arXiv:2008.02632v2 [math.RT] 8 Sep 2021

LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE FOR EVEN ORTHOGONAL GROUPS VIA THETA LIFTS

RUI CHEN AND JIALIANG ZOU

Abstract. Using theta correspondence, we obtain a classification of irreducible representations of an arbitrary even orthogonal group (i.e. the local Langlands correspondence) by deducing it from the local Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups due to Arthur. Moreover, we show that our classifications coincide with the local Langlands correspondence established by Arthur and formulated precisely by Atobe–Gan for quasi-split even orthogonal groups.

1. Introduction

In the monumental book [Art13], Arthur obtained a classification of irreducible representations of symplectic and quasi-split special orthogonal groups over local fields of characteristic 0 (the local Langlands correspondence), as well as a description of the automorphic discrete spectra of these groups over number fields (the Arthur's multiplicity formula). He proved these results by using the theory of endoscopy and the stable trace formula. Later in [AG17b, Desideratum 3.9], Atobe–Gan formulated precisely the local Langlands correspondence (LLC for short) for quasi-split even orthogonal groups and their pure inner forms (using Vogan L-packet [Vog93]). They also highlighted that Arthur's results will imply the LLC for quasi-split even orthogonal groups. Mœglin [Mœg11, §1.4 Theorem 1.4.1] and Mœglin–Renard [MR18] have partially extended Arthur's results to non-quasi-split even orthogonal groups, though we are not sure if all the statements in [AG17b, Desideratum 3.9] were verified in their work.

The main goal of this paper is to construct an LLC for all even orthogonal groups (not necessarily quasi-split), and hence to provide an alternative approach to the works of [Mœg11] and Mœglin–Renard [MR18]. More precisely, assuming the LLC for symplectic groups, we deduce the LLC for all pure inner forms of quasi-split even orthogonal groups by using results from theta correspondence. The LLC for symplectic groups is estabished by Arthur [Art13], with supplements by many others. But the experts may see that, to establish the LLC for symplectic groups, Arthur need to establish the LLC for quasi-split special orthogonal groups simultaneously, since they appear in the endoscopic groups for symplectic groups. Therefore, by assuming Arthur's LLC for symplectic groups, one actually implicitly assumes the LLC for quasi-split special orthogonal groups. Hence, comparing to Arthur's results, we deduce the LLC for non-quasi-split even orthogonal groups by assuming Arthur's LLC for symplectic groups and quasi-split special orthogonal groups. We

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F70 , 22E50 .

Key words and phrases. Local Langlands correspondence, even orthogonal groups, theta lifts.

prove that the LLC we construct satisfies all the properties in [AG17b, Desideratum 3.9]. We also prove the local intertwining relation stated in [AG17b, §3.7]. As in other instances where the LLC was shown using the theta correspondence (such as [GS12] and [GT11]), we do not show the (twisted) endoscopic character relations for the L-packets we constructed. To show that our L-packets satisfy the endoscopic character relations, one would need to appeal to the stable trace formula (or a simple form of it), as was done in [CG15] and [Luo20].

We would like to mention some related works. In [Ato18] and [AG17b], Atobe–Gan proved the so-called Prasad conjecture, which describes the almost equal rank theta correspondence in terms of the LLC. In this paper, we turn the table around; namely, imitating the prediction of Prasad conjecture, we construct a Vogan version LLC for even orthogonal groups. We also write a parallel paper [CZ20], in which we deal with the unitary group case. We write it separately to avoid making notation too complicated. In a sequel to this paper, with these LLC at hand, we shall investigate the Arthur's multiplicity formula for automorphic discrete spectra of even orthogonal and unitary groups.

This paper is organized as follows. First we recall some basic facts in representation theory and local theta correspondence in Sections 2 and 3. Then we formulate the main theorem 4.4 (i.e. the desired LLC) in Section 4, taking the chance to recall the results from Arthur [Art13] and Atobe–Gan [AG17b] that we will use. In Sections 5 and 6, we give our construction of the LLC and prove several properties of this construction. The local intertwining relation is stated and proved in Sections 7 and 8. In Section 9, we prove that the LLC we construct coincides with Arthur's LLC for quasi-split even orthogonal groups. Finally we finish the proof of the main theorem in Section 10. In Appendix A, following Atobe-Gan [AG17b], we deduce the weak LLC for arbitrary even special orthogonal groups from the LLC for even orthogonal groups. In Appendix B, we recall the definition of the Plancherel measure and prove a lemma on the normalized intertwining operator.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our supervisor Wee Teck Gan for useful advice. We would also thank Hiraku Atobe, Atsushi Ichino, Wen-Wei Li, and Sug Woo Shin for helpful conversations during the conference "Workshop on Shimura varieties, representation theory and related topics, 2019" in Hokkaido University. We thank Hiroshi Ishimoto, Caihua Luo, Xiaolei Wan, and Chuijia Wang for helpful discussions. Both authors are supported by an MOE Graduate Research Scholarship.

Notation

Let F be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic 0 and residue characteristic p. We denote by $|\cdot|_F$ the normalized absolute value of F. Let W_F be the Weil group of F. We fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F, and for $c \in F^\times$, we define an additive character ψ_c of F by

$$\psi_c(x) = \psi(cx) \quad \text{for } x \in F.$$

Note that any non-trivial additive character of F is of the form ψ_c for some $c \in F^\times$. Let $(\cdot,\cdot)_F$ denote the quadratic Hilbert symbol of F. If G is a linear algebraic group over F, we denote by $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of G, where we identify G with its group of F-valued points

$G(F)$. We also denote by $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ the subset of $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ consisting of tempered representations. For any representations π, the contragredient representation of π is denote by $\pi^\vee$. We denote by $\widehat{A}$ the Pontryagin dual of a finite abelian group A.

2. Orthogonal and Symplectic group

2.1. **Orthogonal space.** Let $V = V_{2m}$ be an orthogonal space of dimension $2m$ over F, i.e., a vector space equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : V \times V \to F.$$

We take a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ of V, and define the discriminant of V by

$$\mathrm{disc}(V) = (-1)^m \det((\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_V)_{i,j}) \in F^\times / F^{\times 2}.$$

Let

$$\chi_V = (\cdot, \mathrm{disc}(V))_F$$

be the character of $F^\times$ associated to $F(\sqrt{\mathrm{disc}(V)})$. We call χ_V the discriminant character of V.

Fix $(d, c) \in (F^\times)^2$. Let

$$V_{(d,c)} = F[X]/(X^2 - d)$$

be a 2-dimensional vector space equipped with a bilinear form

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{V_{(d,c)}} \coloneqq c \cdot \mathrm{tr}(\alpha \overline{\beta}),$$

where $\beta \mapsto \overline{\beta}$ is the involution on $F[X]/\left(X^2 - d\right)$ induced by $a + bX \mapsto a - bX$. This involution is regarded as an element $\epsilon \in \mathrm{O}\left(V_{(d,c)}\right)$. The images of $1, X \in F[X]$ in $V_{(d,c)}$ are denoted by e, e', respectively. For $m > 1$, we say that V_{2m} is associated to (d, c) if

$$V_{2m} \cong V_{(d,c)} \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-1} \tag{2.1}$$

as orthogonal spaces, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the (orthogonal) hyperbolic plane, i.e., $\mathbb{H} = Fv_i + Fv_i^*$ with

$$\langle v_i, v_i \rangle_V = \langle v_i^*, v_i^* \rangle_V = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle v_i, v_i^* \rangle_V = \langle v_i^*, v_i \rangle_V = 1.$$

Note that if V_{2m} is associated to (d, c), then $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}) = d \bmod F^{\times 2}$. Moreover,

$$V_{(d,c)} \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-1} \cong V_{(d',c')} \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-1}$$

as orthogonal spaces if and only if

$$d \equiv d' \bmod F^{\times 2} \quad \text{and} \quad c \equiv c' \bmod N_{E/F}\left(E^\times\right),$$

where $E = F(\sqrt{d}) = F(\sqrt{d'})$.

For a fixed pair $(d, c) \in (F^\times)^2$, we denote by V_{2m}^+ the orthogonal space associated to (d, c) and V_{2m}^- the orthogonal space such that

$$\dim(V_{2m}^+) = \dim(V_{2m}^-) = 2m \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}^+) = \mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}^-) = d,$$

but $V_{2m}^+ \ncong V_{2m}^-$ as orthogonal spaces. Such V_{2m}^- exists uniquely up to isomorphism unless $m = 1$ and $d \in F^{\times 2}$. When $d \notin F^{\times 2}$, it is given so that V_{2m}^- is the orthogonal space associated to (d, c') with $c' \notin cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$, where $E = F(\sqrt{d})$. When $d \in F^{\times 2}$ and $m > 1$, it is given by $V_{2m}^- = D \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-2}$, where D is the unique quaternion algebra over F, which can be regarded as an orthogonal space with a bilinear form

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \tau\left(\alpha \beta^\iota\right),$$

where τ is the reduced trace and $\beta \mapsto \beta^\iota$ is the main involution. The orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(V_{2m})$ associated to V_{2m} is defined by

$$\mathrm{O}(V_{2m}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V_{2m}) | \langle gv, gv' \rangle_V = \langle v, v' \rangle_V \text{ for all } v, v' \in V_{2m}\},$$

and the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m})$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m}) = \{g \in \mathrm{O}(V_{2m}) | \det g = 1\}.$$

The orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(V_{2m})$ and the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m})$ are quasi-split if and only if V_{2m} is associated to (d, c) for some $d, c \in F^\times$.

2.2. **Symplectic space.** Let $W = W_{2n}$ be a symplectic space of dimension $2n$ over F, i.e., a vector space equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W : W \times W \to F.$$

The symplectic space is always split, i.e.,

$$W_{2n} \cong \mathbb{H}^n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbb{H} = Fw_i + Fw_i^*$ is the (symplectic) hyperbolic plane with

$$\langle w_i, w_i \rangle_W = \langle w_i^*, w_i^* \rangle_W = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w_i, w_i^* \rangle_W = -\langle w_i^*, w_i \rangle_W = 1.$$

The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ associated to W is defined by

$$\mathrm{Sp}(W) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(W) | \langle gw, gw' \rangle_W = \langle w, w' \rangle_W \text{ for all } w, w' \in W\}.$$

2.3. **Parabolic subgroups.** Let $V = V_{2m}$ be an orthogonal space of dimension $2m$ over F. Let r be the Witt index of V and V_{an} be the anisotropic kernel of V. Choose a basis $\{v_i, v_i^* | i = 1, \cdots, r\}$ of the orthogonal complement of V_{an} such that

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle_V = \langle v_i^*, v_j^* \rangle_V = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle v_i, v_j^* \rangle_V = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i, j \le r.$$

Let k be a positive integer with $k \le r$ and set

$$X = X_k = Fv_1 + \cdots + Fv_k \quad \text{and} \quad X^\vee = X_k^\vee = Fv_1^* + \cdots + Fv_k^*.$$

Let V_0 be the orthogonal complement of $X \oplus X^\vee$ in V so that V_0 is an orthogonal space of dimension $2m_0 = 2m - 2k$ over F. We shall write an element in $\mathrm{O}(V)$ as a block matrix relative to the decomposition $V = X \oplus V_0 \oplus X^\vee$. Let $P = P_k = M_P U_P$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ stabilizing X, where M_P is the Levi component of P stabilizing $X^\vee$. We have

$$M_P = \{m_P(a) \cdot h_0 | a \in \mathrm{GL}(X), h_0 \in \mathrm{O}(V_0)\},$$
$$U_P = \{u_P(b) \cdot u_P(c) | b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, X), c \in \mathrm{Sym}(X^\vee, X)\},$$

where

$$m_P(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & \mathbf{1}_{V_0} & \\ & & (a^*)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$u_P(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_X & b & -\frac{1}{2}bb^* \\ & \mathbf{1}_{V_0} & -b^* \\ & & \mathbf{1}_{X^\vee} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$u_P(c) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_X & & c \\ & \mathbf{1}_{V_0} & \\ & & \mathbf{1}_{X^\vee} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sym}\left(X^\vee, X\right) = \left\{c \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(X^\vee, X\right) | c^* = -c\right\}.$$

Here, the elements $a^* \in \mathrm{GL}\left(X^\vee\right), b^* \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(X^\vee, V\right)$, and $c^* \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(X^\vee, X\right)$ are defined by requiring that

$$\langle ax, x'\rangle_V = \langle x, a^* x'\rangle_V, \quad \langle bv, x'\rangle_V = \langle v, b^* x'\rangle_V, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle cx', x''\rangle_V = \langle x', c^* x''\rangle_V$$

for $x \in X, x', x'' \in X^\vee$ and $v \in V_0$. In particular, $M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}(X) \times \mathrm{O}(V_0)$. Put

$$\rho_P = \frac{2m_0 + k - 1}{2}, \quad w_P = \begin{pmatrix} & & -I_X \\ & \mathbf{1}_{V_0} & \\ -I_X^{-1} & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I_X \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(X^\vee, X\right)$ is defined by $I_X v_i^* = v_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Then the modular character δ_P of P is given by

$$\delta_P\left(m_P(a) h_0 u_P\right) = |\det(a)|_F^{2\rho_P}$$

for $a \in \mathrm{GL}(X), h \in \mathrm{O}(V_0)$ and $u_P \in U_P$.

Similarly, let $W = W_{2n}$ be a $2n$ dimensional symplectic space and k be a positive integer with $k \le n$. Write $W \cong \mathbb{H}^n$ as in Subsection 2.2 and set

$$Y = Y_k = Fw_1 + \cdots + Fw_k \quad \text{and} \quad Y^\vee = Y_k^\vee = Fw_1^* + \cdots + Fw_k^*.$$

Let W_0 be the orthogonal complement of $Y \oplus Y^\vee$ in W so that W_0 is a symplectic space of dimensional $2n_0 = 2n - 2k$ over F. We define $Q = M_Q U_Q, m_Q(a), u_Q(b), u_Q(c), \mathrm{Sym}\left(Y^\vee, Y\right)$ and I_Y as above. We put

$$\rho_Q = \frac{2n_0 + k + 1}{2}, \quad w_Q = \begin{pmatrix} & & -I_Y \\ & \mathbf{1}_{W_0} & \\ I_Y^{-1} & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the modular character δ_Q of Q is given by

$$\delta_Q\left(m_Q(a) g_0 u_Q\right) = |\det(a)|_F^{2\rho_Q}$$

for $a \in \mathrm{GL}(Y), g_0 \in \mathrm{Sp}(W_0)$ and $u_Q \in U_Q$.

3. Theta correspondence

In this section, we recall some general results on the local theta correspondence for the reductive dual pair $(\mathrm{O}(V), \mathrm{Sp}(W))$.

3.1. **Weil representation and local theta correspondence.** We fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of F. Let $V = V_{2m}$ be a $2m$-dimensional orthogonal space over F and $W = W_{2n}$ be a $2n$-dimensional symplectic space over F. We denote the Weil representation of $\mathrm{O}(V) \times Sp(W)$ by $\omega = \omega_{V,W,\psi}$; see [Kud94] for a detailed description. For $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$, the maximal π-isotypic quotient of ω is of the form

$$\pi \boxtimes \Theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi),$$

where $\Theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)$ is a finite length smooth representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. Let $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)$ be the maximal semisimple quotient of $\Theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)$. The Howe duality conjecture, which was proved by Waldspurger [Wal90] when the residue characteristic is not 2 and by Gan-Takeda [GT16], Gan-Sun [GS17] in general, says that

- if $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)$ is non-zero, then it is irreducible;
- If $\pi_1 \ncong \pi_2$ and both $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi_1)$ and $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi_2)$ are nonzero, then $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi_1) \ncong \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi_2)$.

Similarly, for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W))$, We obtain smooth finite length representations $\Theta_{V,W,\psi}(\sigma)$ and $\theta_{V,W,\psi}(\sigma)$ of $\mathrm{O}(V)$.

In the next two subsections, we will recall some general properties of the local theta correspondence.

3.2. **First occurrence and tower property.** Let V_{2m_0} be an anisotropic orthogonal space over F. For any $r \geq 0$, we put

$$V_{2m_0+2r} = V_{2m_0} \oplus \mathbb{H}^r,$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ is the (orthogonal) hyperbolic plane. The collection

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_{2m_0+2r} | r \geq 0\}$$

is called the Witt tower of orthogonal spaces associated to V_{2m_0}. One can consider a tower of the theta correspondence associated to reductive dual pairs $\{(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}), \mathrm{O}(V_{2m}))|V_{2m} \in \mathcal{V}\}$. For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and a Witt tower $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{2m_0+2r}|r \geq 0\}$, we define

$$m_{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma) = \min\{2m|\Theta_{V_{2m},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0\}. \tag{3.1}$$

By [MVW87, P.67], $m_{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma)$ is finite.

On the other hand, every symplectic space W_{2n} of dimension $2n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^n$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the (symplectic) hyperbolic plane. The collection

$$\mathcal{W} = \{W_{2r}|r \geq 0\}$$

is called a Witt tower of symplectic spaces. One can also consider a tower of the theta correspondence associated to reductive dual pairs $\{(\mathrm{O}(V_{2m}), \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))|W_{2n} \in \mathcal{W}\}$. For $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2m}))$ and a Witt tower $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{2r}|r \geq 0\}$, we define

$$m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi) = \min\{2n|\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2m},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0\}. \tag{3.2}$$

By [MVW87, P.67], $m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi)$ is also finite.

The following proposition is often referred to as the tower property of theta correspondence; see [Kud86].

Proposition 3.1. *For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and a Witt tower $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{2m_0+2r}|r \geq 0\}$ (resp. $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2m}))$ and a Witt tower $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{2r}|r \geq 0\}$), let $m_{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma)$ (resp. $m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi)$) be as in (3.1) (resp. (3.2)). Then we have*

$$\Theta_{V_{2m},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0 \text{ if } 2m \geq m_{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma) \quad (\text{resp. } \Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2m},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0 \text{ if } 2n \geq m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi)).$$

Note that any two spaces in the same Witt tower have the same discriminant. We define the discriminant of a Witt tower to be $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{disc}(V_{2m})$ for a (hence any) V_{2m} belonging to $\mathcal{V}$. For a fixed $d \in F^\times/F^{\times 2}$, there are two different Witt towers $\mathcal{V}^+ = \{V_{2m}^+\}$ and $\mathcal{V}^- = \{V_{2m}^-\}$ such that $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{V}^+) = \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{V}^-) = d$. More explicitly, for any $c \in F^\times$, we write

$$\mathcal{V}^+ = \{V_{(d,c)} \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-1}|m \geq 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}^- = \begin{cases} \{D \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-2}|m \geq 2\} & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}) = 1 \in F^{\times 2}, \\ \{V_{(d,c')} \oplus \mathbb{H}^{m-1}|m \geq 1\} \ (c' \notin cN_{E/F}(E^\times)) & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(V_{2m}) = d \notin F^{\times 2}. \end{cases}$$

For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, we have $m_{\mathcal{V}^+}(\sigma)$ and $m_{\mathcal{V}^-}(\sigma)$ as defined in (3.1).

On the other hand, there is only a single tower of symplectic spaces $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{2n}\}$. However, since π is a representation of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(V_{2m})$, we may consider its determinant twist $\pi \otimes \det$. Thus we have two towers of theta lifts

$$\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2m},\psi}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2m},\psi}(\pi \otimes \det)$$

for $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2m}))$. Similarly, we have $m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi)$ and $m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi \otimes \det)$.

The following theorem is often referred to as the conservation relation; see [SZ15].

Theorem 3.2. *For a fixed $d \in F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ and any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ (resp. $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2m}))$, we have*

$$m_{\mathcal{V}^+}(\sigma) + m_{\mathcal{V}^-}(\sigma) = 4n+4 \quad (\textit{resp. } m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi) + m_{\mathcal{W}}(\pi \otimes \det) = 4m),$$

where $\mathcal{V}^+$ and $\mathcal{V}^-$ are two Witt towers defined above such that $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{V}^+) = \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{V}^-) = d$.

3.3. **Collection of some results.** We collect some results from [GI14] which will be frequently used in this paper. We emphasize that the proofs of these results are independent of the local Langlands correspondence.

Lemma 3.3. *(1) Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$.*

- *(i) If $\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then $\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$;*
- *(ii) If $\Theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then $\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2})$.*

(2) Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$.

- *(i) If $\Theta_{V_{2n+2},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$, then $\Theta_{V_{2n+2},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) = \theta_{V_{2n+2},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2})$;*
- *(ii) If $\Theta_{V_{2n},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$, then $\theta_{V_{2n},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$.*

Proof. These follow from [GI14, Proposition C.4]. □

Lemma 3.4. *(1) Let π be an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$.*

- *(i) If $\sigma = \Theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then σ is an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2})$ and $\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ such that*

$$\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\chi_V \otimes \sigma),$$

where Q is the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ with Levi component

$$M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2}).$$

In this case, $\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is not a discrete series representation.

- *(ii) If $\Theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) = 0$, then $\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is either zero or an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$.*

(2) Let σ be an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $V_{2n+2} = V_{2n} \oplus \mathbb{H}$.

- *(i) If $\pi = \Theta_{V_{2n},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$, then π is an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$ and $\Theta_{V_{2n+2},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2})$ such that*

$$\Theta_{V_{2n+2},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2})}(1 \otimes \pi),$$

where P is the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2})$ with Levi component

$$M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(F) \times \mathrm{O}(V_{2n}).$$

In this case, $\Theta_{V'_{2n+2}W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) = \theta_{V'_{2n+2}W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is not a discrete series representation.

(ii) *If $\Theta_{V_{2n},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) = 0$, then $\Theta_{V_{2n+2},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is either zero or an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n+2})$.*

Proof. See [GI14, Corollary C.3]. □

Next we give a generalization of [GI14, Lemma C.4]. Put

$$V_{2n} = X_k \oplus V_{2n_0} \oplus X_k^\vee, \quad W_{2n} = Y_k \oplus W_{2n_0} \oplus Y_k^\vee$$

as in Subsection 2.3. Let $P = P_k = M_P U_P$ and $Q = Q_k = M_Q U_Q$ be the parabolic subgroups defined in Subsection 2.3 such that

$$M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}(X_k) \times \mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0}), \quad M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y_k) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}).$$

Then we have

Lemma 3.5. *Let π be an irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$, where τ is an irreducible discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X_k)$ and π_0 is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})$. Then we have*

$$\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \theta_{W_{2n_0},V_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0)).$$

Hence $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is either zero or an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. In particular, $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ is zero if $\theta_{W_{2n_0},V_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0)$ is zero.

Proof. In [GI14, Lemma C.4], they prove this when π_0 is a discrete series representation. Their proof can be easily extended to our case. We recall the proof here.

We denote by ω and ω_{00} the Weil representations of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0})$ respectively. Since $\pi \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)^\vee &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})}(\omega, \pi)\\ &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})}(\omega, \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0))\\ &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})}(R_P(\omega), \tau \otimes \pi_0),\end{aligned}$$

where $R_P(\omega)$ is the normalized Jacquet module of ω with respect to the parabolic P of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$. The normalized Jacquet module has been computed by Kudla [Kud86]. More precisely, there is an $M_P \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$-invariant filtration

$$R_P(\omega) = R^0 \supset R^1 \supset \cdots \supset R^t \supset R^{k+1}$$

with successive quotients $J^a := R^a/R^{a+1}$ $(0 \le a \le k)$. The reader can consult [Kud86] and [AG17a, Lemma 5.1] for an explicit formula for J_a $(0 \le a \le k)$. Here we only recall the formula for J_k:

$$J^k \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})\times Q}^{\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})\times\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\mathscr{S}(\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k, X_k)) \otimes \omega_{00}),$$

where

- $\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k, X_k)$ is the set of invertible linear maps from Y_k to X_k and $\mathscr{S}(\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k, X_k))$ is the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions on $\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k, X_k)$;
- the action of $\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})\times\mathrm{GL}(Y_k)\times\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0})$ on $\mathscr{S}(\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k, X_k))\otimes\omega_{00}$ is given by

– $\mathrm{GL}(X_k) \times \mathrm{GL}(Y_k)$ acts on $\mathscr{S}(\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k, X_k))$ by
$$((g,h)\cdot f)(x) = \chi_V(h)\cdot f(g^{-1}\circ x\circ h)$$
for $(g,h)\in \mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{GL}(Y_k)$, $f\in\mathscr{S}(\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k,X_k))$ and $x\in\mathrm{Isom}(Y_k,X_k)$.
– $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})\times\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0})$ acts by the Weil representation ω_{00}.

By the same argument as [GI14, Lemma C.4], we deduce
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})}(J^a, \tau\otimes\pi_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0\le a<k.$$
This implies
$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)^\vee &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})}(R_P(\omega), \tau\otimes\pi_0)\\ &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}(X_k)\times\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0})}(J^k, \tau\otimes\pi_0)\\ &\cong \left(\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau^\vee\chi_V\otimes\Theta_{V_{2n_0},W_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0))\right)^\vee.\end{aligned}$$
Take the contragredient functor, we get an epimorphism
$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau^\vee\chi_V\otimes\Theta_{V_{2n_0},W_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0)) \twoheadrightarrow \Theta_{V_{2n},W_{2n},\psi}(\pi).$$
It follows from Lemma 3.3 that
$$\Theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \quad\text{and}\quad \Theta_{W_{2n_0},V_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0) = \theta_{W_{2n_0},V_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0).$$
Apply both the contragredient functor and the MVW functor [AG17a, Lemma 2.2], we get
$$\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau\chi_V\otimes\theta_{W_{2n_0},V_{2n_0},\psi}(\pi_0)).$$
This finishes the proof. □

For $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$, $\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, and χ a character of $F^\times$, let $\gamma(s,\pi,\chi,\psi)$ and $\gamma(s,\sigma,\chi,\psi)$ be the standard γ-factors defined by Lapid-Rallis [LR05] using doubling method; see also [GI14, §10,§11]. The following lemma describes how the standard γ-factors behave under the theta correspondence.

Lemma 3.6. *Let V_{2n} be a $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space and χ_V be the discriminant character of V_{2n}. For any $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$, we have*

(i) If $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)\neq 0$, then
$$\frac{\gamma(s,\sigma,\chi,\psi)}{\gamma(s,\pi,\chi\chi_V,\psi)} = \gamma(s,\chi\chi_V,\psi),$$
where $\gamma(s,\chi\chi_V,\psi)$ is Tate's γ-factor.

(ii) If $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n},,\psi}(\pi)\neq 0$, then
$$\frac{\gamma(s,\pi,\chi\chi_V,\psi)}{\gamma(s,\sigma,\chi,\psi)} = \gamma(s,\chi\chi_V,\psi),$$
where $\gamma(s,\chi\chi_V,\psi)$ is Tate's γ-factor.

Proof. See [GI14, Theorem 11.5]. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let V_{2n} be a $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space and χ_V be the discriminant character of V_{2n}. For any $\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, if*
$$\Theta_{V_{2n},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)\neq 0,$$
then $\gamma(s,\sigma,\chi_V,\psi)$ has a pole at $s=1$.

Proof. See [GI14, Proposition 11.2]. □

Next we describe the behaviour of another representation theoretic invariant, called the Plancherel measure, under the theta correspondence. We denote by $\mu_\psi(\tau\otimes\pi)$ (resp. $\mu_\psi(\tau\otimes\sigma)$) the Plancherel measure associated to $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ (resp. $\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$) and $\tau\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$; see Appendix B for a definition.

Lemma 3.8. *(i) Let π and σ be irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ respectively, such that $\sigma=\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$. Let τ be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ and put $\tau_s=\tau|\cdot|_F^s$ for $s\in\mathbb{C}$. Then we have:*

$$\frac{\mu_\psi(\tau_s\chi_V\otimes\sigma)}{\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi)}=\gamma(s,\tau,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1}).$$

(ii) Let π and σ be irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2})$ respectively, such that $\sigma=\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$. Let τ be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ and put $\tau_s=\tau|\cdot|_F^s$ for $s\in\mathbb{C}$. Then we have:

$$\frac{\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi)}{\mu_\psi(\tau_s\chi_V\otimes\sigma)}=\gamma(s,\tau,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1}).$$

Proof. See [GI14, Theorem 12.1]. □

4. Local Langlands correspondence

In this section, we state the local Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups and even orthogonal groups. We mainly follow [AG17b, §3].

4.1. **L-parameters.** Let W_F be the Weil group of F and $WD_F=W_F\times\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the Weil-Deligne group of F. We say that a homomorphism $\phi:WD_F\to\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a representation of WD_F if

- $\phi(\mathrm{Frob}_F)$ is semi-simple, where Frob_F is a geometric Frobenius element in W_F;
- the restriction of ϕ to W_F is smooth;
- the restriction of ϕ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic.

We call ϕ tempered if the image of W_F is bounded. We say that ϕ is orthogonal if there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form $B:\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} B(\phi(w)x,\phi(w)y)=B(x,y),\\ B(y,x)=B(x,y)\end{cases}$$

for $x,y\in\mathbb{C}^n$ and $w\in WD_F$. In this case, ϕ is self-dual, i.e., ϕ is equivalent to its contragredient $\phi^\vee$.

Suppose that ϕ is orthogonal. We may decompose it as follows

$$\phi=m_1\phi_1+\cdots+m_l\phi_l+\varphi+\varphi^\vee, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_l$ are pairwise distinct irreducible orthogonal representations of WD_F and φ is a sum of irreducible representations of WD_F which are not orthogonal. We say that a representation ϕ is discrete if $m_i=1$ for any $i=1,\dots,l$ and $\varphi=0$.

Let ϕ be an orthogonal representation of WD_F with an invariant non-degenerate bilinear form B. We denote the space of ϕ by M. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)$ be the group of elements in $\mathrm{GL}(M)$ which centralize the image of ϕ and preserve B. Also we put

$\mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)^+ = \mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)\cap \mathrm{SL}(M)$. We define the component groups $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{S}_\phi^+$ of ϕ by

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi = \mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)/\mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_\phi^+ = \mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)^+/\left(\mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)^\circ \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)^+\right),$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)^\circ$ is the identity component of $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)$. More explicitly, write $\phi = m_1\phi_1 + \cdots + m_l\phi_l + \varphi + \varphi^\vee$ as in (4.1). Then

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_i \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^l,$$

where a_i corresponds to ϕ_i. The determinant map $\det : \mathrm{GL}(M) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ induces a homomorphism

$$\det : \mathcal{S}_\phi \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l} \varepsilon_i a_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{l} \varepsilon_i \cdot \dim(\phi_i), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\varepsilon_i \in \{0,1\} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $\mathcal{S}_\phi^+ = \ker(\det)$. Put

$$z_\phi \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \cdot a_i \in \mathcal{S}_\phi,$$

which is the image of $-\mathbf{1} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\phi,B)$ in $\mathcal{S}_\phi$. We call it the central element in $\mathcal{S}_\phi$. Also we put $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\phi = \mathcal{S}_\phi/\langle z_\phi\rangle$. For $a = a_{i_1} + \cdots + a_{i_k} \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$ with $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le l$, we put

$$\phi^a = \phi_{i_1} + \cdots + \phi_{i_k}.$$

By [GGP12, §4], for each $c \in F^\times$, we define a character $\eta_{\phi,c}$ of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ by

$$\eta_{\phi,c}(a) = \det(\phi^a)(c). \tag{4.3}$$

Also let

$$\upsilon : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

be the natural embedding of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{C}^\times$. We define a character $\kappa_\phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$ by composing the map det in (4.2) with υ, i.e.

$$\kappa_\phi(a) = \upsilon \circ \det(a) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{S}_\phi. \tag{4.4}$$

Next we define the L-parameters for $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. For $G = \mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, following [GGP12, §8] and [AG17b, §3], we define

$$\Phi(G) = \begin{cases} \{\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to \mathrm{O}(2n,\mathbb{C}) |\, \det(\phi) = \chi_V\}/(\mathrm{O}(2n,\mathbb{C})\text{-conjugacy}) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{O}(V_{2n}); \\ \{\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to \mathrm{SO}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})\}/(\mathrm{SO}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})\text{-conjugacy}) & \text{if } G = \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}). \end{cases}$$

We call an element $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ an L-parameter for G. When $G = \mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$, we may view $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ as a $2n$-dimensional orthogonal representation of WD_F by composing ϕ with the standard embedding $\mathrm{O}(2n,\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, when $G = \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, we may view $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ as a $2n+1$-dimensional orthogonal representation of WD_F. For $G = \mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, we denote the subset of $\Phi(G)$ consisting of tempered (resp. discrete) representations by $\Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G)$ (resp. $\Phi_{\mathrm{disc}}(G)$). Then we have a sequence

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{disc}}(G) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G) \subseteq \Phi(G).$$

When $G = \mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$, we define $\Phi^\epsilon(G)$ to be the subset of $\Phi(G)$ consisting of ϕ which contains an irreducible orthogonal subrepresentation of WD_F of odd dimension.

For a representation ϕ of WD_F, we define the local factors $L(s,\phi)$, $\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi)$ and $\gamma(s,\phi,\psi)$ as in [Tat79]. Note that the ε-factor and γ-factor depend on the choice of the additive character ψ while the L-factor does not.

The following lemma in [GS12, Lemma 12.3] and [GI16, Lemma A.6] will be used later.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be two tempered orthogonal representations of WD_F of the same dimension. Assume that*

$$\gamma\left(s,\phi_1\otimes\phi_\rho,\psi\right)\cdot\gamma\left(-s,\phi_1\otimes\phi_\rho^\vee,\psi_{-1}\right)=\gamma\left(s,\phi_2\otimes\phi_\rho,\psi\right)\cdot\gamma\left(-s,\phi_2\otimes\phi_\rho^\vee,\psi_{-1}\right)$$

for every irreducible representation ϕ_ρ of WD_F. Then

$$\phi_1\cong\phi_2$$

as representations of WD_F.

4.2. **Whittaker data.** In order to describe the (Vogan version) LLC for symplectic and even orthogonal groups, we need to choose Whittaker data on these groups. Firstly we describe the Whittaker datum for symplectic groups. Let W_{2n} be a symplectic space of dimension $2n$. In this case, a Whittaker datum of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ is a conjugacy class of the pair $\mathfrak{W}'=(B',\mu')$, where $B'=T'U'$ is an F-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and μ is a generic character of U. Write $W_{2n}=\mathbb{H}^n$ as in (2.2). Let $B'=T'U'$ be the F-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ stabilizing the complete flag

$$0\subset\langle w_1\rangle\subset\langle w_1,w_2\rangle\subset\cdots\subset\langle w_1,\dots,w_n\rangle=Y_n,$$

where T' is the F-split torus stabilizing the lines Fw_i for $i=1,\dots,n$. For $c\in F^\times$, we define a generic character μ_c' of U' by

$$\mu_c'(u)=\psi\left(\langle uw_2,w_1^*\rangle_W+\cdots+\left\langle uw_n,w_{n-1}^*\right\rangle_W+c\left\langle uw_n^*,w_n^*\right\rangle_W\right).$$

By [GGP12] [§12] the map $c\mapsto\mu_c'$ gives a bijection (depending on the choice of ψ)

$$F^\times/F^{\times2}\longleftrightarrow\{T'\text{-orbits of generic characters of }U'\}.$$

For $c\in F^\times$, we define a Whittaker datum of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ by $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}=(B',\mu_c')$. Note that $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}$ depends on the choice of ψ. More precisely, for any $a\in F^\times$, it is easy to check that

$$\mathfrak{W}'_{(\psi_a,c)}=\mathfrak{W}'_{(\psi,ac)}. \tag{4.5}$$

We say that $\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ is $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}$-generic if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U'}(\sigma,\mu_c')\neq0.$$

Next we describe the Whittaker datum for even orthogonal groups. In this case, the Whittaker datum is not only associated to the group $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$, but rather the orthogonal space V_{2n} is a part of the Whittaker datum. This is precisely defined in [AG17b, §2.2]. For convenience of the reader, we briefly recall it here. Fix $d\in F^\times/F^{\times2}$, a Whittaker datum is an equivalence class of the 4-tuple (V_{2n},B_0,T,μ), where

- V_{2n} is an orthogonal space with discriminant $\mathrm{disc}(V_{2n})=d\mod F^{\times2}$;
- B be the F-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$;
- T is a maximal F-torus contained in B;
- μ is a generic character of U, where U is the unipotent radical of B.

The equivalence relation is defined in [AG17b, §2.2]. For any $c \in F^\times$, let V_{2n} be the orthogonal space associate to (d, c). Write $V_{2n} \cong V_{(d,c)} \oplus \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ as in (2.1). We denote by $B = TU$ the F-rational Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ stabilizing the complete flag

$$0 \subset \langle v_1 \rangle \subset \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} \rangle = X_{n-1},$$

where T is the F-torus contained in B stabilizing the lines Fv_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We define a generic character μ_c of U by

$$\mu_c(u) = \psi\left(\langle uv_2, v_1^* \rangle_V + \cdots + \langle uv_{n-1}, v_{n-2}^* \rangle_V + \langle ue, v_{n-1}^* \rangle_V\right).$$

By [AG17b, Proposition 2.1], the map $c \mapsto (V_{2n}, B, T, \mu_c)$ gives a bijection (not depending on the choice of ψ)

$$F^\times / F^{\times 2} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{equivalence classes of tuples } (V_{2n}, B, T, \mu) \text{ with } \mathrm{disc}(V_{2n}) = d\}.$$

For $c \in F^\times$, we define a Whittaker datum of even orthogonal groups by $\mathfrak{W}_c = (V_{2n}, B, T, \mu_c)$. Next we define the notion of generic representation for $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$. We identify $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{(d,c)}\right)$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{2n}\right)$ which fixes $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$. Via the canonical embedding $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{(d,c)}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(V_{2n}\right)$, we regard ϵ as an element in $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{2n}\right)$. Note that ϵ normalizes U and fixes μ_c, so we can extend μ_c to $\widetilde{U} = U \rtimes \langle \epsilon \rangle$. There are exactly two such extensions $\mu_c^\pm : \widetilde{U} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ which are determined by

$$\mu_c^\pm(\epsilon) = \pm 1.$$

As in [AG17b, §2.2], we say that $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ is $\mathfrak{W}_c^\pm$-generic if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U}}(\pi, \mu_c^\pm) \neq 0.$$

It is easy to check that π is $\mathfrak{W}_c^+$ generic if and only if $\pi \otimes \det$ is $\mathfrak{W}_c^-$-generic.

4.3. **Local Langlands correspondence for symplectic groups.** We first describe the LLC for symplectic groups. This was proved by Arthur [Art13], with supplements by many others.

Theorem 4.2. *Let W_{2n} be a $2n$-dimensional symplectic space.*

(1). There exists a surjective map

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})\right) \longrightarrow \Phi(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})),$$

which is finite-to-one. For any $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, we denote $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\phi)$ by Π_ϕ and call it the L-packet of ϕ.

(2). For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, there is a canonical map

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}} : \Pi_\phi \longrightarrow \widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\phi}. \tag{4.6}$$

We write $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\phi, \eta)$ if $\sigma \in \Pi_\phi$ corresponds to $\eta \in \widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\phi}$ under $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}$.

(3). Assume that ϕ is a tempered L-parameter of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. Then for each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$, there is a unique $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}$-generic representation σ in Π_ϕ, which corresponds to the trivial character of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ under $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}$.

(4). Let $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_1}$ and $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_2}$ be two Whittaker data of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. Then for any $\sigma \in \Pi_\phi$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_2}}(\sigma) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_1}}(\sigma) \otimes \eta_{\phi,c_2/c_1},$$

where $\eta_{\phi,c_2/c_1}$ is defined in (4.3).

(5). *The map $\mathcal{L}$ preserves temperedness and discreteness, i.e., $\sigma \in \Pi_\phi$ is a tempered representation if and only if ϕ is a tempered parameter and $\sigma \in \Pi_\phi$ is a discrete series representation if and only if ϕ is a discrete parameter.*

(6). ***(Local intertwining relation)*** *Assume that $\phi = \phi_\tau + \phi_0 + \phi_\tau^\vee$, where ϕ_0 is an element in $\Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}))$ and ϕ_τ is an irreducible tempered representation of WD_F corresponding to $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$, so there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\phi$. Let Q be a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ with Levi subgroup*

$$M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0})$$

and σ_0 be the irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0})$ corresponding to (ϕ_0, η_0) under $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}$. Then the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0)$ has a decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0) = \bigoplus_\eta \sigma_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(\phi, \eta),$$

where the sum runs over all $\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\phi}$ such that $\eta|_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi_0}} = \eta_0$. Moreover if ϕ_τ is self-dual and of orthogonal type, let

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w, \tau \otimes \sigma_0) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0)\right)$$

be the normalized intertwining operator associated to the Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}$ (see Section 7.1 below), where w is the unique non-trivial element in the relative Wely group for M_Q. Then

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w, \tau \otimes \sigma_0)|_\sigma = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(\sigma)(a),$$

where $a \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$ corresponds to ϕ_τ.

(7). ***(Compatibility with Langlands quotients)*** *Assume that*

$$\phi = \phi_1|\cdot|_F^{s_1} + \cdots + \phi_r|\cdot|_F^{s_r} + \phi_0 + \phi_r^\vee|\cdot|_F^{-s_r} + \cdots + \phi_1^\vee|\cdot|_F^{-s_1},$$

where

- *ϕ_i is an irreducible tempered representation of WD_F of dimension d_i;*
- *$\phi_0 \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}))$;*
- *$s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_r > 0$;*
- *$d_1 + \cdots + d_r + n_0 = n$.*

We denote by τ_i the irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{d_i}(F)$ corresponding to ϕ_i. Then the L-packet Π_ϕ consists of the unique irreducible quotients σ of the standard modules

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})}\left(\tau_1|\cdot|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r|\cdot|_F^{s_r} \otimes \sigma_0\right),$$

where Q is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ with Levi subgroup

$$M_Q \cong \mathrm{GL}_{k_1}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{k_r}(F) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0})$$

and σ_0 runs over elements of $\Pi_{\phi_0}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n_0}))$. Moreover, the natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\phi$ is an isomorphism and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\sigma) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\sigma_0)$$

if we identify $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ via the above isomorphism.

(8). The map $\mathcal{L}$ respects standard γ-factors. Namely, we have

$$\gamma(s,\sigma,\chi,\psi)=\gamma(s,\phi\otimes\chi,\psi)$$

for $\sigma\in\Pi_\phi$ and any character χ of $F^\times$. Here $\gamma(s,\sigma,\chi,\psi)$ is the standard γ-factor defined by Lapid-Rallis [LR05] and $\gamma(s,\phi\otimes\chi,\psi)$ is the γ-factor defined in [Tat79].

(9). The map $\mathcal{L}$ respects Plancherel measures. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\sigma)=&\gamma(s,\phi_\tau\otimes\phi^\vee,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\otimes\phi,\psi_{-1})\\&\times\gamma(2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau,\psi)\times\gamma(-2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1})\end{aligned}$$

for any $\sigma\in\Pi_\phi$ and $\tau\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$ with L-parameter ϕ_τ.

Remark 4.3. *The local intertwining relation we used here is the same as in [Ato18, §6.6], which is a little bit different from the local intertwining relation formulated by Arthur [Art13, §2.4]. In [Ato17, Theorem 2.2], Atobe proved that the local intertwining relation we used here is a consequence of the local intertwining relation formulated by Arthur.*

For any $a\in F^\times$, let $\delta_a\in\mathrm{GL}(W_{2n})$ be such that

$$\langle\delta_a w,\delta_a w'\rangle_W=a\langle w,w'\rangle_W \text{ for all } w,w'\in W_{2n}. \tag{4.7}$$

For any $\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, we define a new representation σ^{δ_a} of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ by

$$\sigma^{\delta_a}(g)=\sigma(\delta_a^{-1}g\delta_a). \tag{4.8}$$

Note that a different choice of δ_a differs by an element in $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$. Hence the isomorphic class of σ^{δ_a} is independent of the choice of δ_a. The map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})&\to\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})\\ g&\mapsto\delta_a^{-1}g\delta_a\end{aligned}$$

transfers $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}$ to $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,ac}$. It follows from [Kal13, Theorem 4.3] that

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma^{\delta_a})=\mathcal{L}(\sigma)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\sigma^{\delta_a})=\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,ac}}(\sigma)=\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\sigma)\otimes\eta_{\phi,a}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\phi=\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$. Recall that according to [MVW87, Chapter 4. II.1], we have $\sigma^\vee\cong\sigma^{\delta_{-1}}$. Hence in particular, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma^\vee)=\mathcal{L}(\sigma)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\sigma^\vee)=\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c}}(\sigma)\otimes\eta_{\phi,-1}. \tag{4.10}$$

4.4. **Local Langlands correspondence for even orthogonal groups.** Next we state the LLC for even orthogonal groups, which is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.4. *Fix $(d,c)\in(F^\times)^2$. Let $V_{2n}=V_{2n}^+$ be the orthogonal space associated to (d,c), and $\chi_V=(\cdot,d)_F$ be the discriminant character of V_{2n}.*

(1). There exists a surjective map

$$\mathcal{L}:\bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm1\}}\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)\longrightarrow\Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})),$$

which is finite-to-one. For any $\phi\in\Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$, we denote $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\phi)$ by Π_ϕ and call it the L-packet of ϕ. We also write $\Pi_\phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))=\Pi_\phi\cap\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$.

(2). For each $c' \in F^\times$ and Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, there exists a canonical bijection

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_\phi \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}. \tag{4.11}$$

We write $\pi = \pi_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\phi, \eta)$ if $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ corresponds to $\eta \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$ under $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$.

(3). Assume that ϕ is a tempered L-parameter of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$. Then for each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$,

- *there is a unique $\mathfrak{W}^+_{c'}$-generic representation π in Π_ϕ, which corresponds to the trivial character of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ under $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$;*
- *there is a unique $\mathfrak{W}^-_{c'}$-generic representation π in Π_ϕ, which corresponds to κ_ϕ under $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$. Here κ_ϕ is defined in (4.4).*

(4). Let $\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}$ and $\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}$ be two Whittaker data. Then for any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi) \otimes \eta_{\phi\chi_V, c_2/c_1},$$

where $\phi\chi_V = \phi \otimes \chi_V$ and $\eta_{\phi\chi_V, c_2/c_1}$ is defined in (4.3).

(5). The map $\mathcal{L}$ preserves temperedness and discreteness, i.e., $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ is a tempered representation if and only if ϕ is a tempered parameter and $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ is a discrete series representation if and only if ϕ is a discrete parameter.

(6). For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, π is a representation of $\mathrm{O}(V^+_{2n})$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(z_\phi) = \chi_V(c'/c).$$

(7). The following are equivalent:

- *$\phi \in \Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$;*
- *some $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ satisfies $\pi \otimes \det \neq \pi$;*
- *all $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ satisfy $\pi \otimes \det \neq \pi$.*

(8). For $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$, the determinant twist $\pi \otimes \det$ also belongs to Π_ϕ, and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \otimes \kappa_\phi.$$

*(9). **(Local intertwining relation)** Assume that $\phi = \phi_\tau + \phi_0 + \phi_\tau^\vee$, where ϕ_0 is an element in $\Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0}))$ and ϕ_τ is an irreducible tempered representation of WD_F corresponding to $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$, so there is a natural embedding $S_{\phi_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\phi$. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n})$ with Levi subgroup*

$$M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \times \mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n_0})$$

and π_0 be the irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n_0})$ corresponding to (ϕ_0, η_0) under $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{\psi,c}}$. Then the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ has a decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0) = \bigoplus_\eta \pi_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\phi, \eta),$$

where the sum runs over all $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$ such that $\eta|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} = \eta_0$. Moreover if ϕ_τ is self-dual and of orthogonal type, let

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n})}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n})}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)\right)$$

be the normalized intertwining operator associated to the Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$ (see Section 7.1 below), where w is the unique non-trivial element in the relative Wely group for M_P. Then

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)|_\pi = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(a),$$

where $a \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$ corresponds ϕ_τ.

(10). ***(Compatibility with Langlands quotients)*** *Assume that*

$$\phi = \phi_1|\cdot|_F^{s_1} + \cdots + \phi_r|\cdot|_F^{s_r} + \phi_0 + \phi_r^\vee|\cdot|_F^{-s_r} + \cdots + \phi_1^\vee|\cdot|_F^{-s_1},$$

where

- ϕ_i *is an irreducible tempered representation of* WD_F *of dimension* d_i*;*
- $\phi_0 \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0}))$*;*
- $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_r > 0$*;*
- $d_1 + \cdots + d_r + n_0 = n$.

We denote by τ_i *the irreducible tempered representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_{d_i}(F)$ *corresponding to* ϕ_i*. Then the L-packet* Π_ϕ *consists of the unique irreducible quotients* π *of the standard modules*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)}\left(\tau_1|\cdot|_F^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r|\cdot|_F^{s_r} \otimes \pi_0\right),$$

where P *is a parabolic subgroup of* $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)$ *with Levi subgroup*

$$M_P \cong \mathrm{GL}_{k_1}(F) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{k_r}(F) \times \mathrm{O}(V_{2n_0}^\delta)$$

and π_0 *runs over elements of* Π_{ϕ_0}*. Moreover, the natural embedding* $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\phi$ *is an isomorphism and*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0)$$

if we identify $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}$ *with* $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ *via the above isomorphism.*

(11). *The map respects standard* γ*-factors. Namely, we have*

$$\gamma(s, \pi, \chi, \psi) = \gamma(s, \phi \otimes \chi, \psi)$$

for $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ *and any character* χ *of* $F^\times$*. Here* $\gamma(s, \pi, \chi, \psi)$ *is the standard* γ*-factor defined by Lapid-Rallis [LR05] and* $\gamma(s, \phi \otimes \chi, \psi)$ *is the* γ*-factor defined in [Tat79].*

(12). *The map respects Plancherel measures. Namely, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s \otimes \pi) = {} & \gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi^\vee, \psi) \times \gamma(-s, \phi_\tau^\vee \otimes \phi, \psi_{-1}) \\ & \times \gamma(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi) \times \gamma(-2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau^\vee, \psi_{-1})\end{aligned}$$

for any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ *and* $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$ *with L-parameter* ϕ_τ.

The LLC for quasi-split even orthogonal groups has been proved by Arthur [Art13] and was explicated in Atobe–Gan [AG17b]. More precisely, they proved

Theorem 4.5. *Fix* $(d, c) \in (F^\times)^2$*. Let* $V_{2n} = V_{2n}^+$ *be the orthogonal space associated to* (d, c)*, and* $\chi_V = (\cdot, d)_F$ *be the discriminant character of* V_{2n}*. Put* $E = F(\sqrt{d})$.

(1). *There exists a surjective map*

$$\mathcal{L}^A : \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)\right) \longrightarrow \Phi\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})\right),$$

which is finite-to-one. For $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$*, we denote the inverse image of* ϕ *by* $\Pi_\phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+))$.

(2). *For any* $c' \in cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$*, there exists a canonical bijection*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}^A : \Pi_\phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}.$$

Moreover, the maps $\mathcal{L}^A$ *and* $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}^A$ *satisfy all the other properties in Theorem 4.4.*

Remark 4.6. *(1) Mœglin [Mœg11, §1.4 Theorem 1.4.1] and Mœglin-Renard [MR18] have partially extended Theorem 4.5 to pure inner forms as well, though we are not sure if all the statements in Theorem 4.4 were verified in their work.*

(2) For a fixed pair $(d,c)\in(F^\times)^2$, if $d\notin F^{\times 2}$, then both $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^-)$ are quasi-split. Indeed, we may take $V_{2n}^-=c_0\cdot V_{2n}^+$ for a $c_0\notin N_{E/F}(E^\times)$, where $c_0\cdot V_{2n}^+=V_{2n}^+$ as a vector space and

$$\langle x,y\rangle_{c_0\cdot V_{2n}^+}=c_0\cdot\langle x,y\rangle_{V_{2n}^+}.$$

As subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(V_{2n}^+)$, we have an identification

$$\mathrm{id}:\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)=\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^-).$$

This induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{id}^*:\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^-)\right)\to\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)\right).$$

Under this identification, we can extend the map $\mathcal{L}^A$ to $\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^-)\right)$ by defining

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^A:\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^-)\right)&\longrightarrow\Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))\\ \pi&\mapsto\mathcal{L}^A(\pi):=\mathcal{L}^A(\mathrm{id}^*(\pi)).\end{aligned}$$

We can also extend the map $\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ as follows:

- *If $c'\in cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$, we extend the map $\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ to $\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^-)\right)$ by defining*
$$\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)=\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\mathrm{id}^*(\pi))\otimes\eta_{\phi\chi_V,c_0}.$$
- *If $c'\notin cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$, we define the map $\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ by*

$$\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi):=\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_c}(\pi)\otimes\eta_{\phi\chi_V,c'/c}(\pi)\quad\text{for }\pi\in\bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm1\}}\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right).$$

One can check that these extensions satisfy all the properties in Theorem 4.4. Hence when $d\notin F^{\times 2}$, Theorem 4.4 follows from Theorem 4.5 and the constructions above. But our proof for Theorem 4.4 in later sections will not use these constructions. In particular, it provides another way to prove Theorem 4.4 for the case when $d\notin F^{\times 2}$.

The following sections are devoted to proving Theorem 4.4. As mentioned in the introduction, we will use Theorem 4.2 and the local theta correspondence to construct the maps $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ in Theorem 4.4. We shall prove the maps $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ constructed by us satisfy all the properties in Theorem 4.4. In Section 9, we will also show that our classification coincides with Arthur's in the quasi-split case, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}|_{\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)\right)}&=\mathcal{L}^A|_{\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+)\right)},\\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}|_{\Pi_\phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+))}&=\mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}|_{\Pi_\phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+))}\text{ for }c'\in cN_{E/F}(E^\times).\end{aligned}$$

5. Construction

We construct the LLC for even orthogonal groups in this section. We first construct the correspondence for tempered representations and then extend our construction to non-tempered representations by using the Langlands classification for p-adic groups. Several properties in Theorem 4.4 will be proved along the way.

5.1. **Construction of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$.** Fix $(d,c)\in (F^\times)^2$. Let $V_{2n}=V_{2n}^+$ be the $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space associated to (d,c), and $\chi_V=(\cdot,d)_F$ be the discriminant character of V_{2n}. Fix a non-trivial character ψ of F. In this subsection, we will construct a map

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi:\bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm1\}}\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)\longrightarrow\Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})).$$

Later in Section 6, we will show that $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ is independent of the choice of ψ. So we get our desired $\mathcal{L}$.

For any $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\,\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)$, consider the following two representations

$$\begin{cases}\sigma_1:=\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) & \text{of } \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n});\\ \sigma_2:=\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi\otimes\det) & \text{of } \mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2}).\end{cases}\tag{5.1}$$

By the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2), exactly one of σ_i is non-vanishing. We shall attach an L-parameter to π in terms of the L-parameter of σ_i.

• Case I: If $\sigma_1=\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)\neq0$, then we have:

Lemma 5.1. *Let ϕ^+ be the L-parameter of $\sigma_1=\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)$. Then $\chi_V\subseteq\phi^+$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, we know that $\gamma(s,\sigma_1,\chi_V,\psi)$ has a pole at $s=1$. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 4.2 (8) that

$$\gamma(s,\sigma_1,\chi_V,\psi)=\gamma(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V,\psi).$$

So $\gamma(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V,\psi)$ has a pole at $s=1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V,\psi)=&\varepsilon(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V,\psi)\times\frac{L(1-s,(\phi^+\otimes\chi_V)^\vee)}{L(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V)}\\ =&\varepsilon(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V,\psi)\times\frac{L(1-s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V)}{L(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V,\psi)$ is holomorphic at $s=1$ and $L(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V)$ is non-zero at $s=1$, we deduce that $L(1-s,\phi^+\otimes\chi_V)$ has a pole at $s=1$. Since $\phi^+\otimes\chi_V$ is tempered, this implies that $\phi^+\otimes\chi_V$ contains the trivial representation, which is equivalent to saying that ϕ^+ contains χ_V. □

In this case, we define the L-parameter of π to be the unique orthogonal representation ϕ of WD_F such that

$$\phi^+=(\phi\otimes\chi_V)+\chi_V.$$

• Case II: If $\sigma_2=\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)\neq0$, we simply define the L-parameter of π to be

$$\phi=(\phi^-\otimes\chi_V)+\mathbb{1},$$

where ϕ^- is the L-parameter of σ_2 and $\mathbb{1}$ is the trivial representation of WD_F.

Note that we have $\phi\in\Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ in both cases. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.3 and Theoreom 4.2 (5) that both ϕ^+ and ϕ^- are tempered parameters,

so $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ in both cases. Combining these two cases, we have defined a map

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi : \bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right) \longrightarrow \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})).$$

For a parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$, we define the packet $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ to be the fiber $\mathcal{L}_\psi^{-1}(\phi)$ and $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)) = \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \cap \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\,\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)$.

5.2. **Local factors.** So far we have associated a tempered L-parameter ϕ to every $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta))$. We then show this assignment respects the standard γ-factors and the Plancherel measures. These imply Theorem 4.4 (11) and (12) in the tempered case.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\,\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \phi$. For any character χ of $F^\times$, we have $\gamma(s,\pi,\chi,\psi) = \gamma(s,\phi\otimes\chi,\psi)$.*

Proof. According to our construction, we need to consider the following two cases:

• Case I: Assume $\sigma_1 = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that

$$\frac{\gamma(s,\sigma_1,\chi,\psi)}{\gamma(s,\pi,\chi\chi_V,\psi)} = \gamma(s,\chi\chi_V,\psi).$$

By Theorem 4.2 (8), we have

$$\gamma(s,\sigma_1,\chi,\psi) = \gamma(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi,\psi),$$

where $\phi^+ = (\phi\otimes\chi_V)\oplus\chi_V$ is the L-parameter of σ_1. So

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(s,\pi,\chi,\psi) &= \frac{\gamma(s,\sigma_1,\chi\chi_V^{-1},\psi)}{\gamma(s,(\chi\chi_V^{-1})\chi_V,\psi)}\\ &= \frac{\gamma(s,\phi^+\otimes\chi\chi_V^{-1},\psi)}{\gamma(s,\chi,\psi)}\\ &= \gamma(s,\phi\otimes\chi,\psi).\end{aligned}$$

• Case II: Assume $\sigma_2 = \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi\otimes\det) \neq 0$. This follows from a similar calculation in Case I and the fact that

$$\gamma(s,\pi,\chi,\psi) = \gamma(s,\pi\otimes\det,\chi,\psi).$$

These complete the proof. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\,\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \phi$. For any irreducible smooth representation τ of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ with L-parameter ϕ_τ, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi) = {}&\gamma\left(s,\phi_\tau\otimes\phi^\vee,\psi\right)\times\gamma\left(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\otimes\phi,\psi_{-1}\right)\\ &\times\gamma\left(2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau,\psi\right)\times\gamma\left(-2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.2, we need to consider the following two cases:

• Case I: If $\sigma_1 = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then it follows from Lemma 3.8 that

$$\frac{\mu_\psi(\tau_s\chi_V\otimes\sigma_1)}{\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi)} = \gamma(s,\tau,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1}).$$

Let ϕ^+ be the L-parameter for σ_1. Then by Theorem 4.2 (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s\chi_V\otimes\sigma) &= \gamma(s,\phi_\tau\chi_V\otimes(\phi^+)^\vee,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\chi_V^{-1}\otimes\phi^+,\psi_{-1})\\ &\quad\times\gamma(2s,\wedge^2\circ(\phi_\tau\chi_V),\psi)\times\gamma(-2s,\wedge^2\circ(\phi_\tau^\vee\chi_V^{-1}),\psi_{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi) &= \mu_\psi(\tau_s\chi_V\otimes\sigma)\times\gamma(s,\tau,\psi)^{-1}\times\gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1})^{-1}\\ &= \gamma(s,\phi_\tau\chi_V\otimes(\phi^+)^\vee,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\chi_V^{-1}\otimes\phi^+,\psi_{-1})\\ &\quad\times\gamma(2s,\wedge^2\circ(\phi_\tau\chi_V),\psi)\times\gamma(-2s,\wedge^2\circ(\phi_\tau^\vee\chi_V^{-1}),\psi_{-1})\\ &\quad\times\gamma(s,\phi_\tau,\psi)^{-1}\times\gamma(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1})^{-1}\\ &= \gamma(s,\phi_\tau\otimes\phi^\vee,\psi)\times\gamma(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\otimes\phi,\psi_{-1})\\ &\quad\times\gamma(2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau,\psi)\times\gamma(-2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $\phi^+=\phi\otimes\chi_V+\chi_V$.

• Case II: If $\sigma_2=\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)\neq 0$, then this follows from a similar calculation to Case I and the fact that

$$\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi)=\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes(\pi\otimes\det)).$$

Readers may also consult [GI14, Lemma B.1] for the proof of this fact. □

5.3. **Counting sizes of packets.** Our next goal is to attach a character of the component group to each $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta))$. To do this, we need some preparations. In this subsection we consider the behaviour of L-parameters under local theta correspondence and count the sizes of L-packets. We emphasize that when we talk about representations of symplectic groups, the L-parameter of a representation is in the sense of Theorem 4.2, whereas when we talk about representations of even orthogonal groups, the L-parameter of a tempered representation is in the sense of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ constructed in Subsection 5.1.

The following lemma will be used later.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $G=\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)$ (resp. $G=\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$) and π_1 and π_2 (resp. σ_1 and σ_2) be two irreducible tempered representations of G with $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi_1)=\phi_1,\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi_2)=\phi_2$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}(\sigma_1)=\phi_1,\mathcal{L}(\sigma_2)=\phi_2$). Assume that $\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi_1)=\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\pi_2)$ (resp. $\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_1)=\mu_\psi(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_2)$) for all $k\geq 1$ and all irreducible smooth representation τ of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Then we have*

$$\phi_1=\phi_2.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.2 (9) and Lemma 5.3 that the maps $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{L}$ respect the Plancherel measures, so we have

$$\gamma\left(s,\phi_\tau\otimes\phi_1^\vee,\psi\right)\times\gamma\left(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\otimes\phi_1,\psi_{-1}\right)=\gamma\left(s,\phi_\tau\otimes\phi_2^\vee,\psi\right)\times\gamma\left(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\otimes\phi_2,\psi_{-1}\right)$$

for any irreducible smooth representations τ of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ with L-parameter ϕ_τ. Then it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\phi_1=\phi_2$. □

Next we prove the L-parameters we attached to π and $\pi\otimes\det$ are the same. This proves the first part of Theorem 4.4 (8) for tempered representations.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta))$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi)=\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi\otimes\det).$$

Proof. By [GI14, Lemma B.1], we know that

$$\mu_\psi(\tau_s \otimes \pi) = \mu_\psi(\tau_s \otimes (\pi \otimes \det))$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and any irreducible smooth representations τ of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Then this proposition follows from Lemma 5.4. □

The next proposition describes the behaviour of L-parameters under the local theta correspondence.

Proposition 5.6. *(i) Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \phi$.*

- *If $\sigma := \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then*
$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V.$$
- *If $\sigma := \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) \neq 0$, then*
$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V.$$

(ii) Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = \phi^-$. If $\pi := \theta_{V_{2n}^\delta,W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = (\phi^- \otimes \chi_V) + \mathbb{1}.$$

Proof. We first prove (i). By Proposition 5.5, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi \otimes \det) = \mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \phi.$$

Then (i) follows from our construction of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$. The proof for (ii) is similar. □

The following corollary is a consequence of Proposition 5.6.

Corollary 5.7. *(i) Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \phi$. If $\mathbb{1} \nsubseteq \phi$, then*

$$\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) = \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) = 0.$$

So by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2), both $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)$ and $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det)$ are non-zero.

(ii) Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = \phi^+$. If $\chi_V \nsubseteq \phi^+$, then

$$\theta_{V_{2n}^+,W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) = \theta_{V_{2n}^-,W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma) = 0.$$

So by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2), both $\theta_{V_{2n+2}^+,W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ and $\theta_{V_{2n+2}^-,W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ are non-zero.

Proposition 5.8. *(i) Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \phi$. If $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, then exactly one of $\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)$ and $\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det)$ is non-zero. In particular, $\pi \ncong \pi \otimes \det$ in this case.*

(ii) Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = \phi^+$. If $\chi_V \subseteq \phi^+$, then exactly one of $\theta_{V_{2n}^+,W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ and $\theta_{V_{2n}^-,W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is non-zero.

Proof. We first prove (i). Let $\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \chi_V$ and ϕ^- be the unique L-parameter such that $\phi = (\phi^- \otimes \chi_V) \oplus 1$. We define a map:

$$\theta_{\psi,2n} : \bigsqcup_{V_{2n}^\delta} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})\right)$$

by

$$\theta_{\psi,2n}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) & \text{if } \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0, \\ \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\theta_{\psi,2n}(\pi)$ is well defined by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2). By Proposition 5.6, the restriction of $\theta_{\psi,2n}$ to the packet $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ gives

$$\theta_{\psi,2n} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \longrightarrow \Pi_{\phi^+}. \tag{5.2}$$

It follows from the Howe duality that

$$\theta_{\psi,2n}(\pi_1) \neq \theta_{\psi,2n}(\pi_2) \quad \text{if } \pi_1 \neq \pi_2 \text{ and } \pi_1 \neq \pi_2 \otimes \det. \tag{5.3}$$

So for every $\sigma \in \Pi_{\phi^+}$, the fibre $\theta^{-1}_{\psi,2n}(\sigma)$ contains at most two elements. Hence

$$|\Pi_{\phi,\psi}| \leq 2|\Pi_{\phi^+}|. \tag{5.4}$$

Next we shall consider two different cases, depending on $\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$ or not.

• Case I: If $\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, then $\chi_V \subseteq \phi^-$. Define

$$\theta_{\psi,2n-2} : \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2})\right) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{V^\delta_{2n}} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n})\right)$$

by

$$\theta_{\psi,2n-2}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \theta_{V^+_{2n},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) & \text{if } \theta_{V^+_{2n},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0, \\ \theta_{V^-_{2n},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\theta_{\psi,2n-2}(\sigma)$ is well defined by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2). By Proposition 5.6, the restriction of $\theta_{\psi,2n-2}$ to the packet Π_{ϕ^-} gives

$$\theta_{\psi,2n-2} : \Pi_{\phi^-} \longrightarrow \Pi_{\phi,\psi}. \tag{5.5}$$

Moreover, it follows from the Howe duality that

$$\theta_{\psi,2n-2}(\sigma_1) \neq \theta_{\psi,2n-2}(\sigma_2) \quad \text{if } \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2, \tag{5.6}$$

and from the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2) that

$$\theta_{\psi,2n-2}(\sigma_1) \neq \theta_{\psi,2n-2}(\sigma_2) \otimes \det \quad \text{for all } \sigma_1, \sigma_2. \tag{5.7}$$

Hence

$$|\Pi_{\phi,\psi}| \geq 2|\Pi_{\phi^-}|. \tag{5.8}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, the inclusion map $\mathcal{S}_{\phi^-} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$ is an isomorphism. Then it follows from Theorem 4.2 (2) that

$$|\Pi_{\phi^-}| = |\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^-}}| = |\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^+}}| = |\Pi_{\phi^+}|. \tag{5.9}$$

Combining (5.4), (5.8) and (5.9), we deduce

$$|\Pi_{\phi,\psi}| = 2|\Pi_{\phi^+}| = 2|\Pi_{\phi^-}| = 2|\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^-}}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}|.$$

Hence for $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$, exactly one of π and $\pi \otimes \det$ is in the image of $\theta_{\psi,2n-2}$. This proves Case I.

• Case II: If $\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi$, then $\chi_V \not\subseteq \phi^-$. We define a map

$$\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2} \sqcup \theta^-_{\psi,2n-2} : \Pi_{\phi^-} \sqcup \Pi_{\phi^-} \longrightarrow \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \tag{5.10}$$

by

$$\begin{cases} \sigma \mapsto \theta_{V^+_{2n},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) & \text{for } \sigma \text{ in the first copy of } \Pi_{\phi^-}, \\ \sigma \mapsto \theta_{V^-_{2n},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) & \text{for } \sigma \text{ in the second copy of } \Pi_{\phi^-}. \end{cases}$$

Since $\chi_V \not\subseteq \phi^-$, both $\theta_{V_{2n}^+,W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma)$ and $\theta_{V_{2n}^-,W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma)$ are non-zero by Corollary 5.7. Hence the map $\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2} \sqcup \theta^-_{\psi,2n-2}$ is well defined. Again, it follows from the Howe duality that

$$\left(\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2} \sqcup \theta^-_{\psi,2n-2}\right)(\sigma_1) \neq \left(\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2} \sqcup \theta^-_{\psi,2n-2}\right)(\sigma_2) \quad \text{if } \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2, \tag{5.11}$$

and from the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2) that

$$\left(\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2} \sqcup \theta^-_{\psi,2n-2}\right)(\sigma_1) \neq \left(\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2} \sqcup \theta^-_{\psi,2n-2}\right)(\sigma_2) \otimes \det \quad \text{for all } \sigma_1, \sigma_2. \tag{5.12}$$

Hence

$$|\Pi_{\phi,\psi}| \geq 4|\Pi_{\phi^-}|. \tag{5.13}$$

On the other hand, we have $|\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}| = 2|\mathcal{S}_{\phi^-}|$ in this case. Then it follows from Theorem 4.2 (2) that

$$2|\Pi_{\phi^-}| = 2|\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^-}}| = |\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^+}}| = |\Pi_{\phi^+}|. \tag{5.14}$$

Combining (5.4), (5.13) and (5.14), we deduce

$$|\Pi_{\phi,\psi}| = 2|\Pi_{\phi^+}| = 4|\Pi_{\phi^-}| = 4|\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^-}}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}|.$$

Hence for $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$, exactly one of π and $\pi\otimes\det$ is in the image of $(\theta^+_{\psi,2n-2}\sqcup\theta^-_{\psi,2n-2})$. This proves Case II. The proof for (ii) is similar to (i) and we omit it here. □

As a consequence of Corollary 5.7 and Proposition 5.8, we can count the sizes of the fibers of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$.

Corollary 5.9. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$. Then the size of the packet $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ is exactly the same as the size of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$.*

Proof. The case when $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$ follows directly from the proof of Proposition 5.8. So it suffices to prove the case when $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\psi,2n} : \bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm1\}} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})\right) \\ \pi &\mapsto \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

By Propsition 5.6, Proposition 5.8 and the Howe duality, we deduce that the restriction of $\theta_{\psi,2n}$ to $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ gives a bijection

$$\theta_{\psi,2n} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \to \Pi_{\phi^+}, \tag{5.15}$$

where $\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \chi_V$. It follows from Theorem 4.2 (2) that

$$|\Pi_{\phi^+}| = |\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^+}}| = \frac{1}{2}|\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}}|. \tag{5.16}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi$, we deduce

$$\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+} \cong \mathcal{S}_\phi \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})e \quad \text{and} \quad |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}| = \frac{1}{2}|\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}}|, \tag{5.17}$$

where $e \in \mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$ corresponds to $\chi_V \subseteq \phi^+$. Combining (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17), we deduce

$$|\Pi_{\phi,\psi}| = |\Pi_{\phi^+}| = \frac{1}{2}|\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}|.$$

This finishes the proof. □

5.4. **Construction of $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$.** Given a tempered parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}\,\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$, we have shown that the size of the packet $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ equals to the size of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$. In this subsection, we are going to define a bijection

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$$

for each choice of the Whittaker data $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$. We will prove $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ is independent of the choice of ψ in Section 6, and hence get our desired $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$.

Fix $c' \in F^\times$. We shall separate the construction of $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ into two cases, depending on $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$ or not.

• Case I: If $\mathbb{1} \nsubseteq \phi$, then by (5.15), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\psi,2n} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} &\to \Pi_{\phi^+} \\ \pi &\mapsto \sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V^{\delta}_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

is a bijection, where $\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \chi_V$. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+} \cong \mathcal{S}_\phi \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})e,$$

where e is the element in $\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$ corresponding to $\chi_V \subseteq \phi^+$. This induces an isomorphism


$$\ell : \mathcal{S}_\phi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi^+} \twoheadrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^+}. \tag{5.19}$$

For $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$, we define

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \coloneqq \ell^*(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma)),$$

where $\sigma = \theta_{\psi,2n}(\pi)$ in (5.18).

Note that in this case, the following diagram



is commutative and every arrow in this diagram is a bijection.

• Case II: If $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, let $\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \chi_V$. Then the map

$$\ell : \mathcal{S}_\phi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi^+} \twoheadrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^+} \tag{5.20}$$

is surjective with the kernel isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Pi^+_{\phi,\psi}$ be the subset of all representations $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ such that $\theta_{W_{2n},V^{\delta}_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$. By Proposition 5.8, for $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$,

$$\pi \ncong \pi \otimes \det$$

and exactly one of π and $\pi \otimes \det$ lies in $\Pi^+_{\phi,\psi}$, so $\Pi^+_{\phi,\psi}$ is half the size of $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$. It follows from Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.8 that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\psi,2n} : \Pi^+_{\phi,\psi} &\longrightarrow \Pi_{\phi^+} \\ \pi &\mapsto \sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V^{\delta}_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

is a bijection. For each $\pi \in \Pi^+_{\phi,\psi}$, we define

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \coloneqq \ell^*(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma)),$$

where $\sigma = \theta_{\psi,2n}(\pi)$ in (5.21). Next we define $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ on the other half of $\Pi_{\phi,\psi}$. For $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}\backslash\Pi^{+}_{\phi,\psi}$, by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2), we have $\pi\otimes\det \in \Pi^{+}_{\phi,\psi}$. We define

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \coloneqq \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det)\otimes\kappa_{\phi},$$

where κ_{ϕ} is defined by (4.2). It is easy to check that the map

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\phi}}$$

is also a bijection in this case.

Combining these two cases, we deduce that our construction satisfies Theorem 4.4 (2) for tempered representations:

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$. For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, the map*

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\phi}}$$

is a bijection.

5.5. **From tempered to non-tempered.** So far, we have attached an L-parameter and a character of the component group to $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\,\mathrm{O}(V^{\delta}_{2n})$. In this subsection, we will extend this construction to non-tempered representations by using the Langlands classification.

Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V^{\delta}_{2n}))$. By the Langlands classification for p-adic groups [Sil78], [Kon03], we know that π is the unique irreducible quotient of the standard module

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V^{\delta}_{2n})}\left(\tau_1|\cdot|_F^{s_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau_r|\cdot|_F^{s_r}\otimes\pi_0\right),$$

where

- P is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V^{\delta}_{2n})$ with Levi component $\mathrm{GL}_{k_1}(F)\times\cdots\times\mathrm{GL}_{k_r}(F)\times\mathrm{O}(V^{\delta}_{2n_0})$;
- τ_i is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(F)$ for $i = 1,\cdots,r$;
- π_0 is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V^{\delta}_{2n_0})$;
- $n = k_1 + \cdots k_r + n_0$ and $s_1 > \cdots > s_r > 0$.

Then we define

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi) \coloneqq \phi_1|\cdot|^{s_1} + \cdots + \phi_r|\cdot|^{s_r} + \phi_0 + \phi_r^{\vee}|\cdot|^{-s_r} + \cdots + \phi_1^{\vee}|\cdot|^{-s_1},$$

where ϕ_i is the L-parameter of τ_i and $\phi_0 = \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi_0)$. The natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi}$ is an isomorphism in this case and we identify $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}$ with $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}$ via this isomorphism. For a fixed Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, we define

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \coloneqq \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0).$$

Since the standard module is unique up to Weyl group conjugation, the maps $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ are well defined. It then follows from our construction that $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ are compatible with Langlands quotients.

Proposition 5.11. *For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, the maps $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ we constructed are compatible with Langlands quotients, i.e., satisfy Theorem 4.4 (10).*

The following corollary follows from Proposition 5.10 and our construction in this subsection.

Corollary 5.12. *For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, the map*

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_{\phi,\psi} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$$

is a bijection. Hence Theorem 4.4 (2) holds for our construction.

Next we extend Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 from tempered representations to general cases.

Proposition 5.13. *The map $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ we constructed respect the standard γ-factors and the Plancherel measures, i.e., it satisfies Theorem 4.4 (11) and (12).*

Proof. This follows from the tempered case and the multiplicativity of the standard γ-factors [LR05] & Plancherel measures [GI14, Section 10.2, Appendix B.5]. □

5.6. **Some properties.** We shall prove the LLC we constructed satisfies Theorem 4.4 (4) and (5) in this subsection.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}$ and $\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}$ be two Whittaker data. Then for any $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi) \otimes \eta_{\phi\chi_V, c_2/c_1},$$

where $\phi\chi_V = \phi \otimes \chi_V$ and $\eta_{\phi\chi_V,c_2/c_1}$ is defined in (4.3). Hence Theorem 4.4 (4) holds for our construction.

Proof. By Proposition 5.11, it is enough to prove the case when $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$. Let $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}(\mathrm{O}(V^\delta_{2n}))$. We divide it into two cases:

• Case I: Assume $\theta_{W_{2n},V^\delta_{2n},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$. Let $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V^\delta_{2n},\psi}(\pi)$ and ϕ^+ be the L-parameter of σ. Then by Proposition 5.6,

$$\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V.$$

Moreover, by our construction of $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi) = \ell^*(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_1}}(\sigma)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi) = \ell^*(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_2}}(\sigma)),$$

where $\ell : \mathcal{S}_\phi \to \bar{S}_{\phi^+}$ is the isomorphism in (5.19). It follows from Theorem 4.2 (4) that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_2}}(\sigma) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_1}}(\sigma) \otimes \eta_{\phi^+,c_2/c_1}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi) = \ell^*(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_2}}(\sigma)) = \ell^*(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c_1}}(\sigma) \otimes \eta_{\phi^+,c_2/c_1}) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi) \otimes \eta_{\phi\chi_V,c_2/c_1}.$$

• Case II: Assume $\theta_{W_{2n},V^\delta_{2n},\psi}(\pi) = 0$. Then $\theta_{W_{2n},V^\delta_{2n},\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) \neq 0$ by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2). Hence by Case I, we have

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi \otimes \det) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi \otimes \det) \otimes \eta_{\phi\chi_V,c_2/c_1}. \tag{5.22}$$

On the other hand, it follows from our construction of $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ that

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi \otimes \det) \otimes \kappa_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi \otimes \det) \otimes \kappa_\phi. \tag{5.23}$$

So by (5.22) and (5.23), we deduce

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(\pi) \otimes \eta_{\phi\chi_V,c_2/c_1}$$

as desired. □

Proposition 5.15. *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$. Then we have*

(i) $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$ if and only if $\phi = \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi) \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$;

(ii) π is a discrete series representation if and only if $\phi = \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi) \in \Phi_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$.

Hence Theorem 4.4 (5) holds for our construction.

Proof. (i) automatically follows from our construction. We then prove (ii). First, we prove $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi,\psi}$ is a discrete series representation if ϕ is a discrete parameter. We shall separate the proof into two cases, depending on $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$ or not.

• Case I: If $\mathbb{1} \nsubseteq \phi$, then by Proposition 5.6 and Corollary 5.7, we have

$$\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$$

and the L-parameter of σ is

$$\phi^{+} = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V.$$

Since ϕ is a discrete parameter and $\mathbb{1} \nsubseteq \phi$, we deduce that ϕ^{+} is also a discrete parameter. Then σ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ by Theorem 4.2 (5). Hence it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\pi = \theta_{V_{2n}^{\delta},W_{2n},\psi}(\sigma)$ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})$.

• Case II: If $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, then by Proposition 5.8, exactly one of

$$\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi \otimes \det)$$

is nonzero. Since π is a discrete series representation if and only if $\pi \otimes \det$ is a discrete series representation, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0.$$

Let $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi)$. Then by Proposition 5.6, the L-parameter of σ is ϕ^{-} such that

$$\phi = (\phi^{-} \otimes \chi_V) + \mathbb{1}.$$

Since ϕ is a discrete parameter, so is ϕ^{-}. So it follows from Theorem 4.2 (5) that σ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2})$. Note that $\mathbb{1} \oplus \mathbb{1} \nsubseteq \phi$ since ϕ is a discrete parameter, and hence $\chi_V \nsubseteq \phi^{+}$. It follows from Corollary 5.7 that

$$\theta_{V_{2n-2}^{\delta},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) = 0.$$

Then by Lemma 3.4, $\pi = \theta_{V_{2n}^{\delta},W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta})$.

Next, for $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$, we prove $\phi = \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi)$ is a discrete parameter if π is a discrete series representation. We also separate the proof into two cases, depending on $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$ or not:

• Case I: If $\mathbb{1} \nsubseteq \phi$, then by Corollary 5.7, we have

$$\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi) \neq 0.$$

Let $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi)$ and ϕ^{+} be the L-parameter of σ. Then σ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$ by Lemma 3.4. Hence ϕ^{+} is a discrete parameter by Theorem 4.2 (5). On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 5.6 that

$$\phi^{+} = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \mathbb{1}.$$

So ϕ is also a discrete parameter.

• Case II: If $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, then by Proposition 5.8, exactly one of

$$\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^{\delta},\psi}(\pi \otimes \det)$$

is non-zero. Since

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi \otimes \det),$$

without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) = 0. \tag{5.24}$$

Let $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n-2},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)$ and ϕ^- be the L-parameter of σ. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that σ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n-2})$. Then by Theorem 4.2 (5), ϕ^- is a discrete parameter. Note that by Proposition 5.6, we have

$$\phi = (\phi^- \otimes \chi_V) \oplus \mathbb{1}. \tag{5.25}$$

We shall prove that $\chi_V \nsubseteq \phi^-$, which will imply ϕ is a discrete parameter by (5.25). We prove it by contradiction. Suppose $\chi_V \subseteq \phi^-$. Then by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2), Proposition 5.8 and (5.24), we have

$$\theta_{V_{2n-2}^\delta,W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma) \neq 0.$$

But by Lemma 3.4, this will imply $\pi = \theta_{V_{2n}^\delta,W_{2n-2},\psi}(\sigma)$ is a tempered but not discrete series representation, which contradicts with our assumption. This completes the proof. □

6. Variation of ψ

In this section, we shall prove that $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{J}^\psi_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ we constructed are independent of the choice of ψ. Note that every non-trivial additive character of F is of the form ψ_a for some $a \in F^\times$. The method is to study the behaviour of theta correspondence under the change of ψ. More precisely, we would like to compare $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi_a}(\pi)$ and $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)$ for $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta))$ and $a \in F^\times$. For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$, let $\sigma^{\delta_a} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n}))$ be defined in (4.8).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)$ and $a \in F^\times$. We have*

$$\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi_a}(\pi) = \left(\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)\right)^{\delta_a}.$$

Proof. See [Kud96, II Corollary 6.2] and [Kud96, IV Proposition 1.9] □

Proposition 6.2. *For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)$ and $a \in F^\times$, we have*

(i) $\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \mathcal{L}_{\psi_a}(\pi)$;
(ii) $\mathcal{J}^\psi_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}^{\psi_a}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$.

Proof. Since the LLC we construct is compatible with the Langlands quotients, it is enough to prove these for tempered representations. Hence we may assume that $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}\left(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)\right)$. We divide it into two cases:

• Case I: Assume $\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$. Let $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)$. Then by Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi_a}(\pi) = \left(\theta_{W_{2n},V_{2n}^\delta,\psi}(\pi)\right)^{\delta_a} = \sigma^{\delta_a}.$$

It follows from (4.9) that the L-parameters for σ and σ^{δ_a} are the same. Then by our constructions of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_a}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi(\pi) = \mathcal{L}_{\psi_a}(\pi).$$

Next we consider the map $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$. By our constructions of $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\psi_a}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)=\ell^*\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma)\right)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{J}^{\psi_a}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)=\ell^*\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi_a,c'}}(\sigma^{\delta_a})\right),\tag{6.1}$$

where $\ell:\mathcal{S}_\phi\to\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\phi^+}$ is defined in (5.19). By (4.9), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi_a,c'}}(\sigma^{\delta_a})=\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma).\tag{6.2}$$

So $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)=\mathcal{J}^{\psi_a}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$ by (6.1) and (6.2).

• Case II: If $\theta_{W_{2n},V^{\delta}_{2n},\psi}(\pi)=0$, then $\theta_{W_{2n},V^{\delta}_{2n},\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)\neq 0$ by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2). Hence, by Case I, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)=\mathcal{L}_{\psi_a}(\pi\otimes\det)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det)=\mathcal{J}^{\psi_a}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 5.5 and our construction of $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)=\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det)=\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)\otimes\kappa_\phi.$$

Hence (i) and (ii) also hold for Case II. □

Since the maps $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\psi}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ do not depend on the choice of ψ, we may drop the symbol ψ and denote them by $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$. These give the desired LLC for even orthogonal groups. So far we have constructed the maps $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ for each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$. We also proved this construction satisfies Theorem 4.4 (1), (2), (4), (5), (10), (11), (12).

7. Preparations for local intertwining relation

Our next goal is to prove our construction satisfies the local intertwining relation. In this section, we do some preparations. We first recall the definition of the normalized intertwining operators, and then construct an important equivariant map, which is key to the proof.

7.1. **Normalized intertwining operators.**

In this subsection, we define the normalized intertwining operators. We mainly follow [Art13, §2.3], [AG17b, §3.7] and [Ato18, §6].

Fix a non-trivial character ψ of F and $(d,c)\in(F^\times)^2$. Let $V_{2n}=V^+_{2n}$ be the $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space associated to (d,c) and $V\in\{V^+_{2n},V^-_{2n}\}$. Let $W=W_{2n}$ be a $2n$-dimensional symplectic space. Let r be the Witt index of V and k be a positive integer with $k\leq r$. As in Section 2.3, we put

$$V=X\oplus V_0\oplus X^\vee,\quad W=Y\oplus W_0\oplus Y^\vee$$

with $X=X_k,X^\vee=X^\vee_k$ and $Y=Y_k,Y^\vee=Y^\vee_k$. Hence $\dim(V_0)=\dim(W_0)=2n-2k$. Let $P=P_k=M_PU_P$ and $Q=Q_k=M_QU_Q$ be the parabolic subgroups defined in Subsection 2.3 such that

$$M_P\cong\mathrm{GL}(X)\times\mathrm{O}(V_0)\quad\text{and}\quad M_Q\cong\mathrm{GL}(Y)\times\mathrm{Sp}(W_0).$$

Using the basis $\{v_1,\dots,v_k\}$ of X (resp. $\{w_1,\dots,w_k\}$ of Y), we identify $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$) with $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Hence we can define an isomorphism $i:\mathrm{GL}(X)\to\mathrm{GL}(Y)$ via these identifications.

Let τ be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ on a space $\mathscr{V}_\tau$ with a central character ω_τ. We may regard τ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ or $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$ via the above identifications. For any $s\in\mathbb{C}$, we realize the representation $\tau_s\coloneqq$

$\tau\otimes|\det|_F^s$ on $\mathscr{V}_\tau$ by setting $\tau_s(a)v := |\det(a)|_F^s\tau(a)v$ for all $v\in\mathscr{V}_\tau$ and $a\in\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Let π_0 (resp. σ_0) be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_0)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(W_0)$) on a space $\mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}$ (resp. $\mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}$). We consider the induced representations

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0)$$

of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. They are realized on the spaces of smooth functions

$$\Psi_s:\mathrm{O}(V)\to\mathscr{V}_\tau\otimes\mathscr{V}_{\pi_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_s:\mathrm{Sp}(W)\to\mathscr{V}_\tau\otimes\mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}$$

such that

$$\Psi_s(u_Pm_P(a)h_0h) = |\det(a)|_F^{s+\rho_P}\tau(a)\pi_0(h_0)\Psi_s(h)$$

and

$$\Phi_s(u_Qm_Q(a')g_0g) = |\det(a')|_F^{s+\rho_Q}\tau(a')\sigma_0(g_0)\Phi_s(g)$$

for any $u_P\in U_P, a\in\mathrm{GL}(X), h_0\in\mathrm{O}(V_0), h\in\mathrm{O}(V)$, and $u_Q\in U_Q, a'\in\mathrm{GL}(Y), g_0\in\mathrm{Sp}(W_0), g\in\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, respectively. Let A_P (resp. A_Q) be the split component of the center of M_P (resp. M_Q) and $W(M_P)=N_{\mathrm{O}(V)}(A_P)/M_P$ (resp. $W(M_Q)=N_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(A_Q)/M_Q$) be the relative Weyl group for M_P (resp. M_Q). Note that

$$W(M_P)\cong W(M_Q)\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

We denote by w (resp. w') the non-trivial element in $W(M_P)$ (resp. $W(M_Q)$). For any representative $\widetilde{w}\in\mathrm{O}(V)$ of w and $\widetilde{w}'\in\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ of w', we define the unnormalized intertwining operators

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w},\tau_s\otimes\pi_0):\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0))$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}',\tau_s\otimes\sigma):\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(w'(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0))$$

by (the meromorphic continuations of) the integrals

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w},\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)\Psi_s(h)=\int_{U_P}\Psi_s\left(\widetilde{w}^{-1}u_Ph\right)du_P$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}',\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0)\Phi_s(g)=\int_{U_Q}\Phi_s\left(\widetilde{w}'^{-1}u_Qg\right)du_Q,$$

where

- du_P and du_Q are the Haar measures given in [Ato18, §6.3]);
- $w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)$ and $w'(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0)$ are the representations of M_P on $\mathscr{V}_\tau\otimes\mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}$ and M_Q on $\mathscr{V}_\tau\otimes\mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}$ given by

  $$w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)(m_P)=(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)\left(\widetilde{w}^{-1}m_P\widetilde{w}\right)$$

  and

  $$w'(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0)(m_Q)=(\tau_s\otimes\sigma_0)\left(\widetilde{w}'^{-1}m_Q\widetilde{w}'\right)$$

  for $m_P\in M_P$ and $m_Q\in M_Q$.

We shall normalize the intertwining operators $\mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}', \tau_s \otimes \sigma\right)$ depending on the choice of the Whittaker data. Having fixed the additive character ψ of F, for any $c' \in F^\times$, we use the Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$ of even orthogonal group and $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}$ of symplectic group as in Subsection 4.2. The normalized intertwining operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) &: \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0\right) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\right), \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}\left(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0\right) &: \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau_s \otimes \sigma_0\right) \to \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(w'(\tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)\right)\end{aligned}$$

will be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\Psi_s(h) = \epsilon(V)^k \times \chi_V(c'/c)^k \times |c'|_F^{k\rho_P} \cdot r(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)^{-1} \times \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\Psi_s(h), \\ &\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)\Phi_s(g) = r(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)^{-1} \times \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}'_1, \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)\Phi_s(g),\end{aligned}$$

where

- $\epsilon(V) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_c}(z_{\phi_{\pi_0}})$, where ϕ_{π_0} is the L-parameters for π_0. Hence
$$\epsilon(V) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V = V_{2n}^+, \\ -1 & \text{if } V = V_{2n}^-. \end{cases}$$
- $\widetilde{w}_{c'}$ and $\widetilde{w}'_1$ are defined by
$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{w}_{c'} &= w_P \cdot m_P\left(c' \cdot a\right) \cdot \left((-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{V_0}\right), \\ \widetilde{w}'_1 &= w_Q \cdot m_Q\left(a'\right) \cdot \left((-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{W_0}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$
where $a \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \cong \mathrm{GL}(X)$ and $a' \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F) \cong \mathrm{GL}(Y)$ is given by
$$a = a' = \begin{pmatrix} & & (-1)^{n-k+1} \\ & \iddots & \\ (-1)^n & & \end{pmatrix}.$$
Note that
$$\det(\widetilde{w}_{c'}) = \det(w_P) \cdot \det\left(m_P(c' \cdot a)\right) \cdot \det\left((-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{V_0}\right) = (-1)^k. \tag{7.2}$$
- Following [Art13], [Ato18, §6.2] and [AG17b, §3.7], $r\left(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0\right)$ and $r\left(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0\right)$ are defined as
$$\begin{aligned} r\left(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0\right) &= \lambda(E/F, \psi)^k \times \frac{L\left(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\pi_0}\right)}{\varepsilon\left(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\pi_0}, \psi\right) L\left(1+s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\pi_0}\right)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{L\left(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau\right)}{\varepsilon\left(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi\right) L\left(1+2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau\right)}, \\ r\left(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0\right) &= \frac{L\left(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\sigma_0}\right)}{\varepsilon\left(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\sigma_0}, \psi\right) L\left(1+s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\sigma_0}\right)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{L\left(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau\right)}{\varepsilon\left(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi\right) L\left(1+2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau\right)}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$
where $\lambda(E/F, \psi)$ is the Langlands λ-factor associated to $E = F(\sqrt{d})$, and $\phi_\tau, \phi_{\sigma_0}$ and ϕ_{π_0} are the L-parameters for τ, σ_0 and π_0 respectively. Note that
$$\lambda(E/F, \psi)^2 = \chi_V(-1). \tag{7.4}$$

Remark 7.1. *(1) The representatives $\widetilde{w}_{c'}$ and $\widetilde{w}'_1$ are chosen according to an F-splittings of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$; see [Ato18, §6.2] for details.*

(2) In Arthur [Art13], the Haar measures of u_P and u_Q are chosen with respect to an F-splittings of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and $Sp(W)$. Readers can consult [Ato18, §6.3] for details. The factors $|c'|_F^{k\rho_P}$ appear because of the different choices of the Haar measures.

Lemma 7.2. *$\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)$ are holomorphic at $s = 0$ and*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) = 1,$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', w'(\tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)) \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0) = 1.$$

Proof. The case when G is symplectic group or quasi-split even orthogonal group is proved in [Art13, Proposition 2.3.1]. When G is an even orthogonal group, we will give a proof in Appendix B.1 based on the explicit formula for Plancherel measures. □

Now assume that $w(\tau \otimes \pi_0) \cong \tau \otimes \pi_0$ and $w'(\tau \otimes \sigma_0) \cong \tau \otimes \sigma_0$, both of which are equivalent to $\tau^\vee \cong \tau$. We take

$$\mathcal{A}_w = \mathcal{A}_{\tau,w} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}} : \mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0} \to \mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{w'} = \mathcal{A}_{\tau,w'} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}} : \mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0} \to \mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}$$

be the unique intertwining isomorphisms such that

- for any $m_P \in M_P, m_Q \in M_Q$,

  $$\mathcal{A}_w \circ w(\tau \otimes \pi_0)(m_P) = (\tau \otimes \pi_0)(m_P) \circ \mathcal{A}_w$$

  and

  $$\mathcal{A}_{w'} \circ w'(\tau \otimes \sigma_0)(m_Q) = (\tau \otimes \sigma_0)(m_Q) \circ \mathcal{A}_{w'}.$$

- $\Lambda \circ \mathcal{A}_{\tau,w} = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda \circ \mathcal{A}_{\tau,w'} = \Lambda$ for a fixed non-zero Whittaker functional Λ on $\mathscr{V}_\tau$ with respect to the Whittaker datum (B_k, ψ_{U_k}), where B_k is the Borel subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ and ψ_{U_k} is the generic character of the unipotent radical U_k of B_k given by $\psi_{U_k}(x) = \psi(x_{1,2} + \cdots + x_{k-1,k})$. Here we identify $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$ with $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ via the identification described at the beginning of this subsection.

Note that $\mathcal{A}_w^2 = \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{w'}^2 = \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}}$. We define the self-intertiwining operators

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0) : \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0),$$
$$R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0) : \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0) \to \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau \otimes \sigma_0)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)\Psi(h) &= \mathcal{A}_w \left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\Psi_s(h)|_{s=0} \right), \\ R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0)\Phi(g) &= \mathcal{A}_{w'} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)\Phi_s(g)|_{s=0} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

where $\Psi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ and $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)$ are holomorphic sections satisfying $\Psi_s|_{s=0} = \Psi$ and $\Phi_s|_{s=0} = \Phi$ respectively. By Lemma 7.2 and our construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)^2 &= 1, \\ R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0)^2 &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Remark 7.3. *(1) The definition of the self-intertwining operator $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$ involves the additive character ψ, but an easy computation shows that different choices of ψ give the same $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$. One can also check that*

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_2}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0) = R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c_1}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0) \times \omega_\tau(c_2/c_1) \times \chi_V(c_2/c_1)^k \quad \text{for } c_1, c_2 \in F^\times.$$

Hence $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$ depends only on the choice of Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$. Similarly, one can show

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi_a,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0) = R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0) \times \omega_\tau(a)$$

for any $a \in F^\times$. In particular,

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi_a,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0) = R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0) \quad \text{if } a \in F^{\times 2},$$

so $R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0)$ depends only on the choice of the Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_{2n})$.

(2) Following [GI16, §7.3], we could also use the normalizing factors $r(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ and $r(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} r(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) &= \lambda(E/F, \psi)^k \times \gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\pi_0}, \psi)^{-1} \times \gamma(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi)^{-1}, \\ r(w', \tau_s \otimes \sigma_0) &= \gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi_{\sigma_0}, \psi)^{-1} \times \gamma(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

These normalizing factors are not the same as the normalizing factors we defined in (7.3). But the normalizing factors defined in (7.7) and (7.3) do share the same analytic behaviours near $s = 0$. So the final self-intertwining operators $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$ and $R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau \otimes \sigma_0)$ will not change if we use the normalizing factors defined in (7.7).

We close this subsection by comparing $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det))$ and $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$. Note that there is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{O}(V)$-representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_s : \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \otimes \det &\cong \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det)) \\ \Psi_s &\mapsto \mathcal{P}_s(\Psi_s) \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

for $\Psi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$, where

$$\mathcal{P}_s(\Psi_s)(h) = \Psi_s(h) \det(h) \quad \text{for } h \in \mathrm{O}(V).$$

Here we realize $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \otimes \det$ on the same space with $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$, but with the action twisted by det. If we identify these two representations via this

isomorphism, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det)\right)(\Psi_s)(h) &= \int_{U_P} \Psi_s\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}^{-1} u_P h\right) \det\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}^{-1} u_P h\right) du_P \\ &= \det(\widetilde{w}_{c'}^{-1}) \cdot \det(h) \cdot \int_{U_P} \Psi_s\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}^{-1} u_P h\right) du_P \\ &= (-1)^{\dim \phi_\tau} \cdot \det(h) \cdot \int_{U_P} \Psi_s\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}^{-1} u_P h\right) du_P \\ &= (-1)^{\dim \phi_\tau} \cdot \mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi\right) \Psi_s(h) \cdot \det(h).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the equation (7.2). This implies

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes (\pi \otimes \det)\right) = (-1)^{\dim \phi_\tau} \mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi\right) \tag{7.9}$$

via the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_s$. Since the Langlands paramters for π_0 and $\pi_0 \otimes \det$ are the same, $\mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det)\right)$ share the same normalizing factors. So we deduce from (7.9) that

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det)) = (-1)^{\dim \phi_\tau} R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0). \tag{7.10}$$

7.2. **Weil representations and mixed models.** In this section, we recall some explicit formulas for the Weil representations. We retain the notation in Subsection 7.1. For simplicity, we write:

- ω_{00} for the Weil representation ω_{ψ, V_0, W_0} of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_0) \times \mathrm{O}(V_0)$ on a space $\mathscr{S}_{00}$;
- ω_0 for the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi, V_0, W}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V_0)$ on a space $\mathscr{S}_0$;
- ω for the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi, V, W}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ on a space $\mathscr{S}$.

We take a mixed model

$$\mathscr{S}_0 = \mathscr{S}(V_0 \otimes Y^\vee) \otimes \mathscr{S}_{00}$$

of ω_0, where we regard $\mathscr{S}_0$ as a space of functions on $V_0 \otimes Y^\vee$ with values in $\mathscr{S}_{00}$. Similarly, we take a mixed model

$$\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}(X^\vee \otimes W) \otimes \mathscr{S}_0$$

of ω, where we regard $\mathscr{S}$ as a space of functions on $X^\vee \otimes W$ with values in $\mathscr{S}_0$. Also, we write

•ρ_{00} for the Heisenberg representation of $\mathcal{H}(V_0 \otimes W_0)$ on $\mathscr{S}_{00}$ with the central character ψ;

•ρ_0 for the Heisenberg representation of $\mathcal{H}(V_0 \otimes W)$ on $\mathscr{S}_0$ with the central character ψ.

Similarly to those of [Ato18, Lemma 6.2,6.3,6.4] and [GI16, §7.4], we obtain some explicit formulas for these Weil representations.

For $\varphi_0 \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $x \in V_0 \otimes Y^\vee$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega_0(g_0)\varphi_0](x) &= \omega_{00}(g_0)\varphi_0(x), && g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W_0),\\
[\omega_0(h_0)\varphi_0](x) &= \omega_{00}(h_0)\varphi_0(h_0^{-1}x), && h_0 \in \mathrm{O}(V_0),\\
[\omega_0(m_Q(a))\varphi_0](x) &= \chi_V(\det a)|\det(a)|_F^{n_0}\varphi_0(a^*x), && a \in \mathrm{GL}(Y),\\
[\omega_0(u_Q(b))\varphi_0](x) &= \rho_{00}(b^*x, 0)\varphi_0(x), && b \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_0, Y),\\
[\omega_0(u_Q(c))\varphi_0](x) &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle cx, x\rangle\right)\varphi_0(x), && c \in \mathrm{Sym}(Y^\vee, Y),\\
[\omega_0(w_Q)\varphi_0](x) &= \gamma_V^{-k}\int_{V_0\otimes Y} \varphi_0\left(I_Y^{-1}z\right)\psi(-\langle z, x\rangle)\,dz,
\end{aligned}$$

where γ_V is the Weil constant associated to V, which is a 4-th root of unity satisfying $\gamma_V^2 = \chi_V(-1)$.

For $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$ and $x \in X^\vee \otimes W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega(g)\varphi](x) &= \omega_0(g)\varphi(g^{-1}x), && g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W),\\
[\omega(h_0)\varphi](x) &= \omega_0(h_0)\varphi(x), && h \in \mathrm{O}(V_0),\\
[\omega(m_P(a))\varphi](x) &= |\det(a)|_F^n\,\varphi(a^*x), && a \in \mathrm{GL}(X),\\
[\omega(u_P(b))\varphi](x) &= \rho_0(b^*x, 0)\varphi(x), && b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, X),\\
[\omega(u_P(c))\varphi](x) &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle cx, x\rangle\right)\varphi(x), && c \in \mathrm{Sym}(X^\vee, X),\\
[\omega(w_P)\varphi](x) &= \int_{X\otimes W} \varphi\left(I_X^{-1}z\right)\psi(-\langle z, x\rangle)\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

7.3. **Construction of the equivariant map.** Recall that we put

$$V = X \oplus V_0 \oplus X^\vee, \quad W = Y \oplus W_0 \oplus Y^\vee$$

with $\dim(X) = \dim(Y) = k$ in Subsection 7.1. Using the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ of X (resp. $\{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ of Y), we identify $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$) with $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Hence we can define an isomorphism $i : \mathrm{GL}(X) \to \mathrm{GL}(Y)$ via these identifications. Put

$$e = v_1 \otimes w_1^* + \cdots + v_k \otimes w_k^* \in X \otimes Y^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad e^* = v_1^* \otimes w_1 + \cdots + v_k^* \otimes w_k \in X^\vee \otimes Y.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}(X^\vee \otimes W) \otimes \mathscr{S}_0$, we define functions $\mathfrak{f}(\varphi), \hat{\mathfrak{f}}(\varphi)$ on $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ with values in $\mathscr{S}_0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{f}(\varphi)(g, h) &= (\omega(g, h)\varphi)\begin{pmatrix} e^* \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},\\
\hat{\mathfrak{f}}(\varphi)(g, h) &= \int_{X^\vee\otimes Y} (\omega(g, h)\varphi)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\psi(\langle x, e\rangle)\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

for $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ and $h \in \mathrm{O}(V)$. Here, we write an element in $X^\vee \otimes W$ as a block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $y_1 \in X^\vee \otimes Y, y_2 \in X^\vee \otimes W_0$ and $y_3 \in X^\vee \otimes Y^\vee$. We also define functions $f(\varphi), \hat{f}(\varphi)$ on $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ with values in $\mathscr{S}_{00}$ by

$$f(\varphi)(g,h) = \mathrm{ev}(\mathfrak{f}(\varphi(g,h))),$$
$$\hat{f}(\varphi)(g,h) = \mathrm{ev}\left(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}(\varphi)(g,h)\right),$$

where

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathscr{S}_0 = \mathscr{S}(V_0 \otimes Y^\vee) \otimes \mathscr{S}_{00} \to \mathscr{S}_{00}$$

is the evaluation at $0 \in V_0 \otimes Y^\vee$. If $f = f(\varphi)$ or $\hat{f}(\varphi)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_Q g, u_P h) =& f(g,h), && u_P \in U_P, u_Q \in U_Q, \\ f(g_0 g, h_0 h) =& \omega_{00}(g_0,h_0) f(g,h), h_0 \in \mathrm{O}(V_0), && g \in \mathrm{Sp}(W_0), \\ f(m_Q(i(a))g, m_P(a)h) =& \chi_V(\det(a))|\det(a)|_F^{\rho_P+\rho_Q} f(g,h), && a \in \mathrm{GL}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Let τ be an irreducible (unitary) tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ on a space $\mathscr{V}_\tau$. We may regard τ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ or $\mathrm{GL}(Y)$ via the above identifications. Let σ_0 and π_0 be irreducible tempered representations of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_0)$ and $\mathrm{O}(V_0)$ on spaces $\mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0}$ and $\mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}$, respectively. Fix nonzero invariant non-degenerate bilinear forms $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $\mathscr{V}_\tau \times \mathscr{V}_{\tau^\vee}, \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0} \times \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0^\vee}$ and $\mathscr{V}_{\pi_0} \times \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0^\vee}$. Let

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \left(\mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0^\vee}\right) \times \mathscr{V}_{\tau^\vee} \to \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0^\vee},$$
$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \left(\mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0^\vee}\right) \times \mathscr{V}_{\tau^\vee} \to \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0^\vee}$$

be the induced maps.

Now assume that

$$\sigma_0 = \theta_{V_0,W_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \neq 0.$$

We fix a nonzero $\mathrm{Sp}(W_0) \times \mathrm{O}(V_0)$-equivariant map

$$\mathcal{T}_{00} : \omega_{00} \otimes \sigma_0^\vee \to \pi_0.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}, \Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s \chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee), h \in \mathrm{O}(V), \check{v} \in \mathscr{V}_{\tau^\vee}$ and $\check{v}_0 \in \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0^\vee}$, put

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\varphi \otimes \Phi_s)(h), \check{v} \otimes \check{v}_0 \rangle \\ &\quad = L(s,\tau)^{-1} \times \int_{U_Q \mathrm{Sp}(W_0) \backslash \mathrm{Sp}(W)} \left\langle \mathcal{T}_{00}(\hat{f}(\varphi)(g,h) \otimes \langle \Phi_s(g), \check{v}\rangle), \check{v}_0 \right\rangle dg. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle \Phi_s(g), \check{v}\rangle \in \mathscr{V}_{\sigma_0^\vee}$.

Proposition 7.4. *We have :*

1. *The integral $\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\varphi \otimes \Phi_s)(h), \check{v} \otimes \check{v}_0 \rangle$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s > 0$ and admits a holomorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$.*
2. *For $\Re(s) < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\varphi \otimes \Phi_s)(h), \check{v} \otimes \check{v}_0 \rangle \\ &\quad = L(s,\tau)^{-1} \gamma(s,\tau,\psi)^{-1} \\ &\qquad \times \int_{U_Q \mathrm{Sp}(W_0) \backslash \mathrm{Sp}(W)} \langle \mathcal{T}_{00}(f(\varphi)(g,h) \otimes \langle \Phi_s(g), \check{v}\rangle), \check{v}_0 \rangle dg. \end{aligned}$$

3. *The map*

$$\mathcal{T}_s : \omega \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s \chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$$

is $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$-equivariant.

(4) For $\Phi \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)$ with $\Phi \neq 0$, there exists $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi, \Phi) \neq 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [Ato18, Proposition 7.2]. □

7.4. **Compatibilities with intertwining operators.** Now we shall prove a key property of the equivariant map we have constructed. Having fixed τ, π_0 and $\sigma_0 = \theta_{\psi,W_0,V_0}(\pi_0) \neq 0$, we let

$$\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, s) = \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_1', s) = \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_1', \tau_s\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)$$

be the unnormalized intertwining operators defined in Subsection 7.1. By the Howe duality, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_s} & \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_1',s)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'},s)} \\ \omega \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(w'(\tau_s\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_{-s}} & \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) \end{array}$$

commutes up to a scalar. The following proposition determines this constant of proportionality explicitly.

Proposition 7.5. *For $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, s)\,\mathcal{T}_s(\varphi \otimes \Phi_s) =& \omega_\tau(-1/c') \times |c'|_F^{-k(\rho_P+s)} \times \gamma_V^k \cdot \chi_V(-1)^k \cdot L(s,\tau)^{-1} \\ & \times L(-s,\tau^\vee) \times \gamma(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi) \times \mathcal{T}_{-s}(\varphi \otimes \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_1', s)\Phi_s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [GI16, Proposition 8.4]. □

As a consequence of Proposition 7.5, we deduce:

Corollary 7.6. *For $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\Phi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau_s\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\,\mathcal{T}_s(\varphi \otimes \Phi_s) =& \omega_\tau(-1/c') \times \chi_V(-c')^k \times \alpha(s) \\ & \times \mathcal{T}_{-s}\left(\varphi \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau_s\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)\,\Phi_s\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(s) = |c'|_F^{-ks} \times \frac{\varepsilon(-s,\tau^\vee,\psi)}{\varepsilon(s,\tau,\psi)}.$$

In particular, if $\tau \cong \tau^\vee$, then $\alpha(0) = 1$ and

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)\,\mathcal{T}_0(\varphi \otimes \Phi) = \omega_\tau(-1/c') \times \chi_V(-c')^k \times \mathcal{T}_0\left(\varphi \otimes R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w', \tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)\,\Phi\right)$$

for $\Phi \in \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)$.

Proof. The corollary immediately follows from Proposition 7.5 and the fact that

$$\gamma_V^{-1} \times \lambda(E/F,\psi) = \epsilon(V) \times \chi_V(c).$$

□

8. The proof of local intertwining relation

We begin to prove Theorem 4.4 (9), i.e., the local intertwining relation, for our construction. We retain the notation in Subsection 7.1. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ be such that

$$\phi = \phi_\tau + \phi_0 + \phi_\tau^\vee,$$

where ϕ_τ is an irreducible tempered representation of WD_F corresponding to $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$ and $\phi_0 \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_0))$. In this case, we have a natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\phi$. Let $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$ be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(V_0)$. Our goal is to analyze the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$.

We divide our proof into two parts. In the first part, we analyze the L-parameter for each irreducible constituent π of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$, and as a corollary, we get some information on the reducibility of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$. In the second part, we analyze the characters $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$ for a fixed choice of Whittaker data $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$.

8.1. L-parameter and reducibility.

We first determine the L-parameter of $\pi \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let π be an irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$. Then the L-parameter of π is ϕ.*

Proof. We divide it into two cases:

- Case I: if $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, let $\sigma = \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)$. Then by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\sigma \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0\right),$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0).$$

Let ϕ^+ and ϕ_0^+ be the L-parameters of σ and σ_0. Then by Theorem 4.2 (6), we have

$$\phi^+ = (\phi_\tau \otimes \chi_V) + \phi_0^+ + (\phi_\tau^\vee \otimes \chi_V).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 5.6 that

$$\phi^+ = (\phi_\pi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_0^+ = (\phi_0 \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V,$$

where ϕ_π is the L-parameter of π. We deduce $\phi_\pi = \phi$ from these equalities.

- Case II: if $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) = 0$, then $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) \neq 0$ by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2). It follows from (7.8) that

$$\pi \otimes \det \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det)).$$

Since by Proposition 5.5,

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi_0 \otimes \det) = \mathcal{L}(\pi_0) = \phi_0,$$

we deduce from Case I that $\mathcal{L}(\pi \otimes \det) = \phi$. Then again by Proposition 5.5, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi) = \mathcal{L}(\pi \otimes \det) = \phi.$$

These complete the proof. □

Next we analyze the reducibility of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$.

Lemma 8.2. *The representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ is semisimple and multiplicity free.*

Proof. Since τ and π_0 are unitary representations, so is $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)$. Hence it is semisimple.

Next we prove $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)$ is multiplicity free. Let $\pi\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ be such that

$$m(\pi)=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\pi,\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0))\geq 1.$$

We shall prove $m(\pi)=1$. We separate the proof into two cases, depending on $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)=0$ or not:

• Case I: If $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)\neq 0$, let $\sigma=\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, there is an injective map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\omega,\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0))\hookrightarrow\left(\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau^\vee\chi_V\otimes\sigma_0)\right)^\vee.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$m(\pi)\leq m(\sigma)$$

where

$$m(\sigma)=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\sigma,\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau^\vee\chi_V\otimes\sigma_0)\right).$$

By Theorem 4.2 , we have $m(\sigma)\leq 1$. Hence $m(\pi)=1$.

• Case II: If $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)=0$, then by the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2), $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)\neq 0$. Note that by (7.8), we have

$$\pi\otimes\det\subseteq\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det)).$$

It then follows from Case I that

$$m(\pi\otimes\det)=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\pi\otimes\det,\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det)))=1.$$

On the other hand, by (7.8), we have $m(\pi)=m(\pi\otimes\det)=1$. This proves Case II. □

Remark 8.3. *This lemma also follows from the abelianess of the Knapp-Stein R-group and the induction in stages. The abelianess of the Knapp-Stein R-group was proved by Goldberg [Gol94] for the quasi-split special orthogonal groups. Later Choiy–Goldberg [CG16, Appendix A] proved that the Knapp-Stein R-group for the non quasi-split special orthogonal groups is isomorphic to its quasi-split pure inner forms. But their proof is under the assumption of the LLC for pure inner forms of quasi-split special orthogonal groups. Since the main purpose of this paper is to prove the LLC for pure inner forms, to avoid a circular reasoning, we give a proof of the lemma here.*

Now we analyze the reducibility of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)$. Recall that there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{S}_\phi$ of component groups. We consider two cases depending on the relative size of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\phi$.

Corollary 8.4. *Assume that $\phi_\tau\subseteq\phi_0$, so the natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{S}_\phi$ is an isomorphism. Then the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. We denote by

$$\mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0))$$

the set of irreducible constituents of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)$. Consider the set

$$\bigsqcup_{\pi_0\in\Pi_{\phi_0}}\mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)).$$

By the Howe duality, Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 8.2, this set is a subset of Π_ϕ. Hence

$$|\Pi_\phi| \geq \left| \bigsqcup_{\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}} \mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)) \right| \geq |\Pi_{\phi_0}|.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.10, we have

$$|\Pi_\phi| = |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}}| = |\Pi_{\phi_0}|.$$

Hence we must have

$$\left| \mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)) \right| = 1$$

for all $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$. This implies $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ is irreducible. □

Corollary 8.5. *If $\phi_\tau \not\subseteq \phi_0$, so the image of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}$ inside $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ is an index 2 subgroup, then $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ is a direct sum of two non-isomorphic irreducible representations.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 8.2 that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ is multiplicity free, so we only need to prove $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ is reducible of length two.

We first prove

$$\left| \mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)) \right| \geq 2; \tag{8.1}$$

in other words, $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$ is reducible. Let

$$\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V,$$
$$\phi_0^+ = (\phi_0 \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V.$$

Depending on the relative size of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0^+}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$, there are two cases:

• Case I: If $\phi_\tau \neq \mathbb{1}$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0^+}$ is an index 2 subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$. It follows from the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2) that at least one of

$$\theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0 \otimes \det)$$

is non-zero. Note that we have the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det)) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0) \otimes \det$$

in (7.8), so the reducibility of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes (\pi_0 \otimes \det))$ is the same as the reducibility of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \neq 0.$$

Put

$$\sigma_0 = \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0).$$

Then the L-parameter of σ_0 is ϕ_0^+ by Proposition 5.6, so is $\sigma_0^\vee$ by (4.10). It then follows from Theorem 4.2 (6) that

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee)$$

is reducible and has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. Put

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee) \cong \sigma_1^\vee \oplus \sigma_2^\vee.$$

Recall that we have constructed an $\mathrm{Sp}(W)\times \mathrm{O}(V)$-equivariant map

$$\mathcal{T}_0 : \omega \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee\right) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)$$

in Subsection 7.3. By the non-vanishing result in Proposition 7.4, we know that the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_0$ to $\omega\otimes\sigma_1^\vee$ and $\omega\otimes\sigma_2^\vee$ are both non-zero. Moreover, their images are irreducible and non-isomorphic to each other by the Howe duality. Hence

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)$$

is reducible.

• Case II: If $\phi_\tau = \mathbb{1}$, then the natural embedding $\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0^+}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$ is an isomorphism. Our assumptions imply that $\mathbb{1}\nsubseteq\phi_0$. It then follows from Corollary 5.7 that both

$$\theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \quad\text{and}\quad \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0\otimes\det)$$

are non-zero. Put

$$\sigma_0^+ = \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \quad\text{and}\quad \sigma_0^- = \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0\otimes\det).$$

Then $\sigma_0^\pm$ both have the L-parameter ϕ_0^+ by Proposition 5.6, so do $(\sigma_0^\pm)^\vee$ by (4.10). By Theorem 4.2 (6), both

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V\otimes\left(\sigma_0^+\right)^\vee\right) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V\otimes\left(\sigma_0^-\right)^\vee\right)$$

are irreducible. Put

$$\left(\sigma^+\right)^\vee = \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V\otimes\left(\sigma_0^+\right)^\vee\right) \quad\text{and}\quad \left(\sigma^-\right)^\vee = \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V\otimes\left(\sigma_0^-\right)^\vee\right).$$

Recall that we have constructed non-zero $\mathrm{O}(V)\times\mathrm{Sp}(W)$-equvariant maps

$$\mathcal{T}_0^+ : \omega\otimes\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V\otimes\left(\sigma_0^+\right)^\vee\right) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_0^- : \omega\otimes\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau\chi_V\otimes\left(\sigma_0^-\right)^\vee\right) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det)\right)$$

in Subsection 7.3. Let

$$\pi^+ \coloneqq \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{T}_0^+) \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)$$

and

$$\pi^- \coloneqq \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{T}_0^-) \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det)\right) = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)\otimes\det.$$

Then $\pi^\pm$ are irreducible by the Howe duality and the L-parameter of $\pi^\pm$ are ϕ by Lemma 8.1. Since $\mathbb{1}\subseteq\phi$, it follows from Proposition 5.8 and the conservation relation (Theorem 3.2) that $\pi^+\ncong\pi^-\otimes\det$. Note that both π^+ and $\pi^-\otimes\det$ lie in $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)$. Hence $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\tau\otimes\pi_0\right)$ is reducible.

It remains to show that

$$\left|\mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0))\right| = 2.$$

Again we consider the set

$$\bigsqcup_{\pi_0\in\Pi_{\phi_0}} \mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau\otimes\pi_0)).$$

By the Howe duality, Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 8.2, this set is a subset of Π_ϕ. Hence by (8.1), we have

$$|\Pi_\phi| \geq \left| \bigsqcup_{\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}} \mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)) \right| \geq 2|\Pi_{\phi_0}|.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.10, we have

$$|\Pi_\phi| = |\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}| = 2|\widehat{S_{\phi_0}}| = 2|\Pi_{\phi_0}|.$$

Hence we must have

$$\left| \mathrm{JH}(\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)) \right| = 2$$

for all $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$. This completes the proof. □

8.2. **Charater of component group.** For any irreducible representation $\pi \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$, we have shown that the L-parameter of π is ϕ in the previous subsection. In this subsection, we study the map $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ for a fixed Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$. We divide it into three cases:

- Case A: $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi$;
- Case B: $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi_0$;
- Case C: $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi_0$ and $\phi_\tau = \mathbb{1}$.

Put

$$\phi^+ = (\phi \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V,$$
$$\phi_0^+ = (\phi_0 \otimes \chi_V) + \chi_V.$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{\phi_0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{\phi_0^+} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}_\phi & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{\phi^+} \end{array} \tag{8.2}$$

is commutative.

Proposition 8.6. *Assume that we are in Case A or Case B.*

(i) Put $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0) = \eta_0$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) = \eta$. Then

$$\eta|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} = \eta_0.$$

(ii) If we further assume that ϕ_τ is self-dual and of orthogonal type, then the restriction of the normalized intertwining operator $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$ to π is the scalar multiplication by $\eta(a)$, where $a \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$ corresponds to ϕ_τ.

Proof. We first prove statement (i).

In Case A, it follows from Lemma 8.1 that the L-parameter for π is ϕ. Since $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi$, by Corollary 5.7, we have

$$\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0.$$

Then Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$\theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \neq 0.$$

Let

$$\sigma = \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi), \quad \sigma_0 = \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0).$$

It follows from Proposition 5.6 that

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = \phi^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(\sigma_0) = \phi_0^+.$$

Put $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma) = \eta^+$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma_0) = \eta_0^+$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} &= \left(\eta^+|_{\mathcal{S}_\phi}\right)|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} && \text{(by our construction of } \eta) \\
&= \left(\eta^+|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0^+}}\right)|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} && \text{(by the commutative diagram (8.2))} \\
&= \eta_0^+|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} && \text{(by Theorem 4.2 (6))} \\
&= \eta_0. && \text{(by our construction of } \eta_0)
\end{aligned}$$

In Case B, it follows from Lemma 8.1 that the L-parameter for π is ϕ. Since $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, by Proposition 5.8, exactly one of

$$\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det)$$

is non-zero. If $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then we may apply the same argument as in Case A to π. So we may assume that

$$\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi \otimes \det) \neq 0.$$

Then by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0 \otimes \det) \neq 0.$$

By a similar argument to Case A, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det)|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0 \otimes \det). \tag{8.3}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi_0$, it follows from our construction of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) &= \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det) \otimes \kappa_\phi, \\
\eta_0 = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0) &= \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0 \otimes \det) \otimes \kappa_{\phi_0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

Hence by (8.3) and (8.4), we have $\eta|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} = \eta_0$. Here we use the fact that $\kappa_\phi|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} = \kappa_{\phi_0}$.

We then prove the statement (ii). It follows from Lemma 8.2 and Schur's lemma that $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\omega, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$ acts on π by scalar multiplication.

In Case A, we have

$$\sigma = \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_0 = \theta_{W_0,V_0,\psi}(\pi_0) \neq 0.$$

Recall that we have constructed the $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)$-equivariant map

$$\mathcal{T}_0 : \omega \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau \otimes \pi_0)$$

in Subsection 7.3. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\sigma \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0),$$

and then

$$\sigma^\vee \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V \otimes \sigma_0^\vee).$$

Here we use the assumption that τ is self-dual and the fact that $\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}(\tau\chi_V\otimes\sigma_0)$ is semi-simple. It follows from the Howe duality and Proposition 7.4 that the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_0$ to $\omega\otimes\sigma^\vee$ gives an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_0 : \omega\otimes\sigma^\vee \to \pi.$$

Then by Corollary 7.6 and the assumption that ϕ_τ is self-dual, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau\otimes\pi_0)|_\pi &= \omega_\tau(-1/c')\times\chi_V(-c')^k\times R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w',\tau\chi_V\otimes\sigma_0)|_{\sigma^\vee}\\ &= \omega_\tau(-c')\times\chi_V(-c')^k\times R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w',\tau\chi_V\otimes\sigma_0)|_{\sigma^\vee}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

It follows from Theorem 4.2 (6) and (4.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(w',\tau\chi_V\otimes\sigma_0)|_{\sigma^\vee} =&\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(\sigma^\vee)(a')\\ =&\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(\sigma)(a')\times\eta_{\phi^+,-1}(a')\\ =&\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(\sigma)(a')\times\omega_\tau(-1)\times\chi_V(-1)^k, \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

where $a'\in\mathcal{S}_{\phi^+}$ corresponds to $\phi_\tau\otimes\chi_V$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.2 (4), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,c'}}(\sigma)(a') = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{\psi,1}}(\sigma)(a')\times\omega_\tau(c')\times\chi_V(c')^k. \tag{8.7}$$

Recall that by our construction of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$, we also have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(a) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}'_{c'}}(\sigma)(a'). \tag{8.8}$$

Combining the equalities (8.5), (8.6), (8.7) and (8.8), we deduce

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\omega,\tau\otimes\pi_0)|_\pi = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(a).$$

In Case B, if $\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)\neq 0$, then we may apply the same argument as in Case A to π. So we may assume that

$$\theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi)=0 \quad\text{and}\quad \theta_{W,V,\psi}(\pi\otimes\det)\neq 0.$$

By a similar argument to Case A, we have

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det))|_{\pi\otimes\det} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det)(a). \tag{8.9}$$

Since $\mathbb{1}\subseteq\phi$, it follows from our construction for $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ in Section 5.4 that

$$\eta = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det)\otimes\kappa_\phi. \tag{8.10}$$

On the other hand, by (7.10), we have

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det))|_{\pi\otimes\det} = (-1)^{\dim\tau}\cdot R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau\otimes\pi_0)|_\pi. \tag{8.11}$$

So by (8.9), (8.10) and (8.11), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(a) =&\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(a)\\ =&\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi\otimes\det)(a)\times\kappa_\phi(a)\\ =&R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau\otimes(\pi_0\otimes\det))|_{\pi\otimes\det}\times(-1)^{\dim\tau}\\ =&R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau\otimes\pi_0)|_\pi. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Remark 8.7. *To apply the similar argument to Case C, we need the formula*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0 \otimes \det) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0) \otimes \kappa_{\phi_0} \quad \text{for } \pi_0 \in \Pi_{\phi_0}$$

in the case when $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi_0$*. But this does not directly follow from our construction. We will prove this formula in the next proposition.*

Let $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$. Then $\pi \otimes \det \in \Pi_\phi$ by Proposition 5.5. We compare $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$ with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det)$.

Proposition 8.8. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ *and* $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$*. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \otimes \kappa_\phi,$$

where κ_ϕ *is defined in (4.4).*

Proof. The proof follows an idea in [Ato18, §7]. If $\mathbb{1} \subseteq \phi$, then this follows from our construction of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ in Subsection 5.4. So we assume that $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi$, and write

$$\phi = m_1\phi_1 + \cdots + m_l\phi_l + \varphi + \varphi^\vee,$$

where ϕ_i are pairwise distinct irreducible k_i-dimensional orthogonal representations of WD_F and φ is a sum of irreducible tempered representations of WD_F which are not orthogonal. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_i,$$

where a_i corresponds to ϕ_i. For any $i \in \{1, 2 \cdots l\}$, let τ_i be the irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(F)$ corresponding to ϕ_i. We consider the induced representations

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes \pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes (\pi \otimes \det)),$$

where $V' = V \oplus \mathbb{H}^k$ and P' is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V')$ with Levi component $M_{P'} \cong \mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(F)$. Put

$$\phi' = \phi_i + \phi + \phi_i^\vee.$$

Since $\phi_i \subseteq \phi$, the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_\phi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi'}$ is an isomorphism. It then follows from Proposition 5.5, Lemma 8.1 and Corollary 8.4 that both $\mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes \pi)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes (\pi \otimes \det))$ are irreducible and have the L-parameter ϕ'. Write

$$\pi' = \mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes \pi).$$

By (7.8), we have

$$\pi' \otimes \det \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes (\pi \otimes \det)).$$

If we identify $\mathcal{S}_{\phi'}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ through the natural isomorphism, then it follows from Proposition 8.6 (Case A) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(a_i) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi')(a_i) &= R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_i \otimes \pi)|_{\pi'}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det)(a_i) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi' \otimes \det)(a_i) &= R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_i \otimes (\pi \otimes \det))|_{\pi' \otimes \det}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

On the other hand, by (7.10), we know that

$$R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_i \otimes (\pi \otimes \det))|_{\pi' \otimes \det} = (-1)^{k_i} R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_i \otimes \pi)|_{\pi'}. \tag{8.13}$$

Hence by (4.4), (8.12) and (8.13), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(a_i) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det)(a_i) \times (-1)^{k_i} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det)(a_i) \times \kappa_\phi(a_i).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Now we can prove Proposition 8.6 for Case C.

Corollary 8.9. *Assume that we are in Case C, i.e., $\mathbb{1} \not\subseteq \phi_0$ and $\phi_\tau = \mathbb{1}$.*

(i) Put $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0) = \eta_0$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) = \eta$. Then

$$\eta|_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi_0}} = \eta_0.$$

(ii) If we further assume ϕ_τ is self-dual and of orthogonal type, then the restriction of the normalized intertwining operator $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\omega, \tau \otimes \pi_0)$ to π is the scalar multiplication by $\eta(a)$, where $a \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$ corrresponds to ϕ_τ.

Proof. It follows by Proposition 8.8 that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0 \otimes \det) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi_0) \otimes \kappa_{\phi_0}.$$

So now we can apply the same argument in Proposition 8.6 for Case B to this case. □

Combining Lemma 8.1, Corollary 8.4, Corollary 8.5, Proposition 8.6 and Corollary 8.9, we deduce the local intertwing relation for even orthogonal groups:

Corollary 8.10. *The maps $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ we constructed satisfy the local intertwining relation in Theorem 4.4 (9).*

9. Comparison with local Langlands corresponence à la Arthur

Fix $(d, c) \in (F^\times)^2$ and let $E = F(\sqrt{d})$. Let $V_{2n} = V_{2n}^+$ be the $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space associated to (d, c). For any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+))$ and $c' \in cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$, we associated a pair

$$\big(\phi = \mathcal{L}(\pi), \quad \eta = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)\big)$$

to π in Section 5. In Theorem 4.5, Arthur and Atobe–Gan also associated a pair

$$\Big(\phi^A = \mathcal{L}^A(\pi), \quad \eta^A = \mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}'_c}(\pi)\Big)$$

to π. The following theorem shows that our classification coincides with Arthur's in the quasi-split case.

Theorem 9.1. *We have*

$$\phi = \phi^A \quad \textit{and} \quad \eta = \eta^A.$$

Proof. This theorem is a corollary of [AG17b, Theorem 4.4]. For the convenience of the reader, we inculde the proof here. By Proposition 5.11 and Theorem 4.5, both two LLC are compatible with Langlands quotients. Without loss of generality, we may assume that π is tempered. It follows by Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 4.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s \otimes \pi) =& \gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi^\vee, \psi) \times \gamma(-s, \phi_\tau^\vee \otimes \phi, \psi_{-1}) \\ &\times \gamma(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi) \times \gamma(-2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau^\vee, \psi_{-1})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\psi(\tau_s \otimes \pi) =& \gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes (\phi^A)^\vee, \psi) \times \gamma(-s, \phi_\tau^\vee \otimes \phi^A, \psi_{-1}) \\ &\times \gamma(2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau, \psi) \times \gamma(-2s, \wedge^2 \circ \phi_\tau^\vee, \psi_{-1})\end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F))$, where ϕ_τ is the L-parameter of τ. Hence

$$\gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes \phi^\vee, \psi) \times \gamma(-s, \phi_\tau^\vee \otimes \phi, \psi_{-1}) = \gamma(s, \phi_\tau \otimes (\phi^A)^\vee, \psi) \times \gamma(-s, \phi_\tau^\vee \otimes \phi^A, \psi_{-1}).$$

Then by Lemma 4.1, we deduce that $\phi = \phi^A$.

Next we prove $\eta = \eta^A$. Write

$$\phi = m_1\phi_1 + \cdots + m_l\phi_l + \varphi + \varphi^\vee,$$

where ϕ_i are pairwise distinct irreducible orthogonal representations of WD_F and φ is a sum of irreducible tempered representations of WD_F which are not orthogonal. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_i,$$

where a_i corresponds to ϕ_i.

For any $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l\}$, let τ_i be the irreducible unitary representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(F)$ corresponding to ϕ_i. We consider the induced representation $\widetilde{\pi}_i = \mathrm{Ind}_{P'}^{\mathrm{O}(V')}(\tau_i \otimes \pi)$, where $V' = V \oplus \mathbb{H}^k$ and P' is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V')$ with Levi component

$$M_{P'} \cong \mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(F).$$

It follows from Corollary 8.10 and Theorem 4.5 that $\widetilde{\pi}_i$ is irreducible, with L-parameter

$$\phi' = \phi_i + \phi + \phi_i^\vee$$

and corresponds to

$$\begin{cases} \eta & \text{under } \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}, \\ \eta^A & \text{under } \mathcal{J}^A_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}. \end{cases}$$

Here we identify $\mathcal{S}_{\phi'}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ through the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_{\phi'} \cong \mathcal{S}_\phi$. Let $R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_i \otimes \pi)$ be the normalized intertwining operator defined in Subsection 7.1. Apply Corollary 8.10 and Theorem 4.5 again, and we have

$$\eta(a_i) = R_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_i \otimes \pi)|_{\widetilde{\pi}_i} = \eta^A(a_i). \tag{9.1}$$

Hence

$$\eta = \eta^A.$$

This completes the proof. □

We then prove Theorem 4.4 (6) holds for our construction:

Corollary 9.2. *Let $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ and $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$. For each $c' \in F^\times$ and Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, $\pi \in \Pi_\phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^+))$ if and only if*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)(z_\phi) = \chi_V(c'/c).$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases:

• Case I: If $c' \in cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$, then $\chi_V(c'/c) = 1$ and this case follows from Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 9.1.

• Case II: If $c' \notin cN_{E/F}(E^\times)$, then this case follows from Case I and Proposition 5.14. □

10. Completion of the proof

So far, we have proved that our construction of LLC satisfies Theorem 4.4 (1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (9), (10), (11), (12). In this section, we shall prove our construction of LLC satisfies (3), (7) and (8) in Theorem 4.4, and hence complete the proof of Theorem 4.4. As in the previous sections, we fix $(d, c) \in (F^\times)^2$. Let $V_{2n} = V_{2n}^+$ be the $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space associated to (d, c).

We first prove that our construction satisfies Theorem 4.4 (8).

Proposition 10.1. *For any $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ and $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$, the determinant twist $\pi \otimes \det$ also belongs to Π_ϕ, and*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi \otimes \det) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) \otimes \kappa_\phi.$$

Proof. By our construction in Subsection 5.5, it is enough to prove this when $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$. This follows from Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 8.8. □

Before proving Theorem 4.4 (3), we do some preparations. For any $c' \in F^\times$, let V'_{2n} be the $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space associated to (d, c') and W_{2n} be the $2n$-dimensional symplectic space. Let $U', \widetilde{U}$ and $\mu'_{c'}, \mu^+_{c'}$ be those defined in Subsection 4.2. The following lemma computes the Whittaker model of the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_{V'_{2n}, W_{2n}, \psi}$.

Lemma 10.2. *We have*

$$\omega_{(U', \mu'_{c'})} \cong \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{U}}^{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n})} \mu^+_{c'}.$$

Proof. See [GRS97] and [MS00] for an analogous computation. We omit the details. □

Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n}))$. We calculate

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n}) \times U'}(\omega, \pi \boxtimes \mu'_{c'})$$

in two different ways. On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n}) \times U'}(\omega, \pi \boxtimes \mu'_{c'}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{U'}(\Theta_{W_{2n}, V'_{2n}, \psi}(\pi), \mu'_{c'}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{U'}(\theta_{W_{2n}, V'_{2n}, \psi}(\pi), \mu'_{c'}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, by Lemma 10.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n}) \times U'}(\omega, \pi \boxtimes \mu'_{c'}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n})}\left(\mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{U}}^{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n})} \mu^+_{c'}, \pi\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n})}\left(\pi^\vee, \mathrm{Ind}_{\widetilde{U}}^{\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n})} (\mu^+_{c'})^\vee\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U}}\left(\pi^\vee, (\mu^+_{c'})^\vee\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{U}}\left(\pi, \mu^+_{c'}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality follows from the fact that both π and $\mu^+_{c'}$ are unitary. So we deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 10.3. *For any $c' \in F^\times$, $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V'_{2n}))$ is $\mathfrak{W}^+_{c'}$-generic if and only if $\sigma = \theta_{W_{2n}, V'_{2n}, \psi}(\pi)$ is $\mathfrak{W}_{\psi, c'}$-generic.*

We then prove Theorem 4.4 (3):

Proposition 10.4. *Assume that $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{temp}}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$. Then for each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$,*

- *there is a unique $\mathfrak{W}^+_{c'}$-generic representation π in Π_ϕ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi)$ is the trivial representation of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$;*
- *there is a unique $\mathfrak{W}^-_{c'}$-generic representation π in Π_ϕ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(\pi) = \kappa_\phi$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 4.2 (3), Proposition 10.3 and our construction of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$. Note that π is $\mathfrak{W}^+_{c'}$-generic if and only if $\pi \otimes \det$ is $\mathfrak{W}^-_{c'}$-generic, so the second statement follows from the first statement and Proposition 8.8. □

Finally we prove Theorem 4.4 (7):

Proposition 10.5. *Under the LLC we constructed, the following are equivalent:*

- *$\phi \in \Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$;*
- *some $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ satisfies $\pi \otimes \det \neq \pi$;*
- *all $\pi \in \Pi_\phi$ satisfy $\pi \otimes \det \neq \pi$.*

Proof. Note that for $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$, we have

$$\kappa_\phi \neq 1 \quad \text{if and only if } \phi \in \Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})).$$

Then this proposition follows from Theorem 4.4 (2) and (8), which we have proved in Corollary 5.12 and Proposition 10.1. □

So we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Appendix A. Local Langlands correspondence for special even orthogonal groups

In [Art13], Arthur established a weaker version LLC for quasi-split special even orthogonal groups from the LLC for quasi-split even orthogonal groups. This was explicated by Atobe–Gan [AG17b]. Since we now construct the LLC for even orthogonal groups, following Arthur's idea, we can deduce a weaker version LLC for special even orthogonal groups. We shall do it in this appendix.

Let $V = V_{2n}$ be a $2n$-dimensional orthogonal space and χ_V be the discriminant character of V. By [GGP12, §8] and [AG17b, §3], we define

$$\Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) = \{\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to \mathrm{O}(2n,\mathbb{C}) | \det(\phi) = \chi_V\}/(\mathrm{SO}(2n,\mathbb{C})\text{-conjugacy}).$$

and call an element $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ an L-parameter for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. Note that $\Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ is different from $\Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$ since we consider the $\mathrm{SO}(2n,\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy rather than $\mathrm{O}(2n,\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy here. There is a natural surjective map

$$\Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \twoheadrightarrow \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})). \tag{A.1}$$

We define $\Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ to be the preimage of $\Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$. It is easy to check that the map (A.1) is bijective on the subset $\Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$ and is a two-to-one map on $\Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) \setminus \Phi^\epsilon(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$.

Next we state the local Langlands correspondence for special even orthogonal groups. The reader can consult [AG17b, §3.3] for a detailed description.

Desideratum A.1. *Fix $(d,c) \in (F^\times)^2$. Let $V_{2n} = V^+_{2n}$ be the orthogonal space associated to (d,c), and $\chi_V = (\cdot,d)_F$ be the discriminant character of V_{2n}.*

(1). There exists a surjective map

$$\mathcal{L}: \bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta})\right) \longrightarrow \Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$$

which is finite-to-one. For any $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$, we denote $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\phi)$ by Π_ϕ and call it the L-packet of ϕ. We also write $\Pi_\phi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) = \Pi_\phi \cap \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})\right)$.

(2). For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, there exists a canonical bijection

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_\phi \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi^+}. \tag{A.2}$$

(3). The L-packet Π_ϕ and the bijection $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ satisfy the analogues of Theorem 4.4 (3)-(12).

Desideratum A.1 has not been established. However, following what Arthur did in [Art13], we can deduce a weaker version of Desideratum A.1 as follows.

We introduce an equivalence relation $\sim_\epsilon$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$. Choose an element $\epsilon \in \mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta})$ such that $\det(\epsilon) = -1$. For $\pi_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$, we define its conjugate π_0^ϵ by

$$\pi_0^\epsilon(h) = \pi_0(\epsilon^{-1}h\epsilon) \quad \text{for } h \in \mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta}).$$

Then the equivalence relation $\sim_\epsilon$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$ is defined by

$$\pi_0 \sim_\epsilon \pi_0^\epsilon.$$

We denote by $[\pi_0]$ the image of a representation $\pi_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$ under the canonical map $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta})) \to \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))/\sim_\epsilon$. We say that $[\pi_0] \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))/\sim_\epsilon$ is tempered (resp. discrete) if some (and hence any) representative π_0 is tempered (resp. discrete). We also define an equivalence relation $\sim_{\det}$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))$ by

$$\pi \sim_{\det} \pi \otimes \det \quad \text{for } \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta})).$$

Then the restriction and the induction gives a canonical bijection

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))/\sim_{\det} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta}))/\sim_\epsilon .$$

We state the weaker version of LLC for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ as follows:

Theorem A.2 (Weak LLC for special even orthogonal groups)**.** *Fix $(d,c) \in (F^\times)^2$. Let $V_{2n} = V_{2n}^+$ be the orthogonal space associated to (d,c), and $\chi_V = (\cdot, d)_F$ be the discriminant character of V_{2n}.*

(1). There exists a surjective map

$$\mathcal{L}: \bigsqcup_{\delta\in\{\pm 1\}} \left(\mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^{\delta})\right)/\sim_\epsilon\right) \longrightarrow \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n})),$$

which is finite-to-one. For $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$, we denote $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\phi)$ by Π_ϕ^0 and call it the L-packet of ϕ. We also write $\Pi_\phi^0(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})) = \Pi_\phi^0 \cap \mathrm{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})\right)$.

(2). For each Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, there exist a canonical bijection

$$\mathcal{J}^0_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}} : \Pi_\phi^0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi^+}. \tag{A.3}$$

(3). The L-packet Π_ϕ^0 and the bijection $\mathcal{J}^0_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}$ satisfy the analogues of Theorem 4.4 (3)-(12).

(4). For $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}))$, let Π_ϕ be the L-packet defined in Theorem 4.4. Then the image of Π_ϕ under the map

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta)) \longrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V_{2n}^\delta))/\sim_{\det}\right) \longrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}^\delta))/\sim_\epsilon\right)$$

is the packet Π_ϕ^0 and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi_\phi & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}} & \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \ell^* \\ \Pi_\phi^0 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}^0_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}} & \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi^+} \end{array}$$

is commutative for any Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$, where $\ell : \mathcal{S}_\phi^+ \to \mathcal{S}_\phi$ is the natural embedding.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.4; see also Atobe–Gan [AG17b, §3.5] for an explication. □

Appendix B. The Plancherel measures and normalized intertwining operators

We recall the definition of Plancherel measures and prove Lemma 7.2 in this appendix.

We retain the notation in Subsection 7.1. Let $\overline{P} = M_P U_{\overline{P}}$ be the opposite parabolic subgroup to P of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and consider the induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0).$$

Similarly to those of Subsection 7.1, they are realized on the space of smooth functions

$$\overline{\Psi}_s : \mathrm{O}(V) \to \mathscr{V}_\tau \otimes \mathscr{V}_{\pi_0}$$

such that

$$\overline{\Psi}_s(u_{\overline{P}} m_P(a) h_0 h) = |\det(a)|_F^{s+\rho_{\overline{P}}} \tau(a)\pi_0(h_0)\overline{\Psi}_s(h)$$

for any $u_{\overline{P}} \in U_{\overline{P}}, a \in \mathrm{GL}(X), h_0 \in \mathrm{O}(V_0), h \in \mathrm{O}(V)$. As in [GI14, §12], we define the standard intertwining operator

$$J_{\overline{P}|P}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) : \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$$

by (the meromorphic continuations of) the integrals

$$J_{\overline{P}|P}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\Psi_s(h) = \int_{U_{\overline{P}}} \Psi_s(\bar{u}h)d\bar{u}$$

for $\Psi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$. Similarly, we have the standard intertwining operator

$$J_{P|\overline{P}}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) : \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0).$$

By [GI14, §12], the Plancherel measure associated to $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ is a rational function $\mu(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ such that

$$J_{P|\overline{P}}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \circ J_{\overline{P}|P}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) = \mu(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)^{-1}.$$

At this point, the Plancherel measure $\mu(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ is only well-defined up to a scalar since it depends on the choice of Haar measures on U_P and $U_{\overline{P}}$. We refer the reader to [GI14, Appendix B.2] for the choice of these Haar measures we used here.

Fix a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{W}_{c'}$. Let $\widetilde{w}_{c'}$ be the lift of w in (7.1). Then there is a intertwining isomorphism

$$\ell(w_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) : \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0))$$

given by left translation

$$\left(\ell(w_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\overline{\Psi}_s\right)(h) = \overline{\Psi}_s(\widetilde{w}_{c'}^{-1}h)$$

for $\overline{\Psi}_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ and $h \in \mathrm{O}(V)$. It is easy to check that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) & \xrightarrow{J_{\overline{P}|P}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)} & \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \ell(w_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)} \\ \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)} & \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) \end{array} \tag{B.1}$$

is commutative, where $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ is the unnormalized intertwining operator defined in Subsection 7.1. Note that

$$\widetilde{w}_{c'}^2 = m_P((-\mathbf{1})^{k-1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{V_0}.$$

Hence $\widetilde{w}_{c'}^2$ lies in the center of M_P. We have an intertwining isomorphism

$$\ell(w_{c'}^2, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) : \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(w^2(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) = \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$$

given by left translation

$$\left(\ell(w_{c'}^2, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)\Psi_s\right)(h) = \Psi_s((\widetilde{w}_{c'})^{-2}h) = \omega_\tau(-1)^{k-1}\Psi_s(h). \tag{B.2}$$

for $\Psi_s \in \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ and $h \in \mathrm{O}(V)$. Here ω_τ is the central character of τ. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) & \xrightarrow{J_{P|\overline{P}}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)} & \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \ell(w_{c'}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \ell(w_{c'}^2, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)} \\ \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0))} & \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{\mathrm{O}(V)}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0). \end{array} \tag{B.3}$$

Combining (B.1), (B.2) and (B.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'}, w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) \circ \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c}, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \\ =&\ell(w_{c'}^2, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \circ J_{P|\overline{P}}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \circ J_{\overline{P}|P}(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0) \\ =&\omega_\tau(-1)^{k-1} \times \mu(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

Now we begin to prove Lemma 7.2 for even orthogonal groups.

Proposition B.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ and be the normalized intertwining operator defined in Subsection 7.1. Then we have*

(i) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, w(\tau_s \otimes \pi_0)) \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0) = 1$;
(ii) $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, w(\tau_{-\bar{s}} \otimes \pi_0))^* = \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$.

In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ is unitary when s is purely imaginary. Hence $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, \tau_s \otimes \pi_0)$ is holomorphic at $s = 0$.

Proof. We first prove (i). Let ϕ_τ and ϕ_0 be the L-parameters of τ and π_0. Then by (B.4) and Corollary 8.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w, w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0))\circ\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{W}_{c'}}(w,\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)\\
&=\epsilon(V)^{2k}\times\chi_V(c'/c)^{2k}\times r(w,w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0))^{-1}\times r(w,\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)^{-1}\\
&\quad\times|c'|_F^{k\rho_P}\times|c'|_F^{k\rho_{\overline{P}}}\times\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'},w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0))\circ\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{w}_{c'},\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)\\
&=r(w,w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0))^{-1}\times r(w,\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)^{-1}\times\omega_\tau(-1)^{k-1}\times\mu(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)^{-1}\\
&=r(w,w(\tau_s\otimes\pi_0))^{-1}\times r(w,\tau_s\otimes\pi_0)^{-1}\times\omega_\tau(-1)^{k-1}\times\gamma(s,\phi_\tau\otimes\phi_0^\vee,\psi)^{-1}\\
&\quad\times\gamma(-s,\phi_\tau^\vee\otimes\phi_0,\psi_{-1})\times\gamma(2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau,\psi)^{-1}\times\gamma(-2s,\wedge^2\circ\phi_\tau^\vee,\psi_{-1})^{-1}\\
&=1,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (7.3), (7.4) and the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(s,\phi,\psi)&=\frac{\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi)\times L(1-s,\phi^\vee)}{L(s,\phi)},\\
\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_{-1})&=\det(\phi)(-1)\times\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi)
\end{aligned}$$

for any representation ϕ of WD_F.

The second statement follows from a similar argument in [Art13, Proposition 2.3.1], we omit the details here. □

References

[AG17a] Hiraku Atobe and Wee Teck Gan. Local theta correspondence of tempered representations and Langlands parameters. *Invent. Math.*, 210(2):341–415, 2017.

[AG17b] Hiraku Atobe and Wee Teck Gan. On the local Langlands correspondence and Arthur conjecture for even orthogonal groups. *Represent. Theory*, 21:354–415, 2017.

[Art13] James Arthur. *The endoscopic classification of representations*, volume 61 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. Orthogonal and symplectic groups.

[Ato17] Hiraku Atobe. On the uniqueness of generic representations in an L-packet. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):7051–7068, 2017.

[Ato18] Hiraku Atobe. The local theta correspondence and the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for the symplectic-metaplectic case. *Math. Ann.*, 371(1-2):225–295, 2018.

[CG15] Ping-Shun Chan and Wee Teck Gan. The local Langlands conjecture for GSp(4) III: Stability and twisted endoscopy. *J. Number Theory*, 146:69–133, 2015.

[CG16] Kwangho Choiy and David Goldberg. Invariance of R-groups between p-adic inner forms of quasi-split classical groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(2):1387–1410, 2016.

[CZ20] Rui Chen and Jialiang Zou. Local langlands correspondence for unitary groups via theta lifts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.01771*, 2020.

[GGP12] Wee Teck Gan, Benedict H. Gross, and Dipendra Prasad. Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups. Number 346, pages 1–109. 2012. Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I.

[GI14] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino. Formal degrees and local theta correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 195(3):509–672, 2014.

[GI16] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino. The Gross-Prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 206(3):705–799, 2016.

[Gol94] David Goldberg. Reducibility of induced representations for $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. *Amer. J. Math.*, 116(5):1101–1151, 1994.

[GRS97] David Ginzburg, Stephen Rallis, and David Soudry. Periods, poles of L-functions and symplectic-orthogonal theta lifts. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 487:85–114, 1997.

[GS12] Wee Teck Gan and Gordan Savin. Representations of metaplectic groups I: epsilon dichotomy and local Langlands correspondence. *Compos. Math.*, 148(6):1655–1694, 2012.

[GS17] Wee Teck Gan and Binyong Sun. The Howe duality conjecture: quaternionic case. In *Representation theory, number theory, and invariant theory*, volume 323 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 175–192. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.

[GT11] Wee Teck Gan and Shuichiro Takeda. The local Langlands conjecture for GSp(4). *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(3):1841–1882, 2011.

[GT16] Wee Teck Gan and Shuichiro Takeda. A proof of the Howe duality conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29(2):473–493, 2016.

[Kal13] Tasho Kaletha. Genericity and contragredience in the local Langlands correspondence. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(10):2447–2474, 2013.

[Kon03] Takuya Konno. A note on the Langlands classification and irreducibility of induced representations of p-adic groups. *Kyushu J. Math.*, 57(2):383–409, 2003.

[Kud86] Stephen S. Kudla. On the local theta-correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 83(2):229–255, 1986.

[Kud94] Stephen S. Kudla. Splitting metaplectic covers of dual reductive pairs. *Israel J. Math.*, 87(1-3):361–401, 1994.

[Kud96] Stephen Kudla. Notes on the local theta correspondence. *unpublished notes, available online*, 1996.

[LR05] Erez M. Lapid and Stephen Rallis. On the local factors of representations of classical groups. In *Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects*, volume 11 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 309–359. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005.

[Luo20] Caihua Luo. Endoscopic character identities for metaplectic groups. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 1(ahead-of-print), 2020.

[Mœg11] Colette Mœglin. Multiplicité 1 dans les paquets d'Arthur aux places p-adiques. In *On certain L-functions*, volume 13 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 333–374. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[Mok15] Chung Pang Mok. Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 235(1108):vi+248, 2015.

[MR18] Colette Mœglin and David Renard. Sur les paquets d'Arthur des groupes classiques et unitaires non quasi-déployés. In *Relative aspects in representation theory, Langlands functoriality and automorphic forms*, volume 2221 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 341–361. Springer, Cham, 2018.

[MS00] Goran Muić and Gordan Savin. Symplectic-orthogonal theta lifts of generic discrete series. *Duke Math. J.*, 101(2):317–333, 2000.

[MVW87] Colette Mœglin, Marie-France Vignéras, and Jean-Loup Waldspurger. *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique*, volume 1291 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[Pra93] Dipendra Prasad. On the local Howe duality correspondence. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (11):279–287, 1993.

[Sch85] Winfried Scharlau. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, volume 270 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[Sil78] Allan J. Silberger. The Langlands quotient theorem for p-adic groups. *Math. Ann.*, 236(2):95–104, 1978.

[SZ15] Binyong Sun and Chen-Bo Zhu. Conservation relations for local theta correspondence. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(4):939–983, 2015.

[Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[Vog93] David A. Vogan, Jr. The local Langlands conjecture. In *Representation theory of groups and algebras*, volume 145 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 305–379. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[Wal90] J.-L. Waldspurger. Démonstration d'une conjecture de dualité de Howe dans le cas p-adique, $p \neq 2$. In *Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I (Ramat Aviv, 1989)*, volume 2 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 267–324. Weizmann, Jerusalem, 1990.

National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076, Republic of Singapore
Email address: e0046839@u.nus.edu.sg

National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076, Republic of Singapore
Email address: e0220154@u.nus.edu