.3 ([10, 11]). *Let G be a closed subgroup of the group $O(N)$, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of \mathbb{R}^N and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of $(0, \infty)$. If G satisfies **(G1)**, then,*

either there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$) and a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $(\mathbb{R}^N)^G$, such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \text{dist}(G \cdot x_n, \xi_n) \leq N_0,$$

where N_0 is some positive integer independent of n ;

or for any integer q , there exist $\delta > 0$ and elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q of G , such that for $1 \leq i \neq k \leq q$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} |g_j x_n - g_k x_n| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we are able to describe the compactness properties of Palais-Smale sequences for (2.20).

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be closed subgroup of the group $O(N)$, Ω be a G -invariant bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N and $\sigma : G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a continuous group homomorphism. Suppose the group G satisfies **(G1)**-**(G2)**. If $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ satisfying*

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow m(\Omega)_G^\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \left(\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma \right)' \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

then, up to a subsequence (still denoted by $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$),

(PS1) either there exists a nontrivial $U \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - U\| = 0.$$

(PS2) or there exist a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N)^G$, a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and a nontrivial function $V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$ satisfying

$$(-\Delta)^s V - |V|^{2_s^*-2} V = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H},$$

such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| u_n(\cdot) - \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} V \left(\frac{\cdot - \xi_n}{\lambda_n} \right) \right\| = 0,$$

where \mathcal{H} is either a half space of \mathbb{R}^N or $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. We claim that the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying (3.1) is uniformly bounded in $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$. By (3.1), we have

$$o(1) \|u_n\| = \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s}{N} \|u_n\|^2 &= \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega), u_n \rangle \\ &= m(\Omega)_G^\sigma + o(1) \|u_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s}{N} \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx &= \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega), u_n \rangle \\ &= m(\Omega)_G^\sigma + o(1) \|u_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

which implies that the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded in $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$. Therefore, by the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, we obtain that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup U \quad \text{weakly in } \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma; \quad (3.3)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow U \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ for all } 1 \leq p < 2_s^*; \quad (3.4)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow U \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega.$$

Hence, for each $\varphi \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, one has

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(U(x) - U(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover, by [33, Theorem A.2] and (3.4) with $p = 2_s^* - 1$, we obtain that

$$|u_n|^{2_s^*-2} u_n \rightarrow |U|^{2_s^*-2} U \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Therefore, we have for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2} u_n(x) \phi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |U(x)|^{2_s^*-2} U(x) \phi(x) dx.$$

By density of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, we obtain for any $\varphi \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2} u_n(x) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |U(x)|^{2_s^*-2} U(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad (3.6)$$

which together with (3.1) and (3.5) implies for any $\varphi \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ that

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(U; \Omega), \varphi \rangle = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Step 2. For the case $U \neq 0$, we claim that (PS1) holds. In fact, on the one hand, by choosing $\varphi = U$ in (3.7), we obtain that

$$\mathcal{N}(U; \Omega) = 0,$$

which means $U \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$. By (2.12) and (2.20), we obtain that

$$\frac{s}{N} \|U\|^2 = \mathcal{E}(U; \Omega) - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \mathcal{N}(U; \Omega) \geq m(\Omega)_G^\sigma. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, by the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm $\|\cdot\|$, we have

$$\|U\|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2 = \frac{N}{s} \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma. \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| = \|U\|,$$

which together with (3.3) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - U\| = 0.$$

Hence ((PS1)) holds.

Step 3. For the case $U = 0$. By (3.2), up to a subsequence (we still denote by $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \geq \frac{N}{2s} \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma. \quad (3.10)$$

Let us choose δ satisfying $0 < \delta < \frac{N}{2s} \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$ to be determined later. By Proposition 2.9, there exist a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of \mathbb{R}^N and a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $(0, +\infty)$, such that

$$\delta = \int_{B(z_n, \lambda_n)} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx.$$

Step 3a. We claim that after passing to another subsequence if necessary, there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $(\mathbb{R}^N)^G$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \text{dist}(G \cdot z_n, \xi_n) \leq N_0, \quad (3.11)$$

where the constant N_0 is the positive integer in Lemma 3.3. We suppose by contradiction that (3.11) does not hold. On the one hand, by Lemma 3.3, for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find q elements g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q of G , such that, for n sufficiently large,

$$|g_j z_n - g_k z_n| \geq 2\lambda_n, \quad \text{if } j \neq k,$$

which implies that

$$B(g_j z_n, \lambda_n) \cap B(g_k z_n, \lambda_n) = \emptyset. \quad (3.12)$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $|u(gx)| = |u(x)|$ for all $u \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, by the change of variables, we have,

$$\int_{B(g_j z_n, \lambda_n)} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx = \int_{B(z_n, \lambda_n)} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, q. \quad (3.13)$$

Now, combining (3.12) with (3.13), we obtain for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$ that,

$$q\delta = \sum_{j=1}^q \int_{B(g_j z_n, \lambda_n)} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx,$$

which contradicts with the uniform boundedness of $\int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx$, see (3.2). Therefore, (3.11) holds. For each z_n , there exist $g_n \in G$ and $\xi_n \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^G$ such that $|g_n z_n - \xi_n| < N_0 \lambda_n$, hence we have

$$\delta \leq \int_{B(\xi_n, (N_0+1)\lambda_n)} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx, \quad (3.14)$$

which implies that

$$|\Omega \cap B(\xi_n, (N_0+1)\lambda_n)| > 0. \quad (3.15)$$

However, by Proposition 2.9, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}(\xi_n, (N_0+1)\lambda_n)} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx &\leq \mathcal{Q}_{u_n}((N_0+1)\lambda_n) \\ &\leq (N+1)(N_0+1)\mathcal{Q}_{u_n}(\lambda_n) \\ &\leq (N+1)(N_0+1)\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

From now on, we consider the new sequence $(v_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ which is defined by

$$v_n(x) := \lambda_n^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} u_n(\lambda_n x + \xi_n).$$

By letting $\Omega_n = \left\{ x \mid x \in \frac{1}{\lambda_n}(\Omega - \xi_n) \right\}$, we have $v_n \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega_n)_G^\sigma$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\| &= \|u_n\|, \\ \int_{\Omega_n} |v_n(x)|^{2^*} dx &= \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2^*} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Moreover, by (3.14) and (3.16), we have

$$\delta \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}(0, N_0+1)} |v_n(x)|^{2^*} dx \leq (N+1)(N_0+1)\delta. \quad (3.18)$$

By the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightharpoonup V \quad \text{weakly in } \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma; \\ v_n &\rightarrow V \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq p < 2_s^*; \\ v_n &\rightarrow V \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3b. We claim that $V \neq 0$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that $V = 0$. Let $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a radially symmetric function satisfying

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{B}(0, N_0+1), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{B}(0, 2(N_0+1)). \end{cases}$$

By the fact that $v_n \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega_n)_G^\sigma$, and (3.18), we have

$$\Omega_n \cap \mathbb{B}(0, N_0+1) \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore, by replacing Ω , $\tilde{\Omega}$, u and f with Ω_n , $\mathbb{B}(0, 4(N_0+1))$, v_n and $|v_n|^{2_s^*-2}v_n + \nabla \mathcal{E}(v_n; \Omega_n)$ respectively in Lemma 2.7, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}(0, 4(N_0+1))} \int_{\mathbb{B}(0, 4(N_0+1))} \frac{|v_n(x)h(x) - v_n(y)h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \quad (3.19)$$

$$\leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{B}(0, 4(N_0+1))} \int_{\mathbb{B}(0, 4(N_0+1))} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \left(|v_n(x)|^2 + |v_n(y)|^2 \right) dx dy \quad (3.20)$$

$$+ C_0 \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{B}(0, 2(N_0+1))} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathbb{B}(0, 4(N_0+1))} \frac{|v_n(x)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|h^2(x) dx \quad (3.21)$$

$$+ C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h^2(x) |v_n(x)|^{2^*} dx \quad (3.22)$$

$$+ C_0 |\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(v_n; \Omega_n), h^2 v_n \rangle|. \quad (3.23)$$

Since for any $x \in B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+2s-2}} dy \\
&= \int_{B(-x, 4(N_0 + 1))} \frac{1}{|y|^{N+2s-2}} dy \\
&\leq \int_{B(0, 8(N_0 + 1))} \frac{1}{|y|^{N+2s-2}} dy \\
&\leq C_1(N, N_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $C_1(N, N_0)$ is a constant depending only on N and N_0 . Using (3.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) &\leq 2C_0 \|\nabla h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_{B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))} |v_n(x)|^2 \left(\int_{B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N+2s-2}} dy \right) dx \\
&\leq 2C_0 \|\nabla h\|_{L^\infty}^2 C_1(N, N_0) \|v_n\|_{L^2(B(0, 4(N_0 + 1)))} \\
&= o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))} |v_n(x) - V(x)|^2 dx = 0$ and $V = 0$.

Since for any $x \in R^N \setminus B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))$ and any $y \in B(0, 2(N_0 + 1))$, we have $\frac{|x|}{2} \leq |x - y| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x|$, there exists a positive constant $C_2(N, N_0)$ depending only on N and N_0 such that

$$\int_{R^N \setminus B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{(N+2s)\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^* - 1}}} dx \leq C_2(N, N_0),$$

which together with the Hölder inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.21) &= C_0 \left(\sup_{y \in B(0, 2(N_0 + 1))} \int_{R^N \setminus B(0, 4(N_0 + 1))} \frac{|v_n(x)|}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx \right) \int_{R^N} |v_n(x)| h^2(x) dx \\
&\leq C_0 C_2(N, N_0)^{\frac{2_s^* - 1}{2_s^*}} \left(\int_{\Omega_n} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}} \int_{B(0, 2(N_0 + 1))} |v_n(x)| dx \\
&= o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the uniform boundedness of $\int_{\Omega_n} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0, 2(N_0 + 1))} |v_n(x) - V(x)| dx = 0$ and $V = 0$.

Next, combining [6, Lemma A.1] with (2.4) and Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

$$(3.23) = o(1) \|h^2 v_n\| = o(1) \|v_n\|.$$

Now, we deal with (3.22). By the Hölder inequality and Proposition 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{R^N} h^2(x) |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx &\leq \left(\int_{B(0, 2(N_0 + 1))} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}} \left(\int_{R^N} |h(x) v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\
&\leq C(N, s, 2) \left(\int_{B(0, 2(N_0 + 1))} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}} \|h v_n\|_{\dot{H}^s(B(0, 4(N_0 + 1)))}^2 \\
&\leq C(N, s, 2) (2(N_0 + 1)(N + 1)\delta)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}} \|h v_n\|_{\dot{H}^s(B(0, 4(N_0 + 1)))}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by choosing

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{N}{2s} \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)_G^\sigma, \frac{1}{2(N_0+1)(N+1)} \left(\frac{1}{2C(N,s,2)} \right)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-2}} \right\},$$

we have

$$\|hv_n\|_{\dot{H}^s(B(0,4(N_0+1)))}^2 = o(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,(N_0+1))} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx &\leq \int_{B(0,2(N_0+1))} |h(x)v_n(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &\leq \|hv_n\|_{\dot{H}^s(B(0,4(N_0+1)))}^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with (3.18). Therefore $V \neq 0$.

Step 3c. Without loss of generality, one may assume, after passing to another subsequence if necessary, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\xi_n \rightarrow \xi^0, \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda^0,$$

with $\xi^0 \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^G$ and $\lambda^0 \geq 0$. Now, we distinguish two cases according to the fact whether $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\xi_n, \partial\Omega)$ is finite or not.

Case I. $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\xi_n, \partial\Omega) = \infty$. In this case, by (3.15), we have $\xi_n \in \Omega$. therefore, for each compact subset F of \mathbb{R}^N , there exists n_0 such that

$$F \subseteq \Omega_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

On the one hand, for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$, for n sufficiently large, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(v_n; \mathbb{R}^N), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(v_n; \Omega_n), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(v_n(x) - v_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy - \int_{\Omega_n} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2} v_n(x) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{[u_n(x) - u_n(y)] \left[\lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-\xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) - \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{y-\xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right]}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2} u_n(x) \left(\lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-\xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right) dx \\ &= \left\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega), \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{\cdot - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= o(1) \left\| \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{\cdot - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\| \\ &= o(1) \|\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$, one has

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(v_n(x) - v_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(V(x) - V(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy, \quad (3.25)$$

moreover, by [33, Theorem A.2] and (3.4) with $p = 2_s^* - 1$, we can obtain that

$$|v_n|^{2_s^*-2}v_n \rightarrow |V|^{2_s^*-2}V \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2}v_n(x) \varphi(x) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V(x)|^{2_s^*-2}V(x) \varphi(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma.$$

Therefore

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(V; \mathbb{R}^N), \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma,$$

hence

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(V; \mathbb{R}^N), \psi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma.$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(V; \mathbb{R}^N) = 0.$$

So $V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$, and

$$\|v_n - V\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Case II. $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(\xi_n, \partial\Omega) = \rho$ with $\rho \in (0, \infty)$.

When $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, let

$$\mathcal{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \cdot \nu > -\rho\},$$

where ν is the inward pointing unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at ξ^0 . Since $\xi^0 \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^G$, so is ν . Thus, we have \mathcal{H} is G -invariant.

When $\{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \not\subseteq \overline{\Omega}$, let

$$\mathcal{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \cdot \nu > \rho\}.$$

Similarly, we also have \mathcal{H} is G -invariant.

In both cases, it is easy to see that \mathcal{H} is a half plane in \mathbb{R}^N , therefore if X is compact and $X \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, there exists n_0 such that $X \subseteq \Omega_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$, moreover if X is compact and $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{H}$, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n$ for n large enough. As $V_n \rightarrow V$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , this implies $V \neq 0$ in Ω_n , in particular, $V = 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{H}$. So $V \in \dot{H}^s(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$. Moreover, for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$, for n sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(v_n; \mathcal{H}), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(v_n; \Omega_n), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(v_n(x) - v_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy - \int_{\Omega_n} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2}v_n(x) \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{[u_n(x) - u_n(y)] \left[\lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-\xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) - \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{y-\xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right]}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} |u_n(x)|^{2_s^*-2}u_n(x) \left(\lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-\xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right) \, dx \\ &= \left\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega), \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{\cdot - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= o(1) \left\| \lambda_n^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{\cdot - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\| \\ &= o(1) \|\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$, one has

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(v_n(x) - v_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(V(x) - V(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy, \quad (3.26)$$

and by [33, Theorem A.2], we can see that

$$|v_n|^{2_s^* - 2} v_n \rightarrow |V|^{2_s^* - 2} V \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{H}),$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} |v_n(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} v_n(x) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{H}} |V(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} V(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma.$$

Therefore

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(V; \mathcal{H}), \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma,$$

hence

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{E}(V; \mathcal{H}), \psi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \dot{H}^s(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma.$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(V; \mathcal{H}) = 0.$$

So $V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$, we obtain that

$$\|v_n - V\| \rightarrow 0.$$

After a change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| u_n(x) - \lambda_n^{-\frac{(N-2s)}{2}} V\left(\frac{x - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\|^2 \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\left| u_n(x) - u_n(y) - \lambda_n^{-\frac{(N-2s)}{2}} V\left(\frac{x - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) + \lambda_n^{-\frac{(N-2s)}{2}} V\left(\frac{y - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\left| \lambda_n^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} u_n(\lambda_n x + \xi_n) - \lambda_n^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} u_n(\lambda_n y + \xi_n) - V(x) + V(y) \right|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \quad (3.27) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v_n(x) - v_n(y) - V(x) + V(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \|v_n - V\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| u_n(\cdot) - \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} V\left(\frac{\cdot - \xi_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \right\| = 0,$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. ENTIRE NODAL SOLUTIONS

In this section we prove the main result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a closed subgroup of $O(N)$ and $\sigma : G \rightarrow Z_2$ be a continuous homomorphism and is surjective, and the group G satisfies **(G1)**-**(G2)**. Then $\mathcal{E}(u; \mathbb{R}^N)$ attains its minimum on $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$. Consequently, the problem (1.1) has a nontrivial σ -equivariant solution in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. The unit ball $\Omega := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < 1\}$ is G -invariant for every G , as $0 \in \Omega$, we have that $\Omega^G \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Lemma 2.6, we know $m(\Omega)_G^\sigma = m(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$.

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow m(\Omega)_G^\sigma, \text{ and } \nabla \mathcal{E}(u_n; \Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \left(\dot{H}^s(\Omega)_G^\sigma\right)' \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then Lemma 3.4 asserts that there are two possibilities :

Case i. there exists $u \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)_G^\sigma$, such that $\mathcal{E}(u; \Omega) = m(\Omega)_G^\sigma$.

Case ii. there exists $V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$, such that $\mathcal{E}(V; \mathcal{H}) = m(\mathcal{H})_G^\sigma$.

As $\mathcal{N}(\Theta)_G^\sigma \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$ for every G -invariant domain Θ in \mathbb{R}^N , from the above two possibilities we can get $\mathcal{E}(\cdot; \mathbb{R}^N)$ attains its minimum on $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}^N)_G^\sigma$. \square

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that there are n groups with the properties stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $N = 4n + m$ with $n \geq 1$ and $m \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a closed subgroup G_j of $O(N)$ and a continuous homomorphism $\sigma_j : G_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the following properties :*

(a) G_j and σ_j satisfy **(G1)**-**(G2)**.

(b) If $u, v : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nontrivial functions, u is σ_i -equivariant and v is σ_j -equivariant with $i \neq j$, then $u \neq v$.

Proof. Let Γ be the group generated by $\{e^{i\theta}, \varrho : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$, acting on \mathbb{C}^2 by

$$e^{i\theta}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) := (e^{i\theta}\zeta_1, e^{i\theta}\zeta_2), \quad \varrho(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) := (-\bar{\zeta}_2, \bar{\zeta}_1) \quad \text{for } (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2,$$

and let $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the homomorphism given by $\sigma(e^{i\theta}) := 1$ and $\sigma(\varrho) := -1$. Note that the Γ -orbit of a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is the union of two circles that lie in orthogonal planes if $z \neq 0$, and it is 0 if $z = 0$.

Define $\Lambda_j := O(N - 4j)$ if $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and $\Lambda_n := 1$, then Λ_j -orbit of a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-4j}$ is an $(N - 4j - 1)$ -dimensional sphere if $j = 1, \dots, n$, and it is a single point if $j = n$.

Define $G_j = \Gamma_j \times \Lambda_j$, acting coordinatewise on $\mathbb{R}^N \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^j \times \mathbb{R}^{N-4j}$, i.e.,

$$(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j, \eta)(z_1, \dots, z_j, y)^\top = (\gamma_1 z_1, \dots, \gamma_j z_j, \eta y)^\top, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, $\eta \in \Lambda_j$, $z_i \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-4j}$, and $\sigma_j : G_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the homomorphism

$$\sigma_j(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j, \eta) = \sigma(\gamma_1)\sigma(\gamma_2)\cdots\sigma(\gamma_j). \quad (4.2)$$

Obviously σ_j is surjective.

We firstly show that G_j and σ_j satisfy **(G1)**-**(G2)**. On one hand, let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_j, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and if $G_j x \neq \{x\}$, there exists $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j, \eta)$ and $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j, \alpha) \in G_j$ such that

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j, \eta)x \neq (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_j, \alpha)x \in G_j \cdot x, \quad (4.3)$$

therefore $\dim(G_j \cdot x) > 0$ and

$$\dim(G_j \cdot x) = \begin{cases} N - 2j - 1, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, n - 1, \\ 2j + 1, & \text{if } j = n. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_j, 0)$, suppose $g\xi = \xi$, it is easy to see

$$g = \left(e^{ik_1\theta} \varrho^{4n_1}, e^{ik_2\theta} \varrho^{4n_2}, \dots, e^{ik_j\theta} \varrho^{4n_j}, 1 \right),$$

where $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta = 0$.

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\sigma_j(g) = \sigma(e^{ik_1\theta} \varrho^{4n_1}) \sigma(e^{ik_2\theta} \varrho^{4n_2}) \cdots \sigma(e^{ik_j\theta} \varrho^{4n_j}) = 1.$$

Therefore, **(G2)** hold.

Secondly, we prove (b). Suppose $u \neq 0$ is σ_i -equivariant and $v \neq 0$ is σ_j -equivariant with $i < j$, and $u(x) = v(x)$ for some $x = (z_1, \cdots, z_j, y) \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^j \times \mathbb{R}^{N-4j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & u(z_1, \cdots, \varrho_j z_j, y) \\ &= u(z_1, \cdots, z_j, y) \\ &= v(z_1, \cdots, z_j, y) \\ &= -v(z_1, \cdots, \varrho_j z_j, y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $u(z_1, \cdots, \varrho_j z_j, y) \neq v(z_1, \cdots, \varrho_j z_j, y)$. Therefore $u \neq v$. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $N = 4n + m$ with $n \geq 1$ and $m \in \{0, \cdots, 3\}$, for each $j = 1, \cdots, n$, let G_j be the closed subgroup of $O(N)$ and σ_j be the continuous homomorphism given by Lemma 4.2. The Lemma 4.1 tell us that there be σ_j -equivariant solution u_j to the problem (1.1). Lemma 4.2 shows that the solutions u_1, \cdots, u_n are pairwise distinct. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Emerson Abreu, Ezequiel Barbosa, and Joel Cruz Ramirez. Infinitely many sign-changing solutions of a critical fractional equation, 2019. arXiv:1909.05650.
- [2] Antonio Ambrosetti and Andrea Malchiodi. *Nonlinear Analysis and Semilinear Elliptic Problems*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.
- [3] Javier Bracho, Mónica Clapp, and Waclaw Marzantowicz. Symmetry breaking solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 26(1):189, September 2005.
- [4] Lorenzo Brasco and Enea Parini. The second eigenvalue of the fractional p-Laplacian. *Adv. Calc. Var.*, 9(4), January 2016.
- [5] Lorenzo Brasco and Ariel Salort. A note on homogeneous Sobolev spaces of fractional order. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 198(4):1295–1330, January 2019.
- [6] Lorenzo Brasco, Marco Squassina, and Yang Yang. Global compactness results for nonlocal problems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 11(3):391–424, 2018.
- [7] Eric A Carlen and Michael Loss. Extremals of functionals with competing symmetries. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 88(2):437 – 456, 1990.
- [8] Kung Ching Chang. *Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.
- [9] Wenxiong Chen, Congming Li, and Biao Ou. Classification of solutions for an integral equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59(3):330–343, 2006.
- [10] Mónica Clapp. Entire nodal solutions to the pure critical exponent problem arising from concentration. *J. Differential Equations*, 261(6):3042 – 3060, 2016.
- [11] Mónica Clapp and Alberto Saldaña. Entire nodal solutions to the critical Lane-Emden system. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 45(4):285–302, 2020.
- [12] Athanase Cotsiolis and Nikolaos K. Tavoularis. Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 295(1):225 – 236, 2004.
- [13] Juan Dávila, Manuel del Pino, and Yannick Sire. Nondegeneracy of the bubble in the critical case for nonlocal equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(11):3865–3870, July 2013.
- [14] Manuel del Pino, Monica Musso, Frank Pacard, and Angela Pistoia. Large energy entire solutions for the Yamabe equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 251(9):2568 – 2597, 2011.
- [15] Manuel Del Pino, Monica Musso, and Frank Packard. Torus action on S^n and sign changing solutions for conformally invariant equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, XII(1):209237, March 2013.
- [16] Weiyue Ding. On a conformally invariant elliptic equation on \mathbf{R}^n . *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 107(2):331–335, 1986.

- [17] Rupert L. Frank, Tianling Jin, and Jingang Xiong. Minimizers for the fractional Sobolev inequality on domains. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 57(2):43, 2018.
- [18] Rupert L. Frank and Elliott H. Lieb. Inversion positivity and the sharp HardyLittlewoodSobolev inequality. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(1):85–99, 2010.
- [19] Danilo Garrido and Monica Musso. Entire sign-changing solutions with finite energy to the fractional Yamabe equation. *Pacific J. Math.*, 283(1):85–114, June 2016.
- [20] Alexey Glazyrin. Covering a ball by smaller balls. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 62(4):781–787, May 2018.
- [21] Pierre Grisvard. *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*. SIAM, 2011.
- [22] Emmanuel Hebey and Michel Vaugon. Existence and multiplicity of nodal solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 119(2):298 – 318, 1994.
- [23] Nick Laskin. Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals. *Phys. Lett. A*, 268(4):298–305, 2000.
- [24] Nick Laskin. Fractional Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. E*, 66:056108, Nov 2002.
- [25] Nick Laskin. *Fractional Quantum Mechanics*. World Scientific, 2018.
- [26] Elliott H. Lieb. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math.*, 118(2):349–374, 1983.
- [27] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, Analysis. *GSM*, Vol. 14, Second Ed. 2001.
- [28] Maria Medina and Monica Musso. Doubling nodal solutions to the Yamabe equation in \mathbb{R}^n with maximal rank, arXiv: 2001.03548.
- [29] Maria Medina, Monica Musso, and Juncheng Wei. Desingularization of Clifford torus and nonradial solutions to the Yamabe problem with maximal rank. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 276(8):2470 – 2523, 2019.
- [30] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136(5):521 – 573, 2012.
- [31] Michael Struwe. *Variational Methods: Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 4 edition, 2008.
- [32] Luc Tartar. *An Introduction to Sobolev Spaces and Interpolation Spaces*. Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [33] Michel Willem. *Minimax Theorems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, 1997.

XINGDONG TANG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
 NANJING UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
 NANJING, 210044, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
E-mail address: `txd@nuist.edu.cn`

GUIXIANG XU
 LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION),
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
 BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
 BEIJING, 100875, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
E-mail address: `guixiang@bnu.edu.cn`

CHUNYAN ZHANG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
 NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
 NANJING, 210046, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
E-mail address: `chunyan7755@126.com`

JIHUI ZHANG
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
 NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
 NANJING, 210046, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.
E-mail address: `zhangjihui@njnu.edu.cn`