

THE EQUILIBRIUM SHAPE OF A PLANAR CRYSTAL IN A CONVEX COERCIVE BACKGROUND IS CONVEX

EMANUEL INDREI

ABSTRACT. A solution is given to a long-standing open problem posed by Almgren.

A surface tension is a convex positively 1-homogeneous

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty);$$

the surface energy of a set of finite perimeter $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \int_{\partial E^*} f(\nu_E) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1};$$

the potential energy of E is

$$\mathcal{G}(E) = \int_E g(x) dx;$$

and the free energy of E is

$$\mathcal{E}(E) = \mathcal{F}(E) + \mathcal{G}(E), \quad [\text{FM11, McC98}].$$

Theorem 0.1. *Suppose $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is coercive and convex. If $m \in (0, \infty)$, there exists an-up to translations & sets of measure zero—unique convex set which minimizes the free energy among sets with measure m .*

Proof. The first part is developed in \mathbb{R}^n (stability(m_0)): there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that for all $m \in (0, m_0)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $c_\epsilon > 0$, $a = a(\epsilon, m) \geq c_\epsilon m^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ such that if E_m is a minimizer, $E \subset B_R$, $|E| = |E_m|$, and

$$|\mathcal{E}(E_m) - \mathcal{E}(E)| < a,$$

there exists x_0 such that

$$\frac{|(E + x_0) \Delta E_m|}{|E_m|_1} < \epsilon$$

(if $g = 0$, $a(\epsilon, m) = Cm^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\epsilon^2$ for $C = C(n)$). If there exist $m_k \rightarrow 0^+$, E_{m_k}, E'_{m_k} , $\epsilon > 0$ such that (with $\omega(m_k) \rightarrow 0$, $c_k = \frac{1}{5}\omega(m_k)$, $m_k^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\omega(m_k) \geq a(\epsilon, m_k) \geq c_k m_k^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$)

$$|\mathcal{E}(E_{m_k}) - \mathcal{E}(E'_{m_k})| < a_k \leq m_k^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\omega(m_k) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\inf_{x_0} \frac{|(E'_{m_k} + x_0)\Delta E_{m_k}|}{|E_{m_k}|} \geq \epsilon > 0,$$

for $\gamma_k = (\frac{|K|}{m_k})^{1/n}$

$$\delta(\gamma_k E_{m_k}) \rightarrow 0;$$

$$|\mathcal{F}(E'_{m_k}) - \mathcal{F}(E_{m_k})| \leq \int_{E'_{m_k} \Delta E_{m_k}} g(x) dx + a_k,$$

and this yields

$$\delta(\gamma_k E'_{m_k}) \rightarrow 0;$$

let x_k, x'_k satisfy

$$\frac{|(\gamma_k E'_{m_k} + x'_k)\Delta K|}{|\gamma_k E_{m_k}|}, \frac{|(\gamma_k E_{m_k} + x_k)\Delta K|}{|\gamma_k E_{m_k}|} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, there exists k such that

$$\frac{|(E'_{m_k} + \frac{(x'_k - x_k)}{\gamma_k})\Delta E_{m_k}|}{|E_{m_k}|} < \epsilon.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \{m > 0 : E_m \text{ is convex, stability}(m)\}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sup \mathcal{A}_m;$$

the above implies

$$\mathcal{M} > 0.$$

The second part consists of: if $n = 2$ and $\mathcal{M} < \infty$, then $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ is convex.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}_m \ni m_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and let $R = R(\mathcal{M}) > 0$ satisfy $E_{m_k} \subset B_R$; there is a convex set $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that (up to a subsequence)

$$E_{m_k} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{M}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(E_{m_k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Note that for $|w_k E_{\mathcal{M}}| = |E_{m_k}|$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(E_{\mathcal{M}}) &= \liminf_k \mathcal{E}(w_k E_{\mathcal{M}}) \\ &\geq \liminf_k \mathcal{E}(E_{m_k}) = \mathcal{E}(T_{\mathcal{M}})\end{aligned}$$

this therefore implies the existence of a convex minimizer.

Next suppose

$$E = \cup_{i=1}^N A_i$$

is a non-convex minimizer with $|E| = \mathcal{M}$, A_i nonempty, closed, disjoint, convex. Then since $|A_i| = m_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\max_i m_i}$, $\max_i m_i < \mathcal{M}$, A_i is up to translations the unique minimizer for mass $m_i < \mathcal{M}$ since if not

$$\mathcal{E}(\cup_{i=1}^N E_{m_i}) < \mathcal{E}(E) = \sum_i \mathcal{E}(A_i)$$

& without loss $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_N$; if $N \geq 3$,

$$|\cup_{i=1}^2 A_i| = m_1 + m_2$$

$$\mathcal{E}(E_{m_1+m_2}) < \mathcal{E}(\cup_{i=1}^2 A_i)$$

and this contradicts

$$\mathcal{E}((E \setminus \cup_{i=1}^2 A_i) \cup E_{m_1+m_2}) < \mathcal{E}(E).$$

Thus $N = 2$;

next, let

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{5} \inf_x \frac{|(E+x)\Delta T_{\mathcal{M}}|}{|E|} > 0$$

and suppose $\gamma_k \rightarrow 1^-$, $|E_{m_k}| = |\gamma_k E|$, $a(\epsilon/2, m_k) \geq c_\epsilon m_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq c_\# = c_\#(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon) > 0$ for k large ($\text{stability}(m_k)$); this implies for all k large,

$$|\mathcal{E}(E_{m_k}) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma_k E)| \leq |\mathcal{E}(E_{m_k}) - \mathcal{E}(T_{\mathcal{M}})| + |\mathcal{E}(T_{\mathcal{M}}) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma_k E)| < c_\#.$$

Thus there exists x_k such that

$$\frac{|(E_{m_k} + x_k)\Delta \gamma_k E_{\mathcal{M}}|}{|E_{m_k}|} < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

$$\epsilon < \frac{|\gamma_k E \Delta (T_{\mathcal{M}} + x_k)|}{|E_{m_k}|} \leq \frac{|\gamma_k E \Delta (E_{m_k} + x_k)|}{|E_{m_k}|} + \frac{|E_{m_k} \Delta T_{\mathcal{M}}|}{|E_{m_k}|} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, minimizers with mass \mathcal{M} are (mod translations and sets of measure zero) unique.

The third part: there exists $\epsilon_a > 0$ such that for all $m < \mathcal{M} + \epsilon_a$, $\text{stability}(m)$ and E_m is convex are true.

If $m_k > \mathcal{M}$, E_{m_k} are not convex, and $m_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, let $R > 0$ satisfy $E_{m_k} \subset B_R$,

$$E_{m_k} = \cup_{i=1}^N A_{k,i},$$

$A_{k,i}$ convex and having disjoint closures; $A_i = \liminf_k A_{k,i}$ (in $L^1(B_R)$).

There exists $w(\mathcal{M}) > 0$ such that $|A_{k,i}| \geq w(\mathcal{M}) > 0$: if $|A_{k,i}| \rightarrow 0$, let $\inf_k |A_{k,i}| \geq w(N, \mathcal{M}) > 0$ (N is bounded; therefore such a constant exists (if $\epsilon_a > 0$ is small, $N = 2$)), $E_1 = A_{k,l}$, $E_2 = A_{k,i}$,

$$|hE_1| = |E_1| + |E_2|$$

$|E_2| = (h^2 - 1)|E_1| = \gamma r^2$, $r = \sqrt{h^2 - 1}$, $\gamma > 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{F}(E_2) \geq c\sqrt{h^2 - 1}$, and if $h > 1$ is sufficiently near 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}(hE_1) + \int_{hE_1} g \\ & \leq \mathcal{F}(E_1) + c_1(h - 1) + \int_{E_1} g + c_2|hE_1 \setminus E_1| + \mathcal{F}(E_2) + \int_{E_2} g - \mathcal{F}(E_2) - \int_{E_2} g \\ & \leq \mathcal{F}(E_1) + \mathcal{F}(E_2) + \int_{E_1} g + \int_{E_2} g + \hat{c}(h - 1) - c\sqrt{h^2 - 1} - \tilde{c}(h^2 - 1)|E_1| \\ & < \mathcal{F}(E_1) + \mathcal{F}(E_2) + \int_{E_1} g + \int_{E_2} g, \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_i |A_{k,i}| = m_k$$

$$\sum_i \mathcal{F}(A_{k,i}) = \mathcal{F}(E_{m_k}),$$

and $A = \cup_i A_i$ has $|A| = \mathcal{M}$;

therefore if $s_k > 0$, $|s_k E_{\mathcal{M}}| = |E_{m_k}|$, $s_k \rightarrow 1$,

$$\mathcal{E}(A) = \liminf_k \mathcal{E}(E_{m_k}) \leq \liminf_k \mathcal{E}(s_k E_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{E}(E_{\mathcal{M}})$$

$$\int_{A_{k_l,i}} g \rightarrow \int_{A_i} g;$$

this implies

$$\mathcal{F}(A) = \sum_i \mathcal{F}(A_i)$$

and that A is a minimizer, which contradicts that minimizers with mass \mathcal{M} are convex (since $|A_i| \geq w(\mathcal{M}) > 0$, $A = \cup_i A_i$). Hence there exists ϵ_a such that for all $m \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} + \epsilon_a)$, E_m is convex.

If there exists $\mathcal{M} + \epsilon_a > m_a \geq \mathcal{M}$ such that $\text{stability}(m_a)$ is false, there exist $m < m_a$, E_{a_i} , $|E_{a_i}| = |E_m|$, $\epsilon > 0$

$$|\mathcal{E}(E_{a_i}) - \mathcal{E}(E_m)| \leq a_i \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\inf_x \frac{|(E_{a_i} + x)\Delta E_m|}{|E_m|} \geq \epsilon.$$

Note that

$$\sup_i \mathcal{F}(E_{a_i}) < \infty$$

and there exists a subsequence $E_{a_{i,e}} \rightarrow E$ (i.e. $\chi_{E_{a_{i,e}}} \rightarrow \chi_E$ in $L^1(B_R)$); $|E| = \lim_e |E_{a_{i,e}}| = |E_m|$,

$$\mathcal{F}(E) + \int_E g \leq \liminf_e \left(\mathcal{F}(E_{a_{i,e}}) + \int_{E_{a_{i,e}}} g \right) = \mathcal{E}(E_m);$$

this contradicts

$$\frac{|(E_{a_{i,e}} + x)\Delta E_m|}{|E_m|} \geq \epsilon$$

(E_m is up to translations the unique minimizer). \square

Remark 0.2. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a = a(\epsilon, m) \geq c_\epsilon m^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$ such that if $E_m \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a minimizer, $|E| = |E_m|$, and

$$|\mathcal{E}(E_m) - \mathcal{E}(E)| < a,$$

there exists x_0 such that

$$\frac{|(E + x_0)\Delta E_m|}{|E_m|} < \epsilon.$$

There exists m_0 such that for all $m \in (0, m_0)$, $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a = a(\epsilon, m) \geq c_\epsilon m^{\frac{n-1}{n}} > 0$ such that if $E_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a minimizer, $|E| = |E_m|$, and

$$|\mathcal{E}(E_m) - \mathcal{E}(E)| < a,$$

there exists x_0 such that

$$\frac{|(E + x_0)\Delta E_m|}{|E_m|} < \epsilon.$$

Remark 0.3. The existence of convex minimizers was obtained in [GDP18].

Theorem 0.4. *Let $m > 0$, then there exists g convex such that there is no solution to*

$$\inf\{\mathcal{E}(E) : |E| = m\}.$$

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

If $-\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, $y \leq 0$,

$$g(x, y) = x^2(1 - y) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}y^2;$$

if $-\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, $y > 0$,

$$g(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{(1 + y)}.$$

One can extend g in $C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $v = (0, 1)$ and note that for $a > 0$ if a minimizer E_m exists,

$$\int_{E_m + av} g < \int_{E_m} g.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [FM11] A. Figalli and F. Maggi, *On the shape of liquid drops and crystals in the small mass regime*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **201** (2011), no. 1, 143–207. MR 2807136
- [GDP18] M. Goldman and G. De Philippis, *A two-point function approach to connectedness of drops in convex potentials*, arXiv (2018).
- [McC98] Robert J. McCann, *Equilibrium shapes for planar crystals in an external field*, Comm. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), no. 3, 699–723. MR 1641031

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA, USA.