

# String Theory and non-Riemannian Geometry

Jeong-Hyuck Park (朴廷熾)<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Shigeki Sugimoto (杉本 茂樹)<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Sogang University, 35 Baekbeom-ro, Mapo-gu, Seoul 04107, Korea*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for Gravitational Physics, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

The  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  covariant generalized metric, postulated as a truly fundamental variable, can describe novel geometries where the notion of Riemannian metric ceases to exist. Here we quantize a closed string upon such backgrounds and identify flat, anomaly-free, non-Riemannian string vacua in the familiar critical dimension,  $D=26$  (or  $D=10$ ). Remarkably, the whole BRST closed string spectrum is restricted to just one level with no tachyon, and matches the linearized equations of motion of Double Field Theory. Taken as an internal space, our non-Riemannian vacua may open up novel avenues alternative to traditional string compactification.

## Motivation: absence of tachyon kinetic term in DFT

Ever since the adoption of Riemannian geometry into the formulation of General Relativity, the metric,  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , has been privileged to be the fundamental variable that provides a concrete mathematical tool to address the notion of *spacetime*. In particular, the ‘flat’ spacetime where the gravitational effect is negligible is simply given by a constant metric of Minkowskian signature. Needless to say, the Standard Model upon this background is arguably the best tested theory.

The flat Minkowskian spacetime is famously known to be unstable in bosonic string theory, as both the open and closed string spectra contain a negative mass-squared tachyon. Open string tachyon means the instability of a D-brane. Its tachyon potential has a local minimum which corresponds to a closed string vacuum without any D-brane [1–5]. Yet, for the closed string tachyon, little is known except the effective description,

$$\int d^D x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[ R + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} - \frac{2(D-26)}{3\alpha'} \partial_\mu T \partial^\mu T + \frac{4}{\alpha'} T^2 + \mathcal{O}(T^3) \right]. \quad (1)$$

In this Letter, we construct a novel bosonic closed string theory with a finite spectrum free of tachyon, by going beyond the Riemannian paradigm. Our working hypothesis, motivated by T-duality, is to view an  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  invariant metric,  $\mathcal{J}_{MN}$ , and an  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  covariant generalized metric,  $\mathcal{H}_{MN}$ , as fundamental entities (instead of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ). The former is put in an off-block diagonal form,  $\mathcal{J}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and, with its inverse, lowers and raises the  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  indices, capital Roman  $M, N = 1, 2, \dots, 2D$ . The latter then satisfies twofold *defining properties*:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}, \quad \mathcal{H}_M{}^K \mathcal{H}_N{}^L \mathcal{J}_{KL} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}. \quad (2)$$

A famous parametrization reads [6]

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} & -g^{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} \\ B_{\kappa\rho} g^{\rho\nu} & g_{\kappa\lambda} - B_{\kappa\rho} g^{\rho\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

which contains  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and a skew-symmetric  $B$ -field, corresponding to a well-known coset,  $\frac{\mathbf{O}(D, D)}{\mathbf{O}(1, D-1) \times \mathbf{O}(D-1, 1)}$  for Minkowskian signature. Over the last three decades, this has been a cornerstone for the developments of  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  symmetry manifest formulations of worldsheet string theory [7–14] and also target spacetime effective descriptions [15–20]. They go under the name doubled string or Double Field Theory (DFT), as the spacetime coordinates are formally doubled,  $x^\mu \rightarrow x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$ .

With (3), the closed string effective action (1) can be reformulated as a DFT coupled to the tachyon,

$$\int e^{-2d} \left[ S_{(0)} - \frac{2(D-26)}{3\alpha'} - \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M T \partial_N T + \frac{4}{\alpha'} T^2 + \mathcal{O}(T^3) \right]. \quad (4)$$

Here  $d$  is the  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  singlet DFT-dilaton related to the conventional dilaton through  $e^{-2d} = \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$ , and  $S_{(0)}$  denotes the scalar curvature in DFT which can be spelled out explicitly in terms of  $d$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{MN}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_{MN}$  [20]:

$$S_{(0)} = \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{H}_{KL} \partial_N \mathcal{H}^{KL} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial^K \mathcal{H}_{ML} \partial^L \mathcal{H}_{NK} - \partial_M \partial_N \mathcal{H}^{MN} + 4 \partial_M (\mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_N d) - 4 \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M d \partial_N d.$$

The so-called section condition should be imposed on the doubled coordinates,  $\partial_M = (\partial^\mu, \partial_\nu)$ ,

$$\partial_M \partial^M = \partial_\mu \tilde{\partial}^\mu + \tilde{\partial}^\mu \partial_\mu \equiv 0, \quad (5)$$

such that all quantities have  $D$ -dimensional halved dependence. After solving the section condition by letting  $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$  and assuming the Riemannian parametrization (3), the DFT action (4) reduces to (1).

Crucially, (3) is not the most general solution to the defining relations (2). It only becomes so, if the upper left  $D \times D$  block, *i.e.*  $\mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu}$ , is invertible. DFT works perfectly fine with any generalized metric that satisfies (2). In particular, the  $D \times D$  block can be degenerate, hence *non-Riemannian* by nature [21–27] (see also [28–33] for supersymmetric or exceptional examples). The most general parametrizations of a generalized metric have been classified by two non-negative integers,  $(n, \bar{n})$ , which set  $\dim(\ker \mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu}) = n + \bar{n}$ , and render string chiral and anti-chiral over  $n$  and  $\bar{n}$  directions respectively [23], see also (13) later. The Riemannian geometry of (3)

\* park@sogang.ac.kr; On sabbatical leave of absence from 1.

† sugimoto@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

is of  $(0,0)$  type, and non-relativistic/ultra-relativistic strings [34–40] belong to  $(1,1)$  or other non-Riemannian types [22, 23, 25, 32].

Postulating  $\{\mathcal{J}_{MN}, \mathcal{H}_{MN}, d\}$  as the only geometric quantities, one can uniquely identify a covariant derivative,  $\nabla_M$  [41, 42], and then construct the scalar curvature,  $S_{(0)}$ , as well as ‘Einstein’ tensor,  $G_{MN}$ , which is off-shell conserved,  $\nabla_M G^{MN} = 0$  [43]. This is all analogous to General Relativity, though there seems no four-index Riemann tensor [44]. Using them, one can concisely express all the equations of motion of the DFT action (4):

$$\mathcal{H}^{MN} \nabla_M \nabla_N T + \frac{4}{\alpha'} T + \mathcal{O}(T^2) = 0, \quad G_{MN} = T_{MN}. \quad (6)$$

The former is the tachyonic equation of motion and the latter is the ‘Einstein equations’ in DFT [45, 46]. It unifies the equations of motion of  $\mathcal{H}_{MN}$  and  $d$  into a single formula, equating the Einstein tensor with a generalised stress-energy tensor. For the tachyon field it reads

$$T_{MN} = (\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{H})_{[M}{}^K (\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{H})_{N]}{}^L \partial_K T \partial_L T - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_{MN} T_{(0)},$$

where  $T_{(0)} = -\frac{1}{D} T_M{}^M$  is the  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  singlet trace part,

$$T_{(0)} = \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M T \partial_N T - \frac{4}{\alpha'} T^2 - \mathcal{O}(T^3) + \frac{2(D-26)}{3\alpha'}.$$

In particular, the equation of motion of the DFT-dilaton, or the trace of the Einstein equations, implies  $S_{(0)} = T_{(0)}$ . Thus, in  $D = 26$ , if the tachyon potential admits a global minimum away from  $T = 0$ , we have  $T_{(0)} < 0$  and hence the background cannot be flat,  $S_{(0)} < 0$ .

While we refer the interested readers to section 2 of [45] for a detailed review of the above formalism, for now what suffices us is that the Einstein curvature,  $G_{MN}$ , vanishes for constant  $\mathcal{H}_{MN}$  and  $d$ . Any flat background with vanishing tachyon,  $T = 0$ , solves all the equations of motion (6) in the critical dimension,  $D = 26$ . Surely this statement is also valid for the Riemannian action (1). The novelty here is that the DFT action (4) allows non-Riemannian geometries. With the choice of the section by  $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$ , the tachyon kinetic term reads  $\mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu T \partial_\nu T$  which obviously vanishes when  $\mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . The vanishing kinetic term then may eliminate the instability of the static configurations: there is no dynamics for the tachyon to roll down (at least classically at linear order). The absence of kinetic term was also discussed for tachyon condensation in open string field theory [47, 48], while it is a generic feature of ‘Pregeometrical’ or ‘Purely Cubic’ string field theories [49, 50].

The generalised metric with  $\mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu} = 0$  is in a way ‘maximally’ non-Riemannian, invalidating any notion of Riemannian metric, not to mention its signature. It corresponds to the  $(n, \bar{n})$  type with  $n + \bar{n} = D$ , and assumes the most general form [23],

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_i^\mu X_\lambda^i - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{i}} \\ X_\kappa^i Y_i^\nu - \bar{X}_{\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{\nu}} & 2X_{(\kappa}^i B_{\lambda)\rho} Y_i^\rho - 2\bar{X}_{(\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} B_{\bar{\lambda})\rho} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\bar{i} = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{n}$ . Viewing  $(X_\mu^i, \bar{X}_{\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{i}})$  as a  $D \times D$  matrix,  $(Y_i^\nu, \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{\nu}})$  is its inverse satisfying  $X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu + \bar{X}_{\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{\nu}} = \delta_\mu^\nu$ . The underlying coset is  $\frac{\mathbf{O}(D, D)}{\mathbf{O}(n, n) \times \mathbf{O}(\bar{n}, \bar{n})}$  [25] whose dimension,  $4n\bar{n}$ , matches the number of infinitesimal fluctuation modes, *i.e.* moduli, around the generalized metric (7) [26]. The types of  $(D, 0)$  or  $(0, D)$  are worthy of note. They are uniquely given by  $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \pm \mathcal{J}_{MN}$ , and correspond to the most symmetric vacua of DFT with no moduli [24]. Intriguingly then, Riemannian spacetime may arise in DFT after the spontaneous symmetry breaking of  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ , which identifies  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $B_{\mu\nu}$  as the massless Nambu–Goldstone bosons [25] (*c.f.* [51, 52]).

In the remainder of this Letter, we investigate the quantum consistency of the non-Riemannian geometries (7) as for novel string vacua. Through BRST quantization of the string, we show that the type of  $(n, \bar{n}) = (13, 13)$  with  $D = 26$  is anomaly-free. Remarkably, the string spectrum is *finite* with no tachyon mode, matches the coset underlying (7), and agrees with the linearized DFT equations of motion, *i.e.* the vacuum Einstein equations,  $G_{MN} = 0$ . We shall conclude with remarks on extension to type II superstring and application as an alternative to string compactification on Riemannian manifolds.

### BRST quantization of doubled-yet-gauged string

The doubled string action we consider is [14, 21],

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\hbar} h^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha x^M D_\beta x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha x^M A_{\beta M}. \quad (8)$$

$D_\alpha$  is a covariant derivative with an auxiliary potential that satisfies a section-condition-like constraint,

$$D_\alpha x^M := \partial_\alpha x^M - A_\alpha^M, \quad A_\alpha^M \partial_M = 0. \quad (9)$$

While the action is completely covariant under desired symmetries like  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  rotations, Weyl symmetry, worldsheet as well as doubled target spacetime diffeomorphisms, it also concretely realizes the idea that the doubled coordinates in DFT are actually gauged and each gauge orbit corresponds to a single physical point [53]. The relevant ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ reads

$$\delta x^M = \Delta^M, \quad \delta A_\alpha^M = \partial_\alpha \Delta^M, \quad \Delta^M \partial_M = 0, \quad (10)$$

which leaves  $D_\alpha x^L$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{MN}$  invariant ( $\Delta^L \partial_L \mathcal{H}_{MN} = 0$ ), and enables us to identify the first term in the Lagrangian (8) as a ‘proper area’ in doubled geometry [54].

With the choice of the section,  $(\tilde{\partial}^\mu, \partial_\nu) \equiv (0, \partial_\nu)$ , which we henceforth assume throughout, the constraints on the gauge potential (9) and parameter (10) are solved by  $A_\alpha^M \equiv (A_{\alpha\mu}, 0)$  and  $\Delta^M \equiv (\Delta_\mu, 0)$ . Clearly then, it is the tilde coordinates  $\tilde{x}_\mu$  that are to be gauged:  $D_\alpha x^M = (\partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_\mu - A_{\alpha\mu}, \partial_\alpha x^\nu)$ .

Upon the Riemannian background (3), the potential  $A_{\alpha\mu}$  appears quadratically in the action,  $\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\mathcal{L}'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}' = & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^\mu\partial_\beta x^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^\mu\partial_\beta x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_\mu\partial_\beta x^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta}(A_{\alpha\mu}-V_{\alpha\mu})(A_{\beta\nu}-V_{\beta\nu})g^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $V_{\alpha\mu} = \partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_\mu - B_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha x^\nu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-h}}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu}\partial_\beta x^\nu$ , and the worldsheet indices are raised/lowered with  $h^{\alpha\beta}/h_{\alpha\beta}$ . Integrating out the auxiliary potential and further fixing the coordinate gauge symmetry by  $\tilde{x}_\mu \equiv 0$  (*c.f.* [55]), one recovers the familiar (Riemannian) string action.

We now focus on the maximally non-Riemannian constant background (7). For simplicity, we ignore the  $B$ -field and diagonalize the square matrices,  $(X_\mu^i, \tilde{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}})$ ,  $(Y_i^\nu, \tilde{Y}_\nu^{\bar{\nu}})$ , to be identity matrices. The  $D$ -dimensional index,  $\mu$ , decomposes into two parts:  $\mu = (i, \bar{i})$ . We perform a field redefinition of the potential,  $A_{\alpha\mu}$ , to a coordinate gauge symmetry invariant quantity,  $p_{\alpha\mu}$ ,

$$p_{\alpha i} := \partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_i - A_{\alpha i}, \quad p_{\alpha \bar{i}} := A_{\alpha \bar{i}} - \partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_{\bar{i}},$$

and prepare a pair of projection matrices from [56],

$$h_\pm^{\alpha\beta} = h_\mp^{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\left(h^{\alpha\beta} \pm \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-h}}\right), \quad \begin{aligned} h_{\pm\alpha}{}^\beta h_{\pm\beta}{}^\gamma &= h_{\pm\alpha}{}^\gamma, \\ h_{\pm\alpha}{}^\beta h_{\mp\beta}{}^\gamma &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The string Lagrangian (8) now assumes the form,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\sqrt{-h}\left(p_{\alpha i}h_+^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta x^i + p_{\alpha \bar{i}}h_-^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta x^{\bar{i}}\right) + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_\mu\partial_\beta x^\mu. \quad (13)$$

Evidently,  $p_{\alpha\mu}$ 's are Lagrange multipliers imposing the chirality and anti-chirality on the untilded coordinates:  $h_+^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta x^i \equiv 0$  and  $h_-^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta x^{\bar{i}} \equiv 0$  [21, 23] (*c.f.* [57–59] which are fully chiral and equipped with a Riemannian metric).

Toward the BRST quantization, it is convenient to parametrize  $\sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta}$ , which has unit determinant, by two variables, without loss of generality,

$$\sqrt{-h}h^{\tau\tau} = -\frac{1}{e}, \quad \sqrt{-h}h^{\tau\sigma} = \frac{\omega}{e}, \quad \sqrt{-h}h^{\sigma\sigma} = e - \frac{\omega^2}{e}.$$

Under diffeomorphisms,  $\delta_c\sigma^\alpha = c^\alpha$ , these two transform,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_c e &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha e + (\partial_\tau c^\tau - \partial_\sigma c^\sigma)e - 2\partial_\sigma c^\tau\omega e, \\ \delta_c \omega &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha \omega + (\partial_\tau c^\tau - \partial_\sigma c^\sigma)\omega + \partial_\tau c^\sigma - \partial_\sigma c^\tau(\omega^2 + e^2), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which match the standard transformation of  $\sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta}$ . We shall also use (from time to time) the worldsheet light-cone convention,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^\pm &= \tau \pm \sigma, & \partial_\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma), \\ c^\pm &= c^\tau \pm c^\sigma, & p_{\pm\mu} &= \frac{1}{2}(p_{\tau\mu} \pm p_{\sigma\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

In addition to the coordinate gauge symmetry (10) and the worldsheet diffeomorphisms (14), from (12), the Lagrangian (13) admits extra gauge symmetry:

$$\begin{cases} \delta p_{\alpha i} = h_{+\alpha}{}^\beta \hat{C}_{\beta i} \\ \delta p_{\alpha \bar{i}} = h_{-\alpha}{}^\beta \hat{C}_{\beta \bar{i}} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \delta p_{\pm i} = (\omega - e \pm 1)C_i \\ \delta p_{\pm \bar{i}} = (\omega + e \pm 1)C_{\bar{i}} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where  $\hat{C}_{\beta\mu}$ 's are arbitrary local parameters. Yet, despite their seemingly free index, *i.e.* ' $\beta$ ', since  $h_{\pm\alpha}{}^\beta$ 's are  $2 \times 2$  projection matrices with nontrivial kernel, the extra gauge symmetry can be specified simply by the alternative parameter,  $C_\mu$ , carrying no worldsheet index.

We proceed to fix all the gauges, (10), (14), (15):

$$e \equiv 1, \quad \omega \equiv 0, \quad \tilde{x}_\mu \equiv 0, \quad p_{-i} \equiv 0, \quad p_{+\bar{i}} \equiv 0, \quad (16)$$

which imply,  $-\sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on the light-cone and the vanishing of the topological term in (13).

The full Lagrangian with Faddeev–Popov ghosts is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{full}} = \mathcal{L}_0 - i\delta_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\ln e b_e + \omega b_\omega + \tilde{x}_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu + p_{-i}B^i + p_{+\bar{i}}B^{\bar{i}}\right), \quad (17)$$

where  $\{b_e, b_\omega, \tilde{B}^\mu, B^\mu\}$  are the anti-ghosts for the gauge symmetries of (14), (10), and (15). With the associated ghosts,  $\{c^\alpha, \tilde{C}_\mu, C_\mu\}$ , and auxiliary Nakanishi–Lautrup fields,  $\{\kappa_e, \kappa_\omega, \tilde{\kappa}^\mu, \kappa^\mu\}$ , the BRST transformations are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbf{B}}x^\mu &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha x^\mu, & \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{x}_\mu &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha \tilde{x}_\mu + \tilde{C}_\mu, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}p_{\pm i} &= (\omega - e \pm 1)C_i + c^\alpha\partial_\alpha p_{\pm i} + \partial_\pm c^+ p_{+i} + \partial_\pm c^- p_{-i}, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}p_{\pm \bar{i}} &= (\omega + e \pm 1)C_{\bar{i}} + c^\alpha\partial_\alpha p_{\pm \bar{i}} + \partial_\pm c^+ p_{+\bar{i}} + \partial_\pm c^- p_{-\bar{i}}, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}c^\alpha &= c^\beta\partial_\beta c^\alpha, & \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{C}_\mu &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha \tilde{C}_\mu, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}C_i &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha C_i + (\omega - e)\partial_\sigma c^\tau C_i + \partial_\sigma c^\sigma C_i, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}C_{\bar{i}} &= c^\alpha\partial_\alpha C_{\bar{i}} + (\omega + e)\partial_\sigma c^\tau C_{\bar{i}} + \partial_\sigma c^\sigma C_{\bar{i}}, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}b_e &= i\kappa_e, & \delta_{\mathbf{B}}b_\omega &= i\kappa_\omega, & \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{B}^\mu &= i\tilde{\kappa}^\mu, & \delta_{\mathbf{B}}B^\mu &= i\kappa^\mu, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\kappa_e &= \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\kappa_\omega = \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\kappa}^\mu = \delta_{\mathbf{B}}\kappa^\mu = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

while  $\delta_{\mathbf{B}}e = \delta_c e$  and  $\delta_{\mathbf{B}}\omega = \delta_c \omega$  are already given in (14), promoting the diffeomorphism parameters,  $c^\alpha$ , as ghosts. The transformations are off-shell nilpotent,  $\delta_{\mathbf{B}}^2 = 0$ .

From the variational principle, setting  $b_e \equiv b_{++} + b_{--}$ ,  $b_\omega \equiv b_{++} - b_{--}$ , and similarly for  $\kappa_e, \kappa_\omega$ , we acquire

$$\begin{aligned} p_{+i}\partial_+ x^i + 2ib_{++}\partial_+ c^+ + i(\partial_+ b_{++})c^+ &= \kappa_{++}, \\ p_{-\bar{i}}\partial_- x^{\bar{i}} + 2ib_{--}\partial_- c^- + i(\partial_- b_{--})c^- &= \kappa_{--}, \\ p_{-i} = p_{+\bar{i}} = \tilde{\kappa}^\mu = \kappa^\mu = \tilde{B}^\mu = \tilde{C}_\mu = B^\mu = C_\mu &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the left/right-moving (chiral/anti-chiral) properties,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- x^i = 0, & \quad \partial_- p_{+i} = 0, & \quad \partial_- c^+ = 0, & \quad \partial_- b_{++} = 0, \\ \partial_+ x^{\bar{i}} = 0, & \quad \partial_+ p_{-\bar{i}} = 0, & \quad \partial_+ c^- = 0, & \quad \partial_+ b_{--} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which can be also derived from the reduced Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{red.}} = 2(p_{+i}\partial_- x^i + p_{-\bar{i}}\partial_+ x^{\bar{i}} + ib_{++}\partial_- c^+ + ib_{--}\partial_+ c^-). \quad (19)$$

Naturally,  $\{p_{+i}, p_{-\bar{i}}\}$  are identified as the conjugate momenta of  $\{x^i, x^{\bar{i}}\}$ , forming  $D$  pairs of ‘ $\beta\gamma$ ’ system [56, 60] with the conformal weights 1 and 0, for  $\beta_i \equiv p_{+i}, \beta_{\bar{i}} \equiv p_{-\bar{i}}$  and  $\gamma^j \equiv x^j, \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{j}} \equiv x^{\bar{j}}$  respectively. Each pair contributes to a central charge by two.

The BRST charge decomposes,  $Q_{\mathbf{B}} = Q_{\mathbf{L}} + Q_{\mathbf{R}}$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathbf{L}} &= \oint d\sigma \beta_i \partial_+ \gamma^i c^+ + i(b_{++}\partial_+ c^+)c^+ \\ &= : \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} n (-i\beta_{mi}\gamma_n^i + b_m c_n) c_{-m-n} : - a c_0, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and mirroring expression for  $Q_{\mathbf{R}}$ . The quantization is given by  $[\gamma_m^i, \beta_{n\bar{j}}] = i\delta_j^i \delta_{m+n}$  and  $\{b_m, c_n\} = \delta_{m+n}$ , which generate the normal ordering constant,  $a$ . The BRST charges,  $Q_{\mathbf{L}}, Q_{\mathbf{R}}$ , are nilpotent, if and only if  $n = \bar{n} = 13$ , implying the usual critical dimension,  $D = 26$ , since the central charges are  $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{L}} = 2n - 26$  and  $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{R}} = 2\bar{n} - 26$ , both of which should vanish.

Physical states are annihilated by  $Q_{\mathbf{L}}$  and the anti-ghost zero mode  $b_0$  (mirrored by the right-moving sector). Their anti-commutator is

$$L_0 = \{b_0, Q_{\mathbf{B}}\} = N_{\beta} + N_{\gamma} + N_b + N_c - a, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\beta} &= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} -ip\beta_{-p\bar{i}}\gamma_p^i, & N_{\gamma} &= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} ip\gamma_{-p}^i\beta_{pi}, \\ N_b &= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} pb_{-p}c_p, & N_c &= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} pc_{-p}b_p, \end{aligned}$$

are the level-counting operators for each creation operator with  $p \geq 1$ . These are all positive semi-definite. Hence, the vanishing of  $L_0$  (21) on physical states means a drastic truncation of the entire string spectrum to just one level. Computing  $\langle 0|[L_1, L_{-1}]|0\rangle = -2$  with  $L_n = \{Q_{\mathbf{L}}, b_n\}$ , we identify the level to be unity,  $a = 1$ . Then, from  $\beta_{0i}|k\rangle = k_i|k\rangle$ ,  $Q_{\mathbf{L}}c_{-1}|k\rangle = Q_{\mathbf{L}}\gamma_{-1}^i|k\rangle = 0$ , and

$$Q_{\mathbf{L}}\beta_{-1i}|k\rangle = k_i c_{-1}|k\rangle, \quad Q_{\mathbf{L}}b_{-1}|k\rangle = (ik_i\gamma_{-1}^i + 2c_{-1}b_0)|k\rangle,$$

the physical states consist of four sectors, (with  $|k\downarrow\rangle$  satisfying  $b_0|k\downarrow\rangle = 0$  [56, 60]),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}\gamma_{-1}^i|k_j\downarrow\rangle \otimes \bar{\gamma}_{-1}^{\bar{i}}|k_{\bar{j}}\downarrow\rangle, & \quad \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}\bar{\gamma}_{-1}^{\bar{i}}|k_j\downarrow\rangle \otimes \bar{\beta}_{-1\bar{i}}|k_{\bar{j}}\downarrow\rangle, \\ \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}\beta_{-1i}|k_j\downarrow\rangle \otimes \bar{\gamma}_{-1}^{\bar{i}}|k_{\bar{j}}\downarrow\rangle, & \quad \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}\beta_{-1i}|k_j\downarrow\rangle \otimes \bar{\beta}_{-1\bar{i}}|k_{\bar{j}}\downarrow\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which should satisfy on-shell relations for  $Q_{\mathbf{B}}$ -closedness,

$$k_{\bar{i}}\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} = 0, \quad k_i\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} = 0, \quad k_i\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{i}} = 0, \quad k_{\bar{i}}\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{i}} = 0, \quad (22)$$

and equivalence relations as for gauge symmetries,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} &\sim \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} + k_i\lambda_{\bar{i}} - k_{\bar{i}}\lambda_i, \\ \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} &\sim \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} + k_i\xi^{\bar{i}}, \quad \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} \sim \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}} - k_{\bar{i}}\xi^i, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\xi^i, \xi^{\bar{i}}$  need to be divergenceless,  $k_i\xi^i = k_{\bar{i}}\xi^{\bar{i}} = 0$ .

We have a good reason to denote the physical states by the same symbol as the generalized metric: the  $4n\bar{n}$  of  $\{\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}, \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}, \delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}, \delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{i}}\}$  are literally the moduli of the generalized metric (7) that we have been dealing with, in the diagonal form where the only nontrivial components are  $\mathcal{H}_i^j = \mathcal{H}^j_i = \delta_i^j$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} = \mathcal{H}^{\bar{j}}_{i\bar{i}} = -\delta_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}$  [26]. On-shell, they meet the linearized DFT equations of motion [22] (see also [61]):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}^{j\bar{i}} &= 0, & \partial_{\bar{i}}\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{j}} &= 0, & \partial_i\partial_{\bar{i}}\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{i}} &= 0, \\ \partial_i\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^j &- \partial_{\bar{i}}\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} + 4\partial_i\partial_{\bar{i}}\delta d &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which enjoy local symmetries inherited from the General Covariance of DFT (generalized Lie derivative,  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi}\mathcal{H}_{MN}$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}) &= \partial_{\bar{i}}\xi^i, & \delta(\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}) &= -\partial_i\xi^{\bar{j}}, \\ \delta(\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}) &= \partial_{\bar{i}}\lambda_i - \partial_i\lambda_{\bar{i}}, & \delta(\delta d) &= -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_i\xi^i + \partial_{\bar{i}}\xi^{\bar{i}}). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We may choose a gauge,  $\delta d = 0$ . Remarkably then, (22), (23) imply (24), (25). Further, restricted to normalizable solutions, the converse appears also true. The first and second in (24) give  $\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}^{j\bar{i}} = 0$ ,  $\partial_{\bar{j}}\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{j}} = 0$ , which are generically solved by  $\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{i}} = \epsilon^{ijkl\dots}\bar{\epsilon}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}\dots}\partial_j\partial_{\bar{j}}\Phi_{kl\dots\bar{k}\bar{l}\dots}$ , and hence the third holds. The last implies  $\partial_i\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^j = \partial_{\bar{i}}\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} = \partial_i\partial_{\bar{i}}\varphi$  for some  $\varphi$ . Again for normalizable solutions, we get  $\partial_j\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^j = \partial_{\bar{i}}\varphi$  and  $\partial_{\bar{j}}\delta\mathcal{H}_{i\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} = \partial_i\varphi$ , which can be gauged away using the remaining (25).

As the spectrum is finite, DFT itself is to be identified as string field theory around the non-Riemannian vacua. Evident from the position of the indices, it is  $\delta\mathcal{H}^{i\bar{i}}$  that may condensate and reduce the ‘non-Riemannianity’, to build up Riemannian spacetime [26].

#### Comments: supersymmetric extension

Our BRST charge formula (20) can be easily extended to a generic  $(n, \bar{n})$  non-Riemannian background, to include  $n$  pairs of chiral  $\beta\gamma$ ,  $\bar{n}$  pairs of anti-chiral  $\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}$ , and ordinary (left-right combined)  $D - n - \bar{n}$  number of  $x^\mu$ . The central charges are  $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{L/R}} = D \pm (n - \bar{n}) - 26$ , and thus necessarily  $n = \bar{n}$  and  $D = 26$ . Non-relativistic string theories [34–37] are examples of  $(n, \bar{n}) = (1, 1)$  [62].

The necessity of putting  $n = \bar{n}$  was also noted in [23] as a condition to embed non-Riemannian geometries into type II doubled superstring [29, 32] or supersymmetric DFTs [63–65], the constructions of which rely on genuine  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  covariant vielbeins rather than the Riemannian zehnbain,  $e_{\mu}^a$  [66]. The central charges should be  $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{L/R}} = D \pm (n - \bar{n}) - 10$ , indicating that  $n = \bar{n}$  non-Riemannian geometries are consistent superstring vacua in  $D = 10$ , which enlarges the string theory landscape far beyond the Riemannian paradigm.

Chiral string means  $x^i(\tau, \sigma) = x^i(0, \tau + \sigma)$ : it is fixed in space and thus hardly interacts with one another. This classical intuition may suggest us to explore non-Riemannian backgrounds (either flat or curved) as candi-

dates for an internal space, alternative to string compactification traditionally on “small” Riemannian manifolds. At a glance, in the presence of external four-dimensional Minkowskian spacetime, the truncation of the string spectrum to just one level may be no longer the case as  $L_0$  will include external  $p_\mu p_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu}$  which is not positive definite. Nevertheless, since  $[x^i(\tau_1, \sigma_1), x^j(\tau_2, \sigma_2)] = 0$ , the correlation functions of bosonic string tachyon vertex operators will have trivial dependency along all non-Riemannian directions: with  $k \cdot x = k_\mu x^\mu + k_j x^j + k_{\bar{j}} x^{\bar{j}}$ ,

$$\left\langle \prod_{a=1}^N : e^{ik_a \cdot x(\tau_a, \sigma_a)} : \right\rangle = \delta^D \left( \sum_{b=1}^N k_b \right) F(k_{a\mu}, \tau_a, \sigma_a),$$

where  $F(k_{a\mu}, \tau_a, \sigma_a)$  is independent of  $k_{aj}, k_{a\bar{j}}$ 's. This indicates delta-function interactions on the internal  $x$ -space after Fourier transformation, and thus is consistent with the classical intuition of the chiral string.

*Acknowledgments.* We are deeply grateful to Taichiro Kugo for encouragement. JHP also thanks Chris Blair and Gerben Oling for discussion. The work of JHP was supported by Basic Science Research Program by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) through the Grants, NRF-2016R1D1A1B01015196 and NRF-2020R1A6A1A03047877. The work of SS was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B)) grant number JP19H01897.

- 
- [1] A. Sen, “Descent relations among bosonic D-branes,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **14** (1999), 4061-4078 [arXiv:hep-th/9902105 [hep-th]].
- [2] A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “Tachyon condensation in string field theory,” *JHEP* **03** (2000), 002 [arXiv:hep-th/9912249 [hep-th]].
- [3] A. A. Gerasimov and S. L. Shatashvili, “On exact tachyon potential in open string field theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2000), 034 [arXiv:hep-th/0009103 [hep-th]].
- [4] D. Kutasov, M. Marino and G. W. Moore, “Remarks on tachyon condensation in superstring field theory,” [arXiv:hep-th/0010108 [hep-th]].
- [5] M. Schnabl, “Analytic solution for tachyon condensation in open string field theory,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **10** (2006) no.4, 433-501 [arXiv:hep-th/0511286 [hep-th]].
- [6] A. Giveon, E. Rabinovici and G. Veneziano, “Duality in String Background Space,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **322** (1989), 167-184
- [7] M. Duff, “Duality Rotations in String Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **335** (1990), 610
- [8] A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality Symmetric Formulation Of String World Sheet Dynamics,” *Phys. Lett. B* **242**, 163 (1990).
- [9] A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality Symmetric Closed String Theory And Interacting Chiral Scalars,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **350**, 395 (1991).
- [10] M. Rocek and E. P. Verlinde, “Duality, quotients, and currents,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **373** (1992) 630 [hep-th/9110053].
- [11] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, “Generalized duality in curved string backgrounds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **380** (1992) 128 [hep-th/9112070].
- [12] C. M. Hull, “A Geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds,” *JHEP* **0510** (2005) 065 [hep-th/0406102].
- [13] C. M. Hull, “Global aspects of T-duality, gauged sigma models and T-folds,” *JHEP* **0710** (2007) 057 [hep-th/0604178].
- [14] C. M. Hull, “Doubled Geometry and T-Folds,” *JHEP* **0707** (2007) 080 [hep-th/0605149].
- [15] W. Siegel, “Two vierbein formalism for string inspired axionic gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 5453 [hep-th/9302036].
- [16] W. Siegel, “Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 2826 [hep-th/9305073].
- [17] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 099 [arXiv:0904.4664 [hep-th]].
- [18] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 090 [arXiv:0908.1792 [hep-th]].
- [19] O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Background independent action for double field theory,” *JHEP* **1007** (2010) 016 [arXiv:1003.5027 [hep-th]].
- [20] O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **08** (2010), 008 [arXiv:1006.4823 [hep-th]].
- [21] K. Lee and J. H. Park, “Covariant action for a string in doubled yet gauged spacetime,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **880** (2014) 134 [arXiv:1307.8377 [hep-th]].
- [22] S. M. Ko, C. Melby-Thompson, R. Meyer and J. H. Park, “Dynamics of Perturbations in Double Field Theory & Non-Relativistic String Theory,” *JHEP* **1512** (2015) 144 [arXiv:1508.01121 [hep-th]].
- [23] K. Morand and J. H. Park, “Classification of non-Riemannian doubled-yet-gauged spacetime,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) no.10, 685 [arXiv:1707.03713 [hep-th]].
- [24] K. Cho, K. Morand and J. H. Park, “Kaluza–Klein reduction on a maximally non-Riemannian space is moduli-free,” *Phys. Lett. B* **793** (2019), 65-69 [arXiv:1808.10605 [hep-th]].
- [25] D. S. Berman, C. D. A. Blair and R. Otsuki, “Non-Riemannian geometry of M-theory,” *JHEP* **07** (2019), 175 [arXiv:1902.01867 [hep-th]].
- [26] K. Cho and J. H. Park, “Remarks on the non-Riemannian sector in Double Field Theory,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.2, 101 [arXiv:1909.10711 [hep-th]].
- [27] C. D. A. Blair, “Non-relativistic duality and  $T\bar{T}$  deformations,” *JHEP* **07** (2020), 069 [arXiv:2002.12413 [hep-th]].
- [28] E. Malek, “Timelike U-dualities in Generalised Geometry,” *JHEP* **11** (2013), 185 [arXiv:1301.0543 [hep-th]].
- [29] J. H. Park, “Green-Schwarz superstring on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime,” *JHEP* **1611** (2016) 005 [arXiv:1609.04265 [hep-th]].
- [30] J. J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, J. i. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “ $T$ -folds from Yang-Baxter deformations,” *JHEP* **12** (2017), 108 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2017)108 [arXiv:1710.06849 [hep-th]].
- [31] Y. Sakatani, “Type II DFT solutions from Poisson-Lie T-duality/plurality,” *PTEP* (2019), 073B04 doi:10.1093/ptep/ptz071 [arXiv:1903.12175 [hep-th]].

- [32] C. D. A. Blair, “A worldsheet supersymmetric Newton-Cartan string,” *JHEP* **10** (2019), 266 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2019)266 [arXiv:1908.00074 [hep-th]].
- [33] D. S. Berman and C. D. A. Blair, “The Geometry, Branes and Applications of Exceptional Field Theory,” [arXiv:2006.09777 [hep-th]].
- [34] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, “Nonrelativistic closed string theory,” *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 3127 doi:10.1063/1.1372697 [hep-th/0009181].
- [35] U. H. Danielsson, A. Guijosa and M. Kruczenski, “IIA/B, wound and wrapped,” *JHEP* **10** (2000), 020 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/10/020 [arXiv:hep-th/0009182 [hep-th]].
- [36] R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and M. de Roo, “‘Stringy’ Newton-Cartan Gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012), 235020 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/23/235020 [arXiv:1206.5176 [hep-th]].
- [37] T. Harmark, J. Hartong and N. A. Obers, “Nonrelativistic strings and limits of the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) no.8, 086019 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.086019 [arXiv:1705.03535 [hep-th]].
- [38] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis and G. Longhi, “Dynamics of Carroll Particles,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) no.20, 205009 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/31/20/205009 [arXiv:1405.2264 [hep-th]].
- [39] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, P. A. Horvathy and P. M. Zhang, “Carroll versus Newton and Galilei: two dual non-Einsteinian concepts of time,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014), 085016 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/31/8/085016 [arXiv:1402.0657 [gr-qc]].
- [40] X. Bekaert and K. Morand, “Connections and dynamical trajectories in generalised Newton-Cartan gravity II. An ambient perspective,” *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018) no.7, 072503 [arXiv:1505.03739 [hep-th]].
- [41] I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, “Differential geometry with a projection: Application to double field theory,” *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 014 [arXiv:1011.1324 [hep-th]].
- [42] I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, “Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 044022 [arXiv:1105.6294 [hep-th]].
- [43] J. H. Park, S. J. Rey, W. Rim and Y. Sakatani, “ $\mathcal{O}(D, D)$  covariant Noether currents and global charges in double field theory,” *JHEP* **11** (2015), 131 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)131 [arXiv:1507.07545 [hep-th]].
- [44] O. Hohm and B. Zwiebach, “On the Riemann Tensor in Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **05** (2012), 126 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2012)126 [arXiv:1112.5296 [hep-th]].
- [45] S. Angus, K. Cho and J. H. Park, “Einstein Double Field Equations,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) no.6, 500 [arXiv:1804.00964 [hep-th]].
- [46] J. H. Park, “ $\mathcal{O}(D, D)$  completion of the Einstein Field Equations,” *PoS CORFU2018* (2019), 145 doi:10.22323/1.347.0145 [arXiv:1904.04705 [hep-th]].
- [47] L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “String field theory around the tachyon vacuum,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5** (2002), 353-392 doi:10.4310/ATMP.2001.v5.n2.a5 [arXiv:hep-th/0012251 [hep-th]].
- [48] H. Hata and S. Teraguchi, “Test of the absence of kinetic terms around the tachyon vacuum in cubic string field theory,” *JHEP* **05** (2001), 045 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/045 [arXiv:hep-th/0101162 [hep-th]].
- [49] H. Hata, K. Itoh, T. Kugo, H. Kunitomo and K. Ogawa, “Pregometrical String Field Theory: Creation of Spacetime and Motion,” *Phys. Lett. B* **175** (1986), 138-144 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)90703-3
- [50] G. T. Horowitz, J. D. Lykken, R. Rohm and A. Strominger, “A Purely Cubic Action for String Field Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986), 283-286 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.283
- [51] I. Kirsch, “A Higgs mechanism for gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005), 024001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.024001 [arXiv:hep-th/0503024 [hep-th]].
- [52] S. Alexander, J. D. Barrow and J. Magueijo, “Turning on gravity with the Higgs mechanism,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) no.14, 14LT01 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/33/14/14LT01 [arXiv:1602.07993 [gr-qc]].
- [53] J. H. Park, “Comments on double field theory and diffeomorphisms,” *JHEP* **1306** (2013) 098 [arXiv:1304.5946 [hep-th]].
- [54] J. H. Park, “Stringy Gravity: Solving the Dark Problems at ‘short’ distance,” *EPJ Web Conf.* **168** (2018), 01010 doi:10.1051/epjconf/201816801010 [arXiv:1707.08961 [hep-th]].
- [55] D. S. Berman and N. B. Copland, “The String partition function in Hull’s doubled formalism,” *Phys. Lett. B* **649** (2007), 325-333 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.03.007 [arXiv:hep-th/0701080 [hep-th]].
- [56] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, “SUPERSTRING THEORY. VOL. 1: INTRODUCTION,”
- [57] L. Mason and D. Skinner, “Ambitwistor strings and the scattering equations,” *JHEP* **07** (2014), 048 [arXiv:1311.2564 [hep-th]].
- [58] W. Siegel, “Amplitudes for left-handed strings,” [arXiv:1512.02569 [hep-th]].
- [59] K. Lee, S. J. Rey and J. A. Rosabal, “A string theory which isn’t about strings,” *JHEP* **11** (2017), 172 [arXiv:1708.05707 [hep-th]].
- [60] J. Polchinski, “String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string,” doi:10.1017/CBO9780511816079
- [61] O. Hohm and D. Marques, “Perturbative Double Field Theory on General Backgrounds,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) no.2, 025032 [arXiv:1512.02658 [hep-th]].
- [62] B. S. Kim, “World Sheet Commuting beta gamma CFT and Non-Relativistic String Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007), 106007 [arXiv:0708.4261 [hep-th]].
- [63] O. Hohm, S. K. Kwak and B. Zwiebach, “Unification of Type II Strings and T-duality,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011), 171603 [arXiv:1106.5452 [hep-th]].
- [64] I. Jeon, K. Lee and J. H. Park, “Supersymmetric Double Field Theory: Stringy Reformulation of Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012), 081501(R) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.081501 [arXiv:1112.0069 [hep-th]].
- [65] I. Jeon, K. Lee, J. H. Park and Y. Suh, “Stringy Unification of Type IIA and IIB Supergravities under  $N = 2 D = 10$  Supersymmetric Double Field Theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **723** (2013) 245 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.016 [arXiv:1210.5078 [hep-th]].
- [66] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, “Supergravity as Generalised Geometry I: Type II Theories,” *JHEP* **11** (2011), 091 [arXiv:1107.1733 [hep-th]].