Transfer of a levitating nanoparticle between optical tweezers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum optomechanics has recently expanded the range of explored and exploited systems to nanoparticles levitating in vacuum, trapped and oscillating in the potential created by an optical field.1–3 In particular, the topic of cavity optomechanics is very intriguing for the possibility of realizing quantum coupling between photonic field and the particle motion,4 where the latter is strongly decoupled from environmental thermal noise by operating in high vacuum.

Most proposals4,15 and experiments16,17 aiming to cool the dynamics of a levitating nanoparticle inside an optical cavity are based on the dispersive coupling of its motion to the electromagnetic field, a technique well investigated in optomechanics.15 A different mechanism of cavity cooling, relying on coherent trapping of light scattered by a levitating nanoparticle into an optical cavity, has been recently realized18,19 and allowed to achieve motional cooling of a levitating nanoparticle to a phononic occupation number below unity.20 In any case, accurate positioning of the nanoparticle inside the cavity is crucial to tune and optimize the optomechanical coupling.

To optically trap a neutral nanoparticle, a laser beam is tightly focused in a chamber, in the presence of gas containing suspended particles. If their motion is sufficiently damped by collisions with the background gas, trapping occurs as one particle crosses the focused beam and releases its kinetic energy fast enough to be captured by the optical potential. To implement cavity optomechanics experiments, it is then necessary to place the levitating nanoparticle into the region defined by a field mode of a high finesse optical cavity, with submicrometric precision. The position must be stably and accurately maintained, avoiding excess mechanical and acoustic vibrations. A prerequisite is loading the dipole trap (optical tweezer) without spoiling the cavity mirrors, something that easily occurs due to particle deposition on the mirrors surface. Finally, high vacuum conditions must be achieved in reasonable time, maintaining stable conditions. Even this latter procedure is conditioned by the relatively high pressure necessary for the initial trapping stage, and often by the presence of solvents used for injecting the particles in the chamber through a nebulizer. A clean and reproducible method to prepare a levitating nanoparticle for cavity optomechanics experiments is actually very useful, but not straightforward.

A possibility is loading the particle on the optical tweezer in a first chamber, than transfer it to a cleaner environment containing the optical cavity and the positioner. A movable optical trap is described in Ref. 19. The trap is loaded in a first chamber using a nebulizer, then the whole tweezer, mounted on micrometric positioners in an extensible arm, is moved to a second chamber and the particle is delivered to the stationary wave of an optical cavity. To stabilize the particle during the transfer, a cooling scheme acting on the tweezer optical power is used. A different method to transfer a levitating particle between different vacuum chambers is described in Ref. 20. A standing wave is created inside a hollow fiber connecting the two chambers, by means of counter-propagating laser beams. The particle is trapped on an anti-node of the standing wave, then moved by slightly shifting the two beams frequencies. The collection of the particle in the second chamber has not yet been reported.

In this work we describe a method for reliably loading a nanoparticle on a stable and accurately positionable tweezer, inside a high finesse optical cavity, avoiding mirrors performance degradation. Similarly to the work of Ref. 19, the particle is trapped in a first chamber by a tweezer placed on a movable arm, then translated into the experimental chamber containing the optical cavity. It is then transferred to a second optical trap, that is mounted inside the second chamber on nano-positioners. This second tweezer is used to accurately position the particle inside the optical cavity. Mounting the nano-positioners on the chamber basement that also support the optical cavity, instead of placing them on the moving arm, significantly improves the overall mechanical stability. Moreover, the moving arm is retracted after the particle transfer, and the vacuum chambers isolated. As a consequence, the environment in the experiment chamber is suitable for a rapid evacuation down to very low pressure.
The crucial stage in our scheme is the transfer of the nanoparticle between two optical tweezers, in a low pressure environment. In the following we characterize in detail this procedure.

II. EXPERIMENT

The setup is shown in Fig. 1. Nanoparticles are caught in chamber A, then transferred to the second trap in chamber B. The optical tweezer that capture the particles is realized with a fibered 976 nm laser diode (LD). The light delivered by a single-mode fiber is collimated and focused using an optical system (F1) composed of two aspheric lenses, having nominal focal length and numerical aperture of respectively 15.4 mm (N.A. 0.16) and 3.1 mm (N.A. 0.68). The two lenses are screwed on the fiber head connector. The beam at the focus is elliptical with wafists of 0.96 μm and 0.92 μm, as deduced from the particle oscillation frequencies at the typical output power of 250 mW. The fiber head with the optics is mounted at the end of a 500 mm long, X-shape aluminum rod screwed on the moving flange of a bellowed sealed linear stage (HV Design) that allows to manually translate it between chambers A and B. We note that this support is sensitive to mechanical vibrations, making this trap unsuitable for stable cavity optomechanics experiments.

A drop of aqueous solution of silica nanospheres (9% of particles, in mass) of radius ~85 nm is injected inside chamber C, that is filled with clean nitrogen while chamber A is evacuated. The valve separating the two chambers is opened and dust of nanoparticles is introduced in chamber A, carried by the gas turbulence produced by the pressure unbalance. Trapping by the optical tweezer occurs when a pressure of ~100 mbar is achieved in chamber A, typically within few minutes.

With a particle trapped, before opening the gate G, residual wandering nanoparticles are pumped out from chamber A, whose pressure is gently decreased down to the mbar level. The chamber is then slowly refilled with pure nitrogen to ~30 mbar, and the gate is opened to equilibrate the pressure between chamber A and B. The optical tweezer is translated to chamber B and positioned in front of the second optical trap. We remark that at this pressure the nanoparticle motion is over damped, and we can keep the levitating particle during the translation without using any active feedback.

The second tweezer is formed by the light of a Nd:YAG laser, delivered by a similar optical system (F2) mounted on a three-axis miniature linear translation stage (MLS). The focus can be positioned inside the optical cavity (OC) with sub-micrometric precision.

![Fig. 1. Experimental setup. Nanoparticles are injected in chamber C, then transported in a gas flux toward chamber A where they are captured by the tightly focused light of a laser diode delivered by a single-mode fiber. The fiber head with the focusing system (F1) is mounted on the tip of a rod (R) that can be manually translated between chambers A and B through the gate G. The second optical tweezer is formed by the light of a Nd:YAG laser, delivered by a similar optical system (F2) mounted on a three-axis miniature linear translation stage (MLS). The focus can be positioned inside the optical cavity (OC) with sub-micrometric precision.](image-url)

To define the optimization procedure, we have performed a preliminary characterization of the optical coupling between the two fibers, at the two used wavelengths. The transmitted power of the Nd:YAG light through the first fiber, and that of the LD light through the second fiber are reported in Fig. 2(a). The transverse position of the fiber head is kept optimized during the measurement, while the two fiber heads are moved closer at ~1.1 μm steps. The solid line, for each of the two wavelengths, is given by the overlap integral of the two counter-propagating modes, fitted to the experimental data.

We find a distance of 9.8 μm between the positions of the foci for the two wavelengths. As shown in the scheme of Fig. 2(b), assuming two identical focusing systems the optimal distance to transfer the particle between the tweezers is halfway between the transmission maxima at the two wavelengths (this position is labeled as P2 in the figure). The operative procedure is then the following: we optimize the transmission of the LD light through the second fiber by moving the fiber head in the three directions, and afterwards we increase the fiber heads distance by ~10 μm.

To load the second trap, we boost the Nd:YAG power and slowly turn off the LD. With the described protocol, we can reliably transfer the particle between the two traps. In Fig. 3 we show a photo of the two optical systems and the levitating nanoparticle before and after the transfer. The power spectra of the light collected by the fibers in the back and forward di-
FIG. 2. (a) Transmitted power of the laser light from the two sources through the two optical fibers and the corresponding F1 and F2 optical systems. Green dots: Nd:YAG light. Orange squares: LD light. Data are recorded approaching the two fiber heads at 1.1 µm per step, and normalized to the maximum transmitted power for each wavelength. Abscissa represents the variation of fiber heads distance, with the origin set halfway between the two maxima. Solid lines: overlap integral between the propagating field modes, fitted to the experimental data. (b) Schematic drawing of the two focusing systems during the measurement. Green (orange) rays represent the Nd:YAG (LD) beam propagation, with arrows indicating the direction. P2 indicates the optimal position to transfer the particle, as the two focuses are spatially overlapped. At relative position P1(P3) the two focusing systems are optimally placed to couple the Nd:YAG (LD) optical power. In that case, the distance between the two traps is 9.8 µm.

FIG. 3. Left panel: the light back scattered (B.S.) from the particle is collected from the trapping fibers during the transfer between the LD and the Nd:YAG tweezers. Orange: LD light signal (scale on the bottom axis). Green: Nd:YAG light signal (scale on the top axis). Central panel: images of the Nanoparticle trapped by the LD (bottom picture) and the Nd:YAG (top picture) optical tweezers. Bright spots, also shown in the enlarged insets, are due to the particle dipole emission, and scattered light allows to identify the edges of the focusing lenses. Brightness difference between the two traps is due to the different camera sensitivity at the two wavelengths. Right panel: spectra of the back and forward scattered light, collected by the fibers and acquired at a background pressure of 2 mbar, exhibiting spectral peaks corresponding to the three eigenfrequencies of the particle motion. Bottom graph: spectra of the forward scattering (upper trace) and back scattering (lower trace) of the LD light, with the particle on the first tweezer. Top graph: spectrum of the forward scattering of the Nd:YAG light, with the particle trapped by the second tweezer. Vertical dashed lines display the particle oscillation frequencies.
we have placed a 57, 50, 25 and 15 mbar. We actually lost the particle during the fourth attempt at 10 mbar. We notice that at 10 mbar the damping rate is about $\Gamma \simeq 2\pi \times 10$ kHz, thus the particle motion is weakly damped.

At 50 mbar we have then evaluated the tolerance in the misalignment between the two fiber heads. Starting from the optimal position, we could transfer the particle three times back and forth between the two traps, at the pressure values of 100, 75, 50, 25 and 15 mbar. We actually lost the particle during the fourth attempt at 10 mbar. We notice that at 10 mbar the damping rate is about $\Gamma \simeq 2\pi \times 10$ kHz, thus the particle motion is weakly damped.
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