

SYZYGIES OF DETERMINANTAL THICKENINGS

HANG HUANG

ABSTRACT. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_{i,j}]$ be the ring of polynomial functions on the space of $m \times n$ matrices, and consider the action of the group $\mathbf{GL} = \mathbf{GL}_m \times \mathbf{GL}_n$ via row and column operations on the matrix entries. It is proven in [RW1] that for a \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal $I \subseteq S$, the linear strands of its minimal free resolution translates via the BGG correspondence to modules over the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. When $I = I_\lambda$ is the ideal generated by the \mathbf{GL} -orbit of a highest weight vector of weight λ , they gave a conjectural description of the classes of these $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -modules in the Grothendieck group. We prove their conjecture here. We also give a algorithmic description of how to get the classes of these $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -modules for any \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal $I \subseteq S$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ of $m \times n$ complex matrices ($m \geq n$). Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_{i,j}]$ be its coordinate ring. The group $\mathbf{GL} = \mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ via row and column operations. This makes S into a \mathbf{GL} -representation. We can use Cauchy's formula to decompose S into irreducible \mathbf{GL} -representations as follows. Write S_λ for the *Schur functor* associated to a partition λ and use [Wey, Corollary 2.3.3], we have that

$$(1.1) \quad S = \bigoplus_{l(\lambda) \leq n} S_\lambda \mathbb{C}^m \otimes S_\lambda \mathbb{C}^n.$$

When $I \subseteq S$ is a \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal, the *syzygy modules* $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(I, \mathbb{C})$ are naturally \mathbf{GL} -representations. But their explicit description is only known in special cases [Las] [ABW] [PW] [RW].

We can translate the problem of computing syzygies into one modules over the exterior algebra via the BGG correspondence (described in Section 2.4). In [RW1], Raicu and Weyman have related this to the representation theory of the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. In particular, they proved that the linear strands of the minimal free resolution of a \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal translate via the BGG correspondence to finite length $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -modules. We consider I_λ which is generated by a single summand $S_\lambda \mathbb{C}^m \otimes S_\lambda \mathbb{C}^n$ in 1.1. This is called a *principal \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal* since it is generated by the \mathbf{GL} -orbit of a single highest weight vector. In the same paper [RW1], Raicu and Weyman gave a conjectural description of $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(I_\lambda, \mathbb{C})$ for any partition λ . They have verified their conjecture there for $\lambda = (a^b)$ any rectangular shaped partition by comparing the conjecture with their result in [RW]. We will prove their conjecture in this paper. We will also give a description of $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(I, \mathbb{C})$ for any \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal $I \subseteq S$ using the combinatorics of Dyck paths (discussed in Section 2.1) and $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -representations (discussed in Section 2.3). The strategy we used here is to put a filtration on the complex of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -modules obtained via the BGG correspondence which encodes the linear strands of the minimal free resolution of I . We study the corresponding

spectral sequence carefully and prove that it degenerates on the second page in Proposition 3.5. In most of the proof we will assume I is a principal \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal just for the simplicity of notations. All the arguments remain the same for a general \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some background on the combinatorics of partitions and Dyck paths, discuss some basic aspects of the representation theory of general linear Lie algebras and superalgebras, and recall the statement of the BGG correspondence. This section overlaps a lot with Section 2 in [RW1]. In Section 3 we construct the spectral sequence and prove the main conjecture in [RW1].

Acknowledgements. The author would like to send thanks to Steven Sam for bringing a question which leads to this paper. The author would also like to send special thanks to him for all the fruitful conversations during the writing and conception of this work. The author is also very grateful to Claudiu Raicu and Jerzy Weyman for helpful and inspiring conversations and insightful comments of the early versions of this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS


2.1. Partitions and Dyck paths. Look at a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$. We denote $l(\lambda)$ to be the largest i such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$. The size of λ is denoted to be $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$. We often omit trailing zeros. For instance, we write $(4, 3, 1, 1)$ for the partition $(4, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$. The partial ordering we put on partitions here is that

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda \leq \mu \iff \lambda_i \leq \mu_i \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We will also identify a partition with its associated *Young diagram* as follows. Consider the 2-dimensional grid induced by the inclusion of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and index each box in the grid by the coordinates (x, y) of its upper right corner. We identify each partition λ with the collection of boxes

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq \lambda_i\}.$$

A box (λ_p, p) is called a *corner* of the partition λ if $\lambda_p > \lambda_{p+1}$. For example, the partition $\lambda = (4, 3, 1, 1)$ has corners $(4, 1)$, $(3, 2)$ and $(1, 4)$ as is pictured as follows:



If a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ has repetitions we use exponential notation. For example, if $\lambda = (3, 3, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, then we write $\lambda = (3^3, 1^2)$.

A *path* P is a collection of boxes

$$(2.3) \quad P = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_k, y_k)\}$$

satisfying the condition that for each $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, we have that either


$$(2.4) \quad (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) = (x_i + 1, y_i) \text{ or } (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) = (x_i, y_i - 1).$$

The *length* of the path P is the number of boxes it contains, namely k , and is denoted by $|P|$. A *corner* of P is a box (x_i, y_i) with $1 < i < k$ and $x_{i+1} - x_{i-1} = 1 = y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}$. It is


an *inner corner* if $x_{i-1} = x_i$, and an *outer corner* if $y_{i-1} = y_i$. We say that the path P is a *Dyck path of level d* if in addition it satisfies

- $x_1 + y_1 = x_k + y_k = d$.
- $x_i + y_i \geq d$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Note that the condition $x_1 + y_1 = x_k + y_k = d$ and 2.4 forces the length of a Dyck path to always be an odd number. We illustrate a path P by drawing a broken line segment joining the centers of the squares it contains:



A Dyck path of length 9, with
two inner and three outer
corners




A non-Dyck path of length 11,
with one inner and two outer
corners

An *augmented Dyck path* is a pair $\tilde{P} = (P, B)$ where P is a Dyck path and B is a set of boxes, called the *bullets* in \tilde{P} , which can be partitioned as $B = B_{\text{head}} \sqcup B_{\text{tail}}$ where (if P is as in 2.3 then)

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{\text{head}} &= \{(x_1 - a, y_1), (x_1 - a + 1, y_1), \dots, (x_1 - 1, y_1)\} \text{ for some } a \geq 0, \text{ and} \\ B_{\text{tail}} &= \{(x_k, y_k - 1), (x_k, y_k - 2), \dots, (x_k, y_k - b)\} \text{ for some } b \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The length of \tilde{P} is $|\tilde{P}| = |P| + a + b$. To illustrate the augmented Dyck path \tilde{P} we draw P as before, and draw small disks in the center of each of the additional $a + b$ boxes from B :



An augmented Dyck path of length 12

An *(augmented) Dyck pattern* is a collection $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r; \mathbb{B})$ where

- each D_i is a Dyck path and \mathbb{B} is a finite set of boxes,
- the sets D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r and \mathbb{B} are pairwise disjoint,
- \mathbb{B} can be expressed as a union

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{B} = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_r$$

in such a way that (D_i, B_i) is an augmented Dyck path for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Notice that the collection (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r) is invariant under permuting the D_i . And we are not requiring the sets B_i in 2.6 to be disjoint, and in particular we are not asking for the expression 2.6 to be unique. We also write $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r)$ when $\mathbb{B} = \emptyset$. We define the support of \mathbb{D} by

$$(2.7) \quad \text{supp}(\mathbb{D}) = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_r \cup \mathbb{B}.$$


If λ is a partition and \mathbb{D} is a Dyck pattern with $\text{supp}(\mathbb{D})$ disjoint from λ (when we think of λ as in 2.2), then we define

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda(\mathbb{D}) = \lambda \cup \text{supp}(\mathbb{D}).$$


We say that the Dyck pattern \mathbb{D} is λ -admissible if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) λ is disjoint from $\text{supp}(\mathbb{D})$.
- (2) $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$ is (the set of boxes corresponding via 2.2 to) a partition.
- (3) For every $i \neq j$, if there exists a box $(x', y') \in D_j$ which is located directly N, E, or NE from a box $(x, y) \in D_i$ (i.e. if (x', y') is one of $(x, y+1)$, $(x+1, y)$, $(x+1, y+1)$), then every box located directly N, E, or NE from a box of D_i must belong to D_i or D_j .
- (4) There is no bullet in \mathbb{B} which is located directly N, E, or NE from a box in any D_i .

Below are four examples of λ -admissible Dyck patterns for $\lambda = (4, 3, 1, 1)$



and three examples of Dyck patterns that are not λ -admissible



For a fixed λ , let $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r; \mathbb{B})$ be a λ -admissible Dyck pattern. Define

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda(\mathbb{B}) = \lambda \sqcup \mathbb{B}.$$

We have the following two facts:

- (1) The last condition in the definition of λ -admissible Dyck patterns is equivalent to the fact that $\lambda(\mathbb{B})$ is (the set of boxes corresponding via 2.2 to) a partition.
- (2) If (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k) is a subcollection of (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_r) such that $|D_i| = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. And for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the boxes located directed W and S of D_i are not in \mathbb{B} . If $D_i = \{(x, y)\}$, we require $(x-1, y), (x, y-1) \notin \mathbb{B}$. Then \mathbb{D}' is a μ -admissible Dyck pattern where $\mathbb{D}' = (D_{k+1}, D_{k+2}, \dots, D_r; \mathbb{B})$ and $\mu = \lambda(\mathbb{D}_0)$ where $\mathbb{D}_0 = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k)$.

We also define the *Dyck size* of \mathbb{D} to be

$$(2.10) \quad d(\mathbb{D}) = |D_1| + |D_2| + \dots + |D_r|$$

and the *bullet size* of \mathbb{D} to be

$$(2.11) \quad b(\mathbb{D}) = |\mathbb{B}|.$$

The *(total) size* of \mathbb{D} is $|\mathbb{D}| = d(\mathbb{D}) + b(\mathbb{D})$, so that $|\lambda(\mathbb{D})| = |\lambda| + |\mathbb{D}|$ for every λ -admissible Dyck pattern \mathbb{D} .

2.2. The general linear Lie algebra. We use U to denote a finite dimensional complex vector space with $\dim(U) = n$. Let $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ be the Lie algebra of endomorphisms of U , with the usual Lie bracket $[x, y] = xy - yx$. For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, we write S_λ for the *Schur functor* associated to λ . We also denote the dual vector space to be $U^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(U, \mathbb{C})$. And $\lambda^\vee = (-\lambda_n, -\lambda_{n-1}, \dots, -\lambda_1)$. We have a natural isomorphism

$$(2.12) \quad S_\lambda U^\vee \simeq S_{\lambda^\vee} U.$$

The convention for Schur functors we chose here is so that if $\lambda = (d)$ for $d \geq 0$, then $S_\lambda U = \text{Sym}^d U$. And if $\lambda = (1^k)$, then $S_\lambda U = \bigwedge^k U$.

A choice of basis on U determines a maximal torus \mathfrak{t} of diagonal matrices inside $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$, and a dual basis of U^\vee with a corresponding maximal torus \mathfrak{t}^\vee inside $\mathfrak{gl}(U^\vee)$. There is a natural identification $\mathfrak{gl}(U) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(U^\vee)$ that sends $\phi \mapsto -\phi^\vee$. Through this identification, positive weights with respect to \mathfrak{t} will correspond to negative weights with respect to \mathfrak{t}^\vee and vice-versa. Here we choose our conventions so that we only need to work with partitions λ in the rest of the article.

2.3. Representations of the general linear Lie superalgebra. Throughout this article we let V_0, V_1 be complex vector spaces with $\dim(V_0) = m$ and $\dim(V_1) = n$, and assume that $m \geq n$. We write $W_i = V_i^\vee$ for their vector space duals. And let $V = V_0 \otimes V_1$ and $W = W_0 \otimes W_1 = V^\vee$. Consider the polynomial ring $S = \text{Sym}(V)$ and the exterior algebra $E = \bigwedge W$. Choosing dual basis on the spaces V_i and W_i , we can identify $S = \mathbb{C}[x_{i,j}]$ and $E = \mathbb{C}\langle e_{i,j} \rangle$ where \langle, \rangle indicates that the multiplication in E is skew-commutative.

We use $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ to denote the general linear Lie superalgebra of endomorphisms of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space $W_0 \oplus V_1$ where $W_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ lies in degree 0, and $V_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ lies in degree 1. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -grading on \mathfrak{g} given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_0 &= \mathfrak{gl}(V_0) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W_1) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(W_0) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W_1), \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1} &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_0, W_1) \simeq W_0 \otimes W_1, \\ \mathfrak{g}_1 &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_1, V_0) \simeq V_0 \otimes V_1. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie superbracket is given by $[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}yx$ for x, y homogeneous elements of \mathfrak{g} . Note that the Lie superbracket restricts to the usual Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g}_0 , which is a reductive Lie algebra. We define

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$$

which is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Every \mathfrak{g}_0 module M can be thought of as a \mathfrak{p} -module by making \mathfrak{g}_1 to act on M trivially. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, consider the irreducible \mathfrak{g}_0 -module $S_\lambda W_0 \otimes S_\lambda W_1$. We can think of it as a \mathfrak{p} -module, and define the induced representation to be

$$(2.13) \quad K_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(S_\lambda W_0 \otimes S_\lambda W_1)$$

This is called the *Kac module of weight λ* . In general we consider a more general version of Kac modules by inducing from $S_\lambda W_0 \otimes S_\mu W_1$ for an arbitrary pair of partitions (λ, μ) . The special case of Kac modules that we consider here in 2.13 are the ones of *maximal degree of atypicality*. They are all of degree of atypicality equals $\min(m, n)$. For a detail discussion about atypicality, see [CW][Section 2.2.6].

Definition 2.14. A *Loewy filtration* on a \mathfrak{g} -module V is a filtration such that all consecutive quotients of it are semisimple \mathfrak{g} -modules.

In general this filtration might not be unique. A good feature about general Kac modules K of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is that all of them have a unique Loewy filtration of length the degree of atypicality of K [SZ1][Theorem 3.2]. We will make use of this filtration in the main proof.

Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = W_0 \otimes W_1$ is an abelian Lie superalgebra concentrated in odd degree. So its universal enveloping algebra is $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigwedge W = E$. In fact, as a E -module, the Kac module of weight λ is

$$(2.15) \quad K_\lambda = E \otimes (S_\lambda W_0 \otimes S_\lambda W_1).$$

The \mathfrak{g}_0 -module structure of K_λ can be obtained based on the Cauchy decomposition of exterior power of a tensor product, combined with the Littlewood-Richardson rule. As a \mathfrak{g} -module, K_λ has a unique simple quotient, which we denote by \mathbb{L}_λ . Here \mathbb{L}_λ is the simple \mathfrak{g} -module of highest weight λ . As a \mathfrak{g} -module, K_λ is not semi-simple. But it has finite length with composition factors described as follows. Let


$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{K}(\lambda; n) = \{(\mathbb{D}) = (D_1, \dots, D_r) \text{ a } \lambda\text{-admissible Dyck pattern} \mid l(\lambda(\mathbb{D})) \leq n\}.$$


We stress the fact that the Dyck patterns in $\mathcal{K}(\lambda; n)$ are not augmented, i.e., they contain no bullets. But they may contain Dyck paths of length one. The composition factors of the Kac modules are encoded by parabolic version of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials [Bru] [Ser]. Using the Dyck pattern interpretation of the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials based on Rule II in [SZJ][Section 3.1], we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.17. *If we let $[M]$ denote the class of a \mathfrak{g} -module M in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathfrak{g})$ of finite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{g} , then*

$$(2.18) \quad [K_\lambda] = \sum_{\mathbb{D} \in \mathcal{K}(\lambda; n)} [\mathbb{L}_{\lambda(\mathbb{D})}].$$

Example 2.19. Take $n = 4$ and consider $\lambda = (4, 3, 1, 1)$. Take the Kac module K_λ has 19 simple composition factors, classified by the Dyck patterns \mathbb{D} pictured below (and labelled by $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$)





2.4. The BGG correspondence. Recall from Section 2.3 that $S = \text{Sym}(V) = \mathbb{C}[x_{i,j}]$ and $E = \bigwedge W = \mathbb{C}\langle e_{i,j} \rangle$. If $M = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_t$ is a finitely generated graded S -module, let M^\vee denote its *graded dual*,

$$M^\vee = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_t, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_t^\vee,$$

where the action of S is given by $(s \cdot \phi)(m) = \phi(s \cdot m)$ for $s \in S$, $\phi \in M^\vee$ and $m \in M$ homogeneous elements. We can associate to M a complex $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(M)$ of free E -modules (which is a modification of the complex $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(M)$ in [Eis][Section 7E]):

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(M): \quad \dots \rightarrow E \otimes M_t^\vee \rightarrow E \otimes M_{t-1}^\vee \rightarrow \dots$$

$$e \otimes \phi \mapsto \sum_{i,j} e \cdot e_{i,j} \otimes x_{i,j} \cdot \phi.$$

The convention we use here is that $\deg(E_s) = \deg(\bigwedge^s W) = s$. So we grade E positively such that all the W -variables $e_{i,j}$ get degree 1. This is different from [Eis][Section 7B] where the W -variables are given degree -1 . With this convention we give M_t^\vee degree t and an analogue of [Eis][Proposition 7.21] gives us

$$H_t(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(M))_{s+t} \simeq \text{Tor}_s(\mathbb{C}, M)_{s+t}^\vee$$

The E -module $H_t(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(M))$ is finitely generated and it encodes (up to taking vector space duals) the t -th linear strand of the minimal free resolution of M .

For simplicity of notations, we assume $M = I_\lambda \subseteq S$ is the principal \mathbf{GL} -equivariant ideal generated by $S_\lambda V_0 \otimes S_\lambda V_1$. Then M is a $\mathfrak{gl}(V_0) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$ -equivariant S -module with $M_t = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\mu|=t \\ \lambda \leq \mu}} S_\mu V_0 \otimes S_\mu V_1$. And

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda)_t = E \otimes M_t^\vee = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\mu|=t \\ \lambda \leq \mu}} E \otimes (S_\mu W_0 \otimes S_\mu W_1) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\mu|=t \\ \lambda \leq \mu}} K_\mu.$$

It is proven that in [RW1][Theorem 3.1] that this makes $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda)$ a complex of \mathfrak{g} -modules. Moreover, the map $K_\mu \rightarrow K_\nu$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda)_t \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda)_{t-1}$ is nonzero if and only if $\nu \leq \mu$ and $|\mu| = |\nu| + 1$ (Pictorially, this means μ and ν are only differed by one box). And we will use this in Section 3 to analyze $H_t(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda))$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

3. MAIN PROOF

Definition 3.1. Let V be a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module. We say v_λ is a *primitive vector* of weight λ in V if there exists submodule $W \subseteq V$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{+1}v \in W$ but $v \notin W$. In this case, we also say that λ is a *primitive weight* of V . Two primitive vectors which generate the same indecomposable submodule are considered the same.

Notation 3.2. The multiplicity m_λ of a primitive weight λ is equal to the dimension of the subspace generated by all primitive vectors in V of weight λ . Also v_λ^μ denotes a primitive vector of weight λ in the Kac module K_μ .

Via BGG correspondence, we can concentrate on the map $\psi: K_\mu \rightarrow K_\lambda$ where $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 1$ and $\lambda \leq \mu$. By Lemma 3.2 [RW1], we have this map is nonzero and unique up to scaling. Note that there is a unique primitive vector v_μ^λ in K_λ . We have that $\psi(K_\mu) \subseteq K_\lambda$ is on the one hand, the submodule generated by the primitive vector $v_\mu^\lambda \in K_\lambda$. On the other hand, it is isomorphic to a quotient of K_μ . We also have the fact that the multiplicity m_λ of any primitive weight λ in any Kac module is 1. Hence a primitive weight uniquely determines its corresponding primitive vector. Also the primitive vectors generated by v_μ^λ in K_λ is a subset of the primitive vectors in K_μ . In other words, if v is a primitive vector in K_μ and $\psi(v) \neq 0$, then $\psi(v)$ is a primitive vector of the same weight in $\psi(K_\mu) \subseteq K_\lambda$. And therefore a primitive vector in K_λ .

Lemma 3.3. Let α be a primitive weight whose corresponding primitive vector is generated by v_μ^λ . And let v_α^μ be the primitive vector in K_μ of weight α . Then $\psi(v_\alpha^\mu) = cv_\alpha^\lambda$ for some non-zero scalar $c \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\psi(v_\alpha^\mu) \neq 0$.

Proof. By the last paragraph, we only need to show that $\psi(v_\alpha^\mu) \neq 0$. In fact, if $\psi(v_\alpha^\mu) = 0$, then the submodule generated by v_α^μ will be in $\ker \psi$. If we let $[M]$ denote the class of a \mathfrak{g} -module M in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathfrak{g})$ of finite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{g} , then the coefficient of $[\mathbb{L}_\alpha]$ is 1 in $[\ker \psi]$. But the coefficient of $[\mathbb{L}_\alpha]$ is 1 in $[K_\mu]$. Hence the coefficient of $[\mathbb{L}_\alpha]$ is 0 in $[\psi(K_\mu)]$, which is isomorphic to the submodule generated by v_μ^λ in K_λ . This contradicts the fact the v_μ^λ generates the primitive vector v_α^λ . \square

Now we identify primitive weights of K_μ (resp. K_λ) with μ -admissible (resp. λ -admissible) Dyck patterns. Assume $\mu = \lambda(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D} = (D_0) = (\{(a, b)\})$ and (a, b) is a corner of μ .

Lemma 3.4. Assume that α is a primitive weight of K_μ . So $\alpha = \mu(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r)$ is a μ -admissible Dyck pattern. On the one hand, if $\mathbb{D}_1 = (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_r)$

is a λ -admissible Dyck pattern where $\alpha = \lambda(\mathbb{D}_1)$, then we have that $\psi(v_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}^\mu) = v_{\lambda(\mathbb{D}_1)}^\lambda$. On the other hand, if (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_r) is not a λ -admissible Dyck patterns, then $\psi(v_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}^\mu) = 0$.

Proof. First of all, it follows from [SZ1][Theorem 5.18] that a primitive weight $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r)$ is generated by the associated primitive vector of $\mu = \lambda(\{(a, b)\})$ if and only if $D_i = \{(a, b)\}$ for some i . Without loss of generality, we assume $D_1 = \{(a, b)\}$. Hence if \mathbb{D}_1 is a λ -admissible Dyck pattern, then by Lemma 3.3, we have $\psi(v_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}^\mu) = v_{\lambda(\mathbb{D}_1)}^\lambda$. Otherwise, if \mathbb{D}_1 is not λ -admissible and $\psi(v_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}^\mu) \neq 0$, then $\psi(v_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}^\mu) = v_{\lambda(\mathbb{D}_2)}^\lambda$ where $\mathbb{D}_2 = (\{(a, b)\}, D'_2, \dots, D'_l)$ is a λ -admissible Dyck pattern and $\alpha = \lambda(\mathbb{D}_2)$. Now we look at the μ -admissible Dyck pattern $\mathbb{D}_3 = (D'_2, \dots, D'_l)$. We have $\alpha = \mu(\mathbb{D}) = \mu(\mathbb{D}_3)$. Then in K_μ , the multiplicity of α will be $m_\alpha \geq 2$, which contradicts the fact that the multiplicity of any primitive weight in any Kac module is 1. Therefore $\psi(v_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}^\mu) = 0$. \square

For the simplicity of notations, we assume that $I = I_\lambda$ is the principal \mathbf{GL} -invariant ideal in the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ generated by a single summand $S_\lambda \mathbb{C}^m \otimes S_\lambda \mathbb{C}^n$. Via BGG correspondence, $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\mu|=i \\ \lambda \leq \mu}} K_\mu$. Now we can put the unique Loewy filtration [BS] (the definition of Loewy filtration could be found in 2.14) on all the Kac modules in $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)$. In other words, let $r = \min\{m, n\}$, for $K_\mu \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)$, assume $\{0\} = K_\mu^0 \subset K_\mu^1 \subset \dots \subset K_\mu^r \subset K_\mu^{r+1} = K_\mu$ is the unique Loewy filtration on K_μ . The p -th filtration subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)_i$ is $F^p(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)_i) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\mu|=i \\ \lambda \leq \mu}} K_\mu^p$. This makes $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)$ a filtered complex of \mathfrak{g} -modules. If we look at the spectral sequence associated to this filtered complex, the main proposition is as follows:

Proposition 3.5. *The above spectral sequence degenerates on the second page.*

Before the proof of the above proposition, we will need the following lemma first.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose we have a complex of vector space \mathbf{F}_\bullet where $F_i = \mathbf{C}^{\binom{n}{i}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |A|=i}} \mathbb{C}_A$ where the map $F_i \rightarrow F_{i+1}$ has the property that the map $\mathbb{C}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_B$ is nonzero if and only if $A \subseteq B$. Then the complex \mathbf{F}_\bullet is exact.*

Proof. We do induction on n . When $n = 1$, this is trivial. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_\bullet \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence where $Q_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\} \\ |A|=i}} \mathbb{C}_A$. Then \mathbf{Q}_\bullet is exact by the induction hypothesis. Now $K_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{\{n\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |A|=i}} \mathbb{C}_A = \bigoplus_{\substack{A' \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\} \\ |A'|=i-1}} \mathbb{C}_{A'}$ where $\mathbb{C}_{A'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{B'}$ is nonzero if and only if $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A' \subseteq B'$. Hence by the induction hypothesis, \mathbf{K}_\bullet is exact. By the associated long exact sequence on homology, we have \mathbf{F}_\bullet is also exact. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Note that all objects in $E_{p,q}^r$ are semisimple \mathfrak{g} -modules $\forall p, q, r$. Furthermore, on the first page of this spectral sequence, all primitive weight vectors becomes highest weight vectors. The differential in $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I)$ will decrease the filtration index by 1.



On the first page, we concentrate on $\mathbb{L}_{\mu(\mathbb{D})} \in E_{p, |\mu|-p}^1$ where $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_k, \dots, D_l)$ is a μ -admissible Dyck pattern. Assume $|D_i| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $|D_j| \geq 3$ for all $j > k$. Define $\mu_0 = \mu(\mathbb{D}')$ where $\mathbb{D}' = (D_1, \dots, D_k)$. Then $\mu_0(\mathbb{D}_0) = \mu(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D}_0 = (D_{k+1}, \dots, D_l)$ is a μ_0 -admissible Dyck pattern. Define μ_n to be the minimal tableaux such that $\lambda \leq \mu_n$ and $\mu_n(\mathbb{D}_n) = \mu(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D}_n = (D_{k+1}, \dots, D_l, D'_1, \dots, D'_n)$ is a μ_n -admissible Dyck pattern and $|D'_i| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that we can assume that $D'_i = D_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Each

D'_i will consist of a corner of μ_0 . In other words, $(D'_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is the maximal collection of size 1 Dyck paths $\{(a, b)\}$ such that $(a, b) \in \mu_0$ and exact one of the two situations happens:

- (1) No box located directly N,E,NE from (a, b) is in $\mu_0(\mathbb{D}_0)$.
- (2) There exists an i with $k+1 \leq i \leq l$ such that all boxes located directly N,E,NE from (a, b) are in D_i .

Let $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Define $\mu_A = \mu_n(\mathbb{D}'_{A^c})$ where $\mathbb{D}'_{A^c} = (D'_i)_{\substack{i \notin A \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}$ is a μ_n -admissible Dyck pattern. Also define $\mathbb{D}_A = (D_{k+1}, \dots, D_l, D'_i)_{\substack{i \in A \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}$. This is a μ_A -admissible Dyck pattern. Using this notation, we have $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_{\{1, \dots, k\}}$ and $\mu = \mu_{\{1, \dots, k\}}$.

Here is an example where $m = n = 4$, $\mu = (4, 3, 1^2)$, $\lambda = (3, 2, 1)$ and $\mu(\mathbb{D}) = (5^2, 2, 1)$. In this example, we have $n = 3$.



$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \mu_{\{1\}} = (4, 3, 1, 1) \\ \mu(\mathbb{D}) &= \mu_{\{1\}}(\mathbb{D}_{\{1\}}) = (5, 5, 2, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \mu_3 = \mu_{\{1, 2, 3\}} = (3, 3, 1) \\ \mu_{\{1, 2, 3\}}(\mathbb{D}_{\{1, 2, 3\}}) &= (5, 5, 2, 1) \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.4, the component $\mathbb{L}_\mu(\mathbb{D})$ fits into the following direct summand of the first page:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mu_\emptyset(\mathbb{D}_\emptyset)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{L}_{\mu_{\{i\}}(\mathbb{D}_{\{i\}})} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{|A|=i \\ A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}}} \mathbb{L}_{\mu_A(\mathbb{D}_A)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mu_{\{1, \dots, n\}}(\mathbb{D}_{\{1, \dots, n\}})} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, if $|A| = i$ and $|B| = i + 1$, then $\mathbb{L}_{\mu_A(\mathbb{D}_A)} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mu_B(\mathbb{D}_B)}$ is nonzero if and only if $\mu_B \leq \mu_A$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$. Hence according to Lemma 3.6, this complex is exact if and only of $n \geq 1$. Therefore, if $n \geq 1$, the component $\mathbb{L}_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}$ will not appear on the second page of the spectral sequence.

In the case when $n = 0$, first of all, we have that \mathbb{D} is a μ -admissible Dyck pattern with all Dyck paths of size at least 3. Furthermore, for any ν with $|\nu| = |\mu| - 1$ and $\nu \leq \mu$, assume $\mu = \nu(\mathbb{D}_\nu)$ where $\mathbb{D}_\nu = (D_\nu)$ and $|D_\nu| = 1$, $\mathbb{D}_1 := (D_1, \dots, D_l, D_\nu)$ is either not a ν -admissible Dyck pattern or $\lambda \not\leq \nu$. According to Lemma 3.4, the corresponding primitive vector of $\mu(\mathbb{D})$ maps to 0 in the original complex $\tilde{R}(I_\lambda)$. Hence the corresponding \mathfrak{g} -module $\mathbb{L}_{\mu(\mathbb{D})}$ will map to 0 on the first and second page in the spectral sequence. And all differentials on the second page of this spectral sequence is 0. Therefore the spectral sequence degenerates on the second page. \square

Now we restate the main conjecture in [RW1] and give a proof here.

Let λ be a partition with $l(\lambda) \leq n$. We consider the set of λ -admissible augmented Dyck patterns

$$\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r; \mathbb{B})$$

with no Dyck path of length one, and $l(\lambda(\mathbb{D})) \leq n$.

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda; n) = \{\mathbb{D} \text{ a } \lambda\text{-admissible Dyck pattern} \mid |D_i| \geq 3 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } \lambda(\mathbb{D})_j = 0 \text{ for } j > n\}$$

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose $m \geq n$ are positive integers, S is the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, λ is a partition with at most n parts, and $I_\lambda \subseteq S$ is the corresponding principal \mathbf{GL} -equivariant ideal. For $b \geq 0$ we have the following equality in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathfrak{g})$ of finite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{g} .*

$$(3.8) \quad [H_{|\lambda|+b}(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda))] = \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{D} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda; n) \\ b(\mathbb{D})=b}} [\mathbb{L}_{\lambda(\mathbb{D})}].$$

Proof. We use the previous spectral sequence to compute $[H_{|\lambda|+b}(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda))]$. Assume $\mathbb{D}' = (D_1, \dots, D_l)$ and define

$$\mathcal{B}(\lambda; b, n) = \{(\mu, \mathbb{D}') \mid \mu \text{ is a partition with } \lambda \leq \mu, |\mu| - |\lambda| = b, l(\mu) \leq n, l(\mu(\mathbb{D}')) \leq n.$$

\mathbb{D}' is a μ -admissible Dyck pattern with $|D_i| \geq 3$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$.

If $(a, b) \in \mu$ is a corner of μ , such that it satisfies one of the following two conditions:

1. $\exists D_i \in \mathbb{D}'$ such that all the boxes located directly N,E or NE from (a, b) are in D_i .
 2. There is no box located directly N,E or NE from (a, b) lies in $\text{supp}(\mathbb{D}')$.
- Then $(a, b) \in \lambda$.

From the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 3.5, we have that on the second page of the spectral sequence,

$$E_{|\lambda|+b}^2 = \bigoplus_{p+q=|\lambda|+b} E_{p,q}^2 = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \mathbb{D}') \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda; b, n)} \mathbb{L}_{\mu(\mathbb{D}')}.$$

And the spectral sequence degenerates on the second page, so we have

$$(3.9) \quad [H_{|\lambda|+b}(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_\lambda))] = \sum_{(\mu, \mathbb{D}') \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda; b, n)} [\mathbb{L}_{\mu(\mathbb{D}')}].$$

The proof will be finished by the following Lemma 3.10. □

Lemma 3.10. *There is a 1-1 correspondence between the multi-sets*

$$(3.11) \quad \{\lambda(\mathbb{D}) \mid \mathbb{D} \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda; n), b(\mathbb{D}) = b\} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \{\mu(\mathbb{D}') \mid (\mu, \mathbb{D}') \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda; b, n)\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_r; \mathbb{B}) \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda; n)$ and $b(\mathbb{D}) = b$. The map goes from left to right sends $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mu(\mathbb{D}')$ where $\mu = \lambda(\mathbb{B})$ and $\mathbb{D}' = (D_1, \dots, D_r)$. Then it is clear that μ is a partition with $(\mu, \mathbb{D}') \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda; b, n)$. The map goes from right to left sends $\mu(\mathbb{D}')$ where $\mathbb{D}' = (D_1, \dots, D_l)$ to $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$ where $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_l; \mathbb{B} = \mu \setminus \lambda)$. The only thing that needs to check here is that $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_l; \mathbb{B} = \mu \setminus \lambda)$ is an augmented λ -admissible Dyck pattern.

We will order the corners of μ based on the number of the first coordinate. So $(a_i, b_i) < (a_j, b_j)$ if and only if $a_i < a_j$. Assume $(a_0, b_0) < (a_1, b_1) < \dots < (a_n, b_n)$ are all the corners of μ . If we consider any (a_k, b_k) which is a corner of μ such that $(a_k, b_k) \notin \lambda$, then one of the two situations happens:

- (1) There exists $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $(a_k, b_k + 1), (a_k, b_k + 2) \in D_i$. But $(a_k + 1, b_k + 1), (a_k + 1, b_k) \notin D_i$.
- (2) There exists $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $(a_k + 1, b_k), (a_k + 2, b_k) \in D_i$. But $(a_k + 1, b_k + 1), (a_k, b_k + 1) \notin D_i$.

These two situations might not be disjoint. We will focus on the first one since the second one could be dealt with similarly. If we are in the first situation, assume (a_m, b_m) is the smallest corner of μ such that $(a_m, b_m) > (a_k, b_k)$ and $(a_m, b_m) \in \lambda$. Pick B_i to be $B_i = \{(a_k, b_k), (a_k, b_k - 1), (a_k, b_k - 2), \dots, (a_k, b_m + 1)\} \subseteq \mu \setminus \lambda$, then (D_i, B_i) is an augmented Dyck path. For any $a_{k-1} < c \leq a_k$, if we consider $(c, b_k) \in \mu \setminus \lambda$, we will be in the same situation as (a_k, b_k) . In other words, $\exists 1 \leq j_c \leq l$ such that $(c, b_k + 1, c, b_k + 2) \in D_{j_c}$. But $(c + 1, b_k + 1), (c + 1, b_k) \notin D_{j_c}$. Hence if we pick $B_{j_c} = \{(c, b_k), (c, b_k - 1), (c, b_k - 2), \dots, (c, b_m + 1)\} \subseteq \mu \setminus \lambda$, then (D_{j_c}, B_{j_c}) is an augmented Dyck path. Note that this forces (a_{k-1}, b_{k-1}) to be either in λ or in the first situation. In this way, we break $\mathbb{B} = \mu \setminus \lambda$ into subsets (might not be disjoint) B_j such that (D_j, B_j) is a Dyck path for all j . Hence we have $\mathbb{D} = (D_1, \dots, D_l; \mathbb{B})$ is a λ -admissible Dyck pattern. \square

Remark 3.12. Suppose $m \geq n$, for any **GL**-invariant ideal I in the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, we can write $I = I_{\lambda_1} + I_{\lambda_2} + \dots + I_{\lambda_N}$ where I_{λ_i} is the principal **GL**-invariant ideal generated by $S_{\lambda_i} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes S_{\lambda_i} \mathbb{C}^m$. Furthermore, we assume I_{λ_i} and I_{λ_j} do not generate each other if $i \neq j$. Or equivalently, we assume λ_i and λ_j are not comparable if $i \neq j$.

Consider the following natural long exact sequence:


$$0 \longleftarrow I_{\lambda_1} + I_{\lambda_2} + \dots + I_{\lambda_N} \longleftarrow \bigoplus_{i,j} I_{\lambda_{i,j}} \longleftarrow \bigoplus_{i,j,k} I_{\lambda_{i,j,k}} \longleftrightarrow \dots \longleftarrow I_{\lambda_{1,2,\dots,N}} \longleftarrow 0$$

where λ_{i_1,\dots,i_k} is the union of λ_{i_1} through λ_{i_k} . The above long exact sequence gives us an associated spectral sequence relating $\bigoplus_{\substack{\{i_1,\dots,i_k\} \subset \{1,\dots,N\} \\ 1 \leq k \leq N}} \text{Tor}_{\bullet}^S(I_{\lambda_{i_1,\dots,i_k}}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\text{Tor}_{\bullet}^S(I, \mathbb{C})$. A similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 gives us the cancellation of the terms in the spectral sequence. From there, we can get $\text{Tor}_{\bullet}^S(I, \mathbb{C})$ as $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ modules. On the other hand, there is no close formula to get $\text{Tor}_{\bullet}^S(I, \mathbb{C})$ for all **GL** invariant ideals I as $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ modules, unlike the principal invariant case.

Remark 3.13. We can think of each of the \mathfrak{g} -modules $\mathbb{L}_{\lambda_i}(\mathbb{D})$ as giving rise to a linear complex appearing as a subquotient in the minimal free resolution of I via BGG correspondence. More precisely, $\mathbb{L}_{\lambda_i}(\mathbb{D})$ corresponds to a linear complex that appears entirely within the row indexed by $|\lambda_i| + b(\mathbb{D})$ of the Betti table, starting in column $d(\mathbb{D})$.

We give an example of how to use this to compute Betti table of a **GL**-invariant ideal I_{λ} . This example overlaps the one in [RW1][Example 4.2].

Example 3.14. Consider $m = n = 3$ and $\lambda = (3, 2)$. The Dyck patterns in $\mathcal{A}(\lambda = (3, 2); 3)$ are as follows (labelled by $\lambda(\mathbb{D})$):



According to Theorem 3.7, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [H_5(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_{(3,2)}))] &= [\mathbb{L}_{(3,2)}] + [\mathbb{L}_{(4,4)}] \\ [H_6(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_{(3,2)}))] &= [\mathbb{L}_{(3,3,3)}] + [\mathbb{L}_{(4,4,3)}] \\ [H_7(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(I_{(3,2)}))] &= [\mathbb{L}_{(5,5,5)}] \end{aligned}$$

We can use [SZ][Section 4] to compute the Hilbert series of the graded E -module \mathbb{L}_μ : if we write $\text{HS}_\mu(t)$ for the Hilbert series of the graded E -module \mathbb{L}_μ then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HS}_{(3,2)}(t) &= 225t^5 + 1132t^6 + 2673t^7 + 3582t^8 + 2785t^9 + 1188t^{10} + 225t^{11}, \\ \text{HS}_{(4,4)}(t) &= 225t^8 + 700t^9 + 828t^{10} + 450t^{11} + 100t^{12}, \\ \text{HS}_{(3,3,3)}(t) &= t^9, \quad \text{HS}_{(4,4,3)}(t) = 9t^{11} + 16t^{12} + 9t^{13}, \quad \text{HS}_{(5,5,5)}(t) = t^{15}. \end{aligned}$$

We can also use the above computation to get the following betti table of $I_{(3,2)}$:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{cccccccccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 5: & 225 & 1132 & 2673 & 3807 & 3485 & 2016 & 675 & 100 & . \\ 6: & . & . & . & 1 & . & 9 & 16 & 9 & . \\ 7: & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & 1 \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [ABW] Kaan Akin, David A. Buchsbaum, and Jerzy Weyman, Resolutions of determinantal ideals: the submaximal minors, *Adv. in Math.* **39** (1981), no. 1, 1-30.
- [BS] J. Brundan and C. Stroppel, Highest weight categories arising from Khovanov's diagram algebra, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), no. 4, 373-419, [arXiv:0907.2543](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2543).
- [Bru] Jonathan Brundan, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), no. 1, 185-231, [arXiv:math/0203011](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0203011).
- [CW] Shun-Jen Cheng and Weiqiang Wang, Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **144**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. xviii+302 pp.
- [Eis] David Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **229**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry.
- [Las] Alain Lascoux, Syzygies des variétés déterminantales, *Adv. in Math.* **30** (1978), no. 3, 202-237.
- [PW] Piotr Pragacz and Jerzy Weyman, Complexes associated with trace and evaluation. Another approach to Lascoux's resolution, *Adv. in Math.* **57** (1985), no. 2, 163-207.
- [RW] Claudiu Raicu and Jerzy Weyman, The syzygies of some thickenings of determinantal varieties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 1, 49-59, [arXiv:1411.0151](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.0151).
- [RW1] Claudiu Raicu and Jerzy Weyman, Syzygies of determinantal thickening and representations of the general linear Lie superalgebra, [arXiv:1808.05649](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.05649).
- [Ser] Vera Serganova, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formula for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, *Selecta. Math. (N.S.)* **2** (1996), no. 4, 607-651.
- [SZ] Yucai Su and R. B. Zhang, Character and dimension formulae for general linear superalgebra, *Adv. Math.* **211** (2007), no. 1, 1-33, [arXiv:math/0403315](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0403315).
- [SZ1] Yucai Su and R. B. Zhang, Generalized Jantzen filtration of Lie superalgebra I, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), no. 4, 1103-1133, [arXiv:1001.1033](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.1033).
- [SZJ] Keiichi Shigechi and Paul Zinn-Justin, Path representation of maximal parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216** (2012), no. 11, 2533-2548, [arXiv:1001.1080](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.1080).
- [Wey] Jerzy Weyman, *Cohomology of Vector Bundles and Syzygies*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **149**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

Hang Huang, Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI 53706
hhuang235@math.wisc.edu, <http://math.wisc.edu/~hhuang235/>